

MODELING ON THE EFFECT OF GEOMETRIC AND TRAFFIC
PARAMETERS ON SPEED-FLOW-GEOMETRY RELATIONSHIP FOR URBAN
ROADS IN JOHOR BAHRU STREET NETWORK

TAREQ MOHAMMED ALI AL-BAHR

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Civil Engineering)

School of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

APRIL 2021

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my father, who taught me that the best kind of knowledge to have is that which is learned for its own sake. It is also dedicated to my mother, who taught me that even the largest task can be accomplished if it is done one step at a time.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor, Professor Dr. Othman Bin Che Puan, for encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship. I am also very thankful to my co-supervisor Dr Sitti Asmah Hassan for their guidance, advices and motivation. Without their continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

I am also indebted to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for funding my Ph.D study. Librarians at UTM, Cardiff University of Wales and the National University of Singapore also deserve special thanks for their assistance in supplying the relevant literatures.

My fellow postgraduate student should also be recognised for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. I am grateful to all my family members.

ABSTRACT

Urban roads are essential transportation facilities and require a sound planning and design. It serves as major routes and access points to the land uses situated in the urban area. In an effort to overcome issues involving the accurate prediction of traffic flow operation due to the increasing traffic flow and wide range of urban roads' features, this study examines the speed-flow relationship for the complex conditions of urban road facilities. The main aim of this study is to develop new empirical models of speed-flow-geometry relationship for urban roads. A multilinear regression analysis technique was used to develop new models with varying cross-sectional and longitudinal parameters of urban roads. The parameters considered in the modelling are average travel speed (ATS), traffic volume, median existence, lane number, roadside friction, driveways access density, intersections density, and traffic calming device density. Data for the study were collected at 197 urban streets in Johor Bahru using moving observer method. Using a correlation matrix, it was found that the values of Pearson correlation (r) related to the ATS were 0.41, 0.36, 0.30, 0.28, and 0.25 for road side friction, access density, intersection density, traffic flow, and median existence, respectively (at 95% confidence level). All the five developed models were statistically significant with R^2 values ranging from 0.64 to 0.98 (at 95 % confidence level). Furthermore, for models of road's category of one number of lane and without a median, the ATS increased by 5 km/h when they occurred in low roadside friction comparing to that of high roadside friction conditions. Intersection density and traffic calming density were found to reduce the ATS by the same values of coefficients in the model of road's category with high roadside friction. Accordingly, the ATS reduced by 1 km/h as the number of intersection and traffic calming devices increased by 13/100 m. For models of road's category of two numbers of lanes and high roadside friction, the only two longitudinal parameters that significantly predicted the ATS were traffic calming devices and access driveways with similar values of coefficients, depending on the median existence conditions. Furthermore, for the roads without median and high roadside friction, the ATS decreases by 1 km/h as the number of traffic calming increases by 2/100 m. However, for the roads with median and high roadside friction, the ATS decreases by 1 km/h as the number of access driveways increases by 5/100 m. The main application of these models is the ability to be used as a planning and design tool for urban roads. This is because they could be used to predict the overall reduction of speed of traffic flow according to the current or planned view of the surrounding geometric and traffic parameters of urban roads. Findings from this study suggested that there is a need to review the models provided in the MHCM to include a wide range of parameters affecting the speed-flow relationship on urban roads.

ABSTRAK

Jalan bandar adalah kemudahan pengangkutan yang penting dan ia memerlukan perancangan dan rekabentuk yang baik. Ia berfungsi sebagai laluan utama dan titik akses penggunaan tanah yang terletak di kawasan bandar. Dalam usaha mengatasi masalah yang melibatkan ketepatan ramalan operasi aliran lalu lintas yang disebabkan oleh peningkatan aliran lalu lintas dan pelbagai ciri jalan bandar, kajian ini menyelidik hubungan laju-aliran untuk keadaan kompleks kemudahan jalan bandar. Matlamat utama kajian ini adalah untuk pembangunan model empirikal baru bagi perhubungan laju-aliran-geometri untuk jalan bandar. Satu teknik analisis regresi pelbagai linear digunakan untuk membangunkan model-model baru dengan parameter keratan rentas dan membujur jalan bandar yang berbeza. Parameter parameter yang dipertimbangkan dalam pemodelan adalah purata laju perjalanan (ATS), adalah isipadu lalu lintas, kewujudan median, bilangan lorong, geseran tepi jalan, kepadatan akses jalan masuk, kepadatan persimpangan, kepadatan peranti pengaman lalu lintas. Data untuk kajian ini dikumpul dari 197 jalan bandar yang dianggap sesuai sebagai sampel di Johor Bahru menggunakan kaedah pengamatan bergerak. Menggunakan matriks korelasi, didapati bahawa nilai korelasi Pearson (r) yang berkait dengan ATS adalah 0.41, 0.36, 0.30, 0.28, dan 0.25 masing-masing untuk geseran tepi jalan, kepadatan capaian, kepadatan persimpangan, aliran lalu lintas, dan kewujudan median (pada tahap keyakinan 95%). Semua lima model yang dibangunkan adalah signifikan secara statistik dengan julat nilai R^2 dari 0.64 sehingga 0.98 (pada tahap keyakinan 95%). Selanjutnya, untuk model jalan raya dengan satu lorong dan tanpa median, ATS meningkat sebanyak 5 km/j ketika ia berlaku pada geseran tepi jalan yang rendah berbanding dengan keadaan geseran di tepi jalan yang tinggi. Kepadatan persimpangan dan kepadatan peranti pengaman lalu lintas didapati mengurangkan ATS dengan nilai pekali yang sama dalam model kategori jalan dengan geseran tepi jalan yang tinggi. Oleh itu, ATS berkurang sebanyak 1 km/j apabila bilangan persimpangan dan peranti pengaman lalu lintas meningkat sebanyak 13/100 m. Untuk model kategori jalan raya dengan dua lorong dan geseran tepi jalan yang tinggi, dua parameter membujur yang meramalkan ATS secara signifikan adalah peranti pengaman lalu lintas dan akses jalan masuk yang mempunyai nilai pekali yang serupa, bergantung pada keadaan kewujudan median. Tambahan lagi, untuk jalan raya tanpa median dan geseran tepi jalan yang tinggi, ATS menurun sebanyak 1 km/j apabila bilangan peranti pengaman lalu lintas meningkat sebanyak 2/100 m. Walau bagaimanapun, untuk jalan raya yang mempunyai median dan geseran tepi jalan yang tinggi, ATS menurun sebanyak 1 km/j apabila bilangan akses jalan masuk meningkat sebanyak 5/100 m. Aplikasi utama model ini adalah kebolegunaan sebagai alat perancangan dan rekabentuk jalan bandar. Ini kerana ia boleh digunakan untuk meramal keseluruhan penurunan halaju aliran lalu lintas berdasarkan pandangan semasa atau perancangan geometrik persekitaran dan parameter trafik jalan bandar. Dapatan dari kajian ini mencadangkan bahawa terdapat keperluan untuk mengkaji model-model yang disediakan dalam MHCM untuk menyertakan pelbagai parameter yang memberi kesan kepada hubungan laju-aliran jalan-jalan bandar.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE
DECLARATION	iii
DEDICATION	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
ABSTRACT	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxiv
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxv
LIST OF APPENDICES	xxvi
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Aim and Objectives	4
1.4 Scope and Limitation of the Study	4
1.5 Significance of the Study	5
1.6 Thesis Outline	6
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Urban Road Facility	9
2.3 Speed-Flow Relationship Concept	11
2.4 Speed-Flow Relationship Models in Urban Roads	13
2.4.1 Area-based Speed-Flow Relationships	14
2.4.2 Speed-Flow Relationship in Separated Link	22
2.5 HCM Models of Speed-Flow Relationship for Urban Roads	34
2.6 Parameters Affecting Speed-flow Relationship for Urban Roads	42

2.6.1	Parameters Affecting the Travel Speed	42
2.6.2	Parameters Adopted for this Study Affecting Average Travel Speed	49
2.6.2.1	Traffic Volume Composition	49
2.6.2.2	Traffic Calming Speed Device	51
2.6.2.3	Side-Friction	53
2.6.2.4	Right Turn Driveway Density	55
2.7	Moving Observer Method	56
2.7.1.1	Driving Techniques of the Test Vehicle	60
2.7.1.2	Trip Numbers and Accuracy	61
2.8	Summary	62
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	65
3.1	Introduction	65
3.2	Data Requirement	67
3.2.1	Definition the Data	67
3.2.2	Classification of Data	71
3.3	Data Resource	72
3.3.1	Selection of Urban Roads	73
3.3.1.1	Classification of the Road	74
3.3.1.2	Homogeneity	76
3.3.1.3	Length of Road's Segment	76
3.3.1.4	Environmental and Pavement Conditions	76
3.3.1.5	Road Work	77
3.3.1.6	Two-way Direction of Road	77
3.3.1.7	Clear Visibility of Road	77
3.3.1.8	Curves and Slopes	78
3.4	Pilot Study	91
3.5	Data Collection	91
3.5.1	Equipment and Techniques used for Data Collection	92

3.5.2	Travel Time and Segment Length	95
3.5.3	Average Travel Speed	96
3.5.4	Traffic Volume	97
3.5.5	Measurement of Geometric and Traffic Parameters	98
3.6	Data Analysis Techniques	99
3.6.1	Grouping of Road's Geometric and Traffic Parameters	99
3.6.2	Traffic Composition Analysis	101
3.6.3	Correlation Matrix	103
3.7	Model Development	104
3.8	Summary	106
CHAPTER 4	DATA OVERVIEW	107
4.1	Introduction	107
4.2	Results of Pilot Study	107
4.3	Empirical Results	109
4.3.1	Sample Results of Observed Parameters on Studied Roads	110
4.3.2	Descriptive Statistics of Field Measured Variables	112
4.3.3	Characteristics of Field Observed Variables	113
4.4	Grouping of Surveyed Roads	114
4.5	Types of Traffic Volume	115
4.6	Correlation Matrix	117
4.7	Summary	120
CHAPTER 5	MODEL DEVELOPMENT	121
5.1	Introduction	121
5.2	Development of Category A Models of Speed-Flow-Geometry Relationship	123
5.2.1	Model Development for Roads Category with Single Lane without Median with Low Side Friction [M0, NL1, SF0]	123

5.2.2	Model Development for Roads Category with Single Lane without Median with High Side Friction [M=0, NL = 1, SF = 1]	129
5.2.3	Model Development for Roads Category with Two Lanes without Median with High Side Friction [M=0, NL = 2, SF = 1]	134
5.2.4	Model Development for Roads Category with Two Lanes without Median with Low Side Friction [M = 0, NL = 2, SF = 0]	138
5.2.5	Model Development for Roads Category with Two Lanes with Median with Low Side Friction [M = 1, NL = 2, SF = 0]	143
5.2.6	Model Development of Roads Category with Two Lanes with Median with High Side Friction [M = 1, NL = 2, SF = 1]	148
5.2.7	Model Development for Roads Category with Three Lanes with Median with Low Side Friction [M=1, NL = 3, SF = 0]	155
5.2.8	Summary of Category A Models	159
5.3	Development of Category B Models of Speed-Flow-Geometry Relationship	159
5.4	Development of Category C Models of Speed-Flow-Geometry Relationship	162
5.5	Models Validation	167
5.5.1	Model Validation for Roads Category with Single Lane without Median with Low Side Friction [M0, NL1, SF0]	167
5.5.2	Model Validation for Roads Category with Single Lane without Median with High Side Friction [M0, NL1, SF1]	171
5.5.3	Model Validation for Roads Category with Two Lanes without Median with High Side Friction [M0, NL2, SF1]	173
5.5.4	Model Validation for Roads Category of Two Lane with Median with Low Side Friction [M1, NL2, SF0]	176
5.5.5	Model Validation for Roads Category with Two Lanes with Median with High Side Friction [M1, NL2, SF1]	178
5.5.6	Model Validation Summary	180
5.6	Summary and Discussions	181

5.7	Comparison between This Study Models and Other Existing Models	189
5.7.1	Comparison between this Study Models and MCHM 2006 Models	189
5.7.2	Comparison between this Study Models and HCM Models	190
5.8	Summary	192
CHAPTER 6	MODEL APPLICATION	193
6.1	Introduction	193
6.2	Models Evaluation Based on Road's Categories	194
6.2.1	Model Application for Roads Category with Single Lane without Median with Low Side Friction [M0, NL1, SF 0]	195
6.2.2	Model Application for Roads Category with Single Lane without Median with High Side Friction Model [M0, NL1, SF1]	196
6.2.3	Model Application for Roads Category with Two Lanes without Median with High Side Friction [M0, NL2, SF 1]	200
6.2.4	Model Application for Roads Category with Two Lanes with Median with Low Side Friction [M1, NL2, SF 0]	201
6.2.5	Model Application for Roads Category with Two Lanes with Median with High Side Friction [M1, NL2, SF 1]	202
6.3	Summary	203
CHAPTER 7	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	205
7.1	Introduction	205
7.2	Major Findings	206
7.3	Recommendations for Further Research	213
7.4	Summary	214
REFERENCES		217
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		292

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Summary of functions-forms describe the relation between travel time per unit distance (T) and the traffic flow	23
Table 2.2	Recommended values of (<i>d, f</i>) adopted by Lum <i>et al</i> (1998)	25
Table 2.3	Candidate typical models to predict the speed-flow relationship in Hong Kong by Pei <i>et al</i> (2012) Study	28
Table 2.4	Speed-flow relationships in urban roads based on MHCM 2006	32
Table 2.5	Various Definitions of Speed-terms based on primary Transportation References	43
Table 2.6	Summary of worldwide studies that conducted for the effect of geometric and traffic parameter on urban roads	45
Table 2.7	Classification of Vehicles Types based on (HPU, 2011b)	50
Table 2.8	Types of TCSD based on Ministry of Work in Malaysia	51
Table 2.9	Summary of the literature studies of speed-flow relationship in urban roads	63
Table 3.1	Passenger car unit values (HPU, 2011b)	68
Table 3.2	Classification of data requirements	72
Table 3.3	Grouping of Geometric and Traffic Parameters	100
Table 3.4	Correlation Matrix among Variables	103
Table 3.5	Interpretation of Correlation Coefficient Values	104
Table 4.1	Pilot study results	108
Table 4.2	Sample of results summary of observed parameters for the studied roads	111
Table 4.3	Descriptive Statistics of Field Measured Variables	112
Table 4.4	Grouping the Surveyed Urban Roads based on the Cross-Section Parameters	115

Table 4.5	Average traffic composition of vehicle classes for all roads	116
Table 4.6	Correlation matrix of <i>r-Pearson</i> values among all variables	119
Table 5.1	Combination of Variables for Models' Categories	121
Table 5.2	Coefficients table of roads category of single lane without median with low side friction [M0, NL1, SF0]	123
Table 5.3	Model Summary of roads category of single lane without median with low side friction [M0, NL1, SF0]	124
Table 5.4	ANOVA-table for model of roads category of single lane without median with low side friction [M0, NL1, SF0]	124
Table 5.5	Coefficients table of roads category with single lane without median with low side friction [M0, NL1, SF0], second iteration	126
Table 5.6	Model Summary for roads category with single lane without median with low side friction [M0, NL1, SF0], second iteration	126
Table 5.7	ANOVA-table for roads category with single lane without median with low side friction [M0, NL1, SF0], second iteration	127
Table 5.8	Coefficients table of the first model estimation for roads category with single lane without median with high side friction [M0, NL1, SF 1]	130
Table 5.9	Model Summary for roads category with single lane without median with high side friction [M0, NL1, SF 1]	130
Table 5.10	ANOVA-table for roads category with single lane without median with high side friction [M0, NL1, SF 1]	130
Table 5.11	Coefficients table of roads category with single lane without median with high side friction [M0, NL1, SF 1], second iteration	131
Table 5.12	Model summary for roads category with single lane without median with high side friction [M0, NL1, SF 1], second iteration	131
Table 5.13	ANOVA-table for roads category with single lane without median with high side friction [M0, NL1, SF 1], second iteration	132

Table 5.14	Coefficients of the first model estimation for roads category with two lanes without median with high side friction [M0, NL 2, SF 1]	134
Table 5.15	Model summary for roads category with two lanes without median with high side friction [M0, NL 2, SF 1]	134
Table 5.16	ANOVA-table for roads category with two lanes without median with high side friction [M0, NL 2, SF 1]	135
Table 5.17	Coefficients table of model refinement for roads category of two lanes without median with high side friction [M0, NL 2, SF 1]	136
Table 5.18	Model summary for roads category with two lanes without median with high side friction [M0, NL 2, SF 1], second iteration	136
Table 5.19	ANOVA-table for roads category with two lanes without median with high side friction [M0, NL 2, SF 1], second iteration	136
Table 5.20	Coefficients table of the first model estimation for roads category with two lanes without median with low side friction [M0, NL 2, SF 0]	139
Table 5.21	Model summary for roads category with two lanes without median with low side friction [M0, NL 2, SF 0]	139
Table 5.22	ANOVA-table for roads category with two lanes without median with low side friction [M0, NL 2, SF 0]	139
Table 5.23	Coefficients table of three model's refinement for roads category with two lanes without median with low side friction [M0, NL 2, SF 0]	141
Table 5.24	Model summary for roads category with two lanes without median with low side friction [M0, NL 2, SF 0], second iteration	141
Table 5.25	ANOVA-table for roads category with two lanes without median with low side friction [M0, NL 2, SF 0], second iteration	142
Table 5.26	Coefficients table of first model estimation for roads category with two lanes with median with low side friction [M1, NL 2, SF 0]	143
Table 5.27	Model summary for roads category with two lanes with median with low side friction [M1, NL 2, SF 0]	144

Table 5.28	ANOVA-table for roads category with two lanes with median with low side friction [M1, NL 2, SF 0]	144
Table 5.29	Coefficients table of model's refinement for roads category with two lanes with median with low side friction [M1, NL 2, SF 0]	145
Table 5.30	Model summary for roads category with two lanes with median with low side friction [M1, NL 2, SF 0]	145
Table 5.31	ANOVA-table for roads category with two lanes with median with low side friction [M1, NL 2, SF 0]	145
Table 5.32	Coefficients table of the first model estimation for roads category with two lanes with median with high side friction [M1, NL 2, SF 1]	148
Table 5.33	Model summary for roads category with two lanes with median with high side friction [M1, NL 2, SF 1]	148
Table 5.34	ANOVA-table for roads category with two lanes with median with high side friction [M1, NL 2, SF 1]	148
Table 5.35	Coefficients table of model's refinement for roads category with two lanes with median with high side friction [M1, NL 2, SF 1]	149
Table 5.36	Model Summary for roads category with two lanes with median with high side friction [M1, NL 2, SF 1]	150
Table 5.37	ANOVA-table for roads category with two lanes with median with high side friction [M1, NL 2, SF 1]	150
Table 5.38	Coefficients table of model developed after remove outlier point for roads category with two lanes with median with high side friction [M1, NL 2, SF 1]	153
Table 5.39	Model summary developed after remove outlier point for roads category with two lanes with median with high side friction [M1, NL 2, SF 1]	153
Table 5.40	ANOVA-table of model developed after remove outlier point for roads category with two lanes with median with high side friction [M1, NL 2, SF 1]	153
Table 5.41	Coefficients table of first model estimation for roads category with three lanes with median with low side friction [M1, NL 3, SF 0]	155
Table 5.42	Model Estimation Summary for roads category with three lanes with median with low side friction [M1, NL 3, SF 0]	155

Table 5.43	ANOVA-table of model estimation for roads category with three lanes with median with low side friction [M1, NL 3, SF 0]	156
Table 5.44	Coefficients table of models developed refinement for roads category with three lanes with median with low side friction [M1, NL 3, SF 0]	157
Table 5.45	Model summary of model refinement for roads category with three lanes with median with low side friction [M1, NL 3, SF 0]	157
Table 5.46	ANOVA-table of model refinement for roads category with three lanes with median with low side friction [M1, NL 3, SF 0]	158
Table 5.47	Summary of Results for Successfully Developed Category A Models with TV in veh/h	160
Table 5.48	Summary of Results for Successfully Developed Category B Models with TV in pcu/h	163
Table 5.49	Summary of Results for Successfully Developed Category C Models with TV in veh/h and Percentage of Vehicle Classes	165
Table 5.50	Observed and Predicted ATS for Validation of roads category with single lane without median with low side friction [M0, NL1, SF0]	168
Table 5.51	Results of paired <i>t-test</i> for model of roads category with single lane without median with low side friction [M0, NL1, SF0]	170
Table 5.52	Observed and predicted ATS for model of roads category of single lane without median with high side friction [M0, NL1, SF 1]	171
Table 5.53	Results of paired <i>t-test</i> for roads category with single lane without median with high side friction [M0, NL1, SF1]	173
Table 5.54	Observed and Predicted ATS for validation model for roads category with two lane without median with high side friction [M0, NL2, SF 1]	174
Table 5.55	Results of Paired <i>t-test</i> for of roads category of two lane without median with high side friction [M0, NL2, SF 1]	175
Table 5.56	Observed and predicted ATS for validation model for roads category with two lane with median with low side friction [M1, NL2, SF 0]	176

Table 5.57	Results of paired <i>t-test</i> for roads category with two lane with median with low side friction [M1, NL2, SF 0]	177
Table 5.58	Observed and predicted ATS for validation model for roads category with two lane with median with high side friction [M1, NL2, SF 1]	178
Table 5.59	Results of paired <i>t-test</i> for roads category with two lanes with median with high side friction [M1, NL2, SF 1]	180
Table 5.60	Summary of models' validation for the five successful models based on the paired <i>t-test</i>	181
Table 6.1	Range of parameters values as constraints for various roads' groups models application	203

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Relation between speed and amount of traffic on main roads of central London developed by Thomson in 1967 (Smeed, 1968)	14
Figure 2.2	Speeds and flows in central London, 1952-1966, peak and off-peak developed by Thomson in 1967 (Williams, 2001)	15
Figure 2.3	Speed-flow line for all points of the eight-period years by Thomson in 1967 (Williams, 2001)	16
Figure 2.4	Speed-flow relations in inner and outer zones of central area by Thomson in 1967 (Williams, 2001)	17
Figure 2.5	Effect of roadway width on relation between average (journey) speed and flow in typical case by Wardrop in 1968 (Williams, 2001).	18
Figure 2.6	Effect of number of intersections per mile on relation between average (journey) speed and flow in typical case by Wardrop in 1968 (Williams, 2001)	19
Figure 2.7	Effect of fraction time of signal (g/c) on relation between average (journey) speed and flow in typical case by Wardrop in 1968 (Williams, 2001)	19
Figure 2.8	The α -map for London, in relative values by Zahavi in 1972 (Williams, 2001)	21
Figure 2.9	Comparison between Smeed-model and Olszewski-model for average journey speed versus weight average flow rate (Olszewski, Fan and Tan, 1995)	22
Figure 2.10	Comparison of Chen proposed S-curve (red-color) model and Greenshields model (blue-color), adopted for (Chen, 2017)	30
Figure 2.11	Speed-volume relationship at Teuku Nyak Arief Road (Prahara and Prasetya, 2018)	31
Figure 2.12	Models Curves of speed-flow relationships for urban roads in Malaysia (HPU, 2006)	34
Figure 2.13	Computed average speed and average delay at simulated urban signalized intersection (TRB, 1965)	35

Figure 2.14	Speed-flow relationship for 37 test sections with parking and 7 test sections without parking (TRB, 1965)	36
Figure 2.15	Travel time and average speed on signalized street in intermediate urban area (TRB, 1965)	37
Figure 2.16	Estimated average travel speed for a class I arterial, with a 40-mph FFS, and signals at 1-mile spacing (TRB, 1985)	38
Figure 2.17	Speed-flow curves for class I urban streets (TRB, 2000)	39
Figure 2.18	Speed-flow curves for class II urban streets (TRB, 2000)	40
Figure 2.19	Speed-flow curves for class III urban streets (TRB, 2000)	40
Figure 2.20	Speed-flow curves for class IV urban streets (TRB, 2000)	41
Figure 2.21	Speed-flow relationship for Urban Street Segments (TRB, 2010)	41
Figure 2.22	Three popular types of traffic calming in Johor Bahru Roads Network	52
Figure 2.23	Illustration of Right-turn movement	55
Figure 2.24	Schematic sketch of the main idea of MOM	56
Figure 3.1	Framework of the research methodology	66
Figure 3.2	Flow Chart of Urban Roads Selection	74
Figure 3.3	(a) – (l) Maps of the Selected Urban Roads in Various Districts in Johor Bahru Roads Network (JBRN)	79
Figure 3.4	VBox and its accessories	93
Figure 3.5	Screen-snapshot of results templates using VBox program (RACELOGIC)	95
Figure 3.6	Snapshot-moment of Video-recording the traffic volume	97
Figure 3.7	Vehicles Classification (HPU, 2011b)	102
Figure 3.8	Framework of Stages of Building Models-development	105
Figure 4.1	Average traffic composition for vehicles' classes based on road groups	117

Figure 5.1	Verification of residual normality of roads category of single lane without median with low side friction [M0, NL1, SF0]	128
Figure 5.2	Verification of residual-distribution of roads category of single lane without median with low side friction [M0, NL1, SF0]	128
Figure 5.3	Boxplot of outlier points verification of roads category of single lane without median with low side friction [M0, NL1, SF0]	129
Figure 5.4	Verification of residual normality for roads category with single lane without median with high side friction [M0, NL1, SF 1]	133
Figure 5.5	Verification of residual-distribution for roads category with single lane without median with high side friction [M0, NL1, SF 1]	133
Figure 5.6	Boxplot of outlier points verification for roads category with single lane without median with high side friction [M0, NL1, SF 1]	133
Figure 5.7	Verification of residual normality for roads category with two lanes without median with high side friction [M0, NL 2, SF 1]	137
Figure 5.8	Verification of residual-distribution for roads category with two lanes without median with high side friction [M0, NL 2, SF 1]	138
Figure 5.9	Boxplot of outlier points verification for roads category with two lanes without median with high side friction [M0, NL 2, SF 1]	138
Figure 5.10	Verification of residual normality for roads category with two lanes with median with low side friction [M1, NL 2, SF 0]	147
Figure 5.11	Verification of residual-distribution for roads category with two lanes with median with low side friction [M 1, NL 2, SF 0]	147
Figure 5.12	Boxplot of outlier points verification for roads category with two lanes with median with low side friction [M 1, NL 2, SF 0]	147
Figure 5.13	Verification of residual normality for roads category with two lanes with median with high side friction [M1, NL 2, SF 1]	151

Figure 5.14	Verification of residual-distribution for roads category with two lanes with median with high side friction [M1, NL 2, SF 1]	152
Figure 5.15	Boxplot of outlier points verification for roads category with two lanes with median with high side friction [M1, NL 2, SF 1]	152
Figure 5.16	Verification of residual normality of model developed after remove outlier point for roads category with two lanes with median with high side friction [M1, NL 2, SF 1]	154
Figure 5.17	Verification of residual-distribution of of model developed after remove outlier point for roads category with two lanes with median with high side friction [M1, NL 2, SF 1]	154
Figure 5.18	Boxplot of outlier points verification of model developed after remove outlier point for roads category with two lanes with median with high side friction [M1, NL 2, SF 1]	154
Figure 5.19	45-degree line between observed and predicted ATS for model of roads category with single lane without median with low side friction [M0, NL1, SF0]	169
Figure 5.20	45-degree line between observed and predicted ATS of roads category with single lane without median with high side friction [M0, NL1, SF1]	172
Figure 5.21	45-degree line between observed and predicted ATS for roads category with two lane without median with high side friction [M0, NL2, SF 1]	175
Figure 5.22	45-degree line between observed and predicted ATS of roads category of two lane with median with low side friction [M1, NL2, SF 0]	177
Figure 5.23	45-degree line between observed and predicted ATS for roads category with two lanes with median with high side friction [M1, NL2, SF 1]	179
Figure 5.24	Variations of R^2 values for developed models	183
Figure 5.25	Variations of Coefficient values of TCSDD for developed models	184
Figure 5.26	Variations of coefficient values of AccessD for developed models	184
Figure 5.27	Variations of coefficient values of IntersD for developed models	185

Figure 5.28	Profile decreasing effect of one number per 100 m density of Longitude Parameters on Average Travel Speed	186
Figure 5.29	Variations of intercept values (FFS) for developed models	186
Figure 5.30	Variations of coefficient values of traffic volume for developed models	188
Figure 6.1	Model application for roads category with single lane without median with low side friction [M0, NL1, SF0]	196
Figure 6.2	Model application for roads category with single lane without median with high side friction [M0, NL1, SF1] for TCSDD = 0 /km	197
Figure 6.3	Model application for roads category with single lane without median with high side friction [M0, NL1, SF1] for TCSDD = 2 /km	198
Figure 6.4	Model application for roads category with single lane without median with high side friction [M0, NL1, SF1] for TCSDD = 5 /km	198
Figure 6.5	Model application for roads category with single lane without median with high side friction [M0, NL1, SF1], TCSDD = 10 /Km	199
Figure 6.6	Model application for roads category with two lane without median with high side friction [M0, NL2, SF 1]	200
Figure 6.7	Model application for roads category with two lane with median with low side friction [M1, NL2, SF 0]	201
Figure 6.8	Model application for roads category with two lane with median with high side friction [M1, NL2, SF 1]	202

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AASHTO	-	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
DSA	-	Descriptive Statistical Analysis
FFS	-	Free Flow Speed
HCM	-	Highway Capacity Manual
HPU	-	Highway Planning Unit
JBRN	-	Johor Bahru Roads Network
MHCM	-	Malaysian Highway Capacity Manual
MLRA	-	Multi-Linear Regression Analysis
MOM	-	Moving Observer Method
MTES	-	Manual of Transportation Engineering Studies
PCU	-	Passenger Car Unit
RA	-	Regression Analysis
SUR	-	Surveyed Urban Roads

LIST OF SYMBOLS

AccessD	Access Driveway Density
ATS	Average Travel Speed
IntersD	Intersection Density
L	Length
M	Median Case
NL	Number of Lanes
Pcu/h	Passenger car unit per hour
R^2	Coefficient of Determination
RTD	Right Turn Driveways
SF	Side Friction
TCSD	Traffic Calming Speed Device
TV	Traffic Volume
TFD	Traffic Flow Direction
Veh/h	Vehicle per hour
VIF	Variance Inflation Factor

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Table-Note of The Data-Required of the Surveyed Urban Roads	227
Appendix B	Theoretical Review on the Statistical Tests, Regression Analysis Methods, and Assumptions rules and Techniques Required for the Modelling of the Study	228
Appendix C	Description for the Model Development Processes of the Speed-Flow-Geometry Relationship	245
Appendix D	Results Detail of The Pilot Study	252
Appendix E	Results of Entire Surveyed Urban Roads	253
Appendix F	Profile Results of all Parameters Measured in the Field for all Selected Urban Roads	261
Appendix G	Groups of Surveyed Urban Roads	273
Appendix H	Percentages Quantities of All Vehicles Classes Over All Roads	282

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

To provide a high-performance level of urban roads with geometric and traffic design features that can readily accommodate high vehicular flow is challenging. Typically, these challenges are encountered within the inner city or municipal roads used for transportation, planning, and engineering units. This is because the process requires the ability to simultaneously balance the three objectives of planning, design, and safety.

One of the popular methods applied for the design and planning of road facilities is the speed-flow relationship model (HPU, 2006). This is because these two traffic variables, speed and flow, are traditionally used in the assessment of traffic operations on roads (TRB, 1994). Various studies have been conducted to examine this fundamental relationship. However, most of the studies were not focused on the type of urban road facility (HPU, 2006; Li and Jiang, 2009; Lum. *et al.* , 1998).

Empirically, the ability to collect speed and traffic volume (TV) of urban roads is more complicated compared to other types of roads facilities. This is due to the fluctuation of traffic volume, which is caused by the numerous control points and driveways distributed along the urban roads' segments. To date, limited studies were conducted on the subject resulting in a poor assessment of the surrounding geometric and traffic parameters of urban roads. Therefore, there is an evident need for empirical studies that would directly address the speed-flow relationship under the effects of a sufficient number of geometric and traffic parameters' conditions on the urban road's facility.

On the other hand, it was necessary to provide model that can cater this wide range of geometric and traffic features as input parameters to predict the response as average travel speed over predetermined urban road segment length. The Multiple Linear Regressions (MLR) represent the best decision to provide this kind of models (Areerachakul and Sanguansintukul, 2009; Song and Lu, 2017; Su *et al.*, 2012). The Multiple Linear Regressions is a method of demonstrating that response (dependent) variable, Y , varies with a set of independent variables, X_1 to X_n (Freund and Littell, 2000). The variability that the response variable exhibits have two components: systematic and a random part. The systematic variation of Y can be modelled as a function of the X variables. The least squares method is used to estimate the parameters of the model, based on observed values of these variables, by minimizing the sum of squared differences between the actual Y values and the values of Y predicted by the regression equation (Freund and Littell, 2000). As a result, MLR equation allow to predict the effect of wide range of geometric and traffic variables on the travel speed because it represents a weighted linear combination of the independent variables (Areerachakul and Sanguansintukul, 2009).

1.2 Problem Statement

In comparison to rural roads, it is well known that the main features of urban roads facilities are high densities of people and business shops surrounding the roads (AASHTO, 2011). Accordingly, wide range of geometric and traffic controls devices exist along this type of road facility in order to keep a stable balance between the activities of people, business shops, and traffic flow movements. In order to design an urban road facility with satisfactory operational performance, it is necessary to evaluate and analyze the real condition of traffic flow movement in this complicated case of geometric and traffic parameters. The importance of speed-flow relationship is that it can be used for design and planning analysis for the urban roads (HPU, 2006; Van Aerde and Rakha, 1995). However, this is contingent on either the speed-flow relationship reflecting the real conditions of the urban roads or governments can effectively utilize it as a planning, design, or analysis tool for urban cities.

Various studies affirmed that the speed-flow relationship gradually improved due to the enhancement of geometric and traffic features of the urban road facility (Qu, Zhang and Wang, 2017; Williams, 2001). However, most of the studies that were carried out to develop speed-flow relationship models for urban roads did not consider the effect of a sufficiently wide ranges of geometric and traffic parameters in these models as a numerical coefficient's values. Furthermore, most of these studies were conducted in different countries where the features of geometric and traffic on urban roads are different from those in Malaysia. In the Malaysian Highway Capacity Manual MHCM 2006, the speed-flow relationships were developed to examine the effects of the numbers of driveways, signalized intersections, and high turning movements for the urban roads (HPU, 2006). According to certain ranges of these parameters, a series of developed curves between the average travel speed and traffic volume were plotted.

In a more specific manner, although the MHCM 2006 models were developed and evaluated according to the Malaysian urban roads' geometric and traffic conditions, however, they could not be applied to examine a wide enough number of these geometric and traffic parameters. For instance, these MHCM 2006 models did not consider the impact of the median condition, number of lanes, number of intersections over the length of the segment and traffic calming speed devices extended over the urban road segment. Apart from these, various studies confirmed the impact of different parameters on the travel speed on urban roads that were not involved in the MHCM 2006 models such as number of lanes, side friction and median exitance. Under this situation, the outcomes of MHCM models of speed-flow relationship could provide inaccurate tool for design, analysis, and planning urban roads facilities. Consequently, there is evidence to evaluate a wide range of adequate and necessary number of geometric and traffic parameters on speed-flow relationship for urban roads facilities.

1.3 Aim and Objectives

This study aims to evaluate the effects of geometric and traffic parameters on speed-flow-geometry relationship for urban roads. To achieve this, the following objectives are set forth:

1. To determine the significant factor of roadways' geometric and traffic variables for model development of speed-flow-geometry relationship for urban roads in Johor Bahru street network.
2. To develop and validate empirical models of speed-flow-geometry relationship for urban roads in Johor Bahru street network
3. To evaluate the effects of geometric and traffic variables on the speed-flow-geometry relationship for urban roads in Johor Bahru street network.
4. To develop and validate an application graphs for evaluating the speed-flow-geometry relationships with ranges of geometric and traffic parameters values for urban roads segments.

1.4 Scope and Limitation of the Study

The scope of this study comprised of two aspects: field data collection and evaluation of the data collected. In terms of the field data collected, all surveyed urban roads were selected from the Johor Bahru Roads Network. These were selected such that segments with different ranges of geometric and traffic parameter are utilized. Based on the study's scope, some parameters were excluded from measurement due to insignificant variation in their field values such as posted speed limit and lane width. In terms of the of data collection period and duration, there were no specific with regard to them, as randomly selected periods were used to account for peak and off-peak periods. Likewise, duration for the data collection was not constrained to 15 minutes interval, but it was based on the traffic volume conditions of the surveyed

urban roads as well as the minimum required number of test vehicle's run during the data collection period time. In terms of data analysis, all the data were first extracted and prepared for modelling using Microsoft Excel software. Subsequently, the models for speed-flow-geometry relationship were developed using the multilinear regression analysis technique. For the data preparation using Microsoft Excel sheets, every measured data point that represented an outlier or missing results based on the trip streams running the test vehicle (TV) was removed from the batch.

All speed-flow-geometry relationship models were then developed using SPSS 22 software. The models were then validated using different observed data sets from new on-site urban roads with the same geometry. These were utilized and compared with outputs of the proposed models. Additionally, the required data sets associated with each of the proposed models were substituted into the relationship and applications of the models illustrated in several graphs. A key limitation of this study is that all surveyed urban roads must be of the two-way direction type. This is because the Moving Observer Method (MOM) is not suitable for measurement of the required traffic data for the study on one-way direction roadways. Another limitation of the study is that the data collection on all surveyed urban roads was only conducted during daylight hours. This is because the visibility of traffic flow stream in the nighttime is difficult to count, particularly when vehicles' classification for the stream of traffic flow needs to be recognized.

1.5 Significance of the Study

Given the immense importance of speed-flow relationship, this study is highly significant for on-site applications of the developed models. This is because the type of speed-flow relationships developed in this study are empirical-based by effectively considering a wide range of geometric and traffic features in the field. These parameters include median condition, lane number, side friction, traffic calming instruments devices, intersection density, driveway density, and right turn density. Accordingly, its significant use as a planning and design tool was extended by comparing it to previous models developed.

Furthermore, previous models developed based on speed-flow relationship did not cover the assessment of a wide range of these parameters as presented in this study. In the MHCM (2006), the speed-flow relationship developed models for urban roads were accomplished by assessing only two parameters namely; signal intersection and driveway densities. However, the application of the models developed in this study could be explored for more than eight parameters. In addition, the models can be adopted when the speed-flow relationship is implemented as planning and design tools by the authorities in Malaysia.

Lastly, this study presents an example of how to generate the data required to develop a speed-flow relationship model using the MOM. The method has the advantage of collecting instantaneous traffic volume and travel speed concurrently. In addition, the MOM is cheap and straightforward for application on the site. Therefore, the success of developing this model relationship using the MOM is a significant contribution that can be explored and applied for future research studies.

1.6 Thesis Outline

The thesis is made up of seven Chapters. This section provides brief information about each Chapter. Chapter 2 presents a theoretical background on the speed-flow relationship on urban roads. It provides the theoretical arguments on which the research hinges. Furthermore, broad definitions and discussions on the urban road facility, historical reviews of the empirical speed-flow relationship models developed, and the review of the HCM models of speed-flow relationship on urban roads and the main technique used to collect the data in the field are presented. Lastly, the impact of parameters on speed-flow relationship on urban roads and broad descriptions of the parameters adopted for assessment in this study were highlighted.

Chapter 3 describes the methodologies of this study. This consisted of descriptions of all methods used to collect the data in the field, analysis techniques applied for the results, and the model development building stages. Under the first part, subsections including the data resource, the site requirements of the selected roads,

description of all equipment used for the data collection are presented. The second part presented a review of all analysis methods of the study such as the multilinear regression analysis technique, grouping the roads based on their features, traffic volume composition, and all statistical tests requirements to accomplish the analysis of the results obtained.

Chapter 4 presents the sequential data analysis framework and results obtained as well as their discussions. The main table of the results was presented and discussed in this Chapter. Experimental results, descriptive statistical analysis and graphical presentation analysis of the results are presented in detail in this Chapter. The main idea of classification of the parameters into two groups; namely, longitudinal parameters and cross-sectional parameters were described in detail in this Chapter. Lastly, the primary evaluation of the results was performed through correlation analysis of the data among all parametric matrices.

Chapter 5 presents the core part of the study. It describes the stepwise details of the models' development building process of the speed-flow-geometry relationship. These stages include the models' parameters definition, along with model estimation, verification and validation. According to the number of groups of the roads in the previous Chapter, all the sequential stages for model development were applied for each group. Finally, the summary table that contains all the models developed was presented and discussed based on the implication of the models.

Chapter 6 focuses only on the application of the successful models developed in the previous Chapter. Each model that has successfully fit the relationship between the dependent and independent variables was applied using the data sets that were used in the model development process. In this Chapter, a series of graphs were drawn according to the flexibility of the developed formula for each model. In each graph, different linear trend lines were drawn from the axis of the dependent variable (average travel speed) and continues straight down until it ends at the X-axis (traffic volume). The number of these lines and intercepts of each line depend on the natural model-formula of each model. Chapter 7 outlines the essential conclusions drawn from this research and suggestions for further investigation.

REFERENCES

- AASHTO. (2004). *Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets* (5th ed.). Washington D.C.: American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials.
- AASHTO. (2011). *A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets* (6th ed.). Washington D.C.: American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials.
- Abdulrahman, H. S., Almusawi, A. A. and Abubakar, M. (2017). Comparative Assessment of Macroscopic Traffic Flow Properties Estimation Methods: A Case for Moving Car Observer Method. *Engineering Science And Technology International Research Journal*, 1(2), 11–15.
- Abdurrahman, U. T. C., Puan, O. C. and Ibrahim, M. N. I. (2014). Comparison of Free-Flow Speed Estimation Models. In *National Seminar on Civil Engineering Research (SEPKA)*. Malaysia.
- Agina, M. A. (2007). *Analyse of Traffic On Congestion In Urban Sub-Sahara Africa : A Case Study of Lagos, Nigeria*. PhD Thesis, Texas Southern University.
- Alhomaidat, F. and Ardekani, S. (2015). A Statistical Comparison of Traffic Measurements from the Moving versus Stationary Observer Methods. *Journal of Transportation Technologies*, 5(4), 204–213.
- Ali, A. T., Venigalla, M. M., & Flannery, A. (2007). Estimating Running Time on Urban Street. In *Proceedings of the 3rd Urban Street Symposium* (Vol. 1738, pp. 24–27). Seattle, Washington.
- Areerachakul, S., and Sanguansintukul, S. (2009). A comparison between the multiple linear regression model and neural networks for biochemical oxygen demand estimations. In *2009 Eighth International Symposium on Natural Language Processing. IEEE* (pp. 11–14).
- Asma. A. T. (2007). *Empirical models for estimating automobiles running speeds on urban streets*. PHD Thesis, George Mason University, Virginia.
- Barnett, V. and Lewis, T. (1994). *Outliers in Statistical Data* (3rd ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
- Barua, S., Das, A. and Hossain, M. J. (2015). Estimation of Traffic Density To

- Compare Speed- Density Models With Moving Observer Data. *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology*, 4(8), 471–474.
- Bassani, M. and Sacchi, E. (2012). Calibration to Local Conditions of Geometry-Based Operating Speed Models for Urban Arterials and Collectors. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 53, 821–832.
- Bassani, M., Dalmazzo, D., Marinelli, G., & Cirillo, C. (2014). The effects of road geometrics and traffic regulations on driver-preferred speeds in northern Italy. An exploratory analysis. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 25, 10–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trf.2014.04.019>
- Branston, D. (1976). Link capacity functions: A review. *Transportation Research*, 10(4), 223–236. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0041-1647\(76\)90055-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0041-1647(76)90055-1)
- Brockenbrough, R. L. (2009). *Highway Engineering Handbook* (3rd ed.). New York: Mc Graw Hill.
- Chen, Y. (2017). Model of Traffic Speed-Flow Relationship at Signal Intersections. *Open Journal of Applied Sciences*, 7(6), 319–327.
- Chiguma, M. L. (2007). *Analysis Of Side Friction Impacts On Urban Road Links; Case study, Dar-es-salaam*. PhD, Royal Institute of Technology (KTH).
- Cohen, J. (2013). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. Routledge.
- Cohen, S., & Zhang, M. Y. (2002). New Speed Flow Relationships for Road Network Planning in the Paris Region. *Traffic and Transportation Studies*, 389–396.
- Dinh, D. D., & Kubota, H. (2013). Speeding behavior on urban residential streets with a 30km/h speed limit under the framework of the theory of planned behavior. *Transport Policy*, 29, 199–208.
- Elkafoury, A., Negm, A., Hafez, M., Bady, M., & Ichimura, T. (2014). Operational and environmental evaluation of traffic movement on urban streets using GPS floating-car data. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 4(1), 20–25.
- Eluru, N., Chakour, V., Chamberlain, M., & Miranda-Moreno, L. F. (2013). Modeling vehicle operating speed on urban roads in Montreal: A panel mixed ordered probit fractional split model. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*, 59, 125–134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2013.05.016>
- Federal Highway Administration. (2009). *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for Streets and Highways (MUTCD)*. Washington D.C.: US Department of Transportation.

- Field, A. (2013). *Discovering Statistics Using IBM SPSS Statistics*. London: SAGE.
- Fitzpatrick, K., Carlson, P., Brewer, M., & Wooldridge, M. (2001). Design Factors That Affect Driver Speed on Suburban Streets. *Transportation Research Record*, 1751(1), 18–25. <https://doi.org/10.3141/1751-03>
- Fitzpatrick, K., Carlson, P., Brewer, M., & Wooldridge, M. D. (2003). Design Speed , Operating Speed , and Posted Speed Limit Practices. In *Transportation Research Board, 82nd Annual Conference Proceedings*. Washington D.C.
- Fitzpatrick, K., Miaou, S. P., Brewer, M., Carlson, P., & Wooldridge, M. D. (2005). Exploration of the Relationships between Operating Speed and Roadway Features on Tangent Sections. *Journal of Transportation Engineering*, 131(4), 261–269. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-947X\(2005\)131:4\(261\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-947X(2005)131:4(261))
- Fitzpatrick, K., & Parham, A. (1998). The effects of access density on operating speed. *Institute of Transportation Engineers. ITE Journal*, 68(11), 16.
- Freund, R. J., and Littell, R. C. (2000). *SAS system for regression*. SAS Publishing.
- Gattis, J. L., & Watts, A. (1993). Urban Street Speed Related To Width and Functional Class. *Journal of Transportation Engineering, ASCE*, 125(3), 193–200.
- Geroliminis, N. (2008). A Macroscopic Fundamental Diagram of Urban Traffic: Recent Findings. In *Paper presented at the Symposium on the Fundamental Diagram: 75 years*. Woods Hole, Massachusetts.
- Gulivindala, P., & Mehar, A. (2018). Analysis of Side Friction on Urban Arterials. *Transport and Telecommunication Journal*, 19(1), 21–30. <https://doi.org/10.2478/ttj-2018-0003>
- Hair, J., Black, W., Babin, B., Anderson, R. and Tatham, R. (1998). *Multivariate data analysis* (5th intern). New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall: Upper Saddle River.
- Hall, F. L. (1996). *Traffic Stream Characteristics*. US: Federal Highway Administration 36.
- Hidayati, N., Liu, R. and Montgomery, F. (2012). The impact of school safety zone and roadside activities on speed behaviour: The Indonesian case. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 54, 1339–1349.
- Highway Planning Unit, (HPU). (2002). *Traffic Calming Guidelines*. Kuala Lumpur. Ministry of Works, Malaysia.
- Highway Planning Unit, (HPU). (2006). *Malaysian Highway Capacity Manual*. Ministry of Works, Malaysia.
- Highway Planning Unit, (HPU). (2011a). *Malaysian Highway Capacity Manual*.

- Ministry of Works, Malaysia.
- Highway Planning Unit, (HPU). (2011b). *Malaysian Highway Capacity Study Stage 3 (Inter-Urban)*. Ministry of Works, Malaysia.
- Jabatan Kerja Raya JKR. (1986). *A Guide on Geometric Design of Roads*. Arahan Teknik (Jalan) 8/86. Public Work Department, Ministry of Work, Malaysia.
- Jabatan Kerja Raya JKR. (1987). *A Guid To The Design Of At-Grade Intersection*. Arahan Teknik (Jalan), 11/87. Public Work Department, Ministry of Work, Malaysia.
- Jain, G.V., Agrawal, R., Sharma, K., Bhanderi, R.J. and Jayaprasad, P. (2014). Evaluation of Urban Road Network Using Geoinformatics – a Case Study of Surat City. *International Journal of Remote Sensing & Geoscience (IJRSG)*, 3(1), 47–53.
- Juhász, M., Koren, C. and Mátrai, T. (2016). Analysing the Speed-flow Relationship in Urban Road Traffic. *Acta Technica Jaurinensis*, 9(2), 128–139.
- Juhász, M., Mátrai, T. and Koren, C. (2017). Forecasting travel time reliability in urban road transport. *Archives of Transport*, 43(3), 53–67.
- Karl-L. Bang. (1995). *Impact of side friction on speed-flow relationships for rural and urban highways*. Technical Report. SWEROAD Indonesia. Bandung, Indonesia.
- Khalid, M. K. Ismail, N. M., Bahar, A., Mohamad, I., Lee, M. H., Ismail, N., Ahmad, N. (2015). *An Introduction to Statistics for Engineering Students* (5th ed.). University Teknologi Malaysia, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science.
- Kleinbaum, D.G., Kupper, L.L., Nizam, A. and Rosenberg, E. S. (2013). *Applied Regression Analysis And Other Multivariable Methods* (5th ed.). Nelson Education.
- Kutner, M.H., Nachtsheim, C.J., Neter, J. and Li, W. (1996). *Applied Linear Statistical Models*. (Vol 5) Boston: McGraw-Hill Irwin.
- Lee, B. H., & Forni, J. F. (1991). *Factors affecting speeds on motorways and other limited access dual carriageways in urban areas*. Department of Transport, Digest Contractor Report 242.
- Li, Q., Miwa, T. and Morikawa, T. (2008). Preliminary Analysis on Link Travel Time for Probe-Based Estimation Method by Microscopic Simulation. *International Journal of ITS Research*, 6(1), 21–27.
- Li, J. W., & Jiang, G. Y. (2009). Travel Speed Estimation Method for Arterial Road

- Based on Spot Traffic Parameter. In *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Transportation Engineering (ICTE 2009)*. 25-27 July. (pp. 2151–2156). Chengdu, China.
- Li, M. Z. F. (2008). A Generic Characterization of Equilibrium Speed-Flow Curves. *Transportation Science*, 42(2), 220–235. <https://doi.org/10.1287/trsc.1070.0201>
- Lind, D. A., Marchal, W. G., Wathen, S. A., & Waite, C. A. (2006). *Basic statistics for business & economics*. Boston (8th ed.). Boston: McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
- Loague, K. and Green, R. E. (1991). Statistical and graphical methods for evaluating solute transport models: overview and application. *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology*, 7(1), 51–73.
- Lu, Z. and Meng, Q. (2013). Analysis of Traffic Speed-Density Regression Models - A Case Study of Two Roadway Traffic Flows in China. In *Proceedings of the Eastern Asia Society for Transportation Studies* (Vol. 9, pp. 1–14).
- Lum, K.M., Fan, H.S., Lam, S.H., and Olszewski, P. (1998). Speed-flow modeling of arterial roads in Singapore. *Journal of Transportation Engineering*, 124(3).
- Mahamid, I. (2011). Early cost estimating for road construction projects using multiple regression techniques. *Construction Economics and Building*, 4(11), 87–101.
- Marizwan, M. A., Hoong, A. P., Marjan, J. M., Farhan, A. S., Hassan, M. H., and Samad, N. A. (2008). *Development and evaluation of a traffic calming scheme in the vicinity of schools in Malaysia: a pilot study*. Kajang: Malaysian Institute of Road Safety Research MIROS.
- May, A. D., & Shepherd, S. R. (1996). Area Speed-flow Relationships And Network Aggregation. *WIT Transactions on the Built Environment*, 23, 325–334.
- Mehmood, A. (2009). Determinants of Speeding Behavior of Drivers in Al Ain (United Arab Emirates). *Journal of Transportation Engineering*, 135(10), 721–729. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)TE.1943-5436.0000049](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)TE.1943-5436.0000049)
- Mortimer, W. J. (1957). Moving vehicle method of estimating traffic volumes and speeds. *Highway Research Board Bulletin*, 156, 14–26.
- Moses, R., & Mtoi, E. (2013). *Evaluation of Free Flow Speeds on Interrupted Flow Facilities (No. BDK83 977-18)*. Florida. Dept. of Transportation.
- Mulligan, A. M. and Nicholson, A. (2002). Uncertainty in Traffic Flow Estimation Using the Moving-Observer Method. In *IPENZ Transportation Group Conference Papers*.
- Munawar, A. (2011). Speed and capacity for urban roads, Indonesian experience.

- Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 16, 382–387.
- Muttaka, N. I. I. and Bin Chepuan, O. (2014). Measuring Driver's Percent-Time-Spent-Following Using a Moving Video Recording Technique. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 567, 736–741.
- Natrella, M. (2012). *NIST/SEMATECH e-Handbook of Statistical Methods*. <http://www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook>.
- Nor, N. S. M., Puan, O. C. and Mashros, N. (2015). An Alternative Method Of Estimating Space Mean Speed. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 76(14), 29–35.
- O'flaherty, C. A. and Simons, F. (1970). An evaluation of the moving observer method of measuring traffic speeds and flows. In *Australian Road Research Board (ARRB) Conference* (Vol. 5). Canberra.
- Olszewski, P., Fan, H. S. and Tan, Y. W. (1995). Area-wide traffic speed-flow model for the Singapore CBD. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 29(4), 273–281.
- Pallant, J. (2010). *Spss Survival Manual. A Step by Step Guide to Data Analysis using SPSS*. McGraw-Hill Education.
- Pannu, M., A. (1999). *Traffic Calming within Metropolitan Toronto*. PhD. University of Toronto.
- Patel, A., Bhatt, K. and Juremalani, J. (2017). A Review : Impact of Road Side Friction on Capacity of Urban Roads. *IJSRSET, International Journal of Scientific Research in Science, Engineering and Technology*., 3(8), 826–829.
- Pei, X., Wong, S. C., Li, Y. C., & Sze, N. N. (2012). Full Bayesian Method for the Development of Speed Models: Applications of GPS Probe Data. *Journal of Transportation Engineering*, 138(10), 1188–1195.
- Piñeiro, G., Perelman, S., Guerschman, J. P. and Paruelo, J. M. (2008). How to evaluate models: observed vs. predicted or predicted vs. observed? *Ecological Modelling*., 216(3), 316–322.
- Poe, C. M., & Mason, J. M. (2000). Analyzing Influence of Geometric Design on Operating Speeds Along Low-Speed Urban Streets: Mixed-Model Approach. *Transportation Research Record*, 1737(1), 18–25. <https://doi.org/10.3141/1737-03>
- Prahara, E., & Prasetya, R. A. (2018). Speed – volume relationship and headway distribution analysis of motorcycle (case study : Teuku Nyak Arief Road). In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (vol. 106, no. 1). IOP

Publishing.

- Puan, O. C., Ibrahim, M. N. I. and Abdurrahman, U. T. (2014). Application of moving car observer method for measuring free flow speed on two-lane highways. *Jurnal Teknologi (Sciences and Engineering)*, 69(2), 15–19.
- Qu, X., Zhang, J., & Wang, S. (2017). On the stochastic fundamental diagram for freeway traffic: Model development, analytical properties, validation, and extensive applications. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological*, 104, 256–271. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trb.2017.07.003>
- Racelogic. (2012). *Video VBox Hardware Manual* (10th ed.). Buckingham, United Kingdom: Racelogic Limited.
- Rao, A. M., Velmurugan, S., & Lakshmi, K. M. V. N. (2017). Evaluation of Influence of Roadside Frictions on the Capacity of Roads in Delhi, India. *Transportation Research Procedia*, 25, 4775–4786. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2017.05.489>
- Richmond, V. (2002). *Traffic Calming Guide For Local Residential Streets*. Department of Transportation Richmond, Virginia.
- Ro, K., Zou, C., Wang, Z. and Yin, G. (2015). Outlier Detection for High Dimensional Data. *Biometrika*, 102(3), 589–599.
- Roess, Roger., Prassas, Elena., McShane, William ROESS, R.; PRASSAS, E.; MCSHANE, W. (2004). *Traffic Engineering* (3rd ed.). Pearson Prentice Hall, New Jersey.
- Rose, G., Taylor, M. A., and Tisato, P. (1989). Estimating travel time functions for urban roads : options and issues Urban Roads: Options and Issues. *Transportation Planning and Technology*, 14(1), 62–82. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03081068908717414>
- Salini, S., George, S. and Ashalatha, R. (2016). Effect of Side Frictions on Traffic Characteristics of Urban Arterials. *Transportation Research Procedia*, 17, 636–643. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2016.11.118>
- Samadianfard, S., Delirhasannia, R., Kisi, O., and Agirre-Basurko, E. (2013). Comparative analysis of ozone level prediction models using gene expression programming and multiple linear regression. *Geofizika*, 30(1), 43–73.
- Schroeder, B.J., Cunningham, C.M., Findley, D.J., Hummer, J.E. and Foyle, R. . (2010). *Manual of transportation engineering studies*. 2nd ed. ITE, Washington, D.C.
- Schueller, H. (2011). Modeling Speeds and Accidents on Urban Streets. In *Multimodal*

Approach to Sustained Transportation System Development: Information, Technology, Implementation (pp. 830–836).
[https://doi.org/10.1061/41177\(415\)105](https://doi.org/10.1061/41177(415)105)

- Shen, J., & Zhang, Q. (2016). Application Of GIS in Information Management for urban rainfall-runoff Simulation. *International Journal of Hybrid Information Technology*, 9(11), 25–34.
- Smeed, R. J. (1968). Traffic studies and urban congestion. *Journal of Transport Economics and Policy*, 33-70., 2, 33–70.
- Solanki, M.J., Umrigar, F.S., Zala, L.B. and Amin, A. . (2016). Application of Moving Car Observer Method for Measuring Travel Time , Delay & Vehicle Flow under Heterogeneous Traffic Condition of C . B . D . Area : Case Study of Surat-Rajmarg (Chowk to Delhi Gate). *International Journal of Current Engineering and Technology*, 6(3), 799–803.
- Song, X., and Lu, H. (2017). Multilinear regression for embedded feature selection with application to fMRI analysis. In *AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence (Vol. 31, No. 1)*.
- Su, Y., Gao, X., Li, X., and Tao, D. (2012). Multivariate multilinear regression. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part B (Cybernetics)*, 42(6), 1560–1573.
- Sullivan, M. (2015). *Fundamentals of statistics*. Prentice Hall.
- Sykes, A. O. (1993). An introduction to regression analysis. *Chicago Working Paper in Law and Economics, Tech*.
- TAC. (1999). *Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads*. Ottawa, Ontario.
- Transportation Research Board (TRB). (1965). *Highway Capacity Manual*. Washington D.C.: National Research Council (USA).
- Transportation Research Board (TRB). (1985). *Highway Capacity Manual*. Washington D.C.: National Research Council (USA).
- Transportation Research Board (TRB). (1994). *Highway Capacity Manual*. Washington D.C.: National Research Council (USA).
- Transportation Research Board (TRB). (2000). *Highway Capacity Manual*. Washington, DC: National Research Council (USA).
- Transportation Research Board (TRB). (2010). *Highway Capacity Manual 5th Edition*. Washington D.C.: National Research Council (USA).
- Triola, M. F. (2006). *Elementary statistics*. Reading, MA: Pearson/Addison-Wesley.

- Van Aerde, M., and Rakha, H. (1995). Multivariate Calibration of Single Regime Speed-Flow-Density Relationships. In *Proceedings of the Vehicle Navigation and Information Systems (VNIS), 6th International VNIS conference*. (pp. 334–341). Seattle, Washington.
- Wang, J. (2006). *Operating Speed Models For Low Speed Urban Environments Based On In-Vehicel GPS Data*. Georgia Institute of Technology.
- Wardrop, J. G., & Charlesworth, G. (1954). A Method of Estimating Speed and Flow of Traffic from a Moving Vehicle. *ICE Proceedings: Engineering Divisions*, 3(1), 158–171. <https://doi.org/10.1680/ipeds.1954.11628>
- Williams, J. C. (2001). *Macroscopic flow models in Traffic flow Theory*. Washington, D.C.: US Federal Highway Administration.
- Wright, C. (1973). A Theoretical Analysis Of The Moving Observer Method. *Transportation Research*, 7(3), 293–311.
- Yazit, K. A. B. M. (2017). *Pedestrian Flow Characteristics At Pelican Crossings For Central Business District Areas In Johor Bahru*. PhD Thesis, University Technology Malaysia, UTM. Malaysia.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Index Journal

1. Al-Bahr, T. M., & Puan, O. C. (2018). Speed-Flow Relationship for Urban Roads: A Preliminary Assessment. *Advanced Science Letters*, 24(6), 4172-4176. **(Indexed by ISI)**

Indexed Conference Proceedings

2. Al-Bahr, T. M., Puan, O. C., Hassan, S. A., Idham, M. K., & Ismail, C. R. (2019, May). Parameters affecting fluctuation in traffic stream on urban roads. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* (Vol. 527, No. 1, p. 012067). IOP Publishing. **(Indexed by SCOPUS)**

Non-Indexed Conference Proceedings

3. Al-Bahr, T. M., Puan, O. C., Mushairry, M. (2016). Speed–Flow Relationship for Urban Roads: A Preliminary Assessment. In *International Conference on Science, Engineering, Management and Social Sciences (ICSEMSS 2016)*. Johor Bahru, Malaysia.: Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Faculty of Built Environment.