

MULTI-OMICS AND TAXONOMIC ANALYSES OF EMPTY FRUIT BUNCH
ADAPTED MANGROVE MICROBIAL COMMUNITIES WITH
LIGNOCELLULOLYTIC ABILITIES

LAM MING QUAN

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

MULTI-OMICS AND TAXONOMIC ANALYSES OF EMPTY FRUIT BUNCH
ADAPTED MANGROVE MICROBIAL COMMUNITIES WITH
LIGNOCELLULOLYTIC ABILITIES

LAM MING QUAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Science
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

APRIL 2020

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Throughout the 3 years of Ph.D. journey (Mac 2017 to Jan 2020), many people have contributed to my growth until today. First, I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my main supervisor, Dr. Chong Chun Shiong, for his guidance, advices and caring, especially during the research training at University of York, United Kingdom (Nov-Dec 2017, Jun-Jul 2018). I am also very grateful to my co-supervisors: Prof. Dr. Neil C. Bruce (York, UK) and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Goh Kian Mau for their critical comments from different perspectives. Without their continued support and patience, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

I am also indebted to Yayasan Khazanah for funding my Ph.D. study and providing leadership training modules under program Khazanah Watan Postgraduate (Ph.D) Scholarship (scholar ID: 40852). Besides that, special thanks to the sponsors of research project: Ministry of Education Malaysia and Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) United Kingdom under program of United Kingdom-Southeast Asia Newton Ungku Omar Fund (UK-SEA-NUOF) with project number 4B297 and BB/P027717/1, respectively. I also would like to acknowledge Johor National Parks Corporation for sampling permit (CJB F No. 734342) at Tanjung Piai National Park, Johor. A warmth gratitude also extends to Singapore Centre for Environmental Life Sciences Engineering (SCELSE), Nanyang Technological University for awarding me a free summer course during Jun-Jul 2019.

My fellow seniors should also be recognised for their technical assistance and fruitful discussion: Dr. Nicola Oates (York, UK), Dr. Daniel Leadbeater (York, UK), Dr. Susannah Bird (York, UK), Dr. Suganthi Thevarajoo, Dr. Chitra Selvaratnam and Mr. Lim Jia Chun. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Not forgetting the staff of Department of Biosciences, Faculty of Science, including assistant scientific officers (Mrs. Farah Wahida Zamudin, Mrs. Norsyuhada Jaafar, Mr. Yusnizam Samsudin and Mrs. Fatimah Harun), administrative staff (Mrs. Zaleha Jaafar), Newton Fund co-researchers (Prof. Dr. Zaharah Ibrahim, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Madihah Md Salleh and Dr. Adibah Yahya) and collaborators from University of Ljubljana, Slovenija (Dr. Maša Vodovnik and Dr. Maša Zorec). Thanks for their helping hands. Besides that, I am also grateful to colleagues of Postgraduate Student Society (PGSS-FS and PGSS-UTM) and School of Postgraduate Studies (SPS) for soft-skill training and assistance.

Moreover, I would like to express my gratitude to my parents (Lam Poy Leng and Lye Chou Ngo), grandparents and siblings for their loves. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my friends who have provided support mentally: BFF (Tan Xiao Jian and Lee Sze Hao), god-brothers (Charles Kuay Chau Churh and Simon Sin Mun Chong), Edmond Teoh Teik Yong, Lee Kim Hou, Lim Wei Lun, 5-6 colours group (Loh Yih Lin, Wai Yi Chiun, Thor Hui Xin, Ong Soon Jin and Ng Yong Seng), lab-mates (Chen Sye Jinn, Mohamad Hamizan Abd Karim, Muhammad Ramziuddin Zakaria and Tan Sing Ngoh), juniors (direct juniors, 10 final year undergraduate students and 4 master students that I guided), future soulmates, bacteria and favourite singers (Lala Hsu, Wu Qing-Feng, S.H.E and A-Lin). Finally, thanks to myself for being sincere, persistent and wise as always. No regret is the firmest decision that I ever made to against the time that could never fade my youth.

ABSTRACT

Current demand for energy drives the rapid progress of second-generation biofuel development. Use of lignocellulosic biomass, such as oil palm empty fruit bunch (EFB) in second-generation biofuels production resolved the limitation of first-generation biofuels which compete with food source. Lignocellulosic pre-treatment and saccharification are two crucial steps in second-generation biofuel production. These steps require synergistic action of lignocellulolytic enzymes. The use of large volume of freshwater in biofuel industry is a major concern as it creates competition between biofuel industry and human consumption. Seawater, which cover 96.5% of the biosphere could be an alternative to freshwater in biological pre-treatment and saccharification of lignocellulosic biomass. Therefore, the discovery of novel salt-tolerant microorganisms and their halophilic enzymes is an important aspect of lignocellulosic waste deconstruction using seawater. In this study, halophilic microbial community was collected from mangrove soil at Tanjung Piai National Park, Johor. Their ability to degrade lignocellulose was explored using culture independent and culture dependent approaches. The mangrove soil was used as inoculum and incubated with EFB in artificial seawater medium for 10 weeks. Total DNA, RNA and proteins were extracted (culture independent). 16S rRNA and 18S rRNA gene fragments were amplified from total DNA and composition of microbial community was analyzed based on amplicon metagenome sequencing. Taxonomic analysis showed that phyla *Proteobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes* were predominant prokaryotic population. Metatranscriptomic analysis revealed a total of 9,953 open reading frames (ORFs) related to lignocellulose degradation: 3,867 glycosyl hydrolases (GHs), 2,485 carbohydrate binding modules (CBMs), 2,156 carbohydrate esterases (CEs), 947 auxiliary activities (AAs) and 498 polysaccharide lyases (PLs). The highly expressed enzyme families were GH74, CE1, GH5, AA2, GH43, CE3, GH3, CE15, GH10 and GH6. Metaproteomic analysis identified a total of 87 lignocellulolytic enzymes in bound fraction of EFB and culture supernatant. Synergistic action of different lignocellulolytic enzymes from diverse microbial origin was observed with mostly affiliated to phyla *Proteobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes*. In addition, bacteria from the mangrove microbial community were isolated and their lignocellulolytic abilities were assessed (culture dependent). Two halophilic bacteria from the phylum *Bacteroidetes*, namely *Meridianimaribacter* sp. CL38 and *Robertkochia* sp. CL23 were selected for genomic analyses. A total of 30 and 89 lignocellulolytic enzymes were encoded in the genomes of strain CL38 and CL23, respectively. Furthermore, both strains demonstrated their abilities to degrade EFB. Genomic analyses of these two strains are the first genomic information from their respective genera. Due to the low similarity of 16S rRNA gene with closely related member, strain CL23 was further taxonomically characterized via polyphasic approach. Based on phenotypic, chemotaxonomic and genomic evidences, the strain CL23 is proposed as a new species with the name *Robertkochia solimangrovi* sp. nov. Multi-omics and taxonomic analyses in this study identified new halophilic microorganisms from mangrove with a wide array of new lignocellulolytic enzymes that are able to degrade EFB. These enzymes could be further investigated for development of enzyme cocktails which will be useful for seawater based lignocellulosic biorefining.

ABSTRAK

Tuntutan tenaga semasa telah mendorong kemajuan pesat pembangunan bahan bakar bio generasi kedua. Penggunaan biojisim lignoselulosa seperti tandan sawit kosong (TSK) dalam penghasilan bahan bakar bio generasi kedua telah menangani keterbatasan bahan bakar bio generasi pertama iaitu persaingan sumber makanan. Pra-rawatan dan sakarifikasi lignoselulosa adalah dua langkah penting dalam penghasilan bahan bakar bio generasi kedua. Langkah ini melibatkan tindakan sinergi pelbagai enzim lignoselulolitik. Penggunaan isipadu yang besar air tawar dalam industri bahan bakar bio adalah kebimbangan utama kerana ia menjadi persaingan antara kegunaan industri ini dan manusia. Air laut, yang merangkumi 96.5% biosfera boleh dijadikan alternatif menggantikan air tawar dalam pra-rawatan biologi dan sakarifikasi biojisim lignoselulosa. Oleh itu, penemuan mikroorganisma baru bertoleransi garam dan enzim halofilik adalah penting untuk mengungkai sisa lignoselulosa menggunakan air laut. Dalam kajian ini, komuniti mikrob halofilik telah didapatkan dari tanah hutan bakau Taman Negara Tanjung Piai, Johor. Keupayaan mikrob untuk menguraikan sisa lignoselulosa telah diterokai melalui kaedah bebas kultur dan kaedah bergantung kultur. Tanah hutan bakau telah digunakan sebagai inokulum dan dieram dengan TSK dalam air laut tiruan selama 10 minggu. DNA, RNA dan protein telah dipencarkan (kaedah bebas kultur). Cerbisan gen 16S rRNA dan 18S rRNA telah diamplifikasi daripada DNA jumlah dan komposisi komuniti mikrob telah dianalisa berdasarkan penjajaran metagenom amplikon. Analisis taksonomi menunjukkan bahawa filum *Proteobakteria* dan *Bakteroidetes* adalah populasi prokariot dominan. Analisis metatranskriptom mendedahkan sejumlah 9,953 rangka bacaan terbuka (ORF) berkaitan dengan degradasi lignoselulosa: 3,867 hidrolase glikosida (GH), 2,485 modul pengikatan karbohidrat (CBM), 2,156 esterase karbohidrat (CE), 947 aktiviti auksiliari (AA) dan 498 lyase polisakarida (PL). Famili enzim yang banyak terungkap adalah GH74, CE1, GH5, AA2, GH43, CE3, GH3, CE15, GH10 dan GH6. Analisis metaproteomik telah mengenal pasti 87 enzim lignoselulolitik di fraksi terikat TSK dan kultur supernatan. Tindakan sinistik pelbagai enzim lignoselulolitik dapat diperhatikan dengan kebanyakannya berasal daripada filum *Proteobakteria* dan *Bakteroidetes*. Di samping ini, bakteria dari komuniti mikrob tanah hutan bakau telah dipencarkan dan kebolehan bakteria untuk menguraikan lignoselulosa telah dinilai (kaedah bergantung kultur). Dua bakteria halofilik dari filum *Bakteroidetes*, iaitu *Meridianimaribacter* sp. CL38 dan *Robertkochia* sp. CL23 telah dipilih untuk analisa genomik. Sebanyak 30 dan 89 enzim lignoselulolitik dikodkan masing-masing dalam genom strain CL38 dan CL23. Kedua-dua strain juga menunjukkan keupayaan untuk mengurai TSK. Analisis genomik kedua-dua strain ini merupakan maklumat genomik pertama dilaporkan bagi genus masing-masing. Disebabkan persamaan yang rendah pada gen 16S rRNA strain CL23 berbanding dengan spesies terdekat, strain ini telah dipilih untuk pencirian taksonomi melalui kaedah polifasik. Berdasarkan bukti fenotip, kemotaksonomi, dan genotip, strain CL23 telah dicadangkan sebagai spesies baharu dengan nama *Robertkochia solimangrovi* sp. nov. Analisa multi-omik dan taksonomi dalam kajian ini mengenalpasti mikroorganisma halofilik yang baru dari tanah hutan bakau dengan pelbagai enzim lignoselulolitik baru yang dapat menguraikan TSK. Enzim-enzim tersebut boleh dikaji dengan lebih lanjut untuk membangunkan koktel enzim yang akan berguna dalam bio-penulenan lignoselulosa berdasarkan air laut.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
DECLARATION		iii
DEDICATION		iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT		v
ABSTRACT		vi
ABSTRAK		vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS		viii
LIST OF TABLES		xv
LIST OF FIGURES		xviii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS		xxvi
LIST OF SYMBOLS		xxxii
LIST OF APPENDICES		xxxiii
 CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION		 1
1.1 Background of study	1	
1.2 Problem statement	5	
1.3 Objectives of study	5	
1.4 Scope of study	6	
1.5 Significance of study	7	
 CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW		 9
2.1 Importance of fossil fuel	9	
2.2 Biofuel as alternative	10	
2.3 Oil palm empty fruit bunch as promising lignocellulosic biomass	12	
2.4 Composition of oil palm empty fruit bunch	13	
2.5 Pre-treatment and saccharification of lignocellulosic biomass	17	
2.6 Lignocellulolytic enzymes and modules: function and classification	21	

2.6.1	Cellulases	21
2.6.2	Hemicellulases	24
2.6.3	Ligninases	32
2.6.4	Pectinases	36
2.6.5	Non-catalytic carbohydrate binding modules	41
2.7	Challenges and alternative for lignocellulose pretreatment and saccharification	42
2.8	Mangrove as new source for salt tolerant lignocellulose degraders and its enzymes	43
2.9	Strategies to explore new lignocellulolytic halophiles and enzymes	44
2.9.1	Culture dependent approach	45
2.9.2	Culture independent approach	48
CHAPTER 3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	51
3.1	Experimental design	51
3.2	Sample collection	54
3.3	Preparation of oil palm empty fruit bunch	54
3.4	Monitoring of empty fruit bunch degradation by microbial community	55
3.4.1	Total biomass weight loss measurement	55
3.4.2	Structural changes of empty fruit bunch	55
3.4.3	Lignocellulolytic enzyme assays	56
3.5	Culture independent approach	58
3.5.1	Extraction and processing of total DNA	58
3.5.1.1	Total nucleic acids extraction	58
3.5.1.2	Agarose gel electrophoresis	59
3.5.1.3	Purification of total DNA	60
3.5.1.4	16S rRNA and 18S rRNA amplicons metagenome sequencing	60
3.5.1.5	Taxonomic analysis of prokaryotic and eukaryotic communities	62
3.5.2	Extraction and processing of total RNA	64
3.5.2.1	Total RNA purification and mRNA enrichment for sequencing	64

3.5.2.2	Metatranscriptomic analysis	66
3.5.3	Extraction and processing of total proteins	68
3.5.3.1	Total protein extraction and precipitation	68
3.5.3.2	Purification of total bound fraction protein	69
3.5.3.3	Total protein desalting by buffer exchange	70
3.5.3.4	Total protein content determination by Bradford assay	70
3.5.3.5	Protein visualization and preparation of gel slices	70
3.5.3.6	Protein in-gel digestion	71
3.5.3.7	Liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS)	71
3.5.3.8	Metaproteomic analysis	72
3.6	Culture dependent approach	74
3.6.1	Isolation of bacteria with lignocellulolytic abilities	74
3.6.2	Identification of isolated bacteria using 16S rRNA gene analysis	75
3.6.3	Selection of lignocellulolytic bacterial strains	77
3.6.4	Whole genome sequencing, assembly and annotation	78
3.6.5	Mining of lignocellulose degrading genes	79
3.6.6	Polyphasic characterization	81
3.6.6.1	Bacterial strains	81
3.6.6.2	Phenotypic	81
3.6.6.3	Chemotaxonomic	90
3.6.6.4	Genotypic	92
3.7	Data access of nucleotide sequences	94
CHAPTER 4	TAXONOMIC STRUCTURE AND DIVERSITY	
OF EMPTY FRUIT BUNCH ADAPTED MANGROVE		
MICROBIAL COMMUNITY		95
4.1	Introduction	95

4.2	Results and discussion	97
4.2.1	Capability of the mangrove microbial community to degrade EFB	97
4.2.1.1	Changes of total biomass weight and EFB structure	97
4.2.1.2	Lignocellulolytic enzyme activities	99
4.2.2	Total DNA extraction and processing for amplicon metagenome sequencing	103
4.2.3	Overview of taxonomic composition of microbial community	106
4.2.4	Taxonomic structure and abundance of prokaryotic community	108
4.2.5	Taxonomic structure and abundance of eukaryotic community	115
4.2.6	Variation between microbial community	120
4.2.6.1	Alpha diversity analysis	120
4.2.6.2	Beta diversity analysis	123
4.3	Summary	125

CHAPTER 5 MINING OF LIGNOCELLULLOLYTIC ENZYMES THROUGH METATRANSCRIPTOMIC AND METAPROTEOMIC ANALYSES 127

5.1	Introduction	127
5.2	Results and discussion	129
5.2.1	Processing of total RNA for metatranscriptome sequencing	129
5.2.2	Overview of metatranscriptome data	131
5.2.3	Metatranscriptomic response to empty fruit bunch	133
5.2.3.1	Glycosyl hydrolases	135
5.2.3.2	Auxiliary activities	137
5.2.3.3	Carbohydrate esterases	140
5.2.3.4	Polysaccharide lyases	142
5.2.3.5	Carbohydrate binding modules	144

5.2.4	Top highly expressed lignocellulolytic enzymes in the EFB-adapted microbial community metatranscriptome	146
5.2.5	Processing of total proteins for liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry	148
5.2.6	Overview of metaproteomic data	151
5.2.7	Metaproteomic analysis of lignocellulolytic enzymes present in bound fraction and supernatant	153
5.2.7.1	Glycosyl hydrolases	154
5.2.7.2	Auxiliary activities	156
5.2.7.3	Carbohydrate esterases	158
5.2.7.4	Polysaccharide lyases	159
5.2.7.5	Carbohydrate binding modules	160
5.2.8	Potential new lignocellulolytic enzymes discovery	161
5.2.9	Microbial synergy in decomposing oil palm empty fruit bunch at metaproteome level	166
5.3	Summary	172

CHAPTER 6	GENOMIC ANALYSES AND OIL PALM EMPTY FRUIT BUNCH DECOMPOSITION ASSESSMENT OF CULTURABLE BACTERIA	173
6.1	Introduction	173
6.2	Results and discussion	175
6.2.1	Isolation and identification of bacteria with lignocellulolytic abilities	175
6.2.2	Genomic analysis of <i>Meridianimaribacter</i> sp. CL38	179
6.2.2.1	Genome features of strain CL38	179
6.2.2.2	Mining and analysis of lignocellulose degrading genes of strain CL38	182
6.2.2.3	Weight and structural changes of EFB deconstructed by strain CL38	187
6.2.2.4	Lignocellulolytic enzyme activities of strain CL38	189

6.2.3	Genomic analysis of <i>Robertkochia</i> sp. CL23	191
6.2.3.1	Genome features of strain CL23	191
6.2.3.2	Mining and analysis of lignocellulose degrading genes of strain CL23	194
6.2.3.3	Weight and structural changes of EFB deconstructed by strain CL23	201
6.2.3.4	Lignocellulolytic enzyme activities of strain CL23	203
6.2.4	Divergence of lignocellulolytic enzymes of strain CL38 and CL23 with their neighboring species	205
6.2.5	Integrated analysis between multi-omics data	206
6.3	Summary	208
CHAPTER 7 POLYPHASIC TAXONOMY CHARACTERIZATION OF <i>Robertkochia solimangrovi</i> CL23		209
7.1	Introduction	209
7.2	Results and discussion	211
7.2.1	Phenotypic characterization	211
7.2.1.1	Colony and cellular morphology	211
7.2.1.2	Biochemical characterization	213
7.2.1.3	Antibiotic susceptibility	218
7.2.1.4	Effect of pH, temperature and salinity on microbial growth	220
7.2.1.5	Bacterial growth at optimal conditions	226
7.2.2	Chemotaxonomic characterization	228
7.2.2.1	Cellular fatty acids profiling	228
7.2.2.2	Polar lipids analysis	230
7.2.2.3	Isoprenoid quinone determination	231
7.2.3	Genotypic characterization	231
7.2.3.1	16S rRNA phylogenetics	231
7.2.3.2	Multilocus sequence analysis	234
7.2.3.3	Phylogenomic analysis	236

7.2.3.4	Digital DNA-DNA hybridization	237
7.2.3.5	Average nucleotide identity and average amino acid identity	237
7.2.3.6	Percentage of conserved proteins	237
7.2.3.7	Whole cell protein profiling	238
7.2.4	Nomenclature and description of strain CL23	239
7.3	Summary	240
CHAPTER 8	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	241
8.1	Research outcomes	241
8.2	Future works	243
REFERENCES		245
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		292

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Building blocks of each component of lignocellulosic structure.	15
Table 2.2	Classification of cellulases according to CAZy database (Lombard et al., 2014).	23
Table 2.3	Classification of xylanases according to CAZy database (Lombard et al., 2014).	26
Table 2.4	Classification of mannanases according to CAZy database (Lombard et al., 2014).	29
Table 2.5	Classification of xyloglucanases according to CAZy database (Lombard et al., 2014).	31
Table 2.6	Reactions of fungal lignin-modifying enzymes: lignin oxidative enzymes and lignin degrading auxiliary enzymes (Furukawa et al., 2014; Guillén et al., 2005; Hammel, 1997; Kameshwar and Qin, 2017; Levasseur et al., 2008; Plácido and Capareda, 2015).	33
Table 2.7	Reactions of bacterial lignin-modifying enzymes (Brown and Chang, 2014; Bugg and Rahmanpour, 2015; de Gonzalo et al., 2016; López-Mondéjar et al., 2019).	34
Table 2.8	Classification of ligninases according to CAZy database (Lombard et al., 2014).	35
Table 2.9	Classification of pectinases according to CAZy database (Lombard et al., 2014).	40
Table 3.1	Primers used for 16S rRNA and 18S rRNA gene region amplifications.	61
Table 3.2	PCR conditions used for amplification of 16S rRNA and 18S rRNA gene regions.	61
Table 3.3	PCR conditions for nearly full length 16S rRNA gene amplification of isolated bacteria.	76
Table 3.4	Reading table and interpretations of API 20 E.	85
Table 3.5	Reading table and interpretations of API 20 NE.	87
Table 4.1	Statistics for amplicon metagenome sequencing for both inoculum and day 10.	105

Table 5.1	Quality, quantity and RNA integrity number (RIN) of total purified RNA for day 10 sample.	130
Table 5.2	Metatranscriptome sequencing statistics from total mRNA at day 10.	130
Table 5.3	Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COGs) assignment of assembled metatranscriptome sequences.	132
Table 5.4	BLASTp search of AA10 sequences that found in the metatranscriptome.	139
Table 5.5	Metrics of spectra obtained from LC-MS/MS analysis and spectra matched with metatranscriptomic library for bound fraction and supernatant proteins.	149
Table 5.6	Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COGs) assignment of metaproteome.	152
Table 5.7	Phylogenetic origin and similarity with closest sequence of lignocellulolytic proteins found in bound fraction and supernatant of the metaproteome based on BLASTp search.	162
Table 6.1	Qualitative screening of extracellular lignocellulolytic enzymes by bacteria in soil of mangrove isolated at different time points during monitoring of EFB degradation. +, Positive reaction; -, negative reaction; w, weakly positive reaction.	175
Table 6.2	Identity of selected bacterial strains through 16S rRNA gene analyses.	178
Table 6.3	Genome features of <i>Meridianimarinibacter</i> sp. strain CL38 (NCBI accession: QKWS00000000), annotated using NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP). Cluster of Orthologous Groups, COGs; Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes, KEGG.	179
Table 6.4	Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COGs) assignment of protein coding genes of strain CL38.	181
Table 6.5	List of potential lignocellulose degrading enzymes encoded in the genome of <i>Meridianimarinibacter</i> sp. strain CL38.	183
Table 6.6	Genome features of <i>Robertkochia</i> sp. strain CL23 (NCBI accession: QKWN00000000), annotated using NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP).	191
Table 6.7	Clusters of Orthologous Groups (COGs) assignment of protein coding genes of strain CL23.	193
Table 6.8	List of potential lignocellulose degrading enzymes encoded in the genome of <i>Robertkochia</i> sp. strain CL23.	194

Table 6.9	Putative horizontal transferred genes of strain CL23 related to lignocellulose degradation, inferred from genomic data.	206
Table 6.10	OTUs sequences that matched with identity of strain CL38 and CL23 based on BLASTn search.	207
Table 6.11	Potential lignocellulose degrading genes of strain CL38 and CL23 that matched with metatranscriptome sequences. All sequences shared at least 95% similarity, 100% query cover and E-value less than 8×10^{-81} .	207
Table 7.1	Biochemical characteristics of strain CL23 and <i>R. marina</i> . +, Positive reaction; -, negative reaction; w, weakly positive reaction.	213
Table 7.2	Carbohydrate utilization of strain CL23 and type strain of <i>R. marina</i> (2), assessed by API 50 CH. +, Positive reaction; -, negative reaction; w, weakly positive reaction.	215
Table 7.3	Enzymatic reactions of strain CL23 and type strain of <i>R. marina</i> , assessed by API ZYM. +, Positive reaction; -, negative reaction; w, weakly positive reaction.	217
Table 7.4	Antibiotic susceptibility of strain CL23 and type strain of <i>R. marina</i> on marine agar after 48 hours at 30°C incubation. R, resistant; S, susceptible.	219
Table 7.5	Cellular fatty acid profiles (%) of strain CL23 and <i>R. marina</i> . All data presented in the table are from this study. TR, trace ($\leq 0.5\%$); -, not detected. Major components ($> 10\%$) are highlighted in bold. Summed features are groups of two or three fatty acids that cannot be separated by gas-liquid chromatography (GLC) with the MIDI system.	229
Table 7.6	The sequences similarities (%) of <i>rpoB</i> , <i>gyrB</i> , <i>recA</i> , <i>mutL</i> and <i>atpD</i> genes of strain CL23 with their phylogenetically related members based on BLASTn. The sequence of <i>Cytophaga hutchinsonii</i> ATCC 33406 ^T was used as outgroup. All the sequences were retrieved from genome data downloaded from NCBI Genbank.	234
Table 7.7	Description of <i>Robertkochia solimangrovi</i> sp. nov. strain CL23 ^T .	239

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	A typical lignocellulose structure arrangement in plant primary (A) and secondary (B) cell walls, adapted from Rytioja et al. (2014).	13
Figure 2.2	Second-generation biofuel production process involves crucial pre-treatment and saccharification of lignocellulosic biomass before fermentation. The figure is re-constructed based on Prasad et al. (2019).	18
Figure 2.3	Hydrolytic and oxidative reactions of cellulases that synergistically act on cellulose, re-constructed based on Juturu and Wu (2014a) and Obeng et al. (2017).	22
Figure 2.4	Xylanases that synergistically act on xylan, re-constructed based on El Enshasy et al. (2016). Red arrows represent linkage that enzymes act on. Ac, acetyl group; Pcou, ρ -coumaric acid; Fer, ferulic acid.	25
Figure 2.5	Mannanases that synergistically act on mannan, re-constructed based on Malgas et al. (2017) and Malgas et al. (2015). Red arrows represent linkage that enzymes act on. Ac, acetyl group.	28
Figure 2.6	Xyloglucanases that synergistically act on xyloglucan, re-constructed based on Rashmi and Siddalingamurthy (2018) and Rytioja et al. (2014). Red arrows represent linkage that enzymes act on.	30
Figure 2.7	Pectinases that synergistically act on homogalacturonan (A), xylogalacturonan (B) and rhamnogalacturonan I (C), re-constructed based on Rytioja et al. (2014). Red arrows represent linkage that enzymes act on. Ac, acetyl group; Me, methyl group.	37
Figure 2.8	Pectinases that synergistically act on rhamnogalacturonan II, re-constructed based on Ndeh et al. (2017). Red arrows represent linkage that enzymes act on. Ac, acetyl group; Me, methyl group.	38
Figure 2.9	Current strategies (culture independent and culture dependent) utilized for discovery of new lignocellulose degraders and enzymes, reconstructed based on Guo et al. (2018), López-Mondéjar et al. (2019) and Goh et al. (2019).	45

Figure 3.1	Experimental design for the research according to objectives.	52
Figure 3.2	Sampling site for collection of mangrove soil at Tanjung Piai National Park Johor.	54
Figure 3.3	Flow for taxonomic analysis of prokaryotic and eukaryotic communities.	63
Figure 3.4	Flow for metatranscriptomic analysis of lignocellulose degrading ORFs.	67
Figure 3.5	Flow for metaproteomic analysis of lignocellulolytic enzymes.	73
Figure 3.6	Flow for genomic analysis of lignocellulolytic enzymes encoded.	80
Figure 4.1	General flow of this chapter leads to attainment of objective 1.	96
Figure 4.2	Percentage of total biomass weight reduction (%) measured at each time interval throughout the 10 weeks of decomposition by the mangrove microbial community. Control, without inoculum (no weight reduction was observed throughout 10 weeks of incubation).	98
Figure 4.3	EFB structure before inoculation (A) and 10 weeks of incubation (B), viewed under scanning electron microscope with 1000 × magnification.	98
Figure 4.4	Cellulase activities (endoglucanase, exoglucanase and β -glucosidase) measured at each time point across the 10 weeks of incubation.	99
Figure 4.5	Hemicellulase activities (β -xylanase, β -mannanase and β -xylosidase) measured at each time point across the 10 weeks of incubation.	100
Figure 4.6	Ligninase activities (laccase, manganese peroxidase, lignin peroxidase and aryl alcohol oxidase) measured at each time point across the 10 weeks of incubation.	101
Figure 4.7	Lignocellulolytic enzyme activities exhibited by soil microbial community to decompose EFB at first 3 weeks of incubation.	102
Figure 4.8	Total DNA purified after total RNA removal by RNase, visualized on 1% (w/v) agarose gel. Lane 1, 1 kb DNA ladder; lane 2–4, total purified DNA of inoculum; lane 5–7, total purified DNA of day 10 samples.	103
Figure 4.9	V4 region of 16S rRNA and 18S rRNA gene amplified from total purified DNA, visualized on 1% (w/v) agarose gel.	

Lane 1, 1 kb DNA ladder; lane 2–4, 16S rRNA gene fragments for inoculum; lane 5–7, 16S rRNA gene fragments for day 10 samples; lane 8–10, 18S rRNA gene fragments for inoculum; lane 11–13, 18S rRNA gene fragments for day 10 samples.	104
Figure 4.10 General overview of number of prokaryotic and eukaryotic OTUs count (A) and OTUs relative abundance (B) for inoculum (INO) and sample at day 10.	107
Figure 4.11 Taxonomic assignment and relative abundance (%) of prokaryotic community of inoculum (INO) and day 10 at phylum level.	109
Figure 4.12 Taxonomic assignment and relative abundance (%) of the major prokaryotic population <i>Proteobacteria</i> (A) and <i>Bacteroidetes</i> (B) for inoculum (INO) and day 10 at class level.	111
Figure 4.13 Taxonomic assignment and relative abundance (%) of prokaryotic community of inoculum (INO) and day 10 at genus level.	112
Figure 4.14 Phylogenetic tree of top 10 most abundant prokaryotic OTUs for both inoculum (INO) and at day 10. Bootstrap values based on 1000 resampled datasets are depicted as percentages at nodes. The z-score of relative abundance (%) is represented by colors in row.	114
Figure 4.15 Taxonomic assignment and relative abundance (%) of eukaryotic community of inoculum (INO) and day 10 at phylum level.	115
Figure 4.16 Taxonomic assignment and relative abundance (%) of eukaryotic community of inoculum (INO) and day 10 at genus level.	117
Figure 4.17 Phylogenetic tree of top 10 most abundant eukaryotic OTUs for both inoculum (INO) and at day 10. Bootstrap values based on 1000 resampled datasets are depicted as percentages at nodes. The z-score of relative abundance (%) is represented by colors in row.	119
Figure 4.18 Alpha diversity measures: Chao1, abundance-based coverage (ACE), Shannon, Simpson and Fisher indexes for prokaryotic (A) and eukaryotic (B) community in the inoculum (INO) and day 10.	121
Figure 4.19 Sample scatter plot of multidimensional scaling (MDS) analysis based on Bray-Curtis distance of prokaryotic (A) and eukaryotic (B) community of the inoculum (M1, M2 and M3) and day 10 (D1, D2 and D3) for each replicate. MDS 1 and MDS 2 are plotted on x- and y-axes with	

percentage of variation explained by each axis noted in parentheses. The PERMANOVA analysis confirmed the differences between inoculum and sample at day 10 (p-value <0.01).	124
Figure 5.1 General flow of this chapter leads to attainment of objective 2.	128
Figure 5.2 Total purified RNA after DNA removal, visualized on 1% (w/v) agarose gel. Lane 1, 1 kb DNA ladder; lane 2–4, total purified RNA for day 10 sample.	129
Figure 5.3 Open reading frames (ORFs) and relative abundance in Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped reads (FPKM) from the metatranscriptome that are related to lignocellulose degradation.	134
Figure 5.4 Glycosyl hydrolase (GH) ORFs and their relative abundance (FPKM) in the EFB-adapted mangrove microbial community metatranscriptome.	136
Figure 5.5 Auxiliary activity (AA) ORFs and their relative abundance (FPKM) in the EFB-adapted mangrove microbial community metatranscriptome.	138
Figure 5.6 Carbohydrate esterase (CE) ORFs and their relative abundance (FPKM) in the EFB-adapted mangrove microbial community metatranscriptome.	141
Figure 5.7 Polysaccharide lyase (PL) ORFs and their relative abundance (FPKM) in the EFB-adapted mangrove microbial community metatranscriptome.	143
Figure 5.8 Carbohydrate binding module (CBM) ORFs and their relative abundance (FPKM) in the EFB-adapted mangrove microbial community metatranscriptome.	145
Figure 5.9 Top 10 most highly expressed families of lignocellulolytic enzymes expressed in the metatranscriptome (A) and their phylogenetic origin at phylum level based on BLASTp search (B).	147
Figure 5.10 Total purified proteins visualized on 10% (w/v) Bis-Tris NuPAGE gel. Lane 1, protein ladder; lane 2–4, bound fraction proteins, lane 5–7, supernatant proteins.	148
Figure 5.11 Total unique proteins (A) that found in bound fraction (BF) and supernatant (SNT) of the metaproteome with relative abundance summed in molar percentage (%) by normalizing exponentially modified protein abundance index (emPAI) values against the sum of all emPAI values for each sample (B).	150

Figure 5.12	Number of lignocellulolytic enzymes (A) and the relative abundance of each class of lignocellulolytic enzymes (B) in molar percentage (%) that present in bound fraction (BF) and supernatant (SNT) of the metaproteome. The percentile of relative abundance (molar percentage) is represented by colors in row.	153
Figure 5.13	Relative abundance of glycosyl hydrolases (GHs) in molar percentage (%) that present in bound fraction (BF) and supernatant (SNT) of the metaproteome. The percentile of relative abundance (molar percentage) is represented by colors in row.	155
Figure 5.14	Relative abundance of auxiliary activities (AAs) in molar percentage (%) that present in bound fraction (BF) and supernatant (SNT) of the metaproteome. The percentile of relative abundance (molar percentage) is represented by colors in row.	157
Figure 5.15	Relative abundance of carbohydrate esterases (CEs) in molar percentage (%) that present in bound fraction (BF) and supernatant (SNT) of the metaproteome. The percentile of relative abundance (molar percentage) is represented by colors in row.	158
Figure 5.16	Relative abundance of polysaccharide lyases (PLs) in molar percentage (%) that present in bound fraction (BF) and supernatant (SNT) of the metaproteome. The percentile of relative abundance (molar percentage) is represented by colors in row.	159
Figure 5.17	Relative abundance of carbohydrate binding modules (CBMs) in molar percentage (%) that present in bound fraction (BF) and supernatant (SNT) of the metaproteome. The percentile of relative abundance (molar percentage) is represented by colors in row.	160
Figure 5.18	Model of lignin modification by related enzymes from the metaproteome. The phylogenetic origin of ligninases was based on BLASTp result, grouped using MEGAN and visualized in the pie chart. The percentage in the pie chart represents the relative abundance (molar %) of ligninases.	166
Figure 5.19	Model of cellulose decomposition by endoglucanases, exoglucanases and β -glucosidases mined from the metaproteome. The phylogenetic origin of cellulases was based on BLASTp result, grouped using MEGAN and visualized in the pie chart. The percentage in the pie chart represents the relative abundance (molar %) of cellulases.	168
Figure 5.20	Model of xylan decomposition by debranching enzymes, endoxylanases, exoxylanases and β -xylosidases mined	

from the metaproteome. The phylogenetic origin of xylanases was based on BLASTp result, grouped using MEGAN and visualized in the pie chart. The percentage in the pie chart represents the relative abundance (molar %) of xylanases. Ac, acetyl group; Pcou., ρ -coumaric acid; Fer, ferulic acid.	170
Figure 6.1 General flow of this chapter leads to attainment of objective 3.	174
Figure 6.2 Domain organization of GH9 (A), GH3s (B), GH43 sub-family 28 attached with CBM32 (C), GH2 attached with CBM57 (D) and PL10 coupled with CE8 (E) annotated in the genome of <i>Meridianimaribacter</i> sp. strain CL38. SP, signal peptide; E set, Immunoglobulin E-set domain; T9SS, Type 9 secretion system; FN3, fibronectin type 3 domain.	185
Figure 6.3 Comparative analysis in terms of lignocellulose degrading genes abundance between <i>Meridianimaribacter</i> sp. strain CL38 and <i>M. flavus</i> .	186
Figure 6.4 Oil palm empty fruit bunch degradation by strain CL38 with respect to total biomass weight loss. Control, without inoculum.	187
Figure 6.5 EFB structure before bacterial inoculation (A) and after 96 hours of inoculated with strain CL38 (B), viewed under scanning electron microscope with $1000 \times$ magnification.	188
Figure 6.6 Lignocellulolytic enzymes activities of strain CL38 throughout 96 hours of incubation. Mean values (n=3) are expressed and standard deviations are indicated as error bars.	189
Figure 6.7 Domain organization of GH43 sub-family 28 (A), GH3 (B) and GH26 with GT2 (C) annotated in the genome of <i>Robertkochia</i> sp. strain CL23. SP, signal peptide; FN3, fibronectin type 3 domain; TM, transmembrane helix.	199
Figure 6.8 Comparative analysis in terms of lignocellulose degrading genes and associated domains abundance between <i>Robertkochia</i> sp. strain CL23 and <i>R. marina</i> .	200
Figure 6.9 Oil palm empty fruit bunch degradation by strain CL23 with respect to total biomass weight loss. Control, without inoculum.	201
Figure 6.10 EFB structure before bacterial inoculation (A) and after 96 hours of inoculated with strain CL23 (B), viewed under scanning electron microscope with $1000 \times$ magnification.	202
Figure 6.11 Lignocellulolytic enzymes activities of strain CL23 throughout 96 hours of incubation. Mean values (n=3) are	

expressed and standard deviations are indicated as error bars.	203
Figure 7.1 General flow of this chapter leads to attainment of objective 4.	210
Figure 7.2 Colony morphology of strain CL23 (A) and <i>R. marina</i> (B) on marine agar after 48 hours incubation at 35°C.	211
Figure 7.3 Gram stain and spore stain reactions of strain CL23 (A and C) and <i>R. marina</i> (B and D) respectively under light microscope with 1000 \times magnification.	212
Figure 7.4 A typical scanning electron micrograph of strain CL23 (A) and <i>R. marina</i> (B) under 10000 \times magnification and accelerating voltage of 5 kV.	212
Figure 7.5 Effect of pH on growth (h^{-1}) of strain CL23 (A) and <i>R. marina</i> (B). Mean values are expressed ($n = 3$) and standard deviations are indicated as error bars. Asterisks (**) indicate no growth was observed.	221
Figure 7.6 Effect of temperature on growth (h^{-1}) of strain CL23 (A) and <i>R. marina</i> (B). Mean values are expressed ($n = 3$) and standard deviations are indicated as error bars. Asterisks (**) indicate no growth was observed.	223
Figure 7.7 Effect of NaCl concentration (% w/v) on growth (h^{-1}) of strain CL23 (A) and <i>R. marina</i> (B). Mean values are expressed ($n = 3$) and standard deviations are indicated as error bars. Asterisks (**) indicate no growth was observed.	225
Figure 7.8 Growth curves for strain CL23 and <i>R. marina</i> , grow in MB with conditions of pH 7, 30°C and 2% (w/v) NaCl. Mean values ($n=3$) are expressed and standard deviations are indicated as error bars.	227
Figure 7.9 Polar lipids profile of strain CL23 on a two-dimensional thin layer chromatogram. Unidentified lipids; L1–L5, phosphatidylethanolamine; PE, unidentified aminolipids; AL1–AL2, unidentified glycolipids; GL1–GL3.	230
Figure 7.10 Full-length 16S rRNA nucleotide sequence of strain CL23 (1522 bp).	232
Figure 7.11 Neighbour joining 16S rRNA phylogenetic tree indicating the relationship of strain CL23 with closely related members of family <i>Flavobacteriaceae</i> . Corresponding Genbank accession numbers are indicated in parentheses. Bootstrap values based on 1000 resampled datasets are depicted as percentages at nodes (only >50% are shown). Filled circles indicate that corresponding nodes were also	

recovered in dendograms generated using ML and MP algorithms. Open circles indicate that corresponding nodes were also recovered in dendograms generated using either ML or MP algorithm. The sequence of *Cytophaga hutchinsonii* ATCC 33406^T was used as outgroup. Bar, 0.05 substitutions per nucleotide position.

233

Figure 7.12 Neighbour joining phylogenetic tree based on the concatenated sequences of five housekeeping genes: *rpoB*–*gyrB*–*recA*–*mutL*–*atpD*, manifesting the position of strain CL23. Bootstrap values based on 1000 resampled datasets are depicted as percentages at nodes (only >50% are shown). Filled circles indicate that corresponding nodes were also recovered in dendograms generated using ML and MP algorithms. Open circles indicate that corresponding nodes were also recovered in dendograms generated using either ML or MP algorithm. The sequence of *Cytophaga hutchinsonii* ATCC 33406^T was used as outgroup. Bar, 0.05 substitutions per nucleotide position.

235

Figure 7.13 Phylogenomic tree based on whole genome sequences showing the relationship of strain CL23 with closely related members of family *Flavobacteriaceae*. Corresponding Genbank accession numbers are indicated in parentheses. Bootstrap values based on 1000 resampled datasets are depicted as percentages at nodes (only >50% are shown). The sequence of *Cytophaga hutchinsonii* ATCC 33406^T was used as outgroup. Bar, 0.01 substitutions per nucleotide position.

236

Figure 7.14 Whole cell proteins profiles of strain CL23 (lane 2) and *R. marina* (lane 3) on SDS-PAGE with protein ladder at lane 1. Blue circle, major bands observed for strain CL23; red diamond, major bands observed for *R. marina*.

238

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

A	-	Adenine
AA	-	Auxiliary activity
AAI	-	Average amino acid identity
ABC	-	ATP binding cassette
ABTS	-	2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid)
Ac	-	Acetyl group
ACE	-	Abundance-based coverage
AL	-	Aminolipids
ANI	-	Average nucleotide identity
ANImb	-	Average nucleotide identity based on BLAST
ANIm	-	Average nucleotide identity based on MUMmer
API	-	Analytical Profile Index
ATP	-	Adenosine triphosphate
<i>atpD</i>	-	ATP synthase
BCCM	-	Belgian Co-ordinated Collections of Micro-organisms
BF	-	Bound fraction
BLASTp	-	Protein-protein Basic Local Alignment Search Tool
bp	-	Base pair
BSA	-	Bovine serum albumin
C	-	Cytosine
C ₂ -OH	-	Bond between carbon and hydroxide
Ca.	-	Candidate
CaCl ₂	-	Calcium chloride
CAZy	-	Carbohydrate Active Enzymes database
CAZymes	-	Carbohydrate Active Enzymes
CBM	-	Carbohydrate binding module
C-C	-	Carbon to carbon bond
cDNA	-	Complementary deoxyribonucleic acid
CE	-	Carbohydrate esterase
CLSI	-	Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

CMC	-	Carboxymethyl cellulose
C-O	-	Carbon to oxygen bond
CO ₂	-	Carbon dioxide
COG	-	Cluster of Orthologous Group
csv	-	Comma-separated values
CTAB	-	Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide
D	-	Dextrorotatory
DDH	-	DNA-DNA hybridization
DEPC	-	Diethyl Pyrocarbonate
DHA	-	Keto-3-deoxy-D-lyxo-heptulosaric acid
DMK	-	Desmethylmenaquinones
DNA	-	Deoxyribonucleic acid
DNS	-	3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid
dNTP	-	Deoxynucleoside triphosphate
DOE-JGI	-	U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute
DSMZ	-	German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures
DTT	-	Dithiothreitol
DyP	-	Dye decolorizing peroxidase
E	-	East
e ⁻	-	Electron
EDTA	-	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
EFB	-	Empty fruit bunch
emPAI	-	Exponentially modified protein abundance index
ESI-MS	-	Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry
EST	-	Expressed sequence tag
et al.	-	Et alia
E-value	-	Expect value
EZ-link-sulfo-	-	Succinimidyl 2-(biotinamido)-ethyl-1,3' -dithiopropionate
NHS-SS-biotin		
Fer	-	Ferulic acid
FPKM	-	Fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads
G	-	Guanine

G+C	-	Guanine and cytosine
GGDC	-	Genome-to-Genome Distance Calculator
GH	-	Glycosyl hydrolase
GLC	-	Gas liquid chromatography
GOLD	-	Genome Online Database
<i>gyrB</i>	-	DNA gyrase subunit B
h	-	Hour
H	-	Adenine or Cytosine or Thymine
H ⁺	-	Hydrogen ion
H ₂ O	-	Water
H ₂ O ₂	-	Hydrogen peroxide
H ₂ S	-	Hydrogen sulphide
HCl	-	Hydrochloric acid
HG	-	Homogalacturonan
HPLC	-	High Performance Liquid Chromatography
ICSP	-	International Committee on Systematics of Prokaryotes
IMG	-	Integrated Microbial Genomes
INO	-	Inoculum
JCM	-	Japan Collection of Microorganisms
K ⁺	-	Potassium ion
KCl	-	Potassium chloride
KCTC	-	Korean Collection for Type Cultures
KDO	-	α -3-deoxy-d-manno-octulosonic acid (KDO)
KEGG	-	Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes
L	-	Laevorotatory
L	-	Lipid
L. gen. n.	-	Genus
L. neut. n.	-	Neuter gender
LC-MS/MS	-	Liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry
LDA	-	Lignin degrading auxiliary enzymes
LDS	-	Lithium dodecyl sulfate
LO	-	Lignin auxiliary enzymes
LPMO	-	Lytic polysaccharide monooxygenase

M	-	Adenine or Cytosine
MA	-	Marine agar
maxEE	-	Maximum number of expected errors
MB	-	Marine broth
Mbp	-	Million base pair
MDS	-	Multidimensional scaling
Me	-	Methyl group
MEGA	-	Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis
MEGAN	-	MEtaGenome ANalyzer
MGAP	-	Microbial Genome Annotation Pipeline
MgCl ₂	-	Magnesium chloride
MgSO ₄ ·7H ₂ O	-	Magnesium sulfate heptahydrate
min	-	Minute
MIDI	-	Microbial Identification System
MK	-	Menaquinones
ML	-	Maximum Likelihood
MLSA	-	Multilocus sequence analysis
Mn ²⁺	-	Manganese (II) ion
Mn ³⁺	-	Manganese (III) ion
MnSO ₄ ·4H ₂ O	-	Manganese (II) sulfate tetrahydrate
MP	-	Maximum-parsimony
mRNA	-	Messenger ribonucleic acid
MS/MS	-	Tandem mass spectrometry
<i>mutL</i>	-	DNA mismatch repair protein
MWCO	-	Molecular weight cut-off
N	-	North
Na ⁺	-	Sodium ion
NaCl	-	Sodium chloride
NADPH	-	Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate
NaOH	-	Sodium hydroxide
NCBI	-	National Center for Biotechnology Information
ncRNA	-	non-coding ribonucleic acid
NJ	-	Neighbour-joining

NO ₃	-	Nitrate
nov.	-	Novel
O ₂	-	Oxygen
ONPG	-	Ortho-nitrophenyl-β-galactoside
ORF	-	Open reading frame
OrthoANIu	-	Average nucleotide identity based on USEARCH
OTU	-	Operational taxonomic unit
Pcou	-	ρ-coumaric acid
PCR	-	Polymerase chain reaction
PE	-	Phosphatidylethanolamine
PEG 6000	-	Poly(ethylene glycol) 6000
PERMANOVA	-	Permutational multivariate analysis of variance
PES	-	Polyethersulfone
PGAP	-	Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline
PL	-	Polysaccharide lyase
pNP-Ara	-	p-nitrophenyl-α-L-arabinofuranoside
pNP-βM	-	p-nitrophenyl-β-D-mannopyranoside
pNPG	-	p-nitrophenyl-β-D-galactopyranoside
pNPGa	-	p-nitrophenyl-α-D-galactopyranoside
pNPX	-	p-nitrophenyl-β-D-xylopyranoside
POCP	-	Percentage of conserved protein
Pte Ltd.	-	Private limited
QIIME	-	Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology
R	-	Guanine or Adenine
REALPHY	-	Reference sequence Alignment based Phylogeny builder
<i>recA</i>	-	ATP-dependent DNA repair protein
RG-I	-	Rhamnogalacturonan I
RG-II	-	Rhamnogalacturonan II
RIN	-	RNA integrity number
RNA	-	Ribonucleic acid
RNase	-	Ribonuclease
rpm	-	Revolutions per minute
<i>rpoB</i>	-	RNA polymerase β-subunit

rRNA	-	Ribosomal ribonucleic acid
S	-	Guanine or Cytosine
SDS	-	Sodium dodecyl sulfate
SEM	-	Scanning electron microscopy
SNT	-	Supernatant
sp.	-	Species (singular)
spp.	-	Species (plural)
SRA	-	Sequence Read Archive
T	-	Thymine
T9SS	-	Type 9 secretion system
TAE	-	Tris-acetate-EDTA
TLC	-	Thin Layer Chromatography
TR	-	Trace
Tris	-	Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane
tRNA	-	Transfer ribonucleic acid
UPLC	-	Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatography
UV	-	Ultra violet
W	-	Adenine or Thymine
WebMGA	-	Web services for metagenomic analysis
XGA	-	Xylogalacturonan
Y	-	Cytosine or Thymine

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A _{260/280}	-	Absorbance ratio at 260 nm and 280 nm
A ₆₁₀	-	Absorbance at 610 nm
A ₆₅₁	-	Absorbance at 651 nm
α	-	Alpha
~	-	Approximately
β	-	Beta
°	-	Degree
°C	-	Degree Celsius
g	-	Gravity
kDa	-	Kilo Dalton
kV	-	Kilo volt
ε	-	Molar absorption coefficient
O	-	Ortho
p	-	Para
®	-	Registered trademark
×	-	Times
™	-	Trademark
T	-	Type strain
U/ml	-	Units per volume
v/v	-	Volume per volume
w/v	-	Weight per volume

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Components of Marine Salt™ (Seachem)	289
Appendix B	Components of Marine Broth 2216 (BD Dfico)	290
Appendix C	Total nucleic acids extracted for samples of inoculum and day 10	291

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of study

Over the last century, almost three-fold of increase in human population created a significant burden in energy resources (Prasad et al., 2019). A total of 7.6 billion of world human population in 2018 consumed an estimated 89 billion barrels of petroleum per day (Kumari and Singh, 2018). Currently, fossil fuel including petroleum and oil remains as the major contributor to meet the 80% of energy demand in the world (Raud et al., 2014; Raud et al., 2019). Nevertheless, the excessive dependence on non-renewable fossil fuel has caused detrimental effects to the environment such as global warming, loss of precious biodiversity, emission of greenhouse gases and rising of sea level (Binod et al., 2019; Gaurav et al., 2017; Robak and Balceruk, 2018). More importantly, fossil fuel is estimated to be depleted completely by next 45 years (Arifin et al., 2014).

Harnessing biofuel from various biomass resources is an important alternative to replace fossil fuel as it provides several advantages such as sustainability and eco-friendly (Bhatia et al., 2017; Raud et al., 2019). Up to this point, United States and Brazil are two chief intercontinental producers of first-generation biofuel (~87% total world production) which derived from food crops such as corn and sugarcane (Gupta and Verma, 2015; Lopes et al., 2016; Prasad et al., 2019). In details, a total of 15800 and 7060 million gallons of first-generation biofuels were produced by United States and Brazil in 2017 respectively (Liu et al., 2019a; Prasad et al., 2019).

Since corn and sugarcane are utilized as foods and feeds, these crops are not the best raw materials for first-generation biofuel production. This leads to food competition and may worsen the starvation issue in some third world countries (Banerjee et al., 2010; Owusu and Asumadu-Sarkodie, 2016; Ramos et al., 2016; Raud et al., 2019). To resolve this issue, the utilization of non-edible plant biomass as feedstocks are favorable (Kumari and Singh, 2018; Marriott et al., 2016; Shafawati and Siddiquee, 2013). The lignocellulosic biomass comprises of cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin and pectin is the most abundant form of fixed carbon on Earth (10^9 tons/annum) and its breakdown is a critical component for second-generation biofuel production (Batista-García et al., 2016). Oil palm empty fruit bunch (EFB) is one of the promising lignocellulosic biomasses. It is the major solid waste generated during the palm oil production process in the palm oil mill (Loh, 2017). The valorization of this abundant waste is highly encouraged in countries like Malaysia and Indonesia as they are the chief producers internationally (Aditiya et al., 2016; Ahmad et al., 2019).

The conversion of lignocellulosic biomass into second-generation biofuels such as bioethanol and biobutanol primarily requires four steps: pre-treatment of lignocellulosic biomass, hydrolysis/saccharification, fermentation of sugar monomers from lignocellulosic biomass and recovery of biofuel as final product (Gaurav et al., 2017; Gupta and Verma, 2015; Liu et al., 2019a). The biological pre-treatment and enzymatic hydrolysis by using microorganisms (bacteria and fungi) offered benefits such as less energy input, cost saving and environmental friendly as compared to other methods (Bhatia et al., 2017; Derman et al., 2018; Prasad et al., 2019).

The functional diversity and flexibility of bacteria make them as the good candidates for biological pre-treatment and saccharification as compared to fungi (Obeng et al., 2017). Many bacteria were able to decompose plant biomass into carbon-containing sugars by secreting lignocellulolytic enzymes, including cellulases, hemicellulases, ligninases and pectinases (de Gonzalo et al., 2016; Juturu and Wu, 2012; 2014a; Malgas et al., 2015). These enzymes have been further classified, based on structure in the Carbohydrate-Active Enzyme database (CAZy), into glycosyl hydrolases (GHs), carbohydrate esterases (CEs), polysaccharide lyases (PLs) and auxiliary activities (AAs) (Lombard et al., 2014). Common well-known bacteria such as *Bacillus* spp., *Brevibacillus* spp., *Cellulomonas* spp., *Streptomyces* spp. and *Pseudomonas* spp. have been widely studied in terms of their biomass degrading abilities for biorefining applications (Juturu and Wu, 2014a; Kamsani et al., 2016; Sharma et al., 2019).

In a typical bioprocessing model, large volume of freshwater is used to culture microorganism for lignocellulosic biomass degradation (Chen and Fu, 2016). It was calculated that 1.9–5.9 m³ of freshwater were consumed to produce 1 m³ of biofuel (Fang et al., 2015). This creates an unnecessary competition of freshwater between human consumption and biorefinery (Vörösmarty et al., 2010). While only 3.5% of the Earth water is freshwater which consists of ice caps, glaciers, groundwater and accessible surface freshwater (Nandakumar et al., 2019). This issue is worsened by unresolved water pollutions due to human activities. To have a better solution, seawater could be considered as an alternative for lignocellulose biomass pre-treatment and saccharification as seawater covers 96.5% of the biosphere (Dalmaso et al., 2015; Dhondy et al., 2019). Due to the reason that seawater contains salt such as NaCl, the search for new halophilic microorganisms and its lignocellulolytic enzymes are important.

Mangrove environment is one of the areas that reside with halophilic microorganisms. The plant biomass degrading microorganisms living in this area play an important role in recycling the organic carbon in the soil (Castro et al., 2018; Kathiresan, 2019; Lin et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019). Thus, mangrove area serves as potential source for mining of microorganisms and their enzymes related to lignocellulose degradation.

To have a thorough understanding on halophilic microorganisms in mangrove environment and their salt tolerant lignocellulolytic enzymes, both culture independent and dependent approaches are necessary (Guo et al., 2018; López-Mondéjar et al., 2019). Culture independent approach includes amplicon metagenomics, metatranscriptomics and metaproteomics (Guo et al., 2018; López-Mondéjar et al., 2019). The profile of lignocellulosic degrading microbial population at community level requires the utilization of amplicon metagenome sequencing of gene markers such as 16S rRNA and 18S rRNA to reveal their identity (Christensen et al., 2018; McAllister et al., 2018; Schöler et al., 2017). While the metatranscriptomic and metaproteomic studies are centered on functionality of lignocellulolytic genes in terms of expressed mRNA and proteins formed by microbial community respectively (Guo et al., 2018; López-Mondéjar et al., 2019). In terms of culture dependent approach, genomic analysis and polyphasic characterization are widely utilized to reveal the new culturable halophilic bacteria with lignocellulolytic ability (López-Mondéjar et al., 2019; Raina et al., 2019). The identification of new culturable bacteria involves polyphasic characterization such as genotypic, phenotypic and chemotaxonomic analyses in order to propose new bacteria with valid taxon name (Raina et al., 2019). The genomic analysis on selected culturable strains could elucidate the lignocellulolytic genes encoded in the genomes (Berlemont and Martiny, 2015). Collectively, both culture independent and culture dependent approaches are coupled with each other to comprehensively decipher the new halophilic microorganisms with lignocellulolytic enzymes production.

1.2 Problem statement

Large volume of freshwater used in pre-treatment and saccharification of lignocellulosic biomass for second-generation biofuel production have been a major concern due to limited access of freshwater on Earth. Furthermore, this also created freshwater competition between biorefinery industry and human consumption. The utilization of seawater could be a potential for freshwater replacement in pre-treatment and saccharification of lignocellulosic biomass as seawater is abundant (96.5% of biosphere). Thus, the search for new lignocellulolytic microorganisms and its enzymes from halophilic source is necessary. The halophilic microorganisms in the mangrove area have been participated in plant biomass degradation for organic carbon recycling. So far, limited studies were performed to elucidate the ability of mangrove microorganisms for lignocellulose degradation. Therefore, in this study, research was conducted by using culture independent and dependent approaches to reveal the potential of mangrove microorganisms in decomposing lignocellulosic biomass.

1.3 Objectives of study

There are four objectives in this research in which objective 1 and 2 are related to culture independent approach, while objective 3 and 4 are related to culture dependent approach:

1. To profile the empty fruit bunch adapted mangrove microbial community that participated in lignocellulose decomposition by using amplicon metagenome analysis.
2. To mine the lignocellulolytic enzymes produced by empty fruit bunch adapted mangrove microbial community through metatranscriptomic and metaproteomic approaches.
3. To isolate and analyze the genomes of culturable bacteria with lignocellulose decomposing ability from empty fruit bunch adapted mangrove soil samples.
4. To propose a new bacterial species with lignocellulolytic ability via polyphasic taxonomy approach.

1.4 Scope of study

This study hypothesized that the potential of mangrove microorganisms (halophilic source) for oil palm EFB (lignocellulosic biomass) degradation by using culture independent (amplicon metagenomics, metatranscriptomics and metaproteomics) and culture dependent (polyphasic characterization and genomics) approaches could be elucidated.

To test the hypothesis, for culture independent part, total DNA, RNA and proteins were directly extracted from EFB-adapted mangrove soil samples. The 16S rRNA and 18S rRNA gene fragments that amplified from total DNA extracted were subjected to amplicon metagenome sequencing. The identity of EFB-adapted prokaryotic and eukaryotic communities were profiled. While the extracted total RNA and proteins were purified and subjected to metatranscriptomic and metaproteomic analyses. The potential new salt tolerant lignocellulolytic enzymes were mined and identified.

For culture dependent part, culturable bacteria were isolated and screened with lignocellulolytic enzymes production and the isolates were identified via 16S rRNA gene analysis. Two culturable bacteria, namely *Meridianimaribacter* sp. CL38 and *Robertkochia* sp. CL23 without any -omics study and no applications on lignocellulose degradation reported at the time of study were selected for further investigations. The genomes of both bacterial strains were sequenced and analyzed. As low 16S rRNA gene similarity shared between strain CL23 and the only species of *Robertkochia* genus (*Robertkochia marina*), strain CL23 was characterized by using polyphasic approach including phenotypic, chemotaxonomic and genotypic aspects to determine the taxonomy position of strain CL23. This strain was proposed as a new bacterial species with validated name.

1.5 Significance of study

By employing culture independent and culture dependent approaches, the exploration of new mangrove microorganisms that were able to produce salt-tolerant lignocellulolytic enzymes for EFB decomposition provides following significance:

1. The identity of mangrove microbial community that are able to deconstruct oil palm EFB was profiled. Many bacteria from the mangrove soil were taxonomically less-well defined and their application on lignocellulose decomposition were not established. *Meridianimaribacter* sp. CL38 and *Robertkochia* sp. CL23 are two such bacteria that were isolated from mangrove soil. An insight on potential new bacteria for lignocellulose degradation was gained.
2. A set of novel salt-tolerant lignocellulolytic enzymes were mined through multi-omics analyses (metatranscriptomics, metaproteomics and genomics). This imparts a prospective new halophilic source for enzyme cocktail development in order to be utilized in lignocellulose pre-treatment and saccharification.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Hamid, A. M., Solbiati, J. O., and Cann, I. K. (2013). *Insights into lignin degradation and its potential industrial applications*, in Sariaslani, S. and Gadd, G. M. (eds.) *Advances in applied microbiology*. United States: Academic Press, pp. 1-28.
- Adav, S. S., Ravindran, A., Cheow, E. S. H., and Sze, S. K. (2012). ‘Quantitative proteomic analysis of secretome of microbial consortium during saw dust utilization’. *Journal of Proteomics*, 75(18), 5590-5603.
- Adin, D. M., Visick, K. L., and Stabb, E. V. (2008). ‘Identification of a cellobiose utilization gene cluster with cryptic β-galactosidase activity in *Vibrio fischeri*’. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 74(13), 4059.
- Aditiya, H., Chong, W., Mahlia, T., Sebayang, A., Berawi, M. A., and Nur, H. (2016). ‘Second generation bioethanol potential from selected Malaysia’s biodiversity biomasses: a review’. *Waste Management*, 47, 46-61.
- Agbor, V. B., Cicek, N., Sparling, R., Berlin, A., and Levin, D. B. (2011). ‘Biomass pretreatment: fundamentals toward application’. *Biotechnology Advances*, 29(6), 675-685.
- Ahmad, F. B., Zhang, Z., Doherty, W. O., and O'Hara, I. M. (2016). ‘Evaluation of oil production from oil palm empty fruit bunch by oleaginous micro-organisms’. *Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefining*, 10(4), 378-392.
- Ahmad, F. B., Zhang, Z., Doherty, W. O., and O'Hara, I. M. (2019). ‘The outlook of the production of advanced fuels and chemicals from integrated oil palm biomass biorefinery’. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 109, 386-411.
- Alegado, R. A., Brown, L. W., Cao, S., Dermenjian, R. K., Zuzow, R., Fairclough, S. R., Clardy, J., and King, N. (2012). ‘A bacterial sulfonolipid triggers multicellular development in the closest living relatives of animals’. *eLife*, 1, e00013-e00013.
- Alessi, A. M., Bird, S. M., Bennett, J. P., Oates, N. C., Li, Y., Dowle, A. A., Polikarpov, I., Young, J. P. W., McQueen-Mason, S. J., and Bruce, N. C.

- (2017). ‘Revealing the insoluble metasecretome of lignocellulose-degrading microbial communities’. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 2356.
- Alessi, A. M., Bird, S. M., Oates, N. C., Li, Y., Dowle, A. A., Novotny, E. H., deAzevedo, E. R., Bennett, J. P., Polikarpov, I., Young, J. P. W., McQueen-Mason, S. J., and Bruce, N. C. (2018). ‘Defining functional diversity for lignocellulose degradation in a microbial community using multi-omics studies’. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 11(1), 166.
- Ali, S. R. A., Isa, M. N. M., Safari, S., Shawal, M., Maidin, T., and Ibrahim, S. A. S. (2018). ‘Eukaryotic biodiversity in mixed peat ecosystems in Sarawak, Malaysia’. *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, 30(3), 429-450.
- Almagro Armenteros, J. J., Tsirigos, K. D., Sønderby, C. K., Petersen, T. N., Winther, O., Brunak, S., von Heijne, G., and Nielsen, H. (2019). ‘SignalP 5.0 improves signal peptide predictions using deep neural networks’. *Nature Biotechnology*, 37(4), 420-423.
- Alongi, D. M. (2012). ‘Carbon sequestration in mangrove forests’. *Carbon Management*, 3(3), 313-322.
- Alongi, D. M. (2018). *Mangrove forests*, in *Blue carbon: Coastal sequestration for climate change mitigation*. Cham: Springer, pp. 23-36.
- Alongi, D. M., and Mukhopadhyay, S. K. (2015). ‘Contribution of mangroves to coastal carbon cycling in low latitude seas’. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 213, 266-272.
- Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W., and Lipman, D. J. (1990). ‘Basic local alignment search tool’. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 215(3), 403-410.
- Álvarez-Cao, M.-E., Cerdán, M.-E., González-Siso, M.-I., and Becerra, M. (2019). ‘Bioconversion of beet molasses to alpha-galactosidase and ethanol’. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 10, 405.
- Alvarez, C., Reyes-Sosa, F. M., and Díez, B. (2016). ‘Enzymatic hydrolysis of biomass from wood’. *Microbial Biotechnology*, 9(2), 149-156.
- Alzubaidy, H., Essack, M., Malas, T. B., Bokhari, A., Motwalli, O., Kamanu, F. K., Jamhor, S. A., Mokhtar, N. A., Antunes, A., Simões, M. F., Alam, I., Bougouffa, S., Lafi, F. F., Bajic, V. B., and Archer, J. A. C. (2016). ‘Rhizosphere microbiome metagenomics of gray mangroves (*Avicennia marina*) in the Red Sea’. *Gene*, 576(2, Part 1), 626-636.

- Amin, F. R., Khalid, H., Zhang, H., u Rahman, S., Zhang, R., Liu, G., and Chen, C. (2017). ‘Pretreatment methods of lignocellulosic biomass for anaerobic digestion’. *AMB Express*, 7(1), 72.
- Anasontzis, G. E., Lebrun, M.-H., Haon, M., Champion, C., Kohler, A., Lenfant, N., Martin, F., O’Connell, R. J., Riley, R., Grigoriev, I. V., Henrissat, B., Berrin, J.-G., and Rosso, M.-N. (2019). ‘Broad-specificity GH131 β -glucanases are a hallmark of fungi and oomycetes that colonize plants’. *Environmental Microbiology*, 21(8), 2724-2739.
- Ansell, M., and Mwaikambo, L. (2009). *The structure of cotton and other plant fibres*, in *Handbook of textile fibre structure*. Netherlands: Academic Press, pp. 62-94.
- Antunes, L. P., Martins, L. F., Pereira, R. V., Thomas, A. M., Barbosa, D., Lemos, L. N., Silva, G. M. M., Moura, L. M. S., Epamino, G. W. C., and Digiampietri, L. A. (2016). ‘Microbial community structure and dynamics in thermophilic composting viewed through metagenomics and metatranscriptomics’. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 38915.
- Appelbaum, P., and Leathers, D. (1984). ‘Evaluation of the rapid NFT system for identification of Gram-negative, nonfermenting rods’. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 20(4), 730-734.
- Apprill, A., McNally, S., Parsons, R., and Weber, L. (2015). ‘Minor revision to V4 region SSU rRNA 806R gene primer greatly increases detection of SAR11 bacterioplankton’. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*, 75(2), 129-137.
- Archibald, F. S. (1992). ‘A new assay for lignin-type peroxidases employing the dye azore B’. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 58(9), 3110-3116.
- Arifin, Y., Tanudjaja, E., Dimyati, A., and Pinontoan, R. (2014). ‘A second generation biofuel from cellulosic agricultural by-product fermentation using *Clostridium* species for electricity generation’. *Energy Procedia*, 47, 310-315.
- Armenta, S., Moreno-Mendieta, S., Sánchez-Cuapio, Z., Sánchez, S., and Rodríguez-Sanoja, R. (2017). ‘Advances in molecular engineering of carbohydrate-binding modules’. *Proteins: Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics*, 85(9), 1602-1617.
- Arnal, G., Stogios, P. J., Asohan, J., Attia, M. A., Skarina, T., Viborg, A. H., Henrissat, B., Savchenko, A., and Brumer, H. (2019). ‘Substrate specificity,

- regiospecificity, and processivity in glycoside hydrolase family 74'. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 294(36), 13233-13247.
- Arun, A. B., Chen, W.-M., Lai, W.-A., Chou, J.-H., Rekha, P. D., Shen, F.-T., Singh, S., and Young, C.-C. (2009). 'Parvularcula lutaonensis sp. nov., a moderately thermotolerant marine bacterium isolated from a coastal hot spring'. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 59(5), 998-1001.
- Aspeborg, H., Coutinho, P. M., Wang, Y., Brumer, H., and Henrissat, B. (2012). 'Evolution, substrate specificity and subfamily classification of glycoside hydrolase family 5 (GH5)'. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, 12(1), 186.
- Attia, M. A., and Brumer, H. (2016). 'Recent structural insights into the enzymology of the ubiquitous plant cell wall glycan xyloglucan'. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, 40, 43-53.
- Auch, A. F., Jan, M., Klenk, H.-P., and Göker, M. (2010). 'Digital DNA-DNA hybridization for microbial species delineation by means of genome-to-genome sequence comparison'. *Standards in Genomic Sciences*, 2(1), 117.
- Auer, L., Lazuka, A., Sillam-Dussès, D., Miambi, E., O'Donohue, M., and Hernandez-Raquet, G. (2017). 'Uncovering the potential of termite gut microbiome for lignocellulose bioconversion in anaerobic batch bioreactors'. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 8(2623).
- Averhoff, B., and Müller, V. (2010). 'Exploring research frontiers in microbiology: recent advances in halophilic and thermophilic extremophiles'. *Research in Microbiology*, 161(6), 506-514.
- Bandounas, L., Wierckx, N. J., de Winde, J. H., and Ruijssenaars, H. J. (2011). 'Isolation and characterization of novel bacterial strains exhibiting ligninolytic potential'. *BMC Biotechnology*, 11(1), 94.
- Banerjee, S., Mudliar, S., Sen, R., Giri, B., Satpute, D., Chakrabarti, T., and Pandey, R. A. (2010). 'Commercializing lignocellulosic bioethanol: technology bottlenecks and possible remedies'. *Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefining*, 4(1), 77-93.
- Barreto, C. R., Morrissey, E., Wykoff, D., and Chapman, S. (2018). 'Co-occurring mangroves and salt marshes differ in microbial community composition'. *Wetlands*, 38(3), 497-508.

- Batista-García, R. A., del Rayo Sánchez-Carbente, M., Talia, P., Jackson, S. A., O'Leary, N. D., Dobson, A. D., and Folch-Mallol, J. L. (2016). ‘From lignocellulosic metagenomes to lignocellulolytic genes: trends, challenges and future prospects’. *Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefining*, 10, 864-882.
- Behera, B., Sethi, B., Mishra, R., Dutta, S., and Thatoi, H. (2017). ‘Microbial cellulases—diversity & biotechnology with reference to mangrove environment: a review’. *Journal of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology*, 15(1), 197-210.
- Behera, P., Mohapatra, M., Kim, J. Y., Adhya, T. K., Pattnaik, A. K., and Rastogi, G. (2019). ‘Spatial and temporal heterogeneity in the structure and function of sediment bacterial communities of a tropical mangrove forest’. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26(4), 3893-3908.
- Berlemont, R., and Martiny, A. C. (2015). ‘Genomic potential for polysaccharide deconstruction in bacteria’. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 81(4), 1513-1519.
- Bernardes, A., Pellegrini, V. O. A., Curtolo, F., Camilo, C. M., Mello, B. L., Johns, M. A., Scott, J. L., Guimaraes, F. E. C., and Polikarpov, I. (2019). ‘Carbohydrate binding modules enhance cellulose enzymatic hydrolysis by increasing access of cellulases to the substrate’. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 211, 57-68.
- Bernardet, J.-F., and Nakagawa, Y. (2006). *An introduction to the family Flavobacteriaceae*, in Dworkin, M., Falkow, S., Rosenberg, E., Schleifer, K. and Stackebrandt, E. (eds.) *The prokaryotes*. New York: Springer, pp. 455-480.
- Bernardet, J.-F., Nakagawa, Y., and Holmes, B. (2002). ‘Proposed minimal standards for describing new taxa of the family Flavobacteriaceae and emended description of the family’. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 52(3), 1049-1070.
- Bernfeld, P. (1955). α -and β -amylases, in Colowick, S. and Kaplan, N. (eds.) *Methods in enzymology*. New York: Academic Press, pp. 149-158.
- Bertels, F., Silander, O. K., Pachkov, M., Rainey, P. B., and van Nimwegen, E. (2014). ‘Automated reconstruction of whole-genome phylogenies from short-sequence reads’. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 31(5), 1077-1088.
- Bhatia, L., Chandel, A. K., Singh, A. K., and Singh, O. V. (2018). *Biotechnological advances in lignocellulosic ethanol production*, in Singh, O. V. and Chandel,

- A. K. (eds.) *Sustainable biotechnology-enzymatic resources of renewable energy*. Cham: Springer, pp. 57-82.
- Bhatia, S. K., Kim, S.-H., Yoon, J.-J., and Yang, Y.-H. (2017). ‘Current status and strategies for second generation biofuel production using microbial systems’. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 148, 1142-1156.
- Bhutto, A. W., Qureshi, K., Harijan, K., Abro, R., Abbas, T., Bazmi, A. A., Karim, S., and Yu, G. (2017). ‘Insight into progress in pre-treatment of lignocellulosic biomass’. *Energy*, 122, 724-745.
- Binod, P., Gnansounou, E., Sindhu, R., and Pandey, A. (2019). ‘Enzymes for second generation biofuels: recent developments and future perspectives’. *Bioresource Technology Reports*, 5, 317-325.
- Biswas, R., and Sarkar, A. (2018). ‘Omics’ tools in soil microbiology: the state of the art, in *Advances in soil microbiology: recent trends and future prospects*. Singapore: Springer, pp. 35-64.
- Bleidorn, C. (2016). ‘Third generation sequencing: technology and its potential impact on evolutionary biodiversity research’. *Systematics and Biodiversity*, 14(1), 1-8.
- Bock, C., Farlik, M., and Sheffield, N. C. (2016). ‘Multi-omics of single cells: strategies and applications’. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 34(8), 605-608.
- Bomble, Y. J., Lin, C.-Y., Amore, A., Wei, H., Holwerda, E. K., Ciesielski, P. N., Donohoe, B. S., Decker, S. R., Lynd, L. R., and Himmel, M. E. (2017). ‘Lignocellulose deconstruction in the biosphere’. *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology*, 41, 61-70.
- Boncan, D. A. T., David, A. M. E., and Lluisma, A. O. (2018). ‘A CAZyme-rich genome of a taxonomically novel rhodophyte-associated carrageenolytic marine bacterium’. *Marine Biotechnology*, 20(6), 685-705.
- Boraston, A. B., Bolam, D. N., Gilbert, H. J., and Davies, G. J. (2004). ‘Carbohydrate-binding modules: fine-tuning polysaccharide recognition’. *Biochemical Journal*, 382(3), 769-781.
- Borodovsky, M., and Lomsadze, A. (2014). ‘Gene identification in prokaryotic genomes, phages, metagenomes, and EST sequences with GeneMarkS suite’. *Current Protocols in Microbiology*, 32(1), 1E.7.1-1E.7.17.
- Bourbonnais, R., Paice, M., Reid, I., Lanthier, P., and Yaguchi, M. (1995). ‘Lignin oxidation by laccase isozymes from *Trametes versicolor* and role of the

- mediator 2, 2'-azinobis (3-ethylbenzthiazoline-6-sulfonate) in kraft lignin depolymerization'. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 61(5), 1876-1880.
- Bowman, J. P. (2000). 'Description of *Cellulophaga algicola* sp. nov., isolated from the surfaces of Antarctic algae, and reclassification of *Cytophaga uliginosa* (ZoBell and Upham 1944) Reichenbach 1989 as *Cellulophaga uliginosa* comb. nov'. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 50(5), 1861-1868.
- Bowman, J. P., and Nichols, D. S. (2002). 'Aequorivita gen. nov., a member of the family *Flavobacteriaceae* isolated from terrestrial and marine Antarctic habitats'. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 52(5), 1533-1541.
- Bradford, M. M. (1976). 'A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding'. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 72(1-2), 248-254.
- Bredon, M., Dittmer, J., Noël, C., Moumen, B., and Bouchon, D. (2018). 'Lignocellulose degradation at the holobiont level: teamwork in a keystone soil invertebrate'. *Microbiome*, 6(1), 162.
- Bremer, G. (1995). 'Lower marine fungi (*Labyrinthulomycetes*) and the decay of mangrove leaf litter'. *Hydrobiologia*, 295(1-3), 89-95.
- Brodeur, G., Yau, E., Badal, K., Collier, J., Ramachandran, K., and Ramakrishnan, S. (2011). 'Chemical and physicochemical pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass: a review'. *Enzyme Research*, 2011.
- Brooks, K., Jens, M., and Sodeman, T. (1974). 'A clinical evaluation of the API microtube system for identification of *Enterobacteriaceae*'. *The American Journal of Medical Technology*, 40(2), 55-61.
- Brown, M. E., and Chang, M. C. (2014). 'Exploring bacterial lignin degradation'. *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology*, 19, 1-7.
- Bugg, T. D., Ahmad, M., Hardiman, E. M., and Singh, R. (2011). 'The emerging role for bacteria in lignin degradation and bio-product formation'. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 22(3), 394-400.
- Bugg, T. D., and Rahmanpour, R. (2015). 'Enzymatic conversion of lignin into renewable chemicals'. *Current Opinion in Chemical Biology*, 29, 10-17.

- Camacho, C., Coulouris, G., Avagyan, V., Ma, N., Papadopoulos, J., Bealer, K., and Madden, T. L. (2009). ‘BLAST+: architecture and applications’. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 10(1), 421.
- Caporaso, J. G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F. D., Costello, E. K., Fierer, N., Pena, A. G., Goodrich, J. K., and Gordon, J. I. (2010). ‘QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data’. *Nature Methods*, 7(5), 335.
- Carabajal, M., Levin, L., Albertó, E., and Lechner, B. (2012). ‘Effect of co-cultivation of two *Pleurotus* species on lignocellulolytic enzyme production and mushroom fructification’. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, 66(1), 71-76.
- Carvalho, C. C., Phan, N. N., Chen, Y., and Reilly, P. J. (2015). ‘Carbohydrate - binding module tribes’ . *Biopolymers*, 103(4), 203-214.
- Castro, R. A., Dourado, M. N., Almeida, J. R. d., Lacava, P. T., Nave, A., Melo, I. S. d., Azevedo, J. L. d., and Quecine, M. C. (2018). ‘Mangrove endophyte promotes reforestation tree (*Acacia polyphylla*) growth’. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 49(1), 59-66.
- Chakravorty, S., Helb, D., Burday, M., Connell, N., and Alland, D. (2007). ‘A detailed analysis of 16S ribosomal RNA gene segments for the diagnosis of pathogenic bacteria’. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 69(2), 330-339.
- Chang, H.-X., Yendrek, C. R., Caetano-Anolles, G., and Hartman, G. L. (2016). ‘Genomic characterization of plant cell wall degrading enzymes and in silico analysis of xylanses and polygalacturonases of *Fusarium virguliforme*’. *BMC Microbiology*, 16(1), 147.
- Chao, A. (1984). ‘Nonparametric estimation of the number of classes in a population’. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, 265-270.
- Chao, A., Hwang, W.-H., Chen, Y., and Kuo, C. (2000). ‘Estimating the number of shared species in two communities’. *Statistica Sinica*, 227-246.
- Chauhan, P. S., Puri, N., Sharma, P., and Gupta, N. (2012). ‘Mannanases: microbial sources, production, properties and potential biotechnological applications’. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 93(5), 1817-1830.

- Chen, C., Khaleel, S. S., Huang, H., and Wu, C. H. (2014). ‘Software for pre-processing Illumina next-generation sequencing short read sequences’. *Source Code for Biology and Medicine*, 9(1), 8.
- Chen, H., and Fu, X. (2016). ‘Industrial technologies for bioethanol production from lignocellulosic biomass’. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 57, 468-478.
- Chen, Q.-H., Tam, N. F.-Y., Shin, P. K., Cheung, S.-G., and Xu, R.-L. (2009). ‘Ciliate communities in a constructed mangrove wetland for wastewater treatment’. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 58(5), 711-719.
- Cheng, J., Romantsov, T., Engel, K., Doxey, A. C., Rose, D. R., Neufeld, J. D., and Charles, T. C. (2017). ‘Functional metagenomics reveals novel β-galactosidases not predictable from gene sequences’. *PLoS One*, 12(3), e0172545.
- Chiesa, S., and Gnansounou, E. (2014). ‘Use of empty fruit bunches from the oil palm for bioethanol production: a thorough comparison between dilute acid and dilute alkali pretreatment’. *Bioresource Technology*, 159, 355-364.
- Cho, J.-C., and Giovannoni, S. J. (2003). ‘*Parvularcula bermudensis* gen. nov., sp. nov., a marine bacterium that forms a deep branch in the α-Proteobacteria’. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 53(4), 1031-1036.
- Christensen, H., Andersson, A. J., Jørgensen, S. L., and Vogt, J. K. (2018). *16S rRNA amplicon sequencing for metagenomics*, in Christensen, H. (ed.), *Introduction to bioinformatics in microbiology*. Cham: Springer, pp. 135-161.
- Christov, L. P., and Prior, B. A. (1993). ‘Esterases of xylan-degrading microorganisms: production, properties, and significance’. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 15(6), 460-475.
- Chun, J., Oren, A., Ventosa, A., Christensen, H., Arahal, D. R., da Costa, M. S., Rooney, A. P., Yi, H., Xu, X.-W., De Meyer, S., and Trujillo, M. E. (2018). ‘Proposed minimal standards for the use of genome data for the taxonomy of prokaryotes’. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 68(1), 461-466.
- Collins, T., Gerday, C., and Feller, G. (2005). ‘Xylanases, xylanase families and extremophilic xylanases’. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 29(1), 3-23.

- Costa, D. A. L., and Ferreira Filho, E. X. (2019). ‘Microbial β -mannosidases and their industrial applications’. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 103(2), 535-547.
- Couger, M. B., Youssef, N. H., Struchtemeyer, C. G., Liggenstoffer, A. S., and Elshahed, M. S. (2015). ‘Transcriptomic analysis of lignocellulosic biomass degradation by the anaerobic fungal isolate *Orpinomyces* sp. strain C1A’. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 8(1), 208.
- Courtade, G., Forsberg, Z., Heggset, E. B., Eijsink, V. G., and Aachmann, F. L. (2018). ‘The carbohydrate-binding module and linker of a modular lytic polysaccharide monooxygenase promote localized cellulose oxidation’. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 293(34), 13006-13015.
- Courtade, G., Wimmer, R., Røhr, Å. K., Preims, M., Felice, A. K., Dimarogona, M., Vaaje-Kolstad, G., Sørlie, M., Sandgren, M., and Ludwig, R. (2016). ‘Interactions of a fungal lytic polysaccharide monooxygenase with β -glucan substrates and cellobiose dehydrogenase’. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(21), 5922-5927.
- Couturier, M., Ladevèze, S., Sulzenbacher, G., Ciano, L., Fanuel, M., Moreau, C., Villares, A., Cathala, B., Chaspoul, F., Frandsen, K. E., Labourel, A., Herpoël-Gimbert, I., Grisel, S., Haon, M., Lenfant, N., Rogniaux, H., Ropartz, D., Davies, G. J., Rosso, M.-N., Walton, P. H., Henrissat, B., and Berrin, J.-G. (2018). ‘Lytic xylan oxidases from wood-decay fungi unlock biomass degradation’. *Nature Chemical Biology*, 14, 306.
- da Costa, M. S., Albuquerque, L., Nobre, M. F., and Wait, R. (2011). 7 - *The Identification of polar lipids in prokaryotes*, in Rainey, F. and Oren, A. (eds.) *Methods in microbiology*. Netherlands: Academic Press, pp. 165-181.
- da Costa Sousa, L., Chundawat, S. P., Balan, V., and Dale, B. E. (2009). ‘Cradle-to-grave’ assessment of existing lignocellulose pretreatment technologies’. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 20(3), 339-347.
- Dalmaso, G. Z. L., Ferreira, D., and Vermelho, A. B. (2015). ‘Marine extremophiles: a source of hydrolases for biotechnological applications’. *Marine Drugs*, 13(4), 1925-1965.
- Dar, M. A., Shaikh, A. A., Pawar, K. D., and Pandit, R. S. (2018). ‘Exploring the gut of *Helicoverpa armigera* for cellulose degrading bacteria and evaluation of a

- potential strain for lignocellulosic biomass deconstruction'. *Process Biochemistry*, 73, 142-153.
- Das, S., Dash, H. R., Mangwani, N., Chakraborty, J., and Kumari, S. (2014). 'Understanding molecular identification and polyphasic taxonomic approaches for genetic relatedness and phylogenetic relationships of microorganisms'. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 103, 80-100.
- de Gonzalo, G., Colpa, D. I., Habib, M. H., and Fraaije, M. W. (2016). 'Bacterial enzymes involved in lignin degradation'. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 236, 110-119.
- de Oliveira Silva, E., and Batista, R. (2017). 'Ferulic acid and naturally occurring compounds bearing a feruloyl moiety: a review on their structures, occurrence, and potential health benefits'. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 16(4), 580-616.
- DeBoy, R. T., Mongodin, E. F., Fouts, D. E., Tailford, L. E., Khouri, H., Emerson, J. B., Mohamoud, Y., Watkins, K., Henrissat, B., and Gilbert, H. J. (2008). 'Insights into plant cell wall degradation from the genome sequence of the soil bacterium *Cellvibrio japonicus*'. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 190(15), 5455-5463.
- Déjean, G., Tauzin, A. S., Bennett, S. W., Creagh, A. L., and Brumer, H. (2019). 'Biochemical characterization of syntenic xyloglucan utilization loci in human gut *Bacteroidetes* reveals adaption to polysaccharide sidechain diversity'. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 85(20), e01491-e01519.
- Derman, E., Abdulla, R., Marbawi, H., and Sabullah, M. K. (2018). 'Oil palm empty fruit bunches as a promising feedstock for bioethanol production in Malaysia'. *Renewable Energy*, 129, 285-298.
- Deurenberg, R. H., Bathoorn, E., Chlebowicz, M. A., Couto, N., Ferdous, M., García-Cobos, S., Kooistra-Smid, A. M., Raangs, E. C., Rosema, S., and Veloo, A. C. (2017). 'Application of next generation sequencing in clinical microbiology and infection prevention'. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 243, 16-24.
- Devarapalli, M., and Atiyeh, H. K. (2015). 'A review of conversion processes for bioethanol production with a focus on syngas fermentation'. *Biofuel Research Journal*, 2(3), 268-280.
- Dhillon, A., Sharma, K., Rajulapati, V., and Goyal, A. (2018). 'The multi-ligand binding first family 35 Carbohydrate Binding Module (CBM35) of *Clostridium*

- thermocellum* targets rhamnogalacturonan I'. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, 654, 194-208.
- Dhiman, S., and Mukherjee, G. (2018). *Recent advances and industrial applications of microbial xylanases: a review*, in *Fungi and their role in sustainable development: current perspectives*. Singapore: Springer, pp. 329-348.
- Dhondy, T., Remennikov, A., and Shiekh, M. N. (2019). 'Benefits of using sea sand and seawater in concrete: a comprehensive review'. *Australian Journal of Structural Engineering*, 1-10.
- Dinesh, B., Furusawa, G., and Amirul, A. A. (2017). 'Mangrovimonas xylaniphaga sp. nov. isolated from estuarine mangrove sediment of Matang Mangrove Forest, Malaysia'. *Archives of Microbiology*, 199(1), 63-67.
- Dinesh, B., Lau, N.-S., Furusawa, G., Kim, S.-W., Taylor, T. D., Foong, S. Y., and Shu-Chien, A. C. (2016). 'Comparative genome analyses of novel *Mangrovimonas*-like strains isolated from estuarine mangrove sediments reveal xylan and arabinan utilization genes'. *Marine Genomics*, 25, 115-121.
- Douglas, A. E. (2014). 'Symbiosis as a general principle in eukaryotic evolution'. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology*, 6(2), a016113.
- Duarte, A. W. F., dos Santos, J. A., Vianna, M. V., Vieira, J. M. F., Mallagutti, V. H., Inforsato, F. J., Wentzel, L. C. P., Lario, L. D., Rodrigues, A., Pagnocca, F. C., Pessoa Junior, A., and Durães Sette, L. (2018). 'Cold-adapted enzymes produced by fungi from terrestrial and marine Antarctic environments'. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*, 38(4), 600-619.
- Dunthorn, M., Eppinger, M., Schwarz, M. V. J., Schweikert, M., Boenigk, J., Katz, L. A., and Stoeck, T. (2009). 'Phylogenetic placement of the Cyrtolophosididae Stokes, 1888 (Ciliophora; Colpodea) and neotypification of *Aristerostoma marinum* Kahl, 1931'. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 59(1), 167-180.
- Edgar, R. C. (2010). 'Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST'. *Bioinformatics*, 26(19), 2460-2461.
- El Enshasy, H. A., Kandiyil, S. K., Malek, R., and Othman, N. Z. (2016). *Microbial xylanases: sources, types, and their applications*, in *Microbial Enzymes in Bioconversions of Biomass*. Springer, pp. 151-213.
- Facklam, R. R., and Moody, M. D. (1970). 'Presumptive identification of group D Streptococci: the bile-esculin test'. *Applied Microbiology*, 20(2), 245-250.

- Fang, C., Thomsen, M. H., Brudecki, G. P., Cybulski, I., Frankær, C. G., Bastidas - Oyanedel, J. R., and Schmidt, J. E. (2015). 'Seawater as alternative to freshwater in pretreatment of date palm residues for bioethanol production in coastal and/or arid areas'. *ChemSusChem*, 8(22), 3823-3831.
- Felsenstein, J. (1985). 'Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap'. *Evolution*, 783-791.
- Feng, Y., Zhang, Y., Ying, C., Wang, D., and Du, C. (2015). 'Nanopore-based fourth-generation DNA sequencing technology'. *Genomics, Proteomics & Bioinformatics*, 13(1), 4-16.
- Filiatrault-Chastel, C., Navarro, D., Haon, M., Grisel, S., Herpoël-Gimbert, I., Chevret, D., Fanuel, M., Henrissat, B., Heiss-Bланquet, S., Margeot, A., and Berrin, J.-G. (2019). 'AA16, a new lytic polysaccharide monooxygenase family identified in fungal secretomes'. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 12(1), 55.
- FioRito, R., Leander, C., and Leander, B. (2016). 'Characterization of three novel species of *Labyrinthulomycota* isolated from ochre sea stars (*Pisaster ochraceus*)'. *Marine Biology*, 163(8), 170.
- Friesen, S. D., Dunn, C., and Freeman, C. (2018). 'Decomposition as a regulator of carbon accretion in mangroves: a review'. *Ecological Engineering*, 114, 173-178.
- Frommhagen, M., Mutte, S. K., Westphal, A. H., Koetsier, M. J., Hinz, S. W., Visser, J., Vincken, J.-P., Weijers, D., Van Berkel, W. J., and Gruppen, H. (2017). 'Boosting LPMO-driven lignocellulose degradation by polyphenol oxidase-activated lignin building blocks'. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 10(1), 121.
- Frommhagen, M., Westphal, A. H., Van Berkel, W. J., and Kabel, M. A. (2018). 'Distinct substrate specificities and electron-donating systems of fungal lytic polysaccharide monooxygenases'. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9.
- Fry, S. C., Nesselrode, B. H. W. A., Miller, J. G., and Mewburn, B. R. (2008). 'Mixed-linkage (1 → 3,1 → 4)- β -d-glucan is a major hemicellulose of *Equisetum* (horsetail) cell walls'. *New Phytologist*, 179(1), 104-115.
- Furukawa, T., Bello, F. O., and Horsfall, L. (2014). 'Microbial enzyme systems for lignin degradation and their transcriptional regulation'. *Frontiers in Biology*, 9(6), 448-471.

- Gall, D. L., Kontur, W. S., Lan, W., Kim, H., Li, Y., Ralph, J., Donohue, T. J., and Noguera, D. R. (2018). ‘In vitro enzymatic depolymerization of lignin with release of syringyl, guaiacyl, and tricin units’. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 84(3), e02076-02017.
- Galperin, M. Y., and Koonin, E. V. (2004). ‘Conserved hypothetical’ proteins: prioritization of targets for experimental study’. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 32(18), 5452-5463.
- García-Fraile, P., Benada, O., Cajthaml, T., Baldrian, P., and Lladó, S. (2016). ‘*Terracidiphilus gabretensis* gen. nov., sp. nov., an abundant and active forest soil acidobacterium important in organic matter transformation’. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 82(2), 560-569.
- García-Torreiro, M., López-Abelairas, M., Lu-Chau, T., and Lema, J. (2016). ‘Fungal pretreatment of agricultural residues for bioethanol production’. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 89, 486-492.
- Gardner, J. G. (2016). ‘Polysaccharide degradation systems of the saprophytic bacterium *Cellvibrio japonicus*’. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 32(7), 121.
- Gaurav, N., Sivasankari, S., Kiran, G. S., Ninawe, A., and Selvin, J. (2017). ‘Utilization of bioresources for sustainable biofuels: a review’. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 73, 205-214.
- Gevers, D., Cohan, F. M., Lawrence, J. G., Spratt, B. G., Coenye, T., Feil, E. J., Stackebrandt, E., Van de Peer, Y., Vandamme, P., and Thompson, F. L. (2005). ‘Re-evaluating prokaryotic species’. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 3(9), 733.
- Glaeser, S. P., and Kämpfer, P. (2015). ‘Multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA) in prokaryotic taxonomy’. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology*, 38(4), 237-245.
- Glenn, J. K., and Gold, M. H. (1985). ‘Purification and characterization of an extracellular Mn (II)-dependent peroxidase from the lignin-degrading basidiomycete, *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*’. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, 242(2), 329-341.
- Goh, K. M., Shahar, S., Chan, K.-G., Chong, C. S., Amran, S. I., Sani, M. H., Zakaria, I. I., and Kahar, U. M. (2019). ‘Current status and potential applications of underexplored prokaryotes’. *Microorganisms*, 7(10), 468.
- Gong, B., Cao, H., Peng, C., Perčulija, V., Tong, G., Fang, H., Wei, X., and Ouyang, S. (2019). ‘High-throughput sequencing and analysis of microbial

- communities in the mangrove swamps along the coast of Beibu Gulf in Guangxi, China'. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 9377.
- Grabherr, M. G., Haas, B. J., Yassour, M., Levin, J. Z., Thompson, D. A., Amit, I., Adiconis, X., Fan, L., Raychowdhury, R., and Zeng, Q. (2011). 'Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome'. *Nature Biotechnology*, 29(7), 644-652.
- Griffiths, R. I., Whiteley, A. S., O'Donnell, A. G., and Bailey, M. J. (2000). 'Rapid method for coextraction of DNA and RNA from natural environments for analysis of ribosomal DNA- and rRNA-based microbial community composition'. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 66(12), 5488-5491.
- Guillén, F., Martínez, M. J., Gutiérrez, A., and Del Rio, J. (2005). 'Biodegradation of lignocelluloses: microbial, chemical, and enzymatic aspects of the fungal attack of lignin'. *International Microbiology*, 8, 195-204.
- Guo, H., Chen, H., Fan, L., Linklater, A., Zheng, B., Jiang, D., and Qin, W. (2017). 'Enzymes produced by biomass-degrading bacteria can efficiently hydrolyze algal cell walls and facilitate lipid extraction'. *Renewable Energy*, 109, 195-201.
- Guo, H., Wang, X.-D., and Lee, D.-J. (2018). 'Proteomic researches for lignocellulose-degrading enzymes: a mini-review'. *Bioresource Technology*, 265, 532-541.
- Gupta, A., and Verma, J. P. (2015). 'Sustainable bio-ethanol production from agro-residues: a review'. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 41, 550-567.
- Hadziavdic, K., Lekang, K., Lanzen, A., Jonassen, I., Thompson, E. M., and Troedsson, C. (2014). 'Characterization of the 18S rRNA gene for designing universal eukaryote specific primers'. *PloS One*, 9(2), e87624.
- Hamann, P. R. V., Serpa, D. L., da Cunha, A. S. B., de Camargo, B. R., Osiro, K. O., de Sousa, M. V., Felix, C. R., Miller, R. N., and Noronha, E. F. (2015). 'Evaluation of plant cell wall degrading enzyme production by *Clostridium thermocellum* B8 in the presence of raw agricultural wastes'. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, 105, 97-105.
- Hameed, A., Shahina, M., Lin, S.-Y., Lai, W.-A., Liu, Y.-C., Hsu, Y.-H., Cheng, I.-C., and Young, C.-C. (2014). '*Robertkochia marina* gen. nov., sp. nov., of the family *Flavobacteriaceae*, isolated from surface seawater, and emended descriptions of the genera *Joostella* and *Galbibacter*'. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 64(2), 533-539.

- Hamilton, A. J. (2005). ‘Species diversity or biodiversity?’.*Journal of Environmental Management*, 75(1), 89-92.
- Hammel, K. (1997). *Fungal degradation of lignin*, in *Driven by nature: plant litter quality and decomposition*. Wallingford: CAB International, pp. 33-46.
- Hamzah, F., Idris, A., and Shuan, T. K. (2011). ‘Preliminary study on enzymatic hydrolysis of treated oil palm (*Elaeis*) empty fruit bunches fibre (EFB) by using combination of cellulase and β 1-4 glucosidase’. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 35(3), 1055-1059.
- Hassan, O., Ling, T. P., Maskat, M. Y., Illias, R. M., Badri, K., Jahim, J., and Mahadi, N. M. (2013). ‘Optimization of pretreatments for the hydrolysis of oil palm empty fruit bunch fiber (EFBF) using enzyme mixtures’. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 56, 137-146.
- Helbert, W., Poulet, L., Drouillard, S., Mathieu, S., Loiodice, M., Couturier, M., Lombard, V., Terrapon, N., Turchetto, J., and Vincentelli, R. (2019). ‘Discovery of novel carbohydrate-active enzymes through the rational exploration of the protein sequences space’. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(13), 6063-6068.
- Henrissat, B., and Davies, G. (1997). ‘Structural and sequence-based classification of glycoside hydrolases’. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, 7(5), 637-644.
- Heredia, A., Jiménez, A., and Guillén, R. (1995). ‘Composition of plant cell walls’. *Zeitschrift Für Lebensmittel-Untersuchung und-Forschung*, 200(1), 24-31.
- Hervé, C., Rogowski, A., Blake, A. W., Marcus, S. E., Gilbert, H. J., and Knox, J. P. (2010). ‘Carbohydrate-binding modules promote the enzymatic deconstruction of intact plant cell walls by targeting and proximity effects’. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(34), 15293-15298.
- Hess, M., Sczyrba, A., Egan, R., Kim, T.-W., Chokhawala, H., Schroth, G., Luo, S., Clark, D. S., Chen, F., and Zhang, T. (2011). ‘Metagenomic discovery of biomass-degrading genes and genomes from cow rumen’. *Science*, 331(6016), 463-467.
- Hicks, D. B., Liu, J., Fujisawa, M., and Krulwich, T. A. (2010). ‘ F_1F_0 -ATP synthases of alkaliphilic bacteria: lessons from their adaptations’. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, 1797(8), 1362-1377.
- Hiraishi, A. (1999). ‘Isoprenoid quinones as biomarkers of microbial populations in the environment’. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 88(5), 449-460.

- Ho, D. P., Ngo, H. H., and Guo, W. (2014). ‘A mini review on renewable sources for biofuel’. *Bioresource Technology*, 169, 742-749.
- Höfte, H., and Vioxeur, A. (2017). ‘Plant cell walls’. *Current Biology*, 27(17), R865-R870.
- Holstein, T. W., Watanabe, H., and Özbek, S. (2011). *Chapter six - Signaling pathways and axis formation in the lower Metazoa*, in Birchmeier, C. (ed.), *Current topics in developmental biology*. Netherlands: Academic Press, pp. 137-177.
- Horn, S. J., Vaaje-Kolstad, G., Westereng, B., and Eijsink, V. (2012). ‘Novel enzymes for the degradation of cellulose’. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 5(1), 45.
- Humble, M., King, A., and Phillips, I. (1977). ‘API ZYM: a simple rapid system for the detection of bacterial enzymes’. *Journal of Clinical Pathology*, 30(3), 275-277.
- Huntemann, M., Ivanova, N. N., Mavromatis, K., Tripp, H. J., Paez-Espino, D., Palaniappan, K., Szeto, E., Pillay, M., Chen, I. M. A., Pati, A., Nielsen, T., Markowitz, V. M., and Kyrpides, N. C. (2015). ‘The standard operating procedure of the DOE-JGI Microbial Genome Annotation Pipeline (MGAP v.4)’. *Standards in Genomic Sciences*, 10, 86-86.
- Huntemann, M., Teshima, H., Lapidus, A., Nolan, M., Lucas, S., Hammon, N., Deshpande, S., Cheng, J.-F., Tapia, R., and Goodwin, L. A. (2012). ‘Complete genome sequence of the facultatively anaerobic, appendaged bacterium *Muricauda ruestringensis* type strain (B1^T)’. *Standards in Genomic Sciences*, 6(2), 185.
- Huson, D. H., Auch, A. F., Qi, J., and Schuster, S. C. (2007). ‘MEGAN analysis of metagenomic data’. *Genome Research*, 17(3), 377-386.
- Huys, G., Vancanneyt, M., Coopman, R., Janssen, P., Falsen, E., Altweig, M., and Kersters, K. (1994). ‘Cellular fatty acid composition as a chemotaxonomic marker for the differentiation of phenospecies and hybridization groups in the genus *Aeromonas*’. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 44(4), 651-658.
- Hyatt, D., Chen, G.-L., Locascio, P. F., Land, M. L., Larimer, F. W., and Hauser, L. J. (2010). ‘Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification’. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 11, 119-119.
- Ishihama, Y., Oda, Y., Tabata, T., Sato, T., Nagasu, T., Rappsilber, J., and Mann, M. (2005). ‘Exponentially modified protein abundance index (emPAI) for

- estimation of absolute protein amount in proteomics by the number of sequenced peptides per protein'. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics*, 4(9), 1265-1272.
- Ishii, J., Okazaki, F., Djohan, A. C., Hara, K. Y., Asai-Nakashima, N., Teramura, H., Andriani, A., Tominaga, M., Wakai, S., Kahar, P., Yopi, Prasetya, B., Ogino, C., and Kondo, A. (2016). 'From mannan to bioethanol: cell surface co-display of β -mannanase and β -mannosidase on yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*'. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 9(1), 188.
- Ishola, M. M., Millati, R., Syamsiah, S., Cahyanto, M. N., Niklasson, C., and Taherzadeh, M. J. (2012). 'Structural changes of oil palm empty fruit bunch (OPEFB) after fungal and phosphoric acid pretreatment'. *Molecules*, 17(12), 14995-15012.
- Jabbour, D., Borrusch, M. S., Banerjee, G., and Walton, J. D. (2013). 'Enhancement of fermentable sugar yields by α -xylosidase supplementation of commercial cellulases'. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 6(1), 58.
- Jacoby, G. A. (2009). 'AmpC β -lactamases'. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 22(1), 161-182.
- Janda, J. M., and Abbott, S. L. (2007). '16S rRNA gene sequencing for bacterial identification in the diagnostic laboratory: pluses, perils, and pitfalls'. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 45(9), 2761.
- Ji, M., Greening, C., Vanwonterghem, I., Carere, C. R., Bay, S. K., Steen, J. A., Montgomery, K., Lines, T., Beardall, J., van Dorst, J., Snape, I., Stott, M. B., Hugenholtz, P., and Ferrari, B. C. (2017). 'Atmospheric trace gases support primary production in Antarctic desert surface soil'. *Nature*, 552, 400.
- Jia, B., Jin, H. M., Lee, H. J., and Jeon, C. O. (2016). 'Draft genome sequence of *Zhouia amylolytica* AD3, isolated from tidal flat sediment'. *Genome Announcements*, 4(3), e00327-00316.
- Jiménez, D. J., de Lima Brossi, M. J., Schückel, J., Kračun, S. K., Willats, W. G. T., and van Elsas, J. D. (2016). 'Characterization of three plant biomass-degrading microbial consortia by metagenomics-and metasecretomics-based approaches'. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 100(24), 10463-10477.
- Jiménez, D. J., Dini-Andreote, F., DeAngelis, K. M., Singer, S. W., Salles, J. F., and van Elsas, J. D. (2017). 'Ecological insights into the dynamics of plant

- biomass-degrading microbial consortia'. *Trends in Microbiology*, 25(10), 788-796.
- Jiménez, D. J., Maruthamuthu, M., and van Elsas, J. D. (2015). 'Metasecretome analysis of a lignocellulolytic microbial consortium grown on wheat straw, xylan and xylose'. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 8(1), 199.
- Jones, P., Binns, D., Chang, H.-Y., Fraser, M., Li, W., McAnulla, C., McWilliam, H., Maslen, J., Mitchell, A., Nuka, G., Pesseat, S., Quinn, A. F., Sangrador-Vegas, A., Scheremetjew, M., Yong, S.-Y., Lopez, R., and Hunter, S. (2014). 'InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification'. *Bioinformatics*, 30(9), 1236-1240.
- Jönsson, L. J., and Martín, C. (2016). 'Pretreatment of lignocellulose: formation of inhibitory by-products and strategies for minimizing their effects'. *Bioresource Technology*, 199, 103-112.
- Jorgensen, J., and Turnidge, J. (2015). *Susceptibility test methods: dilution and disk diffusion methods*, in James, H., Michael, A., Karen, C., Guido, F., Marie, L., Sandra, S. and David, W. (eds.) *Manual of clinical microbiology*. Washington: American Society of Microbiology, pp. 1253-1273.
- Jouzani, G. S., and Sharafi, R. (2018). *New "omics" technologies and biogas production*, in Tabatabaei, M. and Ghanavati, H. (eds.) *Biogas*. Cham: Springer, pp. 419-436.
- Juturu, V., and Wu, J. C. (2012). 'Microbial xylanases: engineering, production and industrial applications'. *Biotechnology Advances*, 30(6), 1219-1227.
- Juturu, V., and Wu, J. C. (2013). 'Insight into microbial hemicellulases other than xylanases: a review'. *Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology*, 88(3), 353-363.
- Juturu, V., and Wu, J. C. (2014a). 'Microbial cellulases: engineering, production and applications'. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 33, 188-203.
- Juturu, V., and Wu, J. C. (2014b). 'Microbial exo-xylanases: a mini review'. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 174(1), 81-92.
- Käll, L., Canterbury, J. D., Weston, J., Noble, W. S., and MacCoss, M. J. (2007). 'Semi-supervised learning for peptide identification from shotgun proteomics datasets'. *Nature Methods*, 4, 923.

- Kameshwar, A. K. S., and Qin, W. (2017). ‘Qualitative and quantitative methods for isolation and characterization of lignin-modifying enzymes secreted by microorganisms’. *Bioenergy Research*, 10(1), 248-266.
- Kameshwar, A. K. S., and Qin, W. (2018). ‘Structural and functional properties of pectin and lignin–carbohydrate complexes de-esterases: a review’. *Bioresources and Bioprocessing*, 5(1), 43.
- Kameshwar, A. K. S., and Qin, W. (2019). ‘Systematic review of publicly available non-Dikarya fungal proteomes for understanding their plant biomass-degrading and bioremediation potentials’. *Bioresources and Bioprocessing*, 6(1), 30.
- Kamsani, N., Salleh, M. M., Yahya, A., and Chong, C. S. (2016). ‘Production of lignocellulolytic enzymes by microorganisms isolated from *Bulbitermes* sp. termite gut in solid-state fermentation’. *Waste and Biomass Valorization*, 7(2), 357-371.
- Kanekar, P. P., Kanekar, S. P., Kelkar, A. S., and Dhakephalkar, P. K. (2012). *Halophiles – taxonomy, diversity, physiology and applications*, in Satyanarayana, T. and Johri, B. N. (eds.) *Microorganisms in environmental management: microbes and environment*. Dordrecht: Springer, pp. 1-34.
- Karlsson, E. N., Hachem, M. A., Ramchuran, S., Costa, H., Holst, O., Svenningsen, Å. F., and Hreggvidsson, G. O. (2004). ‘The modular xylanase Xyn10A from *Rhodothermus marinus* is cell-attached, and its C-terminal domain has several putative homologues among cell-attached proteins within the phylum *Bacteroidetes*’. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 241(2), 233-242.
- Kataeva, I. A., Seidel, R. D., Shah, A., West, L. T., Li, X.-L., and Ljungdahl, L. G. (2002). ‘The fibronectin type 3-like repeat from the *Clostridium thermocellum* cellobiohydrolase CbhA promotes hydrolysis of cellulose by modifying its surface’. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 68(9), 4292-4300.
- Kathiresan, K. (2019). *Salt-tolerant microbes in mangroves: ecological role and bioprospecting potential*, in Dagar, J. C., Yadav, R. K. and Sharma, P. C. (eds.) *Research developments in saline agriculture*. Singapore: Springer, pp. 237-255.
- Khan, S. T., Nakagawa, Y., and Harayama, S. (2007). ‘*Galbibacter mesophilus* gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel member of the family *Flavobacteriaceae*’. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 57(5), 969-973.

- Kim, B.-R., Shin, J., Guevarra, R., Lee, J. H., Kim, D. W., Seol, K.-H., Lee, J.-H., Kim, H. B., and Isaacson, R. (2017). ‘Deciphering diversity indices for a better understanding of microbial communities’. *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 27(12), 2089-2093.
- Kim, O.-S., Cho, Y.-J., Lee, K., Yoon, S.-H., Kim, M., Na, H., Park, S.-C., Jeon, Y. S., Lee, J.-H., Yi, H., Won, S., and Chun, J. (2012). ‘Introducing EzTaxon-e: a prokaryotic 16S rRNA gene sequence database with phylotypes that represent uncultured species’. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 62(3), 716-721.
- King, N., Westbrook, M. J., Young, S. L., Kuo, A., Abedin, M., Chapman, J., Fairclough, S., Hellsten, U., Isogai, Y., Letunic, I., Marr, M., Pincus, D., Putnam, N., Rokas, A., Wright, K. J., Zuzow, R., Dirks, W., Good, M., Goodstein, D., Lemons, D., Li, W., Lyons, J. B., Morris, A., Nichols, S., Richter, D. J., Salamov, A., Sequencing, J. G. I., Bork, P., Lim, W. A., Manning, G., Miller, W. T., McGinnis, W., Shapiro, H., Tjian, R., Grigoriev, I. V., and Rokhsar, D. (2008). ‘The genome of the choanoflagellate *Monosiga brevicollis* and the origin of metazoans’. *Nature*, 451(7180), 783-788.
- Kofod, L. V., Kauppinen, S., Christgau, S., Andersen, L. N., Heldt-Hansen, H. P., Dörreich, K., and Dalbøge, H. (1994). ‘Cloning and characterization of two structurally and functionally divergent rhamnogalacturonases from *Aspergillus aculeatus*’. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 269(46), 29182-29189.
- Kono, N., and Arakawa, K. (2019). ‘Nanopore sequencing: review of potential applications in functional genomics’. *Development, Growth & Differentiation*, 61(5), 316-326.
- Krishna, M., and Mohan, M. (2017). ‘Litter decomposition in forest ecosystems: a review’. *Energy, Ecology and Environment*, 2(4), 236-249.
- Krogh, A., Larsson, B., von Heijne, G., and Sonnhammer, E. L. L. (2001). ‘Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden markov model: application to complete genomes’. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 305(3), 567-580.
- Krulwich, T. A., Sachs, G., and Padan, E. (2011). ‘Molecular aspects of bacterial pH sensing and homeostasis’. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 9, 330.
- Kumar, M., Verma, S., Gazara, R. K., Kumar, M., Pandey, A., Verma, P. K., and Thakur, I. S. (2018). ‘Genomic and proteomic analysis of lignin degrading and

- polyhydroxyalkanoate accumulating β -proteobacterium *Pandoraea* sp. ISTKB'. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 11(1), 154.
- Kumar, N., and Pruthi, V. (2014). 'Potential applications of ferulic acid from natural sources'. *Biotechnology Reports*, 4, 86-93.
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., and Tamura, K. (2016). 'MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets'. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 33(7), 1870-1874.
- Kumari, D., and Singh, R. (2018). 'Pretreatment of lignocellulosic wastes for biofuel production: A critical review'. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 90, 877-891.
- Lagesen, K., Hallin, P., Rødland, E. A., Stærfeldt, H.-H., Rognes, T., and Ussery, D. W. (2007). 'RNAmmer: consistent and rapid annotation of ribosomal RNA genes'. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 35(9), 3100-3108.
- Lai, Q., Li, C., and Shao, Z. (2012). 'Genome sequence of *Galbibacter marinum* type strain ck-I2-15'. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 194(24), 6973-6973.
- Lairson, L. L., Henrissat, B., Davies, G. J., and Withers, S. G. (2008). 'Glycosyltransferases: structures, functions, and mechanisms'. *Annual Review of Biochemistry*, 77(1), 521-555.
- Lakhundi, S., Siddiqui, R., and Khan, N. A. (2015). 'Cellulose degradation: a therapeutic strategy in the improved treatment of *Acanthamoeba* infections'. *Parasites & Vectors*, 8(1), 23.
- Lane, D. J. (1991). *16S/23S rRNA sequencing*, in Stackebrandt E. and M., G. (eds.) *Nucleic acid techniques in bacterial systematics*. Chichester, United Kingdom: John Wiley and Sons, pp. 125-175.
- Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S. L. (2012). 'Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2'. *Nature Methods*, 9(4), 357.
- Lazuka, A., Auer, L., O'Donohue, M., and Hernandez-Raquet, G. (2018). 'Anaerobic lignocellulolytic microbial consortium derived from termite gut: enrichment, lignocellulose degradation and community dynamics'. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 11(1), 284.
- Lee, Y., Lee, S.-J., Park, G.-H., Heo, S.-J., Umasuthan, N., Kang, D.-H., and Oh, C. (2015). 'Draft genome of agar-degrading marine bacterium *Gilvimarinus agarilyticus* JEAS5'. *Marine Genomics*, 21, 13-14.

- Leth, M. L., Ejby, M., Workman, C., Ewald, D. A., Pedersen, S. S., Sternberg, C., Bahl, M. I., Licht, T. R., Aachmann, F. L., and Westereng, B. (2018). ‘Differential bacterial capture and transport preferences facilitate co-growth on dietary xylan in the human gut’. *Nature Microbiology*, 3(5), 570.
- Levasseur, A., Drula, E., Lombard, V., Coutinho, P. M., and Henrissat, B. (2013). ‘Expansion of the enzymatic repertoire of the CAZy database to integrate auxiliary redox enzymes’. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 6(1), 41.
- Levasseur, A., Piumi, F., Coutinho, P. M., Rancurel, C., Asther, M., Delattre, M., Henrissat, B., Pontarotti, P., Asther, M., and Record, E. (2008). ‘FOLy: an integrated database for the classification and functional annotation of fungal oxidoreductases potentially involved in the degradation of lignin and related aromatic compounds’. *Fungal Genetics and Biology*, 45(5), 638-645.
- Levine, I. A. (2018). *Chapter 1 - Algae: A Way of Life and Health*, in Levine, I. A. and Fleurence, J. (eds.) *Microalgae in Health and Disease Prevention*. United States: Academic Press, pp. 1-10.
- Li, J., Wang, C., Hu, D., Yuan, F., Li, X., Tang, S., and Wu, M. (2017). ‘Engineering a family 27 carbohydrate-binding module into an *Aspergillus usamii* β-mannanase to perfect its enzymatic properties’. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 123(3), 294-299.
- Li, R., Li, Y., Kristiansen, K., and Wang, J. (2008a). ‘SOAP: short oligonucleotide alignment program’. *Bioinformatics*, 24(5), 713-714.
- Li, S., Tang, K., Liu, K., Yu, C.-P., and Jiao, N. (2014a). ‘*Parvularcula oceanus* sp. nov., isolated from deep-sea water of the Southeastern Pacific Ocean’. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek*, 105(1), 245-251.
- Li, X.-L., Skory, C. D., Cotta, M. A., Puchart, V., and Biely, P. (2008b). ‘Novel family of carbohydrate esterases, based on identification of the *Hypocrea jecorina* acetyl esterase gene’. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 74(24), 7482-7489.
- Li, Y., Bai, S., Yang, C., Lai, Q., Zhang, H., Chen, Z., Wei, J., Zheng, W., Tian, Y., and Zheng, T. (2013). ‘*Mangrovimonas yunxiaonensis* gen. nov., sp. nov., isolated from mangrove sediment’. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 63(6), 2043-2048.

- Li, Y., Zhu, H., Li, C., Zhang, H., Chen, Z., Zheng, W., Xu, H., and Zheng, T. (2014b). ‘Draft genome sequence of the algicidal bacterium *Mangrovimonas yunxiaonensis* Strain LY01’. *Genome Announcements*, 2(6), e01234-01214.
- Liew, K. J., Teo, S. C., Shamsir, M. S., Sani, R. K., Chong, C. S., Chan, K.-G., and Goh, K. M. (2018). ‘Complete genome sequence of *Rhodothermaceae* bacterium RA with cellulolytic and xylanolytic activities’. *3 Biotech*, 8(8), 376.
- Lin, X., Hetharua, B., Lin, L., Xu, H., Zheng, T., He, Z., and Tian, Y. (2019). ‘Mangrove sediment microbiome: adaptive microbial assemblages and their routed biogeochemical processes in Yunxiao mangrove national nature reserve, China’. *Microbial Ecology*, 78(1), 57-69.
- Liu, C.-G., Xiao, Y., Xia, X.-X., Zhao, X.-Q., Peng, L., Srinophakun, P., and Bai, F.-W. (2019a). ‘Cellulosic ethanol production: progress, challenges and strategies for solutions’. *Biotechnology Advances*, 37(3), 491-504.
- Liu, C., Baffoe, D. K., Zhan, Y., Zhang, M., Li, Y., and Zhang, G. (2019b). ‘Halophile, an essential platform for bioproduction’. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 166, 105704.
- Liu, M., Huang, H., Bao, S., and Tong, Y. (2019c). ‘Microbial community structure of soils in Bamenwan mangrove wetland’. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 8406.
- Liu, Z.-P., Wang, B.-J., Dai, X., Liu, X.-Y., and Liu, S.-J. (2006). ‘*Zhouia amylolytica* gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel member of the family *Flavobacteriaceae* isolated from sediment of the South China Sea’. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 56(12), 2825-2829.
- Llado, S., López-Mondéjar, R., and Baldrian, P. (2017). ‘Forest soil bacteria: diversity, involvement in ecosystem processes, and response to global change’. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 81(2), e00063-00016.
- Lladó, S., Žifčáková, L., Větrovský, T., Eichlerová, I., and Baldrian, P. (2016). ‘Functional screening of abundant bacteria from acidic forest soil indicates the metabolic potential of *Acidobacteria* subdivision 1 for polysaccharide decomposition’. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 52(2), 251-260.
- Lloyd, K. G., Steen, A. D., Ladau, J., Yin, J., and Crosby, L. (2018). ‘Phylogenetically novel uncultured microbial cells dominate earth microbiomes’. *mSystems*, 3(5), e00055-00018.
- Loh, S. K. (2017). ‘The potential of the Malaysian oil palm biomass as a renewable energy source’. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 141, 285-298.

- Lombard, V., Bernard, T., Rancurel, C., Brumer, H., Coutinho, P. M., and Henrissat, B. (2010). ‘A hierarchical classification of polysaccharide lyases for glycogenomics’. *Biochemical Journal*, 432(3), 437-444.
- Lombard, V., Golaconda Ramulu, H., Drula, E., Coutinho, P. M., and Henrissat, B. (2014). ‘The carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy) in 2013’. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 42(D1), D490-D495.
- Lopes, M. L., Paulillo, S. C. d. L., Godoy, A., Cherubin, R. A., Lorenzi, M. S., Giometti, F. H. C., Bernardino, C. D., Amorim Neto, H. B. d., and Amorim, H. V. d. (2016). ‘Ethanol production in Brazil: a bridge between science and industry’. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology*, 47, 64-76.
- López-Mondéjar, R., Algara, C., and Baldrian, P. (2019). ‘Lignocellulolytic systems of soil bacteria: a vast and diverse toolbox for biotechnological conversion processes’. *Biotechnology Advances*, 37(6), 107374.
- Lowe, T. M., and Chan, P. P. (2016). ‘tRNAscan-SE On-line: integrating search and context for analysis of transfer RNA genes’. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 44(Web Server issue), W54-W57.
- Luis, A. S., Briggs, J., Zhang, X., Farnell, B., Ndeh, D., Labourel, A., Baslé, A., Cartmell, A., Terrapon, N., and Stott, K. (2018). ‘Dietary pectic glycans are degraded by coordinated enzyme pathways in human colonic *Bacteroides*’. *Nature Microbiology*, 3(2), 210.
- Lund, P., Tramonti, A., and De Biase, D. (2014). ‘Coping with low pH: molecular strategies in neutralophilic bacteria’. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 38(6), 1091-1125.
- Lynd, L. R., Weimer, P. J., Van Zyl, W. H., and Pretorius, I. S. (2002). ‘Microbial cellulose utilization: fundamentals and biotechnology’. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 66(3), 506-577.
- Ma, J., Zhang, K., Liao, H., Hector, S. B., Shi, X., Li, J., Liu, B., Xu, T., Tong, C., and Liu, X. (2016). ‘Genomic and secretomic insight into lignocellulolytic system of an endophytic bacterium *Pantoea ananatis* Sd-1’. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 9(1), 25.
- Madar, D., Dekel, E., Bren, A., Zimmer, A., Porat, Z., and Alon, U. (2013). ‘Promoter activity dynamics in the lag phase of *Escherichia coli*’. *BMC Systems Biology*, 7(1), 136.

- Madhavan, A., Sindhu, R., Parameswaran, B., Sukumaran, R. K., and Pandey, A. (2017). ‘Metagenome analysis: a powerful tool for enzyme bioprospecting’. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 183(2), 636-651.
- Madigan, M. T., Martinko, J. M., Dunlap, P. V., and Clark, D. P. (2009). *Brock Biology of Microorganisms* (12th ed.). San Francisco, CA: Benjamin Cummings.
- Malgas, S., Thoresen, M., van Dyk, J. S., and Pletschke, B. I. (2017). ‘Time dependence of enzyme synergism during the degradation of model and natural lignocellulosic substrates’. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 103, 1-11.
- Malgas, S., van Dyk, J. S., and Pletschke, B. I. (2015). ‘A review of the enzymatic hydrolysis of mannans and synergistic interactions between β -mannanase, β -mannosidase and α -galactosidase’. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 31(8), 1167-1175.
- Malla, M. A., Dubey, A., Yadav, S., Kumar, A., Hashem, A., and Abd_Allah, E. F. (2018). ‘Understanding and designing the strategies for the microbe-mediated remediation of environmental contaminants using omics approaches’. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9, 1132.
- Mann, A. J., Hahnke, R. L., Huang, S., Werner, J., Xing, P., Barbeyron, T., Huettel, B., Stüber, K., Reinhardt, R., and Harder, J. (2013). ‘The genome of the alga-associated marine flavobacterium *Formosa agariphila* KMM 3901^T reveals a broad potential for degradation of algal polysaccharides’. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 79(21), 6813-6822.
- Mansfield, S. D., Mooney, C., and Saddler, J. N. (1999). ‘Substrate and enzyme characteristics that limit cellulose hydrolysis’. *Biotechnology Progress*, 15(5), 804-816.
- Marriott, P. E., Gómez, L. D., and McQueen - Mason, S. J. (2016). ‘Unlocking the potential of lignocellulosic biomass through plant science’. *New Phytologist*, 209(4), 1366-1381.
- Marshall, I. P. G., Starnawski, P., Cupit, C., Fernández Cáceres, E., Ettema, T. J. G., Schramm, A., and Kjeldsen, K. U. (2017). ‘The novel bacterial phylum *Calditrichaeota* is diverse, widespread and abundant in marine sediments and has the capacity to degrade detrital proteins’. *Environmental Microbiology Reports*, 9(4), 397-403.

- Martens-Uzunova, E. S., and Schaap, P. J. (2009). ‘Assessment of the pectin degrading enzyme network of *Aspergillus niger* by functional genomics’. *Fungal Genetics and Biology*, 46(1), S170-S179.
- Martin, M. (2011). ‘Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads’. *EMBnet. Journal*, 17(1), 10-12.
- Matsumura, H., Umezawa, K., Takeda, K., Sugimoto, N., Ishida, T., Samejima, M., Ohno, H., Yoshida, M., Igarashi, K., and Nakamura, N. (2014). ‘Discovery of a eukaryotic pyrroloquinoline quinone-dependent oxidoreductase belonging to a new auxiliary activity family in the database of carbohydrate-active enzymes’. *PloS One*, 9(8), e104851.
- Matsuzawa, T., and Yaoi, K. (2016). ‘GH74 xyloglucanases: structures and modes of activity’. *Trends in Glycoscience and Glycotechnology*, 28(162), E63-E70.
- McAllister, T. A., Dunière, L., Drouin, P., Xu, S., Wang, Y., Munns, K., and Zaheer, R. (2018). ‘Silage review: using molecular approaches to define the microbial ecology of silage’. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 101(5), 4060-4074.
- McBride, M. J., and Nakane, D. (2015). ‘*Flavobacterium* gliding motility and the type IX secretion system’. *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, 28, 72-77.
- McDonald, D., Price, M. N., Goodrich, J., Nawrocki, E. P., DeSantis, T. Z., Probst, A., Andersen, G. L., Knight, R., and Hugenholtz, P. (2012). ‘An improved Greengenes taxonomy with explicit ranks for ecological and evolutionary analyses of bacteria and archaea’. *The ISME Journal*, 6(3), 610-618.
- Meier-Kolthoff, J. P., Auch, A. F., Klenk, H.-P., and Göker, M. (2013). ‘Genome sequence-based species delimitation with confidence intervals and improved distance functions’. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 14(1), 60.
- Mekhilef, S., Saidur, R., Safari, A., and Mustaffa, W. (2011). ‘Biomass energy in Malaysia: current state and prospects’. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 15(7), 3360-3370.
- Mello, B. L., Alessi, A. M., Riaño-Pachón, D. M., deAzevedo, E. R., Guimarães, F. E., Santo, M. C. E., McQueen-Mason, S., Bruce, N. C., and Polikarpov, I. (2017). ‘Targeted metatranscriptomics of compost-derived consortia reveals a GH11 exerting an unusual exo-1, 4-β-xylanase activity’. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 10(1), 254.
- Menon, V., Prakash, G., and Rao, M. (2010). ‘Enzymatic hydrolysis and ethanol production using xyloglucanase and *Debaromyces hansenii* from tamarind

- kernel powder: galactoxyloglucan predominant hemicellulose'. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 148(4), 233-239.
- Menon, V., and Rao, M. (2012). 'Trends in bioconversion of lignocellulose: biofuels, platform chemicals & biorefinery concept'. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*, 38(4), 522-550.
- Mewis, K., Lenfant, N., Lombard, V., and Henrissat, B. (2016). 'Dividing the large glycoside hydrolase family 43 into subfamilies: a motivation for detailed enzyme characterization'. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 82(6), 1686.
- Miller, G. L., Blum, R., Glennon, W. E., and Burton, A. L. (1960). 'Measurement of carboxymethylcellulase activity'. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 1(2), 127-132.
- Mohnen, D. (2008). 'Pectin structure and biosynthesis'. *Current opinion in plant biology*, 11(3), 266-277.
- Mohr, A., and Raman, S. (2013). 'Lessons from first generation biofuels and implications for the sustainability appraisal of second generation biofuels'. *Energy Policy*, 63, 114-122.
- Mokashe, N., Chaudhari, B., and Patil, U. (2018). 'Operative utility of salt-stable proteases of halophilic and halotolerant bacteria in the biotechnology sector'. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 117, 493-522.
- Monrad, R. N., Eklöf, J., Krogh, K. B. R. M., and Biely, P. (2018). 'Glucuronoyl esterases: diversity, properties and biotechnological potential. a review'. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*, 38(7), 1121-1136.
- Montella, S., Ventorino, V., Lombard, V., Henrissat, B., Pepe, O., and Faraco, V. (2017). 'Discovery of genes coding for carbohydrate-active enzyme by metagenomic analysis of lignocellulosic biomasses'. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 42623.
- Mood, S. H., Golfeshan, A. H., Tabatabaei, M., Jouzani, G. S., Najafi, G. H., Gholami, M., and Ardjmand, M. (2013). 'Lignocellulosic biomass to bioethanol, a comprehensive review with a focus on pretreatment'. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 27, 77-93.
- Moreau, C., Tapin-Lingua, S., Grisel, S., Gimbert, I., Le Gall, S., Meyer, V., Petit-Conil, M., Berrin, J.-G., Cathala, B., and Villares, A. (2019). 'Lytic polysaccharide monooxygenases (LPMOs) facilitate cellulose nanofibrils production'. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 12(1), 156.

- Nagelkerken, I., Blaber, S. J. M., Bouillon, S., Green, P., Haywood, M., Kirton, L. G., Meynecke, J. O., Pawlik, J., Penrose, H. M., Sasekumar, A., and Somerfield, P. J. (2008). ‘The habitat function of mangroves for terrestrial and marine fauna: a review’. *Aquatic Botany*, 89(2), 155-185.
- Najmudin, S., Guerreiro, C. I., Carvalho, A. L., Prates, J. A., Correia, M. A., Alves, V. D., Ferreira, L. M., Romão, M. J., Gilbert, H. J., and Bolam, D. N. (2006). ‘Xyloglucan is recognized by carbohydrate-binding modules that interact with β -glucan chains’. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 281(13), 8815-8828.
- Nakamura, A. M., Nascimento, A. S., and Polikarpov, I. (2017). ‘Structural diversity of carbohydrate esterases’. *Biotechnology Research and Innovation*, 1(1), 35-51.
- Nakayama, K. (2015). ‘*Porphyromonas gingivalis* and related bacteria: from colonial pigmentation to the type IX secretion system and gliding motility’. *Journal of Periodontal Research*, 50(1), 1-8.
- Nandakumar, D. K., Zhang, Y., Ravi, S. K., Guo, N., Zhang, C., and Tan, S. C. (2019). ‘Solar energy triggered clean water harvesting from humid air existing above sea surface enabled by a hydrogel with ultrahigh hygroscopicity’. *Advanced Materials*, 31(10), 1806730.
- Nawrocki, E. P., Burge, S. W., Bateman, A., Daub, J., Eberhardt, R. Y., Eddy, S. R., Floden, E. W., Gardner, P. P., Jones, T. A., Tate, J., and Finn, R. D. (2015). ‘Rfam 12.0: updates to the RNA families database’. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 43(Database issue), D130-D137.
- Ndeh, D., Rogowski, A., Cartmell, A., Luis, A. S., Baslé, A., Gray, J., Venditto, I., Briggs, J., Zhang, X., and Labourel, A. (2017). ‘Complex pectin metabolism by gut bacteria reveals novel catalytic functions’. *Nature*, 544(7648), 65.
- Neha, Singh, A., Yadav, S., and Bhardwaj, Y. (2019). *Linking microbial genomics to renewable energy production and global carbon management*, in Tripathi, V., Kumar, P., Tripathi, P. and Kishore, A. (eds.) *Microbial genomics in sustainable agroecosystems: volume 1*. Singapore: Springer, pp. 271-285.
- Ng, W. P. Q., Lam, H. L., Ng, F. Y., Kamal, M., and Lim, J. H. E. (2012). ‘Waste-to-wealth: green potential from palm biomass in Malaysia’. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 34, 57-65.

- Nguyen, T. Y., Cai, C. M., Kumar, R., and Wyman, C. E. (2017). 'Overcoming factors limiting high-solids fermentation of lignocellulosic biomass to ethanol'. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(44), 11673-11678.
- Nogales, B., Moore, E. R., Llobet-Brossa, E., Rossello-Mora, R., Amann, R., and Timmis, K. N. (2001). 'Combined use of 16S ribosomal DNA and 16S rRNA to study the bacterial community of polychlorinated biphenyl-polluted soil'. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 67(4), 1874-1884.
- Nokhal, T.-H., and Schlegel, H. G. (1983). 'Taxonomic study of *Paracoccus denitrificans*'. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 33(1), 26-37.
- Nowicka, B., and Kruk, J. (2010). 'Occurrence, biosynthesis and function of isoprenoid quinones'. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Bioenergetics*, 1797(9), 1587-1605.
- Obeng, E. M., Adam, S. N. N., Budiman, C., Ongkudon, C. M., Maas, R., and Jose, J. (2017). 'Lignocellulases: a review of emerging and developing enzymes, systems, and practices'. *Bioresources and Bioprocessing*, 4(1), 16.
- Oh, H.-M., Kang, I., Vergin, K. L., Kang, D., Rhee, K.-H., Giovannoni, S. J., and Cho, J.-C. (2011). 'Complete genome sequence of strain HTCC2503^T of *Parvularcula bermudensis*, the type species of the order "Parvularculales", in the class Alphaproteobacteria'. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 193(1), 305.
- Oh, J., Choe, H., Kim, B. K., and Kim, K. M. (2015). 'Complete genome of a coastal marine bacterium *Muricauda lutaonensis* KCTC 22339^T'. *Marine Genomics*, 23, 51-53.
- Olsen, G. J., Lane, D. J., Giovannoni, S. J., Pace, N. R., and Stahl, D. A. (1986). 'Microbial ecology and evolution: a ribosomal RNA approach'. *Annual Reviews in Microbiology*, 40(1), 337-365.
- Orencio-Trejo, M., De la Torre-Zavala, S., Rodriguez-Garcia, A., Avilés-Arnaut, H., and Gastelum-Arellanez, A. (2016). 'Assessing the performance of bacterial cellulases: the use of *Bacillus* and *Paenibacillus* strains as enzyme sources for lignocellulose saccharification'. *BioEnergy Research*, 9(4), 1023-1033.
- Osaki, M. R., and Selegghim Jr, P. (2017). 'Bioethanol and power from integrated second generation biomass: A Monte Carlo simulation'. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 141, 274-284.

- Otsuka, S., Ueda, H., Suenaga, T., Uchino, Y., Hamada, M., Yokota, A., and Senoo, K. (2013). ‘*Roseimicrobium gellanilyticum* gen. nov., sp. nov., a new member of the class *Verrucomicrobiae*’. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 63(6), 1982-1986.
- Overmann, J., Abt, B., and Sikorski, J. (2017). ‘Present and future of culturing bacteria’. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, 71, 711-730.
- Owusu, P. A., and Asumadu-Sarkodie, S. (2016). ‘A review of renewable energy sources, sustainability issues and climate change mitigation’. *Cogent Engineering*, 3(1), 1167990.
- Parada, A. E., Needham, D. M., and Fuhrman, J. A. (2016). ‘Every base matters: assessing small subunit rRNA primers for marine microbiomes with mock communities, time series and global field samples’. *Environmental Microbiology*, 18(5), 1403-1414.
- Park, Y. B., and Cosgrove, D. J. (2015). ‘Xyloglucan and its interactions with other components of the growing cell wall’. *Plant and Cell Physiology*, 56(2), 180-194.
- Parte, A. C. (2018). ‘LPSN – List of Prokaryotic names with Standing in Nomenclature (bacterio.net), 20 years on’. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 68(6), 1825-1829.
- Parthasarathi, R., Romero, R. A., Redondo, A., and Gnanakaran, S. (2011). ‘Theoretical study of the remarkably diverse linkages in lignin’. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters*, 2(20), 2660-2666.
- Pascual, J., García-López, M., Bills, G. F., and Genilloud, O. (2016). ‘*Longimicrobium terrae* gen. nov., sp. nov., an oligotrophic bacterium of the under-represented phylum *Gemmatimonadetes* isolated through a system of miniaturized diffusion chambers’. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 66(5), 1976-1985.
- Patel, I., Kracher, D., Ma, S., Garajova, S., Haon, M., Faulds, C. B., Berrin, J.-G., Ludwig, R., and Record, E. (2016). ‘Salt-responsive lytic polysaccharide monooxygenases from the mangrove fungus *Pestalotiopsis* sp. NCi6’. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 9(1), 108.
- Perkins, D. N., Pappin, D. J. C., Creasy, D. M., and Cottrell, J. S. (1999). ‘Probability-based protein identification by searching sequence databases using mass spectrometry data’. *Electrophoresis*, 20(18), 3551-3567.

- Peršoh, D. (2015). ‘Plant-associated fungal communities in the light of meta’omics’. *Fungal Diversity*, 75(1), 1-25.
- Peskett, L., Slater, R., Stevens, C., and Dufey, A. (2007). ‘Biofuels, agriculture and poverty reduction’. *Natural Resource Perspectives*, 107, 1-6.
- Pham, V. H., and Kim, J. (2012). ‘Cultivation of unculturable soil bacteria’. *Trends in Biotechnology*, 30(9), 475-484.
- Phitsuwan, P., Sakka, K., and Ratanakhanokchai, K. (2013). ‘Improvement of lignocellulosic biomass in planta: a review of feedstocks, biomass recalcitrance, and strategic manipulation of ideal plants designed for ethanol production and processability’. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 58, 390-405.
- Plácido, J., and Capareda, S. (2015). ‘Ligninolytic enzymes: a biotechnological alternative for bioethanol production’. *Bioresources and Bioprocessing*, 2(1), 23.
- Plesivkova, D., Richards, R., and Harbison, S. (2019). ‘A review of the potential of the MinION™ single-molecule sequencing system for forensic applications’. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Forensic Science*, 1(1), e1323.
- Podolsky, I. A., Seppälä, S., Lankiewicz, T. S., Brown, J. L., Swift, C. L., and O’Malley, M. A. (2019). ‘Harnessing Nature’s Anaerobes for Biotechnology and Bioprocessing’. *Annual Review of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering*, 10(1), 105-128.
- Pointing, S. B. (1999). ‘Qualitative methods for the determination of lignocellulolytic enzyme production by tropical fungi’. *Fungal Diversity*, 2, 17-33.
- Potters, G., Van Goethem, D., and Schutte, F. (2010). ‘Promising biofuel resources: lignocellulose and algae’. *Nature Education*, 3(9), 14.
- Poudel, S., Giannone, R. J., Basen, M., Nookaew, I., Poole, F. L., Kelly, R. M., Adams, M. W. W., and Hettich, R. L. (2018). ‘The diversity and specificity of the extracellular proteome in the cellulolytic bacterium *Caldicellulosiruptor bescii* is driven by the nature of the cellulosic growth substrate’. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 11(1), 80.
- Prasad, R. K., Chatterjee, S., Mazumder, P. B., Gupta, S. K., Sharma, S., Vairale, M. G., Datta, S., Dwivedi, S. K., and Gupta, D. K. (2019). ‘Bioethanol production from waste lignocelluloses: a review on microbial degradation potential’. *Chemosphere*, 231, 588-606.

- Preiss, L., Hicks, D. B., Suzuki, S., Meier, T., and Krulwich, T. A. (2015). ‘Alkaliphilic bacteria with impact on industrial applications, concepts of early life forms, and bioenergetics of ATP synthesis’. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*, 3(75), 1.
- Qin, Q.-L., Xie, B.-B., Zhang, X.-Y., Chen, X.-L., Zhou, B.-C., Zhou, J., Oren, A., and Zhang, Y.-Z. (2014). ‘A proposed genus boundary for the prokaryotes based on genomic insights’. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 196(12), 2210-2215.
- Qin, W. (2016). ‘Recent developments in using advanced sequencing technologies for the genomic studies of lignin and cellulose degrading microorganisms’. *International Journal of Biological Sciences*, 12(2), 156.
- Quan, Z.-X., Xiao, Y.-P., Roh, S. W., Nam, Y.-D., Chang, H.-W., Shin, K.-S., Rhee, S.-K., Park, Y.-H., and Bae, J.-W. (2008). ‘*Joostella marina* gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel member of the family *Flavobacteriaceae* isolated from the East Sea’. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 58(6), 1388-1392.
- R. Desjardins, P., and Conklin, D. S. (2011). ‘Microvolume Quantitation of Nucleic Acids’. *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*, 93(1), A.3J.1-A.3J.16.
- Ragauskas, A. J., Beckham, G. T., Biddy, M. J., Chandra, R., Chen, F., Davis, M. F., Davison, B. H., Dixon, R. A., Gilna, P., and Keller, M. (2014). ‘Lignin valorization: improving lignin processing in the biorefinery’. *Science*, 344(6185), 1246843.
- Raina, V., Nayak, T., Ray, L., Kumari, K., and Suar, M. (2019). *A polyphasic taxonomic approach for designation and description of novel microbial species*, in Das, S. and Dash, H. R. (eds.) *Microbial diversity in the genomic era*. United States: Academic Press, pp. 137-152.
- Ramos, J. L., Valdivia, M., García - Lorente, F., and Segura, A. (2016). ‘Benefits and perspectives on the use of biofuels’. *Microbial Biotechnology*, 9(4), 436-440.
- Rashmi, R., and Siddalingamurthy, K. (2018). ‘Microbial xyloglucanases: a comprehensive review’. *Biocatalysis and Biotransformation*, 36(4), 280-295.
- Raud, M., Kesperi, R., Oja, T., Olt, J., and Kikas, T. (2014). ‘Utilization of urban waste in bioethanol production: potential and technical solutions’. *Agronomy Research*, 12(2), 397-406.

- Raud, M., Kikas, T., Sippula, O., and Shurpali, N. (2019). ‘Potentials and challenges in lignocellulosic biofuel production technology’. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 111, 44-56.
- Ravenhall, M., Škunca, N., Lassalle, F., and Dessimoz, C. (2015). ‘Inferring Horizontal Gene Transfer’. *PLOS Computational Biology*, 11(5), e1004095.
- Rees, D. C., Johnson, E., and Lewinson, O. (2009). ‘ABC transporters: the power to change’. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, 10(3), 218-227.
- Ren, H., Zong, M.-H., Wu, H., and Li, N. (2016). ‘Utilization of seawater for the biorefinery of lignocellulosic biomass: ionic liquid pretreatment, enzymatic hydrolysis, and microbial lipid production’. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering*, 4(10), 5659-5666.
- Rice, P., Longden, I., and Bleasby, A. (2000). ‘EMBOSS: the European Molecular Biology Open Software Suite’. *Trends in Genetics: TIG*, 16(6), 276-277.
- Richter, M., and Rosselló-Móra, R. (2009). ‘Shifting the genomic gold standard for the prokaryotic species definition’. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(45), 19126-19131.
- Richter, M., Rosselló-Móra, R., Oliver Glöckner, F., and Peplies, J. (2016). ‘JSpeciesWS: a web server for prokaryotic species circumscription based on pairwise genome comparison’. *Bioinformatics*, 32(6), 929-931.
- Robak, K., and Balceruk, M. (2018). ‘Review of second generation bioethanol production from residual biomass’. *Food Technology and Biotechnology*, 56(2), 174.
- Robinson, G., Caldwell, G. S., Wade, M. J., Free, A., Jones, C. L. W., and Stead, S. M. (2016). ‘Profiling bacterial communities associated with sediment-based aquaculture bioremediation systems under contrasting redox regimes’. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 38850-38850.
- Rodriguez-R, L. M., and Konstantinidis, K. T. (2014). ‘Bypassing cultivation to identify bacterial species’. *Microbe*, 9(3), 111-118.
- Rodriguez-R, L. M., and Konstantinidis, K. T. (2016). ‘The enveomics collection: a toolbox for specialized analyses of microbial genomes and metagenomes’. *PeerJ Preprints*, 4, e1900v1901.
- Romano, S., Jackson, S. A., Patry, S., and Dobson, A. D. W. (2018). ‘Extending the “One Strain Many Compounds” (OSMAC) principle to marine microorganisms’. *Marine Drugs*, 16(7), 244.

- Rosnow, J. J., Anderson, L. N., Nair, R. N., Baker, E. S., and Wright, A. T. (2017). ‘Profiling microbial lignocellulose degradation and utilization by emergent omics technologies’. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*, 37(5), 626-640.
- Rowell, R. M., Han, J. S., and Rowell, J. S. (2000). ‘Characterization and factors effecting fiber properties’. *Natural Polymers and Agrofibers Bases Composites*, 115-134.
- Ruel, K., Nishiyama, Y., and Joseleau, J.-P. (2012). ‘Crystalline and amorphous cellulose in the secondary walls of *Arabidopsis*’. *Plant Science*, 193, 48-61.
- Rytioja, J., Hildén, K., Yuzon, J., Hatakka, A., de Vries, R. P., and Mäkelä, M. R. (2014). ‘Plant-polysaccharide-degrading enzymes from *Basidiomycetes*’. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 78(4), 614-649.
- Sabbadin, F., Hemsworth, G. R., Ciano, L., Henrissat, B., Dupree, P., Tryfona, T., Marques, R. D., Sweeney, S. T., Besser, K., and Elias, L. (2018). ‘An ancient family of lytic polysaccharide monooxygenases with roles in arthropod development and biomass digestion’. *Nature Communications*, 9(1), 756.
- Saini, J. K., Saini, R., and Tewari, L. (2015). ‘Lignocellulosic agriculture wastes as biomass feedstocks for second-generation bioethanol production: concepts and recent developments’. *3 Biotech*, 5(4), 337-353.
- Sambo, F., Finotello, F., Lavezzo, E., Baruzzo, G., Masi, G., Peta, E., Falda, M., Toppo, S., Barzon, L., and Di Camillo, B. (2018). ‘Optimizing PCR primers targeting the bacterial 16S ribosomal RNA gene’. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 19(1), 343.
- Samiran, N. A., Jaafar, M. N. M., Ng, J.-H., Lam, S. S., and Chong, C. T. (2016). ‘Progress in biomass gasification technique—with focus on Malaysian palm biomass for syngas production’. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 62, 1047-1062.
- Santos, H. F., Cury, J. C., Carmo, F. L., Rosado, A. S., and Peixoto, R. S. (2010). ‘18S rDNA sequences from microeukaryotes reveal oil indicators in mangrove sediment’. *PLoS One*, 5(8), e12437.
- Saravanakumar, K., Rajendran, N., Kathiresan, K., and Chen, J. (2016). *Bioprospects of microbial enzymes from mangrove-associated fungi and bacteria*, in Kim, S.-K. and Toldrá, F. (eds.) *Advances in food and nutrition research*. United States: Academic Press, pp. 99-115.

- Sarkar, N., Ghosh, S. K., Bannerjee, S., and Aikat, K. (2012). ‘Bioethanol production from agricultural wastes: an overview’. *Renewable Energy*, 37(1), 19-27.
- Sasser, M. (1990). *Identification of bacteria by gas chromatography of cellular fatty acids*. Newark, DE, United States: USFCC Newsletter.
- Schmeisser, C., Steele, H., and Streit, W. R. (2007). ‘Metagenomics, biotechnology with non-culturable microbes’. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 75(5), 955-962.
- Schöler, A., Jacquiod, S., Vestergaard, G., Schulz, S., and Schloter, M. (2017). ‘Analysis of soil microbial communities based on amplicon sequencing of marker genes’. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 53(5), 485-489.
- Schrand, A. (2005). ‘Polymer sample preparation for electron microscopy’. *Microscopy and Microanalysis*, 11(S02), 702.
- Schroeder, A., Mueller, O., Stocker, S., Salowsky, R., Leiber, M., Gassmann, M., Lightfoot, S., Menzel, W., Granzow, M., and Ragg, T. (2006). ‘The RIN: an RNA integrity number for assigning integrity values to RNA measurements’. *BMC Molecular Biology*, 7, 3-3.
- Schultink, A., Liu, L., Zhu, L., and Pauly, M. (2014). ‘Structural diversity and function of xyloglucan sidechain substituents’. *Plants*, 3(4), 526-542.
- Sepkoski, J. J. (1988). ‘Alpha, beta, or gamma: where does all the diversity go?’. *Paleobiology*, 14(3), 221-234.
- Shafawati, S. N., and Siddiquee, S. (2013). ‘Composting of oil palm fibres and *Trichoderma* spp. as the biological control agent: a review’. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, 85, 243-253.
- Shao, W., and Wiegel, J. (1992). ‘Purification and characterization of a thermostable beta-xylosidase from *Thermoanaerobacter ethanolicus*’. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 174(18), 5848-5853.
- Sharma, A., Tewari, R., Rana, S. S., Soni, R., and Soni, S. K. (2016). ‘Cellulases: classification, methods of determination and industrial applications’. *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 179(8), 1346-1380.
- Sharma, H. K., Xu, C., and Qin, W. (2019). ‘Biological pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass for biofuels and bioproducts: an overview’. *Waste and Biomass Valorization*, 10(2), 235-251.
- Shirkavand, E., Baroutian, S., Gapes, D. J., and Young, B. R. (2016). ‘Combination of fungal and physicochemical processes for lignocellulosic biomass

- pretreatment—a review’. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 54, 217-234.
- Shivanand, P., and Mugeraya, G. (2011). ‘Halophilic bacteria and their compatible solutes—osmoregulation and potential applications’. *Current Science*, 100(10), 1516-1521.
- Siegl, A., Kamke, J., Hochmuth, T., Piel, J., Richter, M., Liang, C., Dandekar, T., and Hentschel, U. (2011). ‘Single-cell genomics reveals the lifestyle of *Poribacteria*, a candidate phylum symbiotically associated with marine sponges’. *The ISME Journal*, 5(1), 61-70.
- Silva, C. O., Vaz, R. P., and Filho, E. X. (2018). ‘Bringing plant cell wall - degrading enzymes into the lignocellulosic biorefinery concept’ . *Biofuels, Bioproducts and Biorefining*, 12(2), 277-289.
- Simmons, C. W., Reddy, A. P., D’haeseleer, P., Khudyakov, J., Billis, K., Pati, A., Simmons, B. A., Singer, S. W., Thelen, M. P., and VanderGheynst, J. S. (2014). ‘Metatranscriptomic analysis of lignocellulolytic microbial communities involved in high-solids decomposition of rice straw’. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 7(1), 495.
- Simões, M. F., Antunes, A., Ottoni, C. A., Amini, M. S., Alam, I., Alzubaidy, H., Mokhtar, N.-A., Archer, J. A. C., and Bajic, V. B. (2015). ‘Soil and rhizosphere associated fungi in gray mangroves (*Avicennia marina*) from the Red Sea — a metagenomic approach’. *Genomics, Proteomics & Bioinformatics*, 13(5), 310-320.
- Singh, J., Behal, A., Singla, N., Joshi, A., Birbian, N., Singh, S., Bali, V., and Batra, N. (2009). ‘Metagenomics: concept, methodology, ecological inference and recent advances’. *Biotechnology Journal*, 4(4), 480-494.
- Singh, P., Suman, A., Tiwari, P., Arya, N., Gaur, A., and Shrivastava, A. (2008). ‘Biological pretreatment of sugarcane trash for its conversion to fermentable sugars’. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 24(5), 667-673.
- Sivasangar, S., Zainal, Z., Salmiaton, A., and Taufiq-Yap, Y. (2015). ‘Supercritical water gasification of empty fruit bunches from oil palm for hydrogen production’. *Fuel*, 143, 563-569.
- Smibert, R., and Krieg, N. (1994). *Phenotypic characterization*, in Gerhardt, P., Murray, R., Wood, W. and Krieg, N. (eds.) *Methods for general and molecular*

- bacteriology*. Washington, DC: American Society for Microbiology, pp. 607-654.
- Socolar, J. B., Gilroy, J. J., Kunin, W. E., and Edwards, D. P. (2016). ‘How should beta-diversity inform biodiversity conservation?’. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 31(1), 67-80.
- Spyridon, A., Euverink, W., and Jan, G. (2016). ‘Consolidated briefing of biochemical ethanol production from lignocellulosic biomass’. *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology*, 19(5), 44-53.
- Srivastava, P. K., and Kapoor, M. (2017). ‘Production, properties, and applications of endo- β -mannanases’. *Biotechnology Advances*, 35(1), 1-19.
- Stackebrandt, E., Chertkov, O., Lapidus, A., Nolan, M., Lucas, S., Han, C., Cheng, J.-F., Tapia, R., Goodwin, L. A., Bruce, D., Pitluck, S., Liolios, K., Mavromatis, K., Pagani, I., Ivanova, N., Mikhailova, N., Huntemann, M., Pati, A., Chen, A., Palaniappan, K., Rohde, M., Tindall, B. J., Göker, M., Woyke, T., Detter, J. C., Bristow, J., Eisen, J. A., Markowitz, V., Hugenholtz, P., Klenk, H.-P., and Kyrpides, N. C. (2013). ‘High-quality-draft genome sequence of the yellow-pigmented flavobacterium *Joostella marina* type strain En5^T’. *Standards in Genomic Sciences*, 8(1), 37-46.
- Steiner, H.-Y., Naider, F., and Becker, J. M. (1995). ‘The PTR family: a new group of peptide transporters’. *Molecular Microbiology*, 16(5), 825-834.
- Sticklen, M. B. (2008). ‘Plant genetic engineering for biofuel production: towards affordable cellulosic ethanol’. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 9(6), 433-443.
- Stoeck, T., Bass, D., Nebel, M., Christen, R., Jones, M. D., Breiner, H.-W., and Richards, T. A. (2010). ‘Multiple marker parallel tag environmental DNA sequencing reveals a highly complex eukaryotic community in marine anoxic water’. *Molecular Ecology*, 19, 21-31.
- Sulaiman, F., Abdullah, N., Gerhauser, H., and Shariff, A. (2011). ‘An outlook of Malaysian energy, oil palm industry and its utilization of wastes as useful resources’. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 35(9), 3775-3786.
- Sun, S., Sun, S., Cao, X., and Sun, R. (2016). ‘The role of pretreatment in improving the enzymatic hydrolysis of lignocellulosic materials’. *Bioresource Technology*, 199, 49-58.

- Talamantes, D., Biabini, N., Dang, H., Abdoun, K., and Berlemont, R. (2016). ‘Natural diversity of cellulases, xylanases, and chitinases in bacteria’. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 9(1), 133.
- Tang, J. Y., Ma, J., Li, X. D., and Li, Y. H. (2016). ‘Illumina sequencing-based community analysis of bacteria associated with different bryophytes collected from Tibet, China’. *BMC Microbiology*, 16(1), 276.
- Tatusova, T., DiCuccio, M., Badretdin, A., Chetvernin, V., Nawrocki, E. P., Zaslavsky, L., Lomsadze, A., Pruitt, K. D., Borodovsky, M., and Ostell, J. (2016). ‘NCBI prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline’. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 44(14), 6614-6624.
- Teo, S. C., Liew, K. J., Shamsir, M. S., Chong, C. S., Bruce, N. C., Chan, K.-G., and Goh, K. M. (2019). ‘Characterizing a halo-tolerant GH10 xylanase from *Roseothermus sacchariphilus* strain RA and its CBM-truncated variant’. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 20(9), 2284.
- Thatoi, H., Behera, B. C., Mishra, R. R., and Dutta, S. K. (2013). ‘Biodiversity and biotechnological potential of microorganisms from mangrove ecosystems: a review’. *Annals of Microbiology*, 63(1), 1-19.
- Thomas, T., Gilbert, J., and Meyer, F. (2012). ‘Metagenomics-a guide from sampling to data analysis’. *Microbial Informatics and Experimentation*, 2(1), 3.
- Thompson, W., and Meyer, S. (2013). ‘Second generation biofuels and food crops: co-products or competitors?’’. *Global Food Security*, 2(2), 89-96.
- Throndsen, J. (1970). ‘*Salpingoeca spinifera* sp. nov., a new planktonic species of the *Craspedophyceae* recorded in the Arctic’. *British Phycological Journal*, 5(1), 87-89.
- Tien, M., and Kirk, T. K. (1984). ‘Lignin-degrading enzyme from *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*: purification, characterization, and catalytic properties of a unique H₂O₂-requiring oxygenase’. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 81(8), 2280-2284.
- Tindall, B. (1990). ‘Lipid composition of *Halobacterium lacusprofundi*’. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 66(1-3), 199-202.
- Tindall, B. J., Rosselló-Móra, R., Busse, H.-J., Ludwig, W., and Kämpfer, P. (2010). ‘Notes on the characterization of prokaryote strains for taxonomic purposes’. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 60(1), 249-266.

- Tindall, B. J., Sikorski, J., Smibert, R. A., and Krieg, N. R. (2007). *Phenotypic characterization and the principles of comparative systematics*, in Reddy, C., Beveridge, T., Breznak, J., Maxluf, G., Schmidt, T. and Snyder, L. (eds.) *Methods for general and molecular microbiology*. Washington, DC: American Society of Microbiology, pp. 330-393.
- Tiralerdpanich, P., Sonthiphand, P., Luepromchai, E., Pinyakong, O., and Pokethitiyook, P. (2018). ‘Potential microbial consortium involved in the biodegradation of diesel, hexadecane and phenanthrene in mangrove sediment explored by metagenomics analysis’. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 133, 595-605.
- Tiwari, R., Nain, L., Labrou, N. E., and Shukla, P. (2018). ‘Bioprospecting of functional cellulases from metagenome for second generation biofuel production: a review’. *Critical Reviews in Microbiology*, 44(2), 244-257.
- Tizazu, B. Z., and Moholkar, V. S. (2018). ‘Kinetic and thermodynamic analysis of dilute acid hydrolysis of sugarcane bagasse’. *Bioresource Technology*, 250, 197-203.
- Toscano, A., Bilotti, F., Asdrubali, F., Guattari, C., Evangelisti, L., and Basilicata, C. (2016). ‘Recent trends in the world gas market: economical, geopolitical and environmental aspects’. *Sustainability*, 8(2), 154.
- Trüper, H. G., and Schleifer, K. H. (2006). *Prokaryote characterization and identification*, in Dworkin, M., Falkow, S., Rosenberg, E., Schleifer, K. and Stackebrandt, E. (eds.) *The prokaryotes*. New York: Springer, pp. 58-79.
- Tsui, C. K. M., Marshall, W., Yokoyama, R., Honda, D., Lippmeier, J. C., Craven, K. D., Peterson, P. D., and Berbee, M. L. (2009). ‘*Labyrinthulomycetes* phylogeny and its implications for the evolutionary loss of chloroplasts and gain of ectoplasmic gliding’. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, 50(1), 129-140.
- Vaaje-Kolstad, G., Westereng, B., Horn, S. J., Liu, Z., Zhai, H., Sørlie, M., and Eijsink, V. G. (2010). ‘An oxidative enzyme boosting the enzymatic conversion of recalcitrant polysaccharides’. *Science*, 330(6001), 219-222.
- van den Brink, J., and de Vries, R. P. (2011). ‘Fungal enzyme sets for plant polysaccharide degradation’. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 91(6), 1477.
- van Dijk, E. L., Jaszczyzyn, Y., Naquin, D., and Thermes, C. (2018). ‘The third revolution in sequencing technology’. *Trends in Genetics*, 34(9), 666-681.

- Várnai, A., Siika-aho, M., and Viikari, L. (2013). ‘Carbohydrate-binding modules (CBMs) revisited: reduced amount of water counterbalances the need for CBMs’. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 6(1), 30.
- Veith, P. D., Glew, M. D., Gorasia, D. G., and Reynolds, E. C. (2017). ‘Type IX secretion: the generation of bacterial cell surface coatings involved in virulence, gliding motility and the degradation of complex biopolymers’. *Molecular Microbiology*, 106(1), 35-53.
- Verma, A., Pal, Y., Khatri, I., Ojha, A. K., Gruber-Vodicka, H., Schumann, P., Dastager, S., Subramanian, S., Mayilraj, S., and Krishnamurthi, S. (2017). ‘Examination into the taxonomic position of *Bacillus thermotolerans* Yang et al., 2013, proposal for its reclassification into a new genus and species *Quasibacillus thermotolerans* gen. nov., comb. nov. and reclassification of *B. encimensis* Dastager et al., 2015 as a later heterotypic synonym of *B. badius*’. *Systematic and Applied Microbiology*, 40(7), 411-422.
- Vizzotto, C. S., Lopes, F. A., Green, S. J., Steindorff, A. S., Walter, J. M., Thompson, F. L., and Krüger, R. H. (2018). ‘Draft genome sequence of *Muricauda* sp. strain K001 isolated from a marine cyanobacterial culture’. *Genome Announcements*, 6(22), e00451-00418.
- Vogel, J. (2008). ‘Unique aspects of the grass cell wall’. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 11(3), 301-307.
- Vörösmarty, C. J., McIntyre, P. B., Gessner, M. O., Dudgeon, D., Prusevich, A., Green, P., Glidden, S., Bunn, S. E., Sullivan, C. A., and Reidy Liermann, C. (2010). ‘Global threats to human water security and river biodiversity’. *Nature*, 467(7315), 555.
- Walia, A., Guleria, S., Mehta, P., Chauhan, A., and Parkash, J. (2017). ‘Microbial xylanases and their industrial application in pulp and paper biobleaching: a review’. *3 Biotech*, 7(1), 11.
- Wang, B., Sun, F., Du, Y., Liu, X., Li, G., Lai, Q., Luo, J., and Shao, Z. (2010). ‘*Meridianimaribacter flavus* gen. nov., sp. nov., a member of the family *Flavobacteriaceae* isolated from marine sediment of the South China Sea’. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 60(1), 121-127.
- Wang, C., Dong, D., Wang, H., Müller, K., Qin, Y., Wang, H., and Wu, W. (2016). ‘Metagenomic analysis of microbial consortia enriched from compost: new

- insights into the role of *Actinobacteria* in lignocellulose decomposition'. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 9(1), 22.
- Wang, H., Hart, D. J., and An, Y. (2019). 'Functional metagenomic technologies for the discovery of novel enzymes for biomass degradation and biofuel production'. *BioEnergy Research*, 12(3), 457-470.
- Wang, Y., Zhu, H., Wang, Y., Zhang, X., and Tam, N. F.-y. (2018). 'Diversity and dynamics of microbial community structure in different mangrove, marine and freshwater sediments during anaerobic debromination of PBDEs'. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9, 952.
- Ward, L. M., Cardona, T., and Holland-Moritz, H. (2019). 'Evolutionary implications of anoxygenic phototrophy in the bacterial phylum *Candidatus Eremiobacterota* (WPS-2)'. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 10(1658).
- Weber, F., del Campo, J., Wylezich, C., Massana, R., and Jürgens, K. (2012). 'Unveiling trophic functions of uncultured protist taxa by incubation experiments in the brackish Baltic Sea'. *PloS one*, 7(7), e41970-e41970.
- Williams, C. H. (2014). 'Malignant hyperthermia: a runaway thermogenic futile cycle at the sodium channel level'. *Advances in Bioscience and Biotechnology*, 5(03), 197.
- Wilson, J.-J., Sing, K.-W., and Jaturas, N. (2019). *DNA barcoding: bioinformatics workflows for beginners*, in Ranganathan, S., Gribskov, M., Nakai, K. and Schönbach, C. (eds.) *Encyclopedia of bioinformatics and computational biology*. Oxford: Academic Press, pp. 985-995.
- Wood, T. M., and Bhat, K. M. (1988). *Methods for measuring cellulase activities*, in *Methods in enzymology*. United States: Academic Press, pp. 87-112.
- Woznica, A., Cantley, A. M., Beemelmanns, C., Freinkman, E., Clardy, J., and King, N. (2016). 'Bacterial lipids activate, synergize, and inhibit a developmental switch in choanoflagellates'. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(28), 7894-7899.
- Wu, P., Xiong, X., Xu, Z., Lu, C., Cheng, H., Lyu, X., Zhang, J., He, W., Deng, W., and Lyu, Y. (2016a). 'Bacterial communities in the rhizospheres of three mangrove tree species from Beilun Estuary, China'. *PloS One*, 11(10), e0164082.
- Wu, S., Zhu, Z., Fu, L., Niu, B., and Li, W. (2011). 'WebMGA: a customizable web server for fast metagenomic sequence analysis'. *BMC Genomics*, 12, 444-444.

- Wu, Y., Zhao, C., Xiao, Z., Lin, H., Ruan, L., and Liu, B. (2016b). 'Metagenomic and proteomic analyses of a mangrove microbial community following green macroalgae *Enteromorpha prolifera* degradation'. *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 26(12), 2127-2137.
- Xiao, C., and Anderson, C. T. (2013). 'Roles of pectin in biomass yield and processing for biofuels'. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 4, 67.
- Xie, G., Bruce, D. C., Challacombe, J. F., Chertkov, O., Detter, J. C., Gilna, P., Han, C. S., Lucas, S., Misra, M., and Myers, G. L. (2007). 'Genome sequence of the cellulolytic gliding bacterium *Cytophaga hutchinsonii*'. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 73(11), 3536-3546.
- Xu, C., Chen, H., Gleason, M. L., Xu, J.-R., Liu, H., Zhang, R., and Sun, G. (2016). '*Peltaster fructicola* genome reveals evolution from an invasive phytopathogen to an ectophytic parasite'. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 22926.
- Yamabhai, M., Sak-Ubol, S., Srila, W., and Haltrich, D. (2016). 'Mannan biotechnology: from biofuels to health'. *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*, 36(1), 32-42.
- Yang, C., Li, Y., Guo, Q., Lai, Q., Wei, J., Zheng, T., and Tian, Y. (2013). '*Muricauda zhangzhouensis* sp. nov., isolated from mangrove sediment'. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 63(6), 2320-2325.
- Yang, S.-J., Kataeva, I., Hamilton-Brehm, S. D., Engle, N. L., Tschaplinski, T. J., Doeppke, C., Davis, M., Westpheling, J., and Adams, M. W. W. (2009). 'Efficient degradation of lignocellulosic plant biomass, without pretreatment, by the thermophilic anaerobe *Anaerocellum thermophilum* DSM 6725'. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 75(14), 4762.
- Yilmaz, P., Parfrey, L. W., Yarza, P., Gerken, J., Pruesse, E., Quast, C., Schweer, T., Peplies, J., Ludwig, W., and Glöckner, F. O. (2014). 'The SILVA and "All-species Living Tree Project (LTP)" taxonomic frameworks'. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 42(D1), D643-D648.
- Yin, Y., Mao, X., Yang, J., Chen, X., Mao, F., and Xu, Y. (2012). 'dbCAN: a web resource for automated carbohydrate-active enzyme annotation'. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 40(Web Server issue), W445-W451.
- Yoon, S.-H., Ha, S.-m., Lim, J., Kwon, S., and Chun, J. (2017). 'A large-scale evaluation of algorithms to calculate average nucleotide identity'. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek*, 110(10), 1281-1286.

- Yu, Z., Lai, Q., Li, G., and Shao, Z. (2013). ‘*Parvularcula dongshanensis* sp. nov., isolated from soft coral’. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 63(6), 2114-2117.
- Zanirun, Z., Bahrin, E. K., Lai-Yee, P., Hassan, M. A., and Abd-Aziz, S. (2015). ‘Enhancement of fermentable sugars production from oil palm empty fruit bunch by ligninolytic enzymes mediator system’. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, 105, 13-20.
- Zavrel, M., Bross, D., Funke, M., Büchs, J., and Spiess, A. C. (2009). ‘High-throughput screening for ionic liquids dissolving (ligno-) cellulose’. *Bioresource Technology*, 100(9), 2580-2587.
- Zhang, H., Yohe, T., Huang, L., Entwistle, S., Wu, P., Yang, Z., Busk, P. K., Xu, Y., and Yin, Y. (2018a). ‘dbCAN2: a meta server for automated carbohydrate-active enzyme annotation’. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 46(W1), W95-W101.
- Zhang, X.-Q., Wu, Y.-H., Zhou, X., Zhang, X., Xu, X.-W., and Wu, M. (2016). ‘*Parvularcula flava* sp. nov., an alphaproteobacterium isolated from surface seawater of the South China Sea’. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 66(9), 3498-3502.
- Zhang, X., Hu, B. X., Ren, H., and Zhang, J. (2018b). ‘Composition and functional diversity of microbial community across a mangrove-inhabited mudflat as revealed by 16S rDNA gene sequences’. *Science of The Total Environment*, 633, 518-528.
- Zhu, P., Wang, Y., Shi, T., Huang, G., and Gong, J. (2018). ‘Genetic diversity of benthic microbial eukaryotes in response to spatial heterogeneity of sediment geochemistry in a mangrove ecosystem’. *Estuaries and Coasts*, 41(3), 751-764.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journal with Impact Factor

1. **Lam, M. Q.**, Oates, N. C., Thevarajoo, S., Tokiman, L., Goh, K. M., McQueen-Mason, S. J., Bruce, N. C., and Chong, C. S. (2020). Genomic analysis of a lignocellulose degrading strain from the underexplored genus *Meridianimarinibacter*. *Genomics*. 112(1), 952-960. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2019.06.011> (Q2, IF: 3.16)
2. **Lam, M. Q.**, Vodovnik, M., Zorec, M., Chen, S. J., Goh, K. M., Yahya, A., Md. Salleh, M., Ibrahim, Z., Tokiman, L., McQueen-Mason, S. J., Bruce, N. C., and Chong, C. S. (2020). *Robertkochia solimangrovi* sp. nov., isolated from mangrove soil, and emended description of the genus *Robertkochia*. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*. 70(3), 1769-1776. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.003970> (Q3, IF: 2.166)
3. **Lam, M. Q.**, Oates, N. C., Goh, K. M., McQueen-Mason, S. J., Bruce, N. C., and Chong, C. S. (2020). Elucidating the lignocellulolytic capability of a new halophilic bacterium *Robertkochia solimangrovi* via thorough genomic analysis. *Science of the Total Environment*. (draft manuscript completed, Q1, IF: 5.589)
4. **Lam, M. Q.**, Chen, S. J., Goh, K. M., Abd Manan, F., Yahya, A., and Chong, C. S. (2020). Genome sequence of an uncharted halophilic bacterium *Robertkochia marina* with phosphate solubilizing ability. *3 Biotech*. (draft manuscript completed, Q3, IF: 1.786)
5. **Lam, M. Q.**, Oates, N. C., Bird, S. M., Leadbeater, D., Dowle, A. A., Tokiman, L., Goh, K. M., McQueen-Mason, S. J., Chong, C. S., and Bruce, N. C. (2020). Multi-omics analyses of lignocellulolytic microbial community from mangrove: new insights into oil palm empty fruit bunch deconstruction. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*. (draft manuscript completed, Q1, IF: 5.452)

Non-Indexed Conference Proceedings

1. **Lam, M. Q.**, Goh, K. M., Bruce, N. C., and Chong, C. S. (2018). Isolation, identification and genomic analyses of halophilic bacteria with lignocellulolytic abilities. *Asian Federation of Biotechnology Malaysia Chapter International Symposium*. 18-21 August. Sarawak, Malaysia, 49. (**ISBN 978-967-17271-0-2**)
2. Chong, C. S., **Lam, M. Q.**, Thevarajoo, S., Teo, S. C., Selvaratnam, C., Goh, K. M., and Bruce, N. C. (2018). Halophilic bacteria: insights into industrial applications. *Asian Federation of Biotechnology Malaysia Chapter International Symposium*, 18-21 August. Sarawak, Malaysia, 19. (**ISBN 978-967-17271-0-2**)
3. Chong, C. S., **Lam, M. Q.**, Zakaria, M. R., Abdul Karim, M. H., Chen, S. J., Goh, K. M., Tokiman, L., Md. Salleh, M., Yahya, A., and Bruce, N. C. (2019). Exploring lignocellulose degrading bacteria from mangrove environment. *Asian Federation of Biotechnology Malaysia Chapter International Symposium*. 20-23 October. Putrajaya, Malaysia, 28.