

MODELLING AND CONTROL OF SUBMERGED MEMBRANE  
BIOREACTOR FILTRATION PROCESS USING NEURAL NETWORK  
MODEL PREDICTIVE CONTROL

ZAKARIAH BIN YUSUF

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy (Electrical Engineering)

Faculty of Electrical Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JULY 2018

*To my beloved parents Yusuf and Kasih*

*To my wife Nazurah, my children Muhammad Hafiy, Muhammad Faheem,  
Muhammad Aathif & Yusra*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to praise to Almighty Allah for giving me strength and ability to endure the hard times I have been through and through. Without Him, I am sure I would not be able to complete my research journey.

I would like to extend my deepest appreciation and gratitude to my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Ir. Dr. Norhaliza Abdul Wahab for her continuous supports, invaluable guidance and countless moments of attention that she had generously spared throughout this research. I am also particularly thankful to my co-supervisor Dr. Shafishuhaza Sahlan for her contributions and support in this research work.

My special thanks go to my beloved parents for their endless encouragement and prayers especially throughout the PhD journey. To my wife and my children, thank you very much for all the encouragement, understanding and sacrifices.

My gratitude also goes to Prof. Dr. Ahmad Fauzi Ismail, Mr. Ng Bee Cher and Mr Suhaimi from Advance Membrane Technology Center (AMTEC-UTM) for the advice on the plant development and research. I also thank the Process Control Lab members that helped directly or indirectly toward completion of this research.

Lastly, but not the least, I would like to convey my utmost gratitude and appreciation to Universiti Teknologi MARA (UiTM) and Ministry of Higher Education for giving me the opportunity to pursue my PhD studies in Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) under young lecture scholarship.

## ABSTRACT

Membrane bioreactor employs an efficient filtration technology for solid and liquid separation in wastewater treatment process. Development of membrane filtration model is significant as this model can be used to predict fouling development which is later utilized in control development. Most of the available models only suitable for monitoring purpose, which are too complex, required many variables and not suitable for control system design. Artificial neural network (ANN) is a simple and efficient method in modelling of filtration process. In this thesis, the dynamic ANN is used to model the filtration process using the developed submerged membrane bioreactor (SMBR) pilot plant. The accuracy of the dynamic neural network is further improved using the proposed optimization algorithms. These algorithms are developed based on the hybrid particle swarm optimization and gravitational search algorithm (PSOGSA) using cooperative approach. The first cooperative PSOGSA (CPSOGSA-1) is developed using master-slave cooperative technique where one master group and a few slave groups are created. The second cooperative PSOGSA (CPSOGSA-2) is where multiple groups are created, and the best solution found by one of the group will share with other groups. The model performances of the ANN training and testing are assessed using mean square error, mean absolute deviation and correlation coefficient. To establish the model training performance, another set of input output data from heating process is performed. Furthermore, the training performance of the algorithms is tested to minimize ten mathematical functions. The simulation results indicate the proposed algorithms outperformed the existing PSO, GSA and PSOGSA algorithms for the SMBR model. Similar trends of results can be observed for heating process model and for all benchmark functions tested. An improved SMBR trained model is then used for neural network model predictive control (NNMPC) design for permeate flux control as to prevent flux decline in the membrane filtration cycle due to fouling problem. The PSO, CPSOGSA-1 and CPSOGSA-2 algorithms are utilized in NNMPC real-time optimization cost function. From the experimental result, the best filtration control is given by NNMPC with CPSOGSA-2 algorithm. The superiority of the NNMPC in membrane filtration control resulted from real time implementation showed an improvement of 100% overshoot, 7.06% settling time and 11.96% of integral absolute error when compared to PID-PSO.

## ABSTRAK

Bioreaktor membran menggunakan teknologi penapisan yang cekap untuk pemisahan pepejal dan cecair dalam proses rawatan air sisa kumbahan. Pembangunan model penapisan membran penting kerana model ini boleh digunakan untuk meramalkan pembentukan kotoran yang kemudiannya digunakan dalam membangunkan system kawalan. Kebanyakan model yang ada hanya sesuai digunakan untuk pemantauan kerana ianya terlalu kompleks, memerlukan banyak pembolehubah, dan tidak sesuai untuk reka bentuk sistem kawalan. Rangkaian neural buatan (ANN) adalah satu kaedah yang mudah dan berkesan dalam pemodelan proses penapisan. Dalam tesis ini, ANN digunakan untuk memodelkan proses penapisan menggunakan loji pandu bioreaktor membran tenggelam (SMBR) yang dibangunkan. Ketepatan rangkaian neural yang dinamik terus dipertingkatkan dengan penggunaan algoritma pengoptimuman dicadangkan. Algoritma ini dibangunkan berdasarkan pengoptimuman hibrid zarah kerumunan dan graviti algoritma carian (PSOGSA) menggunakan pendekatan koperasi. Algoritma koperatif PSOGSA pertama (CPSOGSA-1) dibangunkan menggunakan teknik koperatif tuan-hamba di mana satu kumpulan induk dan beberapa kumpulan hamba yang dicipta. Algoritma koperatif kedua PSOGSA (CPSOGSA-2) adalah di mana beberapa kumpulan dicipta, dan penyelesaian terbaik yang ditemui oleh salah satu kumpulan yang akan berkongsi dengan kumpulan lain. Persembahan latihan and ujian model ANN dinilai menggunakan ralat persegi min, sisihan min mutlak dan pekali korelasi. Untuk mewujudkan prestasi latihan model, satu lagi set data output input dari proses pemanasan dilakukan. Tambahan pula, prestasi latihan algoritma diuji untuk mengurangkan sepuluh fungsi matematik. Keputusan simulasi menunjukkan algoritma yang dicadangkan mengatasi PSO, GSA dan PSOGSA algoritma yang sedia ada bagi model SMBR itu. Trend yang sama keputusan boleh diperhatikan bagi model proses pemanasan dan untuk semua fungsi penanda aras diuji. Model SMBR terlatih yang terbaik kemudiannya digunakan untuk model rangkaian neural kawalan ramalan (NNMPC) yang reka bentuk untuk kawalan fluks meresap untuk mengelakkan penurunan fluks dalam kitaran penapisan membran kerana masalah kotoran. Algoritma PSO, CPSOGSA-1 dan CPSOGSA-2 digunakan dalam NNMPC fungsi kos pengoptimuman masa nyata. Dari hasil eksperimen, kawalan penapisan terbaik diberikan oleh NNMPC dengan algoritma CPSOGSA-2. Keunggulan NNMPC dalam kawalan penapisan membran hasil daripada pelaksanaan masa nyata menunjukkan peningkatan sebanyak 100% terlajak, 7.06% masa pengenapan dan 11.96% bagi ralat mutlak kamiran berbanding PID-PSO.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	vi
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	vii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	viii
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	ix
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	x
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	xi
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xvi
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xviii
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xxii
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	xxiv
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xxvii
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Objectives	4
	1.4 Scope of Study	4
	1.5 Thesis Structure and Organization	5
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	7
	2.1 Introduction	7

2.2	Membrane Bioreactor	8
2.2.1	Side stream membrane bioreactor	8
2.2.2	Submerged membrane bioreactor	9
2.3	Fouling in MBR Filtration	10
2.3.1	Fouling Control using Aeration Airflow	12
2.3.2	Fouling Control using a Backwash Operation	15
2.3.3	Fouling Control using a Relaxation Operation	18
2.4	MBR Filtration System	20
2.4.1	Modelling of MBR Filtration	21
2.4.2	Control of SMBR Filtration	25
2.5	ANN with Soft Computing Approach	29
2.6	Model Predictive Control	31
2.6.1	Neural Network Model Predictive Control (NNMPC)	32
2.6.2	Cost function optimization	35
2.7	Summary of Finding	37
<b>3</b>	<b>MODEL DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>39</b>
3.1	Introduction	39
3.2	Modelling Methodology	40
3.3	Pilot Plant Development	41
3.4	Graphical User Interface (GUI) Development	45
3.5	Influent Preparation	47
3.6	Preliminary experiment	48
3.6.1	Critical Flux Test	48
3.6.2	Relaxation test	50
3.7	Data Collection	51
3.7.1	SMBR Filtration Data Set	52

3.7.2	Data Preprocessing	53
3.8	Model Structure Selection	54
3.9	Neural Network Model Estimation for SBR Filtration	56
3.9.1	Neural Network Model Training Using PSO	58
3.9.2	Neural Network Model Training Using GSA	60
3.9.3	Neural Network Model Training Using PSO-GSA	61
3.10	CPSOGSA-1 Algorithm Development	63
3.10.1	Neural Network Model Training Using CPSOGSA-1	66
3.11	CPSOGSA-2 Algorithm Developments	68
3.11.1	Neural Network Model Training Using CPSOGSA-2	71
3.12	Model Performance Assessment	73
3.12.1	Mean Square Error	73
3.12.2	Mean Absolute Deviation	74
3.12.3	Correlation Coefficient	74
3.13	Model Validation	74
3.14	Evaluation of the Optimization Algorithm	75
3.14.1	Heating Process Modeling Evaluation	75
3.14.2	Benchmark Function Minimization Evaluation	76
3.15	Chapter Summary	78
<b>4</b>	<b>CONTROLLER DESIGN</b>	<b>79</b>
4.1	Introduction	79
4.2	Neural Network Model Predictive Control (NNMPC)	80
4.3	NNMPC-PSO	82
4.4	NNMPC-CPSOGSA-1	86
4.5	NNMPC-CPSOGSA-2	88
4.6	PID Control Design	91



4.6.1	Rise time	94
4.6.2	Settling time	94
4.6.3	Percent of overshoot (OS %)	95
4.6.4	Integral Absolute Error	95
4.7	Chapter Summary	96
<b>5</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</b>	<b>97</b>
5.1	Introduction	97
5.2	SMBR Filtration Modeling	98
5.2.1	SMBR Filtration Training	98
5.2.2	SMBR Filtration Testing Result	105
5.3	Heating Process Modeling Training	109
5.4	Benchmark Functions Optimization	115
5.5	Result of MBR Filtration Control	128
5.5.1	Result of PID controller	128
5.5.2	Result of NNMPC-PSO	131
5.5.3	Result of NNMPC-CPSOGSA-1	135
5.5.4	Result of NNMPC-CPSOGSA-2	139
5.6	Comparison of Controllers Step Response Simulation	143
5.7	Set point Change Test Simulation	144
5.8	Noise Disturbance Test	147
5.9	Real Time Testing Result	150
5.10	Chapter Summary	157

<b>6</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	159
	6.1 Introduction	159
	6.2 Significant findings	160
	6.3 Suggestion of future work	161
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	163
	Appendices A-E	178

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Aeration air flow setup for membrane cleaning	14
2.2	Backwash setting survey	17
2.3	Relaxation settings from various researches	19
3.1	List of instrumentation used in the pilot plant development	44
3.2	Benchmark unimodal functions	76
3.3	Benchmark multimodal functions	76
3.4	Benchmark multimodal function with small dimension	77
4.1	PSO initialize parameters	93
4.2	PSO RTO algorithm initialization	83
4.3	NNMPC initialization	85
4.4	CPSOGSA-1 RTO algorithm initialization	87
4.5	CPSOGSA-2 RTO algorithm initialization	89
5.1	SMBR filtration training results with three hidden neurons	99
5.2	SMBR filtration training results with four hidden neurons	101
5.3	SMBR filtration training results with five hidden neurons	102
5.4	SMBR filtration training results with six hidden neurons	103
5.5	Flux Modelling Training Result	104
5.6	TMP Modelling Training Result	104
5.7	Flux Modelling Testing Result	105
5.8	TMP Modelling Testing Result	105
5.9	Training result for heating process dataset	114
5.10	Result of F1 Optimization	116
5.11	Combination of $H_p$ and $H_c$ tested for NNMPC-PSO	132

5.12	NNMPC-PSO step response performance	133
5.13	Prediction and control horizon tested for NNMPC-CPSOGSA-1	136
5.14	NNMPC-CPSOGSA-1 step response performance	137
5.15	Prediction and control horizon tested for NNMPC-CPSOGSA-2	140
5.16	NNMPC-CPSOGSA-2 step response performance	141
5.17	Real Time Step Response Performance	152

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Side stream MBR filtration system	9
2.2	Submerged membrane bioreactor filtration system	10
2.3	(a) Membrane surface at the early stage of filtration (b) Membrane Surface at a later stage of filtration.	11
2.4	Fouling control in a typical SMBR plant. (Permeate (P), Backwash (BW) and Relaxation (R))	12
2.5	The Influential Factors in a Backwash Performance	15
2.6	Classification of control areas for filtration process[93]	26
2.7	NNMPC block diagram	33
3.1	Submerged membrane bioreactor (SMBR) schematic diagram	42
3.2	(a) Actual SMBR pilot plant (b) Membrane bioreactor (c) Important instrumentation in the permeate stream	43
3.4	Main page of the SMBR software system	46
3.5	Data collection table	46
3.6	Graph to display the collected data	47
3.7	(a) POME influent sample (before filtration), (b) POME effluent sample (after filtration)	48
3.8	(a) TMP response at different pump voltage level. (b) Voltage step at different level	50
3.9	Relaxation at various time setting	51
3.11	SMBR filtration data set	53
3.13	Pilot Plant Control and Monitoring System	54
3.14	Recurrent neural network Structure	55

3.15	Neural network structure	56
3.16	SMBR filtration neural network training	57
3.18	PSO Neural Network Training Flow Chart	59
3.19	GSA Neural Network Training Flow Chart	61
3.20	PSOGSA Neural Network Training Flow Chart	63
3.21	The cooperative architecture of the algorithm	64
3.22	CPSOGSA-1 Flow chart for neural network modelling	68
3.23	Cooperative architecture for CPSOGSA-2 algorithm	68
3.24	CPSOGSA-2 flow chart for neural network modelling	73
3.12	Heating process dataset (a) output and (b) input	75
4.1	NNMPC Block Diagram for SMBR Filtration	81
4.2	NNMPC Flow Chart	82
4.6	NNMPC-PSO flow chart	86
4.7	NNMPC-CPSOGSA-1 flow chart	86
4.8	NNMPC-CPSOGSA-2 flow chart	90
4.1	PID controller block diagram	91
4.2	PID controller tuning using PSO algorithm	92
4.9	Step response evaluation criteria[158]	94
5.1	SMBR filtration training with three hidden neurons convergent curves	99
5.2	SMBR filtration training with four hidden neurons convergent curves	100
5.3	SMBR filtration training with five hidden neurons convergent curves	102
5.4	SMBR filtration training with six hidden neurons convergent curves	103
5.5	(a) TMP model testing result by GSA algorithm (b) Flux model testing result by GSA algorithm (c) Error between the actual and predicted TMP (d) Error between the actual and predicted flux	106
5.6	(a) TMP model testing result by PSO algorithm (b) Fluxmodel testing result by PSO algorithm	

	(c) Error between the actual and predicted TMP	
	(d) Error between the actual and predicted flux	107
5.7	(a) TMP model testing result by PSOGSA algorithm	
	(b) Flux model testing result by PSOGSA algorithm	
	(c) Error between the actual and predicted TMP	
	(d) Error between the actual and predicted flux.	108
5.8	(a) TMP model testing result by CPSOGSA-1 algorithm	
	(b) Flux model testing result by CPSOGSA-1 algorithm	
	(c) Error between the actual and predicted Flux	
	(d) Error between the actual and predicted TMP.	108
5.9	(a) TMP model testing result by CPSOGSA-2 testing algorithm	
	(b) Flux testing result by CPSOGSA-2 algorithm	
	(c) Error between the actual and predicted flux	
	(d) Error between the actual and predicted TMP	109
5.10	Best training convergent curves from all algorithms for two hidden neurons	111
5.11	Best training convergent curves from all algorithms for three hidden neurons	111
5.12	Best training convergent curve from all algorithms for four hidden neurons	111
5.13	Best training convergent curve from all algorithms for six hidden neurons	111
5.14	Best training convergent curve from all algorithms for eight hidden neurons	112
5.15	Best training convergent curve from all algorithms for ten hidden neurons.	112
5.16	Best performance at different neurons	112
5.17	Average performance at different neurons	113
5.18	Worst performance at different neurons	113
5.19	F1 minimization result	118
5.20	F2 minimization result	119
5.21	F3 minimization Convergence Curves	120
5.22	F4 Minimization Convergence Curve	121

5.23	F5 Minimization result	122
5.24	F6 minimization result	123
5.25	F7 function minimization result	124
5.26	F8 minimization performance	125
5.27	F9 minimization result	126
5.28	F10 minimization result	127
5.29	Simulation of PID controller for SBR permeate flux control	129
5.30	Controller output voltage using PID controller	130
5.31	TMP response from PID controller	131
5.32	Step response performances of NNMPC-PSO	133
5.33	Voltage output of the NNMPC-PSO controller	134
5.34	TMP response by the NNMPC-PSO controller	135
5.35	Step response performances of NNMPC-CPSOGSA-1	137
5.36	Voltage output of the NNMPC-CPSOGSA-1 controller	138
5.37	TMP response by the NNMPC-CPSOGSA-1 controller	139
5.38	Step response performances of NNMPC-CPSOGSA-2	141
5.39	Voltage output of the NNMPC-CPSOGSA-2 controller	142
5.40	TMP response by the NNMPC-CPSOGSA-2 controller	143
5.41	Open loop and close loop test with the best controllers setting	144
5.42	Set point change performance by controllers	145
5.43	Voltage of set point change by controllers	146
5.44	TMP effect of set point change	147
5.45	Noise disturbance test for the controllers	148
5.46	Step response performance under noise disturbance	149
5.47	Step response voltage under noise disturbance	150
5.48	Real Time Permeate Flux Step Response	151
5.49	Open loop and close loop controller response	152
5.50	Real time controllers voltage output	153
5.51	Real time TMP effect of controllers step response	154
5.52	Real time set point change test of permeate flux result	155
5.53	Real time controller output voltage	156
5.54	Real Time TMP effect on controller in set point change test	157



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABC	-	Artificial Bee Colony
ANN	-	Artificial Neural Network
BOD	-	Biological oxygen demand
COD	-	Chemical oxygen demand
CPSOGSA-1	-	Cooperative PSOGSA algorithm 1
CPSOGSA-2	-	Cooperative PSOGSA algorithm 2
GA	-	Genetic Algorithm
GSA	-	Gravitational Search Algorithm
IAE	-	Integral Absolute Error
MAD	-	Mean Absolute Deviation
MBR	-	Membrane Bioreactor
MPC		Model Predictive Control
MSE	-	Mean Square Error
NN	-	Neural Network
NNMPC		Neural Network Model Predictive Control
NNMPC-PSO	-	NNMPC With PSO
NNMPC-PSO(1)	-	NNMPC With PSO (Prediction Horizon=15, Control Horizon = 10)
NNMPC-PSO(2)	-	NNMPC With PSO (Prediction Horizon=10, Control Horizon = 7)
NNMPC-PSO(3)	-	NNMPC With PSO (Prediction Horizon=15, Control Horizon = 10)
NNMPC-PSO(4)	-	NNMPC With PSO (Prediction Horizon=5, Control Horizon = 3)
NNMPC-PSO(5)	-	NNMPC With PSO (Prediction Horizon=5, Control

	-	Horizon = 2)
NNMPC- CPSOGSA-1	-	NNMPC With CPSOGSA-1
NNMPC- CPSOGSA-1(1)	-	NNMPC With CPSOGSA-1 (Prediction Horizon=15, Horizon = 10)
NNMPC- CPSOGSA-1(2)	-	NNMPC With CPSOGSA-1 (Prediction Horizon=10, Control Horizon = 7)
NNMPC- CPSOGSA-1(3)	-	NNMPC With CPSOGSA-1 (Prediction Horizon=10, Control Horizon = 5)
NNMPC- CPSOGSA-1(4)	-	NNMPC With CPSOGSA-1 (Prediction Horizon=5, Control Horizon = 3)
NNMPC- CPSOGSA-1(5)	-	NNMPC With CPSOGSA-1 (Prediction Horizon=5, Control Horizon = 2)
NNMPC- CPSOGSA-2	-	NNMPC With CPSOGSA-2
NNMPC- CPSOGSA-2(1)	-	NNMPC With CPSOGSA-1 (Prediction Horizon=15, Horizon = 10)
NNMPC- CPSOGSA-2(2)	-	NNMPC With CPSOGSA-2 (Prediction Horizon=10, Control Horizon = 7)
NNMPC- CPSOGSA-2(3)	-	NNMPC With CPSOGSA-2 (Prediction Horizon=10, Control Horizon = 5)
NNMPC- CPSOGSA-2(4)	-	NNMPC With CPSOGSA-2 (Prediction Horizon=10, Control Horizon = 5)
NNMPC- CPSOGSA-2(5)	-	NNMPC With CPSOGSA-2 (Prediction Horizon=10, Control Horizon = 5)
PID	-	Proportional Integral Derivative
POME		Palm Oil Mill Effluent
PSO	-	Particle Swarm Optimization
PSOGSA		Hybrid PSO and GSA
RTO	-	Real Time Optimization
SMBR		Submerged Membrane Bioreactor
TMP	-	Transmembrane Pressure Ultrafiltration

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$\Delta T$	-	Filtration duration
$J_f$	-	Filtration flux
$J_b$	-	Backwash flux
$t_f$	-	Filtration time
$t_b$	-	Backwash time
$t_r$	-	Relaxation time
$J$	-	Flux
$\Delta P$	-	TMP different
$R_T$	-	Total resistance
$w_{ij}$	-	Network weight
$w_{i0}$	-	Network bias
$f_i$	-	Activation function
$x_i$	-	GSA agent
$N$	-	Agent dimension
$M_{aj}$	-	Gravitational mass
$G(t)$	-	Gravitational constant
$F$	-	Gravitational force
$R_{ij}(t)$	-	Euclidean distance between $x_i$ and $x_j$
$rand$	-	Random number [0 1]
$M_{ij}$	-	Initial mass
$best(t)$	-	Best performance
$worst(t)$	-	Worst performance
$V_i$	-	Agent velocity
$a_i$	-	Acceleration constant

$X_i$	-	Agent position
$f_i$	-	Fitness value
$V_{id}$	-	Particle velocity
$X_{id}$	-	Particle position
$C$	-	Constant
$w$	-	Inertia weight
$w_{max}$	-	Maximum inertia weight
$w_{min}$	-	Minimum inertia weight
$pBest$	-	Personal best
$gBest$	-	Global best
$V_H$	-	PSOGSA agent velocity
$X_H$	-	PSOGSA agent position
$J(H_p, H_c)$	-	MPC cost function
$H_p$	-	Prediction horizon
$H_c$	-	Control horizon
$r$	-	Reference
$\varphi$	-	Weight matrix
$\mu$	-	Weight matrix
$u$	-	Input
$\Delta u$	-	Change of input
$y$	-	Output
$\hat{y}$	-	Prediction output
$t_{relaxation}$	-	Relaxation time
$t_{permeate}$	-	Permeate time
$z^{-1}$	-	Delay operator
$MSE_{flux}$	-	Mean square error flux
$MSE_{TMP}$	-	Mean square error TMP
$x_i$	-	Measured value
$\bar{x}$	-	Mean of the measured value
$y_i$	-	Predicted value
$\bar{y}$	-	Mean of the predicted value

$R$	-	Correlation coefficient
$V_M$	-	CPSOGSA-1 master particle velocity
$X_M$	-	CPSOGSA-1 master particle position
$V_l$	-	CPSOGSA-2 particle velocity
$X_l$	-	CPSOGSA-2 particle position
$\delta$	-	CPSOGS-2 cooperative coefficient group 1
$\gamma$	-	CPSOGS-2 cooperative coefficient group 2
$\lambda$	-	CPSOGS-2 cooperative coefficient group 3
$e(t)$	-	Error
$K_p$	-	Proportional gain
$K_i$	-	Integral gain
$K_d$	-	Derivative gain
$K_c$	-	Process gain
$T_i$	-	Time constant
$T_d$	-	Time delay
$y_{flux}$	-	Flux output
$y_{TMP}$	-	TMP output

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	List of Publications	176
B	SMBR Training Result	178
C	Benchmark function minimization convergent curve	182
D	Heating process modeling result	188
E	Simulation of PID-PSO simulink program	193

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Background**

High concern in water and wastewater treatment quality has triggered more research on the treatment technology. Membrane bioreactor (MBR) was recognized as a promising technology to replace conventional activated sludge (CAS) process. This technology has proven to be very useful for wastewater treatment in producing high-quality effluent (treated water) either from industrial or domestic waste. Among the well-known capability of the MBR system are efficient organics removal, enhanced nutrient removal stability, lower sludge production, smaller footprint, effluent disinfection and high loading rate capabilities.

MBR is the combination of biological process in a bioreactor and membrane filtration process. Unlike the CAS configuration, the MBR does not have standard stages of the process. Some of the MBR plants were developed from the combination of CAS and membrane filtration to replace secondary settler of the treatment. The MBR systems also can be built with more simplified configuration of its nitrification and denitrification process, where some of the configuration of the MBR system is only design with a single bioreactor and this plant is running similar principle with sequential bioreactor (SBR) system [1]. With this configuration, the size of the treatment plant is much smaller compared to the conventional system.

Membrane filtration process is a crucial element in any MBR plant. Application of membrane technology in filtration system is the approach where the membrane that produces from polymers used as a filtering mechanism to separate the effluent from the biomass. The application of membrane filtration on MBR system is to provide better solid-liquid separation in wastewater treatment process. The membrane will filter the unwanted solid material from the effluent discharged. Several techniques in membrane filtration process such as microfiltration (MF), ultrafiltration (UF), nanofiltration and reverse osmosis (RO) have been applied in water and wastewater treatment industries [2].

Membrane fouling is a major problem in membrane filtration process, if not carefully handle it will lead to the high operating cost and low filtration output (permeate flux). Fouling in membrane filtration can cause high energy consumption on pumping, cleaning and expensive membrane replacement cost[3][4][5].

In any MBR system, membrane filtration plays most important role in the treatment process where this system usually placed at the final stage of the treatment. Membrane technology in filtration system is the approach where the membrane that produces from polymers used as a filtering mechanism to separate the effluent from the biomass. As a result, the effluent quality is significantly higher than that generated by conventional treatment. Thus, there is no need for a further tertiary disinfection process.

Due to its effectiveness in producing high quality of effluent, MBR is getting a lot of attention around the world. Investments in this technology are exponential increase each year which indicate MBR will be the future superior technology for wastewater treatment. Due to this rapid development of MBR application in the world, it is important to gain a better understanding of its operations regarding parameters that affect the process performance, especially the operating parameters. Finally, with the sufficient knowledge of the MBR system, the process can be adequately controlled and optimized.



## 1.2 Problem Statement

Despite many advantages of MBR, the system also has its difficult part. The main issue with the MBR is the fouling in the filtration process. Fouling can be described as blockage during the membrane filtration process that caused by many factors such as colloidal, particulate, solute materials, plant operations and the characteristics of the membrane [4]. Fouling can cause permeate flux (filtration output) decline in the filtration process or high transmembrane pressure (TMP) measurement [2]. Therefore it is important to provide adequate flux control system to ensure an optimal permeate flux [6][7].

Cleaning sequence in MBR process is among popular techniques used to reduce fouling such as aeration airflow, backwash, relaxation and chemical cleaning [8][9]. Fouling also can be reduced when running below critical flux condition[10][11]. To avoid flux decline in the MBR filtration process, a reliable feedback control is needed. The existing PID controller is not capable to produce reliable and efficient control for the SMBR filtration process. The PID controller usually suffer from the high overshoot and required the controller to be re-tuned to get a good performance[12]. Model-based control technique is an alternative to the conventional PID controller to produce better control performance. This method requires an acceptable degree of model accuracy and model reliability to ensure the controller is at the desired performance.

The existing mathematical models for SMBR are too complex involving many parameters and most of the variables need to be tuned. The ANN model is used alternatively to model the MBR process. However, the existing ANN models are developed to monitor the effluent quality and not for the control purpose. In order to perform a model based control, the technique requires more simpler and reliable model. Paul[13] proposed a time series system identification modelling technique using two inputs and one output of the membrane filtration parameter. The filtration models are developed using linear autoregressive with exogenous input (ARX), ARMAX, subspace and state space technique. The important of time series modelling also presented by [14] where the time series analysis can revealed the characteristic of the phenomenon as well as the future prediction of the membrane filtration process. However, the linear time series modelling

technique is lack of accuracy and poor prediction[13]. Hence, nonlinear time series neural network modelling is more suitable for the SMBR filtration application.

### **1.3 Objectives**

This research is focusing on the development of submerged membrane filtration model and design of neural network based model based control in submerged membrane bioreactor (SMBR) filtration process. Several objectives set to fulfil the research aim, as follows:

1. Develop and evaluate the SMBR filtration process model using ANN.
2. Design, test and analyze a neural network based model predictive control (NNMPC) for a membrane filtration flux control.
3. Evaluation and performance analysis of the NNMPC for the SMBR filtration process.

### **1.4 Scope of Study**

Based on the objectives of the research this work will cover:

1. Development of filtration model using ANN for SMBR pilot plant.
2. GSA, PSO and PSOGSA are used to train ANN models.
3. Develop a Hybrid PSOGSA algorithms using cooperative approach for ANN model improvement.
4. Evaluate the algorithm using heating process ANN model.
5. Benchmark the algorithms using ten mathematical functions minimization.
6. Develop a neural network-based model predictive control (NNMPC) for permeate flux with proposed cooperative PSOGSA algorithms.

7. Implementation and evaluation of the controller developed in (h) in SMBR pilot plant.
8. Compare the developed MPC with particle swarm optimization (PSO) tuned PID controller.

## **1.5 Thesis Structure and Organization**

Chapter 2 discusses membrane bioreactor and the existing filtration systems. This chapter also reviews on the operation of the SMBR and the current cleaning mechanism of the filtration process in dealing with fouling phenomena for SMBR system. The modeling technique also discussed in this chapter, focusing on the application of artificial intelligent and soft computing techniques in the modeling of the filtration process. The application of controllers in SMBR also discussed and elaborated.

Chapter 3 explains the methodology of the modeling for the SMBR filtration process. The plant development and the experimental setup of the SMBR presented at the beginning of this chapter. Then, systematic modeling techniques including the model training and evaluation methods presented. In this chapter, the proposed algorithms for training ANN model introduced. This chapter also includes the verification on the proposed algorithm using ten benchmark functions and another data set, which is the heating process data set.

Chapter 4 introduces on the controller development. This includes the PID controller design with PSO tuning method. This chapter also discusses the methodology neural network model predictive controller design with different real-time optimization strategies.

Chapter 5 presents and discuss on the modeling result of the SMBR filtration. This chapter also reveals on the performance of the proposed algorithms on training the ANN model and minimizing benchmark functions. Finally, this chapter presents

the performance of NNMPC in controlling the filtration process and the comparison of its performances with PID controller.

Chapter 6 presents the conclusion for the thesis. The potential future works recommended at the end of this chapter.

## **CHAPTER 2**

### **LITERATURE REVIEW**

#### **2.1 Introduction**

This chapter presents a review on the modelling and control of submerged membrane bioreactor (SMBR) filtration system. In general, detail review is given on the modelling, optimization and control of the SMBR. As the work focusing on fouling control, more detail review on fouling in SMBR filtration and fouling control operation such as aeration airflow, backwash and relaxation is given.

Basically, this review is divided into several main parts. First, review on MBR filtration modelling and control is presented. Then, due to the non-linearity of the filtration process and almost impossible to represent it using standard mathematical equation, the artificial neural network (ANN) is investigate and reviewed. For better prediction and control of SMBR filtration model, ANN with soft computing approach such as GSA, PSO and hybrid PSOGSA is also reviewed.

Furthermore, the model predictive control (MPC) is presented. As the MBR filtration is a nonlinear process, the neural network model predictive control (NNMPC) is also reviewed.

## **2.2 Membrane Bioreactor**

Membrane technology has become significantly important in filtration systems and has become a requirement in wastewater treatment technology. As reported by [15], the application of MBR technology in many parts of the world has grown significantly over last few years. This showing the MBR is a superior technology in wastewater treatment either for domestic or industrial. With more stringent effluent requirements and environmental concerns, as well as water protection awareness, membrane technology is one of the promising techniques that can resolve the quality issue in wastewater treatment process.

Membrane bioreactor system for wastewater treatment is the combination of membrane filtration with bioreactors that treat wastewater. The bioreactor in MBR wastewater treatment process is a place where the influent biologically treated. The configuration of membrane bioreactors divided to two well-known architectures of MBR systems which are side-stream membrane bioreactor and submerged membrane bioreactor (SMBR).

### **2.2.1 Side stream membrane bioreactor**

Side stream MBR system or also known as cross-flow membrane MBR the filtration system located on the outside of the bioreactor tank. This filtration system is also known as the crossflow filtration system. In this configuration, the treated influent will pumped to the filtration module under the allowable pressure requirement by the manufacturer. The filtrate effluent is called permeate flux. The side-stream membrane filtration system also is suitable for other separation applications such as food processing, desalination and drinking water process. Figure 2.1 shows the configuration of the side-stream MBR filtration process.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. R. Pendashteh, a Fakhru'l-Razi, N. Chaibakhsh, L. C. Abdullah, S. S. Madaeni, and Z. Z. Abidin, "Modeling of membrane bioreactor treating hypersaline oily wastewater by artificial neural network.," *J. Hazard. Mater.*, vol. 192, no. 2, pp. 568–75, Aug. 2011.
- [2] W. Guo, H. H. Ngo, and J. Li, "A mini-review on membrane fouling," *Bioresour. Technol.*, vol. 122, pp. 27–34, 2012.
- [3] E. H. Bouhabila, R. Ben-aim, and H. Buisson, "Fouling characterisation in membrane bioreactors," *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, vol. 23, pp. 123–132, 2001.
- [4] P. Le-Clech, V. Chen, and T. A. G. Fane, "Fouling in membrane bioreactors used in wastewater treatment," *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 284, no. 1–2, pp. 17–53, Nov. 2006.
- [5] M. Kraume, D. Wedi, J. Schaller, V. Iversen, and A. Drews, "Fouling in MBR: What use are lab investigations for full scale operation ?," *Desalination*, vol. 236, no. 1–3, pp. 94–103, 2009.
- [6] R. Alnaizy, A. Aidan, N. Abachi, and N. A. Jabbar, "Neural Network Model Identification and Advanced Control of a Membrane Biological Reactor," *J. Membr. Sep. Technol.*, vol. 2, pp. 231–244, 2013.
- [7] S. Curcio, V. Calabrò, and G. Iorio, "Design and tuning of feedback controllers: effects on proteins ultrafiltration process modeled by a hybrid system," *Desalin. Water Treat.*, vol. 34, no. 1–3, pp. 295–303, Oct. 2011.
- [8] Z. Wang, J. Ma, C. Y. Tang, K. Kimura, and Q. Wang, "Membrane cleaning in membrane bioreactors : A review," *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 468, pp. 276–307, 2014.
- [9] A. Drews, "Membrane fouling in membrane bioreactors—Characterisation, contradictions, cause and cures," *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 363, no. 1–2, pp. 1–28, Nov. 2010.
- [10] P. Le, B. Jefferson, I. Soung, and S. J. Judd, "Critical flux determination by the flux-step method in a submerged membrane bioreactor," *J. Memb. Sci.*,

- vol. 227, pp. 81–93, 2003.
- [11] N. S. A. Mutamim, Z. Z. Noor, M. A. A. Hassan, A. Yuniarto, and G. Olsson, “Membrane bioreactor: Applications and limitations in treating high strength industrial wastewater,” *Chem. Eng. J.*, vol. 225, pp. 109–119, 2013.
- [12] Á. Robles, F. Durán, M. V. Ruano, J. Ribes, A. Rosado, and J. Ferrer, “Instrumentation , control , and automation for submerged anaerobic membrane bioreactors,” *Environ. Technol.*, vol. 36, no. 14, pp. 37–41, 2015.
- [13] P. Paul, “Investigation of a MBR membrane fouling model based on time series analysis system identification methods Investigation of a MBR membrane fouling model based on time series analysis system identification methods,” *Desalin. Water Treat.*, vol. 35, pp. 37–41, 2011.
- [14] M. Kabsch-Korbutowicz and M. Kutylowska, “Short-range forecast of permeate flux in detergent waste water ultrafiltration,” *Desalin. Water Treat.*, vol. 14, no. 1–3, pp. 30–36, Feb. 2010.
- [15] M. Kraume and A. Drews, “Membrane Bioreactors in Waste Water Treatment – Status and Trends,” *Chem. Eng. Technol.*, vol. 33, no. 8, pp. 1251–1259, 2010.
- [16] A. Latifahmad, S. Ismail, and S. Bhatia, “Water recycling from palm oil mill effluent ( POME ) using membrane technology,” *Desalination*, vol. 157, no. May, pp. 87–95, 2003.
- [17] H. Nourbakhsh, Z. Emam-djomeh, M. Omid, and H. Mirsaedghazi, “Prediction of red plum juice permeate flux during membrane processing with ANN optimized using RSM,” *Comput. Electron. Agric.*, vol. 102, pp. 1–9, 2014.
- [18] N. Perrot, J. M. Trichard, G. Trystram, and M. Decloux, “Automatic control of the crossflow microfiltration process using fuzzy logic,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 116, pp. 93–105, 1996.
- [19] M. De Melo, A. Paula, R. Torres, N. R. Ferreira, A. F. Viero, G. L. Sant, A. Jr, C. P. Borges, and V. M. J. Santiago, “The effects of long-term feeding of high organic loading in a submerged membrane bioreactor treating oil refinery wastewater,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 319, pp. 223–230, 2008.
- [20] E. Yuliwati, A. F. Ismail, W. J. Lau, B. C. Ng, A. Mataram, and M. A.



- Kassim, "Effects of process conditions in submerged ultra filtration for refinery wastewater treatment: Optimization of operating process by response surface methodology," *Desalination*, vol. 287, pp. 350–361, 2012.
- [21] K. Yamamoto, M. Hiasa, T. Mahmood, and T. Matsuo, "Direct Solid-Liquid Separation Using Hollow Fiber Membrane In An Activated Sludge," *Water Sci. Technol.*, vol. 21, pp. 43–54, 1989.
- [22] A. Yuniarto, Z. Zainon, Z. Ujang, G. Olsson, A. Aris, and T. Hadibarata, "Bio-fouling reducers for improving the performance of an aerobic submerged membrane bioreactor treating palm oil mill effluent," *Desalination*, vol. 316, pp. 146–153, 2013.
- [23] S. Judd, *The MBR Book Principles and Applications of Membrane Bioreactors in Water and Wastewater Treatment*, Second Edi. Elsevier, 2010.
- [24] S. Judd, "Fouling control in submerged membrane bioreactors," *Water Sci. Technol.*, vol. 51, no. 6–7, pp. 27–34, 2005.
- [25] T. Cheng and Z. Lee, "Effects of aeration and inclination on flux performance of submerged membrane filtration," *Desalination*, vol. 234, no. August 2007, pp. 74–80, 2008.
- [26] R. Liu, X. Huang, Y. F. Sun, and Y. Qian, "Hydrodynamic effect on sludge accumulation over membrane surfaces in a submerged membrane bioreactor," *Process Biochem.*, vol. 39, pp. 157–163, 2003.
- [27] B. Verrecht, S. Judd, G. Guglielmi, C. Brepols, and J. W. Mulder, "An aeration energy model for an immersed membrane bioreactor," *Water Res.*, vol. 42, no. 19, pp. 4761–70, Dec. 2008.
- [28] N. V. Ndinisa, A. G. Fane, and D. E. Wiley, "Fouling Control in a Submerged Flat Sheet Membrane System: Part I – Bubbling and Hydrodynamic Effects," *Sep. Sci. Technol.*, no. March 2014, pp. 37–41, 2006.
- [29] X. Lei, "Simulation and mechanisms of aeration impacts on the permeate flux in submerged membrane systems," *Desalin. Water Treat.*, vol. 18, pp. 277–285, Jun. 2010.
- [30] L. Vera, E. González, O. Díaz, and S. Delgado, "Performance of a tertiary submerged membrane bioreactor operated at supra-critical fluxes," *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 457, pp. 1–8, 2014.

- [31] S. Delgado, R. Villarroel, and E. Gonz, "Effect of the shear intensity on fouling in submerged membrane bioreactor for wastewater treatment," *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 311, pp. 173–181, 2008.
- [32] I. Chang and S. J. Judd, "Air sparging of a submerged MBR for municipal wastewater treatment," *Process Biochem.*, vol. 37, pp. 915–920, 2002.
- [33] E. Brauns, E. Van Hoof, C. Huyskens, and H. De Wever, "On the concept of a supervisory, fuzzy set logic based, advanced filtration control in membrane bioreactors," *Desalin. Water Treat.*, vol. 29, no. 1–3, pp. 119–127, May 2011.
- [34] C. Huyskens, E. Brauns, E. Van Hoof, L. Diels, and H. De Wever, "Validation of a supervisory control system for energy savings in membrane bioreactors," *Water Res.*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 1443–1453, 2010.
- [35] J. Wu and C. He, "Effect of cyclic aeration on fouling in submerged membrane bioreactor for wastewater treatment," *Water Res.*, vol. 46, no. 11, pp. 3507–3515, 2012.
- [36] J. Tian, Y. Xu, Z. Chen, J. Nan, and G. Li, "Air bubbling for alleviating membrane fouling of immersed hollow-fiber membrane for ultrafiltration of river water," *Desalination*, vol. 260, no. 1–3, pp. 225–230, Sep. 2010.
- [37] H. Park, Y. Haeng, H. Kim, J. Moon, C. Ahn, K. Kim, and M. Kang, "Reduction of membrane fouling by simultaneous upward and downward air sparging in a pilot-scale submerged membrane bioreactor treating municipal wastewater," *Desalination*, vol. 251, no. 1–3, pp. 75–82, 2010.
- [38] I. Ivanovic and T. Leiknes, "Impact of aeration rates on particle colloidal fraction in the biofilm membrane bioreactor ( BF-MBR )," *Desalination*, vol. 231, pp. 182–190, 2008.
- [39] K. Zhang, P. Wei, M. Yao, R. W. Field, and Z. Cui, "Effect of the bubbling regimes on the performance and energy cost of fl at sheet MBRs," *Desalination*, vol. 283, pp. 221–226, 2011.
- [40] X. Wang, Y. Chen, J. Zhang, X. Li, and Y. Ren, "Novel insights into the evaluation of submerged membrane bioreactors under different aeration intensities by carbon emission," *Desalination*, vol. 325, pp. 25–29, 2013.
- [41] G. Guglielmi, D. Chiarani, D. P. Saroj, and G. Andreottola, "Impact of chemical cleaning and air-sparging on the critical and sustainable flux in a flat

- sheet membrane bioreactor for municipal wastewater treatment,” *Water Sci. Technol.*, no. 2003, pp. 1873–1879, 2008.
- [42] O. Lorain, P. Dufaye, W. Bosq, and J. Espenan, “A new membrane bioreactor generation for wastewater treatment application: Strategy of membrane aeration management by sequencing aeration cycles,” *Desalination*, vol. 250, no. 2, pp. 639–643, 2010.
- [43] Y. Rahimi, A. Torabian, N. Mehrdadi, M. Habibi-Rezaie, H. Pezeshk, and G. R. Nabi-Bidhendi, “Optimizing aeration rates for minimizing membrane fouling and its effect on sludge characteristics in a moving bed membrane bioreactor,” *J. Hazard. Mater.*, vol. 186, no. 2–3, pp. 1097–1102, 2011.
- [44] W. Luo, F. I. Hai, W. E. Price, and L. D. Nghiem, “Water extraction from mixed liquor of an aerobic bioreactor by forward osmosis: Membrane fouling and biomass characteristics assessment,” vol. 145, pp. 56–62, 2015.
- [45] T. Miyoshi, H. Yamamura, T. Morita, and Y. Watanabe, “Effect of intensive membrane aeration and membrane flux on membrane fouling in submerged membrane bioreactors: Reducing specific air demand per permeate ( SAD p ),” *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, vol. 148, pp. 1–9, 2015.
- [46] T. Zsirai, P. Buzatu, P. Aerts, and S. Judd, “Efficacy of relaxation , backflushing , chemical cleaning and clogging removal for an immersed hollow fibre membrane bioreactor,” *Water Res.*, vol. 46, no. 14, pp. 4499–4507, 2012.
- [47] J. P. H. Itokawa, C. Thiemig, “Design and operating experiences of municipal MBRs in Europe,” *Water Sci. Technol.*, vol. 58, pp. 2319–2327, 2008.
- [48] A. Aidan, N. Abdel-Jabbar, T. H. Ibrahim, V. Nenov, and F. Mjalli, “Neural network modeling and optimization of scheduling backwash for membrane bioreactor,” *Clean Technol. Environ. Policy*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 389–395, Dec. 2007.
- [49] E. Akhondi, F. Wicaksana, and A. Gordon, “Evaluation of fouling deposition , fouling reversibility and energy consumption of submerged hollow fi ber membrane systems with periodic backwash,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 452, pp. 319–331, 2014.
- [50] P. James, S. Vigneswaran, H. Hao, R. Ben-aim, and H. Nguyen, “Design of a

- generic control system for optimising back flush durations in a submerged membrane hybrid reactor,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 255, no. 2005, pp. 99–106, 2005.
- [51] P. James, S. Vigneswaran, H. Hao, R. Ben-aim, and H. Nguyen, “A new approach to backwash initiation in membrane systems,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 278, no. 2006, pp. 381–389, 2006.
- [52] R. Villarroel, S. Delgado, E. González, and M. Morales, “Physical cleaning initiation controlled by transmembrane pressure set-point in a submerged membrane bioreactor,” *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, vol. 104, pp. 55–63, 2013.
- [53] L. Vera, E. González, O. Díaz, and S. Delgado, “Application of a backwashing strategy based on transmembrane pressure set-point in a tertiary submerged membrane bioreactor,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 470, pp. 504–512, 2014.
- [54] J. Wu, P. Le-clech, R. M. Stuetz, A. G. Fane, and V. Chen, “Effects of relaxation and backwashing conditions on fouling in membrane bioreactor,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 324, pp. 26–32, 2008.
- [55] T. Jiang, M. D. Kennedy, B. F. Guinzbourg, P. a Vanrolleghem, and J. C. Schippers, “Optimising the operation of a MBR pilot plant by quantitative analysis of the membrane fouling mechanism.,” *Water Sci. Technol.*, vol. 51, no. 6–7, pp. 19–25, Jan. 2005.
- [56] K. Hwang, C. Chan, and K. Tung, “Effect of backwash on the performance of submerged membrane filtration,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 330, pp. 349–356, 2009.
- [57] T. M. Qaisrani and W. M. Samhaber, “Impact of gas bubbling and back flushing on fouling control and membrane cleaning,” *Desalination*, vol. 266, no. 1–3, pp. 154–161, 2011.
- [58] Z. Zhou, F. Meng, H. Lu, and Y. Li, “Simultaneous alkali supplementation and fouling mitigation in membrane bioreactors by on-line NaOH backwashing,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 457, pp. 120–127, 2014.
- [59] Y. Ye, L. N. Sim, B. Herulah, V. Chen, and A. G. Fane, “Effects of operating conditions on submerged hollow fibre membrane systems used as pre-treatment for seawater reverse osmosis,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 365, no. 1–2, pp. 78–88, 2010.
- [60] M. Raffin, E. Germain, and S. J. Judd, “Influence of backwashing , flux and

- temperature on microfiltration for wastewater reuse,” *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, vol. 96, pp. 147–153, 2012.
- [61] H. Monclus, S. Zacharias, A. Santos, M. Pidou, and S. Judd, “Criticality of Flux and Aeration for a Hollow Fiber Membrane Bioreactor,” *Sep. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 45, no. 7, pp. 956–961, Apr. 2010.
- [62] D. Zuo, H. Li, H. Liu, and G. Wu, “A study on submerged rotating MBR for wastewater treatment and membrane cleaning,” *Korean J. Chem. Eng.*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 881–885, 2010.
- [63] S. Anop, P. Sridang, U. Puetpaiboon, and G. Alain, “Influence of relaxation frequency on membrane fouling control in submerged anaerobic membrane bioreactor ( SAnMBR ),” *Desalin. Water Treat.*, no. March 2014, pp. 1–9, 2013.
- [64] T. Ludwig, P. Kern, M. Bongards, and C. Wolf, “Simulation and optimization of an experimental membrane wastewater treatment plant using computational intelligence methods,” *Water Sci. Technol.*, vol. 63.10, pp. 2255–2261, 2011.
- [65] S. P. Hong, T. H. Bae, T. M. Tak, S. Hongb, and A. Randallb, “Fouling control in activated sludge submerged hollow fiber membrane bioreactors,” *Desalination*, vol. 143, pp. 219–228, 2002.
- [66] T. Oh, H. Liu, M. Kim, S. Lee, M.-K. Yeo, and C. Yoo, “External analysis-based fuzzy PLS model for prediction and monitoring in MBR,” *Desalin. Water Treat.*, vol. 43, no. 1–3, pp. 185–192, Apr. 2012.
- [67] G. Ferrero, H. Monclús, G. Buttiglieri, J. Comas, and I. Rodriguez-roda, “Automatic control system for energy optimization in membrane bioreactors,” *Desalination*, vol. 268, no. 1–3, pp. 276–280, 2011.
- [68] M. Dalmau, N. Atanasova, S. Gabarrón, I. Rodriguez-roda, and J. Comas, “Comparison of a deterministic and a data driven model to describe MBR fouling,” *Chem. Eng. J.*, vol. 260, pp. 300–308, 2015.
- [69] G. Guglielmi, D. Chiarani, S. J. Judd, and G. Andreottola, “Flux criticality and sustainability in a hollow fibre submerged membrane bioreactor for municipal wastewater treatment,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 289, pp. 241–248, 2007.
- [70] A. Robles, M. V Ruano, J. Ribes, and J. Ferrer, “Advanced control system for optimal filtration in submerged anaerobic MBRs ( SAnMBRs ),” *J. Memb.*

- Sci.*, vol. 430, pp. 330–341, 2013.
- [71] W. Naessens, T. Maere, and I. Nopens, “Critical review of membrane bioreactor models – Part 1: Biokinetic and filtration models,” *Bioresour. Technol.*, vol. 122, pp. 95–106, 2012.
- [72] R. H. Peiris, H. Budman, C. Moresoli, and R. L. Legge, “Fouling control and optimization of a drinking water membrane filtration process with real-time model parameter adaptation using fluorescence and permeate flux measurements,” *J. Process Control*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 70–77, 2013.
- [73] X. Li and X. Wang, “Modelling of membrane fouling in a submerged membrane bioreactor,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 278, no. 1–2, pp. 151–161, Jul. 2006.
- [74] X. Z. Gao and S. J. Ovaska, “Soft computing methods in motor fault diagnosis,” *Appl. Soft Comput.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 73–81, Jun. 2001.
- [75] M. A. Hussain, “Review of the applications of neural networks in chemical process control - simulation and online implementation,” *Artif. Intelligent Eng.*, vol. 13, pp. 55–68, 1999.
- [76] V. Ravi, H. Kurniawan, P. N. K. Thai, and P. R. Kumar, “Soft computing system for bank performance prediction,” *Appl. Soft Comput.*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 305–315, Jan. 2008.
- [77] M. Dornier, M. Decloux, G. Trystram, and A. Lebert, “Dynamic modeling of crossflow microfiltration using neural networks,” vol. 98, pp. 263–273, 1995.
- [78] N. Delgrange, C. Cabassud, M. Cabassud, and J. M. Lain, “Modelling of ultrafiltration fouling by neural network,” vol. 118, pp. 213–227, 1998.
- [79] W. R. Bowen, M. G. Jones, and H. N. S. Yousef, “Prediction of the rate of crossflow membrane ultrafiltration of colloids: A neural network approach,” *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, vol. 53, no. 22, 1998.
- [80] J. Vivier and A. Mehablia, “A New Artificial Network Approach for Membrane Filtration Simulation,” vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 241–248, 2012.
- [81] A. L. Wei, “Archive of SID Modeling of a permeate flux of cross-flow membrane filtration of colloidal suspensions: A wavelet network approach,” vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 395–406, 2009.
- [82] C. Aydiner, I. Demir, and E. Yildiz, “Modeling of flux decline in crossflow

- microfiltration using neural networks: the case of phosphate removal,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 248, no. 1–2, pp. 53–62, Feb. 2005.
- [83] G. R. Shetty and S. Chellam, “Predicting membrane fouling during municipal drinking water nanofiltration using artificial neural networks,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 217, pp. 69–86, 2003.
- [84] S. Chellam, “Artificial neural network model for transient crossflow microfiltration of polydispersed suspensions,” *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 258, pp. 35–42, 2005.
- [85] F. Salehi and S. M. a. Razavi, “Dynamic modeling of flux and total hydraulic resistance in nanofiltration treatment of regeneration waste brine using artificial neural networks,” *Desalin. Water Treat.*, vol. 41, no. 1–3, pp. 95–104, Mar. 2012.
- [86] M. A. Razavi, A. Mortazavi, and M. Mousavi, “Dynamic modelling of milk ultrafiltration by artificial neural network,” vol. 220, pp. 47–58, 2003.
- [87] R. Soleimani, N. A. Shoushtari, B. Mirza, and A. Salahi, “Experimental investigation, modeling and optimization of membrane separation using artificial neural network and multi-objective optimization using genetic algorithm,” *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.*, vol. 91, no. 5, pp. 883–903, May 2013.
- [88] S. Geissler, T. Wintgens, T. Melin, K. Vossenkaul, and C. Kullmann, “Modelling approaches for filtration processes with novel submerged capillary modules in membrane bioreactors for wastewater treatment,” *Desalination*, vol. 178, pp. 125–134, 2005.
- [89] H. Hasar and C. Kinaci, “Modeling of submerged membrane bioreactor treating cheese whey wastewater by artificial neural network,” *J. Biotechnol.*, vol. 123, pp. 204–209, 2006.
- [90] L. Erdei, S. Vigneswaran, and J. Kandasamy, “Modelling of submerged membrane flocculation hybrid systems using statistical and artificial neural networks methods,” *J. Water Supply Res. Technol.*, vol. 59.2–3, pp. 198–208, 2010.
- [91] N. Ren, Z. Chen, X. Wang, D. Hu, and A. Wang, “Optimized operational parameters of a pilot scale membrane bioreactor for high-strength organic wastewater treatment,” *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegradation*, vol. 56, pp. 216–223,

- 2005.
- [92] P. Paul, "Comparison of phenomenological membrane bioreactor activated sludge biological models with alternative versions based on time series input-output approaches," *Desalin. Water Treat.*, vol. 35, no. 1–3, pp. 110–117, Nov. 2011.
- [93] G. Ferrero, I. Rodriguez-roda, and J. Comas, "Automatic control systems for submerged membrane bioreactors : A state-of-the-art review," *Water Res.*, vol. 46, pp. 3421–3433, 2012.
- [94] G. Ferrero, H. Monclús, L. Sancho, J. M. Garrido, J. Comas, and I. Rodríguez-Roda, "A knowledge-based control system for air-scour optimisation in membrane bioreactors," *Water Sci. Technol.*, vol. 63.9, pp. 2025–2031, 2011.
- [95] J. Comas, E. Meabe, L. Sancho, G. Ferrero, J. Sipma, and H. Monclu, "Knowledge-based system for automatic MBR control ´ s," no. 2008, pp. 2829–2837, 2010.
- [96] S. Vigneswaran, W. S. Guo, P. Smith, and H. H. Ngo, "Submerged membrane adsorption hybrid system ( SMAHS ): process control and optimization of operating parameters," vol. 202, pp. 392–399, 2007.
- [97] A. Vargas, I. Moreno-Andrade, and G. Buitron, "Controlled backwashing in a membrane sequencing batch reactor used for toxic wastewater treatment," *J. Memb. Sci.*, vol. 320, pp. 185–190, 2008.
- [98] J. Busch and W. Marquardt, "Run-To-Run Control Of Membrane Filtration In Wastewater Treatment-An Experimental Study," in *8th International IFAC Symposium On Dynamics and Control of Process System*, 2007, vol. 2, pp. 195–200.
- [99] R. Alnaizy, N. Abdel-jabbar, A. Aidan, N. Abachi, P. Taylor, R. Alnaizy, N. Abdel-jabbar, A. Aidan, and N. Abachi, "Desalination and Water Treatment Modeling and dynamic analysis of a membrane bioreactor with backwash scheduling backwash scheduling," *Desalin. Water Treat.*, vol. 41:1–3, no. March 2014, pp. 37–41, 2012.
- [100] J. N. D. Gupta and R. S. Sexton, "Comparing backpropagation with a genetic algorithm for neural network training," *Omega*, vol. 27, pp. 679–684, 1999.
- [101] M. Gori and A. Tesi, "On the Problem of Local Minima in Backpropagation,"



- IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 76–86, 1992.
- [102] J. Zhang, J. Zhang, T. Lok, and M. R. Lyu, “A hybrid particle swarm optimization – back-propagation algorithm for feedforward neural network training,” *Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 185, pp. 1026–1037, 2007.
- [103] C. Juang, “A Hybrid of Genetic Algorithm and Particle Swarm Optimization for Recurrent Network Design,” *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man, Cybern. B Cybernetics*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 997–1006, 2004.
- [104] M. Nasser, K. Asghari, and M. J. Abedini, “Optimized scenario for rainfall forecasting using genetic algorithm coupled with artificial neural network,” *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 1415–1421, Oct. 2008.
- [105] R. C. Eberhart and J. Kennedy, “A new optimizer using particle swarm theory,” in *Proceedings of the sixth international symposium on micro machine and human science*, 1995, vol. 1, pp. 39–43.
- [106] J. Zhou, Z. Duan, Y. Li, J. Deng, and D. Yu, “PSO-based neural network optimization and its utilization in a boring machine,” *J. Mater. Process. Technol.*, vol. 178, no. 1–3, pp. 19–23, Sep. 2006.
- [107] K. W. Chau, “Application of a PSO-based neural network in analysis of outcomes of construction claims,” *Autom. Constr.*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 642–646, Aug. 2007.
- [108] L. Zhifeng, P. Dan, W. Jianhua, and Y. Shuangxi, “Modelling of Membrane Fouling by PCA-PSOBP Neural Network,” *2010 Int. Conf. Comput. Control Ind. Eng.*, vol. 34, pp. 34–37, 2010.
- [109] H. Das, A. K. Jena, J. Nayak, B. Naik, and H. S. Behera, “A novel PSO based back propagation learning-MLP (PSO-BP-MLP) for classification,” in *Computational Intelligence in Data Mining*, Springer, 2015, pp. 461–471.
- [110] E. Rashedi, H. Nezamabadi-pour, and S. Saryazdi, “GSA: A Gravitational Search Algorithm,” *Inf. Sci. (Ny)*, vol. 179, no. 13, pp. 2232–2248, Jun. 2009.
- [111] C. Li and J. Zhou, “Parameters identification of hydraulic turbine governing system using improved gravitational search algorithm,” *Energy Convers. Manag.*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 374–381, Jan. 2011.
- [112] E. Rashedi, H. Nezamabadi-pour, and S. Saryazdi, “Filter modeling using gravitational search algorithm,” *Eng. Appl. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp.

- 117–122, Feb. 2011.
- [113] P. Li and H. Duan, “Path planning of unmanned aerial vehicle based on improved gravitational search algorithm,” *Sci. China Technol. Sci.*, vol. 55, no. 10, pp. 2712–2719, 2012.
- [114] M. Sheikhan and Z. Jadidi, “Flow-based anomaly detection in high-speed links using modified GSA-optimized neural network,” *Neural Comput. Appl.*, vol. 24, no. 3–4, pp. 599–611, Nov. 2012.
- [115] M. Ghalambaz, A. R. Noghrehabadi, M. A. Behrang, E. Assareh, A. Ghanbarzadeh, and N. Hedayat, “A Hybrid Neural Network and Gravitational Search Algorithm ( HNNGSA ) Method to Solve well known Wessinger ’ s Equation,” *World Acad. Sci. Eng. Technol.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 610–614, 2011.
- [116] S. Mirjalili, S. Z. Mohd Hashim, and H. Moradian Sardroudi, “Training feedforward neural networks using hybrid particle swarm optimization and gravitational search algorithm,” *Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 218, no. 22, pp. 11125–11137, Jul. 2012.
- [117] S. Sarafrazi and S. Saryazdi, “Disruption : A new operator in gravitational search algorithm,” *Sci. Iran.*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 539–548, 2011.
- [118] Z. Jadidi, V. Muthukkumarasamy, E. Sithirasanen, and M. Sheikhan, “Flow-Based Anomaly Detection Using Neural Network Optimized with GSA Algorithm,” *2013 IEEE 33rd Int. Conf. Distrib. Comput. Syst. Work.*, pp. 76–81, Jul. 2013.
- [119] S. Sarafrazi, H. Nezamabadi-Pour, and S. Saryazdi, “Disruption: a new operator in gravitational search algorithm,” *Sci. Iran.*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 539–548, 2011.
- [120] P. Niu, C. Liu, and P. Li, “Optimized support vector regression model by improved gravitational search algorithm for flatness pattern recognition,” *Neural Comput. Appl.*, vol. 26, pp. 1167–1177, 2015.
- [121] R. K. Khadanga and J. K. Satapathy, “Electrical Power and Energy Systems A new hybrid GA – GSA algorithm for tuning damping controller parameters for a unified power flow controller,” *Int. J. Electr. Power Energy Syst.*, vol. 73, pp. 1060–1069, 2015.
- [122] S. Jayaprakasam, S. K. A. Rahim, and C. Y. Leow, “PSOGSA-Explore : A

- new hybrid metaheuristic approach for beampattern optimization in collaborative beamforming,” *Appl. Soft Comput. J.*, vol. 30, pp. 229–237, 2015.
- [123] S. Jiang, Z. Ji, and Y. Shen, “A novel hybrid particle swarm optimization and gravitational search algorithm for solving economic emission load dispatch problems with various practical constraints,” *Int. J. Electr. Power Energy Syst.*, vol. 55, pp. 628–644, 2014.
- [124] M. Anwar, M. Azlan, and F. S. Mjalli, “Control of polystyrene batch reactors using neural network based model predictive control ( NNMPC ): An experimental investigation,” *Control Eng. Pract.*, vol. 19, pp. 454–467, 2011.
- [125] S. J. Qin and T. A. Badgwell, “A survey of industrial model predictive control technology,” *Control Eng. Pract.*, vol. 11, pp. 733–764, 2003.
- [126] E. F. Camacho and C. Bordons, *Model Predictive Control in The Process Industry*. Springer-Verlag London, 1995.
- [127] Clarke, Mohtadi, and Tuffs, “Generalized Predictive COntrol-Part 1. The Basic Algorithm,” *Automatica*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 17–148, 1987.
- [128] Jan Maciejowski, *Predictive Control With Constarins*. Prentice Hall, 2002.
- [129] P. Kittisupakorn, P. Thitiyasook, M. A. Hussain, and W. Daosud, “Neural network based model predictive control for a steel pickling process,” *J. Process Control*, vol. 19, no. 4, pp. 579–590, 2009.
- [130] H. Han, X. Wu, and J. Qiao, “Real-Time Model Predictive Control Using a Self-Organizing Neural Network,” *IEEE Transections Neural Networks Learn. Syst.*, vol. 24, no. 9, pp. 1425–1436, 2013.
- [131] P. H. S, M. N, O. Ravn, and N. K. Poulsen, “Implementation of neural network based non-linear predictive control,” *Neurocomputing*, vol. 28, pp. 37–51, 1999.
- [132] M. Nørgård, O. Ravn, N. K. Poulsen, and L. K. Hansen, *Neural Networks for Modelling and Control of Dynamics System: A Practitioner’s Handbook*. Springer-Verlag London, 2000.
- [133] Y. Song, Z. Chen, and Z. Yuan, “New Chaotic PSO-Based Neural Network Predictive Control for Nonlinear Process,” *IEEE Transections Neural Networks*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 595–601, 2007.

- [134] M. Ławrybczuk, “A Family Of Model Predictive Control Algorithms,” *Int .J . Appl . Mat h. Comput . Sci*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 217–232, 2007.
- [135] Ugur Yüzgeç, Y. Becerikli, and M. Türker, “Dynamic Neural-Network-Based Model-Predictive Control of an Industrial Baker ’ s Yeast Drying Process,” *IEEE Transections Neural Networks*, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 1231–1242, 2008.
- [136] K. Owa, S. Sharma, and R. Sutton, “A Wavelet Neural Network Based Non-linear Model Predictive Controller for a Multi-variable Coupled Tank System,” *Int. J. Autom. Comput.*, vol. 12, no. April, pp. 156–170, 2015.
- [137] O. A. Sahed, K. Kara, and A. Benyoucef, “Artificial bee colony-based predictive control for non-linear systems,” *Transection Inst. Meas. Control*, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 780–792, 2015.
- [138] X. Wang and J. Xiao, “PSO-Based Model Predictive Control for Nonlinear Processes,” in *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2005, pp. 196–203.
- [139] X. Chen and Y. Li, “Neural Network Predictive Control for Mobile Robot Using PSO with Controllable Random Exploration Velocity,” *Int. J. Intell. Control Syst.*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 217–229, 2007.
- [140] R. Haber, R. Bars, and U. Schmitz, *Predictive Control in Process Engineering From the Basics to the Applications*. WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 2011.
- [141] M. Nørgård, O. Ravn, N. K. Poulsen, and L. K. Hansen, *Neural networks for modelling and control of dynamic systems: a practitioner’s handbook*. Springer-Verlag London, 2000.
- [142] D. W. CLARKE, C. Mohtadi, and P. S. Tuffs, “Generalized Predictive Control Algorithm \* Part I . The Basic,” *Automatica*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 137–148, 1987.
- [143] M. Anwar, M. Azlan, and F. S. Mjalli, “Control of polystyrene batch reactors using neural network based model predictive control ( NNMPC ): An experimental investigation,” *Control Eng. Pract.*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 454–467, 2011.
- [144] O. K. Olayemi, “Non-Linear Model Predictive Control Strategies For Process Plants Using Soft Computing Approaches,” Plymouth University, 2014.

- [145] N. Sabrina, A. Mutamim, Z. Zainon, M. Arif, A. Hassan, and G. Olsson, "Application of membrane bioreactor technology in treating high strength industrial wastewater : a performance review," *Desalination*, vol. 305, pp. 1–11, 2012.
- [146] W. Shen, E. Tao, X. Chen, D. Liu, and H. Liu, "Nitrate control strategies in an activated sludge wastewater treatment process," *Korean J. Chem. Eng.*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 386–392, Feb. 2014.
- [147] Y.-S. Hong and R. Bhamidimarri, "Evolutionary self-organising modelling of a municipal wastewater treatment plant.," *Water Res.*, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 1199–212, Mar. 2003.
- [148] K. Dahmani, R. Dizene, G. Notton, C. Paoli, C. Voyant, and M. Laure, "Estimation of 5-min time-step data of tilted solar global irradiation using ANN ( Arti fi cial Neural Network ) model," *Energy*, vol. 70, pp. 374–384, 2014.
- [149] Z. Yusuf, N. A. Wahab, M. Hakim Abd Halim, A. N. Anuar, Z. Ujang, and M. Bob, "Modeling of SBR aerobic granular sludge using neural network with GSA and IW-PSO," in *2015 10th Asian Control Conference (ASCC)*, 2015, pp. 1–6.
- [150] B. Niu and H. Wu, "MCPSO : A multi-swarm cooperative particle swarm optimizer," *Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 185, pp. 1050–1062, 2007.
- [151] B. De Moor, P. De Gerssem, B. De Schutter, and W. Favoreel, "DAISY : A Database for Identification of Systems," 1997.
- [152] Z. Gaing, "A Particle Swarm Optimization Approach for Optimum Design of PID Controller in AVR A Particle Swarm Optimization Approach for Optimum Design of PID Controller in AVR System," *IEEE Transection Energy Convers.*, vol. 19, no. February, pp. 384–391, 2004.
- [153] W. Lin, "Comparison between PSO and GA for Parameters Optimization of PID Controller," in *Proceedings of the 2006 IEEE International Conference on Mechatronics and Automation*, 2006, pp. 2471–2475.
- [154] Q. Kang, H. He, H. Wang, and C. Jiang, "A Novel Discrete Particle Swarm Optimization Algorithm for Job Scheduling in Grids," in *Fourth International Conference on Natural Computation*, 2008, pp. 401–405.

- [155] S. Kannan, S. Amin, S. Alamdari, J. Dentler, M. A. Olivares-mendez, and H. Voos, "Model Predictive Control for Spacecraft Rendezvous," in *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Control, Mechatronics and Automation*, 2016, pp. 121–125.
- [156] T. H. Lee, J. H. Park, S. M. Lee, and S. C. Lee, "Nonlinear model predictive control for solid oxide fuel cell system based on Wiener model," *World Acad. Sci. Eng. Technol. Int. J. Electr. Comput.*, vol. 4, no. 12, pp. 1935–1940, 2010.
- [157] C. A. Smith and A. B. Corripio, *Principles and Practices of Automatic Process Control*, Second. Wiley, 1997.

## APPENDIX A

### LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

#### A.1 Journal Papers

1. **Zakariah Yusuf**, Norhaliza Abdul Wahab, Shafis huhaza Sahlan, Permeate Flux Measurement and Prediction of Submerged Membrane Bioreactor Filtration Process Using Intelligent Techniques, *Journal Teknologi*, 2015.(SCOPUS)
2. **Zakariah Yusuf**, Norhaliza Abdul Wahab, Shafis huhaza Sahlan, Fouling control strategy for submerged membrane bioreactor filtration processes using aeration airflow, backwash, and relaxation: a review, *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 57:38, pp17683-17695, 2016. (SCOPUS/ISI-JCR IF 1.631)
3. **Zakariah Yusuf**, Norhaliza Abdul Wahab, Shafis huhaza Sahlan, Fouling effect on controller tuning in membrane bioreactor, *Journal Teknologi*, 2016. (SCOPUS)
4. **Zakariah Yusuf**, Norhaliza Abdul Wahab, Shafishuhaza Sahlan, Dynamic modelling and control of membrane filtration process, *Int. J. of Nanotechnology*, Vol.13, No.10/11/12, pp.748 – 763, 2016. (SCOPUS/ISI-JCR IF 0.5)
5. **Zakariah Yusuf**, Norhaliza Abdul Wahab, Abdallahh Abusam, Neural Network-based Model Predictive Control with CPSOGSA for SMBR Filtration, *International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE)*, Vol 7, 2017. (SCOPUS).

## A.2 Conference Papers

1. **Zakariah Yusuf**, Norhaliza Abdul Wahab & S. Sahlan, Modeling of Submerged Membrane Bioreactor Filtration Process Using NARX-ANFIS Model, *IEEE conference 10th ASIAN CONTROL CONFERENCE 2015 (ASCC 2015)*, 31st May– 3rd June 2015 , Sutera Harbour Resort, Sabah, MALAYSIA
2. **Zakariah Yusuf**, Norhaliza Abdul Wahab, Mohd Hakim Abd Halim, Aznah Nor Anuar, Zaini Ujang, Mustafa Bob (2015), Modeling of SBR Aerobic Granular Sludge Using Neural Network ith GSA and IW-PSO, *IEEE conference 10th ASIAN CONTROL CONFERENCE 2015 (ASCC 2015)*, 31st May– 3rd June 2015 , Sutera Harbour Resort, Sabah, MALAYSIA
3. **Zakariah Yusuf**, Norhaliza Abdul Wahab & S. Sahlan (2015), Dynamic Model Development for Submerged Membrane Filtration Process with Control Application, *1st ICRIL-International Conference on Innovation in Science and Technology*, 2015
4. **Zakariah Yusuf**, Norhaliza Abdul Wahab & S. Sahlan, (2015) Modeling of Activated Sludge Process Using Various Nonlinear Techniques: A Comparison Study, *1st ICRIL-International Conference on Innovation in Science and Technology*, 2015.
5. **Zakariah Yusuf**, Norhaliza Abdul Wahab & S. Sahlan, (2017) Cooperative PSO-GSA Using Multiple Groups Approach for Functions Optimization, *ASIASIM 2017*. (Accepted)