

EXPANDED POLYSTYRENE SMALL-SCALE MODEL FOR SIMILITUDE  
STUDIES OF ELEVATED WATER TANK

MUHAMMAD HANAFI BIN ROSLAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Philosophy

School of Civil Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

AUGUST 2021

## **DEDICATION**

This thesis is wholeheartedly dedicated to my parents, who have been my source of inspiration and gave us strength when I thought of giving up, who continually provide my moral, spiritual, emotional and financial support.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Hereby, I would like to express my greatest appreciation to the people that guide me for completing my master research especially my late research supervisor, my supervisor, co-supervisors, seniors and juniors.

First and foremost, I would like to thanks to my late supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Abdul Kadir Bin Marsono who always help me with my laboratory work and came up with a discussion twice a week to help my master research. From his guidance, advices and encouragement, I am able to have a very clear direction and smooth progress on my master research. To my new supervisor, Dr Ahmad Razin who has helped me during difficult time and willing to become my new supervisor.

Secondly, I would like to acknowledge my thanks to my seniors and laboratory partner who help me a lot and taken a lot of effort to guide me along my master research. Without their guidance and valuable suggestion, my project would not be successful and meaningful. Besides that, I would like to express my appreciation to my juniors who helped and accompanied me during laboratory work.

Finally, I would like to thank my beloved family and friends for the supports in term of emotionally and finally, sharing and constructive suggestion to ensure a successful completion of this research.

## ABSTRACT

In June 2015, Malaysia was shocked by a strong earthquake in Sabah. Three years later, another earthquake of 5.2 magnitude occurred in the same area. These incidents have triggered structural engineers in Malaysia to consider seismic analysis during load calculation process during the analysis phase. A full-scale seismic test is often expensive and time-consuming to construct, while a small-scale test is found rather limited and the activities are still rare among local engineers. Most of the existing small-scale tests use the same material of reinforced concrete, which has nearly 10 times model in mass due to dynamic effect and dangerous to implement. In this study, the probability to replace the material used in full-scale testing with more suitable material with equivalent properties to concrete in small-scale testing is investigated. This is achieved by conducting a seismic performance study of an elevated water tank scale model using expanded polystyrene (EPS) material. EPS was selected as the material for this study since it has high compression and low tensile value. The main objective of this study is to obtain the dynamic characteristic and ultimate behaviour of a small-scale model made with EPS. To conduct this study, 10 experimental models with different heights, types of coated layers and reinforcements were considered to vary their stiffness. The material was tested using compression test and hysteresis test to acquire the E-value for the material. Then, the model was tested using the shaking table test and the response acceleration was recorded using accelerometers. The value of natural frequency and deformed shape of the experimental model were compared and verified against Finite Element Method (FEM) modal analysis by Autodesk Simulation Mechanical software. The results show that, among the 10 experimental models, the model with mortar and paint coated layers reinforced with 6 rods of 2 mm steel rods and 0.55 mm steel mesh demonstrated a good agreement in terms of natural frequency value compared to the FEM analysis. This study has proven that a proper setting of reinforcement of the small-scale model can lead to a better prediction of real seismic behaviour, thus offering an alternative material replacement for concrete that would aid time and cost savings.

## ABSTRAK

Pada Jun 2015, Malaysia telah dikejutkan dengan satu gempa bumi yang kuat di Sabah. Tiga tahun kemudian, satu lagi gempa bumi berukuran 5.2 berlaku di kawasan yang sama. Kejadian tersebut telah mencetuskan kesedaran kepada jurutera struktur di Malaysia bagi mengambil kira analisis seismik semasa proses pengiraan beban ketika fasa analisis. Ujian seismik berskala penuh adalah mahal dan mengambil masa yang lama untuk dibina, manakala ujian berskala kecil pula sukar untuk dijumpai dan dianggap bukan kebiasaan dalam kalangan jurutera di Malaysia. Sebilangan besar ujian skala kecil menggunakan bahan konkrit bertetulang yang sama, mempunyai model hampir 10 kali ganda kerana kesan dinamik dan berbahaya untuk dilaksanakan. Dalam kajian ini, kebarangkalian untuk menukar bahan yang digunakan dalam ujian berskala penuh dengan bahan yang lebih sesuai yang mempunyai ciri seakan dengan konkrit disiasat. Ini boleh dicapai dengan menjalankan kajian terhadap prestasi seismik tangki air yang diperbuat daripada bahan polistirena. Polistirena dipilih sebagai bahan kajian kerana mempunyai nilai daya mampatan yang tinggi dan nilai ketegangan yang rendah. Objektif utama kajian ini ialah untuk mendapatkan ciri dinamik dan kelakuan muktamad model berskala kecil yang diperbuat dari polistirena. Untuk menjalankan kajian ini, 10 model eksperimen yang terdiri daripada pelbagai ketinggian dan dilapisi pelbagai lapisan dan pengukuhan digunakan untuk mengubah kekuatan mereka. Bahan tersebut diuji dengan ujian mampatan dan ujian histerisis bagi mendapatkan nilai E bagi bahan tersebut. Selepas itu, model struktur diuji di atas meja gegaran dan pecutan tindak balas direkodkan menggunakan "accelerometer". Nilai frekuensi semula jadi dan kerosakan bentuk model eksperimen dibandingkan dan disahkan melalui kaedah unsur terhingga (FEM) dengan menggunakan perisian "Autodesk Simulation Mechanical". Hasil kajian mendapati bahawa daripada 10 model eksperimen, model yang menggunakan mortar dan cat sebagai lapisan luar dan dikukuhkan dengan 6 batang keluli berukuran 2 mm dan 0.55 mm keluli fabrik menunjukkan tanda positif dalam terma nilai frekuensi semula jadi apabila dibandingkan dengan perisian FEA. Kajian ini menunjukkan, penetapan yang betul dalam pengukuhan model berskala kecil boleh membawa kepada ramalan yang lebih baik dalam tingkah laku seismik yang sebenar, seterusnya dapat menawarkan bahan alternatif lain untuk menggantikan konkrit yang mampu menjimatkan masa dan kos.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>viii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xii</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xiii</b>
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xvii</b>
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xviii</b>
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Background of the Study	1
1.2	Problem Statement	2
1.3	The Objective of the Study	3
1.4	Scope of Study	4
1.5	Significance of Research	4
1.6	Flow of the thesis	5
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Similitude Rule	7
2.1.1	Dimensional Analysis	10
2.1.2	Geometric Similarity	10
2.1.3	Kinematic Similarity	11
2.1.4	Dynamic Similarity	11
2.2	Modal Test (EMA)	12
2.3	Shaking Table Test	13

2.4	Scaled Model Test	16
2.5	Elevated Water Tank	19
2.6	Expanded Polystyrene Block (EPS)	24
2.7	Seismic Response Analysis	30
	2.7.1 Response Spectrum Analysis	31
	2.7.2 Finite Element Analysis	31
	2.7.3 Autodesk Simulation Mechanical	32
	2.7.4 Natural Frequency Analysis	32
2.8	Summary	32
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>33</b>
3.1	Research Design and Procedure	33
3.2	Operational Framework	35
3.3	Data Sources	37
3.4	Assumption of Models	37
3.5	Laboratory Work	39
	3.5.1 Hysteresis test	39
	3.5.2 Chirp Burst Test	40
	3.5.3 Vibrator Generator Test	41
3.6	Shake Table Test	42
	3.6.1 Setting up the Experimental Model	43
	3.6.2 Placing Load on the Structure	44
	3.6.3 Installation of Accelerometer	45
	3.6.4 Setting up of Video Camera	47
	3.6.5 Input Seismic Magnitude	47
	3.6.6 Modification of Scaled Models	49
3.7	Computer Modelling	51
	3.7.1 Modelling of Structure	52
3.8	Natural Frequency Analysis	53
	3.8.1 Experimental Natural Frequency Analysis	53
	3.8.2 Natural Frequency Test using Finite Element Analysis	55
3.9	Summary	55

<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS</b>	<b>57</b>
4.1	Introduction	57
4.2	Material Properties	57
	4.2.1 Elastic Modulus Test	57
	4.2.2 Hysteresis Test	60
4.3	Chirp Burst Test	62
4.4	Vibrator Generator Test	63
4.5	Shaking Table Test for Three Different Heights of Small Scaled Elevated Water Tank	64
4.6	Shaking Table Test for Similar Height of Small Scaled Elevated Water Tank with Different Coatings	70
4.7	Experimental Natural Frequency Result	86
4.8	Finite Element Analysis	92
	4.8.1 Introduction	92
	4.8.2 Finite Element Analysis	92
	4.8.3 Geometry Modelling	94
	4.8.4 Surface Meshing	95
	4.8.5 Material Properties	96
	4.8.6 Constraints and Loadings	97
	4.8.7 Solid Meshing and Simulation Run	97
4.9	Validation Test	98
4.10	Results and Discussion	102
	4.10.1 Natural Frequency (Modal)	102
	4.10.2 Response Spectrum Analysis	102
4.11	Discussion on Result Experimental and Finite Element Analysis (FEA)	104
	4.11.1 Comparison of Deformation Shape Between Experimental Result and FEA	104
	4.11.2 Comparison of Natural Frequency for FEA and Experimental Models	106
4.12	Summary of FEA and Discussion	107

<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	<b>109</b>
5.1	Conclusion	109
5.2	Project Achievement	110
5.3	Recommendations	110
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>111</b>
<b>APPENDIX</b>		<b>115</b>
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>		<b>133</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Table 2.2	Typical scale factors for several classes of structures.	18
Table 3.1	Summary for outer layer of small scaled water tank.	35
Table 3.2	Waveform for seismic magnitude input for shaking table test	47
Table 4.1	Result for Elastic Modulus value for different material	59
Table 4.2	Frequency obtained from chirp burst test	62
Table 4.3	Frequency obtained from Vibrator Generator test	63
Table 4.4	Summary of table for failure mode for Model 1 to 10	84
Table 4.5	Data recorded from accelerometer A3 for models 1, 2 and 3	87
Table 4.7	Experimental result between models 1, 2 and 3 and natural frequency from different magnitude of main shock signal	89
Table 4.8	Experimental results between models 4, 5, 6 and 7 and natural frequency from different magnitudes.	89
Table 4.9	Data recorded from accelerometer A3 for models 8, 9 and 10	91
Table 4.10	Commands used in the geometry modelling	94
Table 4.11	Model Unit System Settings	96
Table 4.12	Material Properties for concrete, polystyrene and steel	96

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Figure 2.1	Southeast view of Model B(left) and Model H (right) loaded with lead blocks (Source: Zarnic, Gostic, Crewe, & Taylor, 2000)	15
Figure 2.2	Scheme of laying bricks and placing the wooden lintels and joists (Source: Miha, Klemene, & Weiss, 2008)	16
Figure 2.3	1/7.5 Isolated bridge model (Source: Tsai, Wu, Chang, & Lee, 2006)	17
Figure 2.4	Finite element model of fluid-elevated tank system (Source: Soroushnia, Tafreshi, Omidinasab, Beheshtian, & Soroushnia, 2011)	19
Figure 2.6	Physical model for elevated tank structural system (Source: Shakib & Alemzadeh, 2017)	22
Figure 2.7	Configuration of elevated water tank (a) RC pedestal elevation (b) FE Model (Source: Ghateh, Kianoush, & Pogorzelski, 2015)	23
Figure 2.8	Collapsed 265 kL water tank in Chobari village about 20km from the epicenter (Source: Durgesh, 2001)	24
Figure 2.9	Experimental setup for the cyclic uniaxial test on EPS geofoam (Source: Malai, Youwai, & Jaturabandit, 2017)	25
Figure 2.11	Compressive strength for normal concrete and polystyrene (Source: Suhad, Dhanya, Maan, & Dunya, 2016)	26
Figure 2.12	Experimental shaking table test arrangement for EPS buffer (Source: Zarnani & Bathurst, 2008)	28
Figure 3.1	A sample of polystyrene of elevated water tank structure after being coat with skim coated material and non-acrylic grey paint.	34
Figure 3.2	Research Operational Framework	36
Figure 3.3	Maxwell's reciprocation theorem	38
Figure 3.4	Instrumentation of hysteresis test	39
Figure 3.5	The metal plate is mounted on the top of compartment tank of scaled model	40
Figure 3.6	The generator vibration is set up on the base scaled model	41

Figure 3.7	Time history shaking table equipment in the laboratory	42
Figure 3.8	Flowchart of shake table test procedure	43
Figure 3.9	Setting up of an experimental model for shake table test	43
Figure 3.10	Implication of load on the experimental Model 1 to 3	45
Figure 3.11	Implication of load on the experimental Model 4 to 10	45
Figure 3.12	3D model of MEMS accelerometer	46
Figure 3.13	Installation of accelerometers on scaled model	46
Figure 3.14	The cracks were recorded at the one- third height of the column staging (Source: Durgesh, 2001)	49
Figure 3.15	Collapsed water tank due to severe flexural cracks at the lower part of staging (Source: Durgesh, 2001)	50
Figure 3.16	Modification of Model 5	50
Figure 3.17	Flowchart of Finite Element Analysis using Autodesk Simulation Mechanical	51
Figure 3.18	Simplified model of Anjar Nagar Palika water tower (Source: Gurkalo, Du, Poutos, & Jiminez-Bescos, 2016)	52
Figure 3.19	Real scale model using in Finite Element Analysis	53
Figure 3.20	Flowchart of experimental natural frequency analysis	54
Figure 4.1	(a) and (b) Compression test for Elastic Modulus Value	58
Figure 4.2	Graph of strain vs stress for polystyrene	59
Figure 4.3	Hysteresis behaviour of polystyrene material	60
Figure 4.4	Proposed hysteresis model for the reinforced concrete member (Source: Alper Ilki, 2000)	61
Figure 4.5	Condition of cylindrical sample during hysteresis test	61
Figure 4.6	Chirp Burst wave	62
Figure 4.7	Vibrator generator wave	63
Figure 4.9	(a) and (b) Location of crack for Model 1	65
Figure 4.10	Model 2 during shaking table test	66
Figure 4.11	(a) and (b) Condition of Model 2 after the test	67
Figure 4.12	Model 3 during shaking table test	68
Figure 4.13	(a) and (b) Location of crack for Model 3	69

Figure 4.14	Model 4 on shaking table	70
Figure 4.15	(a) and (b) Location of crack for Model 4	71
Figure 4.16	Model 5 on shaking table	72
Figure 4.17	(a) and (b) Location of fracture for Model 5	73
Figure 4.18	Model 6 on shaking table	74
Figure 4.19	(a) and (b) Place of crack on upper part of column for Model 6	75
Figure 4.20	Model 7 on shaking table	76
Figure 4.21	(a) and (b) Types of failure occurred in Model 7	77
Figure 4.22	Model 8 on the shaking table	78
Figure 4.23	(a) and (b) Types of fracture occurred in Model 8	79
Figure 4.24	Model 9 on the shaking table	80
Figure 4.25	(a) and (b) Types of fracture occurred in Model 9	81
Figure 4.26	Model 10 on shaking table	82
Figure 4.27	(a) and (b) Types of failure occurred in Model 10	83
Figure 4.28	Input wave for shaking table	86
Figure 4.29	Graph of frequency vs PGA main shock for Model 1, 2 and 3	89
Figure 4.30	Graph of frequency vs PGA main shock for models 4, 5, 6 and 7.	90
Figure 4.31	FEA representative for full-scaled prototype	92
Figure 4.32	Flowchart of FEA process	93
Figure 4.34	Constraint with fixed connection and loading were assigned to concrete base and compartment head, respectively	97
Figure 4.35	The model after being meshed in the software	98
Figure 4.37	Response spectrum of Rapid KL (Source: Fadrul Hafiz,2009)	99
Figure 4.38	Maximum normal stress of response spectrum analysis (Source: Fadrul Hafiz,2009)	100
Figure 4.39	Maximum shear stress of response spectrum analysis (Source: Fadrul Hafiz,2009)	100

Figure 4.40	A realistic drawing of elevated water tank tower using AutoCAD	101
Figure 4.42	Result for natural frequency for real structure model	102
Figure 4.44	Color contour plot of displacement for real scaled model	103
Figure 4.45	Deformation shape for real scaled model	103
Figure 4.46	Failure comparison between (a) FEA, (b) experimental Model 5,6,7 and Model 8	105

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

A.M	-	Ante Meridiem
FRF	-	Frequency Response Function
EMA	-	Experimental Modal Analysis
EPS	-	Expanded Polystyrene
DOF	-	Degree of Freedom
RC	-	Reinforced Concrete
OPC	-	Ordinary Portland Cement
UTM	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
M1	-	Model 1
M2	-	Model 2
M3	-	Model 3
M4	-	Model 4
M5	-	Model 5
M6	-	Model 6
M7	-	Model 7
M8	-	Model 8
M9	-	Model 9
M10	-	Model 10
FEA	-	Finite Element Analysis
PGA	-	Peak Ground Acceleration
ULS	-	Ultimate Limit State

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$L$	-	Length
$M$	-	Mass
$F$	-	Force
$v$	-	Velocity
$T$	-	Time
$I$	-	Moment of Inertia
$r$	-	Radius
$a$	-	Acceleration
$L_p$	-	Length of Prototype
$L_m$	-	Length of Model

## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Appendix A	Polystyrene and Reinforcement Bar's Properties	115
Appendix B	Autodesk (R) Simulation Natural Frequency	120

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

On 5 June 2015, an earthquake with 6.0 magnitude struck Ranau district in Sabah, Malaysia at 7.15 AM for 30 seconds which had killed 18 people due to rock falls on Mount Kinabalu. On 8 March 2018, another earthquake measuring 5.2 magnitude occurred again near the same place. These incidents had triggered a warning to structural engineers in Malaysia to consider seismic analysis during the process of structural analysis. Since Malaysia is located outside from the ring of fire, earthquake phenomenon rarely occurs in Malaysia. Thus, there are not many studies regarding earthquakes in Malaysia since engineers do not consider lateral force action on the building. A structure designed without seismic consideration can cause a huge catastrophic and killed thousands of people.

This study was aimed at developing a composite material for civil structure products in scaled-down seismic analysis of elevated water tank. By comparing the location of weak points of the scaled model with weak points of the building using software analysis, determination of suitable prototype in the scaled-down seismic analysis can be performed.

#### **1.1 Background of the Study**

Structural model is defined as any physical representation of structural elements built to a reduced scale (in comparison with full size structures) to be tested. Since their early days, scale models have been used in many disciplines such as hydraulics, structural engineering, naval architecture, automotive, aeronautical engineering as well as meteorology and geophysics. Hydraulic engineering models

have been studied as early as the late 1800s with considerable success. Studies regarding fluid motion in pipes, pumps and open channels and wave action beach erosion have all been successfully carried out by means of physical models. Naval architects have for a long time relied on the use of physical models in designing ships. All important features of ship design such as ship manoeuvrability in smooth and rough seas, ship bending; and vibrations have been examined using scale models in model testing tanks or water basins.

However, scaling often poses problems because although the models are reduced geometrically (e.g.  $1/8^{\text{th}}$  scale), they retain the same behavioural characteristics of the full-scale materials even if the material properties have been re-engineered. Thus, the failure in scaled modelling experiment can result in representative models. It is also well known that structures under dynamic loads do not usually follow the scaling laws due to effects such as material strain rate sensitivity. In that case, appropriate model materials must be substituted, and reduced-size structure must be properly designated as a model.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

In civil engineering, small scale models have been commonly used using reinforced concrete and steel to experimentally study the seismic performance of the structures since the capacities of testing facilities are limited; and are more economically viable. For example, Xu, Yang, Zhang and Yu conducted an experiment using 1:5 scale for multi-story reinforced concrete frame structure using C35 concrete grade. Usually, concrete using similar grade of full scale are widely used in small scaled model lab tests, causing a difficulty in achieving dynamic similarity. The procedure for small scaled concrete modelling requires long duration, high cost, high skilled personnel and can cause a problem if one does not have enough manpower. Cost for even a concrete modelling is more expensive due to more tools and material needed. Concrete material consisting of cements, small aggregate(sand), large aggregate(pebbles) and plasticizer (to increase workability) can form any structural shapes as long as the formwork is allowed. Constructing

formwork for small scaled concrete members is complicated and need excellent and detailed workmanship. Meanwhile, assembling and disassembling of the formwork requires multiple tries and errors.

In many cases, a proposed small-scale structure does not effectively represent the real structure problem since the stiffness of structural materials used are relatively stiffer than the full scale. Particularly in dynamic analysis, a reinforced concrete structural model was often used to construct the small-scale model, and this may result in an addition of nearly ten times the mass of the model due to dynamic effect, which has been proven dangerous during the test. In this situation, a replacement of concrete materials for the scaled structure is vital.

Since the 1950s, expanded polystyrene (EPS) is one of the building materials capable of enhancing the design and structural integrity of the building. It is utilized in many building structures owing to its sustainability benefit and improvement in terms of energy efficiency, durability and indoor environmental quality. (Ramli Sulong, Mustapa, & Abdul Rashid, 2018). In general, the use of EPS can effectively reduce the risk of earthquake damage since the earthquake acceleration and its magnitude is mainly depending on the weight of the structure (Sayadi, Tapia, Neitzert, & Clifton, 2016). Since there are limited studies on the considerations of EPS material in the development of small-scale models, a research question on whether the EPS is feasible to be used as a replacement to concrete materials in a scaled structure should be addressed. For this purpose, a study on the dynamic characteristics and ultimate behaviour of a scaled structural model using EPS shape layered with mortar are worth of study.

### **1.3 The Objective of the Study**

The overall aims of the study are to determine the feasibility of using EPS as a concrete replacement for a small-scale model in predicting the real behaviour of the prototype structure. The objectives that need to be achieved are:

- 1) To obtain the dynamic characteristics through modal analysis of several proposed small-scale model with new forming material of EPS
- 2) To determine the dynamic ultimate behaviour of small-scale model made with polystyrene using time history table.
- 3) To determine the relationship between the small-scale model and the prototype structure using finite element analysis.

#### **1.4 Scope of Study**

In this study, several scaled down models of elevated conical water tanks, possibly of a ratio 1:15, with different considerations of column height and coating layers were studied and tested in a laboratory using modal test and time-history shaking table. Here, the water tank structure was selected due to its simplicity as it is known as a single degree-of-freedom structure, and since the main concern of this study is on the effect of EPS as concrete-replacement material. Various seismic intensities for the dynamic test are considered including the scaled magnitude of Ranau (Sabah) earthquake in 2015. Meanwhile, the layered polystyrene material properties were tested for compressive strength, elasticity modulus and hysteresis value. Finite element software analysis of Autodesk Simulation Mechanical is used to validate the results from experimental works and also to extend the study on the prediction of the real behaviour of prototype structure. In this study, the stress and strain of the materials reinforcements are not included and observation is made at the global behaviour level of water tank at the ultimate limit state, in particular, the modal properties and ultimate failure of the models.

#### **1.5 Significance of Research**

This study produces a new composite material with a reasonable methodology in structural engineering testing of a scaled model considering dynamic and seismic loads. Rapid prototyping methodology can be used to prove the accuracy of the small-scale model against the real dynamic structural analysis. Moreover,

rapid prototyping can also be used to assess the capability of existing building structures to immediately determine whether the structure is capable of resisting seismic events or not, up to the level of reliability analysis of the building. Such a contribution is very significant for the situation in Malaysia, where preparation and retrofitting can quickly be made to strengthen the existing building to restrain the seismic force during unpredictable seismic events.

## **1.6 Flow of the thesis**

Chapter 1 describes the background of research, problem statements and its aim and objectives. It also discussed the scope of study, significance of the research and ended with brief summary of the flow of thesis.

Chapter 2 presents the findings of the literature review. It focuses on the small scaled model testing of the structure on the shaking table test. Besides that, the behaviour of the elevated water tank from Finite Element Analysis (FEA) are summarized in this chapter. Then, the review for analytical, experimental and numerical studies of Expanded Polystyrene (EPS) material in geotechnical area.

Chapter 3 introduces the operational framework of the research. In this chapter, the small scaled structures dimensions, research instruments and experimental testing procedures were described in more detail. Lastly, the modelling of the small scaled structure was illustrated in this chapter.

All recorded experimental laboratory results are placed in Chapter 4. Material properties, hysteresis curve, chirp burst test results and free vibration test results were placed in chapter 4. Furthermore, the shaking table test result for 10 small scaled models were discussed in detailed in this chapter. Then, this chapter describes the procedures and results for Finite Element Analysis. FEA results were then compared with validation test and experimental results in term of failure mode. Then, natural frequencies values obtained from experimental results during shaking table test were compared with the natural frequency obtain from FEA.

Chapter 5 concludes the results of the research. The recommendation for future study were presented in this chapter.

## REFERENCES

- Balawi, S., Shahid, O., & Al Mulla, M. (2015). Similitude and Scaling Laws - Static and dynamic behaviour beams and plates. 1st International Conference on Structural Integrity.
- Barret, A. R., Setareh, M., & Avci, O. (2006). Observation from Vibration Testing of In-Situ Structures. Structures Congress 2006, (pp. 1-10). Missouri.
- Bathurst, R., Keshavarz, A., Zarnani, S., & Take, W. (2006). A simple displacement model for response analysis of EPS geofoam seismic buffers. Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering.
- Bayraktar, A., Sevim, B., Altunisik, A., & Turker, T. (2009). Effect of the model updating on the earthquake behaviour of steel storage tanks. Journal of Constructional Steel Research.
- Beju, Y., & Mandal, J. (2017). Expanded polystyrene(EPS) geofoam: preliminary characteristic evaluation. Procedia Engineering.
- Chen, W., Hao, H., Hughes, D., Shi, Y., Cui, J., & Li, Z.-X. (2015). Static and dynamic mechanical properties of expanded polystyrene. Materials and Design.
- Coutinho, C., Baptista, A., & Rodrigues, J. (2016). Reduced scale models based on similitude theory : A review up to 2015. Engineering Structures.
- Durgesh, R. C. (2001). Performance of elevated tanks in Mw 7.7 Bhuj earthquake of January 26th,2001 . Kanpur.
- Ghateh, R., Kianoush, M., & Pogorzelski, W. (2015). Seismic response factors of reinforced concrete pedestal in elevated water tanks. Engineering Structures.
- Gurkalo, F., Du, Y., Poutos, K., & Jiminez-Bescos, J. (2016). The nonlinear analysis of an innovative slit reinforced concrete water tower in seismic regions. Engineering Structures.
- Harris, H. G., & Sabnis, G. G. (1999). Structural Modeling and Experimental Techniques. CRC Press.
- Ilki, A., & Kumbasar, N. (2000). Hysteresis Model for Reinforced Concrete Members. ASCE 14th Engineering Mechanics Conference.

- Jabar, A., & Patel, H. (2012). Seismic Behaviour of RC Elevated Water Tank Under Different Staging Pattern And Earthquake Characteristic. *International Journal of Advanced Engineering Research and Studies*.
- Jabar, A., & Patel, H. (2012). Seismic Behaviour of RC Elevated Water Tank Under Different Staging Pattern and Earthquake Characteristics. *International Journal of Advanced Engineering Research and Studies*.
- Kim, N.-S., Lee, J.-H., & Chang, S.-P. (2007). Equivalent multi-phase similitude law for pseudodynamic test on small scale reinforced concrete models. *Engineering Structures*.
- Lu, X., Zhou, Y., & Lu, W. (2007). Shaking table Model Test and Numerical Analysis of Complex High-Rise Building. *The Structural Design of Tall and Special Buildings*.
- Malai, A., Youwai, S., & Jaturabandit, N. (2017). Stress-Strain Mechanism of Expanded Polystyrene Foam under Cyclic Loading Conditions within and Beyond Yield States. *2017 International Conference on Transportation Infrastructure and Materials*.
- Mazzariol, L., Oshiro, R., & Alves, M. (2015). A method to represent impacted structures using scaled models made of different materials. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*.
- Miha, T., Klemene, I., & Weiss, P. (2008). Seismic upgrading of old masonry buildings by seismic isolation and CFRP laminates: a shaking-table study of reduced scale models. *Bull Earthquake Eng.*
- Momtazi, A., Langrudi, M., Haggi, A., & Atigh, H. (2010). Durability of Lightweight Concrete Containing EPS in Salty Exposure Conditions. *Second International Conference on Sustainable Construction Materials and Technologies*.
- Moslemi, M., Kianoush, M., & Pogorzelski, W. (2011). Seismic response of liquid-filled elevated tanks. *Engineering Structures*.
- Musa, A., & El Damatty, A. (2016). Capacity of liquid steel conical tanks under hydrodynamic pressure due to horizontal ground excitations. *Thin-Walled Structures*.
- Omidinasab, F., & Shakib, H. (2012). Seismic Response Evaluation of the RC Elevated Water Tank with Fluid-Structure Interaction and Earthquake Ensemble. *Journal of Civil Engineering*.

- Ossa, A., & Romo, M. (2010). Dynamic characterization of EPS geofoam. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*.
- Ozturk, U. E., & Anlas, G. (2010). Finite element analysis of expanded polystyrene foam under multiple compressive loading and unloading. *Materials and Design*.
- Parmar, A., Patel, U., Parmar, A., Joshi, C., Vaghasiya, A., & Joshi, A. (2015). Light Weight Concrete Using EPS beads and Aluminium Powder. *Asian International Conference on Science, Engineering & Technology*.
- Ramli Sulong, N., Mustapa, S., & Abdul Rashid, M. (2018). Application of expanded polystyrene(EPS) in buildings and constructions: A review. *Journal of Applied Polymer*.
- Sayadi, A. A., Tapia, J. V., Neitzert, T. R., & Clifton, G. C. (2016). Effect of expanded polystyrene(EPS) particles on fire resistance, thermal conductivity and compressive strength of foamed concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*, 716-724.
- Schawrz, B., & Richardson, M. (1999). *Experimental Modal Analysis*. CSI Reliability Week.
- Shah, Q. H., & Topa, A. (2014). Modeling Large Deformation and failure of Expanded Polystyrene Crushable Foam using LS-DYNA. *Hindawi*.
- Shakib, H., & Alemzadeh, H. (2017). The effect of earthquake site-source distance on dynamic response of concrete elevated water tanks. *X International Conference on Structural Dynamics, EURODDYN 2017*.
- Shehadeh, M., Shennawy, Y., & El-Gamal, H. (2015). Similitude and Scaling of large structure elements: Case study. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*.
- Sol, H. (2004). Identification of the material properties of slender composite structures.
- Sol, H. (2014). Identification of the material properties of slender composite structures.
- Soroushnia, S., Tafreshi, S., Omidinasab, F., Beheshtian, N., & Soroushnia, S. (2011). Seismic Performance of RC Elevated Water Tanks with Frame Staging and Exhibition Damage Pattern. *Procedia Engineering*.
- Suhad, M., Dhanya, G., Maan, H., & Dunya, K. (2016). Effective Replacement of Fine Aggregates by Expanded Polystyrene Beads in Concrete. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Science & Technology*.

- Sweedan, A., & El Damatty, A. (2001). Experimental and analytical evaluation of the dynamic characteristics of conical shells. *Thin-Walled Structures*.
- Tagel-Din, H., & Meguro, K. (2000). Analysis of a Small Scale RC Building Subjected to Shaking Table Test Using Applied Element Method. 12th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering.
- Tongkul, F. (2016). The 2015 Ranau Earthquake : Cause and Impact. *Sabah Society Journal Vol. 32*.
- Trandafir, A., Bartlett, S., & Lingwall, B. (2010). Behaviour of EPS geofoam in stress-controlled cyclic uniaxial tests. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*.
- Tsai, M.-h., Wu, S.-Y., Chang, K.-C., & Lee, G. (2006). Shaking table test of a scaled bridge model with rolling type seismic isolation bearings. *Engineering Structures*.
- Zarnani, S., & Bathurst, R. (2008). Numerical modeling of EPS seismic buffer shaking table test. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*.
- Zarnic, R., Gostic, S., Crewe, A., & Taylor, C. (2000). Shaking table tests of 1:4 reduced-scale models of masonry infilled reinforced concrete frame building. *EARTHQUAKE ENGINEERING AND STRUCTURAL DYNAMIC*.
- Zohuri, B. (2015). *Dimensional Analysis and Self-Similarity Methods*. Springer Publishing Company.

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Roslan, M. H. and Marsono, A. K. (2019). Dam break test for scaled down slab beam and flat slab Dom-ino house structural system. *GEOTROPIKA – ICHITRA 2019*