

MODEL PREDICTIVE CONTROL WITH ANGULAR ACCELERATION CONSTRAINT  
OF PROPORTIONAL SERVO-HYDRAULIC LOWER EXTREMITY ROBOTIC DEVICE

NURFARAHIN BINTI ONN

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

MODEL PREDICTIVE CONTROL WITH ANGULAR ACCELERATION CONSTRAINT  
OF PROPORTIONAL SERVO-HYDRAULIC LOWER EXTREMITY ROBOTIC DEVICE

NURFARAHIN BINTI ONN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy (Mechanical Engineering)

School of Mechanical Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

OCTOBER 2021

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Praise be to Allah for His blessings and giving me the opportunity, courage, determination, and strength along the challenging journey of my life, completing my research as well as writing this thesis. Without the strength and blessing given by Allah, I would not be able to come this far.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Mohamed bin Hussein, and my co-supervisors, Dr. Tang Howe Hing, Associate Professor Ir. Dr. Mohd Zarhamdy bin Md. Zain, and Dr. Mohd Azuwan bin Mat Dzhahir, for their invaluable guidance, assistance and advice during my doctoral studies. They were always there to give me continuous moral supports and patiently guide me on the path when I stumbled and tripped along the way.

I appreciate the Ministry of Education (MOE) for giving financial support through the MyBrain15 scheme during my study. Furthermore, I acknowledge the Malaysian Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovative (MOSTI) for the ScienceFund research grant Vot4S022, and Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for their full support. I also appreciate the services that are provided by the technical and administrative staffs of the School of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, and School of Graduate Studies (SPS).

I also would like to express my sincere appreciation for my father and mother, whose prayers and endless devotions that always keep lifting me up to give my best for completing this research work. Also, not forget my sister and brother for their endless love, prayers, and encouragement.

Sincere thanks to all my family members and friends for their kindness and moral support during my research in UTM. Thanks for the friendship and memories. My special thanks go to Lai Wei Ying for his support and help towards my research work.

To those who indirectly contributed to this research, their presence and countless effort and support had given me great strength and confidence. Thank you very much.

## ABSTRACT

Lower Extremity Robotic Device (LERD) is a four-degree of freedom hydraulic exoskeleton that assists the paralysed patient to walk. The nonlinear dynamic model of the hydraulic exoskeleton system used in Model Predictive Control (MPC) is challenging to be modelled, especially in the state-space model form. Traditional torque constraint technique restricts the exoskeleton to provide wide variability of wearers' weight. For a heavy wearer, the torque constraint could limit the system's performance where the hydraulic force will be cut off when exceeds the predetermined torque. Angular acceleration constraint could be an alternative method to overcome the weight variations among different wearers. However, this technique has not been reported elsewhere in the literature. This study aimed to develop mathematical models of the empiric relationship between the median of absolute angular accelerations and pulse width modulation duty cycle of the LERD exoskeleton to facilitate system interfacing. An optimal motion constrained MPC controller for trajectory tracking was designed first, and the results were benchmarked with Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) controller. Using cross-correlation analysis, the dynamic models were then selected and used to analyse the designed controllers. The average absolute trajectory tracking error (AATTE) was chosen as the performance parameter with the AATTE closer to zero-degree reference point indicates better trajectory tracking. Results of the simulation study for both MPC and PID controllers at three different speeds showed that AATTE became farther as the walking speed increased. Benchmarked for simulation result showed that the PID controller produced closer AATTE of all joints compared to the MPC controller at the slowest speed (0.3m/s). However, as the speed increased, the MPC controller achieved closer AATTE of all joints than the PID controller. The simulation results were further validated with an experimental study at 0.3m/s. After cross-correlation analysis between reference and output trajectories, the PID controller has produced all joints' AATTE of 2.64 degrees nearer to zero degrees than the MPC controller's AATTE of all joints (2.99 degrees). In overall, the MPC controller exhibits a smoother control signal compared to the PID controller where the latter produces fluid hammer during operation which can harm the wearer and potential to cause possible damage to the exoskeleton system's components. The proposed control system is able to avoid the need to derive several important parameters such as valve's orifice area, flow coefficient, frictions, etc. Based on the findings of this study, it can be concluded that the proposed simulation and prototype models together MPC controller are acceptable for use in the exoskeleton control system.

## ABSTRAK

Peranti Robot Lampauan Bawah (LERD) adalah sebuah eksorangka hidraulik empat darjah kebebasan yang membantu pesakit lumpuh untuk berjalan. Model dinamik tak lurus sistem eksorangka hidraulik yang digunakan dalam Kawalan Ramalan Model (MPC) sukar untuk dimodelkan, terutama dalam bentuk model keadaan-ruang. Teknik kekangan kilas tradisional menghadkan eksorangka untuk menampung pelbagai berat para pemakai. Bagi pemakai yang berat, kekangan kilas boleh menghadkan prestasi sistem yang mana daya hidraulik akan terpotong apabila melebihi kekangan kilas yang telah ditentukan. Kekangan pecutan sudut boleh menjadi kaedah alternatif untuk mengatasi variasi berat antara para pemakai yang berbeza. Walau bagaimanapun, teknik ini tidak dilaporkan di mana-mana dalam literatur. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk membangunkan pemodelan matematik hubungan empirik antara median pecutan sudut mutlak dan kitar tugas pemodulatan lebar denyut eksorangka LERD untuk memudahkan pengantaramukaan sistem. Pergerakan optimum terkekang pengawal MPC untuk penjejakan trajektori direkabentuk dahulu, dan keputusan telah ditanda aras dengan pengawal Perkadaran-Kamiran-Terbitan (PID). Dengan menggunakan analisis sekaitan-silang, model dinamik kemudiannya dipilih dan digunakan untuk menganalisis pengawal yang telah direkabentuk. Ralat penjejakan trajektori mutlak purata (AATTE) telah dipilih sebagai parameter prestasi dengan AATTE lebih dekat kepada titik rujukan darjah-sifar yang menunjukkan penjejakan trajektori yang lebih baik. Keputusan kajian penyelakuan untuk kedua-dua pengawal MPC dan PID pada tiga kelajuan yang berbeza menunjukkan bahawa AATTE menjadi semakin jauh ketika kelajuan berjalan semakin meningkat. Keputusan penanda aras untuk keputusan penyelakuan menunjukkan bahawa pengawal PID menghasilkan AATTE semua sendi yang lebih dekat berbanding dengan pengawal MPC pada kelajuan yang paling perlahan (0.3m/s). Walau bagaimanapun, semakin kelajuan meningkat, pengawal MPC mencapai AATTE semua sendi yang lebih dekat berbanding dengan pengawal PID. Keputusan penyelakuan selanjutnya disahkan dengan kajian ujikaji pada 0.3m/s. Selepas analisis sekaitan-silang antara trajektori rujukan dan keluaran, pengawal PID telah menghasilkan AATTE semua sendi iaitu 2.64 darjah lebih hampir kepada darjah sifar berbanding dengan AATTE pengawal MPC semua sendi (2.99 darjah). Secara keseluruhan, pengawal MPC didapati mempamerkan isyarat kawalan yang lebih licin berbanding dengan pengawal PID yang mana, yang terkemudian menghasilkan tukul bendalir semasa operasi yang boleh membahayakan pemakai dan berpotensi menghasilkan kerosakan kepada komponen sistem eksorangka. Sistem kawalan yang dicadangkan boleh mengelakkan keperluan untuk menerbitkan beberapa parameter penting seperti luas orifis injap, pekali aliran, geseran dan lain-lain. Berdasarkan penemuan kajian ini, dapat disimpulkan bahawa model penyelakuan dan prototaip bersama pengawal MPC dapat diterima untuk digunakan dalam sistem kawalan eksorangka.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>ii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xii</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xiv</b>
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xx</b>
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xxii</b>
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xxv</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Problem Background	1
1.2	Problem Statement	2
1.3	Research Objectives	5
1.4	Scopes of Research	6
1.5	Research Contributions	6
1.6	Organization of Thesis	8
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1	Introduction	9
2.2	Exoskeletons	9
2.3	Types of Exoskeletons	11
2.3.1	Human Performance Augmentation Exoskeletons	11
2.3.2	Assistive Exoskeletons	12
2.3.3	Rehabilitation Exoskeletons	12

2.4	Exoskeletons with Hydraulic Actuation System	13
2.4.1	Berkeley Lower Extremity Exoskeleton (BLEEX)	14
2.4.2	Externally Powered Lower Limb Orthosis	16
2.4.3	Hybrid Neuroprosthesis with Hydraulic Rotary Actuators	17
2.4.4	ECUST Leg Exoskeleton roBOT (ELEBOT)	18
2.4.5	UESuit	19
2.4.6	Lower Extremity Exoskeleton by Şahin, Botsalı, Kalyoncu, <i>et al.</i> (2014a, 2014b)	20
2.4.7	Hydraulically Powered Exoskeletal Robot (HyPER)	21
2.4.8	Hydraulically Actuated Lower Limb Exoskeleton by S. Chen <i>et al.</i> (2016)	22
2.4.9	CAEP-SWJTU Lower Load Exoskeleton (CASWELL)	23
2.4.10	CASWELL-II	24
2.4.11	Valve-controlled Power Amplification Lower Limb by Long, Du, Wang, <i>et al.</i> (2018)	25
2.4.12	Pump-controlled Hydraulically Actuated Lower Extremity Exoskeleton by Long, Du, Chen, <i>et al.</i> (2018)	26
2.4.13	Hydraulic Lower Extremity Exoskeleton	28
2.4.14	Power Augmentation Exoskeleton by Kim <i>et al.</i> (2017b)	29
2.4.15	Load-carrying Knee Exoskeleton by Zhu <i>et al.</i> (2017)	30
2.4.16	Active Power-Assist Lower Limb (APAL)	31
2.4.17	HUMAN-powered Augmentation Lower EXoskeleton (HUALEX)	32
2.4.18	Lower Extremity Exoskeleton by Jiang <i>et al.</i> (2020)	34
2.4.19	Summary of Hydraulic Exoskeletons	35
2.5	Summary of Lower Limb Exoskeletons	48
2.5.1	Hydraulic Actuation System	48
2.5.2	Low-level Controllers	51
2.5.3	Safety Considerations	53

2.6	Model Predictive Control (MPC)	55
2.6.1	MPC Strategy	55
2.6.2	MPC in the Exoskeleton Developments	59
2.6.2.1	Lower extremity Powered ExoSkeleton (LOPES)	59
2.6.2.2	Hybrid Actuation Knee Neuroprosthesis	61
2.6.2.3	Modular Lower Limb Exoskeleton	62
2.6.2.4	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad (FUM)-Exoskeleton	63
2.6.2.5	FUM-Physio	64
2.6.2.6	Single DOF Exoskeleton by Caulcrick <i>et al.</i> (2021)	65
2.6.2.7	Pneumatic Muscle Actuator (PMA)-Driven Lower Limb Exoskeleton	67
2.6.2.8	Knee Joint Exoskeleton by Holl <i>et al.</i> (2017)	68
2.6.2.9	Summary of Exoskeletons with MPC Controller	69
2.7	Summary	77
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>79</b>
3.1	Introduction	79
3.2	Lower Extremity Robotic Device (LERD)	81
3.2.1	Mechanical Structures	82
3.2.2	Control System of LERD	84
3.2.3	Hydraulic Actuation System	85
3.3	Mathematical Modelling of LERD Exoskeleton	88
3.3.1	PWM Duty Cycle-Angular Acceleration Converter	91
3.3.2	PWM Duty Cycle-Current and Current-Flow Rate Converters	94
3.3.3	Linear Velocity of Hydraulic Cylinder Stroke	98
3.3.4	Kinematic Modelling of Hydraulic Actuation Joint in LERD Exoskeleton	99



3.3.5	Kinematic Modelling of Five-link Human Bipedal Model	106
3.3.6	Dynamic Motion Modelling of Five-link Human Bipedal Model	111
3.4	Trajectory Planning	113
3.5	Model Predictive Control (MPC)	117
3.5.1	Introduction	117
3.5.1.1	System Model	118
3.5.1.2	Constraints	121
3.5.1.3	Cost Function	123
3.5.2	Linearization of Internal System Model	125
3.6	Simulation Study	127
3.7	Experimental Study	131
3.8	Summary	135
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND ANALYSIS</b>	<b>137</b>
4.1	Introduction	137
4.2	Characteristics of Hip and Knee Joints in LERD Exoskeleton	137
4.3	Results Comparison between Simulation and Experimental Studies at Walking Speed of 0.3m/s	140
4.4	Results of Simulation Study	147
4.4.1	Performances of Every Joint with Different Speeds	147
4.4.2	Performances of Left Knee Joint with Different MPC Parameters	159
4.5	Results of Experimental Study	165
4.5.1	Walking Trajectory at 0.3m/s Speed	165
4.5.2	Square Wave Trajectory	172
4.6	Discussions	178
4.7	Summary	182
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>185</b>
5.1	Conclusion	185
5.2	Recommendations	188

<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>191</b>
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>242</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Summary of hydraulic exoskeletons	36
Table 2.2	Summary of exoskeletons with MPC controller	70
Table 3.1	Summary of LERD specifications	82
Table 3.2	LERD parts with their mass	83
Table 3.3	Initial and maximum PWM duty cycle values for retraction and extension movements at hip and knee joints	97
Table 3.4	Parameter descriptions of hydraulic cylinder attachment geometry and joint angles for single leg based on right side of LERD leg	101
Table 3.5	Calculation of the total mass of every segment in LERD exoskeleton	108
Table 3.6	Parameters of the wearer with LERD exoskeleton	109
Table 3.7	The maximum flexion/extension angle value at every joint based on human biological lower limb (Forner-Cordero <i>et al.</i> , 2008), the maximum flexion/extension angle based on LERD exoskeleton, and the selected maximum flexion/extension angle value (output constraints) at every joint	121
Table 3.8	The maximum flexion/extension angular acceleration at every joint based on normal human walking (Winter, 2009), the maximum flexion/extension angular acceleration based on LERD exoskeleton, and the selected maximum flexion/extension angular acceleration (manipulated variable constraints)	122
Table 3.9	Parameters of MPC controller	124
Table 3.10	Initial conditions of reference inputs at every joint	125
Table 3.11	Parameters of MPC controller in simulation study	128
Table 3.12	Controller gains of PID controller in simulation study	130
Table 3.13	Parameters of MPC controller in real-time experiment	134
Table 3.14	Parameters of PID controller in real-time experiment	134

Table 4.1	Average absolute trajectory tracking errors of each joint under MPC and PID controllers at walking speeds of 0.3m/s, 0.6m/s, and 0.9m/s	155
Table 4.2	Results summary obtained from MPC and PID controllers at each joint after cross-correlation analysis for walking trajectory at 0.3m/s speed	170
Table 4.3	Results summary obtained from MPC and PID controllers for square wave trajectory of every joint	177

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Figure 2.1	Berkeley Lower Extremity Exoskeleton (BLEEX) (Ghan and Kazerooni, 2006)	14
Figure 2.2	Externally Powered Lower Limb Orthosis (Saito, Kikuchi, <i>et al.</i> , 2005)	16
Figure 2.3	Hybrid Neuroprosthesis with Hydraulic Rotary Actuators (Kobetic <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	17
Figure 2.4	ECUST Leg Exoskeleton Robot (ELEBOT) (Cao <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	18
Figure 2.5	UESuit (Li <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	19
Figure 2.6	Lower Extremity Exoskeleton by Şahin, Botsali, Kalyoncu, <i>et al.</i> (2014b)	21
Figure 2.7	Hydraulically Powered Exoskeletal Robot (HyPER) (Hyo-gon Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2015)	22
Figure 2.8	Hydraulically Actuated Lower Limb Exoskeleton by S. Chen <i>et al.</i> (2016)	23
Figure 2.9	CAEP-SWJTU Lower Load Exoskeleton (CASWELL) (Yang <i>et al.</i> , 2017)	24
Figure 2.10	CASWELL-II (Yang <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	25
Figure 2.11	Valve-controlled Power Amplification Lower Limb by Long, Du, Wang, <i>et al.</i> (2018)	26
Figure 2.12	Pump-controlled Hydraulically Actuated Lower Extremity Exoskeleton by Long, Du, Chen, <i>et al.</i> (2018)	27
Figure 2.13	Hydraulic Lower Extremity Exoskeleton (Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2017a)	28
Figure 2.14	Power Augmentation Exoskeleton by Kim <i>et al.</i> (2017b)	29
Figure 2.15	Load-carrying Knee Exoskeleton by Zhu <i>et al.</i> (2017)	30
Figure 2.16	Active Power-Assist Lower Limb (APAL) (Deng <i>et al.</i> , 2017)	31
Figure 2.17	HUMAN-powered Augmentation Lower Limb EXoskeleton (HUALEX) (Song <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	33
Figure 2.18	Lower Extremity Exoskeleton by Jiang <i>et al.</i> (2020)	34

Figure 2.19	Model Predictive Control (MPC) Strategy (Bordons <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	57
Figure 2.20	Basic structure of Model Predictive Control (MPC) (Camacho and Bordons, 2007)	57
Figure 2.21	LOWer extremity Powered ExoSkeleton (LOPES) (Veneman <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	60
Figure 2.22	Control strategy of the end-point MPC controller (Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2011)	61
Figure 2.23	Hybrid Actuation Knee Neuroprosthesis (Kirsch <i>et al.</i> , 2018)	61
Figure 2.24	Control Strategy of Hybrid Actuation Knee Neuroprosthesis (Kirsch <i>et al.</i> , 2014)	62
Figure 2.25	Modular Lower Limb Rehabilitation Exoskeleton (dos Santos and Siqueira, 2019b)	62
Figure 2.26	Control strategy of PD-based impedance control with online MPC controller (dos Santos and Siqueira, 2019b)	63
Figure 2.27	FUM-Exoskeleton (Tahamipour Zarandi <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	64
Figure 2.28	Control strategy of NMPC controller with the linear time varying approach and active set method (Tahamipour Zarandi <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	64
Figure 2.29	FUM-Physio Exoskeleton (Tahamipour-Z <i>et al.</i> , 2018)	65
Figure 2.30	Control strategy (Tahamipour-Z <i>et al.</i> , 2018)	65
Figure 2.31	Single DOF Exoskeleton by Caulcrick <i>et al.</i> (2021)	66
Figure 2.32	Fuzzy logic-based NMPC control strategy of single DOF exoskeleton (Caulcrick <i>et al.</i> , 2021)	66
Figure 2.33	Pneumatic Muscle Actuator (PMA)-Driven Lower Limb Exoskeleton (Cao and Huang, 2020)	67
Figure 2.34	Control strategy of neural-network-based NMPC controller with echo state Gaussian process (Cao and Huang, 2020)	68
Figure 2.35	Knee exoskeleton with four-bar linkage mechanism (Holl <i>et al.</i> , 2017)	68
Figure 2.36	Control strategy of knee exoskeleton (Holl <i>et al.</i> , 2017)	69
Figure 3.1	Research methodology flowchart	80
Figure 3.2	3D CAD model of LERD with its part labels	81
Figure 3.3	LERD structure is supported by portable floor crane	83

Figure 3.4	Schematic diagram of LERD control system	84
Figure 3.5	Components of LERD hydraulic actuation system	86
Figure 3.6	LERD hydraulic actuation system	87
Figure 3.7	MPC control architecture of the LERD exoskeleton system in the simulation study	90
Figure 3.8	Block diagram to obtain angular acceleration values from given PWM duty cycle	91
Figure 3.9	Sequence of LERD leg at left knee joint during full extension to full retraction and vice versa from top left to bottom right	92
Figure 3.10	The graphs of PWM duty cycle versus median of absolute angular accelerations and their fitting line	93
Figure 3.11	Graph of flow rate versus pressure with various current signal values from HYDRAFORCE proportional flow control valve datasheet	95
Figure 3.12	Graph of flow rate versus current at 40 bar	95
Figure 3.13	The linear interpolation of PWM duty cycle and current signals	96
Figure 3.14	Graph of flow rate versus current during retraction and extension movements of hydraulic cylinder at 40 bar	98
Figure 3.15	Definition of the hydraulic cylinder attachment geometry and joint angles for single leg based on right side of LERD leg	100
Figure 3.16	Graphs of calculated hydraulic cylinder extension length and stroke length versus angular position	106
Figure 3.17	Five-link human bipedal robot model in sagittal plane	107
Figure 3.18	Locomotion mode of five-link human bipedal model with LERD exoskeleton in a complete walking cycle on the horizontal surface from left to right	110
Figure 3.19	The divisions of the gait cycle (Neumann, 2010)	113
Figure 3.20	Angular position of every joint at sagittal plane in a gait cycle (Neumann, 2010)	114
Figure 3.21	Angular position, angular velocity, and angular acceleration of the hip, knee, and ankle joints during walking (Winter, 2009)	115
Figure 3.22	Human walking trajectory references at 0.3m/s speed	116
Figure 3.23	Square wave trajectory references	116

Figure 3.24	Model Predictive Control (MPC) scheme in the LERD exoskeleton system	118
Figure 3.25	Linearization of discrete-time state-space model for four joints	125
Figure 3.26	Trajectory tracking performance at each joint under MPC controller with linearized internal system model and the actual human walking trajectory reference at 0.3m/s	126
Figure 3.27	Five-link human bipedal model under control of MPC controller in MATLAB Simulink	128
Figure 3.28	Animation of five-link human bipedal model wearing a four-DOF LERD exoskeleton in MATLAB Simulink	129
Figure 3.29	Real-time experimental setup of LERD exoskeleton	131
Figure 3.30	MPC control architecture of the LERD exoskeleton system in the real-time experimental study	133
Figure 4.1	Graphs of median of absolute angular acceleration versus PWM duty cycle and their fitting lines during retraction and extension	139
Figure 4.2	Trajectory tracking performance of each joint under MPC controller in the experiment and simulation studies with the actual human walking trajectory reference at 0.3m/s	141
Figure 4.3	Trajectory tracking performance of each joint under PID controller in the experiment and simulation studies with the actual human walking trajectory reference	142
Figure 4.4	Cross-correlation analysis of each joint between the output trajectories from experiment and simulation studies under MPC controller	143
Figure 4.5	Cross-correlation analysis of each joint between the output trajectories from experiment and simulation studies under PID controller	144
Figure 4.6	Angular acceleration of each joint under MPC controller in the experiment and simulation studies at 0.3m/s	145
Figure 4.7	Angular acceleration of each joint under PID controller in the experiment and simulation studies at 0.3m/s	146
Figure 4.8	Trajectory tracking performance of each joint under MPC and PID controllers with the actual human walking trajectory reference at 0.3m/s in simulation study	149
Figure 4.9	Trajectory tracking performance of each joint under MPC and PID controllers with the actual human walking trajectory reference at 0.6m/s in simulation study	150



Figure 4.10	Trajectory tracking performance of each joint under MPC and PID controllers with the actual human walking trajectory reference at 0.9m/s in simulation study	151
Figure 4.11	Trajectory tracking errors of each joint under MPC and PID controllers at 0.3m/s in simulation study	152
Figure 4.12	Trajectory tracking errors of each joint under MPC and PID controllers at 0.6m/s in simulation study	153
Figure 4.13	Trajectory tracking errors of each joint under MPC and PID controllers at 0.9m/s in simulation study	154
Figure 4.14	Angular acceleration of each joint under MPC and PID controllers at 0.3m/s in simulation study	156
Figure 4.15	Angular acceleration of each joint under MPC and PID controllers at 0.6m/s in simulation study	157
Figure 4.16	Angular acceleration of each joint under MPC and PID controllers at 0.9m/s in simulation study	158
Figure 4.17	Trajectory tracking performance and angular acceleration of left knee joint under MPC controller at 0.3m/s with different prediction horizon, $N_p$	160
Figure 4.18	Trajectory tracking performance and angular acceleration of left knee joint under MPC controller at 0.3m/s with different control horizon, $N_c$	161
Figure 4.19	Trajectory tracking performance and angular acceleration of left knee joint under MPC controller at 0.3m/s with different output weight, $w_{yi}$	162
Figure 4.20	Trajectory tracking performance and angular acceleration of left knee joint under MPC controller at 0.3m/s with different input rate weight, $w_{\Delta ui}$	163
Figure 4.21	Trajectory tracking performance and angular acceleration of left knee joint under MPC controller at 0.3m/s with different input weight, $w_{ui}$	164
Figure 4.22	Sequence of the walking LERD exoskeleton at speed of 0.3m/s from top left to bottom right	167
Figure 4.23	Trajectory tracking performance of each joint under MPC and PID controllers with the actual human walking trajectory reference at 0.3m/s in experiment study	168
Figure 4.24	Trajectory tracking errors of each joint under MPC and PID controllers at 0.3m/s in experiment study	169
Figure 4.25	Angular acceleration of each joint under MPC and PID controllers at 0.3m/s in experiment study	171

Figure 4.26	Sequence of the moving LERD using square wave trajectories from top left to bottom right	173
Figure 4.27	Trajectory tracking performance of each joint under MPC and PID controllers with the square wave reference in experiment study	174
Figure 4.28	Trajectory tracking errors of each joint under MPC and PID controllers in experiment study	175
Figure 4.29	Angular acceleration of each joint under MPC and PID controllers in experiment study	176

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

a/a	-	Abduction/Adduction
AATTE	-	Average Absolute Trajectory Tracking Error
APAL	-	Active Power-Assist Lower Limb
BLEEX	-	Berkeley Lower Extremity Exoskeleton
CAEP	-	China Academy of Engineering Physics
CASWELL	-	CAEP-SWUJTU Lower Load Exoskeleton
d/p	-	Dorsi/Plantar Flexion
DARPA	-	Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency
DC	-	Direct Current
DOF	-	Degree of Freedom
ECUST	-	East China University of Science and Technology
EHPA	-	Exoskeletons for Human Performance Augmentation
ELEBOT	-	ECUST Leg Exoskeleton roBOT
eLEGS	-	Exoskeleton Lower Extremity Gait System
EMG	-	Electromyography
f/e	-	Flexion/Extension
FES	-	Functional Electrical Stimulation
FLA	-	Fuzzy Logic Algorithm
FUM	-	Ferdowsi University of Mashhad
HTE	-	Human Torque Estimation
HUALEX	-	HUman-powered Augmentation Lower EXoskeleton
HyPER	-	Hydraulically Powered Exoskeletal Robot
i/e	-	Internal/External
inv/ev	-	Inversion/Eversion
LERD	-	Lower Extremity Robotic Device
LOPES	-	LOWer extremity Powered ExoSkeleton
MPC	-	Model Predictive Control
MOSFET	-	Metal-Oxide Semiconductor Field-Effect Transistor
N/A	-	Not Available
NAEIES	-	Naval Aeronautical Engineering Institute Exoskeleton

NI	-	National Instruments
NMPC	-	Nonlinear Model Predictive Control
P	-	Proportional
PD	-	Proportional-Derivative
PFCVM	-	Proportional Flow Control Valve Manifold
PI	-	Proportional-Integral
PID	-	Proportional-Integral-Derivative
PMA	-	Pneumatic Muscle Actuator
PWM	-	Pulse Width Modulation
ROM	-	Range of Motion
rSEA	-	Rotary Series Elastic Actuator
SCI	-	Spinal Cord Injury
SDATTE	-	Standard Deviation of Absolute Trajectory Tracking Error
SEA	-	Series Elastic Actuator
SWJTU	-	Southwest Jiatong University

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$N_P$	-	Prediction horizon
$N_C$	-	Control horizon
$t$	-	Current sampling interval
$i, j, k$	-	Index
$t + j$	-	Future sampling interval
$\hat{y}(t + j t)$	-	Future output
$y(t)$	-	Current output
$u(t + j t)$	-	Future control signal
$y_r(t + j)$	-	Reference trajectory
$u(t t)$	-	Current control signal
$S_y(t)$	-	Weighted sum of squared deviations
$S_{\Delta u}(t)$	-	Weight sum of controller adjustments
$S_u(t)$	-	Weighted sum of manipulated variable deviations
$n_y$	-	Number of plant output
$n_u$	-	Number of manipulated variables
$y_{ri}$	-	Setpoint (reference trajectory) of $i$ th variable
$y_i$	-	Output of $i$ th control variable
$\bar{u}_i$	-	Nominal value of $i$ th input
$w_{yi}$	-	$i$ th output weight
$w_{\Delta ui}$	-	$i$ th rate weight
$w_{ui}$	-	$i$ th input weight
$\Delta u_i$	-	Predicted change in $i$ th manipulated variable
$V$	-	Voltage
$\theta$	-	Angular position (Joint angle)
$\theta_d$	-	Angular position desired (reference) input
$\omega, \dot{\theta}$	-	Angular velocity
$\alpha, \ddot{\theta}$	-	Angular acceleration
$u, \ddot{\theta}_c$	-	Angular acceleration control signal
$DC, DC_i$	-	Pulse width modulation (PWM) duty cycle

$\ddot{\theta}_m$	-	Median of absolute angular acceleration
$DC_0$	-	PWM duty cycle threshold
$e_t$	-	Error threshold
$I$	-	Current
$Q$	-	Flow rate
$\hat{I}$	-	Signed current
$v_r, v_e, \dot{c}$	-	Linear velocity of hydraulic cylinder stroke
$\tau$	-	Torque
$d_1, d_2$	-	Piston diameter and piston rod diameter
$A_r, A_e$	-	Areas of hydraulic cylinder retraction and extension
$a, b, r$	-	Length
$c$	-	Length of hydraulic cylinder extension
$\theta_H$	-	Angle of hip joint with respect to vertical axis
$\theta_K$	-	Angle of knee joint with respect to hip joint angle, $\theta_H$
$\theta_{1c}, \theta_{2c}, \gamma$	-	Angle
$L_s$	-	Stroke length
$(x_b, y_b)$	-	Base coordinate
$L_i$	-	Length of link $i$
$L_{ic}$	-	Distance between the center of mass and the upper joint of link $i$
$\theta_i$	-	Angle of link $i$
$m_i$	-	Mass of link $i$
$I_i$	-	Moment of inertia for link $i$
$(x_{ic}, y_{ic})$	-	Coordinate for the center mass of link $i$
$\dot{x}_{ic}, \dot{y}_{ic}$	-	Linear velocity of center mass for $x$ and $y$ axes of link $i$
$\dot{x}_b, \dot{y}_b$	-	Linear velocity for $x$ and $y$ axes at base point
$L$	-	Langrangian
$n$	-	Number of degree of freedom (DOF)
$K$	-	Kinetic energy
$P$	-	Potential energy
$T, T_\theta$	-	Force and torque matrix
$D$	-	Inertia matrix
$H$	-	Centrifugal and Coriolis torque matrix

$G$	-	Gravitational torque matrix
$K_P, K_I, K_D$	-	Parameters of Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) controller
$X$	-	Time delay
$Y$	-	Cross-correlation coefficient

## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Appendix A	Linear Velocity, Kinetic Energy and Potential Energy	209
Appendix B	Lagrangian Formulation and Langrange Equation	213
Appendix C	Mathematical Modelling of Five-link Human Bipedal Model	230
Appendix D	Conversion of Continuous-time System Model to Discrete-time System Model	236
Appendix E	Cross-correlation Analysis	238



# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Problem Background

During the early stages of robotic systems development, most of their systems were hydraulically powered. However, hydraulic actuation has lost favour in various fields of mechatronics in the last decades since the electrical actuation (e.g., brushed and brushless DC motors) took over due to size, weight, price, ease, and accuracy of control (Yang *et al.*, 2009; Cunha *et al.*, 2010). The hydraulic system needs a complex control algorithm in order to handle its flow dynamics and motor friction. They also require an expensive installation, including a pump, reservoir, manifolds, valves, and hoses (Otten *et al.*, 2015). Furthermore, hydraulic oil can leak out easily causes environmental pollution (Li *et al.*, 2015). Still, the requirements for dealing with heavy objects and fast response to external disturbances and inputs have lately exhibited a renewed interest in hydraulic actuators and their application in the robotics field. Compared to electrical actuation, hydraulic actuation systems have high power-to-weight ratio, a wide range of speed operating conditions, swift dynamic response, overload protection, and reliability in outdoor environments. By considering these advantages, hydraulic actuation fulfils all the requirements that show a good and effective driving method for legged robots (Semini *et al.*, 2011).

The hydraulic actuators have been mainly considered within the robotics community for several years not to fit the dynamic control challenges in locomotion robots since these actuators are difficult to control (Cunha *et al.*, 2010). However, the usage of the hydraulic actuator in the robotics field has recently increased with some successful applications such as Kenken (Hyon and Mita, 2002), COMET-III (Nonami *et al.*, 2003; Barai and Nonami, 2008), BigDog (Buehler *et al.*, 2005; Raibert *et al.*, 2008), HyQ (Semini, 2010), and SARCOS (Hyon *et al.*, 2007). As for the hydraulically actuated exoskeletons, only a few of them have been reported, and

most of them are designed as the power augmentation exoskeletons (e.g., BLEEX, UESuit, and HyPER) that concentrate on the power amplifier to enhance the wearer's stamina and strength when carrying heavy objects (Yang *et al.*, 2008). The hydraulic actuator is rarely chosen to develop the lower limb assistive and rehabilitation exoskeletons that focus more on assisting the paralysed patients to walk and recover their mobility.

## 1.2 Problem Statement

The hydraulic exoskeletons can help the wearer to carry heavy loads and handle large force tasks because the hydraulic actuator has high power-to-weight ratio, large bandwidth, and fast dynamic response (Mavroidis *et al.*, 1999; Cunha *et al.*, 2010; Khan *et al.*, 2015; Mattila *et al.*, 2017). These advantages are particularly important for rehabilitating the paralysed patients and handling heavy loads in the military, shipbuilding, construction, industries, etc. Despite these favourable features, the hydraulic actuator is challenging to control, owing to its nonlinear characteristics. Therefore, this study proposes a novel Model Predictive Control (MPC) control strategy for the accurate actuation of hydraulic cylinders in the exoskeleton system. The MPC controller's main advantage is it optimizes the current time interval while keeping future time intervals in the account. Besides, the MPC controller can predict future events and take control actions appropriately, while the conventional PID controller does not have this predictive ability. The MPC controller can also handle constraints that the PID controller is not capable of it.

The MPC controller's system model is required in the MPC control architecture design of the nonlinear hydraulic actuated exoskeleton system. However, this nonlinear dynamic model is challenging to be modelled, especially in the state-space model form. The hydraulic exoskeleton has high nonlinear dynamics and parameter uncertainties of the Lagrange's dynamic equation, hydraulic cylinder's friction force, hydraulic fluid compressibility, and valve's flow rate-pressure characteristics (Sheng and Li, 2016). Thus, an alternative state-space model form of the hydraulic exoskeleton system can be designed using angular acceleration inputs

and angular position outputs. These motion constraints are derived based on average healthy human walking gait data. Angular acceleration constraint is applied instead of torque constraint to avoid the torque restriction if the exoskeleton is required to displace a heavier subject. For a heavy subject, the torque constraint could limit the system's performance where the hydraulic force will be cut off when exceeding the predetermined torque. However, this method has not been reported elsewhere in the literature.

Real-life implementation of MPC controller in exoskeleton motion control also poses a big hindrance to the practical issue of MPC controller. The solution of the nonlinear hydraulic actuation system model could be more demanding on the computational resources. One such application is converting MPC control signals to appropriate current and voltage signals, which could be challenging to model and numerically solve. The MPC control signal is usually represented in the form of torque. However, the relationship between the computed control torque and its corresponding electro-hydraulic input signal is highly nonlinear and difficult to be modeled. It is well-known that a rotational torque is proportional to exoskeleton inertia, which is nonlinear and dependent on system dimension and current states. Translational acceleration is a more appropriate choice in the search for converting the MPC control signal to the hydraulic cylinder because both of them move on the same axis. Therefore, this study hypothesizes that angular acceleration is used instead of torque. Also, an empirical approach is resorted for establishing the relationship between the MPC control signal and the electro-hydraulic signal.

The hydraulic actuator's ability can harm the wearer of the hydraulic exoskeleton system if it exceeds his range of motion (ROM) and strength. Therefore, safety is an important issue in this exoskeleton system since the wearer is strapped into it (B. Chen *et al.*, 2016; Huo *et al.*, 2016). The risks can be reduced by implementing passive and active safety mechanisms into the exoskeleton system (Tucker *et al.*, 2015). The passive safety mechanisms restrict the exoskeleton's power transmission without needing any input power or feedback control. One of these mechanisms is the physical stoppers placed at the exoskeleton mechanical structure to constrain the ROM and resist the maximum intrinsic force/torque that the

actuators can generate (Zoss *et al.*, 2006; Bortole *et al.*, 2015; B. Chen *et al.*, 2016). Besides that, the electrical circuits are constructed with suitable fuses and grounding to enhance safety. The external emergency switches deactivate the powered exoskeleton manually to protect the wearer from unexpected accidents while walking (Chen *et al.*, 2007; Long *et al.*, 2017). The active safety mechanisms restrict the exoskeleton's power transmission using the feedback control that usually needs input power (Tucker *et al.*, 2015). These mechanisms consist of configuration-dependent actuation torque and ROM constraints in the control system. The redundant sensors can monitor the system's performances (e.g., velocity and human-exoskeleton interaction force) in real-time and detect the actuator, sensor, or controller failures. If there is a system failure, the exoskeleton system should warn the wearer about resetting or recovering the controller system. The exoskeleton should also be designed with an emergency shutdown system to prevent the wearer from being injured.

The controller design is a critical part of the exoskeleton system development, where it has important aspects such as the level of patient participation, overall safety, and robotic transparency (Pennycott *et al.*, 2012). The exoskeleton control system is designed according to the principle that this robotic device can mimic the human's movement intention, but it does not obstruct the human movement (Low, 2011). For example, a simple position-controlled system tracks the joint reference trajectories, but it minimizes the system's adaptability. Thus, different sensors are required to obtain the system and environment information for implementing higher-level control. One of the important aspects of the control system is the wearer's safety. Since the current exoskeleton robots are programmed under complex algorithms, it is hard to secure their safety by controlling their physical stability such as damaged parts. A dangerous accident can happen if a small bug in the control programming software (Hasebe *et al.*, 2014). Thus, safety measures have been made to guarantee the software safety of exoskeleton robots. Furthermore, safety should be integrated into the exoskeleton control system to warrant the user's safety and stability in emergency conditions (B. Chen *et al.*, 2016). The system incorporates the safety layers to achieve safe human-exoskeleton interaction, particularly considering the amount of power these robotic devices can produce (Tucker *et al.*, 2015).

Algorithmic restrictive motion constraints could be presented in many forms, like restraining the actuator motion range, speed, acceleration, and lifting strength, in accordance with the most stringent human safety requirements. The strategies are to design the controller that avoids forcing the limb into inappropriate configurations or motions that could physically harm the patient's limbs. It is well known that Model Predictive Controller (MPC) has the ability to apply constraints, which makes it suitable for the synthesis of optimal safety walking patterns and motion control (Kajita and Espiau, 2008).

### **1.3 Research Objectives**

The research work detailed in this thesis aims to contribute in a very small degree to the existing sphere of knowledge in hydraulic exoskeleton control design. In order to achieve the aim of this research, the objectives are outlined as follows:

- (a) To develop mathematical models of the empiric relationship between the angular acceleration and input electro-hydraulic control signal of the Lower Extremity Robotic Device (LERD) exoskeleton to facilitate system interfacing.
- (b) To develop simulation and prototype models of the LERD control system for simulation and experimental studies respectively, with angular acceleration as the controller output.
- (c) To design Model Predictive Control (MPC) for position control of the four-degree of freedom (DOF) LERD exoskeleton with constrained angular acceleration and angular position.

## **1.4 Scopes of Research**

Several scopes were explored to achieve the research objectives. The scopes of this research are:

- (a) Mathematical modelling for kinematics and dynamics of a five-link human bipedal model wearing a four-DOF LERD exoskeleton in the sagittal plane.
- (b) Discrete-time state-space formulation of the MPC controller's internal system model through the computational platform by MATLAB Simulink 2017b.
- (c) Empirical translation of the MPC controller's control signal (angular acceleration) into the PWM duty cycle's electrical signal.
- (d) The trajectory tracking performance of the MPC controller in the LERD exoskeleton is assessed through simulation and experimental studies.
- (e) Validation of the MPC controller with the Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) controller.

## **1.5 Research Contributions**

One of the contributions in this study is the mathematical modelling of empiric relationships between the angular acceleration (controller output) and pulse width modulation (PWM) duty cycle signals at the hip and knee joints of the LERD exoskeleton. Data collection during retraction and extension movements are carried out from the LERD exoskeleton to achieve the joint's median of absolute angular acceleration value based on the PWM duty cycle value. The empirical relationships of hip and knee joints are then inserted into the LERD control system architecture for converting its angular acceleration control signal outputs to the PWM duty cycle. These empirical relationships also can describe the characteristics of hip and knee joints during retraction and extension movements directly from the real hydraulic

exoskeleton system. The hydraulic cylinder at the knee joint needs to lift and hold the lower leg (between knee and ankle), lighter than the whole leg (between hip and ankle) lifted and held by the hip joint. Therefore, the hydraulic cylinders' characteristics at these joints are different because of their different positions.

The second contribution is the development of simulation and prototype models of the LERD control system for simulation and experimental studies respectively, with angular acceleration as controller output. Unlike the conventional modelling method, the proposed models use angular acceleration as the controller's output because it is easy to measure and proportionally related to torque. Besides, constraining the angular acceleration does not limit the hydraulic cylinder's output torque/force, especially when people with different weights and strengths use the exoskeleton. It is different from the controller's torque, force, or pressure constraints, where the hydraulic force will be cut off when exceeding the predetermined torque limit if a heavy subject uses the exoskeleton. Therefore, the simulation and prototype models are designed using an alternative method without considering the crucial component parameters such as valve's orifice area, flow coefficient, discharge coefficient, fluid density, frictions, etc. These models employ the mathematical model of empiric relationships between the median of absolute angular acceleration and PWM duty cycle directly obtained from the LERD exoskeleton system. The PWM duty cycle values are calculated based on the median of absolute angular acceleration values to regulate the proportional flow control valve in the LERD exoskeleton system. Besides, the simulation model also uses the PWM duty cycle-current and current-flow rate converters based on the proportional flow control valve datasheet.

The third contribution is the design and development of an interfacing approach for the MPC controller with angular acceleration control output in the LERD exoskeleton. In this research, the internal MPC controller's system model of the LERD exoskeleton system is defined by linearization based on an alternative discrete-time state-space model form using angular acceleration inputs and angular position outputs. The MPC controller can generate a smooth control signal of angular acceleration to regulate every LERD exoskeleton's joint. Besides, the imposition of

the angular position and angular acceleration constraints into the MPC controller warrants the wearer's safety. Therefore, the LERD exoskeleton gives another protection for the wearer besides the mechanical mechanisms in every joint.

## **1.6 Organization of Thesis**

This thesis is organized into five chapters that explain the theoretical aspects and the development process of this research. These chapters are arranged as follows:

Chapter 2 (Literature Review) studies the related topics that can be used in this research, such as previous studies about developing robot-assistive systems and Model Predictive Control (MPC).

Chapter 3 (Research Methodology) describes the theoretical frameworks and methods utilized in the simulation and experimental studies of this research.

Chapter 4 (Results and Analysis) presents the findings and observations in this research. The performance results of the LERD exoskeleton under control of MPC and Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) controllers are presented, analysed, and discussed.

Chapter 5 (Conclusion and Recommendations) presents the overall conclusions from this research's results and discusses possible future improvements and recommendations on the LERD exoskeleton system as the contribution for others to acquire from this research.



## REFERENCES

- Akdoğan, E. and Adli, M. A. (2011) ‘The design and control of a therapeutic exercise robot for lower limb rehabilitation: Physiotherabot’, *Mechatronics*, 21(3), pp. 509–522.
- Alia, C., Spalletti, C., Lai, S., Panarese, A., Lamola, G., Bertolucci, F., Vallone, F., Di Garbo, A., Chisari, C., Micera, S. and Caleo, M. (2017) ‘Neuroplastic Changes Following Brain Ischemia and their Contribution to Stroke Recovery: Novel Approaches in Neurorehabilitation’, *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience*, 11(76), pp. 1–22.
- Aliman, N., Ramli, R. and Mohamed Haris, S. (2017) ‘Design and development of lower limb exoskeletons: A survey’, *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*. Elsevier B.V., 95, pp. 102–116.
- Alonso, V. and de la Puente, P. (2018) ‘System Transparency in Shared Autonomy: A Mini Review’, *Frontiers in Neurorobotics*, 12(83), pp. 1–11.
- Anam, K. and Al-Jumaily, A. A. (2012) ‘Active Exoskeleton Control Systems: State of the Art’, *Procedia Engineering*, 41, pp. 988–994.
- Aphiratsakun, N., Chairungsarpsook, K. and Parnichkun, M. (2010) ‘ZMP based gait generation of AIT’s Leg Exoskeleton’, in *2010 The 2nd International Conference on Computer and Automation Engineering (ICCAE)*. Ieee, pp. 886–890.
- Azevedo, C., Poignet, P. and Espiau, B. (2004) ‘Artificial locomotion control: from human to robots’, *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, 47(4), pp. 203–223.
- Bak, M. (2000) *Control of Systems with Constraints*. Technical University of Denmark.
- Barai, R. K. and Nonami, K. (2008) ‘Locomotion Control of a Hydraulically Actuated Hexapod Robot by Robust Adaptive Fuzzy Control with Self-Tuned Adaptation Gain and Dead Zone Fuzzy Pre-compensation’, *Journal of Intelligent and Robotic Systems*, 53, pp. 35–56.
- Bauer, W. and Vocke, C. (2016) ‘Implications of Sedentary Leifestyle for Designing Dynamic Work in Times of Digital Selfness’, in Goonetilleke, R. and

- Karwowski, W. (eds) *Advances in Physical Ergonomics and Human Factors*, pp. 675–685.
- Bernhardt, M., Frey, M., Colombo, G. and Riener, R. (2005) ‘Hybrid Force-Position Control Yields Cooperative Behaviour of the Rehabilitation Robot LOKOMAT’, in *9th International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics*, pp. 536–539.
- Bordons, C., Garcia-Torres, F. and Ridao, M. A. (2020) *Model Predictive Control of Microgrids*. Springer Nature Switzerland AG.
- Bortole, M., Venkatakrishnan, A., Zhu, F., Moreno, J. C., Francisco, G. E., Pons, J. L. and Contreras-Vidal, J. L. (2015) ‘The H2 robotic exoskeleton for gait rehabilitation after stroke: early findings from a clinical study’, *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation*. *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation*, 12(54), pp. 1–14.
- Bracilović, A. (2009) *Musculoskeletal Medicine: Essential Dance Medicine*. Princeton, USA: Humana Press.
- Buehler, M., Playter, R. and Raibert, M. (2005) ‘Robots Step Outside’, in *International Symposium on Adaptive Motion of Animals and Machines (AMAM)*, pp. 1–4.
- Burnfield, J. M., Cesar, G. M. and Norkin, C. C. (2019) ‘Examination of Gait’, in O’Sullivan, S. B., Schmitz, T. J., and Fulk, G. (eds) *Physical Rehabilitation*. 7th edn. F. A. Davis Company, pp. 228–293.
- Camacho, E. F. and Bordons, C. (2007) *Model Predictive Control*. Springer-Verlag London Limited.
- Cao, H., Ling, Z., Zhu, J., Wang, Y. and Wang, W. (2009) ‘Design Frame of a Leg Exoskeleton for Load-Carrying Augmentation’, in *2009 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Biomimetics (ROBIO)*, pp. 426–431.
- Cao, H., Zhu, J., Xia, C., Zhou, H., Chen, X. and Wang, Y. (2010) ‘Design and Control of a Hydraulic-Actuated Leg Exoskeleton for Load-Carrying Augmentation’, in *International Conference on Robotics and Applications (ICIRA 2010)*, pp. 590–599.
- Cao, Y. and Huang, J. (2020) ‘Neural-Network-Based Nonlinear Model Predictive Tracking Control of a Pneumatic Muscle Actuator-Driven Exoskeleton’, *IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica*, 7(6), pp. 1478–1488.

- Caulerick, C., Huo, W., Franco, E., Mohammed, S., Hoult, W. and Vaidyanathan, R. (2021) ‘Model Predictive Control for Human-Centred Lower Limb Robotic Assistance’, *IEEE Transactions on Medical Robotics and Bionics*, pp. 1–13.
- Cavanaugh, J. T. and Killian, S. E. (2012) ‘Rehabilitation following meniscal repair’, *Current Reviews in Musculoskeletal Medicine*, 5, pp. 46–58.
- Cenciarini, M. and Dollar, A. M. (2011) ‘Biomechanical Considerations in the Design of Lower Limb Exoskeletons’, in *2011 IEEE International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics*, pp. 1–6.
- Chan, C. Y. A. (2000) *Dynamic Modeling, Control and Simulation of a Planar Five-Link Bipedal Walking System*. The University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba.
- Chen, B., Ma, H., Qin, L.-Y., Gao, F., Chan, K.-M., Law, S.-W., Qin, L. and Liao, W.-H. (2016) ‘Recent developments and challenges of lower extremity exoskeletons’, *Journal of Orthopaedic Translation*. Elsevier Ltd, 5, pp. 26–37.
- Chen, F., Yu, Y., Ge, Y., Sun, J. and Deng, X. (2007) ‘WPAL for enhancing human strength and endurance during walking’, in *Proceedings of the 2007 International Conference on Information Acquisition, ICIA*, pp. 487–491.
- Chen, G., Chan, C. K., Guo, Z. and Yu, H. (2013) ‘A Review of Lower Extremity Assistive Robotic Exoskeletons in Rehabilitation Therapy’, *Critical Reviews in Biomedical Engineering*, 41(4–5), pp. 343–363.
- Chen, Q., Cheng, H., Shen, W., Huang, R. and Chen, X. (2018) ‘Hybrid Control for Human-Powered Augmentation Exoskeleton’, in *2018 IEEE 8th Annual International Conference on CYBER Technology in Automation, Control, and Intelligent Systems (CYBER)*. IEEE, pp. 682–687.
- Chen, S., Chen, Z., Yao, B., Zhu, X., Zhu, S., Wang, Q. and Song, Y. (2016) ‘Cascade Force Control of Lower Limb Hydraulic Exoskeleton for Human Performance Augmentation’, in *IECON 2016 - 42nd Annual Conference of the IEEE Industrial Electronics Society*, pp. 512–517.
- Cundiff, J. S. (2001) *Fluid Power Circuits and Controls: Fundamentals and Applications*. 1st edn. CRC Press.
- Cunha, T. B., Semini, C., Guglielmino, E., De Negri, V. J., Yang, Y. and Caldwell, D. G. (2010) ‘Gain Scheduling Control for the Hydraulic Actuation of the HyQ Robot Leg’, *ABCM Symposium Series Mechatronics*, 4, pp. 673–682.

- Deng, J., Wang, P., Li, M., Guo, W., Zha, F. and Wang, X. (2017) ‘Structure design of active power-assist lower limb exoskeleton APAL robot’, *Advances in Mechanical Engineering*, 9(11), pp. 1–11.
- Díaz, I., Gil, J. J. and Sánchez, E. (2011) ‘Lower-Limb Robotic Rehabilitation: Literature Review and Challenges’, *Journal of Robotics*, 2011(759764), pp. 1–11.
- Doddannavar, R. and Barnard, A. (2005) *Practical Hydraulic Systems: Operation and Troubleshooting for Engineers & Technicians*. Elsevier Science & Technology Books.
- Dunstan, D. W., Howard, B., Healy, G. N. and Owen, N. (2012) ‘Too much sitting - A health hazard’, *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*. Elsevier Ireland Ltd, 97(3), pp. 368–376.
- Dutton, M. (2019) *Orthopaedics for the Physical Therapist Assistant*. 2nd edn. Jones & Bartlett Learning.
- Eryilmaz, B. and Wilson, B. H. (2006) ‘Unified modeling and analysis of a proportional valve’, *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, 343(1), pp. 48–68.
- Esposito, A. (2014) *Fluid Power with Applications*. 7th edn. Pearson Education Limited.
- Esquenazi, A., Talaty, M., Packel, A. and Saulino, M. (2012) ‘The ReWalk Powered Exoskeleton to Restore Ambulatory Function to Individuals with Thoracic-Level’, *American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation*, 91(11), pp. 911–921.
- Exoskeleton Market Size, Share & Trends Analysis Report By Technology Type (Mobile, Stationary), By Technology Drive Type, By End User, By Region, And Segment Forecasts, 2020 - 2027* (2021).
- Falcon, J. S. (2005) ‘Sensors and Actuators’, in Kurfess, T. R. (ed.) *Robotics and Automation Handbook*. CRC Press, pp. 1–19.
- Farris, R. J., Quintero, H. A. and Goldfarb, M. (2011) ‘Preliminary Evaluation of a Powered Lower Limb Orthosis to Aid Walking in Paraplegic Individuals’, *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 19(6), pp. 652–659.
- Farris, R. J., Quintero, H. A. and Goldfarb, M. (2012) ‘Performance Evaluation of a Lower Limb Exoskeleton for Stair Ascent and Descent with Paraplegia’, in

- 34th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*, pp. 1908–1911.
- Ferris, D. P. (2009) ‘The exoskeletons are here’, *Journal of neuroengineering and rehabilitation*, 6(17), pp. 1–3.
- Ferris, D. P. and Lewis, C. L. (2009) ‘Robotic Lower Limb Exoskeletons Using Proportional Myoelectric Control’, in *2009 Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*, pp. 2119–2124.
- Forner-Cordero, A., Pons, J. L., Turowska, E. A. and Schiele, A. (2008) ‘Kinematics and dynamics of wearable robots’, in Pons, José L. (ed.) *Wearable Robots: Biomechatronic Exoskeletons*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, pp. 47–85.
- Gandhi, V. (2015) *Brain-Computer Interfacing for Assistive Robotics: Electroencephalograms, Recurrent Quantum Neural Networks and User-Centric Graphical Interfaces*. Elsevier Inc.
- García, C. E., Prett, D. M. and Morari, M. (1989) ‘Model Predictive Control: Theory and Practice—a Survey’, *Automatica*, 25(3), pp. 335–348.
- Ghan, J. and Kazerooni, H. (2006) ‘System Identification for the Berkeley Lower Extremity Exoskeleton (BLEEX)’, in *2006 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pp. 3477–3484.
- Gui, L., Yang, Z., Yang, X., Gu, W. and Zhang, Y. (2007) ‘Design and Control Technique Research of Exoskeleton Suit’, in *2007 IEEE International Conference on Automation and Logistics (ICAL 2007)*, pp. 541–546.
- Ha, S., Han, Y. and Hahn, H. (2007) ‘Adaptive Gait Pattern Generation of Biped Robot based on Human’s Gait Pattern Analysis’, *International Journal of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering*, 1(2), pp. 80–85.
- Haavisto, O. (2004) *Development of a walking robot model and its data-based modeling and control*. Helsinki University of Technology.
- Hamilton, M. T., Healy, G. N., Dunstan, D. W., Zderic, T. W. and Owen, N. (2008) ‘Too little exercise and too much sitting: Inactivity physiology and the need for new recommendations on sedentary behavior’, *Current Cardiovascular Risk Reports*, 2(4), pp. 292–298.
- Hasebe, K., Kawamoto, H., Kamibayashi, K. and Matsushita, A. (2014) ‘Safety and Ethical Issues in the Development of Human Assistive Robots’, in Sankai, Y., Suzuki, K., and Hasegawa, Y. (eds) *Cybernetics: Fusion of human, machine and information systems*. Springer, Tokyo, pp. 299–313.

- Herr, H. (2009) ‘Exoskeletons and orthoses: classification, design challenges and future directions.’, *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation*, 6(21), pp. 1–9.
- Hogan, N. (1985) ‘Impedance Control: An Approach to Manipulation: Part I—Theory’, *Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement, and Control*, 107(1), pp. 1–7.
- Holkar, K. S. and Waghmare, L. M. (2010) ‘An Overview of Model Predictive Control’, *International Journal of Control and Automation*, 3(4), pp. 47–64.
- Holl, E., Scheidl, R. and Eshkabilov, S. (2017) ‘Simulation Study of a Digital Hydraulic Drive for a Knee Joint Exoskeleton’, in *ASME/BATH 2017 Symposium on Fluid Power and Motion Control*, pp. 1–8.
- Hollerbach, J. M., Hunter, I. W. and Ballantyne, J. (1992) ‘A Comparative Analysis of Actuator Technologies for Robotics’, *Robotics Review*, 2, pp. 299–342.
- Houglum, P. A. and Bertoti, D. B. (2012) *Brunnstrom’s Clinical Kinesiology*. 6th edn. F. A. Davis Company.
- Huo, W., Mohammed, S., Moreno, J. C. and Amirat, Y. (2016) ‘Lower Limb Wearable Robots for Assistance and Rehabilitation: A State of the Art’, *IEEE Systems Journal*, 10(3), pp. 1068–1081.
- Huzij, R., Spano, A. and Bennett, S. (2019) *Modern Diesel Technology: Heavy Equipment Systems*. 3rd edn. Cengage Learning.
- Hyon, S.-H., Hale, J. G. and Cheng, G. (2007) ‘Full-Body Compliant Human–Humanoid Interaction: Balancing in the Presence of Unknown External Forces’, *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, 23(5), pp. 884–898.
- Hyon, S. H. and Mita, T. (2002) ‘Development of a Biologically Inspired Hopping Robot - “Kenken”’, in *Proceedings 2002 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pp. 3984–3991.
- Ibrahim, D. (2014) *Designing Embedded Systems with 32-Bit PIC Microcontrollers and MikroC*. Elsevier Ltd.
- Isermann, R. (2005) *Mechatronic Systems: Fundamental*. Springer-Verlag London Limited 2005.
- Islam, M. R., Brahmi, B., Ahmed, T., Assad-Uz-Zaman, M. and Rahman, M. H. (2020) ‘Exoskeletons in upper limb rehabilitation: A review to find key challenges to improve functionality’, in Boubaker, O. (ed.) *Control Theory in*

- Biomedical Engineering: Applications in Physiology and Medical Robotics*. Academic Press, pp. 235–265.
- Jejali, M. and Kroll, A. (2003) *Hydraulic Servo-systems: Modelling, Identification and Control*. Springer-Verlag London.
- Jezernik, S., Colombo, G., Keller, T., Frueh, H. and Morari, M. (2003) ‘Robotic Orthosis Lokomat: A Rehabilitation and Research Tool’, *Neuromodulation: Technology at the Neural Interface*, 6(2), pp. 108–115.
- Jezernik, S., Colombo, G. and Morari, M. (2004) ‘Automatic Gait-Pattern Adaptation Algorithms for Rehabilitation With a 4-DOF Robotic Orthosis’, *IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation*, 20(3), pp. 574–582.
- Jiang, J., Wang, Y., Cao, H., Zhu, J. and Zhang, X. (2020) ‘A novel pump-valve coordinated controlled hydraulic system for the lower extremity exoskeleton’, *Transactions of the Institute of Measurement and Control*, pp. 1–13.
- Kajita, S. and Espiau, B. (2008) ‘Legged Robots’, in Siciliano, B. and Khatib, O. (eds) *Springer Handbook of Robotics*. 1st edn. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 361–389.
- Kazerooni, H., Racine, J., Huang, L. and Steger, R. (2005) ‘On the Control of the Berkeley Lower Extremity Exoskeleton (BLEEX)’, in *International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pp. 4353–4360.
- Kazerooni, H., Steger, R. and Huang, L. (2006) ‘Hybrid Control of the Berkeley Lower Extremity Exoskeleton (BLEEX)’, *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 25(5–6), pp. 561–573.
- Keci, A., Tani, K. and Xhema, J. (2019) ‘Role of Rehabilitation in Neural Plasticity’, *Open Access Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences*, 7(9), pp. 1540–1547.
- Keller, T. and Veneman, J. (2013) ‘Robotics for Neurorehabilitation: Current State and Future Challenges’, *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 245, pp. 3–8.
- Kelly, R., Santibáñez Davila, V. and Loría, A. (2005) *Advanced Textbooks in Control and Signal Processing: Control of Robot Manipulators in Joint Space*. Leipzig, Germany: Springer-Verlag London Limited.
- Khan, H., Kitano, S., Frigerio, M., Camurri, M., Barasuol, V., Featherstone, R., Caldwell, D. G. and Semini, C. (2015) ‘Development of the Lightweight Hydraulic Quadruped Robot - MiniHyQ’.
- Khatri, M. and Khatri, P. (2013) ‘Trajectory Control of Two Link Robotic Manipulator using PID’, *Golden Research Thoughts*, 3(5), pp. 1–7.

- Kim, Hyo-gon, Lee, J., Jang, J., Park, S. and Han, C. (2015) ‘Design of an Exoskeleton with Minimized Energy Consumption based on using Elastic and Dissipative Elements’, *International Journal of Control, Automation and Systems*, 13(2), pp. 463–474.
- Kim, Hongchul, Seo, C., Shin, Y. J., Kim, J. and Kang, Y. S. (2015) ‘Locomotion Control Strategy of Hydraulic Lower Extremity Exoskeleton Robot’, in *IEEE/ASME International Conference on Advanced Intelligent Mechatronics (AIM)*, pp. 577–582.
- Kim, H., Shin, Y. J. and Kim, J. (2017a) ‘Design and locomotion control of a hydraulic lower extremity exoskeleton for mobility augmentation’, *Mechatronics*. Elsevier Ltd, 46, pp. 32–45.
- Kim, H., Shin, Y. J. and Kim, J. (2017b) ‘Kinematic-based locomotion mode recognition for power augmentation exoskeleton’, *International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems*, 14(5), pp. 1–14.
- Kirsch, N. A., Alibeji, N. A. and Sharma, N. (2014) ‘Model Predictive Control-based Dynamic Control Allocation in a Hybrid Neuroprosthesis’, in *ASME 2014 Dynamic Systems and Control Conference*, pp. 1–8.
- Kirsch, N. A., Bao, X., Alibeji, N. A., Dicianno, B. E. and Sharma, N. (2018) ‘Model-Based Dynamic Control Allocation in a Hybrid Neuroprosthesis’, *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*. IEEE, 26(1), pp. 224–232.
- Kobetic, R., To, C. S., Schnellenberger, J. R., Audu, M. L., Bulea, T. C., Gaudio, R., Pinault, G., Tashman, S. and Triolo, R. J. (2009) ‘Development of hybrid orthosis for standing, walking, and stair climbing after spinal cord injury’, *Journal of Rehabilitation Research & Development*, 46(3), pp. 447–462.
- van der Kooij, H., Jacobs, R., Koopman, B. and van der Helm, F. (2003) ‘An alternative approach to synthesizing bipedal walking’, *Biological Cybernetics*, 88, pp. 46–59.
- van der Kooij, H., Veneman, J. and Ekkelenkamp, R. (2006) ‘Design of a compliantly actuated exo-skeleton for an impedance controlled gait trainer robot’, in *2006 International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*, pp. 189–193.
- Kshirsagar, R., Patil, C. and Deshpande, A. (2014) ‘Development of Environment Friendly Air Conditioner Using Fuzzy logic’, in Zadeh, L. A., Abbasov, A.



- M., Yager, R. R., Shahbazova, S. N., and Reformat, M. Z. (eds) *Recent Developments and New Directions in Soft Computing*. Springer International Publishing Switzerland, pp. 379–391.
- Kwek, L. C., Kang, C. C., Loo, C. K. and Wong, E. K. (2005) ‘Implementation of Evolutionary Active Force Control in a 5-Link Biped Robot’, *Intelligent Automation & Soft Computing*, 11(3), pp. 167–178.
- LabVIEW: Control Design User Manual* (2009) National Instruments Corporation.
- Lee, H., Ferguson, P. W. and Rosen, J. (2020) ‘Lower Limb Exoskeleton Systems—Overview’, in Rosen, J. and Ferguson, P. W. (eds) *Wearable Robotics: Systems and Applications*. Academic Press, pp. 207–229.
- Lee, J., Kim, H., Jang, J. and Park, S. (2015) ‘Virtual model control of lower extremity exoskeleton for load carriage inspired by human behavior’, *Autonomous Robots*, 38(2), pp. 211–223.
- Li, A. (2010) *Comparison between Model Predictive Control and PID Control for Water-level Maintenance in a Two-tank System*. University of Pittsburgh.
- Li, N., Yan, L., Qian, H., Wu, H., Wu, J. and Men, S. (2015) ‘Review on Lower Extremity Exoskeleton Robot’, *The Open Automation and Control Systems Journal*, 7, pp. 441–453.
- Li, X., Guo, Q., Zhang, L., Zhou, H. and Zhang, X. (2012) ‘Hydraulic Pressure Control System Simulation and Performance Test of Lower Extremity Exoskeleton’, *Advanced Materials Research*, 472–475(2012), pp. 2548–2553.
- Long, M. (2014) *Architectural Acoustics*. 2nd edn. Academic Press.
- Long, Y., Du, Z., Chen, C., Wang, W., He, L., Mao, X., Xu, G., Zhao, G. and Dong, W. (2017) ‘Hybrid Control Scheme of a Hydraulically Actuated Lower Extremity Exoskeleton for Load-Carrying’, *Journal of Intelligent and Robotic Systems: Theory and Applications*. Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems, 91(3–4), pp. 493–500.
- Long, Y., Du, Z., Chen, C., Wang, W., He, L., Mao, X., Xu, G., Zhao, G. and Dong, W. (2018) ‘Hybrid Control Scheme of a Hydraulically Actuated Lower Extremity Exoskeleton for Load-Carrying’, *Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems*. Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems, 91, pp. 493–500.
- Long, Y., Du, Z., Wang, W. and Dong, W. (2016) ‘Robust Sliding Mode Control Based on GA Optimization and CMAC Compensation for Lower Limb Exoskeleton’, *Applied Bionics and Biomechanics*, 2016, pp. 1–13.

- Long, Y., Du, Z., Wang, W., He, L., Mao, X. and Dong, W. (2018) ‘Physical human-robot interaction estimation based control scheme for a hydraulically actuated exoskeleton designed for power amplification’, *Frontiers of Information Technology & Electronic Engineering*, 19(9), pp. 1076–1085.
- Low, K. H. (2011) ‘Robot-Assisted Gait Rehabilitation: From Exoskeletons to Gait Systems’, in *2011 Defense Science Research Conference and Expo (DSR)*. IEEE, pp. 1–10.
- Magee, D. J. (2014) *Orthopedic Physical Assessment*. 6th edn. Saunders.
- Manring, N. D. and Fales, R. C. (2020) *Hydraulic Control Systems*. 2nd edn. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Marcheschi, S., Salsedo, F., Fontana, M. and Bergamasco, M. (2011) ‘Body Extender: whole body exoskeleton for human power augmentation’, in *2011 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*. Ieee, pp. 611–616.
- Mattila, J., Koivumäki, J., Caldwell, D. G. and Semini, C. (2017) ‘A Survey on Control of Hydraulic Robotic Manipulators with Projection to Future Trends’, *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, 22(2), pp. 669–680.
- Mauritz, K.-H. (2002) ‘Gait Training in Hemiplegia’, *European Journal of Neurology*, 9(Suppl. 1), pp. 23–29.
- Mavroidis, C., Pfeiffer, C. and Mosley, M. (1999) ‘Conventional Actuators, Shape Memory Alloys, and Electrorheological Fluids’, in Bar-Cohen, Y. (ed.) *Automation, Miniature Robotics and Sensors for Non-Destructive Testing and Evaluation*. American Society for Nondestructive Testing, pp. 1–26.
- McManus, T. N. (2013) *Management of Hazardous Energy: Deactivation, De-energization, Isolation, and Lockout*. CRC Press.
- Merritt, H. E. (1967) ‘Hydraulic Control Systems’. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Nam, J. Y., Kim, J., Cho, K. H., Choi, J., Shin, J. and Park, E.-C. (2017) ‘The impact of sitting time and physical activity on major depressive disorder in South Korean adults: A cross-sectional study’, *BMC Psychiatry*. BMC Psychiatry, 17(274), pp. 1–9.
- Neuhaus, P. D., Noorden, J. H., Craig, T. J., Torres, T., Kirschbaum, J. and Pratt, J. E. (2011) ‘Design and Evaluation of Mina: a Robotic Orthosis for Paraplegics’, in *2011 IEEE International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics*, pp. 1–8.

- Neumann, D. A. (2010) *Kinesiology of the Musculoskeletal System: Foundations for Rehabilitation*. 2nd edn. Mosby Inc.
- Nolan, D. P. (2017) *Fire Pump Arrangements at Industrial Facilities*. 3rd edn. Gulf Professional Publishing.
- Nonami, K., Huang, Q., Komizo, D., Fukao, Y., Asai, Y., Shiraishi, Y., Fujimoto, M. and Ikedo, Y. (2003) ‘Development and Control of Mine Detection Robot COMET-II and COMET-III’, *JSME International Journal Series C Mechanical Systems, Machine Elements and Manufacturing*, 46(3), pp. 881–890.
- Okut, H. (2015) ‘Applications of Statistics in Quantitative Traits’, in Khatib, H. (ed.) *Molecular and Quantitative Animal Genetics*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., pp. 43–64.
- Otten, A., Voort, C., Stienen, A., Aarts, R., van Asseldonk, E. and van der Kooij, H. (2015) ‘LIMPACT: A Hydraulically Powered Self-Aligning Upper Limb Exoskeleton’, *IEEE/ASME Transactions of Mechatronics*, 20(5), pp. 2285–2298.
- Owen, N., Healy, G. N., Matthews, C. E. and Dunstan, D. W. (2010) ‘Too much sitting: The population health science of sedentary behavior’, *Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews*, 38(3), pp. 105–113.
- Papini, G. P. R. and Avizzano, C. A. (2012) ‘Transparent Force Control for Body Extender’, in *2012 IEEE RO-MAN: The 21st IEEE International Symposium on Robot and Human Interactive Communication*, pp. 138–143.
- Parr, A. (2011) *Hydraulics and Pneumatics: A Technician’s and Engineer’s Guide*. 3rd edn. Elsevier Ltd.
- Pennycott, A., Wyss, D., Vallery, H., Klamroth-Marganska, V. and Riener, R. (2012) ‘Towards more effective robotic gait training for stroke rehabilitation: a review.’, *Journal of Neuroengineering and Rehabilitation*, 9(65), pp. 1–13.
- Perry, J. and Burnfield, J. M. (2010) *Gait Analysis: Normal and Pathological Function*. 2nd edn. Pamaona, California: SLACK Incorporated.
- Qin, S. J. and Badgwell, T. A. (2003) ‘A survey of industrial model predictive control technology’, *Control Engineering Practice*, 11(7), pp. 733–764.
- Quintero, H. A., Farris, R. J. and Goldfarb, M. (2011) ‘Control and Implementation of a Powered Lower Limb Orthosis to Aid Walking in Paraplegic

- Individuals’, in *2011 IEEE International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics*, pp. 1–6.
- Quintero, H. A., Farris, R. J., Hartigan, C., Clesson, I. and Goldfarb, M. (2011) ‘A Powered Lower Limb Orthosis for Providing Legged Mobility in Paraplegic Individuals’, *Topics in Spinal Cord Injury Rehabilitation*, 17(1), pp. 25–33.
- Raibert, M., Blankespoor, K., Nelson, G. and Playter, R. (2008) ‘BigDog, the Rough-Terrain Quadruped Robot’, in *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*. IFAC, pp. 10822–10825.
- Raj, A. K., Neuhaus, P. D., Moucheboeuf, A. M., Noorden, J. H. and Lecoutre, D. V. (2011) ‘Mina: A Sensorimotor Robotic Orthosis for Mobility Assistance’, *Journal of Robotics*, 2011, pp. 1–8.
- Rocon, E. and Pons, J. L. (2011) *Exoskeletons in Rehabilitation Robotics: Tremor Suppression*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- Ruiz-Olaya, A. F., Lopez-Delis, A. and da Rocha, A. F. (2019) ‘Upper and Lower Extremity Exoskeletons’, in Segil, J. (ed.) *Handbook of Biomechanics*. Elsevier Inc., pp. 283–317.
- Şahin, Y., Botsalı, F. M., Kalyoncu, M., Tınkır, M., Önen, Ü., Yılmaz, N., Baykan, Ö. K. and Çakan, A. (2014) ‘Force Feedback Control of Lower Extremity Exoskeleton Assisting of Load Carrying Human’, *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 598, pp. 546–550.
- Şahin, Y., Botsalı, F. M., Kalyoncu, M., Tınkır, M., Önen, Ü., Yılmaz, N. and Çakan, A. (2014) ‘Mechanical Design of Lower Extremity Exoskeleton Assisting Walking of Load Carrying Human’, *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 598, pp. 141–145.
- Saito, Y., Kikuchi, K., Negoto, H., Oshima, T. and Haneyoshi, T. (2005) ‘Development of Externally Powered Lower Limb Orthosis with Bilateral-servo Actuator’, in *9th International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics*, pp. 394–399.
- Saito, Y., Matsuoka, T. and Negoto, H. (2005) ‘Study on Designing a Biped Robot with Bi-articular Muscle Type Bilateral Servo System’, in *IEEE International Workshop on Robots and Human Interactive Communication*, pp. 490–495.
- Sajid, M., Gul, J. Z. and Choi, K. H. (2020) ‘Selection of Sensors, Transducers, and Actuators’, in Khan, W. A., Abbas, G., Rahman, K., Hussain, G., and Edwin,

- C. A. (eds) *Functional Reverse Engineering of Machine Tools*. CRC Press, pp. 29–51.
- dos Santos, W. M., Nogueira, S. L., de Oliveira, G. C., Peña, G. G. and Siqueira, A. A. G. (2017) ‘Design and Evaluation of a Modular Lower Limb Exoskeleton for Rehabilitation’, in *2017 International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics (ICORR)*, pp. 447–451.
- dos Santos, W. M. and Siqueira, A. A. G. (2019a) ‘Design and Control of a Transparent Lower Limb Exoskeleton’, in Carrozza, M. C., Micera, S., and Pons, J. L. (eds) *Wearable Robotics: Challenges and Trends*. Springer Nature Switzerland AG, pp. 175–179.
- dos Santos, W. M. and Siqueira, A. A. G. (2019b) ‘Optimal impedance via model predictive control for robot-aided rehabilitation’, *Control Engineering Practice*. Elsevier Ltd, 93(104177), pp. 1–8.
- Sanz-Merodio, D., Cestari, M., Arevalo, J. C. and Garcia, E. (2012) ‘A lower-limb exoskeleton for gait assistance in quadriplegia’, in *2012 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Biomimetics, ROBIO 2012 - Conference Digest*, pp. 122–127.
- Semini, C. (2010) *HyQ - Design and Development of a Hydraulically Actuated Quadruped Robot*.
- Semini, C., Tsagarakis, N. G., Guglielmino, E., Focchi, M., Cannella, F. and Caldwell, D. G. (2011) ‘Design of HyQ – a Hydraulically and Electrically Actuated Quadruped Robot’, *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part I: Journal of Systems and Control Engineering*, 225(6), pp. 831–849.
- Seuser, A., Wallny, T., Schumpe, G., Brackmann, H. H. and Ribbans, W. J. (2000) ‘Biomechanical Research in Haemophilia’, in Rodriguez-Merchan, E. C., Goddard, N. J., and Lee, C. A. (eds) *Musculoskeletal Aspects of Haemophilia*. Blackwell Science Ltd, pp. 27–36.
- Sheng, Z. and Li, Y. (2016) ‘Hybrid Robust Control Law with Disturbance Observer for High-Frequency Response Electro-Hydraulic Servo Loading System’, *Applied Sciences*, 6(98), pp. 1–26.
- de Silva, C. W. (2016) *Sensor Systems: Fundamentals and Applications*. CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group.

- Singh, R. (2018) ‘Model-based control system design and evaluation for continuous tablet manufacturing processes (via direct compaction, via roller compaction, via wet granulation)’, in Singh, R. and Yuan, Z. (eds) *Process Systems Engineering for Pharmaceutical Manufacturing*. Elsevier B.V., pp. 317–351.
- Singh, R., Ierapetritou, M. and Ramachandran, R. (2013) ‘System-wide hybrid MPC-PID control of a continuous pharmaceutical tablet manufacturing process via direct compaction’, *European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics*. Elsevier B.V., 85(3), pp. 1164–1182.
- Song, G., Huang, R., Qiu, J., Cheng, H. and Fan, S. (2020) ‘Model-based Control with Interaction Predicting for Human-coupled Lower Exoskeleton Systems’, *Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems*. Journal of Intelligent & Robotic Systems, 100, pp. 389–400.
- Steger, R., Kim, S. H. and Kazerooni, H. (2006) ‘Control Scheme and Networked Control Architecture for the Berkeley Lower Extremity Exoskeleton ( BLEEX )’, in *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pp. 3469–3476.
- Tahamipour-Z, S. M., Hosseini Sani, S. K., Akbarzadeh, A. and Kardan, I. (2018) ‘An Assistive Strategy for Compliantly Actuated Exoskeletons using Non-Linear Model Predictive Control Method’, in *26th Iranian Conference on Electrical Engineering (ICEE2018)*. IEEE, pp. 982–987.
- Tahamipour Zarandi, S. M., Hosseini Sani, S. K., Akbarzadeh Tootoonchi, M. R., Akbarzadeh Tootoonchi, A. and Farajzadeh-D, M.-G. (2020) ‘Design and Implementation of a Real-Time Nonlinear Model Predictive Controller for a Lower Limb Exoskeleton with Input Saturation’, *Iranian Journal of Science and Technology, Transactions of Electrical Engineering*. Springer International Publishing, pp. 1–12.
- Talaty, M., Esquenazi, A. and Briceño, J. E. (2013) ‘Differentiating Ability in Users of the ReWalk TM Powered Exoskeleton: An Analysis of Walking Kinematics’, in *2013 IEEE International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics*, pp. 1–5.
- Tee, K. P., Ge, S. S., Yan, R. and Li, H. (2012) ‘Adaptive Control for Robot Manipulators Under Ellipsoidal Task Space Constraints’, in *IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pp. 1167–1172.

- Tucker, M. R., Olivier, J., Pagel, A., Bleuler, H., Bouri, M., Lambercy, O., Millán, J. del R., Riener, R., Vallery, H. and Gassert, R. (2015) ‘Control strategies for active lower extremity prosthetics and orthotics: a review’, *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation*, 12(1), pp. 1–29.
- Tzafestas, S. G., Krikochoritis, T. E. and Tzafestas, C. S. (1997) ‘Robust Sliding-mode Control of Nine-link Biped Robot Walking’, *Journal of Intelligent and Robotic Systems*, (20), pp. 375–402.
- Vaughan, C. L., Davis, B. L. and O’Connor, J. C. (1999) *Dynamics of Human Gait*. 2nd edn. Howard Place, South Africa: Kiboho Publishers.
- Veneman, J. F., Kruidhof, R., Hekman, E. E. G., Ekkelenkamp, R., Van Asseldonk, E. H. F. and van der Kooij, H. (2007) ‘Design and Evaluation of the LOPES Exoskeleton Robot for Interactive Gait Rehabilitation’, *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 15(3), pp. 379–386.
- Wang, L., van Asseldonk, E. H. F. and van der Kooij, H. (2011) ‘Model Predictive Control-based gait Pattern Generation for Wearable Exoskeletons’, in *2011 IEEE International Conference on Rehabilitation Robotics*, pp. 1–6.
- Whittle, M. W. (2007) *Gait Analysis: An Introduction*. 4th edn. Philadelphia, USA: Elsevier Ltd.
- Winter, D. A. (2009) *Biomechanics and Motor Control of Human Movement*. 4th edn. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Yan, T., Cempini, M., Oddo, C. M. and Vitiello, N. (2015) ‘Review of assistive strategies in powered lower-limb orthoses and exoskeletons’, *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*. Elsevier B.V., 64, pp. 120–136.
- Yang, C.-J., Zhang, J.-F., Chen, Y., Dong, Y.-M. and Zhang, Y. (2008) ‘A review of exoskeleton-type systems and their key technologies’, in *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science*, pp. 1599–1612.
- Yang, Y., Dong, X., Liu, X. and Huang, D. (2020) ‘Robust Repetitive Learning-Based Trajectory Tracking Control for a Leg Exoskeleton Driven by Hybrid Hydraulic System’, *IEEE Access*, 8, pp. 27705–27714.
- Yang, Y., Huang, D. and Dong, X. (2018) ‘Robust Repetitive Learning Control of Lower Limb Exoskeleton with Hybrid Electro-hydraulic System’, in *2018 IEEE 7th Data Driven Control and Learning Systems Conference (DDCLS)*. IEEE, pp. 718–723.

- Yang, Y., Ma, L. and Huang, D. (2017) ‘Development and Repetitive Learning Control of Lower Limb Exoskeleton Driven by Electro-Hydraulic Actuators’, *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 64(5), pp. 4169–4178.
- Yang, Y., Semini, C., Tsagarakis, N. G., Guglielmino, E. and Caldwell, D. G. (2009) ‘Leg Mechanisms for Hydraulically Actuated Robots’, in *2009 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pp. 4669–4675.
- Yang, Y., Zhou, P., Ma, L., Shu, Y., Zhou, J., Yao, J. and Zhang, Q. (2016) ‘Gait Recognition and Trajectory Prediction of Lower Limb Load Exoskeleton’, in *2016 35th Chinese Control Conference (CCC)*. TCCT, pp. 6266–6271.
- Yeh, T.-J., Wu, M.-J., Lu, T.-J., Wu, F.-K. and Huang, C.-R. (2010) ‘Control of McKibben pneumatic muscles for a power-assist, lower-limb orthosis’, *Mechatronics*. Elsevier Ltd, 20(6), pp. 686–697.
- Yin, Y. (2020) *Biomechanical Principles on Force Generation and Control of Skeletal Muscle and Their Applications in Robotic Exoskeleton*. CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group.
- Young, A. J. and Ferris, D. P. (2017) ‘State of the Art and Future Directions for Lower Limb Robotic Exoskeletons’, *IEEE Transactions on Neural Systems and Rehabilitation Engineering*, 25(2), pp. 171–182.
- Zeilig, G., Weingarden, H., Zwecker, M., Dudkiewicz, I., Bloch, A. and Esquenazi, A. (2012) ‘Safety and tolerance of the ReWalk™ exoskeleton suit for ambulation by people with complete spinal cord injury: a pilot study.’, *The Journal of Spinal Cord Medicine*, 35(2), pp. 96–101.
- Zhang, J., Dong, Y., Yang, C., Geng, Y., Chen, Y. and Yang, Y. (2010) ‘5-Link model based gait trajectory adaption control strategies of the gait rehabilitation exoskeleton for post-stroke patients’, *Mechatronics*. Elsevier Ltd, 20(3), pp. 368–376.
- Zhang, P. (2010) *Advanced Industrial Control Technology*. Elsevier Inc.
- Zhang, X., Guo, Q., Zhao, C., Zhang, Y. and Luo, X. (2012) ‘Development of a Lower Extremity Exoskeleton Suit Actuated by Hydraulic’, in *2012 IEEE International Conference on Mechatronics and Automation*, pp. 587–591.
- Zhao, F. and Gupta, Y. P. (2005) ‘A simplified predictive control algorithm for disturbance rejection’, *ISA Transactions*, 44(2), pp. 187–198.



- Zhu, J., Wang, Y., Jiang, J., Sun, B. and Cao, H. (2017) 'Unidirectional variable stiffness hydraulic actuator for load-carrying knee exoskeleton', *International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems*, pp. 1–12.
- Zoss, A. B., Kazerooni, H. and Chu, A. (2006) 'Biomechanical Design of the Berkeley Lower Extremity Exoskeleton (BLEEX)', *IEEE/ASME Transactions on Mechatronics*, 11(2), pp. 128–138.
- Zoss, A., Kazerooni, H. and Chu, A. (2005) 'On the Mechanical Design of the Berkeley Lower Extremity Exoskeleton (BLEEX)', in *2005 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, pp. 3132–3139.

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

### Indexed Journal

1. **Onn, N.**, Hussein, M., Tang, C. H. H., Zain, M. Z. M., Mohamad, M. and Lai, W. Y. (2015) 'Motion Control of Human Bipedal Model in Sagittal Plane', *WSEAS Transactions on Systems and Control*, 10, pp. 160-171. **(Indexed by SCOPUS)**

### Indexed Conference Proceedings

2. **Onn, N.**, Hussein, M., Tang, H. H., Lai, W. Y., Zain, M. Z. M. and Che Kob, M. S. (2013) 'Human Gait Modelling Considerations of Biped Locomotion for Lower Limb Exoskeleton Designs', *13th International Conference on Robotics, Control and Manufacturing Technology (ROCOM'13)*, pp. 59-64.
3. **Onn, N.**, Hussein, M., Tang, H. H., Zain, M. Z. M., Mohamad, M. and Lai, W. Y. (2014) 'Motion Control of Seven-Link Human Bipedal Model', *14th International Conference on Robotics, Control and Manufacturing Technology (ROCOM'14)*, pp. 15-22.
4. **Onn, N.**, Hussein, M., Tang, C. H. H., Zain, M. Z. M., Mohamad, M. and Lai, W. Y. (2014) 'Active Force Control with Nonlinear Predictive Control based on Receding-Horizon Cost Function Optimization for Five-Link Biped Model in Sagittal Plane', *14th International Conference on Robotics, Control and Manufacturing Technology (ROCOM'14)*, pp. 156-162.
5. **Onn, N.**, Hussein, M., Tang, C. H. H., Zain, M. Z. M., Mohamad, M. and Lai, W. Y. (2014) 'Periodic Cubic Spline on Motion of Five-Link Human Bipedal Model using Nonlinear Predictive Control', *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 660, pp. 868-872.