

UNSTEADY HYDRODYNAMIC EFFECTS ON THE DYNAMIC
PERFORMANCE OF LOW SPEED VERTICAL AXIS CURRENT TURBINE

ATEF SALEM MEFTAH SOUFALJEN

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

UNSTEADY HYDRODYNAMIC EFFECTS ON THE DYNAMIC
PERFORMANCE OF LOW SPEED VERTICAL AXIS CURRENT TURBINE

ATEF SALEM MEFTAH SOFALJEN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Mechanical Engineering)

School of Mechanical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2020

DEDICATION

Bism Allah Alrrahman Alrrahim

This thesis is dedicated to my beloved parents, Brothers and Sisters, whose prayers always afforded me the power to accomplish. It is also dedicated to my caring and beloved wife and my children, for their motivational support and patience. It is also dedicated to all my friends and companions, to those who helped me, thanks for everything. To all, I dedicated this work with great respect and love.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah! Thanks to Almighty Allah (S.W.T) for mercy, guidance and granting me a chance for pursuing my educations and become eligible to receive my PhD in the field I have dreamed of. Thanks, Allah for giving me courage and patience in finishing this research. I am motivated by a great deal of support and help along my journey to prepare this thesis. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation and to extend my deepest gratitude to my main supervisor, Professor Dr Adi Maimun Bin Haji Abdul Malik, for his guidance, motivation and encouragement to me to complete this thesis. I also would like to thank both my main supervisor and co-supervisor for their support, critics and friendship without which the completion of this work would not be possible.

Besides that, I would like to take this opportunity to express my profound appreciation to all lecturers of the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering at Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) especially Prof. Dr Ab. Saman Bin Abdul Kadar, Prof. Dr Omar bin Yaakob, Tn. Hajji. Yahya Bin Samian and Mr Nasruddin who have provided me with a lot of valuable knowledge and assistance at various occasions along with my study at UTM. Their views and tips are useful indeed. My sincere appreciation also extends to my fellow postgraduate student, all colleagues and others, for their support and providing assistance at various occasions. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. In addition, Huge thanks to my family who supported me during my research journey and for their understanding for giving me valuable advice, encouragement and being really understanding when I was trying to complete my study, especially to my dear Mother, Naima Rajab Abo Guffa and also my beloved wife, Madam Awatef Ahmed Esitawi.

Finally, I grateful to all staff and technicians of Marine Technology Centre (MTC) of Faculty of Mechanical Engineering at Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for their help and technical advice to conduct my tests and their support towards my experimental works.

ABSTRACT

Malaysia's rivers and ocean energy can be the best resource for green marine renewable energy. The generation of electricity by the burning of fossil fuels are expensive and produce undesirable greenhouse gases. Malaysia's sea has average speed of 1 m/s, which is twice less than the minimum speed that can operate the conventional turbines. Low-Speed Vertical Axis Turbine (LS-VACT) as a drag device represents a promising technology to exploit marine currents. It can be applied to harness current energy in rivers, coastal area and ocean due to their relative simplicity with reduced installation and maintenance costs. The purpose of this research is to investigate performance of the turbine and the influence of added mass, damping and arm-length to its performance at low current velocities. To achieve that, numerical simulation was conducted using MATLAB program by utilizing the hydrodynamic coefficients and derivatives of the hydrodynamic forces and moments acting on the turbine buckets. The simulation program was validated through the experiments of the LS-VACT. This developed simulation program can be used as a fast and useful tool to achieve design improvements for this turbine at several speeds and various loads. This computer programming can match and integrate the full-scale turbine to a suitable generator with different powers and loads efficiently. The simulation results showed that the performance of LS-VACT agreed within 10% with the experiment results and having the same trend at various flow speeds. A parametric study was performed to analyse the effects of added mass and arm-length at several current speeds. LS-VACT has the highest power coefficient of 0.196 at 0.32 m/s. Also, the peak power (8.6W) and the maximum torque (19.4N.m) values were recorded at a flow velocity of 0.64 m/s. At low water flow speed of 0.17 m/s and 0.32 m/s, the added mass has a significant influence on the LS-VACT performance. At this condition, the inertia forces were dominant at low Keulegan-Carpenter number (K-C) of 3 to 9. The torque and the power magnitudes of the turbine decreased about 18 % and 52.7% respectively. At K-C number above 10, the boundary layer separated with formation of vortex shedding occur. The drag forces were found to be dominant in this situation. At the current speed of 0.32 m/s and arm-length of 0.27 m, the maximum torque of 10.11 N.m and corresponding power of 1.75 W was achieved. However, further increase of the arm-length results in decreasing torque and power. The dynamic performance of LS-VACT was carried out and it can facilitate improvements in its design at low current speed.

ABSTRAK

Air sungai dan tenaga lautan di Malaysia boleh menjadi sumber yang terbaik untuk tenaga hijau diperbaharui. Penjanaan elektrik dengan pembakaran bahan api fosil adalah mahal dan menghasilkan gas rumah hijau yang tidak diingini. Laut Malaysia mempunyai kelajuan arus purata kira-kira 1 m/s, iaitu dua kali ganda kurang daripada kelajuan minimum yang boleh mengendalikan turbin konvensional. Turbin Paksi Vertikal Kelajuan Rendah (LS-VACT) sebagai peranti daya seret merupakan teknologi yang mampu untuk mengeksploitasi arus laut. Ia boleh digunakan untuk menjana tenaga arus di sungai, kawasan pantai dan lautan kerana kesederhanaan kompleksiti mereka dapat mengurangkan kos pemasangan dan penyelenggaraan. Tujuan penyelidikan ini adalah untuk mengkaji prestasi turbin dan pengaruh jisim tambahan, redaman dan panjang lengan kepada prestasinya ketika halaju arus yang rendah. Untuk mencapai itu, simulasi kaedah berangka telah dijalankan dengan menggunakan program MATLAB berdasarkan pekali hidrodinamik dan terbitan daya hidrodinamik dan momen yang bertindak pada baldi turbin. Program simulasi telah disahkan dengan ujikaji LS-VACT. Program simulasi ini boleh digunakan sebagai alat yang cepat dan berguna untuk mencapai penambahbaikan reka bentuk turbin pada beberapa kelajuan dan beban. Pengaturcaraan komputer ini boleh menyamai keberkesanan turbin berskala penuh kepada penjana elektrik yang sesuai dengan kuasa dan beban yang berlainan. Hasil simulasi menunjukkan bahawa prestasi LS-VACT adalah dalam julat perbezaan sebanyak 10% dengan keputusan ujikaji dan mempunyai corak yang sama pada pelbagai kelajuan aliran. Kajian parametrik telah dijalankan untuk menganalisis kesan jisim tambahan dan panjang lengan pada beberapa kelajuan arus. LS-VACT mempunyai pekali kuasa tertinggi sebanyak 0.196 pada 0.32 m/s. Kuasa puncak (8.6 W) dan nilai kilas maksimum (19.4 N.m) dicatatkan pada halaju aliran 0.64 m/s. Pada kelajuan arus air rendah 0.17 m/s dan 0.32 m/s, jisim tambahan mempunyai kesan yang penting terhadap prestasi LS-VACT. Dalam keadaan ini, daya inersia adalah dominan pada nombor Keulegan-Carpenter (K-C) yang rendah iaitu dari 3 hingga 9. kilas dan kuasa turbin turun sebanyak 18% dan 52.7% masing-masing. Pada nombor K-C di atas 10, lapisan sempadan memisahkan pembentukan vorteks. Daya seretan didapati dominan dalam keadaan ini. Pada halaju arus 0.32 m / s dan panjang lengan 0.27 m, kilas maksimum 10.11 N.m dan kuasa sepadan 1.75 W dapat dicapai. Namun, peningkatan panjang lengan yang akan mengurangkan kilas dan kuasa. Prestasi dinamik LS-VACT telah dijalankan dan ia boleh memudahkan penambahbaikan dalam reka bentuk pada kelajuan arus rendah.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxiii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxviii
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Research Background and Research Rationale	1
	1.2 Background of the Problem	5
	1.3 Problem Statement	7
	1.4 Research Questions	8
	1.5 Research Objectives	8
	1.6 Research Scopes	9
	1.7 Significance of Findings	11
	1.8 Thesis Outline	11
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	13
	2.1 Introduction	13
	2.2 Renewable Energy Importance and Worldwide Needs	13
	2.3 The Status, Potential and the Future Prospects of Harvesting Marine Current Energy in Malaysia	15
	2.3.1 Marine Current Flow	17

2.3.2	Marine Current Power Resources in Malaysia	18
2.4	Full-Scale Vertical Axis Current Turbines Technology and Projects	19
2.5	Background and Basic Design of MCT-VACT	22
2.5.1	Marine Current Turbines	23
2.5.1.1	Types of Current Flow Turbine	23
2.5.1.2	Types of Horizontal Axis Turbine	26
2.5.1.3	Types of Vertical Axis Turbine: (According to OREG)	27
2.5.2	Vertical Axis Water/Marine Current Turbine Modelling	28
2.6	Methods and Theory	33
2.6.1	Potential Flow Method	33
2.6.2	RANS	34
2.7	Dynamics and Hydrodynamic Characteristics of the Turbine	35
2.8	Critical Review of the Existing Works Related to the Study	36
2.8.1	Vertical Axis Current Turbine Drag Type	36
2.8.1.1	Background	36
2.8.1.2	Analysis of Relevant Work	37
2.8.2	Numerical and Experimental Procedures for VACT Dynamic Performance Analysis and Investigation	45
2.8.2.1	Numerical Simulation for VACT Performance analysis and Investigation	45
2.8.2.2	Added Mass Effect on the Performance of the Turbine	48
2.8.2.3	Arm Effects on Performance of the Turbine	55
2.8.2.4	Experimental Procedures for VACT Dynamic Performance Analysis and Investigation	55
2.9	Concluding Remarks	63
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	67
3.1	Introduction	67
3.2	The Framework of Study and Research Design	68
3.3	Determination of the Turbine Model Particulars and Parameters	72

3.3.1	Main Dimension of the Prototype and the Model of LS-VACT	72
3.3.1.1	Calculation Procedure and Primary Design of LS-VACT	74
3.3.1.2	Model Determination	75
3.3.1.3	Results of Calculation	77
3.3.2	Construction Drawings of the LSVACT Model	78
3.4	The Approach of the Research	79
3.4.1	Approach Assumptions	81
3.5	Numerical Study and Simulation Program	82
3.6	Experimental Works Methodology, Tests Setup and Procedures	86
3.6.1	PMM Test Protocols, Configuration and Procedures	87
3.6.2	Oscillating Test Protocols, Configuration and Procedures	96
3.6.2.1	Added Mass Determination Using PMM Experiment	98
3.6.3	Bifilar Suspension Experiment, Test Protocols, Configuration and Procedures	100
3.6.4	Oblique or One Blade Test Protocols, Configuration and Procedures	105
3.6.5	Turbine Performance Test Protocols, Configuration and Procedures	108
3.7	CFX Numerical Simulation	112
3.8	Validation	116
3.9	Parametric Study	117
3.10	Concluding Remarks	117

CHAPTER 4 PREDICTION OF THE PERFORMANCE OF THE LOW SPEED VERTICAL AXIS CURRENT TURBINE NUMERICALLY 119

4.1	Introduction	119
4.2	Mathematical Model and Equations of Motion	119
4.2.1	Kinetics	120
4.2.2	Non-dimensionalities	125
4.3	Simulation Programs and Integration Method	126

4.3.1	Estimation of the Hydrodynamic Coefficients and Derivatives Using PMM	128
4.3.1.1	PMM Test Equipment in MTC at UTM	129
4.3.1.2	Mounting the Bucket of the LS-VACT	131
4.3.1.3	Experimental PMM Test Results	132
4.3.2	Added Mass and Inertia Terms	145
4.3.2.1	Analytical and Experimental Test Methods to Estimate the Turbine Mass Moment of Inertia	158
4.3.2.2	The Results Obtained from Measuring and Calculating Methods	162
4.3.3	Oblique Towing Tests – Damping and Drag Forces and Damping Moments	163
4.3.3.1	Drag Test Results for a Concave Side of the LS-VACT Bucket	163
4.3.3.2	Drag Test Results for a Convex Side of the LS-VACT Bucket	167
4.3.3.3	Results of the LS-VACT Current Forces Drag Coefficients	169
4.4	Dynamic Performance Simulation Program of LS-VACT	172
4.4.1	Performance Results of LS-VACT Using MATLAB Program	172
4.5	Dynamic Performance of Complete System and Power Take-off	174
4.5.1	Hydraulic Transmission System	176
4.5.2	The Gearbox Transmission System	178
4.5.3	Direct Drive	178
4.5.4	LS-VACT Power Take-off Utilizing the Hydrodynamic Coefficients	181
4.6	Concluding Remarks	184

CHAPTER 5 EXPERIMENTAL WORKS FOR OBTAINING THE PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS OF TURBINE AND VALIDATION OF THE SIMULATION RESULTS 185

5.1	Introduction	185
5.2	LS-VACT Experimental Test Results and Validation	186
5.2.1	General	186

5.2.2	Experimental Results	187
5.3	Validation of the Simulation Program	198
5.4	Concluding Remarks	201
CHAPTER 6 PARAMETRIC STUDY AND THE EFFECT OF ADDED MASS AND DAMPING ON THE PERFORMANCE OF THE LS-VACT		203
6.1	Introduction	203
6.2	Effect of Added Mass and Damping on the Performance of LS-VACT	203
6.3	The Influence of the Arm's Length and the Effect of Height of the Bucket on the Performance of LS-VACT	212
6.4	Study of the Influence of Several Current Velocities on LS-VACT Performance	217
6.5	Concluding Remarks	218
CHAPTER 7 DISCUSSIONS		219
7.1	Overview	219
7.2	Dynamics of the LS-VACT	219
7.3	The Effect of Varying the Current Speeds on the Performance of the LS-VACT	225
7.4	Influence of the Added Mass and Damping on Operation of LS-VACT	226
7.5	Arm's Length Effect	227
7.6	Power Take-Off of the Turbine-Generator System	230
7.7	Solutions and Applications	232
7.8	Research Contributions	237
CHAPTER 8 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS		239
8.1	Conclusion	239
8.2	Recommendation for Future Work	240
REFERENCES		242
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		319

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Performance characteristics of industrialized large MCTs	22
Table 2.2	A comparison between vertical and horizontal axis rotor	26
Table 2.3	Significant researches and related research works consulted	38
Table 3.1	The principal particular of the prototypes	72
Table 3.2	Equations and models	74
Table 3.3	The principal particular of the LS-VACT prototype and its model	77
Table 3.4	The main particular of the LS-VACT adopted as a model and prototype	78
Table 3.5	Model test configurations and test setup conditions	81
Table 3.6	The setup configuration of harmonic tests	90
Table 3.7	Setup of the oscillating test without advancing speed	96
Table 3.8	Test protocols for all conditions	101
Table 3.9	Results of calculation of the angle (φ)	104
Table 3.10	The Setup Configuration	105
Table 3.11	The LS-VACT dimension with different arm length configurations	110
Table 4.1	Summary of the non-dimensionless hydrodynamic derivatives of the bucket of the LS-VACT	135
Table 4.2	The mass factors and the added mass coefficients of the turbine's bucket at several current velocities for azimuth of ($\pi/2$)	150
Table 4.3	Added mass factors of the turbine bucket for various azimuth angles at numerous of amplitudes of oscillation and several current velocities	150
Table 4.4	Mass Moment of Inertia and Radius of Gyration of the bucket	161
Table 4.5	Basic Parameter Information of the turbine and the equipment	162
Table 4.6	The final value of the moment of inertia of LS-VACT determined from the analytical approach and experimentally	162
Table 4.7	Current forces and damping moments of a single bucket of the turbine at Current Speed of 0.17 (m/s)	164

Table 4.8	Current forces and damping moments of a single bucket of the turbine at Current Speed of 0.32 (m/s)	164
Table 4.9	Current forces and damping moments of a single bucket of the turbine at Current Speed of 0.64 (m/s)	164
Table 4.10	Force components and moments acting on the convex side of the bucket at a flow speed of 0.17 (m/s)	167
Table 4.11	Force components and moments acting on the convex side at Speed of 0.32 (m/s)	167
Table 4.12	Force components and moments acting on the convex side at $U_{\infty} = 0.64$ (m/s)	169
Table 4.13	Drag coefficient and drag forces for both concave and convex surfaces of the bucket of the LS-VACT at different flow velocities	169
Table 4.14	Simulation results of the LS-VACT performance	172
Table 4.15	Calculated torque and mechanical power of the full-scale LS-VACT using non-dimensional coefficients obtained from results	181
Table 4.16	Simulated Results for full-scale LS-VACT	183
Table 5.1	Performance results of the LS-VACT with arm-length =0.2 m	188
Table 5.2	Performance results of the LS-VACT at current speed = 0.32m/s	188
Table 5.3	Performance results of the LS-VACT with arm-length =0.34 m	189
Table 5.4	Performance results of the LS-VACT at current speed = 0.17m/s	192
Table 5.5	Performance results of the LS-VACT at current speed = 0.64m/s	192
Table 5.6	Comparison of experimental results of the LS-VACT with the dynamic simulation program results	198
Table 6.1	The difference between the power magnitudes and the torque values	211
Table 7.1	Simulated Results for full-scale LS-VACT with power take-off	231
Table 7.2	Summary of operating results of the LS-VACT and generator	234

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	The world total power generation in 2014 and 2015	14
Figure 2.2	Marine current velocity varies with respect to depth	18
Figure 2.3	Hydrokinetic energy turbines	24
Figure 2.4	Tidal turbines classified according to their design	25
Figure 2.5	Vertical-axis CFTs and horizontal-axis CFTs	25
Figure 2.6	Types of horizontal axis current turbines	26
Figure 2.7	Types of vertical axis current turbines	28
Figure 2.8	Power convert coefficient versus TSR (λ) for several wind turbine designs	31
Figure 2.9	Parameters and Vector of key Savonius forces	37
Figure 2.10	The OTC turbine and its mooring system for the SNMREC's Ocean Current Turbine Test-bed.	47
Figure 2.11	Thrust force of the rotor versus time	50
Figure 2.12	Thrust force of the rotor versus time (blade was rapidly pitched at 10 sec)	50
Figure 2.13	Thrust force versus time for the yaw case	51
Figure 2.14	Added mass coefficient C for a spherical-cap body	54
Figure 2.15	Schematic representation of model experiment set up at rotational condition	56
Figure 2.16	Schematic diagram of curved blades vertical axis wind turbine connected with torque measurement arrangement, using load cell	57
Figure 2.17	Set up of DAQ system with encoder and load cell	58
Figure 2.18	Schematic diagram of the test setup for the Darrieus-Savonius turbine, (1: Darrieus blade; 2: Savonius bucket; 3: weighing pan; 4: Rope; 5: Brake wheel; 6: Tubular spring scale)	59
Figure 2.19	(a) Schematic diagram of Savonius rotor connected with mechanical torque measurement arrangement (b) photograph, (1: pulley; 2: nylon string; 3: weighing pan; 4: spring balance; 5: Savonius rotor; 6: rotating shaft and 7: structure)	60

Figure 2.20	Drag coefficient for vane angle 45 versus turn angle (α) at different wind speed	62
Figure 3.1	Operational research flow chart	69
Figure 3.2	Loading and measuring system	71
Figure 3.3	Schematic diagram of the experiment setup	71
Figure 3.4	A functional and dimensional principal sketch of the LS-VACT	73
Figure 3.5	Schematic drawing of the LS-VACT of four buckets	73
Figure 3.6	Photo of the LS-VACT with three arm lengths configuration	79
Figure 3.7	Interface of the dynamic simulation program of LS-VACT	84
Figure 3.8	Simscape 3D LS-VACT model with buckets rigid connected to arms	85
Figure 3.9	Flow Chart of the Dynamic simulation program	86
Figure 3.10	The experimental model PMM setup in a towing tank	88
Figure 3.11	Model setup of the bucket for PMM test	88
Figure 3.12	Sketch of the bucket of the turbine attached to PMM	89
Figure 3.13	Graph of displacement of the turbine bucket a) pure surge b) pure sway c) pure yaw	92
Figure 3.14	Orientation of the bucket of LS-VACT throughout pure sway PMM test	93
Figure 3.15	Path and orientation of model throughout pure yaw PMM test	93
Figure 3.16	Model setup of the bucket for pure surge test without advancing speed	97
Figure 3.17	Simulated displacement, velocity and acceleration of the bucket during the oscillating test with an amplitude of 0.1 m	97
Figure 3.18	Principle of a bifilar suspension	102
Figure 3.19	(a) Experimental setup of the turbine model test - rig front view (b) rig side view	103
Figure 3.20	Side view of the bifilar suspension to show the angle (φ) and chords	103
Figure 3.21	Experiment setup and data acquisition system	105
Figure 3.22	Experimental setup for testing one bucket of LS-VACT in towing tank	106
Figure 3.23	The bucket model is attached to the carriage through the 6-component measurement system	107

Figure 3.24	Cross-section of the LS-VACT model viewing the phase reference and the normal and tangential drag forces on every bucket	108
Figure 3.25	Test rig of the LS-VACT	109
Figure 3.26	Schematic diagram of the experiment setup	109
Figure 3.27	The arrangement used for the experimental setup	110
Figure 3.28	Computational domain and mesh; a) LS-VACT mesh model, b) LS-VACT with a cylindrical interface mesh model	113
Figure 3.29	(a) Mesh elements at near bucket areas. (b) Mesh refinement near the bucket boundary layer, c) The Finest mesh elements near the turbine buckets. d) Mesh refinement near the bucket boundary layer with the finest mesh of the domain	114
Figure 3.30	Mesh graph for the element quality distribution - skew-ness	115
Figure 3.31	Mesh graph for the element quality distribution - orthogonally	115
Figure 3.32	Flow Chart of the numerical simulation program using CFX	116
Figure 4.1	Cross-section of the LS-VACT model showing the longitudinal and lateral force	121
Figure 4.2	The buckets and earth-fixed coordinate systems (for two buckets)	122
Figure 4.3	PMM equipment test setup	130
Figure 4.4	Data acquisition and analysis system (DAAS) unit	130
Figure 4.5	The bucket of LS-VACT is mounted to the force frame	131
Figure 4.6	Surge forces acting on the bucket at the displacement of amplitude = 0.1m and current speed of $U_{\infty} = 0.17$ m/s	132
Figure 4.7	Surge forces acting on the bucket at the displacement of amplitude = 0.1m and current speed of $U_{\infty} = 0.32$ m/s	133
Figure 4.8	Sway forces acting on the bucket at the displacement of amplitude = 0.1m and current speed of $U_{\infty} = 0.32$ m/s	133
Figure 4.9	Yaw forces acting on the bucket at the displacement of amplitude = 0.1m and current speed of $U_{\infty} = 0.17$ m/s	134
Figure 4.10	Surge forces acting on the bucket at the displacement of amplitude = 0.1m and current speed of $U_{\infty} = 0.64$ m/s	134
Figure 4.11	Non-dimensional forces and moment acting on the bucket of the turbine versus surge velocity at the current speed of 0.32 m/s	136
Figure 4.12	Non-dimensional forces and moment acting on the bucket of the turbine versus surge acceleration at a current speed of 0.32 m/s	136

Figure 4.13	Non-dimensional forces (X' , Y') and moment (N') acting on the bucket of the turbine versus sway velocity at the current speed of 0.32 m/s	137
Figure 4.14	Non-dimensional forces and moment acting on the bucket of the turbine versus sway acceleration at the current speed of 0.32 m/s	137
Figure 4.15	Non-dimensional surge and sway forces and yaw moments acting on the bucket of the turbine versus turning rate at the current speed of 0.32 m/s	138
Figure 4.16	Non-dimensional sway force and yaw moment (N') acting on the bucket of the turbine versus angular acceleration at the current velocity of 0.32 m/s	138
Figure 4.17	Non-dimensional forces and moment acting on the bucket of the turbine versus surge velocity at the current speed of 0.17 m/s	139
Figure 4.18	Non-dimensional forces and moment acting on the bucket of the turbine versus surge acceleration at the current speed of 0.17 m/s	139
Figure 4.19	Non-dimensional forces and moment acting on the bucket of the turbine versus sway velocity at the current speed of 0.17 m/s	140
Figure 4.20	Non-dimensional forces and moment acting on the bucket of the turbine versus sway acceleration at the current speed of 0.17 m/s	140
Figure 4.21	Non-dimensional surge and sway forces and yaw moments acting on the turbine's bucket versus turning rate at the current speed of 0.17 m/s	141
Figure 4.22	Non-dimensional sway force and moment (N') acting on the turbine's bucket versus angular acceleration at the current speed of 0.17 m/s	141
Figure 4.23	Non-dimensional forces and moment acting on the bucket of the turbine versus surge velocity at the current speed of 0.64 m/s	142
Figure 4.24	Non-dimensional forces and moment acting on the bucket of the turbine versus surge acceleration at a current speed of 0.64 m/s	142
Figure 4.25	Non-dimensional forces and moment acting on the bucket of the turbine versus sway velocity at the current speed of 0.64 m/s	143
Figure 4.26	Non-dimensional forces and moment acting on the bucket of the turbine versus sway acceleration at the current speed of 0.64 m/s	143
Figure 4.27	Non-dimensional sway force and moment (N') acting on the turbine's bucket versus turning rate at the current speed of 0.64 m/s	144

Figure 4.28	Non-dimensional sway force and moment (N') acting on the turbine's bucket versus angular acceleration at a current velocity of 0.64 m/s	144
Figure 4.29	Forces (measured by transducers attached to the fix and movable actuators) and fitting curves to data of forces acting on the turbine bucket during the oscillation and the bucket displacement of amplitude = 0.1 m	147
Figure 4.30	Measured forces and fitting curves to data of forces acting on the turbine bucket and the bucket displacement of amplitude = 0.2 m	148
Figure 4.31	Fitting curves to data of forces acting on the turbine bucket and the bucket displacement of amplitude = 0.3 m	148
Figure 4.32	Fitting curves to data of forces acting on the turbine bucket and the bucket displacement of amplitude = 0.4 m	149
Figure 4.33	The added mass coefficient versus Keulegan-Carpenter number	152
Figure 4.34	The drag coefficients versus Reynolds number	153
Figure 4.35	Added mass coefficient versus Reynolds number	153
Figure 4.36	Interface of the 3-D Lookup Table of the simulated added mass factor of the bucket of the turbine.	154
Figure 4.37	Mesh plot of the added mass factor of the LS-VACT bucket Vs. azimuth angle at the current speed of 0.17m/s	154
Figure 4.38	Surface plot of the added mass factor of the LS-VACT bucket vs. azimuth angles (0:30:360°) and accelerations at speed of 0.32m/s	155
Figure 4.39	Mesh plot of the added mass factor of the LS-VACT bucket vs. azimuth angle at the current speed of 0.64m/s	155
Figure 4.40	Bucket azimuth angle at the condition of near to the maximum loading at speed of 0.17 m/s and with an arm length of $r_m = 1.35d$	156
Figure 4.41	Bucket azimuth angle at the condition of near to the maximum loading at speed of 0.32 m/s and with an arm length of $r_m = 1.35d$	157
Figure 4.42	Bucket azimuth angle at the condition of near to the maximum loading at speed of 0.64 m/s and with an arm length of $r_m = 1.35d$	157
Figure 4.43	Schematic sketch for a moment of inertia calculation for a half-cylinder shell	159
Figure 4.44	Schematic drawing for a half-cylinder shell with arm for a moment of inertia calculation	160
Figure 4.45	Calculating mass moment of inertia of the turbine (I) about Z_o	160
Figure 4.46	Total current forces of the bucket (concave side) for the first quarter of the blade azimuth angles (for azimuth angle interval 0° to 90°)	165

Figure 4.47	Total current forces of a single concave side of the bucket as a function of the blade azimuth angle	165
Figure 4.48	Current forces of a single bucket of the turbine (concave side) in the x-direction in the first quarter of azimuth angles	166
Figure 4.49	Current forces of a single bucket of the turbine (concave side) as a function of the blade azimuth angle in x-direction.	166
Figure 4.50	(a) Drag forces of the bucket (convex side) at several current speeds for azimuth angle interval 0° to 90° (b) Drag forces of a single bucket (convex side) of the turbine at several current speeds for azimuth angle interval 0° to 180°	168
Figure 4.51	Drag coefficient of a bucket of the turbine at the concave side	170
Figure 4.52	Drag coefficient of a bucket of the turbine at the convex side	171
Figure 4.53	LS-VACT with gearing transmission system connected to the grid.	175
Figure 4.54	Direct drive or gearless LS-VACT connected to the grid.	175
Figure 4.55	LS-VACT with hydraulic transmission system connected to the grid	175
Figure 4.56	The principle concept of the energy transfer system	176
Figure 4.57	A functional principal sketch of the system	177
Figure 4.58	Dynamic simulation interface using a hydraulic transmission system	177
Figure 4.59	Interface of the power take-off program for generating electric using LS-VACT integrated with direct drive generator through a gearbox	179
Figure 4.60	LS-VACT power take-off simulation program	182
Figure 5.1	LS-VACT is attached to a frame & to the carriage of the towing tank	186
Figure 5.2	Torque of the LS-VACT with arm = 0.2 m versus TSR	189
Figure 5.3	Torque coefficient of the LS-VACT with arm = 0.2 m versus TSR	190
Figure 5.4	Mechanical power of the LS-VACT with arm = 0.2 m versus TSR	190
Figure 5.5	Power coefficient of the LS-VACT with arm = 0.2 m vs. TSR	191
Figure 5.6	The max power and torque of the turbine vs. different arm lengths	191
Figure 5.7	LS-VACT torque vs. TSR at current speed=0.32m/s	193
Figure 5.8	LS-VACT mechanical power vs. TSR at current speed = 0.32m/s	193
Figure 5.9	LS-VACT torque vs. TSR at current speed=0.64m/s	194

Figure 5.10	LS-VACT mechanical power vs. TSR at current speed=0.64m/s	194
Figure 5.11	Summary of the power and torque coefficients of the LS-VACT vs. current speed	195
Figure 5.12	Turbine bucket azimuth angle at condition near to the maximum loading at speed of 0.32 m/s and with an arm length of $r_m = 1.35d$	197
Figure 5.13	Turbine blade azimuth angle at the condition of near to the maximum loading at speed of 0.32 m/s and with an arm length of $r_m = 1.7d$	197
Figure 5.14	Simulated and test results of the LS-VACT torque at 0.32 m/s	199
Figure 5.15	Simulated and test results of the LS-VACT power at 0.32 m/s	199
Figure 5.16	Simulated and test results of the LS-VACT torque at 0.64 m/s	200
Figure 5.17	Simulated and test results of the LS-VACT power at 0.64 m/s	200
Figure 6.1	Turbine bucket azimuth angle at no-load condition at current speed 0.17 m/s and with an arm length of $r_m = 1.35 d$	204
Figure 6.2	Turbine blade azimuth angle at no-load condition at a current speed of 0.32 m/s and with an arm length of $r_m = 1.35d$	204
Figure 6.3	Turbine bucket azimuth angle at no-load condition at current speed 0.64 m/s and with an arm length of $r_m = 1.35d$	205
Figure 6.4	Turbine blade azimuth angle at the condition of near to the maximum loading at the current speed of 0.17 m/s and with an arm length of $r_m = 1.35 d$	205
Figure 6.5	Turbine blade azimuth angle at the condition of near to the maximum loading at the current speed of 0.64 m/s and with an arm length of $r_m = 1.35d$	206
Figure 6.6	Added mass coefficient of the bucket of turbine vs. acceleration of it at several current speeds for azimuth angle $=90^\circ$	206
Figure 6.7	Added mass coefficient of the bucket of turbine vs. amplitude of oscillation at various flow stream velocities for an angle $=90^\circ$	207
Figure 6.8	Turbine blade azimuth angle and RPM at speed of 0.32 m/s	208
Figure 6.9	Turbine blade azimuth angle and RPM at speed of 0.32 m/s	209
Figure 6.10	The scope RPM and azimuth angle of the buckets of LS-VACT at the low current speed of 0.64m/s	209
Figure 6.11	Interface of a simulation program for predicting the mechanical power of LS-VACT using the current (thrust) and drag forces	211
Figure 6.12	Simulated and test results of the torque coefficient of the LS-VACT with arm-length $r_m=0.2$ m at current velocity 0.32 m/s	213

Figure 6.13	Simulated and test results of the power coefficient of the LS-VACT with arm-length $r_m=0.2$ m at the current velocity of 0.32 m/s	213
Figure 6.14	Simulated and test results of the torque coefficient of the LS-VACT with arm-length $r_m=0.34$ m at the current velocity of 0.32 m/s	214
Figure 6.15	Simulated and test results of the power coefficient of the LS-VACT with arm-length $r_m=0.34$ m at the current velocity of 0.32 m/s	214
Figure 6.16	Experimental and simulated results of the power and the torque of the LS-VACT for several arm-lengths	215
Figure 6.17	The power and torque of LS-VACT versus arm-length	216
Figure 6.18	Experimental and simulated results of the power and the torque coefficients of the LS-VACT at various current speeds	217
Figure 7.1	LS-VACT a) with passing shaft b) without the passing shaft	220
Figure 7.2	Force direction on the paddles of the turbine for $\theta = 45^\circ$, (a) Upper rotor and (b) Lower rotor	220
Figure 7.3	Velocity vector to show the incident of the flow to the buckets	221
Figure 7.4	Pressure contour of the bucket of LS-VACT in upstream a) at the current speed of 0.17 m/s b) at the current speed of 0.64 m/s c) at the current speed of 0.32 m/s	222
Figure 7.5	Velocity streamlines of the bucket of LS-VACT in upstream a) at current speed of 0.17 m/s b) at current speed of 0.32 m/s c) at current speed of 0.64 m/s	223
Figure 7.6	Velocity stream of the bucket in downstream at 0.32 m/s	224
Figure 7.7	Velocity vector of the bucket in downstream at 0.32 m/s	224
Figure 7.8	Oblique arrangement of multi-turbines at on side layout	229
Figure 7.9	Multi-turbines at V type layout	230
Figure 7.10	Interface of the simulation program for power take-off	231
Figure 7.11	A Functional Principal Sketch of Off-Grid Marine Current Turbine Electric system	232
Figure 7.12	Marine current turbine with a hydraulic drive train system	233
Figure 7.13	Interface of the simulation program for the hybrid device	235
Figure 7.14	RPM and angular speed of LS-VACT during the speed changes	236
Figure 7.15	Voltage and current of the generator during the changes of RPM	236

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

2 D	-	Two Dimension
3 D	-	Three Dimension
CFD	-	Computational Fluid Dynamic
CFT	-	Cross Flow Turbine
CFX	-	Computational Fluid Dynamic
DAAS	-	Data acquisition and analysis system
DAQ	-	Data acquisition
DOF	-	Degree of Freedom
FKM	-	Fakulti Kejuruteraan Mekanikal
GDW	-	General Dynamic Wake
GUI	-	Graphical User Interface
HECS	-	Hydrokinetic Energy Conversion System
HTS	-	Hydraulic Transmission System
IMO	-	International Maritime Organization
ITTC	-	International Towing Tank Conference
LS-VACT	-	Low-Speed Vertical Axis Current Turbine
MCT	-	Marine Current Turbine
MRF	-	Moving Reference Frame
MTC	-	Marine Teknologi Centre
MW	-	Megawatts
ODD	-	Ordinary Differential Derivatives
ODE	-	Ordinary Differential Equation
ODE'	-	Ordinary Differential Equation Solver
OREG	-	Organization Renewable Energy Generation
PMM	-	Planar Motion Mechanism
RANS	-	Reynolds Averaged Navier Stokes
RPM	-	Rotation Per Min
SLM	-	Sliding Mesh
SR-VACT	-	Self- Rotating Vertical Axis Current Turbine
SST	-	Shear Stress Transport Turbulence Model

THAT/s	-	Transverse Horizontal-Axis Turbine/s
TSR	-	Tip Speed Ratio
UK	-	United Kingdom
USA	-	United States of America
UTM	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
VACT	-	Vertical Axis Current Turbine
VAMCT	-	Vertical Axis Marine Current Turbine

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A_S	-	Swept turbine area/Swept rotor area (m^2)
C_P	-	Power coefficient /Performance coefficient
C_t	-	Torque coefficient
D	-	Diameter of rotor/turbine, (m)
d	-	Paddles/Buckets diameter, (m)
D_m	-	Diameter of model rotor/turbine, (m)
d_m	-	Diameter of model paddles/buckets, (m)
D_P	-	Rotor/Turbine diameter, (m)
d_P	-	Diameter of prototype paddles/buckets, (m)
e	-	Gap between two paddles: main overlap, (m)
e'	-	Gap between two paddles: second overlap, (m)
F	-	Force of model/turbine, (N)
F_x, F_y, F_z	-	Force acting in x, y and z direction respectively
F_n	-	Froude number
G_{xyz}	-	Body co-ordinate system about centre of gravity
g	-	Gravity= $9.81m/s^2$
H	-	Rotor/Bucket Height, (m)
I_x, I_y, I_z	-	Principal mass moments of inertia about the X, Y and Z axes respectively
J_{ZZ}	-	Add moment of inertia around Z-axis
K	-	Gear factor
m	-	Mass of body (Kg)
N	-	Moment with respect to the z- axis
n	-	Rotation rate (RPM - Revolutions per minute)
N'_v, N'_{vv}	-	Partial derivative of N with respect to v
N'_r, N'_{rr}	-	Yaw moment due to yaw motion
N'_{vr}, N'_{vrr}	-	Partial derivative of N with respect to v and yawing
Q	-	Flow rate (m^3/s)
P	-	Power, (Watt)

P	-	Pressure, (Pa)
P_P	-	Peak Power, (Watt)
r	-	Angular velocity along the respective z axes
r'	-	Non-dimensionless turning rate
r_m	-	Arm length, (m)
r^{lever}	-	Lever length, (m)
T	-	Torque, (Nm)
T_{depth}	-	Total depth to the seabed from surface (m)
U_∞	-	Current velocity / flow stream velocity (m/s)
u	-	Velocity in x- direction (surge)
\dot{u}	-	Acceleration in x-direction (surge)
V	-	Blade/Bucket velocity, (m/s)
v	-	Velocity in y-direction (sway)
\dot{v}	-	Acceleration in y-direction (sway)
x, y, z	-	Ship-fixed coordinate system
x_0, y_0, z_0	-	Space-fixed coordinate system
X	-	Force in x-direction acting on the blade and turbine (m)
X_0, Y_0	-	Forces in the x_0 - or y_0 - direction (N)
x_G	-	Centre of gravity (m)
x_S	-	Transducer distant from centre gravity of turbine (m)
X'_{uu}	-	Non-dimensional ship resistance
X'_{vr}	-	Partial derivative of X with respect to v and yawing
Y	-	Force in y-direction, acting on the blade and turbine
Y_B	-	Front transducer X-component
Y_S	-	Back transducer Y-component
Y'_v, Y'_{vv}	-	Partial derivative of Y with respect to v
Y'_r, Y'_{rr}	-	Sway force due to yaw motion
Y'_{vr}, Y'_{vr}	-	Partial derivative of Y with respect to v and r (yawing)
ω	-	Angular velocity, (rad/s)
ω_e	-	Encounter frequency (Hz)
ω_n	-	Natural frequency (Hz)
ψ	-	Euler angles (yaw)

λ	-	Tip speed ratio – TSR
α	-	Aspect ratio
α'	-	Angular Interference Factor
β	-	Overlap ratio
θ	-	Angle direction of Savonius turbine
γ	-	Conversion factor
η	-	Efficiency
ε	-	Phase angle (rad)
μ	-	Dynamic Viscosity
ρ	-	Density of Water
a_{jj}	-	Hydrodynamic reaction in phase with acceleration (Added mass) in the j^{th} - direction ($j = 1, 2, \dots, 6$)
b_{jj}	-	Hydrodynamic reaction in phase with velocity (Damping) in the j^{th} - direction ($j = 1, 2, \dots, 6$)
c_{jj}	-	Hydrostatic stiffness of body in the j^{th} - direction ($j = 1, 2, \dots, 6$)
m_j	-	Mass or mass moment of inertia of body in the j^{th} - direction ($j = 1, 2, \dots, 6$)

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
APPENDIX A	Description of the Turbine Model and Its Construction	260
APPENDIX B	Simulation Computer Programs	266
APPENDIX C	Captive Model Tests	278
APPENDIX D	Non-Dimensional-sing Equations	304
APPENDIX E	The 6-Component Force Measurement System	305
APPENDIX F	Required Torque Calculation	309
APPENDIX G	Moment Of Inertia of the LS-VACT	311

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background and Research Rationale

Malaysia's total carbon dioxide emissions from fossil fuels increased from approximately 115 million metric tonnes in 2000 to approximately 195 million metric tonnes in 2010 and 2011 (The Energy Information Administration, 2012). Burning fossil fuels - such as coal, oil, and natural gas - to generate electricity produces greenhouse gases (Kang et al., 2012) harmful to the ozone and environment, as the Energy Information Administration at US Department of Energy (2011) reports. Moreover, fossil fuels are expensive (Yee et al., 2009) and their reserves limited (Hook, 2013) and quickly disappearing (Bhutta et al., 2012) - and, as if these threats to traditional energy were not enough, no perfect technique exists to estimate how many fossil fuels remain (Ng et al., 2013). Accordingly, using fossil fuels alone to generate conventional electricity is considered unsustainable and, not unrelatedly, insufficient for the demand of the world's seven billion people (Khan et al., 2009), and especially for the demand of those in remote communities. While global energy demand forecasts indicate that energy needs will nearly triple by 2050, renewable power sources only presently provide somewhere between 15% – 20% of total worldwide energy needs and demand. In response to the emerging deficit in renewable energy, researchers and developers are exploring and investigating potential renewable energy resources and trying to extract these resources by developing novel devices and innovative technologies that enable them to generate electricity (Ng et al., 2013).

The recent trend toward a Renewable Energy solution, as stated by Ashnani et al. (2014), emerged in part to reduce carbon dioxide emissions. For our purposes, it is important to note that Malaysia has recently been home to rapid economic development and, with it, increased energy demand (Oh et al., 2010; Chandran et al., 2010). To be sure, effective alternative power sources are necessary meet this demand.

Accordingly, Malaysia formulated the National Green Technology policy in 2009 (Hassan et al., 2012) to encourage the use of green technology alongside its economic development, as Chua and Oh (2010) state. On the other hand, Global Carbon Reduction Commitments are pushing to use reliable sources of power. Such initiatives must grapple with the double-digit annual growth experts anticipate for the energy sector by 2020.

In November 2008, Marine Current Turbines (MCT), a company focused on green renewable energy, successfully implemented Sea-Gen, a commercial-scale project in Northern Ireland (Garman, 1998) involving two horizontal turbines of a total power of 1.2 megawatts, able to provide electricity to approximately 1500 households. To date, Sea-Gen has provided over 3 gigawatt hours of power in the mean grid system, and the power system generates the most electricity of any such systems based on tidal current. Similar projects remain in the planning stage, such as Anglesey Skerries' 10 MW project in Wales and Kyle Rhea's 8 MW project in Scotland.

As is well known, marine - and especially ocean - energy can be categorised according to current, tide, thermal gradient, wave and salinity (OES-IA, 2006; Bedard et al., 2010). Among these elements, marine flow streams represent a relatively new and practically unexploited source, with potentially highly productive sites present worldwide. Because water is 800–835 times denser than air (Yaakob et al., 2008a; Chua and Oh, 2010; Maniaci and Ye, 2011), a current turbine can produce approximately 800 times more power (per unit area of the turbine) than a wind rotor. The power produced can be then transferred through a marine electric cable to the coastline or shore, and then connected to the grid. But the important thing to hold onto here is that water current turbines can harness hydrokinetic energy from flowing water such as ocean currents, run-of-river and tidal streams.

Khan et al. (2009) state that two kinds of hydro-turbines - vertical axis and horizontal axis - can be used as power generation devices for marine flow energy. Much work has been done on the feasibility of power generation devices, systems and plants as well as on their advantages, including on vertical axis and horizontal axis turbines. Horizontal axis turbines are a complex system and are appropriate only for

large power plants since such plants can balance the high expenses of the turbines' installation and maintenance by producing a great deal of energy. On the other hand, vertical axis turbines are relatively simple and represent a promising technology for exploiting marine currents - unlike horizontal axis turbines, vertical axis turbines can be used by small power plants (Khan et al., 2007) and in remote areas (Das and Balakrishnan, 2012; Rae and Bradley, 2012) due to their relatively low costs of installation, repair and maintenance (Khan et al., 2007).

Malaysia's rivers and ocean serve as great resources for green marine renewable energy. Hassan et al. (2012) suggest that the success of marine current turbines in Malaysia depends on the current velocity and water depth of their installation sites. More specifically, the researchers suggest that 4 knots (2 m/s) is the minimum ideal marine current velocity for a turbine's operation. Meanwhile, the Malaysian Sea has an average current speed of only 2.0 knots (1 m/s), as the Royal Malaysian Navy reports (2010). Hassan et al. (2012) situate 2 knots as half the flow velocity required by conventional turbines, which are primarily designed and developed outside Malaysia. Moreover, the researchers also report that due to the low current velocity, a big device or turbine system is required to harness the energy of Malaysia's sea currents, which presents a problem in that blade size is limited by water depth.

Addressing this context, Yaakob et al. (2008) proposed a Savonius vertical axis turbine to harness energy from Malaysia's sea despite its slow current and shallow depth. Research was accordingly conducted to develop an optimal turbine drag type for Malaysian waters, which have a typical annual average current speed of 0.5 m/s and depth of approximately 15–30 meters (Yaakob et al., 2008; RMN-Royal Malaysian Navy, 2005). Notably, this vertical axis turbine has two main drawbacks: low torque and a low tip speed ratio (TSR, λ), which make it difficult to integrate with a generator. Nevertheless, this low-speed vertical axis current turbine (LS-VACT) - which makes novel modifications to the Savonius rotor (a rotor that extracts energy from low current speed, as Khan et al. (2009) and Yaakob et al. (2010) report) - appears to be a suitable technology for harnessing marine energy from low-speed currents.

Notably, this innovative marine turbine has four blades with arms of a reduced size as well as higher torque, elements that ensure the efficient rotation of the loaded turbine.

To be sure, LS-VACT design and analysis require the accurate modelling of fluid dynamics, and especially of the mass and structural dynamic forces that impact the rotor blades. The deeper point is that the more accurately these forces can be modelled, the more efficiently these turbines can be designed. In this respect, the development of a new technique for determining a dynamic performance using a dynamic simulation program for assessing the dynamic characteristics of LS-VACT and its performance is of prime importance.

Simulation and simulation-based optimisation techniques are frequently used for many applications in the research of different systems such as airspace and automotive systems, to name but a couple. In the maritime field, simulation models have been used for different types of current turbines. Most existing simulations emphasize the study of static simulation using CFD and pay very little attention to dynamic simulation for dynamic performance assessment using hydrodynamic derivatives.

This research study accounts for the dynamics and performance of the LS-VACT with the aid of computer modelling simulation and validation testing. Ultimately, this research aims to obtain the dynamic characteristics of the turbine, including the added mass effect and the performance of the turbine, to inform the development of a sufficient system for harnessing marine energy. Techniques for dynamic study were gleaned by producing new experimental procedures for conducting model tests using PMM to obtain the hydrodynamic coefficients set to be incorporated into the dynamic simulation program to assess the efficiency of LS-VACT and, subsequently, to investigate the impact of added mass, which may significantly influence performance due to the blades' oscillations at very low current speeds.

1.2 Background of the Problem

In Malaysia and some other countries, sea, rivers and ocean energy may be the best resource of green marine renewable energy. The VACT offers opportunities for supplying power to islands, coast and remote and rural areas. However, in Malaysia, research on ocean-based power sources remain in the development stage - only limited research on this topic exists, and most publications are assessment studies. Responding to this gap in the archive, this study sought to develop a new technique for predicting power output and power and torque coefficients using hydrodynamic derivatives. Notably, the research also sought to determine the added mass effect to improve performance at low flow velocity. To account for the reduced height necessary in Malaysian waters while maintaining efficiency, the LS-VACT was matched with a suitable generator using a dynamic simulation MATLAB program. By incorporating the hydrodynamic coefficients obtained from PMM experiments into the simulation program, an optimal turbine system was developed for the slow current and shallow depth of Malaysian waters. To account for the low flow velocities of Malaysian waters, which are between 0.5 to 2.0 m/s or less, all validated simulations were performed and conducted with a constant flow stream velocity of 1 m/s (i.e. the design speed) and a current speed of 0.5 m/s.

As noted above, LS-VACT is based on a conventional Savonius turbine design. While the Savonius turbine was originally developed for wind energy, it seems essentially suitable for the low flow stream of the Malaysian Sea because this rotor has the ability to run and operate at very low current velocity (Yaakob et al., 2010). So the modified LS-VACT appears a suitable technology for harnessing marine energy from a low-speed current. A potential solution to this innovative marine turbine lies in increasing torque by having four suitable blades with arms of a reduced size to rotate the turbine efficiently. Due to the nature of the LS-VACT, the blades operate in an unsteady condition and experience dynamic loads and interactions during their rotation. This interaction is further complicated by the addition of unsteady velocity in either the fluid or the buckets. The relative speed and angle of the azimuth of the blade oscillate continuously with the rotational frequency of the turbine. The blades on the downstream pass interact with the wake generated on the upstream pass; therefore, the

level of unsteady forces is higher on the downstream pass. All these factors significantly influence turbine performance. The non-uniformity of the incoming flow changes the relative velocity pattern of the blades in the upstream pass at a frequency higher than the rotational frequency of the blades. The unsteady incoming flow condition of the upstream pass directly affects the quality of the output power of the turbine, as the majority of the net torque is created in this pass.

Meanwhile, the principal weakness of the stream-tube, cascade and vortex simulations is that they require lookup tables of static lift and drag data to calculate the forces on a turbine, even when dynamic effect corrections are implemented. Static experimental test data are often obtained under ideal, uniform and rectilinear flow conditions. The VACT, on the other hand, operates with the blades in a circular rotation, with a constantly varying angle of attack or azimuth, and the downstream blades are affected by the wake from the upstream blades. Furthermore, up-to-date, experimentally verified tabular data for a particular dynamic situation may not be available. Therefore, it is beneficial to develop dynamic turbine performance simulations that organically generate torque and drag characteristics within the model with the ability to assess the added mass effect.

Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) simulations offer an advantage over simpler potential flow models in that they do not require lift and drag data to resolve the flow field and the current structure around the turbine. Furthermore, CFD can, in principle, provide a more accurate representation of ancillary turbine structures, including support shafts and struts (Dai et al., 2011). The main drawback with using CFD simulations to resolve flow structure is that they involve long computation periods and high-power computing, even in 2D models. Whereas dynamic simulation turbine performance models and double, multiple stream-tube models take only seconds to present a solution, the traditional vortex model requires a few minutes and CFD a full day (or more) to solve and run a single turbine operating point (Dai et al., 2011) - the correlational and computation power of CFD simulations must be improved.

Therefore, it is necessary to develop an effective procedure for investigating the performance characteristics and influence of the hydrodynamic forces and added mass on a turbine by utilizing hydrodynamic coefficients. This can be achieved by developing a dynamic simulation computer program capable of assessing the dynamic performance of the LS-VACT by incorporating the derivatives obtained using developed PMM model tests into simulations. This method is useful in accounting for the effect of dynamic characteristics on turbine performance to enhance design in its initial stages. Accordingly, the primary goal of this study was to develop a computational dynamic simulation tool with which to run experimental tests to deepen knowledge of the dynamics, influence of added mass, arm effect and flow stream velocities that impact LS-VACT operation and performance. Meanwhile, the secondary goal of this study was to conduct experimental tests to yield data to calibrate and validate the results of the dynamic performance simulation program. This dynamic computer simulation was developed using Simulink-MATLAB software and is based on the hydrodynamic derivatives and coefficients incorporated into the dynamic simulation code.

1.3 Problem Statement

Several countries like Malaysia have a sea with low-speed current and shallow water depth which are not suitable conditions to extract the current energy. Malaysia's sea has an average speed about 1 m/s (low current speed) (Royal Malaysian Navy, 2010; Hassan et al., 2012), which is twice less than the minimum speed (2 m/s) that can operate the conventional turbines (Hassan et al., 2012). Savonius as VACT drag type essentially might harvest the energy from current speed as stated by Yaakob et al. (2010); however, the VACT is very much depending on current speed and water depth (Hassan et al., 2012). The low-speed VACT drag type can also extract the power from the current energy like a Savonius rotor. However, this low-speed VACT will not be able to rotate at a constant speed at low current speed. The blades oscillate; added mass influence will be of significant effect to the turbine performance.

To be sure, understanding the dynamic behaviour of a system is a necessary condition for applying it. Until now, the field has largely negated the impacts of added mass and damping on the performance of a turbine at a low speed. Assessing the dynamic characteristics using a technique based on the hydrodynamic coefficients and derivatives is a better approach to studying the added mass effect to yield insights on optimal turbine design for low current speeds. The goal of this research was thus to develop a technique or procedure for dynamic-model performance. Accordingly, this study's primary research question was:

"How can the hydrodynamic performance and added mass effect of low-speed vertical axis current turbine be quantified or assessed in terms of its dynamics? "

1.4 Research Questions

The research questions as follows:

- (a) How to best assess the dynamic performance characteristics of the LS-VACT?
- (b) What is the influence of added mass and damping have on the performance of LS-VACT?

1.5 Research Objectives

Understanding the dynamic performance and behaviour of a system is a necessary condition for applying it. The goal of this research is to develop a new method to determine the dynamics of LSVACT, in order to gain better insight on the dynamic behaviour of the turbine and explore the influence of the main design parameters. In addressing the above issues, this research work will be carried out with the following objectives:

- (a) To develop a computer simulation program for dynamic performance simulations. This program accounts for the effect of added mass and damping on the performance of LS-VACT. This program incorporates a generator to present a complete turbine-electric system for the performance assessment of LS- VACT.
- (b) To assess hydrodynamic coefficients, derivatives and dynamic characteristics to investigate the performance of LS-VACT by using the model experimentation technique developed and the PMM. One blade was attached to the mechanism of the carriage and towed along the towing tank to simulate current flow velocity.
- (c) To determine the performance of the LS-VACT turbine experimentally to validate the simulation results using the straight-line experimental model tests. The simulation program was validated to conduct the parametric study to obtain the influence of arm's length and added mass at different arm lengths and different speeds.
- (d) To predict the power take-off of the Low-Speed Vertical Axis Current Turbine by matching it to a suitable generator using the MATLAB software.

1.6 Research Scopes

Dynamic performance is meaningful for evaluations of the performance coefficient. Accordingly, this research sought to develop a method (i.e. a new procedure of model experimentation) and a tool for predicting efficiency (i.e. power and torque coefficients), torque and power output to present recommendations for improving LS-VACT performance. The tool should be fast and able to quantify the effects of different design parameters, such as arm length and the height of the bucket, added mass and damping effects and free stream velocity, on the performance of the turbine.

More specifically, this study focused on a vertical axis drag type turbine made up of four buckets connected to arms. These arms attach the buckets to the main shaft to increase torque by increasing leverage, thereby increasing the amount of power generated. The simulation program for the dynamic performance of the LS-VACT, developed using MATLAB software, uses the hydrodynamic forces, moments and the derivatives of one bucket on the turbine. This program thus simulates the rotations of the buckets and the turbine based on a bucket's equation of motion with three degrees of freedom (3DOF). The hydrodynamic coefficients and derivatives of the bucket were derived using PMM at current speeds of 0.17, 0.32 and 0.64 m/s. This simulation program has been used for numerical simulation and parametric study about torque and mechanical power output, added mass and damping impact, arm length effect and power take-off. Load mass method tests at the constant current speeds mentioned were used to validate this simulation program. The performance characteristics of the LS-VACT (i.e. torque and mechanical power output) were also measured to compare the results with the MATLAB simulation results. The tests were performed in a towing tank operated by the Marine Technology Centre (MTC) at the Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) with no surface or wave interaction and with constant carriage speeds of 0.17, 0.32 and 0.64 m/s to simulate incoming water flow (the water current was assumed to exhibit a uniform flow stream). The tank had a total length of 120 metres, a width of 4 metres, and a depth of 2.5 metres. Notably, this study was limited to obtaining the hydrodynamic derivatives, current forces and drag forces and coefficients from a single bucket experiment. The added mass coefficients are based on PMM tests, while the model follows a different trajectory from the rotational one. Therefore, the study operates on the assumption that these two types of motion will result in the same added mass. In addition, the interaction of the nearby buckets is neglected: the method assumes that each one is independent and thus that the results are based on single bucket PMM tests. This means that the effects from the interaction between the parts are neglected.

1.7 Significance of Findings

This research proposes the development of a framework for establishing a procedure/technique for dynamic performance using oblique and PMM model tests, dynamic simulations and performance analysis of the LS-VACT which leads to a better understanding of the hydrodynamic forces, moments and inertia forces (added mass) acting on the turbine. The benefit of the extended framework is visible in providing guidelines on the interpretation of data for conditions other than those under which they were obtained. The dynamic simulation program will be incorporated into the performance simulation program for predicting the power take-off of the turbine. The outcome of the proposed research; a validated methodology for assessing added mass effect, powering and dynamic performance of the turbine by considering the hydrodynamic derivatives, forces, added mass and Inertia and simulating them. In addition, this research will demonstrate the benefits of the simulation programs developed in terms of reliability and times, saving when using them for determining the optimum size to match it with the generator by simulating the different dimension of the turbine and variable current speeds.

1.8 Thesis Outline

The research thesis outline has been constructed in the most suitable flow process to assess the operation and the performance of the LS-VACT and the effect of the arm's length added mass and damping on its power. The thesis starts with the introduction and background of the problem and rationale. Then it's followed by chapter 2, which is the ' Literature Review and Theory ' and it deals an overview of the present research and theory existing for LS-VACT, specifically focusing on the dynamic load, performance and operation of Low-Speed Vertical Axis Current Turbine. After that becomes chapter-3, 'Methodology', and describes the methodology and theory adopted and used in this research. Besides, presents the framework to carry out this research. Then followed by, Chapter 4, ' Dynamic Modeling and Simulation ', and it deals with the software development and describes the mathematical model, dynamic simulation programs and model settings, used in this thesis. Besides this

chapter 5, provides the dynamic analysis and simulation results in detail, discusses the results obtained from the simulation programs. Next, Chapter-5, ' Experiments, Experimental Process and Experiment Results ', it is describing model tests set up and conducting the static and dynamic experiments and experiments for validation and experiment results in detail. Chapter 6, ' Parametric Study ', describes the results obtained from conducting the parametric study using the validated numerical simulation program to evaluate the power of the turbine and the influence of the parameters on it. Chapter 7 ' discussion ' discusses the simulation and experiment results. Chapter 8 provides a summary of the research and highlights some of the important conclusions and recommendations for future work.

REFERENCES

- Abkowitz, M.A. (1964) *Lectures on Ship Hydrodynamics - Steering and Maneuverability*. Report Hy-7. Hydro- and Aerodynamic Laboratory: Lyngby, Denmark.
- Agdrup, K., Jensen, A.G. and Aage, C. (1998) Wind Tunnel Assessment of Ship Manoeuvrability Using a PMM Technique. *Proceeding on the International Symposium and Workshop on Force Acting on the Manoeuvring Vessel*. 16-18 September. Val de Reuil: France, 1F-9F.
- Akwa, J. V., Alves Da Silva Júnior, G., and Petry, A. P. (2012) 'Discussion on the verification of the overlap ratio influence on performance coefficients of a Savonius wind rotor using computational fluid dynamics', *Renewable Energy*, 38(1), 141–149.
doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2011.07.013
- Akwa, J. V., Vielmo, H. A. and Petry, A. P. (2012a) 'A review on the performance of Savonius wind turbines', *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, Elsevier Ltd, 16(5), 3054–3064.
doi: 10.1016/j.rser.2012.02.056
- Alam, M. J., and Iqbal, M. T. (2009) Design and development of hybrid vertical axis turbine. *Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering*, May 3-6, St. John's, NL, 1178–1183.
doi.org/10.1109/CCECE.2009.5090311
- Alam, M. J., and Iqbal, M. T. (2010) 'A low cut-in speed marine current turbine', *Journal of Ocean Technology*, vol. 5, 49–61.
- Aly, H. A. (2016), *Micro Hydraulic Turbine for Power Generation in Micro Scale Channels*. PhD thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai.
- Amelio, M., Barbarelli, S., Florio, G., Scornaienchi, N. M., Minniti, G., Cutrupi, A., and Sánchez-Blanco, M. (2012) 'Innovative tidal turbine with central deflector for the exploitation of river and sea currents in on-shore installations', *Applied Energy*, 97, 944–955.
doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2011.11.044

- An, s. and Faltinsen, O.M. (2013) ‘An experimental and numerical study of heave added mass and damping of horizontally submerged and perforated rectangular plates’, *Journal of Fluids and Structures* 39 (2013), 87–101.
- Andrews, J. and Jelley, N. (2007) *Energy Science: Principles, Technologies, and Impacts*. Oxford University Press. ISBN: 978-0-19-928112-1
- Antonelli, G. (2006) *Underwater Robots Motion and Force Control*. In Springer-Verlag: Berlin Heidelberg. Vol. 2, 78–93.
- Ashnani, M. H. M., Johari, A., Hashim, H., and Hasani, E. (2014) ‘A source of renewable energy in Malaysia, why biodiesel?’, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, Vol. 35, 244-257.
doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.04.001
- Asim, T., Mishra, R., Ubbi, K., & Zala, K. (2013) Computational fluid dynamics based optimal design of vertical axis marine current turbines. *In Procedia CIRP*, Vol. 11, 323–327.
doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2013.07.023
- Aslam Bhutta, M. M., Hayat, N., Farooq, A. U., Ali, Z., Jamil, S. R., and Hussain, Z. (2012) ‘Vertical axis wind turbine - A review of various configurations and design techniques’, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. Vol. 16, Issue 4, 1926-1939.
doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2011.12.004
- Bach G. (1931) Investigations concerning Savonius rotors and related turbo-machines. Translated into English by Brace Research Institute, Quebec, Canada 1964; *Forschungauf Gebiete des Ingenieurwesens*, 2(6), 218–23.
- Bahaj, A. S. (2011) ‘Generating electricity from the oceans. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*’, Vol. 15, Issue 7, 3399-3416.
doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2011.04.032
- Bahaj, A. S., and Myers, L. (2004) ‘Analytical estimates of the energy yield potential from the Alderney Race (Channel Islands) using marine current energy converters’, *Renewable Energy*, 29(12), 1931–1945.
doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2004.02.013
- Bahaj, A. S., Batten, W. M. J., & McCann, G. (2007) ‘Experimental verifications of numerical predictions for the hydrodynamic performance of horizontal axis marine current turbines’, *Renewable Energy*, 32(15), 2479–2490.
doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2007.10.001

- Batten, W. M. J., Bahaj, A. S., Molland, A. F., and Chaplin, J. R. (2007) 'Experimentally validated numerical method for the hydrodynamic design of horizontal axis tidal turbines', *Ocean Engineering*, 34(7), 1013–1020. doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2006.04.008
- Batten, W. M. J., Bahaj, A. S., Molland, A. F., and Chaplin, J. R., I. (2008) 'The prediction of the hydrodynamic performance of marine current turbines', *Renewable Energy*, 33(5), 085–1096. doi:10.1016/j.renene.2007.05.043
- Bedard, R., Jacobson, P., Previsic, M., Musial, W., & Varley, R. (2011) 'An Overview of Ocean Renewable Energy Technologies', *Oceanography*, 23(2), 22–31. doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2010.40
- Ben Elghali, S. E., Benbouzid, M. E. H., and Charpentier, J. F. (2007) Marine tidal current electric power generation technology: State of the art and current status. *In Proceedings of IEEE International Electric Machines and Drives Conference, IEMDC 2007 Vol. 2*, 1407–1412. doi.org/10.1109/IEMDC.2007.383635
- Carballo, R., and Iglesias, G. (2013) 'Wave farm impact based on realistic wave-WEC interaction', *Energy*, 51, 216–229. doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2012.12.040
- Carbon Trust Marine Energy Accelerator. (2011). Accelerating marine energy. Current Vol. 103, 1–64. Carbon Trust. Retrieved from <http://www.carbontrust.co.uk/emerging-technologies/current-focus-areas/marine-energy-accelerator/pages/default.aspx>
- Chandran, V. G. R., Sharma, S., and Madhavan, K. (2010) 'Electricity consumption-growth nexus: The case of Malaysia', *Energy Policy*, 38(1), 606–612. doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2009.10.013
- Chislett, M.S. and Strom-Tejsen, J. (1966) A Model Testing Technique and Method of Analysis for the Prediction of Steering and Manoeuvring Qualities of surface vessels. *Report Hy-7. Hydro- and Aerodynamic Laboratory*. Lyngby, Denmark.
- Chua, S. C. and Oh, T. H. (2010) 'Review on Malaysia's national energy developments: Key policies, agencies, programmes and international involvements', *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. Elsevier Ltd, 14(9), 2916–2925.

doi:10.1016/j.rser.2010.07.031

- Clarke, J. A., Connor, G., Grant, A. D., and Johnstone, C. M. (2006) 'Regulating the output characteristics of tidal current power stations to facilitate better base load matching over the lunar cycle', *Renewable Energy*, Vol. 31, 173–180.
doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2005.08.024
- Ćosić, B., Krajačić, G., & Duić, N. (2012) 'A 100% renewable energy system in the year 2050: The case of Macedonia', *Energy*, 48(1), 80–87.
doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2012.06.078
- Dai, Y. M., Gardiner, N. and Lam, W. (2010) 'CFD Modelling Strategy of a Straight-Bladed Vertical Axis Marine Current Turbine', *Proceedings of the Twentieth (2010) International Offshore and Polar Engineering Conference*, 7, 653-880.
- Das, A. and Balakrishnan, V. (2012) 'Sustainable energy future via grid interactive operation of SPV system at isolated Remote Island', *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. Vol. 16, Issue 7, 5430-5442.
doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.05.029
- Department EPUPM (2010) The Malaysia economic in figures [online].
<http://www.epu.gov.my/malaysianeconomyfigures2010S;2010>.
- Department of Energy (2010) Advanced Wind Turbine Drivetrain Concepts: Workshop Report. *Key Findings from the Advanced Drivetrain Workshop*, Broomfield, Colorado, June 2010.
- Department of Statistics, Malaysia (2015) *Mining, Manufacturing and electricity*. Available from: http://www.statistics.gov.my/portal/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=2612%3Amonthly-statistical-bulletin-malaysia-november-2014-&catid=129%3Aonline-publications&lang=en [accessed]
- Diepeveen, N. F. B. and Jarquin Laguna, A. (2011) 'Dynamic Modeling of Fluid Power Transmissions for Wind Turbines', *Ewea Offshore 2011*, 1–9.
- Dobrev, I., and Massouh, F. (2011) 'CFD and PIV investigation of unsteady flow through Savonius wind turbine', *In Energy Procedia*, Vol. 6, 711–720. Elsevier Ltd.
doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2011.05.081
- Economic Transformation Programme (2010) *A Special Report, in Performance Management and Delivery Unit (PEMANDU)*, Prime Minister's Department. 2010: Putrajaya, Malaysia, 1-24.

- EIAU (2011) Annual energy review. Washington, DC: Energy Information Administration, *US Department of Energy*. (www.eia.doe.gov); 2011.
- Environmental, A. E. (2006) 'Review and analysis of ocean energy systems development and supporting policies OES-IA: Ireland', *Renewable Energy*, (June). Retrieved from <http://www.marinerenewables.ca/wp-content/uploads/2012/11/Review-and-analysis-of-ocean-energy-systems-development-and-supporting-policies.pdf>
- Faez Hassan, H., El-Shafie, A., and Karim, O. A. (2012) 'Tidal current turbines glance at the past and look into future prospects in Malaysia', *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 16 (2012), 5707–5717.
doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.06.016
- Feng Wang and Kim A. Stelson. (2013) Model Predictive Control for Power Optimization in a Hydrostatic Wind Turbine. *The 13th Scandinavian International Conference on Fluid Power, SICFP2013*, Linköping, Sweden. 3-5 June 2013, 155-160.
- Fossen, T. (1996) *Guidance and control of ocean vehicles*. Automatica. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-1098\(96\)82331-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-1098(96)82331-4)
- Fossen, T. I. (2002) *Marine control systems*. Book (p.558). Retrieved from <http://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&btnG=Search&q=intitle:Marine+Control+Systems#1>
- Fraenkel, P. L. (2002) Power from marine currents. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part A: Journal of Power and Energy*, 216(1), 1–14.
doi.org/10.1243/095765002760024782
- Garman, P. G. and Sexon, B. A. (1997) Water current turbines for pumping and electricity generation. in *First International Conference Renewable Energy-Small Hydro*,
- Gill, A.D. and Price, W.G. (1977) 'Determination of the Manoeuvring Derivatives of a Ship Model Using a Horizontal Planar Motion Mechanism in a Circulating Water Channel', *The Royal Institution of Naval Architect Transaction*, Vol. 119, 161-172.
- Giudice, F. and La Rosa, G. (2009) 'Design, prototyping and experimental testing of a chiral blade system for hydroelectric microgeneration', *Mechanism and Machine Theory*, 44(8), 1463–1484.
doi.org/10.1016/j.mechmachtheory.2008.11.010

- Gómez-Loscos, A., Montañés, A. and Gadea, M. D. (2011) 'The impact of oil shocks on the Spanish economy', *Energy Economics*, 33(6), 1070–1081. doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2011.05.016
- Goodman, A. (1960) Experimental Techniques and Method of Analysis used in submerged body research. *Third Symposium of Naval Hydrodynamics*, Scheveningen, 379-449
- Gorban, A. N., Gorlov, A. M., & Silantyev, V. M. (2002) 'Limits of the Turbine Efficiency for Free Fluid Flow', *Journal of Energy Resources Technology*, 123(4), 311. doi.org/10.1115/1.1414137
- Gorlov, A. M. (2004) 'Harnessing Power from Ocean Current and Tides', *Sea Technology*, 45, 40-43.
- Government Transformation Programme The Roadmap - Executive Summary (2010) P.M.s.D. Performance Management and Delivery Unit (PEMANDU), Editor. 2010: Putrajaya, Malaysia, 1-39.
- Grinspan, A.S., (2001) Design, development and testing of Savonius wind turbine rotor with twisted blades. In: *Proceedings of 28 th National Conference on Fluid Mechanics and Fluid Power*, Chandigarh, India, 428-431.
- Güney, M. S. and Kaygusuz, K. (2010) 'Hydrokinetic energy conversion systems: A technology status review', *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. Vol. 43, 1364-0321. doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2010.06.016
- Gupta, R., Biswas, A. and Sharma, K. K. (2008) 'Comparative study of a three-bucket Savonius rotor with a combined three-bucket Savonius-three-bladed Darrieus rotor', *Renewable Energy*, 33(9), 1974–1981. doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2007.12.008
- Hadi Ali, M. (2013) 'Experimental Comparison Study for Savonius Wind Turbine of Two & Three Blades At Low Wind Speed', *International Journal of Modern Engineering Research (IJMER) Www.Ijmer.Com*, 3(5), 2978–2986.
- Hardisty, J. (2008) 'Power intermittency, redundancy and tidal phasing around the United Kingdom', *Geographical Journal*, 174(1), 76–84. doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-4959.2007.00263.x

- Hassan Md. Imtiaj, Tariq Iqbal, Nahidul Khan, Michael Hinchey and Vlastimil Masek, (2011) *CFD analysis of a Twisted Savonius Turbine*, Memorial University of Newfoundland St. John's, NL, A1B 3X5, Canada
- Hayashi, T., Li, Y. and HARA, Y. (2005) 'Wind Tunnel Tests on a Different Phase Three-Stage Savonius Rotor', *JSME International Journal Series B*, 48(1), 9-16.
doi.org/10.1299/jsmeb.48.9
- Henriksen, L. C. (2007) Model Predictive Control of a Wind Turbine. *Mathematical Modelling*, 1–7. Retrieved from
http://www2.imm.dtu.dk/pubdb/views/edoc_download.php/5256/pdf/imm5256.pdf
- Homicz, G. F. (1991) Numerical Simulation of VAWT Stochastic Aerodynamic Loads Produced by Atmospheric Turbulence : *VAWT-SAL Code. Power*, (Technical Report, Sandia Report (SAND9111241UC261)). Retrieved from
http://scholar.google.com/scholar?start=20&q=vawt&hl=en&as_sdt=0,5#4
- Höök, M., and Tang, X. (2013) Depletion of fossil fuels and anthropogenic climate change-A review. *Energy Policy*, 52, 797–809.
doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2012.10.046 and doi.org/10.3390/en6031497
- Hau, E. (2006) *Wind turbines: fundamentals, technologies, application, economics* 2nd edn. Springer, Berlin
- Iglesias, G. and Carballo, R. (2011) 'Choosing the site for the first wave farm in a region: A case study in the Galician Southwest (Spain)', *Energy*, 36(9), 5525–5531.
doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2011.07.22
- Ijas, M. and Mäkinen, E. (2011) Improvement of total efficiency of hydrostatic transmission by using optimized control. *Proceedings of the JFPS International Symposium on Fluid Power*, 2008(7–2), 271–276.
doi.org/10.5739/isfp.2008.271
- International Energy Agency (IEA) (2014) Available at :
<<http://www.iea.org/publications/freepublications/publication/kwes2014.pdf>>. [Accessed 31/ 01/ 2015]
- International Energy Agency (IEA) (2016) Available from www.iea.org/GlobalRenewableEnergyMarketTrendsandProjections; 2016. [Accessed 2/2/2017]

- International Towing Tank Conference (2002) Testing and Extrapolation Methods Manoeuvrability Captive Model Test Procedure: Recommended Procedures No 7.5-02-06-02. *Proceedings of the 23rd ITTC*, Venice, Italy.
- International Towing Tank Conference (2017). Uncertainty Analysis for Manoeuvring Predictions Based on Captive Manoeuvring Tests Procedure: Recommended Procedures and Guidelines No 7.5-02-06-04. *Proceedings of the 28th ITTC*, Wuxi, China.
- IRENA, (2014) Renewables Readiness Assessment. *International Renewable Energy Agency*, 1–72.
doi.org/10.1007/s11367-015-0960-1
- Jahangir Alam, M., and Iqbal, M. T. (2009) Design and development of hybrid vertical axis turbine. In *Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineerin.* 1178–1183.
doi.org/10.1109/CCECE.2009.5090311
- Jaohindy, P., McTavish, S., Garde, F., and Bastide, A. (2013). ‘An analysis of the transient forces acting on Savonius rotors with different aspect ratios’, *Renewable Energy*, 55, 286–295.
doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2012.12.045
- Jha, A. R. (2011) *Wind Turbine Technology*. PhD Thesis. CRC press Taylor & Francis Group, New York.
- Kailash, G., Eldho, T. I., and Prabhu, S. V. (2012) ‘Performance Study of Modified Savonius Water Turbine with Two Deflector Plates’, *International Journal of Rotating Machinery*, 2012, 1–12.
doi.org/10.1155/2012/679247
- Kamoji, M. A., Kedare, S. B., and Prabhu, S. V. (2008) ‘Experimental investigations on single stage, two stage and three stage conventional Savonius rotor’, *International Journal of Energy Research*, 32(10), 877–895.
doi.org/10.1002/er.1399
- Kang, S., Borazjani, I., Colby, J. A. and Sotiropoulos, F. (2012) ‘Numerical simulation of 3D flow past a real-life marine hydrokinetic turbine’, *Advances in Water Resources*, 39, 33–43.
doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2011.12.012
- Karsten, R. H., McMillan, J. M., Lickley, M. J., and Haynes, R. D. (2008). Assessment of tidal current energy in the Minas Passage, Bay of Fundy. *Proceedings of the*

- Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part A: Journal of Power and Energy*, 222(5), 493–507. doi.org/10.1243/09576509JPE555
- Keulegan, G.H. and Carpenter, L.H. (1958) ‘Forces on cylinders and plates in an oscillating fluid’, *Journal of Research of National Bureau of Standards* (1958) 60 (5), 423-440.
- Khan, J., Iqbal, M. T., and Quaicoe, J. E. (2009) ‘Tow tank testing and performance evaluation of a permanent magnet generator based small vertical axis hydrokinetic turbine’, *Journal of Ocean Technology*, 4(1), 66–82.
- Khan, M. J., Bhuyan, G., Iqbal, M. T., and Quaicoe, J. E. (2009a) Hydrokinetic energy conversion systems and assessment of horizontal and vertical axis turbines for river and tidal applications: A technology status review. *Applied Energy*. . Vol. 86, Issue 10, 1823-1835.
doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2009.02.017
- Khan, M. J., Iqbal, M. T. and Hinchey, M. (2007) Sea-Floor Power Generation System. *Presented at 17th IEEE NECEC conference*, St. Johns, NL, November 8, 2007.
- Khan, M. J., Iqbal, M. T., & Quaicoe, J. E. (2007a) A technology review and simulation based performance analysis of river current turbine systems. In *Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering*. 2288–2293.
doi.org/10.1109/CCECE.2006.277821
- Khan, N., Hinchey, Iqbal, M. T. and V. Masek (2010) ‘On Scaling Laws for Savonius Water Current Turbines’, *Journal of Ocean Technology Farming Fish*, Vol. 5, No. 2, 92-101.
- Kendoush, A.A., (2003). ‘The virtual mass of a spherical-cap bubble’. *Phys. Fluids* 15, 2782–2785.
- Kyozuka, Y. (2008) ‘An Experimental Study on the Darrieus-Savonius Turbine for the Tidal Current Power Generation’, *Journal of Fluid Science and Technology*, 3(3), 439–449.
doi.org/10.1299/jfst.3.439
- Li, Y., and Calisal, S. M. (2010). ‘Three-dimensional effects and arm effects on modeling a vertical axis tidal current turbine’, *Renewable Energy*, 35(10), 2325–2334.
doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2010.03.002

- Li, Y., and Çalışal, S. M. (2010a) ‘Numerical analysis of the characteristics of vertical axis tidal current turbines’, *Renewable Energy*, 35(2), 435–442. doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2009.05.024
- Lund, H. (2007) ‘Renewable energy strategies for sustainable development’, *Energy*, 32(6), 912–919. doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2006.10.017
- Lund, H. and Mathiesen, B. V. (2009). ‘Energy system analysis of 100% renewable energy systems-The case of Denmark in years 2030 and 2050’, *Energy*, 34(5), 524–531. doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2008.04.003
- Mahmoud, N. H., El-Haroun, A. A., Wahba, E., and Nasef, M. H. (2012) ‘An experimental study on improvement of Savonius rotor performance’, *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 51(1), 19–25. doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2012.07.003
- Maimun, A., Behrouzi, F., Nakisa, M., Hanafi, M. and Jaswar. (2014) ‘An innovative vertical axis current turbine design for low current speed’, *Jurnal Teknologi (Sciences and Engineering)*, 66(2), 177–182. doi.org/10.11113/jt.v66.2515
- Maniaci, D. C., and Li, Y. (2012) ‘Investigating the Influence of the Added Mass Effect to Marine Hydrokinetic Horizontal-Axis Turbines Using a General Dynamic Wake Wind Turbine Code’, *Marine Technology Society Journal*, 46(4), 71–78. doi.org/10.4031/mtsj.46.4.4
- Marsh, P., Ranmuthugala, D., Penesis, I. and Thomas, G. (2013) ‘Performance Predictions Of A Straight-Bladed Vertical Axis Turbine Using Double-Multiple Stream tube And Computational Fluid Dynamics Models’, *The Journal of Ocean Technology*, Vol. 8, No. 1, 2013. 87-103.
- Masjuki, H. H., Mahlia, T. M. I., Choudhury, I. A., and Saidur, R. (2002) ‘Potential CO₂ reduction by fuel substitution to generate electricity in Malaysia’, *Energy Conversion and Management*, 43(6), 763–770. doi.org/10.1016/S0196-8904(01)00074-7
- Masters, I., Malki, R., Williams, A. J., and Croft, T. N. (2013) ‘The influence of flow acceleration on tidal stream turbine wake dynamics: A numerical study using a coupled BEM-CFD model’, *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 37(16–17), 7905–7918.

doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2013.06.004

Menet, J. L. (2004) 'A double-step Savonius rotor for local production of electricity: A design study', *Renewable Energy*, 29(11), 1843–1862.

doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2004.02.011

Milne-Thompson, L., (1968) *Theoretical Hydrodynamics*, MacMillan & Co., 1968

Mohamed MH and Thevenin D. (2010) Performance optimization of a Savonius turbine considering different shapes for frontal guiding plates. *In: Proceedings of the 10th international congress of fluid dynamics (ICFD 10)*. 2010, 1–12.

Molland, A. F., Bahaj, A. S., Chaplin, J. R., and Batten, W. M. J. (2004)

Measurements and predictions of forces, pressures and cavitation on 2-D sections suitable for marine current turbines. *In Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers Part M: Journal of Engineering for the Maritime Environment* (Vol. 218), 127–138.

doi.org/10.1243/1475090041651412

Moutsoglou A. and Weng Y. (1995) 'Performance Tests of a Benesh Wind Turbine Rotor and a Savonius Rotor', *Wind Engineering* 1995, 19, 349–362.

Musial, W. Butterfield, S., and McNiff, B. (2007) Improving Wind Turbine Gearbox Reliability. *Proceedings of the 2007 European Wind Energy Conference, NREL: CP-500-41548*, Milan, Italy. May 2007.

Nakajima, M., Iio, S., and Ikeda, T. (2008). 'Performance of Savonius Rotor for Environmentally Friendly Hydraulic Turbine', *Journal of Fluid Science and Technology*, 3(3), 420–429.

doi.org/10.1299/jfst.3.420

Nasef, M. H., El-Askary, W. A., Abdel-hamid, A. A. and Gad, H. E. (2013) 'Evaluation of savoniuse rotor performance: static and dynamic studies', *Journal of wind engineering and industrial aerodynamics*, 123(2013), 1-11.

Ng, K. W., Lam, W. H., and Ng, K. C. (2013) '2002-2012: 10 years of research progress in horizontal-axis marine current turbines', *Energies*, MDPI AG, 2013, 6, 1497-1526.

Nilsson, K., Segergren, E. and Leijon, M. (2003) Simulation of Direct Drive Generators Designed for Underwater Vertical Axis Turbines. *Fifth European Wave Energy Conference*, (September 2003),17–20.

- Nishihara, T., Kaneko, S. and Watanabe, T. (2004) ‘Added mass effect on flow-induced stream wise vibration of a circular cylinder in cross flow’, *In: Proc. of NUTHOS-6, Nara, Japan*, October 4–8, 2004 (Paper ID. N6P159).
- Oh TH, Pang SY and Chua SC. (2010) ‘Energy policy and alternative energy in Malaysia: issues and challenger for sustainable growth’, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 14, 1241–52.
- Payne, G. S., Kiprakis, A. E., Ehsan, M., Rampen, W. H. S., Chick, J. P., and Wallace, A. R. (2007) Efficiency and dynamic performance of Digital Displacement™ hydraulic transmission in tidal current energy converters. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part A: Journal of Power and Energy*, 221(2), 207–218.
doi.org/10.1243/09576509JPE298
- Payne, G. S., Stein, U. B. P., Ehsan, M., Caldwell, N. J., and Rampen, W. H. S. (2005). Potential of Digital Displacement hydraulics for wave energy conversion. *Sixth European Wave and Tidal Energy Conference, Glasgow, United Kingdom*, (May), 365–372.
doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2012.10.014
- Peters, G. P., Aamaas, B., T. Lund, M., Solli, C., and Fuglestvedt, J. S. (2011) ‘Alternative “global warming” metrics in life cycle assessment: A case study with existing transportation data’, *Environmental Science and Technology*, 45(20), 8633–8641.
doi.org/10.1021/es200627s
- Plourde, B. D., Abraham, J. P. and Mowry, G. S. (2012) ‘Simulation of three dimensional vertical axis turbines for communication applications’, *Wind Engineering*, 36(4), 443-54.
- Pope, K., Rodrigues, V., Doyle, R., Tsopelas, A., Gravelins, R., Naterer, G. F., and Tsang, E. (2010) ‘Effects of stator vanes on power coefficients of a zephyr vertical axis wind turbine’, *Renewable Energy*, 35(5), 1043–1051.
doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2009.10.012
- Prislin, I., Blevins, R.D., Halkyard, J., (1998). Viscous Damping and Added Mass of Solid Square Plates. *Proceedings of the ASME 2014 33rd International Conference on Ocean, Offshore and Arctic Engineering OMAE 2014 June 8-13, 2014, San Francisco, CA, USA*, 1-11.

- Pusha, A., Deldar, M., and Izadian, A. (2013) Efficiency analysis of hydraulic wind power transfer system. In *IEEE International Conference on Electro Information Technology*. EIT 2013, Rapid City, SD, 1-7.
doi.org/10.1109/EIT.2013.6632717
- Qasim, A.Y., Hameed, S., Abaas S. and Hayder (2014) ‘Increase drag coefficient for special design cavity frame vertical axis wind turbine’, *Talib Elixir Mech. Engg.* 68, 22362-22370.
- Rae, C. and Bradley, F. (2012) ‘Energy autonomy in sustainable communities - A review of key issues’, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, Vol. 16, Issue 9, 6497-6506.
doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.08.002
- Ragheb, A. and Ragheb, M. (2010) Wind turbine gearbox technologies. In *2010 1st International Nuclear and Renewable Energy Conference, INREC’10*. March 21-24, Amman, Jordan, 1-8.
doi.org/10.1109/INREC.2010.5462549
- Roberts A., Thomas B., Sewell P., Khan Z., Balmain S. and Gillman J. (2016) ‘Current Tidal Power Technologies and Their Suitability for Applications in Coastal and Marine Areas’, *J. Ocean Eng. Mar. Energy*. (2016) 2, 227–245.
http://DOI 10.1007/s40722-016-0044-8
- Rolland, S. A., Thatcher, M., Newton, W., Williams, A. J., Croft, T. N., Gethin, D. T. and Cross, M. (2013) ‘Benchmark experiments for simulations of a vertical axis wind turbine’, *Applied Energy*, 111, 1183–1194.
doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2013.06.042
- Rourke, F. O., Boyle, F., and Reynolds, A. (2010) ‘Tidal energy update 2009. *Applied Energy*’, Vol. 87, Issue 2, 398-409.
doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2009.08.014
- Roy, S., and Saha, U. K. (2013) ‘Review on the numerical investigations into the design and development of Savonius wind rotors’, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 24(2013), 73-83.
doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.03.060
- Royal Malaysia Navy. (2005) *Tide Tables Malaysia*. National Hydrographic Center. Kuala Lumpur: The Hydrographic Department, Royal Navy Malaysia.
- Royal Malaysia Navy. (2010) *Tide Tables Malaysia*. National Hydrographic Center. Kuala Lumpur: The Hydrographic Department, Royal Navy Malaysia.

- Saha, U. K., and Rajkumar, M. J. (2006) 'On the performance analysis of Savonius rotor with twisted blades', *Renewable Energy*, 31(11), 1776–1788.
doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2005.08.030
- Saha, U. K., Thotla, S., and Maity, D. (2008) 'Optimum design configuration of Savonius rotor through wind tunnel experiments', *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics*, 96(8–9), 1359–1375.
doi.org/10.1016/j.jweia.2008.03.005
- Sahim, K., Ihtisan, K., Santoso, D., and Sipahutar, R. (2014) 'Experimental Study of Darrieus-Savonius Water Turbine with Deflector: Effect of Deflector on the Performance', *International Journal of Rotating Machinery*, 2014, 1–6.
doi.org/10.1155/2014/203108
- Salter, S. (2005) Digital Hydraulics for Renewable Energy. *World Renewable Energy Conference Aberdeen 2005*.
- Sarpkaya, T., (1979a). *Fluid forces on oscillating cylinders*. J. Waterway Port Coastal Ocean Eng. ASCE 104, 275–290.
- Sarpkaya, T., (1979b). Vortex-induced oscillations - a selective review. Trans. ASME J. Appl. Mech. 46, 241–258.
- Sarpkaya, T., (2004) 'A critical review of the intrinsic nature of vortex-induced vibrations', *J. Fluids Struct.* 19, 389–447.
- Savage, Michael C. (1986) 'Wind power augmentation in a building complex', *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics*, Volume 24, Issue 2, 129-142.
- Schubert, S. F., and Turnovsky, S. J. (2011) 'The impact of oil prices on an oil-importing developing economy', *Journal of Development Economics*, 94(1), 18–29.
doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2009.12.003
- Shafie, S. M., Mahlia, T. M. I., Masjuki, H. H., and Andriyana, A. (2011) 'Current energy usage and sustainable energy in Malaysia: A review', *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 15, 4370–7.
doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2011.07.113
- Sheng, Q., Jing, F., Zhang, L., Zhou, N., Wang, S., and Zhang, Z. (2016) 'Study of the hydrodynamic derivatives of vertical-axis tidal current turbines in surge motion', *Renewable Energy*, 96, 366–376.
doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2016.04.074

- Shiono, M., Suzuki, K., & Kiho, S. (2000) 'Experimental study of the characteristics of a Darrieus turbine for tidal power generation', *Electrical Engineering in Japan (English Translation of Denki Gakkai Ronbunshi)*, 132(3), 38–47. doi.org/10.1002/1520-6416(200008)132:3<38::AID-EEJ6>3.0.CO;2-E
- Shoji, K., Ramachandran, R., Kurobe1, Y., and Hashizume, Y. (2002) 'PMM Tests in a Circulating Water Channel and Nonlinear Analysis', *Journal of Marine Science and Technology*. Springer. Japan. Vol. 7, 86-90.
- Simcik Miroslav, Puncochar Miroslav and Ruzicka C. Marek, (2014). 'Added mass of a spherical cap body', *Chemical Engineering Science*, 118 (2014), 1–8. doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2014.07.015
- Skoglund, A., Leijon, M., Rehn, A., Lindahl, M., and Waters, R. (2010) 'On the physics of power, energy and economics of renewable electric energy sources - Part II', *Renewable Energy*, 35(8), 1735–1740. doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2009.08.031
- Suffer, K., Usubamatov, R., Quadir, G. A., and Ismail, K. A. (2014) 'Modeling and numerical simulation for the Newly designed four cavity blades vertical axis wind turbine', *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 554, 536–540. doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/amm.554.536
- Suffer, K., Usubamatov, R., Quadir, G., & Ismail, K. (2015) Modeling and numerical simulation of a vertical axis wind turbine having cavity vanes. In *Proceedings - International Conference on Intelligent Systems, Modelling and Simulation, ISMS* (Vol. 2015-September), 479–484. IEEE Computer Society. doi.org/10.1109/ISMS.2014.88
- Sung, C. H., Rhee, B., and Koh, I.Y. (2004) Validation of Force, Moments and Stability Derivative of a Manoeuvring Series-58 Bare Hull. *Proceeding of 25th Symposium on Naval Hydrodynamics*, St. John's, Canada.
- Sung, Y. J., Lee, T.I., Yum, D.J. and Rhee, K.P. (2000) An Analysis of the PMM Tests Using a System Identification Method. *Proceeding of 7th International Marine Design Conference*. May 21-24. Kyung-Ju, Korea.
- Tanate C., Pipatpong W. and Hiroyuki H. (2011) 'Performance Evaluation of Curved Blades Vertical Axis Wind Turbine', *European Journal of Scientific Research*, Vol.57 No.3, 435-446.
- Thomas, K., Grabbe, M., Yuen, K., & Leijon, M. (2008) A low-speed generator for energy conversion from marine currents - Experimental validation of

- simulations. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part A: Journal of Power and Energy*, 222(4), 381–388.
doi.org/10.1243/09576509JPE567
- Ueno, M., Yukawa, K., Nimura, T., Asanuma, T., Ohmatsu, S., and Takai, R. (2003) PMM Test Analysis for Added Mass Coefficients of Asymmetric Bodies. *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*, 36(21), 31–36.
doi.org/10.1016/S1474-6670 (17)37779-0
- Ushiyama, I., Nagai, H. and Shinoda, J., (1986) ‘Experimentally Determining the Optimum Design Configuration for Savonius Rotors’, *Transactions of the Japan Society of Mechanical Engineering, Series B*, Vol. 52, No. 480 (1986), 2973-2981.
- Ushiyama, I., and Nagai, H. (1988) ‘Optimum design configurations and performance of Savonius rotors’, *Wind Engineering*, 12 (1), 59–75.
- Ushiyama, I., Nagai, H., and Shinoda, J. (2011) ‘Experimentally determining the optimum design configuration for savonius rotors’, *Transactions of the Japan Society of Mechanical Engineers Series B*, 52 (480), 2973–2982.
doi.org/10.1299/kikaib.52.2973
- Vanzwieten, J. H., Vanrietvelde, N., and Hacker, B. L. (2013) ‘Numerical simulation of an experimental ocean current turbine’, *IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering*, 38(1), 131–143.
doi.org/10.1109/JOE.2012.2218891
- Voss A. (1979) ‘Waves, currents, tides: problems and prospects’, *Energy*, 4(5), 823-31.
- Werle, M.J. and Presz, Jr. W.M. (2008) ‘Ducted wind/water turbines and propellers revisited’, *J.Propul. Power*, 24(5), 1146-1150.
- Wilson R.E., Lissaman P.B.S. (1974) *Applied Aerodynamics of wind power machines, Research Applied to National Needs*, GI-41840, Oregon State University.
- Yaakob, O. B., Ghani, M. P. A., Tawi, K. B., Suprayogi, D. T., Aziz, A., and Jafar, K. E. (2008a) Development of Ocean Wave and Current Energy Device. *Proceedings Seventh UMT International Symposium on Sustainability Science and Management (UMTAS)*. June 8-10. Malaysia: UMT Kuala Terengganu.

- Yaakob, O. B., Suprayogi, D. T., Abdul Ghani, M. P., and Tawi, K. B. (2013). 'Experimental studies on savonius-type vertical axis turbine for low marine current velocity', *International Journal of Engineering, Transactions A: Basics*, 26(1), 91–98.
doi.org/10.5829/idosi.ije.2013.26.01a.12
- Yaakob, O. B., Tawi, K. B. and Suprayogi, D. T. (2008) 'Development of Vertical Axis Marine Current Turbine Rotor', *International Conference Marine Renewable Energy*. 77-83.
- Yaakob, O. B., Tawi, K. B., & Sunanto, D. T. S. (2010) 'Computer simulation studies on the effect overlap ratio for savonius type vertical axis marine current turbine', *International Journal of Engineering, Transactions A: Basics*, 23(1), 79–88.
- Yaakob, O. B., Ahmed, Y., and Ismail, M. (2012) Validation study for savonius vertical axis marine current turbine using CFD simulation. *The 6th Asia-Pacific Workshop on Marine Hydrodynamics-APHydro2012*, 0–5. Retrieved from http://www.academia.edu/download/29677406/Validation_Study_for_Savonius_Vertical_Axis_Marine_Current_Turbine_Using_CFD_Simulation.pdf
- Yang, B., and Lawn, C. (2011) 'Fluid dynamic performance of a vertical axis turbine for tidal currents', *Renewable Energy*, 36 (12), 3355–3366.
doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2011.05.014
- Yang, B., and Lawn, C. (2013). 'Three-dimensional effects on the performance of a vertical axis tidal turbine', *Ocean Engineering*, 58, 1–10.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2012.09.020>
- Yang, M. H., Huang, G. M., & Yeh, R. H. (2016) 'Performance investigation of an innovative vertical axis turbine consisting of deflectable blades', *Applied Energy*, 179, 875–887.
doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.07.072
- Yee, K. F., Tan, K. T., Abdullah, A. Z. and Lee K. T. (2009) 'Life Cycle Assessment of Palm Biodiesel: Revealing Fact Sand Benefits For Sustainability', *Applied Energy*, 86, S189–S196.
- Yogi, D. T. S. (2010) Savonius rotor vertical axis marine current turbine for renewable energy application. Master Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai.

Yuen, K., Thomas, K., Grabbe, M., Deglaire, P., Bouquerel, M., Österberg, D., and Leijon, M. (2009) 'Matching a permanent magnet synchronous generator to a fixed pitch vertical axis turbine for marine current energy conversion', *IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering*. vol. 34, no. 1, 24-31.

doi.org/10.1109/JOE.2008.2010658

Zhang, X. W., Wang, S. Q., Wang, F., Zhang, L., and Sheng, Q. H. (2012) 'The hydrodynamic characteristics of free variable-pitch vertical axis tidal turbine', *Journal of Hydrodynamics*, 24(6), 834–839.

[doi.org/10.1016/S1001-6058\(11\)60310-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1001-6058(11)60310-7)

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journal with Impact Factor

1. Behrouzi, F., Nakisa, M., Maimun, A., Ahmed, Y. M., & **Salem Souf-Aljen, A.** (2019). Performance investigation of self-adjusting blades turbine through experimental study. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 178–188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2018.11.066> (Q1, IF: 6.377)

Souf-Aljen, A. S., Maimun b, A., (2018) Experimental Study Of The Effect Of The Arm-Length On The Performance Of Low-Speed Vertical Axis Current Turbine (Renewable Energy – submitted 16/10/2018 - RENE-S-)18-05264 under review last update 15/4/2019)

Indexed Journal

1. **Souf-Aljen, A. S.,** Maimun b, A., Samad, R., & Jaswar. (2015). Dynamic performance simulation of hydraulic transmission for low speed vertical axis marine current turbine using MATLAB Simulink. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 74(5), 45–51. <https://doi.org/10.11113/jt.v74.4640> (Indexed by SCOPUS)
2. **Souf-Aljen, A. S.,** Maimun, A., Rahimuddin, & Zairie, N. (2016). Port capacity forecasting and the impact of the dredging works on port sea operations using discrete event simulation. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 78(9–4), 31–40. <https://doi.org/10.11113/jt.v78.9692> (Indexed by SCOPUS)

Indexed Conference Proceedings

- 1 **Souf-aljen, S. A.,** & Maimun, A. D. I. (2015). Low speed vertical axis current turbine for electrification of remote areas in Malaysia. *Recent Advances in Renewable Energy Sources*, (May 2015), 75–82.

Non-Indexed Conference Proceedings

- 1 Adi Maimun, **Atef Salem,** Rahimuddin, Syafwan, and Ang Yit Sian (2015). "Simulation of the Impact of Dredging and Reclamation Works on Port Capacity Using Discrete Programming"; The 3rd International Conference on Water Resources (ICWR-2015) in Langkawi, Malaysia, 24-25 November 2015.

- 2 Maimun, A., **Atef Salem**, (2014). 'Dynamic Performance Simulation of Hydraulic Transmission for Low Speed Vertical Axis Marine Current Turbine using Simulink MATLAB'. *Conference on Ocean, Mechanical and Aerospace for Scientists and Engineers 2014 (OMase-2014)*. Nov 19-20. Pekanbaru, Indonesia.
- 3 **Soufaljen S. A.** Maimun A., and Muzakkir. (2018). Hybrid Renewable Energy Device – Low Speed Current Turbine and Solar Cell. 11th international conference on Marine Technology Ensuring Sustainability in Marine Industry (MARTEC2018) 13-14 August 2 018 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. 1-14