

**MOBILE AUGMENTED REALITY FOR MOBILE ROBOT ASSEMBLY
MANUAL**

KOH YE SHENG

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

MOBILE AUGMENTED REALITY FOR MOBILE ROBOT ASSEMBLY
MANUAL

KOH YE SHENG

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Philosophy

School of Electrical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

SEPTEMBER 2022

ABSTRACT

In industrial operations such as assembly tasks, manuals or guidebooks are commonly used to convey information via visual methods in the form of text, pictures or videos and more recently Augmented Reality (AR) which has gained traction in recent years. However, several researchers highlighted their studies may not accurately represent actual assembly scenarios in terms of complexity as they use toys such as LEGO or puzzles or short assembly durations which may not show the effects of AR on the participant for longer assembly processes. The objective of this research is to design a developers-based algorithmic conversion of content in paper manual to AR-based manual, to develop a modified paper manual and AR-based manual for mobile robot assembly, and to evaluate the performance of paper manual, modified paper manual, and AR-based manual in mobile robot assembly. In this research, the mobile robot Turtlebot was used as the testbench which is a widely used mobile robot in research and requires approximately 3 hours for assembly. To develop the content for AR-based manual, a developers-based algorithmic technique from literature was adopted for textual instructions and modified to extract and convert visual information from paper manual. A study was then conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of three types of manuals which are paper manual, modified paper manual, and AR-based manual on participants' assembly performance. Overall, participants using AR-based manual completed the assembly faster by 21.72% while modified paper manual have an improvement of 7.5% compared to paper manual. In terms of number of assembly errors, AR-based manual and modified paper manual were found to have similar performance where the number of assembly errors is at 2.25 and 2 respectively while average assembly errors for paper manual is 5. NASA- TLX scoring showed higher mental workloads but lower frustration and effort from AR-based manual participants compared to other manuals. User satisfaction score is also highest for AR-based manual participants from the Likert-scale questionnaire. The contribution of this study is on the introduction of buffer steps during conversion of paper to AR-based manual which can be adopted for other paper manuals and the evaluation of participants' performance using longer and more complex assembly tasks. AR-based manual participants were also concluded to have an overall better performance.

ABSTRAK

Dalam operasi industri seperti tugas pemasangan, buku panduan biasa digunakan untuk menyampaikan maklumat melalui kaedah visual seperti teks, gambar atau video dan kebelakangan ini menerusi kaedah realiti terimbuh (AR). Namun, beberapa penyelidik menyatakan bahawa kajian mereka tidak mewakili senario pemasangan sebenar dari segi kerumitan kerana mereka menggunakan alat permainan seperti LEGO ataupun mempunyai tempoh operasi pemasangan yang singkat dan tidak dapat menonjolkan kesan AR terhadap peserta dalam tempoh operasi pemasangan yang lebih panjang. Objektif penyelidikan ini ialah mereka bentuk cara “*developers-based algorithmic*” untuk penukaran kandungan buku panduan kertas (BPK) kepada buku panduan berbentuk AR (BPAR), membangunkan buku panduan kertas yang diubah suai (BPUS) dan BPAR untuk pemasangan robot mudah alih, dan menyiasat prestasi BPK, BPUS, dan BPAR untuk pemasangan robot mudah alih. Dalam penyelidikan ini, robot mudah alih Turtlebot digunakan sebagai alat ujian dengan purata tempoh pemasangan sebanyak 3 jam dan sering digunakan dalam bidang penyelidikan. Kandungan BPAR dihasilkan menerusi maklumat berbentuk teks dalam BPK diekstrak menggunakan cara “*developers-based algorithmic*” daripada kajian literatur dan diubah suai untuk ekstrak maklumat berbentuk visual. Seterusnya, keberkesanan antara BPK, BPUS, dan BPAR terhadap prestasi pemasangan peserta dikaji. Secara keseluruhannya, tempoh pemasangan pengguna BPAR dan BPUS lebih singkat dengan pengurangan tempoh pemasangan sebanyak 21.72% dan 7.5% masing-masing berbanding dengan BPK. Dari segi bilangan ralat pemasangan (BRP), BPAR dan BPUS didapati mempunyai prestasi yang hampir sama sebanyak 2.25 dan 2 masing-masing manakala BRP BPK ialah 5. Daripada pemarkahan NASA-TLX, peserta BPAR mempunyai persepsi beban kerja mental yang paling tinggi tetapi tahap kekecewaan dan usaha paling rendah berbanding dengan BPK dan BPUS. Skor kepuasan pengguna juga adalah tertinggi untuk peserta BPAR daripada soal selidik skala Likert. Sumbangan penyelidikan adalah penggunaan langkah penampan semasa penukaran BPK kepada BPAR yang boleh digunakan untuk buku panduan yang lain dan penilaian prestasi peserta dalam tugas pemasangan yang lebih lama dan kompleks. Pengguna BPAR didapati mempunyai prestasi yang baik secara keseluruhannya.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	ABSTRACT	iv
	ABSTRAK	v
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
	LIST OF TABLES	viii
	LIST OF FIGURES	ix
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xi
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Problem Statement	3
1.3	Objectives	4
1.4	Research Scope	4
1.5	Thesis Structure	5
1.6	Research contribution	5
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1	Introduction	7
2.2	Augmented Reality	7
2.3	Mobile Augmented Reality (MAR)	11
2.4	State of the art of AR in maintenance	12
2.5	Methods to measure user performance metrics for AR	16
2.6	State of the art of conversion of content in paper manual to AR-based manuals	20
2.7	Mobile Robot	25
2.8	Usage of Turtlebot as mobile robot for research	26
2.9	CAD model simplification techniques for AR	27
2.10	Summary	28
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	29

3.1	Introduction	29
3.2	Research Design	29
3.3	Preparation of CAD model for AR	31
3.4	Conversion of content in paper manual to AR-based manual	33
3.4.1	Conversion of content in paper manual to AR-based manual (P-AR method 1)	34
3.4.2	Conversion of content in paper manual to AR-based manual (P-AR method 2)	41
3.4.3	Conversion of content in paper manual to AR-based manual (P-AR method 3)	46
3.4.4	Creation of modified paper manual	52
3.5	Experiment 1	53
3.5.1	Experimental Setup	54
3.5.2	Experimental Procedures	54
3.5.3	Data Analysis	55
3.6	Experiment 2	55
3.6.1	Experimental Setup	56
3.6.2	Experimental Procedures	57
3.6.3	Data Analysis	58
3.7	Experiment 3	60
3.7.1	Experimental Setup	60
3.7.2	Experimental Procedures	61
3.7.3	Data Analysis	63
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	65
4.1	Introduction	65
4.2	Experiment 1	65
4.3	Experiment 2	70
4.4	Experiment 3	75
4.5	Summary	88
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION	91
5.1	Conclusion	91
5.2	Future Work	92
	REFERENCES	95
	APPENDIX	101
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	110

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Comparison of conventional tracking methods for AR	10
Table 2.2	List of performance metrics by other research to determine efficiency of AR	17
Table 3.1	Comparison between CAD model specifications after different optimization	33
Table 3.2	Sample of conversion of text instruction from paper manual to AR-based manual	37
Table 3.3	Side by side comparison between paper manual instructions and AR-based manual instructions	39
Table 3.4	Textual information conversion into AR-based manual	44
Table 3.5	Side by side comparison between paper manual visual instructions and AR-based manual 3D graphics	45
Table 4.1	Assembly duration for the assembly of Layer 1	66
Table 4.2	Assembly duration for the assembly of Layer 3	70
Table 4.3	Mean total assembly duration for full assembly of Turtlebot	76
Table 4.4	Improvement percentage of AR-based manual in terms of assembly duration compared to modified paper manual	81
Table 4.5	P-test results for assembly duration between modified paper manual and AR-based manual	82
Table 4.6	Summary of significance test for assembly task type	87

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Maintenance operations classified by Palmarini et al.[7]	13
Figure 2.2	Proposed assembly workbench by Mura et al. [35]	13
Figure 2.3	Proposed assembly workbench by Re et al. [17]	14
Figure 2.4	Proposed assembly workbench by Uva et al. [36], [37] (left) and Wang et al [14]	14
Figure 2.5	MAR visualization by Alves et al. for Lego assembly [21]	15
Figure 2.6	Sample of NASA-TLX form [42]	18
Figure 2.7	Sample of symbols used by Scurati et al. [17] with their vocabulary and confidence levels where low < 25% (red), medium 25-50% (yellow), and high >=50% (green)	21
Figure 2.8	AR instructions visualized to operator in automotive industry [13]	24
Figure 3.1	Flowchart of research	30
Figure 3.2	CAD model of Turtlebot after imported into Blender workspace	31
Figure 3.3	Exploded view of Turtlebot WafflePi by layer	34
Figure 3.4	Conversion flow for P-AR method 1	35
Figure 3.5	A step taken from the paper manual [69]	35
Figure 3.6	Translational motion direction with axis labels for an assembly task	38
Figure 3.7	UI of AR application when marker user clicks start button	40
Figure 3.8	Conversion flow for P-AR method 2	41
Figure 3.9	Sample instruction flow for step 1 to 4 in paper manual	43
Figure 3.10	Conversion flow for P-AR method 3	47
Figure 3.11	Assembly step that contains grouped atomic actions with same sequence of atomic actions and components	49
Figure 3.12	Modified UI to allow user to rotate the part in any direction and enlarge at will for better visibility	51
Figure 3.13	Side by side comparison of Turtlebot 3D graphics with original colour (left) and corrected colour (right)	52
Figure 3.14	Experiment flow for experiment 1	53

Figure 3.15	Top view of assembly workbench layout in Experiment 1	54
Figure 3.16	Top view of assembly workbench layout in Experiment 2	56
Figure 3.17	Workbench with labelled parts	57
Figure 3.18	Experiment 3 Flow	60
Figure 3.19	Labelled assembly components on a workbench with plain background (left) and the marker used (right)	61
Figure 3.20	Experiment setup and marker	61
Figure 4.1	Experiment 1 assembly workbench	65
Figure 4.2	Comparison of assembly duration for Layer 1 between participants 1 and 2 based on manual type sequence	67
Figure 4.3	Comparison of assembly duration to assemble Turtlebot's Layer 1 between participants 1 and 2 based on manual type	67
Figure 4.4	Boxplot for assembly duration taken per step by manual type	68
Figure 4.5	Comparison of assembly duration for Layer 3 between participants 1 and 2 based on manual type sequence	71
Figure 4.6	Comparison of assembly duration to assemble Layer 3 between participants 1 and 2 based on manual type	72
Figure 4.7	Boxplot for assembly duration taken per step by manual type	72
Figure 4.8	NASA TLX scoring to evaluate perceived mental workload	73
Figure 4.9	Setup for assembly workbench (left) and user using the AR-based manual (right)	75
Figure 4.10	Boxplot of full assembly duration for Turtlebot	76
Figure 4.11	Mean number of errors by manual type	77
Figure 4.12	Participant satisfaction score using Likert scale	78
Figure 4.13	NASA-TLX scoring for perceived mental workload of participants during full Turtlebot assembly	79
Figure 4.14	Perceived difficult task type by participants against number of votes	80
Figure 4.15	Assembly duration against step index (1-10)	84
Figure 4.16	Assembly duration against step index (11-20)	84
Figure 4.17	Assembly duration against step index (21-30)	85
Figure 4.18	Assembly duration against step index (31-40)	85
Figure 4.19	Assembly duration against step index (41-45)	86

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AR	-	Augmented Reality
SAR	-	Spatial Augmented Reality
MAR	-	Mobile Augmented Reality
NASA-TLX	-	NASA Task Load Index
QUESI	-	Questionnaire for intuitive use
SART	-	Situational awareness rating technique

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Augmented reality (AR) is one of the pillars of Industry 4.0 that can revolutionize interactive technology. It is a technology that spatially places digital objects to provide additional information by overlaying the digital objects with the physical world. In recent years, advancements in AR for mobile and web-based applications have led to greater research interests in this field [1]. The possibilities of AR had been shown in various applications in industry, medical, education, and entertainment [2]–[6]. The field of AR have also been a topic of interest for academic research for more than 50 years [7].

AR comes in many different forms, such as Spatial AR (SAR) that uses projector to superimpose digital assets on the real world. Another approach is called indirect AR where a camera is used to capture images from real world environment to be augmented with digital assets before being visualized on a monitor for the user. Head Mounted Devices (HMDs) such as HoloLens on the other hand directly augments the image and projects them directly in front of the user which can provide a more immersive experience. Recent newer approaches also see Mobile AR (MAR) where mobile devices such as smartphones and tablets were used to augment and visualize the image on screen. This has made AR more accessible via MAR as mobile devices are ubiquitous in the current day and age. MAR also has the advantage of being less bulky and less complex to setup. MAR is used in this research as it is able to provide a suitable AR experience.

In contrast to conventional printed manuals and handbooks, AR can be used to project visual information or content on the physical environment which can provide clearer information than a printed manual [8]. The location and the necessary procedures to assemble/disassemble parts can be indicated by AR in a step-by-step

manner to reduce the possibility of errors. Furthermore, AR can visualize interactive 3D models that can be more intuitive for the user to understand the assembly task especially if animated [9]. The 3D graphical models can also reduce ambiguity in instructions that may occur due to missing information from 2D graphical information.

Several researches had been conducted on the conversion of conventional manuals to AR-based manuals for maintenance. For instance, some research reused existing manuals to be converted for AR [9], [10]. Another research was conducted to represent common maintenance actions using 2D symbols to be visualized in AR [11]. Although these studies can provide consistency in the conversion process for AR manual, most of them utilize 2D graphics instead of 3D graphics to represent the information. Furthermore, some of them focus on the conversion of existing textual information and use images as references instead [9].

Participants' performance with AR-based manual is usually evaluated using total assembly duration and questionnaires. This is usually done by comparing these metrics between participants that use conventional manual and AR-based manual. Another approach evaluates participants' performance between three manuals instead. For instance, one research evaluated three manuals where the first manual was from their proposed conversion methodology which can be used for AR and labelled as visual. The second and third manual were original paper manual labelled as PDF, and paper manual using textual information and reference images from their proposed methodology but do not incorporate symbols and is labelled as iFixit respectively [9]. In this evaluation, it was found that both visual and iFixit manuals performed better than the PDF manual [9]. Information in the visual and iFixit manual was also found to be clearer compared to PDF in their study [9]. A similar approach for the iFixit manual was adopted in this research in the form of modified paper manual. The modified paper manual utilized the same information as the AR-based manual and was used to evaluate the effectiveness of AR when both manuals contain the same information. A comparison between paper manual, modified paper manual, and AR-based manual is also conducted in this research.

1.2 Problem Statement

As the world actively adopts the principles of Industry 4.0, the Malaysian government has also begun the process to transform the current manufacturing sector towards industry 4.0 via Industry4WRD policy [12]. This policy highlights several enabling technologies that drives the digitalization of the manufacturing sectors. One of the enabling technologies for this is AR. An industrial application of AR lies in assembly guidance where an AR application can be used to support or guide the user when performing assembly tasks.

Although research had been conducted for guidelines on the conversion process from paper manual to AR-based manual, most of them utilize 2D graphics such as symbols to represent the information [9], [11]. However, animated 3D graphics can provide a more immersive and intuitive experience in comparison with 2D graphics such as symbols [9]. Furthermore, the usage of symbols would require the users to learn the symbols beforehand which may be less intuitive. Existing research also focus on the conversion of existing textual information in the paper manual and use images as references instead [9].

Several researches have highlighted the benefits of AR for assembly operations but these researches generally perform tests for short durations [13]–[17] which range from a few minutes to forty minutes or uses toy puzzles or similar [18]–[20] as testbench. As the tests are performed for relatively short durations, the effects of AR on longer assembly processes in actual industrial assembly scenarios may not be accurately represented in terms mental workload and assembly complexity. Some research only utilized a subassembly or toy puzzle as testbench which also do not accurately represent actual industrial assembly complexity. Alves et al. [21] had also highlighted that Lego was widely used in research as a testbench but may not represent actual industrial complexity.

1.3 Objectives

The objectives of this research are as follows:

- (a) To design the conversion of content in paper manual to AR-based manual for mobile robot assembly using developers-based algorithmic method
- (b) To develop a modified paper manual and AR-based manual for mobile robot assembly
- (c) To evaluate the performance of paper manual, modified paper manual, and AR-based manual in mobile robot assembly

1.4 Research Scope

The research is on the design and development of conversion of content in paper manuals to AR-based manuals process using developers-based algorithmic method. The AR-based manual consists of 3D graphical information that is used to guide the participant in performing step-by-step assembly instructions by providing textual information and animations. Animations were created based on the existing visual instructions in the paper manual while textual information were created based on the adopted developers-based algorithmic method from literature. The assembly task used to evaluate the participants' performance will only consist of mechanical assembly tasks that can be assembled by hand with screwdrivers. Turtlebot was used as the mobile robot to be assembled by participants using different manuals as it contains mechanical assemblies similar with industrial assemblies and takes an average assembly time of 3 hours. Using the Turtlebot mobile robot as testbench, the performance of the different manuals was evaluated with metrics such as assembly duration, number of assembly errors, NASA-Task Load Index (NASA-TLX) scoring, and Likert scale questionnaires.

1.5 Thesis Structure

Chapter 1 is an introduction on background, problem statement, objectives, and research scope on effects of AR for mobile robot assembly. The following chapter reviewed the literatures, works and theories that are related to this research. Research framework and proposed methods are then further described in Chapter 3. Chapter 4 showed and discussed about the obtained results from the three experiments conducted. In the last chapter, a concise conclusion about the outcome of this research was highlighted.

1.6 Research contribution

The hypothesis of this study is participants' that use AR-based manual will perform better than participants' for paper manual and modified paper manual. To test this hypothesis, a developers-based algorithmic conversion process inspired by Gattullo et al. [9] was adopted for the existing Turtlebot paper manual to create the AR-based manual to answer the first and second objective. In the adopted conversion process, this research proposed the usage of buffer steps and guidelines to create animated 3D graphics in the AR manual. Buffer steps were used when discontinuities occur between instructions that may not convey the required information fully and can be adopted for other manuals with similar problems. Participants' performance using paper manual, modified paper manual, and AR-based manual were then evaluated with Turtlebot mobile robot assembly tasks to answer the third objective. As highlighted earlier, several research utilized less complex assembly tasks and tasks with shorter assembly durations. In this research, the evaluation was conducted on Turtlebot mobile robot which shares similarities with industrial assembly tasks and have a longer average assembly duration of 3 hours.

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. I. Bhutta, S. Umm-e-Hani, and I. Tariq, "The next problems to solve in augmented reality," in *2015 International Conference on Information and Communication Technologies (ICICT)*, 2015, pp. 1–4, doi: 10.1109/ICICT.2015.7469490.
- [2] D. Schmalstieg and T. Höllerer, *Augmented Reality - Principles and Practice*. United States: Addison-Wesley Professional, 2016.
- [3] K. Kim, M. Billinghurst, G. Bruder, H. B. Duh, and G. F. Welch, "Revisiting Trends in Augmented Reality Research: A Review of the 2nd Decade of ISMAR (2008–2017)," *IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph.*, vol. 24, no. 11, pp. 2947–2962, 2018, doi: 10.1109/TVCG.2018.2868591.
- [4] P. Vávra *et al.*, "Recent development of augmented reality in surgery: a review," *J. Healthc. Eng.*, vol. 2017, 2017.
- [5] M. Akçayır and G. Akçayır, "Advantages and challenges associated with augmented reality for education: A systematic review of the literature," *Educ. Res. Rev.*, vol. 20, pp. 1–11, 2017, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2016.11.002>.
- [6] P. Fraga-Lamas, T. M. Fernández-Caramés, Ó. Blanco-Novoa, and M. A. Vilar-Montesinos, "A Review on Industrial Augmented Reality Systems for the Industry 4.0 Shipyard," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 13358–13375, 2018, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2808326.
- [7] R. Palmarini, J. A. Erkoyuncu, R. Roy, and H. Torabmostaedi, "A systematic review of augmented reality applications in maintenance," *Robot. Comput. Integr. Manuf.*, vol. 49, pp. 215–228, Feb. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.rcim.2017.06.002.
- [8] G. Westerfield, A. Mitrovic, and M. Billinghurst, "Intelligent Augmented Reality Training for Motherboard Assembly," *Int. J. Artif. Intell. Educ.*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 157–172, 2015, doi: 10.1007/s40593-014-0032-x.
- [9] M. Gattullo, G. W. Scurati, M. Fiorentino, A. E. Uva, F. Ferrise, and M. Bordegoni, "Towards augmented reality manuals for industry 4.0: A methodology," *Robot. Comput. Integr. Manuf.*, vol. 56, pp. 276–286, 2019, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2018.10.001>.
- [10] P. Mohr, B. Kerbl, M. Donoser, D. Schmalstieg, and D. Kalkofen, "Retargeting Technical Documentation to Augmented Reality," in *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 2015, pp. 3337–3346, doi: 10.1145/2702123.2702490.
- [11] G. W. Scurati, M. Gattullo, M. Fiorentino, F. Ferrise, M. Bordegoni, and A. E. Uva, "Converting maintenance actions into standard symbols for Augmented Reality applications in Industry 4.0," *Comput. Ind.*, vol. 98, pp. 68–79, 2018, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2018.02.001>.

- [12] “Industry4WRD,” *Ministry of International Trade and Industry*, 2018. [https://www.miti.gov.my/miti/resources/National Policy on Industry 4.0/Industry4WRD_Final.pdf](https://www.miti.gov.my/miti/resources/National_Policy_on_Industry_4.0/Industry4WRD_Final.pdf) (accessed Mar. 09, 2022).
- [13] D. Mourtzis, V. Zogopoulos, and F. Xanthi, “Augmented reality application to support the assembly of highly customized products and to adapt to production re-scheduling,” *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.*, vol. 105, no. 9, pp. 3899–3910, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s00170-019-03941-6.
- [14] Z. Wang *et al.*, “Information-level AR instruction: a novel assembly guidance information representation assisting user cognition,” *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.*, vol. 106, no. 1, pp. 603–626, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s00170-019-04538-9.
- [15] X. Wang, S. K. Ong, and A. Y. C. Nee, “Multi-modal augmented-reality assembly guidance based on bare-hand interface,” *Adv. Eng. Informatics*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 406–421, 2016, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2016.05.004>.
- [16] R. Radkowski, J. Herrema, and J. Oliver, “Augmented Reality-Based Manual Assembly Support With Visual Features for Different Degrees of Difficulty,” *Int. J. Human–Computer Interact.*, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 337–349, May 2015, doi: 10.1080/10447318.2014.994194.
- [17] G. M. Re, J. Oliver, and M. Bordegoni, “Impact of monitor-based augmented reality for on-site industrial manual operations,” *Cogn. Technol. Work*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 379–392, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s10111-016-0365-3.
- [18] A. Syberfeldt, O. Danielsson, M. Holm, and L. Wang, “Visual Assembling Guidance Using Augmented Reality,” *Procedia Manuf.*, vol. 1, pp. 98–109, 2015, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2015.09.068>.
- [19] J. C. Arbeláez, R. Viganò, and G. Osorio-Gómez, “Haptic Augmented Reality (HapticAR) for assembly guidance,” *Int. J. Interact. Des. Manuf.*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 673–687, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s12008-019-00532-3.
- [20] J. Alves, B. Marques, M. Oliveira, T. Araújo, P. Dias, and B. S. Santos, “Comparing Spatial and Mobile Augmented Reality for Guiding Assembling Procedures with Task Validation,” in *2019 IEEE International Conference on Autonomous Robot Systems and Competitions (ICARSC)*, 2019, pp. 1–6, doi: 10.1109/ICARSC.2019.8733642.
- [21] J. B. Alves, B. Marques, C. Ferreira, P. Dias, and B. S. Santos, “Comparing augmented reality visualization methods for assembly procedures,” *Virtual Real.*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 235–248, 2022, doi: 10.1007/s10055-021-00557-8.
- [22] D. Amin and S. Govilkar, “Comparative Study of Augmented Reality Sdk’s,” *Int. J. Comput. Sci. Appl.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 11–26, Feb. 2015, doi: 10.5121/ijcsa.2015.5102.
- [23] M. Mekni and A. Lemieux, “Augmented reality: Applications, challenges and future trends,” in *Applied Computational Science - Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Applied Computer and Applied Computational Science (ACACOS '14)*, 2014, pp. 205–214.
- [24] A. Raj and M. Lowney, “Model Based Tracking for Augmented Reality on

Mobile Devices,” 2016.

- [25] G. Singh and A. Mantri, “Ubiquitous hybrid tracking techniques for augmented reality applications,” in *2015 2nd International Conference on Recent Advances in Engineering & Computational Sciences (RAECS)*, 2015, pp. 1–5, doi: 10.1109/RAECS.2015.7453420.
- [26] A. K. Hebborn, M. Erdt, and S. Müller, “Robust Model Based Tracking Using Edge Mapping and Refinement BT - Augmented and Virtual Reality,” 2015, pp. 109–124.
- [27] I. Fernández del Amo, J. A. Erkoyuncu, R. Roy, R. Palmarini, and D. Onoufriou, “A systematic review of Augmented Reality content-related techniques for knowledge transfer in maintenance applications,” *Comput. Ind.*, vol. 103, pp. 47–71, Dec. 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.compind.2018.08.007.
- [28] D. Chatzopoulos, C. Bermejo, Z. Huang, and P. Hui, “Mobile Augmented Reality Survey: From Where We Are to Where We Go,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 5, pp. 6917–6950, 2017, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2698164.
- [29] M. C. Lam, M. J. Sadik, and N. F. Elias, “The effect of paper-based manual and stereoscopic-based mobile augmented reality systems on knowledge retention,” *Virtual Real.*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 217–232, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s10055-020-00451-9.
- [30] R. E. Saragih and Suyoto, “Development of Interactive Mobile Application with Augmented Reality for Tourism Sites in Batam,” in *2020 Fourth World Conference on Smart Trends in Systems, Security and Sustainability (WorldS4)*, 2020, pp. 512–517, doi: 10.1109/WorldS450073.2020.9210300.
- [31] L. F. de Souza Cardoso, F. C. M. Q. Mariano, and E. R. Zorzal, “Mobile augmented reality to support fuselage assembly,” *Comput. Ind. Eng.*, vol. 148, p. 106712, 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2020.106712>.
- [32] F. K. Konstantinidis, I. Kansizoglou, N. Santavas, S. G. Mouroutsos, and A. Gasteratos, “MARMA: A Mobile Augmented Reality Maintenance Assistant for Fast-Track Repair Procedures in the Context of Industry 4.0,” *Machines*, vol. 8, no. 4. 2020, doi: 10.3390/machines8040088.
- [33] J. Egger and T. Masood, “Augmented reality in support of intelligent manufacturing – A systematic literature review,” *Comput. Ind. Eng.*, vol. 140, p. 106195, 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2019.106195>.
- [34] E. Bottani and G. Vignali, “Augmented reality technology in the manufacturing industry: A review of the last decade,” *IISE Trans.*, vol. 51, no. 3, pp. 284–310, Mar. 2019, doi: 10.1080/24725854.2018.1493244.
- [35] M. D. Mura, G. Dini, and F. Failli, “An Integrated Environment Based on Augmented Reality and Sensing Device for Manual Assembly Workstations,” *Procedia CIRP*, vol. 41, pp. 340–345, 2016, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2015.12.128>.
- [36] A. E. Uva, M. Gattullo, V. M. Manghisi, D. Spagnulo, G. L. Cascella, and M. Fiorentino, “Evaluating the effectiveness of spatial augmented reality in smart manufacturing: a solution for manual working stations,” *Int. J. Adv. Manuf.*

- Technol.*, vol. 94, no. 1, pp. 509–521, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s00170-017-0846-4.
- [37] A. E. Uva *et al.*, “Design of a Projective AR Workbench for Manual Working Stations,” in *Augmented Reality, Virtual Reality, and Computer Graphics*, 2016, pp. 358–367.
- [38] J. A. Erkoyuncu, I. F. del Amo, M. Dalle Mura, R. Roy, and G. Dini, “Improving efficiency of industrial maintenance with context aware adaptive authoring in augmented reality,” *CIRP Ann.*, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 465–468, 2017, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirp.2017.04.006>.
- [39] M. Funk, A. Bächler, L. Bächler, T. Kosch, T. Heidenreich, and A. Schmidt, “Working with Augmented Reality?: A Long-Term Analysis of In-Situ Instructions at the Assembly Workplace,” 2017, pp. 222–229, doi: 10.1145/3056540.3056548.
- [40] D. Aschenbrenner *et al.*, “Comparing Different Augmented Reality Support Applications for Cooperative Repair of an Industrial Robot,” in *2018 IEEE International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality Adjunct (ISMAR-Adjunct)*, 2018, pp. 69–74, doi: 10.1109/ISMAR-Adjunct.2018.00036.
- [41] A. Hietanen, R. Pieters, M. Lanz, J. Latokartano, and J.-K. Kämäräinen, “AR-based interaction for human-robot collaborative manufacturing,” *Robot. Comput. Integr. Manuf.*, vol. 63, p. 101891, 2020, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2019.101891>.
- [42] N. A. and S. A. (NASA), “NASA-TLX Form,” *National Aeronautics and Space Administration* (NASA). <https://humansystems.arc.nasa.gov/groups/TLX/downloads/TLXScale.pdf> (accessed Aug. 23, 2022).
- [43] D. Aschenbrenner *et al.*, “Comparing Human Factors for Augmented Reality Supported Single-User and Collaborative Repair Operations of Industrial Robots,” *Front. Robot. AI*, vol. 6, May 2019, doi: 10.3389/frobt.2019.00037.
- [44] I. Stock, M. Weber, and E. Steinmeier, “Metadata Based Authoring for Technical Documentation,” in *Proceedings of the 23rd Annual International Conference on Design of Communication: Documenting & Designing for Pervasive Information*, 2005, pp. 60–67, doi: 10.1145/1085313.1085330.
- [45] C. Knopfle, J. Weidenhausen, L. Chauvigne, and I. Stock, “Template based authoring for AR based service scenarios,” in *IEEE Proceedings. VR 2005. Virtual Reality, 2005.*, 2005, pp. 237–240, doi: 10.1109/VR.2005.1492779.
- [46] T. Engelke, J. Keil, P. Rojtberg, F. Wientapper, M. Schmitt, and U. Bockholt, “Content First: A Concept for Industrial Augmented Reality Maintenance Applications Using Mobile Devices,” in *Proceedings of the 6th ACM Multimedia Systems Conference*, 2015, pp. 105–111, doi: 10.1145/2713168.2713169.
- [47] M. Shneier and R. Bostelman, “Literature Review of Mobile Robots for Manufacturing,” 2015.
- [48] B. Y. Qi, Q. L. Yang, and Y. Y. Zhou, “Application of AGV in intelligent logistics system,” in *Fifth Asia International Symposium on Mechatronics*

(*AISM 2015*), 2015, pp. 1–5, doi: 10.1049/cp.2015.1527.

- [49] I. G. Plaksina, G. I. Chistokhina, and D. V Topolskiy, “Development of a Transport Robot for Automated Warehouses,” in *2018 International Multi-Conference on Industrial Engineering and Modern Technologies (FarEastCon)*, 2018, pp. 1–4, doi: 10.1109/FarEastCon.2018.8602651.
- [50] H. Xiao-le, W. Xiao-bo, C. Fang-dong, H. Xu, F. Xue-min, and L. Lin, “500 kV substation robot patrol system,” in *2017 IEEE 3rd Information Technology and Mechatronics Engineering Conference (ITOEC)*, 2017, pp. 105–109, doi: 10.1109/ITOEC.2017.8122390.
- [51] S. Liu, X. Zhang, R. Huang, X. Dong, J. Li, and Q. Chen, “Optimization Design of the Maintenance Robot with Charged Used in Substation,” in *2018 3rd International Conference on Mechanical, Control and Computer Engineering (ICMCCE)*, 2018, pp. 141–144, doi: 10.1109/ICMCCE.2018.00036.
- [52] T. Disyadej, J. Promjan, K. Poochinapan, T. Mouktonglang, S. Grzybowski, and P. Muneesawang, “High Voltage Power Line Maintenance & Inspection by Using Smart Robotics,” in *2019 IEEE Power & Energy Society Innovative Smart Grid Technologies Conference (ISGT)*, 2019, pp. 1–4, doi: 10.1109/ISGT.2019.8791584.
- [53] S. Xiao, H. Wang, and L. Ling, “Research on a novel maintenance robot for power transmission lines,” in *2016 4th International Conference on Applied Robotics for the Power Industry (CARPI)*, 2016, pp. 1–6, doi: 10.1109/CARPI.2016.7745642.
- [54] A. Figueras, J. L. D. La Rosa, S. Esteva, and X. Cufi, “Robot team in the improvement of the neighborhood,” in *2018 IEEE International Smart Cities Conference (ISC2)*, 2018, pp. 1–6, doi: 10.1109/ISC2.2018.8656874.
- [55] J. Dai, Y. Xu, and W. Zhang, “SPC ROBOT A novel pipe-climbing robot with spiral extending of coupled differential,” in *2017 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Biomimetics (ROBIO)*, 2017, pp. 1088–1093, doi: 10.1109/ROBIO.2017.8324562.
- [56] R. Amsters and P. Slaets, “Turtlebot 3 as a Robotics Education Platform,” in *International Conference on Robotics in Education (RiE)*, 2020, pp. 170–181.
- [57] E. Tosello, N. Castaman, and E. Menegatti, “Using robotics to train students for Industry 4.0,” *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 52, no. 9, pp. 153–158, 2019, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2019.08.185>.
- [58] S. Kim, Y. Kim, J. Ha, and S. Jo, “Mapping System with Virtual Reality for Mobile Robot Teleoperation,” in *2018 18th International Conference on Control, Automation and Systems (ICCAS)*, 2018, p. 1541.
- [59] D. Singh, E. Trivedi, Y. Sharma, and V. Niranjana, “TurtleBot: Design and Hardware Component Selection,” in *2018 International Conference on Computing, Power and Communication Technologies (GUCON)*, 2018, pp. 805–809, doi: 10.1109/GUCON.2018.8675050.
- [60] J. Grönman, J. Viljanen, J. Vihervaara, and M. Saari, “An Open-Source Solution for Mobile Robot Based Environmental Sensing,” in *2020 43rd*

- International Convention on Information, Communication and Electronic Technology (MIPRO)*, 2020, pp. 966–970, doi: 10.23919/MIPRO48935.2020.9245165.
- [61] G. Boothroyd, P. Dewhurst, and W. A. Knight, *Product Design for Manufacture and Assembly*. 2011.
- [62] T. Vernica, R. Lipman, and W. Bernstein, “Visualizing Model-based Product Definitions in Augmented Reality,” 2021, [Online]. Available: https://tsapps.nist.gov/publication/get_pdf.cfm?pub_id=932063.
- [63] J. Hurtado, A. Montenegro, M. Gattass, F. Carvalho, and A. Raposo, “Enveloping CAD models for visualization and interaction in XR applications,” *Eng. Comput.*, 2020, doi: 10.1007/s00366-020-01040-9.
- [64] F. Danglade and C. Guillet, “Choice of CAD model Adaptation Process for Virtual Reality using Classification Techniques,” 2021, pp. 61–65, doi: 10.14733/cadconfP.2021.61-65.
- [65] A. Thakur, A. G. Banerjee, and S. K. Gupta, “A survey of CAD model simplification techniques for physics-based simulation applications,” *Comput. Des.*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 65–80, 2009, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cad.2008.11.009>.
- [66] M. Gattullo, G. W. Scurati, A. Evangelista, F. Ferrise, M. Fiorentino, and A. E. Uva, “Informing the Use of Visual Assets in Industrial Augmented Reality,” in *Design Tools and Methods in Industrial Engineering*, 2020, pp. 106–117.
- [67] M. Lorenz, M. Spranger, T. Riedel, F. Pürzel, V. Wittstock, and P. Klimant, “CAD to VR – A Methodology for the Automated Conversion of Kinematic CAD Models to Virtual Reality,” *Procedia CIRP*, vol. 41, pp. 358–363, 2016, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2015.12.115>.
- [68] P. Dutta, N. Rastogi, and K. K. Gotewal, “Virtual reality applications in remote handling development for tokamaks in India,” *Fusion Eng. Des.*, vol. 118, pp. 73–80, 2017, doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fusengdes.2017.03.047>.
- [69] Robotis, “Turtlebot Waffle Pi CAD Model.” <http://www.robotis.com/service/download.php?no=678> (accessed Mar. 31, 2022).
- [70] B. D. Sawyer, J. Dobres, N. Chahine, and B. Reimer, “The Cost of Cool: Typographic Style Legibility in Reading at a Glance,” *Proc. Hum. Factors Ergon. Soc. Annu. Meet.*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 833–837, 2017, doi: 10.1177/1541931213601698.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Ye Sheng Koh, Kai Woon Goh, Marvin Dares, Che Fai Yeong, Eileen Su Lee Ming, Mohd. Shahrizal Sunar, Yik Shin Tey., Dec. 2020, "A REVIEW ON AUGMENTED REALITY TRACKING METHODS FOR MAINTENANCE OF ROBOTS," JURNAL TEKNOLOGI, vol. 83, no. 1 SE-, pp. 37–43, (0.82)