SALINITY EFFECT ON SURFACTANT-ASSISTED ZINC OXIDE NANOPARTICLES FOR ENHANCED OIL RECOVERY

OSAMAH AMER ABDULJALEEL HAMADANI

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

SALINITY EFFECT ON SURFACTANT-ASSISTED ZINC OXIDE NANOPARTICLES FOR ENHANCED OIL RECOVERY

OSAMAH AMER ABDULJALEEL HAMADANI

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Philosophy

Faculty of Chemical and Energy Engineering

Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2023

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to thank Allah Almighty for guiding me and giving me the strength, knowledge, and understanding to complete this project. I would like to express my sincere appreciation and gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Chong Aik Shye, for her guidance, advice, and motivation. Without her continual support, this work would not have been the same as presented here.

I also would like to thank my academic seniors for their valuable tips. My appreciation goes to the staff of the reservoir engineering lab for their cooperation. Finally, I express my heartfelt thanks to my parents and siblings for their unwavering support. Indeed, they are a source of inspiration for me.

ABSTRACT

Several studies have focused on the advantages of applying nanotechnology to the enhanced oil recovery (EOR). This is because nanoparticles are unique due to their small size and large surface area. Metal oxide nanoparticles, including zinc oxide, have been proven to have favourable effects in EOR applications. However, the instability of nanoparticles is one of the most common issues. It has been established in the literature that using a surfactant with nanoparticles can stabilize the latter, lower the oil/water interfacial tension, and alter the wettability of reservoir rocks. It is also common knowledge that chemical EOR is affected by the salinity of formation water. Thus, the objectives of this study were to investigate the effects of salinity on zinc oxide nanoparticles with sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) over the interfacial tension and wettability, as well as to compare the recovery of oil by displacing sand packs with water, SDS, ZnO NPs with SDS, and the mixture of SDS, ZnO NPs, and NaCl. Particle size analysis (PSA) and zeta potential were determined to evaluate the size and stability of ZnO NPs before and after adding SDS. The surface tension for SDS was measured to identify the critical micellar concentration (CMC). The interfacial tension and contact angle were measured for different concentrations of nanofluid at ambient temperature and pressure. The concentration range for ZnO NPs was 0.02 to 0.1 wt%, while that for NaCl was 1000 to 30,000 ppm. The results showed that the size of the zinc oxide nanofluid decreased from 406.6 to 308.3 nm after adding SDS. The stability of ZnO nanofluid was confirmed to be improved after mixing with SDS through zeta potential readings of -11.1 mV to -53.3 mV. The CMC for SDS was recorded at 0.2 wt%. The optimum IFT value of 6.25 mN/m was obtained using a mixture of 0.2 wt% SDS, 0.06 wt% ZnO NPs, and 30,000 ppm NaCl. Meanwhile, the lowest contact angle of 45.6 ° was achieved using a mixture of 0.2 wt% SDS, 0.06 wt% ZnO NPs, and 20,000 ppm NaCl. Oil recovery after water flooding was 36.1% of the original oil in place (OOIP), while the oil recovery after SDS flooding and SDS with zinc oxide NPs flooding recorded 52.3% and 58.3% OOIP, respectively. Ultimately, the mixture of SDS, zinc oxide NPs, and NaCl can recover up to 60.5% OOIP. The nanofluid mixture was found to be effective in EOR applications.

ABSTRAK

Beberapa kajian telah memberi tumpuan kepada kelebihan mengaplikasikan nanoteknologi kepada pemulihan minyak yang dipertingkatkan (EOR). Ini kerana zarah nano adalah unik kerana saiznya yang kecil dan luas permukaan yang besar. Nanopartikel oksida logam, termasuk zink oksida, telah terbukti mempunyai kesan yang menggalakkan dalam aplikasi EOR. Walau bagaimanapun, ketidakstabilan nanozarah adalah salah satu isu yang paling biasa. Telah ditetapkan dalam literatur bahawa menggunakan surfaktan dengan nanozarah boleh menstabilkan yang terakhir, merendahkan ketegangan antara muka minyak/air, dan mengubah kebolehbasahan batu takungan. Ia juga diketahui umum bahawa EOR kimia dipengaruhi oleh kemasinan air pembentukan. Oleh itu, objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menyiasat kesan kemasinan pada nanozarah zink oksida dengan natrium dodesil sulfat (SDS) ke atas ketegangan antara muka dan kebolehbasahan, serta membandingkan pemulihan minyak dengan menyesarkan pek pasir dengan air, SDS, ZnO NPs dengan SDS, dan campuran SDS, ZnO NPs, dan NaCl. Analisis saiz zarah (PSA) dan potensi zeta ditentukan untuk menilai saiz dan kestabilan NP ZnO sebelum dan selepas menambah SDS. Ketegangan permukaan untuk SDS diukur untuk mengenal pasti kepekatan micellar kritikal (CMC). Ketegangan antara muka dan sudut sentuhan diukur untuk kepekatan cecair nano yang berbeza pada suhu dan tekanan ambien. Julat kepekatan untuk ZnO NPs ialah 0.02 hingga 0.1 wt%, manakala untuk NaCl ialah 1000 hingga 30,000 ppm. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa saiz zink oksida nanofluid berkurangan daripada 406.6 kepada 308.3 nm selepas menambah SDS. Kestabilan cecair nano ZnO disahkan bertambah baik selepas dicampur dengan SDS melalui bacaan potensi zeta -11.1 mV hingga -53.3 mV. CMC untuk SDS direkodkan pada 0.2 wt%. Nilai IFT optimum 6.25 mN/m diperoleh dengan menggunakan campuran 0.2 wt% SDS, 0.06 wt% ZnO NPs, dan 30,000 ppm NaCl. Sementara itu, sudut sentuhan terendah 45.6 ° dicapai dengan menggunakan campuran 0.2 wt% SDS, 0.06 wt% ZnO NPs, dan 20,000 ppm NaCl. Pemulihan minyak selepas banjir air adalah 36.1% daripada minyak asal di tempat (OOIP), manakala pemulihan minyak selepas banjir SDS dan SDS dengan NP zink oksida banjir masing-masing mencatatkan 52.3% dan 58.3% OOIP. Akhirnya, campuran SDS, zink oksida NP dan NaCl boleh memulihkan sehingga 60.5% OOIP. Campuran cecair nano didapati berkesan dalam aplikasi EOR.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE

DECI	DECLARATION		
DEDI	DEDICATION		
ACKN	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT		
ABST	RACT	v	
ABST	RAK	vi	
TABL	E OF CONTENTS	vii	
LIST	OF TABLES	Х	
LIST	OF FIGURES	xi	
LIST	OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii	
LIST	OF SYMBOLS	xiv	
LIST	OF APPENDICES	XV	
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1	
1.1	Background of Study	1	
1.2	Problem Statement	3	
1.3	Research Objectives	3	
1.4	Scopes of the Study	4	
1.5	Significance of The Study	4	
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	6	
2.1	Introduction	6	
2.2	Chemical Flooding	6	
2.3	Surfactant Flooding	7	
	2.3.1 Surfactant Classifications	8	
	2.3.2 Critical Micellar Concentration (CMC)	9	
	2.3.3 Challenges of Using Surfactants in EOR	10	
2.4	Nanoparticles in EOR	10	
	2.4.1 Types of Nanoparticles	11	

		2.4.1.1	Magnetic Nanoparticles	11
		2.4.1.2	Metallic Nanoparticles	12
		2.4.1.3	Metal Oxide Nanoparticles	12
2.5	Synerg	gy Betwee	en Nanoparticles and Surfactants	13
	2.5.1	Interfacia	al Tension Reduction	14
	2.5.2	Wettabil	ity Alteration	15
2.6	Salinit	y Effect o	n Nanofluids	19
2.7	Curren	t Challen	ges	21
2.8	Chapte	er Summa	ry	21
CHAPTER 3	RESE	ARCH M	IETHODOLOGY	23
3.1	Resear	ch Strateg	gy	23
3.2	Materi	als		24
3.3	Equipr	nent		24
	3.3.1	Malvern	Zeta-Sizer Nano ZSP	24
	3.3.2	KRÜSS	Tensiometer (K6)	25
	3.3.3	KRÜSS	Drop Shape Analyzer	26
3.4	Fluids	Preparati	on	27
3.5	Porous	ous Media		
3.6	Experi	mental Pr	ocedures and Setup	28
	3.6.1	Surface a	and Interfacial Tension Measurement	29
	3.6.2	Contact A	Angle Measurement	30
	3.6.3	Sand Pac	ck Displacement Test	31
		3.6.3.1	Sand Pack Preparation	31
		3.6.3.2	Porosity and Permeability Measurement	32
		3.6.3.3	Original Oil in Place (OOIP) and Irreducible Water Saturation (Swirr) Measurement	34
		3.6.3.4	Oil Recovery Measurement	35
CHAPTER 4	RESU	LTS AN	D DISCUSSION	37
4.1	Charac	terization	IS	37
	4.1.1	Propertie	es of Research Materials	37

	4.1.2 Particle Size Analysis (PSA)	38
	4.1.3 Zeta Potential	40
4.2	Surface Tension	42
4.3	Interfacial Tension	43
4.4	Contact Angle	46
4.5	Oil Displacement Test	50
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	54
5.1	Conclusions	54
5.2	Recommendations	55
REFERENCES		56

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Effect of nanoparticles on IFT.	15
Table 2.2	Recent studies for investigation the synergy between NPs and surfactants	20
Table 3.1	Main equipment used in the experimental work	26
Table 3.2	Materials concentrations used for static tests	27
Table 3.3	Inputs for permeability measurements	33
Table 3.4	Types of fluids used in oil recovery measurement	35
Table 4.1	Properties of paraffin oil at 29.5 °C	37
Table 4.2	Properties of SDS and NaCl	38
Table 4.3	Specifications of ZnO nanoparticles	38
Table 4.4	Identification of CMC for SDS	42
Table 4.5	Types of injected fluids	50
Table 4.6	Oil recovery of water flooding and SDS flooding	50
Table 4.7	Oil recovery of SDS + ZnO NPs flooding and SDS + ZnO NPs +NaCl flooding	51

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	. TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	The chemical flooding in the EOR application	7
Figure 2.2	Surfactant structure	7
Figure 2.3	Classification of Surfactants	9
Figure 2.4	Nanomaterial size compared to common materials	11
Figure 2.5	Disjoining pressure mechanism for nanoparticles (Ali et al., 2018)	16
Figure 2.6	Images captured by a digital microscope for the solution injected in the micromodel.	17
Figure 2.7	Wettability changes along the surface of micromodel during nanofluid flooding for different periods: (a) initial time, (b) 1000 sec, (c) 2000 sec, (d) 3000 sec, (e) 5000 sec; (f & g) illustrated how oil droplet was being loosened from the glass surface by adsorption of silica NPs (Rostami et al., 2019)	18
Figure 3.1	The flowchart of the research activities	23
Figure 3.2	Malvern Zeta-Sizer Nano ZSP	25
Figure 3.3	KRÜSS tensiometer (K6)	25
Figure 3.4	KRÜSS drop shape analyzer	26
Figure 3.5	Types of porous media, (a) sandstone plates. (b) Glass beads	28
Figure 3.6	Contact angle measurement procedure	31
Figure 3.7	Graphical method of Darcy's equation	34
Figure 3.8	Schematic diagram for the sand pack displacement test	36
Figure 4.1	Particle size analysis (PSA) for ZnO NPs	39
Figure 4.2	Particle size analysis (PSA) for ZnO NPs mixed with SDS	39
Figure 4.3	Particle size analysis for copper oxide NPs (a) in water and (b) water with SDBS as dispersant (Saterlie et al., 2012)	40
Figure 4.4	Zeta potential for ZnO NPs	41
Figure 4.5	Zeta potential for ZnO NPs mixed with SDS	41

Figure 4.6	Surface tension for SDS	43
Figure 4.7	IFT of 0.2 wt% SDS mixed with different concentrations of ZnO NPs	44
Figure 4.8	IFT of 0.2 wt% SDS + 0.06 wt% ZnO NPs mixed with different concentrations of NaCl	45
Figure 4.9	Initial contact angle	46
Figure 4.10	Contact angles of 0.2 wt% SDS mixed with different concentrations of ZnO NPs: (a) 0.02 wt% ,(b) 0.04 wt% ,(c) 0.06 wt% ,(d) 0.08 wt% ,(e) 0.1 wt%	47
Figure 4.11	Wettability alteration for the first group of core plates	47
Figure 4.12	Contact angles of 0.2 wt% SDS + 0.06 wt% ZnO NPs mixed with different concentrations of NaCl: (a) 1000 ppm ,(b) 5000 ppm ,(c) 10000 ppm , (d) 20000 ppm , (e) 30000 ppm	48
Figure 4.13	Wettability alteration for the first group of core plates	49
Figure 4.14	The pattern of oil recovery during the flooding process	51
Figure 4.15	Volume of collected oil for: (water flooding), (b) SDS flooding, (c) SDS + ZnO NPs flooding, (d) SDS + ZnO NPs +NaCl flooding	52

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CA	-	Contact angle
CEOR	-	Chemical enhanced oil recovery
CTAB	-	Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide
EOR	-	Enhanced oil recovery
IFT	-	Interfacial tension
MWCNT	-	Multi walled carbon nanotube
NaCl	-	Sodium chloride
NPs	-	Nanoparticles
OOIP	-	Initial oil in place
ppm	-	Part per million
PSA	-	Particle size analysis
PV	-	Pore volume
SDS	-	Sodium dodecyl sulfate
ZnO	-	Zinc oxide

LIST OF SYMBOLS

ΔP	-	Pressure difference
L	-	Length
Κ	-	Permeability
Q	-	Injection rate
μ	-	Viscosity
Φ	-	Porosity
А	-	Cross section area

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
APPENDIX A	SOLUTIONS PREPARATION	63
APPENDIX B	SAND PACK DISPLACEMENT TEST	65
APPENDIX C	POROSITY OF SAND PACKS	66
APPENDIX D	PERMEABILITY OF SAND PACKS	67

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

The need for natural resources is growing rapidly as industrialization expands. Most available oilfields have already been discovered, and it is difficult to develop commercially viable new resources. Thus, one objective of oil companies is to increase the recovery factor of mature oilfields by the use of new enhanced oil recovery (EOR) techniques. (J. A. Ali et al., 2019; Ali et al., 2018).

The Production of crude oil from a reservoir commences with primary recovery (internal energy) methods, then secondary recovery processes (water and gas injections) initiates when that energy or pressure is starting to decline substantially. Yet, about two-thirds of the original oil in place (OOIP) persists in the pores of the rock. Hence, it was proposed to employ enhanced oil recovery methods that are more effective., such as chemicals injection, miscible gas injection, thermal recovery, and microbial EOR (Yakasai et al., 2021).

Chemical enhanced oil recovery (CEOR) included the injection of different types of chemicals, such as alkaline, surfactant, polymer, and combinations between them. The mechanisms for improving the oil recovery in CEOR involved diminishing the interfacial tension (IFT), oil viscosity reduction, wettability modification, and oil swelling. Conventionally, surfactant flooding has played a crucial role in enhanced oil recovery by decreasing oil-water interfacial tension (IFT), modifying the wettability of oil phase towards a water-wet condition, and emulsifying crude oil. However, its implementation is limited because of adsorption into the rocks, high cost, and poor performance at harsh conditions of reservoir, namely, high salinity and high temperature (Johannessen & Spildo, 2013). As a result, new technologies were developed in order to overcome those problems.

Nanoparticles have demonstrated remarkable benefits in the field of EOR applications as compared to conventional CEOR chemicals. Their small size (1-100 nm) enables them to pass through formation pores that are unreachable to bigger substances without being stuck. Their large surface energy and reactivity can alter the characteristics of fluids and rocks to boost oil displacement. Also, they are modifiable to incorporate multiple functionalities.

Nevertheless, applying nanoparticles in EOR have some drawbacks such as, the agglomeration and precipitation of nanoparticles in porous media which leads to core plugging and hence reduce the permeability and oil recovery. So, many experiments on nanoparticle-surfactant mixtures were conducted and proven to have superior EOR performance as the surfactant is capable to stabilize the nanoparticles (Chen et al., 2019; Suleimanov et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2018).

In general, nanoparticle applications in EOR may be classified into three distinct groups, nanofluids, nanocatalysts, and nanoemulsions (Liu et al., 2022). Nanocatalyst refers to the oil recovery technique of injecting the nanoparticles as a catalyst during steam injection in heavy oil reservoirs. (Hashemi et al., 2014). The nanoemulsion, on the other hand, is a kind of conventional emulsion with a size range of 50–500 nm. It refers to the method of using nanoparticles to stabilize the emulsion and eliminate some drawbacks of typical emulsions. (Mandal et al., 2012). Nanofluids are suspensions formed by mixing nanomaterials with various liquid solutions known as basic fluids, including water, surfactant, glycol, and ethanol. Because of their unique spreading and functioning mechanism, nanofluids have gained the most attention among the three nanotechnology categories for EOR. (Sharma et al., 2016).

1.2 Problem Statement

silica-based nanomaterials were commonly utilised to improve oil recovery (EOR), but in recent years, metal oxide-based nanoparticles, such as zinc oxide, have been proven to have significant positive effects on reservoir conditioning, such as interfacial tension reduction (Rezk & Allam, 2019), wettability alterations (Adil et al., 2020), and oil viscosity reduction (Adil et al., 2017).

In addition, many researchers have reported that salinity can affect the performance of different types of nanoparticles. (Sadatshojaei et al., 2019) have examined the effect of electrolytes of silica nanofluid on wettability and interfacial tension, while (Nowrouzi et al., 2019) have included three metal oxide nanoparticles in their study, namely, (TiO2, MgO, and γ -Al2O3). They implemented a comprehensive comparison to demonstrate how the IFT changed when saline water from the Karanj reservoir was diluted 10 times.

Moreover, (Shuaibu et al., 2022) have studied the synergetic impact of zinc oxide nanoparticles and ionic surfactants (SDS and CTAB) over the surface and interfacial tensions. They compared the interfacial properties using only one concentration of the salt (355 ppm).

However, the influence of varying salinities on zinc oxide (ZnO) nanoparticles with SDS is still unclear. Therefore, this work has investigated how the salt content affects the interfacial tension (IFT), wettability and oil recovery.

1.3 Research Objectives

The objectives of the research are:

1. To investigate the effects of salinity on zinc oxide nanoparticles with sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) over the interfacial tension.

- 2. To evaluate the effects of salinity on zinc oxide nanoparticles with sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) over the wettability.
- 3. To compare the recovery of oil by displacing sand packs with water, SDS, ZnO NPs with SDS, and the mixture of SDS, ZnO NPs and NaCl.

1.4 Scopes of the Study

In this study, all of the experiments were conducted at room temperature (25 °C) and under atmospheric pressure (14.7) psi using paraffin oil as the oil phase. A metal oxide type of nanoparticles, namely zinc oxide (ZnO), was characterized individually and also when mixed with an anionic surfactant, which is sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS). The characterizations included the particle size analysis (PSA) and the zeta potential.

The nanofluids were prepared by adding progressively ZnO NPs powder to SDS and NaCl to achieve a desired concentration. The interfacial tension for SDS was measured to identify the critical micellar concentration (CMC) using concentrations ranges of 0.05 to 0.5 wt%. In order to evaluate the IFT and wettability mechanisms, 5 concentrations of ZnO NPs (0.02, 0.04, 0.06, 0.08, 0.1 wt%) and 5 concentrations of NaCl (1000, 5000, 10000, 20000, 30000 ppm) were employed.

Moreover, two types of porous media were utilized: glass beads with a size range of (150-212 μ m) were compacted in sand pack models. And 10 plates of sandstone (with a diameter of 5 cm and a thickness of 0.5 cm) were used for contact angle measurement.

1.5 Significance of The Study

There are only a few studies done on zinc oxide nanofluid-based surfactants. So that the outcome of this research is expected to close the research gap of the influence of salinity on ZnO nanofluid. Moreover, information about the dynamic size and stability of ZnO NPs was obtained. So, it can serve the enhanced oil recovery (EOR) in terms of making IFT reductions and wettability alteration more effective. In addition, the information gained about the surfactant and nanoparticle mixture may also benefit the oil and gas industry, potentially assisting drilling operations, corrosion inhibition, demulsification, cleaning, and transportation.

REFERENCES

- Abe, A. A. (2005). *Relative permeability and wettability implications of dilute surfactants at reservoir conditions*. Louisiana State University and Agricultural & Mechanical College.
- Adil, M., Lee, K., Mohd Zaid, H., A. Shukur, M. F., & Manaka, T. (2020). Effect of nanoparticles concentration on electromagnetic-assisted oil recovery using ZnO nanofluids. *Plos one*, 15(12), e0244738.
- Adil, M., Mohd Zaid, H., Chuan, L. K., & Ahmad Latiff, N. R. (2017). Effect of EM propagation medium on electrorheological characteristics of dielectric nanofluids. *Journal of Dispersion Science and Technology*, 38(4), 570-576.
- Agi, A., Junin, R., & Gbadamosi, A. (2018). Mechanism governing nanoparticle flow behaviour in porous media: insight for enhanced oil recovery applications. *International Nano Letters*, 8(2), 49-77.
- Al-Anssari, S., Barifcani, A., Wang, S., Maxim, L., & Iglauer, S. (2016). Wettability alteration of oil-wet carbonate by silica nanofluid. *Journal of colloid and interface science*, 461, 435-442.
- Al-Anssari, S., Nwidee, L. N., Arif, M., Wang, S., Barifcani, A., Lebedev, M., & Iglauer, S. (2017). Wettability alteration of carbonate rocks via nanoparticleanionic surfactant flooding at reservoirs conditions. SPE Symposium: Production Enhancement and Cost Optimisation,
- Ali, J., Kolo, K., Manshad, A. K., & D.Stephen, a. K. (2019). Potential application of low-salinity polymeric-nanofluid in carbonate oil reservoirs: IFT reduction, wettability alteration, rheology and emulsification characteristics. *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, 284, 735-747.
- Ali, J. A., Kolo, K., Khaksar Manshad, A., Stephen, K., & Keshavarz, A. (2019, 2019/04/01). Modification of LoSal water performance in reducing interfacial tension using green ZnO/SiO2 nanocomposite coated by xanthan. *Applied nanoscience*, 9(3), 397-409. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13204-018-0923-5</u>
- Ali, J. A., Kolo, K., Manshad, A. K., & Mohammadi, A. H. (2018). Recent advances in application of nanotechnology in chemical enhanced oil recovery: Effects of nanoparticles on wettability alteration, interfacial tension reduction, and flooding. *Egyptian journal of petroleum*, 27(4), 1371-1383.
- Aminian, A., & ZareNezhad, B. (2019). Wettability alteration in carbonate and sandstone rocks due to low salinity surfactant flooding. *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, 275, 265-280.

- Aydar, A. Y. (2012). Determination of Contact Angle of Olive oil and Canola Oil on a PTFE surface at Elevated Temperatures.
- Barhoum, A., García-Betancourt, M. L., Rahier, H., & Van Assche, G. (2018). Physicochemical characterization of nanomaterials: Polymorph, composition, wettability, and thermal stability. In *Emerging applications of nanoparticles* and architecture nanostructures (pp. 255-278). Elsevier.
- Bashir, A., Haddad, A. S., & Rafati, R. (2021). A review of fluid displacement mechanisms in surfactant-based chemical enhanced oil recovery processes: Analyses of key influencing factors. *Petroleum Science*.
- Bera, A., Mandal, A., & Kumar, T. (2015). The effect of rock-crude oil-fluid interactions on wettability alteration of oil-wet sandstone in the presence of surfactants. *Petroleum Science and Technology*, 33(5), 542-549.
- Betancur, S., M.Olmos, C., Pérez, M., Lerner, B., A.Franco, C., Riazi, M., Gallego, J., Carrasco-Marín, F., & B.Cortés, a. F. (2020). A microfluidic study to investigate the effect of magnetic iron core-carbon shell nanoparticles on displacement mechanisms of crude oil for chemical enhanced oil recovery. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 184, 1-15.
- Binks, B. P., & Tyowua, A. T. (2016). Oil-in-oil emulsions stabilised solely by solid particles. Soft Matter, 12(3), 876-887.
- Chen, Y., Chen, W., Fu, L., Yang, Y., Wang, Y., Hu, X., Wang, F., & Mu, T. (2019). Surface tension of 50 deep eutectic solvents: effect of hydrogen-bonding donors, hydrogen-bonding acceptors, other solvents, and temperature. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 58(28), 12741-12750.
- Cheraghian, G., Kiani, S., Nassar, N. N., Alexander, S., & Barron, a. A. R. (2017). Silica Nanoparticle Enhancement in the Efficiency of Surfactant Flooding of Heavy Oil in a Glass Micromodel. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 56(30), 8528–8534.
- Choi, K. S., McFarland, E. W., & Stucky, G. D. (2003). Electrocatalytic Properties of Thin Mesoporous Platinum Films Synthesized Utilizing Potential-Controlled Surfactant Assembly. Advanced Materials, 15(23), 2018-2021.
- Choi, S. U., & Eastman, J. A. (1995). Enhancing thermal conductivity of fluids with nanoparticles.
- Ehtesabi, H., Ahadian, M. M., & Taghikhani, V. (2015). Enhanced heavy oil recovery using TiO2 nanoparticles: investigation of deposition during transport in core plug. *Energy & Fuels*, 29(1), 1-8.
- Ershadi, M., Alaei, M., Rashidi, A., Ramazani, A., & Khosravani, a. S. (2015). Carbonate and sandstone reservoirs wettability improvement without using surfactants for Chemical Enhanced Oil Recovery (C-EOR). *Fuel*, 153(1), 408-415.

- Esfandyari Bayat, A., Junin, R., Shamshirband, S., & Tong Chong, W. (2015). Transport and retention of engineered Al2O3, TiO2 and SiO2 nanoparticles through various sedimentary rocks. *Scientific reports*, *5*(1), 1-12.
- Fedele, L., Colla, L., Bobbo, S., Barison, S., & Agresti, F. (2011). Experimental stability analysis of different water-based nanofluids. *Nanoscale research letters*, 6(1), 1-8.
- Ferdous, S., Ioannidis, M. A., & Henneke, D. E. (2012). Effects of temperature, pH, and ionic strength on the adsorption of nanoparticles at liquid–liquid interfaces. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*, *14*, 1-12.
- Giraldo, J., Benjumea, P., Lopera, S., Cortés, F. B., & Ruiz, a. M. A. (2013). Wettability Alteration of Sandstone Cores by Alumina-Based Nanofluids. *Energy Fuels*, 27(7), 3659–3665.
- Hashemi, R., Nassar, N. N., & Almao, P. P. (2014). Nanoparticle technology for heavy oil in-situ upgrading and recovery enhancement: Opportunities and challenges. *Applied Energy*, *133*, 374-387.
- Hendraningrat, L., & Torsæter, a. O. (2015). Metal oxide-based nanoparticles: revealing their potential to enhance oil recovery in different wettability systems. *Applied Nanoscience 5*, 181–199.
- Hendraningrat, L., & Zhang, a. J. (2015). Polymeric nanospheres as a displacement fluid in enhanced oil recovery. *Applied Nanoscience*, *5*, 1009–1016.
- Hou, B.-f., Wang, Y.-f., & Huang, Y. (2015). Mechanistic study of wettability alteration of oil-wet sandstone surface using different surfactants. *Applied Surface Science*, 330, 56-64.
- Johannessen, A. M., & Spildo, K. (2013). Enhanced oil recovery (EOR) by combining surfactant with low salinity injection. *Energy & Fuels*, 27(10), 5738-5749.
- Ju, B., & Fan, a. T. (2009). Experimental study and mathematical model of nanoparticle transport in porous media. *Powder Technology*, 192(2), 195-202.
- Jun, Y.-w., Choi, J.-s., & Cheon, J. (2007). Heterostructured magnetic nanoparticles: their versatility and high performance capabilities. *Chemical communications*(12), 1203-1214.
- Khalilinezhad, S. S., Mobaraki, S., Zakavi, M., Milad Omidvar Sorkhabadi, G. C., & Jarrahian, a. K. (2018). Mechanistic Modeling of Nanoparticles-Assisted Surfactant Flood. Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering 43, 6609– 6625.
- Kopanichuk, I. V., Vanin, A. A., & Brodskaya, E. N. (2017). Disjoining pressure and structure of a fluid confined between nanoscale surfaces. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 527, 42-48.

- Li, Y., Dai, C., Zhou, H., Wang, X., Lv, W., Wu, Y., & Zhao, a. M. (2017). A Novel Nanofluid Based on Fluorescent Carbon Nanoparticles for Enhanced Oil Recovery. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 56(44), 12464–12470.
- Liu, D., Zhang, X., Tian, F., Liu, X., Yuan, J., & Huang, B. (2022). Review on nanoparticle-surfactant nanofluids: Formula fabrication and applications in enhanced oil recovery. *Journal of Dispersion Science and Technology*, 43(5), 745-759.
- Mandal, A. (2015). Chemical flood enhanced oil recovery: a review. *International Journal of Oil, Gas and Coal Technology*, 9(3), 241-264.
- Mandal, A., Bera, A., Ojha, K., & Kumar, T. (2012). Characterization of surfactant stabilized nanoemulsion and its use in enhanced oil recovery. SPE International Oilfield Nanotechnology Conference and Exhibition,
- Manshad, A. K., Jagar A. Ali, I. I., Sajadi, S. M., Ubai, N. A. T., & Keshavarz, a. A. (2020). Green synthesise of CuO@Fe3O4@Xantan nanocomposites and its application in enhanced oil recovery by considering IFT and wettability behaviours. *The Institution of Engineering and Technology*, 15(8), 550-555.
- Mech, D., Jameel, F., & Gowardhan, S. (2021). Investigation of a Surfactant for Reducing Friction in Different Pipeline Sizes. Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference in Ocean Engineering (ICOE2019),
- Mohajeri, M., Hemmati, M., & Shekarabi, A. S. (2015). An experimental study on using a nanosurfactant in an EOR process of heavy oil in a fractured micromodel. *Journal of Petroleum science and Engineering*, *126*, 162-173.
- Moslan, Sulaiman, Ismail, & Jaafar, a. (2017). Applications of Aluminium Oxide and Zirconium Oxide Nanoparticles in Altering Dolomite Rock Wettability using Different Dispersing Medium. *Chemical Engineering Transactions*, 56, 1339-1344.
- Ngouangna, E. N., Jaafar, M. Z., Norddin, M., Agi, A., Risal, A. R., Mamah, S. C., & Oseh, J. O. (2022). The effect of hydroxyapatite nanoparticles on wettability and brine-oil interfacial tension as enhance oil recovery mechanisms. *Journal of Petroleum science and Engineering*, 218, 110941.
- Nowrouzi, I., Manshad, A. K., & Mohammadi, A. H. (2019). Effects of TiO2, MgO, and γ-Al2O3 nano-particles in carbonated water on water-oil interfacial tension (IFT) reduction in chemical enhanced oil recovery (CEOR) process. *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, 292, 111348.
- Pashley, R., & Karaman, M. (2004). Surfactants and self-assembly. Applied colloid and surface chemistry. New York: Wiley, 61-67.
- Quinn, B. M., Liljeroth, P., Ruiz, V., Laaksonen, T., & Kontturi, K. (2003). Electrochemical resolution of 15 oxidation states for monolayer protected

gold nanoparticles. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 125(22), 6644-6645.

- Rezk, M. Y., & Allam, N. K. (2019). Unveiling the synergistic effect of ZnO nanoparticles and surfactant colloids for enhanced oil recovery. *Colloid and Interface Science Communications*, 29, 33-39.
- Rosen, M. J., Wang, H., Shen, P., & Zhu, a. Y. (2005). Ultralow Interfacial Tension for Enhanced Oil Recovery at Very Low Surfactant Concentrations. *Langmuir* 21(9), 3749–3756.
- Rostami, P., Sharifi, M., Aminshahidy, B., & Fahimpour, a. J. (2019). The effect of nanoparticles on wettability alteration for enhanced oil recovery: micromodel experimental studies and CFD simulation. *Petroleum Science volume 16*, 859–873.
- Sadatshojaei, E., Jamialahmadi, M., Esmaeilzadeh, F., Wood, D. A., & Ghazanfari, M. H. (2019). The impacts of silica nanoparticles coupled with low-salinity water on wettability and interfacial tension: experiments on a carbonate core. *Journal of Dispersion Science and Technology*.
- Safari, M. (2014). Variations in Wettability Caused by Nanoparticles. *Petroleum Science and Technology* 32(12), 1505-1511.
- Sagala, F., Hethnawi, A., & N.Nassar, a. N. (2020). Hydroxyl-functionalized silicatebased nanofluids for enhanced oil recovery. *Fuel*, 29, 1-14.
- Sagi, A. R. (2015). Surfactant enhanced oil recovery Rice University].
- Saterlie, M. S., Sahin, H., Kavlicoglu, B., Liu, Y., & Graeve, O. A. (2012). Surfactant effects on dispersion characteristics of copper-based nanofluids: A dynamic light scattering study. *Chemistry of materials*, 24(17), 3299-3306.
- Sharma, T., Iglauer, S., & Sangwai, J. S. (2016). Silica nanofluids in an oilfield polymer polyacrylamide: interfacial properties, wettability alteration, and applications for chemical enhanced oil recovery. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 55(48), 12387-12397.
- Shuaibu, A. D., Rubab, R., Khan, S., Ali, S., Shaikh, A. J., Khan, S. A., & Khan, A. M. (2022). Comparative effects of zinc oxide nanoparticles over the interfacial properties of low concentrations of ionic surfactants at interfaces. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 637, 128241.
- Songolzadeh, R., & Moghadasi, J. (2017). Stabilizing silica nanoparticles in high saline water by using ionic surfactants for wettability alteration application. *Colloid and Polymer Science*, 295(1), 145-155.

- Sophocleous, M. (2010). Understanding and explaining surface tension and capillarity: an introduction to fundamental physics for water professionals. *Hydrogeology journal*, *18*(4), 811.
- Sulaiman, W. R. W., Adala, A., Junin, R., Ismail, I., Ismail, A. R., Hamid, M. A., Kamaruddin, M. J., Zakaria, Z. Y., Johari, A., & Hassim, M. H. (2015).
 Effects of salinity on nanosilica applications in altering limestone rock wettability for enhanced oil recovery. Advanced Materials Research,
- Suleimanov, B. A., Ismailov, F., & Veliyev, E. (2011). Nanofluid for enhanced oil recovery. *Journal of Petroleum science and Engineering*, 78(2), 431-437.
- Tavakkoli, O., Kamyab, H., Junin, R., Ashokkumar, V., Shariati, A., & Mohamed, A. M. (2022). SDS–Aluminum Oxide Nanofluid for Enhanced Oil Recovery: IFT, Adsorption, and Oil Displacement Efficiency. ACS omega, 7(16), 14022-14030.
- Tola, S., Sasaki, K., & Sugai, Y. (2017). Wettability alteration of sandstone with zinc oxide nano-particles. SPWLA 23rd Formation Evaluation Symposium of Japan,
- White, R. J., Luque, R., Budarin, V. L., Clark, J. H., & Macquarrie, D. J. (2009). Supported metal nanoparticles on porous materials. Methods and applications. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 38(2), 481-494.
- Wu, S., & Firoozabadi, a. A. (2010). Permanent Alteration of Porous Media Wettability from Liquid-Wetting to Intermediate Gas-Wetting. *Transport in Porous Media*, 85, 189–213.
- Xu, D., Bai, B., Wu, H., Hou, J., Meng, Z., Sun, R., ZheLi, Lu, Y., & Kang, a. W. (2019). Mechanisms of imbibition enhanced oil recovery in low permeability reservoirs: Effect of IFT reduction and wettability alteration. *Fuel*, 244, 110-119.
- Yakasai, F., Jaafar, M. Z., Bandyopadhyay, S., & Agi, A. (2021). Current developments and future outlook in nanofluid flooding: A comprehensive review of various parameters influencing oil recovery mechanisms. *Journal* of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry, 93, 138-162.
- Zargar, G., Arabpour, T., Manshad, A. K., Ali, J. A., Sajadi, S. M., Keshavarz, A., & Mohammadi, A. H. (2020). Experimental investigation of the effect of green TiO2/Quartz nanocomposite on interfacial tension reduction, wettability alteration, and oil recovery improvement. *Fuel*, 263, 116599.
- Zargartalebi, M., Kharrat, R., & NasimBarati, a. (2015). Enhancement of surfactant flooding performance by the use of silica nanoparticles. *Fuel*, *143*(1), 21-27.
- Zhao, M., Lv, W., Li, Y., Dai, C., Wang, X., Zhou, H., Zou, C., Gao, M., Zhang, Y., & Wu, Y. (2018). Study on the synergy between silica nanoparticles and

surfactants for enhanced oil recovery during spontaneous imbibition. *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, 261, 373-378.