

ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD RADIATION FOR FIFTH GENERATION BASE
STATION USING MILLIMETER WAVE ANTENNA ARRAY

NOR ADIBAH BINTI IBRAHIM

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD RADIATION FOR FIFTH GENERATION BASE
STATION USING MILLIMETER WAVE ANTENNA ARRAY

NOR ADIBAH BINTI IBRAHIM

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Electrical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

NOVEMBER 2021

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, my undivided gratitude to Allah SWT that has given me the blessing and strength in order to complete this meaningful research successfully. Peace and blessings of Allah be upon our prophet Muhammad S.A.W who has given light to mankind. I would like to express my appreciation to those who has contributed to the completion of this work. In particular, I wish to express my deepest gratitude to my main supervisor Prof. Dr. Tharek Bin Abd Rahman, my co-supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Razali Bin Ngah and Dr. Omar Bin Abdul Aziz for giving me the trust to carry out my research regarding on this project. Not forgetting the time, encouragement, guidance, knowledge, support, and motivation throughout the execution of this project. My appreciation also goes to Dr. Ahmed Bin Muhamad As-Samman, and Dr. Nor Aishah Muhammad for their guidance and help. In addition, I would like to express my gratitude to my beloved mother, my late father and the rest of my family members for their support, motivation, and love from the day I started the project until it was completed. I sincerely thank all of my teachers, lecturers, and all of my friends for helping directly or indirectly. Thank you for the knowledge, experience, kindness, and cooperation from all of them and it would be remembered.

May Allah bless all of you. Ameen

Thank you very much.

ABSTRACT

Pico-cells and indoor base stations in various frequency bands are required as part of the construction of the fifth-generation (5G) network. Electromagnetic fields (EMF) are emitted by these base stations, and there is worry regarding their impact on the human body. The amount of acceptable radiated EMF is measured by specific absorption rate and free space power density as specified by international regulatory bodies. Therefore, the goal of this thesis is to look into the quantity of radiation emitted by antenna arrays in an indoor and hallway environment based on power density. Seven distinct antenna arrays operating at 2.6 GHz and 28 GHz have been devised and constructed using Computer Simulation Technology. In the power density measurement for indoor and hallway environments, the designed antennas are used as a transmitting antenna. The power density values need to verify whether the compliance limits set by the International Commission bodies such as the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) and Federal Communication Commission (FCC) bodies are complied with. According to the findings, higher number of the antenna elements would increase the antenna gain and received power, resulting in a higher power density. The gain of the 8×8 antenna array operating at 28 GHz is 23.80 dBi, compared to 17.75 dBi for a 4×4 array. Furthermore, the power density of the 8×8 array is substantially higher than that of the 4×4 array, with the power density of the 8×8 and 4×4 arrays being $6.80 \times 10^{-6} \text{ W/m}^2$ and $2.59 \times 10^{-6} \text{ W/m}^2$ respectively for indoor environments at 1 m distance. The measured power density at 28 GHz was found to be within the ICNIRP and FCC regulation's permissible limits. Comparative test conducted shows that the indoor environments has a higher power density than hallway environment. The measured power density for the 8×8 antenna array at 1 m distance for 28 GHz in the indoor and hallway environments are $6.80 \times 10^{-6} \text{ W/m}^2$ and $6.65 \times 10^{-6} \text{ W/m}^2$ respectively. Based on the allowable power density by ICNIRP and FCC, a compliance distance of 1.37 m was recorded for 8×8 antenna array at maximum power transmission of 30 dBm. This study helps to determine the compliance distances between users and radio base stations in Malaysian indoor and hallway environments.

ABSTRAK

Sel piko dan stesen pangkalan dalaman pelbagai jalur frekuensi diperlukan sebagai sebahagian daripada pembinaan rangkaian generasi kelima (5G). Medan elektromagnetik (EMF) dipancarkan oleh pangkalan ini, dan ada kebimbangan mengenai kesannya terhadap tubuh manusia. Jumlah EMF terpancar yang dapat diterima diukur dengan kadar penyerapan khusus dan ketumpatan kuasa ruang bebas seperti yang ditentukan oleh badan kawal selia antarabangsa. Oleh itu, tujuan tesis ini adalah untuk melihat jumlah radiasi yang dipancarkan oleh tatasusunan antenna di persekitaran dalaman dan lorong berdasarkan ketumpatan kuasa. Tujuh tatasusunan antenna berbeza yang beroperasi pada 2.6 GHz dan 28 GHz dirancang dan dibina menggunakan Computer Simulation Technology. Dalam pengukuran ketumpatan kuasa untuk persekitaran dalaman dan lorong, antenna yang direka bentuk digunakan sebagai antenna pemancar. Nilai ketumpatan kuasa perlu mengesahkan sama ada had pematuhan yang ditetapkan oleh badan-badan Suruhanjaya Antarabangsa seperti International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) dan Federal Communication Commission (FCC) di patuhi. Dari hasil kajian, peningkatan bilangan elemen antenna akan meningkatkan gandaan antenna dan kuasa yang diterima, menghasilkan ketumpatan kuasa yang lebih tinggi. Hasil pengukuran untuk tatasusunan antenna 8×8 yang beroperasi pada 28 GHz adalah 23.80 dBi yang lebih tinggi berbanding tatasusunan 4×4 pada 17.75 dBi. Tambahan pula, ketumpatan kuasa tatasusunan 8×8 jauh lebih tinggi berbanding dengan tatasusunan 4×4 di mana nilai ketumpatan kuasa untuk tatasusunan 8×8 dan 4×4 masing-masing adalah $6.80 \times 10^{-6} \text{ W/m}^2$ dan $2.59 \times 10^{-6} \text{ W/m}^2$ untuk persekitaran dalaman pada jarak 1 m. Ketumpatan kuasa yang diukur pada 28 GHz didapati berada dalam had yang dibenarkan oleh peraturan ICNIRP dan FCC. Ujian perbandingan yang dijalankan menunjukkan bahawa persekitaran dalaman mempunyai ketumpatan kuasa yang lebih tinggi daripada persekitaran lorong. Ketumpatan kuasa yang telah diukur untuk tatasusunan 8×8 pada jarak 1 m untuk 28 GHz di persekitaran dalaman dan lorong masing-masing adalah $6.80 \times 10^{-6} \text{ W/m}^2$ dan $6.65 \times 10^{-6} \text{ W/m}^2$. Berdasarkan ketumpatan kuasa yang dibenarkan oleh ICNIRP dan FCC, jarak pematuhan 1.37 m direkodkan untuk tatasusunan antenna 8×8 dengan penghantaran kuasa maksimum pada 30 dBm. Kajian ini membantu menentukan jarak pematuhan antara pengguna dan stesen pangkalan radio di persekitaran dalaman dan lorong di Malaysia.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	PAGE
DECLARATION	iii
DEDICATION	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
ABSTRACT	vi
ABSTRAK	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xviii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xx
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Project Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Objectives	5
1.4 Scope of Work	5
1.5 Thesis Outlines	6
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 5G Base Station Requirements	9
2.2.1 5G Radio Base Station	13
2.2.2 Type of Base Station	14
2.2.3 Base Station Installation and Classification	14
2.2.4 Beamforming	17
2.3 Fundamentals of mmWave Communication	18
2.3.1 MmWave Propagation Characteristics	19

2.3.2	Directional Antenna Arrays	20
2.4	Fundamentals of EMF Radiation	21
2.4.1	EMF Radiation Metrics	25
2.4.2	Power Density	26
2.4.3	Related Work of Power Density	28
2.4.4	EMF Exposure Guidelines and Limits	30
2.4.4.1	Maximum Permissible of Power Density	31
2.4.4.2	Fraunhofer Region	31
2.4.4.3	Compliance Boundary	32
2.5	5G Antenna	34
2.5.1	Antenna Properties	34
2.5.2	Antenna for 5G	38
2.5.3	Antenna Array Advantages and Disadvantages	44
2.6	Related Works on EMF Radiation	45
2.7	Summary	48
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	49
3.1	Introduction	49
3.2	Project Methodology	49
3.3	Antenna Design	51
3.3.1	Simulation	51
3.3.2	Fabrication	52
3.3.3	Antenna Measurement Procedure	55
3.4	EMF Measurement Procedure	57
3.5	Measurement Analysis	62
3.6	Summary	62
CHAPTER 4	ANTENNA DESIGN AND MEASUREMENT	63
4.1	Introduction	63
4.2	Simulation of Microstrip Patch Antenna and Results	63
4.3	Fabrication and Measurement Results	81
4.4	Summary	92

CHAPTER 5	EMF MEASUREMENT AND DISCUSSION	93
5.1	Introduction	93
5.2	EMF Measurements Results of Using Microstrip Patch Antenna	93
5.2.1	Indoor EMF Measurement Results	93
5.2.1.1	Received Power	94
5.2.1.2	Power Density	96
5.2.2	Hallway Measurement Results	97
5.2.2.1	Received Power	98
5.2.2.2	Power Density	100
5.2.3	Power Density Comparison between Hallway and Indoor Environments	101
5.3	Summary	105
CHAPTER 6	CONCLUSION AND FURTHER WORK	107
6.1	Conclusion	107
6.2	Future Work	109
REFERENCES		111
APPENDIX A-C		123-131

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Classification of cells in mobile communication	16
Table 2.2	Summary of Exposure Limits	25
Table 2.3	Calculation of compliance distance for the different frequency range	33
Table 2.4	Different materials and dielectric constants	39
Table 2.5	Averaged power density with different places	45
Table 2.6	Exposure Limit for General Public	46
Table 2.7	Exposure Limit for Occupational Workers	46
Table 2.8	List equipment for measurement	46
Table 3.1	Antenna dimensions and sizes for 2.6 GHz and 28 GHz	51
Table 4.1	Parameters of single antenna at 2.6 GHz	64
Table 4.2	Characteristic impedances and widths	68
Table 4.3	Dimensions for single element antenna at 28 GHz	73
Table 4.4	Parameters of a 2×2 antenna array at 28 GHz	75
Table 4.5	The value of width of feedline	76
Table 4.6	Parameters of 4×4 antenna array at 28 GHz	77
Table 4.7	Return loss of 4×4 antenna array at 28 GHz	78
Table 4.8	Return loss of 8×8 antenna array at 28 GHz	80
Table 4.9	Gain comparison for single, 2×2 and 4×4 antenna arrays at 2.6 GHz	81
Table 4.10	Gain comparison for single, 2×2, 4×4 and 8×8 antenna arrays at 28 GHz	81
Table 4.11	Return loss for 4×4 antenna array at 28 GHz	88
Table 4.12	Return loss for 8×8 antenna array at 28 GHz	90
Table 4.13	Comparison of size and gain of antenna	91
Table 5.1	Compliance distance with varied value of power transmit for public	103
Table 5.2	Compliance distance with varied value of power transmit for occupational	105

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Major Service Scenarios with 5G	11
Figure 2.2	Potential bands in 20-50GHz (US)	12
Figure 2.3	Atmospheric absorption across mmWave frequencies in dB/km	19
Figure 2.4	Electromagnetic spectrum	22
Figure 2.5	ICNIRP Standard Bodies limit for workers	30
Figure 2.6	Illustration of EM radiation fields	32
Figure 2.7	Bandwidth measurement	35
Figure 2.8	Fields or radiation of an antenna	36
Figure 2.9	Structure of microstrip patch antenna	39
Figure 2.10	Coaxial cable feeding technique	40
Figure 2.11	Microstrip line feeding technique	40
Figure 2.12	Microstrip transmission line with a rectangular microstrip patch antenna	41
Figure 2.13	Microstrip line with quarter wavelength	41
Figure 2.14	Microstrip patch antenna	42
Figure 2.15	Power density Instrument Type	47
Figure 2.16	Equipment used during measurement at telecommunication cell tower	47
Figure 2.17	(a) flow-chart for measurement, (b) cell tower and as well as instrument image used for measurement	48
Figure 3.1	The flowchart of the research	50
Figure 3.2	Dimensions of antenna, Width and Length	51
Figure 3.3	Antenna fabrication process/step	53
Figure 3.4	Summary of fabrication process	54
Figure 3.5	Vector Network Analyzer	55
Figure 3.6	Radiation pattern measurement setup (a) antenna under test (transmitter) (b) receiving antenna	56
Figure 3.7	Antenna under test setup	56
Figure 3.8	Measurement setup	57

Figure 3.9	Receiver setup; (a) receiving horn antenna, and(b) Spectrum Analyzer	58
Figure 3.10	Transmitter setup	58
Figure 3.11	Measurement environment inside IC5G	59
Figure 3.12	Transmitter setup using 2×2 antenna array at 2.6 GHz	59
Figure 3.13	Floor plan of IC5G Laboratory	60
Figure 3.14	(a) Measurement setup (b) Adjust the tripod to hold horn antenna as a receiver	61
Figure 3.15	(a) Hallway environment at IC5G (b) Setting the spectrum network analyzer.	61
Figure 3.16	(a) Base station and (b) receiver setup	62
Figure 4.1	Design of single rectangular patch antenna	64
Figure 4.2	Single antenna at 2.6 GHz	65
Figure 4.3	Return loss of single antenna at 2.6 GHz	65
Figure 4.4	Radiation pattern of single antenna at 2.6 GHz in 2D cut	66
Figure 4.5	3D Farfield radiation pattern of single antenna at 2.6 GHz	66
Figure 4.6	2×2 antenna array at 2.6 GHz	67
Figure 4.7	Quarter-wavelength impedance matching	68
Figure 4.8	Return loss for 2×2 antenna array at 2.6 GHz	69
Figure 4.9	Farfield for 2×2 antenna array at 2.6 GHz	69
Figure 4.10	Radiation pattern for 2×2 antenna array at 2.6 GHz in 2D cut	70
Figure 4.11	4×4 antenna array at 2.6 GHz	70
Figure 4.12	S-parameter of 4×4 antenna array at 2.6 GHz	71
Figure 4.13	Farfield of 4×4 antenna array at 2.6 GHz	71
Figure 4.14	Farfield of 4×4 antenna array in 2D cut	72
Figure 4.15	Design of single element antenna at 28 GHz	72
Figure 4.16	Single antenna at 28 GHz	73
Figure 4.17	S-parameter for single antenna at 28 GHz	74
Figure 4.18	3D Farfield radiation pattern of single antenna at 28 GHz	74
Figure 4.19	2D Radiation pattern of single antenna at 28 GHz	75
Figure 4.20	2×2 antenna array for 28 GHz	76
Figure 4.21	S-parameters for 2×2 antenna array at 28 GHz	76
Figure 4.22	Farfield of 2×2 antenna array at 28 GHz	77
Figure 4.23	4×4 antenna array at 28 GHz	78

Figure 4.24	Radiation pattern of 4×4 antenna array at 28 GHz	79
Figure 4.25	8×8 antenna array at 28 GHz	79
Figure 4.26	3D radiation pattern of 8×8 antenna array at 28 GHz	80
Figure 4.27	Fabricated single antenna at 2.6 GHz	81
Figure 4.28	Return loss single antenna at 2.6 GHz simulation versus measurement.	82
Figure 4.29	Fabricated 2×2 antenna array at 2.6 GHz	82
Figure 4.30	Return loss 2×2 antenna array at 2.6 GHz	83
Figure 4.31	Fabricated 4×4 antenna array at 2.6 GHz	83
Figure 4.32	Return loss for 4×4 antenna array at 2.6 GHz	84
Figure 4.33	Fabricated single antenna at 28 GHz	84
Figure 4.34	Return loss for single antenna at 28 GHz comparison simulation versus measurement.	85
Figure 4.35	Radiation Pattern for simulation (a) and (b) measurement (h-plane)	85
Figure 4.36	Radiation pattern for single antenna at 28 GHz (H-plane)	86
Figure 4.37	Fabricated 2×2 antenna array at 28 GHz	86
Figure 4.38	Return loss for 2×2 antenna array at 28 GHz	87
Figure 4.39	Radiation pattern for 2×2 antenna array at 28 GHz (a) E-plane (b) H-plane	87
Figure 4.40	Fabricated of 4×4 antenna array at 28 GHz	88
Figure 4.41	Radiation pattern for 4×4 antenna array at 28 GHz (a) E-plane (b) H-plane	89
Figure 4.42	Fabricated of 8×8 antenna array at 28 GHz	89
Figure 4.43	Radiation pattern for 8×8 antenna array at 28 GHz (a) E-plane (b) H-plane	90
Figure 4.44	Plotted gain in dBi against number of antenna elements	91
Figure 5.1	Received power versus distance for indoor at 2.6 GHz.	94
Figure 5.2	Received power versus distance for indoor at 28 GHz	95
Figure 5.3	Theoretical and experimental received power versus distance for indoor at 2.6 GHz	96
Figure 5.4	Theoretical and experimental received power versus distance for indoor at 28 GHz	96
Figure 5.5	Power density versus distance graph indoor at 2.6 GHz	97
Figure 5.6	Power density versus distance graph indoor for 28 GHz	97
Figure 5.7	Received power versus distance at hallway for 2.6 GHz	98

Figure 5.8	Received Power versus Distance at hallway for 28 GHz	98
Figure 5.9	Theoretical and experimental received power versus distance for hallway at 2.6 GHz	99
Figure 5.10	Theoretical and experimental received power versus distance for hallway at 28 GHz	99
Figure 5.11	Power density graph hallway at 2.6 GHz	100
Figure 5.12	Power density graph hallway for 28 GHz	101
Figure 5.13	Power density comparison in indoor and hallway using single element antenna	101
Figure 5.14	Power density comparison in indoor and hallway using 2×2 antenna array	102
Figure 5.15	Power density comparison in indoor and hallway using 4×4 antenna array	103
Figure 5.16	Transmit power versus compliance distance using different types of antennas (public)	104
Figure 5.17	Transmit power versus compliance distance using different types of antennas (occupational)	105

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

1G	-	First Generation
2G	-	Second Generation
3G	-	Third Generation
4G	-	Fourth Generation
5G	-	Fifth Generation
BS	-	Base Station
BTS	-	Base Transceiver Station
CDMA	-	Code Division Multiple Access
CST	-	Computer Simulation Technology
dB	-	Decibel
DC	-	Direct Current
DPC	-	Dynamic Power Control
DTA	-	Datacom Analyzer
DTX	-	Discontinuous Transmission
DXF	-	Drawing Exchange Format
EIRP	-	Equivalent Isotropic Radiated Power
EMF	-	Electromagnetic Field
FCC	-	Federal Communication Commissions
FDTD	-	Finite Difference Time Domain
GSM	-	Global System for Mobile
H ₂ O	-	Chemical Formula for Water
HPBW	-	Half Power Beamwidth
IARC	-	International Agency for Research on Cancer
IC5G	-	Innovation Centre 5G
ICNIRP	-	International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protector
IEEE	-	Institute of Electricals and Electronic Engineers
IMT	-	International Mobile Telecommunication
IoT	-	Internet of Thing
ISI	-	Inter Symbol Interference
LAN	-	Local Area Network

LTE	-	Long Term Evolution
M2M	-	Mobile to Mobile
MIMO	-	Multiple Input Multiple Output
MmWave	-	Millimeter Wave
MoM	-	Method of Moment
MPE	-	Maximum Permissible Exposure
NBM	-	Narda Broadband Field Meter
O ₂	-	Oxygen
OMT	-	Operation and Maintenance
PCB	-	Printed Circuit Board
PD	-	Power Density
RBS	-	Radio Base Station
RF	-	Radio Frequency
SAR	-	Specific Absorption Rate
SINR	-	Signal to Interference Noise Ratio
SMA	-	Sub Miniature Version
UMTS	-	Universal Mobile Telecommunication Services
UV	-	Ultraviolet
VNA	-	Vector Network Analyzer
VSWR	-	Voltage Standing Wave Ration
WCC	-	Wireless Communication Center
Wi-Fi	-	Wireless Fidelity
WiMax	-	Worldwide Interoperability for Microwave Access
WHO	-	World Health Organization

LIST OF SYMBOLS

ρ	-	Density
\bar{P}	-	Power Density
Re	-	Real Part
\bar{E}	-	Electric Field
\bar{H}	-	Magnetic Field
P_r	-	Receiving Power
P_d	-	Receiving Power Density
P_t	-	Transmitted Power
p_e	-	Effective Radiated Power
g_{a1}	-	Transmitting Antenna Gain
g_{c1}	-	Transmitting Line Loss
g_{a2}	-	Receiving Antenna Gain
g_{c2}	-	Receiving Line Loss
R	-	Range Between Two Antennas
λ	-	Wavelength
P_d	-	Power Density
π	-	Pi
P	-	Power
G	-	Gain
d_F	-	Minimum distance of farfield
D	-	Diameter
f	-	Frequency
t	-	Time
c	-	Speed of light
f_o	-	Operating frequency
ε	-	Dielectric Constant
W	-	Width of Patch
L	-	Length of the Patch
W_g	-	Width of the ground plane

L_g	-	Length of the ground plane
ϵ_{reff}	-	Effective Dielectric Constant
L_{eff}	-	Effective Length
ΔL	-	Length Extension
W_0	-	Width of Microstrip Line

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Data of Power Density	123
Appendix B	NSI-RF Products	129
Appendix C	List of Publications	131

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Background

The wireless industry is currently facing a tremendous growth of mobile data traffic demands due to the increasing use of smart phones along with new applications such as real-time conferencing, high-definition video streaming and online gaming. According to the recent forecast in [1], the fifth Generation (5G) connection is expected to generate three-fold more data traffic than the fourth Generation (4G) connection by 2023. In addition, mobile-to-mobile (M2M) and Internet of Things (IoT) are estimated to further raise the data traffic, where these technologies will grow from 33% in 2018 to 50% by 2023. Therefore, mobile network operators need to prepare a sufficient network capacity to successfully support the traffic demands in 5G networks.

The concept of small cells, which reduces the cell size and increases frequency reuse, has attracted significant attention from both the wireless industry and academia as a promising solution to enhance the network capacity. However, the current operating frequency, particularly in the microwave band, is becoming increasingly congested, which limits the improvement of 4G cellular networks. Another potential solution for increasing the network capacity is by utilizing a higher fraction of frequency spectrum, such as millimeter wave (mmWave) bands. Although, the amalgam of these two feature, i.e., small cells and mmWave spectrum, will bring substantial benefits in the 5G networks [2], the ubiquitous wireless system raises the level of electromagnetic field (EMF) exposure to humans in the surrounding area. Hence, there is an increasing concern about the potential adverse health effects caused by the exposure of EMF on humans [3][4].

Mobile phones emit radio-frequency energy in a form of non-ionizing radiation. Such radiation is considered carcinogenic to humans and it is categorized under Group 2B by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) of the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2011[5]. The emission from mobile phones can lead to harmful effects due to the proximity between the antennas and parts of the human body such as the head. Human organs or tissues can absorb the energy from the EMF radiation, which subsequently causes biological effects. The biological effects can be classified into thermal and non-thermal effects. The former effect may lead to tissue heating, which can cause injury and brain damage. In addition, concerns on EMF exposure also come from base station (BS) as nowadays the BSs are deployed close to or in the surrounding residential areas. Following this, there are a number of local and international EMF radiation exposure limits, compliance tests and guidelines proposed by relevant regulatory organizations.

Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) is a metric that is currently utilised to regulate the safety limit for mobile phone EMF radiation. SAR refers to a metric for evaluating the EMF exposure at the area close to the antenna, which is also known as near-field region. Such metric measures the amount of radiation that is absorbed by a human head when using a cellular phone. In general, the high SAR indicates that the human is exposed to a relatively large amount of EMF. Another way to evaluate the EMF radiation exposure level on humans is by using power density, where such measurement metric has been used as a guideline for the network operator in the base station deployment [6][7].

With the recent migration of mobile telecommunication technology to 4G (LTE 2.6 GHz), and in the near future to 5G where frequencies in the mmWave bands of 28 GHz and 38 GHz may be employed for high-speed mobile communications, it is imperative that studies should be done at these frequencies to see its radiation impact on humans. Thus far, all studies have been mostly concentrated at the two most common frequencies of 900 MHz and 1800 MHz. This makes this research timely, and relevant.

SAR, is used to measure the rate of absorbed energy inside the human body. It also requires either advanced numerical simulations or costly measurement systems. As for the power density, it is the power per unit area normal to the direction of propagation. At frequency from 10 MHz to 10 GHz, the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) basic restrictions are provided in terms of SAR. Basic restrictions are given in terms of free space power density for higher frequencies between 10 GHz to 300 GHz.

Research works that are involved in the studies of the transmit power of mobile communication systems, reduction of EMF radiation, and potential health hazards are listed and discussed [8]. They include the low EMF exposure future networks (LEXNET) [9], international EMF project, greentouch, as well as energy aware radio and network technologies (EARTH) [10]. These projects comprise network operators, industrial partners, universities and research centers. Their objective includes reduction of energy consumption, reduction of EMF radiation exposure, development of international acceptable standards and improvement in energy efficiency. Following this, guidelines on the limits of EMF exposure from mobile phones, BSs, and other sources of EMF radiation exposure have been provided in [11][12]. These guidelines are based on epidemiological and laboratory studies. They state the maximum admissible exposure of people to EMF waves not less than 300 GHz.

The guidelines can be applied to both public and occupational exposure. The term public exposure can be referred to as the members of the public of all ages who are unaware of such exposures. Therefore, they practice fewer precautions to reduce the EMF exposure. Occupational exposure, on the other hand, can be referred to as the EMF exposure of adults who are not only aware and trained of the potential hazards, but also have taken the needed precautions.

Numerous techniques have been suggested to reduce the EMF radiation for the 5G communication system, such as power control, SAR shielding, beamforming [13][14], and massive MIMO [15][16]. Compliance assessment method dedicated for 5G radio BS is currently an active research area for all telecommunication systems that emit RF EMF to ensure that such systems follow the relevant regulatory exposure

limits. Currently, the compliance assessment method for 5G radio BS is an active research area. Therefore, this research provides an overview of some of the impacts of the EMF emission, effects of the radio BS in indoor environment, as well as compliance test for the 5G communication systems. The future direction and open issues were discussed for EMF assessment and compliance test.

1.2 Problem Statement

The effect of mobile radiation known as non-ionizing radiation on human health has recently been a subject of great interest and study as a result of the increase in mobile phone usage throughout the world. Studies have shown that there is supporting evidence of biological effects caused by radiation radio base stations [17][18]. With the migration to 5G communications technology, the antennas deployed at the radio base station will have different configurations, such as steerable with narrow beams and operating at high frequencies. In order to increase the coverage, base station antenna need to be designed with the 5G requirement to obtain the narrower beamwidth and the value of gain of the antenna has to be more than 12 dB [19]. An antenna array can be deployed to obtain narrow beamwidth and targeted gain. The main challenge of using antenna array is how antenna specifications can be achieved using limited antenna sizes.

There are concerns of many users with the increase in the number of mmWave 5G base stations for users due to smaller coverage area per base station, will cause health risks and hazards for the human body [20]. Power density measurements can be conducted to provide safety and assurance to users and the community, by determining compliance distances. This compliance distance is crucial to ensure that there are rules and regulations that can be used by public and occupational worker when they are exposed to the radio base station or antenna. The compliance distance estimated in [21] applies only to radiation measurements from the outdoor base station cell tower. Compliance distances from various types of base stations such as indoor lower power base stations need to be carried out.

1.3 Objectives

This study embarks on the following objectives:

- i. To design and analysis the performance of mm-wave antenna arrays for 5G base station applications.
- ii. To measure and analyse power density in different propagation environments using the proposed antenna.
- iii. To propose the compliance distance for different antenna configuration with low power base station.

1.4 Scope of Work

The main scope of this research work comprises the design and fabrication of antenna arrays, measurement and analysis of electromagnetic field radiation from 5G base station using the proposed antenna. The designed microstrip patch antenna arrays must meet the requirements of the 5G communications system in terms of gain and beamwidth.

The microstrip antenna arrays are designed and simulated using CST software. The square patch antenna is designed as a single element first, the same design is used duplicated to create the array. FR4 substrate is used for the antenna design operating at 2.6 GHz, while Rogers 5880 Duroid substrate is used for the microstrip patch antenna with 2.2 dielectric constant of 2.2 for the 28 GHz operating frequency. The proposed microstrip patch antenna designs are fabricated and tested in the WCC laboratory.

Farfield measurements of the proposed microstrip patch antenna array has been performed in the anechoic chamber in UTM Johor Bharu. This measurement is crucial because it contributes towards the measurement of the return loss and radiation pattern of the designed antenna. Finally, power density measurements with different transmitting power for two environments, indoor and hallway are performed at

Innovation Center for 5G (IC5G) UTM Kuala Lumpur. The designed antennas is used as a transmitting antenna connected to a signal generator. The horn antenna is used as the receiving antenna and is connected to the spectrum analyser to measure the received power in dBm. The power density is determined from the received power and compared with the ICNIRP standard value.

1.5 Thesis Outlines

The thesis consists of six chapters. In Chapter 1, the overview of the whole project is discussed, which includes the research background, problem statement, objectives of the research, scope of the research, and thesis outlines.

Chapter 2 presents the basic principle and requirement of array antenna used at radio base station for 5G. This chapter presents a literature review on array antennas that have been used for radio base station application. The principles of EMF radiation, as well as the effects of EMF radiation on the human body are also presented in this chapter. An overview of wireless telecommunication systems operating on mmWave frequency is presented to relate the challenges of array antenna implementation to radio base station applications. This chapter also presents the safety guidelines and regulations of EMF radiation proposed by international standard bodies such as the FCC and ICNIRP.

Chapter 3 elaborates the research methodology starting with the presentation of the research flow charts. The flow chart outlines the main research activities followed from the beginning to end. Design parameters and specifications are introduced as a guide to achieve the desired results. In addition, all design equations are presented, fabrication and measurement procedures are all presented.

The antenna design is presented in Chapter 4. This chapter presents the design of the antenna arrays, 2×2 , 4×4 and 8×8 , elaborates on design, simulation and fabrication of the proposed antennas. The simulation and measurements result for all

proposed antennas are compared and discussed. All parametric studies done to optimize the design are analysed and presented.

Chapter 5 presents the EMF measurement for two environments, indoor and hallway for frequencies of 2.6 GHz and 28 GHz. From the received power, the power density for both environments can be determined. Finally, this chapter presents the estimation of compliance distance from the received power and power density.

Finally, Chapter 6 concludes the significant results and contribution achieved in this research. Further research directions and recommendation are presented as a continuation for further developments in the research topic.

REFERENCES

1. Cisco.com, *cisco*. Technical Report. (White paper). Retrieved February 26, 2018 from: <https://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/solutions/collateral/executive-perspectives/annual-internet-report/white-paper-c11-741490.htm>. 2017
2. Andrew, J.G. *What Will Be 5G*. Retrieved January 30, 2019 from: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1405.2957>.2019.
3. WHO, “Electromagnetic Fields and Public Health: mobile phone,” 2014.
4. Jambroes, M., Nederland, T., Kaljouw, M., Vliet, K., Essink-Bot, M.L., and Ruwaard, D. A new concept of health-implications for public health policy and practice: a qualitative analysis. *Lancet*, 2014. vol. 384, p. S39, doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(14)62165-6.
5. Baan, R., *et al.*, Carcinogenicity of radiofrequency electromagnetic fields. *The Lancet Oncology*, 2011. 12(7): 624–626, doi: 10.1016/s1470-2045(11)70147-4.
6. Taki, M., and Watanabe, S., Biological and Health Effects of Exposure To Electromagnetic Field From Mobile Communications Systems. *IATSS Research*. 2001. 25(2): 40–50, doi: 10.1016/s0386-1112(14)60069-8.
7. Poljak, D., Cvetkoviv, M., Human Interaction with Electromagnetic Fields : Computational Models in Dosimetry. *St. Louis Missouri: Elsevier Inc*, 2019.
8. Sambo, Y.A., Heliot, F., and Imran, M.A. A survey and tutorial of electromagnetic radiation and reduction in mobile communication systems. *IEEE Communication Survey & Tutorials*, 2015. 17(2): 790–802. doi: 10.1109/COMST.2014.2364136.
9. WHO. *The International EMF Project*. Retrieved March 19, 2019 from: <https://www.who.int/peh-emf/project/en/>. 2019.
10. *Low EMF Exposure Networks (LEXNET)*. Retrieved May 25, 2018 from: <http://www.lexnet.fr/>. 2018.
11. ICNIRP, ICNIRP Guidelines for limiting exposure to time varying electric, magnetic and electromagnetic fields (up to 300GHz). *Health Physic*, 1998. 74(4): 494–522, doi: 10.1097/HP.0b013e3181f06c86.
12. Vecchia, P., and Matthes, R., Exposure to high frequency electromagnetic

- fields, biological effects and health consequences (100 kHz-300 GHz). *International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection* 378, 2009.
13. Mangoud, M.A., Abd-Alhameed, R.A., McEwan, N.J., Excell, P.S., and Abdulmula, E.A., SAR Reduction for Handset with Two-Element Phased Array Antenna Computed using Hybrid MoM/FDTD Technique. *Electronic Letters*, 1999. 35(20): 1693-1694, doi: 10.1049/el.
 14. Mahmoud, K., El-Adawy, M., Ibrahim, S., Bansal, R., and Zainud-Deen, S., Investigating the interaction between a human head and a smart handset for 4G mobile communication systems. *Progress in Electromagnetic Research C*, 2008. 2: 169–188, doi: 10.2528/PIERC08032405.
 15. Elijah, O., Leow, C.Y., Rahman, T.A., Nunoo, S., and Iliya, S.Z., A Comprehensive Survey of Pilot Contamination in Massive MIMO-5G System. *IEEE Communication Survey & Tutorials*, 2016. 18(2): 905–923, doi: 10.1109/COMST.2015.2504379.
 16. Xin, Y., Wang, D., and You, X., Area spectral efficiency and area energy efficiency analysis in massive MIMO systems. *2015 International Conference on Wireless Communication & Signal Processing WCSP*, 2015. 65(5): 1-5. doi: 10.1109/WCSP.2015.7340996.
 17. Ibrahim, N.A., Rahman, T.A., and Elijah, O., Recent Trend in Electromagnetic Radiation and Compliance Assessments for 5G Communication. *International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering*, 2017. 7(2): 912-918.
 18. Ibrahim, N.A., Rahman, T.A., Ngah, R., Aziz, O.A., and Elijah, O., Power Density of Rectangular Microstrip Patch Antenna Arrays for 5G Indoor Base Station. *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, September 2020. 19(3): 1367-1374.
 19. Xu, M.C., Mohsen, K., Xiao, P., Brown, T.W., and Gao, S., Planar Sub-Millimeter-Wave Array Antenna with Enhanced Gain and Reduction Sidelobes for 5G Broadcast Application. *IEEE Transactions on Antenna and Propagation*, 2018. 67(1): 160-168.
 20. Chiaraviglio, L., Fiore, M., and Rossi, E., 5G.Technology: Which Risks from the Health Perspective?. *The 5G Italy Book*, 2019.
 21. Jain, A., and Tupe-Waghmare, P., Radiation measurements at repeated intervals for various locations of SIU campus and calculation of compliance distance from cell tower. *2016 International Conference on Automatic Control and*

- Dynamic Optimization Techniques ICACDOT*, 2017: 804-808.
22. Durlauf, S.N., Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields. *OET Bulletin*, 2007: 9–10.
 23. Li, Y., and Lu, G., The Research on the Harm of Biological Effect of Mobile Phone Radiation to Human Body. *Progress in Electromagnetics Research Symposium, Beijing, China.*, 2009: 1109–1113, doi: 10.4324/9780203459263.
 24. Muirhead, D., Imran, M.A., and Arshad, K., A Survey of the Challenges, Opportunities and Use of Multiple Antennas in Current and Future 5G Small Cell Base Stations. *IEEE Access*, 2016. (4): 2952–2964. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2016.2569483.
 25. Dimblylow, P., Bolch, W., and Lee, C., SAR Calculations from 20MHz to 6GHz in the University of Florida Newborn Voxel Phantom and their Implication for Dosimetry. *Physics in Medicine & Biology.*, 2014. 55(5): 1519–1530. doi: 10.1038/jid.2014.371.
 26. Dewar, C., *5G Service Vision. Samsung Developers*. Retrieved August 11, 2018 from: <https://developer.samsung.com/tech-insights/5G/5g-service-vision>. 2018
 27. S. Developers, *5G Key Enabling Technologies*. Retrieved April 26, 2018 from: <https://developer.samsung.com/tech-insights/5G/5g-key-enabling-technologies>. 2018
 28. Liu, G., and Jiang, D., 5G: Vision and Requirements for Mobile Communication System towards Year 2020. *Chinese Journal of Engineering*, 2016, 8. doi: 10.1155/2016/5974586.
 29. Release, P., IARC Classifies Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields as Possibly Carcinogenic to Humans, 2011: 1–6.
 30. Xu, B., *et al.*, Power Density Measurements at 15 GHz for RF EMF Compliance Assessments of 5G User Equipment. *IEEE Transaction Antennas Propagation.*, 2017. 65(12): 6584–6595, doi: 10.1109/TAP.2017.2712792.
 31. Pocius, R.V., The Influence of Downtilt of Antenna Directional Diagrams on the Foundation of Mobile Network Cells and Estimation of Electromagnetic Field Intensity. *Elektronika*, 2005: 8(8).
 32. Baltrenas, P., and Buckus, R., Indoor Measurements of the Power Density Close To Mobile Station Antenna. *Environmental Engineering*. 2011: 6–21.
 33. Mangoud, M.A., Abd-Alhameed, R.A., Excell, P.S., and Member, S.,

- Simulation of Human Interaction with Mobile Telephone using Hybrid Technique Over Coupled Domains. *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, 2014. 48(11): 2014–2021.
34. Olivier, C., and Martens, L., Optimal settings for narrow-band signal measurements used for exposure assessment around GSM base stations. *IEEE Transactions on Instrumentation and Measurement*, 2005. 54(1):311–317, doi: 10.1109/TIM.2004.838114.
 35. Mousa, A., Electromagnetic Radiation Measurements and Safety Issues of Some Cellular Base Stations in Nablus. *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, 2011. 4(1).
 36. Kelley, D.F., Embedded Element Pattern and Mutual Impedance Matrices in The Terminated Phased Array Environment. *2005 IEEE Antennas and Propagation Society International Symposium AP-S Int.*, 2005. 3(1):659–662.
 37. G. of India, “Report of Inter-Ministerial Committee on EMF Radiation.”
 38. INTERPHONE Study Group, Brain Tumour Risk in Relation to Mobile Telephone Use : Results of the Interphone International Case-Control Study. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 2010. 39(3): 675-694.
 39. William, S. Independent Expert Group on Mobile Phones (IEGMP) Mobile Phones and Health, 2000.
 40. Thors, B., Strydom, M.L., Hansson, B. Meyer, F.J., Karkainen, K., Zollman, P., Ilvonen, S., and Torvenik. S., On the estimation of SAR and compliance distance related to RF exposure from mobile communication base station antennas. *IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility.*, 2008. 50(4): 837–848. doi: 10.1109/TEMPC.2008.2004605.
 41. Santini, R., Santini, P., Le Ruz, P., Danze, J.M. and Seigne, M. Survey Study of People Living in the Vicinity of Cellular Phone Base Stations. *Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine*, 2003. 22(1): 41–49.
 42. Navarro, E.A., Segura, J., Portoles, M., Gomez Peretta de Mateo, C. The Microwave Syndrome : A Preliminary Study in Spain. *Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine.*, 2003. 22(2-3): 161–169.
 43. Singh, R.K., Lai, R. and Singh, E., Assessment of electromagnetic radiation from base station antennas. *Indian J. Radio Sp. Phys.*, 2019. 41(5): 557–565.
 44. Bergqvist, U. Friedrich, G., Hamnerius, Y. and Martens, L., *Mobile Telecommunication Base Stations-Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields, Report*

- of a Short Term Mission within COST 244bis. *Cost*, pp. 77, 2000, Retrieved September 18, 2020 from: <http://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&btnG=Search&q=intitle:Mobile+telecommunication+base+stations+?+exposure+to+electromagnetic+fields+Report+of+a+Short+Term+Mission+within+COST+244bis#0>. 2020.
45. Wigren, T., Colombi, D., Thors, B., and Berg, J., Implication of RF EMF Exposure Limitations on 5G Data Rates Above 6 GHz. *IEEE 82nd Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC2015-Fall)*, 2015: 1–5.
 46. Joshi, P., Agrawal, M., Thors, B., Colombi, D., Kumar, A., and Törnevik, C., Power Level Distributions of Radio Base Station Equipment and User Devices in a 3G Mobile Communication Network in India and the Impact on Assessments of Realistic RF EMF Exposure. *IEEE Access*, 2015. 3(2015): 1051–1059, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2015.2453056.
 47. Buckus, R., and Baltrenas, P., Research and Analysis of Electromagnetic Radiation from Mobile Telephone Base Station Antennas in Residential Environment. *19th International Conference on Microwave, Radar and Wireless Communications.*, 2012. 1(2012): 171–175.
 48. Akbari, A., Electromagnetic exposure from wireless communicational systems, Chalmers University of Technology, 2012.
 49. Higashiyama, J. and Tarusawa, Y., Method for measuring radiated electric field excited by linear array antenna using near field to far field transformation. *18th International Zurich Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility, EMC*, 2007: 417–420, doi: 10.1109/EMCZUR.2007.4388284.
 50. Elsadek, H., Eldeeb, H., Abdallah, H., and Baghrzadeh, N., SAR distribution over whole human body existed in the field of mobile base station antenna. *2015 IEEE MTT-S International Conference on Numerical Electromagnetic and Multiphysics Modelling and Optimization (NEMO)*, 2016: 2–4, doi: 10.1109/NEMO.2015.7415033.
 51. Valberg, P.A., Van Deventer, T.A., Repacholi, M.F., Workgroup Report : Base Stations and Wireless Networks-Radiofrequency (RF) Exposure and Health. *Environmental Health Perspective.*, 2007. 115(2007): 416–424.
 52. Levitt, B.B., and Lai, H., Biological Effect from Exposure to Electromagnetic Radiation Emitted by Cell Tower Base Stations and Other Antenna Arrays. *Environmental Reviews.*, 2010. 18(2010): 369–395.

53. Thakkar, S., Upadhyay, Y., and Thakur, G., Analysis of compliance prediction models for Radio Frequency waves with measurement results. *2014 International Conference Circuits, Systemst, Communication and Information Technology Application (CSCITA)*, 2014. 10(2014): 150–155, doi: 10.1109/CSCITA.2014.6839251.
54. Calin, D., Claussen, H., Uzunilioglu, H., On Femto Deployment Architectures and Macrocell Offloading Benefits in Joint Macro-Femto Deployments. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2010. 48(1): 26-32.
55. Deruyck, M., Joseph, W., and Marthens, L., Power Consumption Model for Macrocell and Microcell Base Stations. *Transactions on Emerging Telecommunications and Technologies*, 2014. 25(3): 320-333.
56. Cooper, T.G., Mann, S.M., Khalid, M., and Blackwell, R.P., Public Exposure to Radiowaves near GSM Microcell and Picocell Base Stations. *Journal of Radiological Protection*, May 2006. 26(2): 199.
57. Oliveira, D.S.C., Fernandes, C., Reis, C., Carpinteiro, G., and Fereira, L., Definition of Exclusion Zones Around Typical Installations of Base Station Antennas, Lisbon, 2005.
58. Bornkessel, C., Assessment of Exposure to Mobile Telecommunication Electromagnetic Fields. *Wiener Medizinische Wochenschrift*, 2011. 161(2011): 233–239.
59. Rahman, T.A., Yong, C.Y., and Chew, K.M., Wireless Industry Emission : Electromagnetic Field Monitoring and Analysis. *ARPJ Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*. 2006. 10(2006): 9800–9807.
60. Cheung, K.W., and Murch, R.D., Optimising indoor base-station locations in coverage- And interference-limited indoor environments. *IEE Proceedings Communications*, 1999. 145(6): 445–450, doi: 10.1049/ip-com:19982448.
61. Aniolczyk, H. Electromagnetic field pattern in the environment of GSM base stations. *Int. J. Occup. Med. Environ. Health*, 1999. 12(1): 47–58.
62. Mobile Manufacturers Association (MMFA), *RF safety at base station sites*. pp. 1–11, Retrieved May 23, 2020 from: http://www.mmfa.org/Public/docs/eng/080729_RF_safety_base2NL_final.pdf 2020
63. Okonigene, R.E.. Siting of GSM base station antenna and its health consequences. *ITNG2010 - 7th International Conference on Information*

- Technology: New Generations*, 2010. pp. 613–618, doi: 10.1109/ITNG.2010.20.
64. Xu, B., Gustaffsson, M., Shi, S., Zhao, K., Ying, Z., and He, S., RF EMF Exposure Compliance of mmWave Array Antennas for 5G User Equipment Application. *Electromagnetic Theory Department of Electrical and Information Technology*, 2017.
 65. Series, P., Propagation data and prediction methods for the planning of indoor radiocommunication systems and radio local area networks in the frequency range 300 MHz to 100 GHz. *Recommendation ITU-R.*, 2015. 9(2015): 1238–8.
 66. El Kashlan, M., Duong, T.Q., and Chen, H. H., Millimeter-wave communications for 5G: Fundamentals: Part i [Guest Editorial]. *IEEE Communication Magazine.*, 2014. 52(9): 52–54, doi: 10.1109/MCOM.2014.6894452.
 67. Seyedi, A., On the Physical Layer Performance of Ecma-387: A Standard for 60 GHz WPANs. *Proceedings-2009 IEEE International Conference on Ultra Wideband, ICUWB*, 2009. pp. 28–32.
 68. Farooq, U., and Rather, G.M., Millimeter Wave (MMW) Communications for Fifth Generation (5G) Mobile Networks. *Advanced Computing and Intelligent Engineering.*, 2019. pp. 97–106.
 69. Wells, J., Faster Than Fibre: The future of multi-G/s wireless. *IEEE Microwave Magazine*, 2009. 10(3): 104–112.
 70. Hoong, N.K., Radiation, Mobile Phones, Base Stations and Your Health. *Malaysian Communication and Multimedia Commissions*, 2003.
 71. The Minnesota State Interagency Working Group, “A White Paper on Electric and Magnetic Fields (EMF) Policy and Mitigation Options,” September 2002.
 72. Researchgate, *is it possible to shield magnetic field with non-ferromagnetic material*. Retrieved July 29, 2019 from: [researchgate.net/post/Is_it_possible_tp_shield_magnetic_field_with_non-ferromagnetic_material](https://www.researchgate.net/post/Is_it_possible_to_shield_magnetic_field_with_non-ferromagnetic_material)". 2019.
 73. National Cancer Institute, *Electromagnetic Fields and Cancer*. Retrieved December 12, 2020 from: <https://cancer.gov/about-cancer/causes-prevention/risk/radiation/electromagnetic-fields-fact-sheet>. 2020.
 74. American Cancer Society, *Radio Frequency Radiation*. Retrieved February 20,

- 2020 from: <https://cancer.org/cancer-causes/radiation-exposure/radiofrequency-radiation.html>. 2020.
75. AA Shayegani, A., Electromagnetic fields near transmission lines - problems and solutions. *Journal of Environmental Health Science & Engineering.*, 2010. 7(2): 181–188.
 76. Thors, B., Colombi, D., Ying, Z., Bolin, T., and Tornevik, C., Exposure to RF EMF from Array Antennas in 5G Mobile Communication Equipment. *IEEE Access*, 2016. 4(2016): 7469–7478. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2016.2601145.
 77. Colombi, D., Thors, B., Wiren, N., Larsson, L.E., and Tornevik, C., Measurements of downlink power level distributions in LTE networks. *Proc. 2013 International Conference on Electromagnetics in Advanced Applications (ICEAA)*, 2013. pp. 98–101. doi: 10.1109/ICEAA.2013.6632196.
 78. Simunic, D., Measurement and Exposure Assessment for Standard Compliance, 1999. pp. 1–12.
 79. Mann, S., Cooper, T.G., Allen, S.G., Blackwell, R.P., and Lowe, A.J., Exposure to radio waves near mobile phone base stations. *Radiological Protection Bulletin.*, 2000. 4(7): 13–16.
 80. de Salles, A.A.A., Biological effects of microwave and RF. 1999. pp. 51–56, doi: 10.1109/imoc.1999.867040.
 81. Elwasife, K.Y., Power Density and SAR in Multi-Layered Life Tissue at Global System Mobile (GSM) Frequencies. *Journal of Electromagnetic Analysis and Applications*, 2011. 3(8): 328–332. doi: 10.1007/springerreference_21805.
 82. Colombi, D., Thors, B., Tornevik, C., and Balzano, Q., RF Energy Absorption by Biological Tissues in Close Proximity to Millimeter-Wave 5G Wireless Equipment. *IEEE Access*, 2018. 6(2018): 4974–4981. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2790038.
 83. Joseph, W., *et al.*, Comparison of personal radio frequency electromagnetic field exposure in different urban areas across Europe. *Environmental Research*, 2010. 110(7): 658–663 doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2010.06.009.
 84. Beekhuizen, J. Modelling Indoor Electromagnetic Fields (EMF) from Mobile Phone Base Stations for Epidemiological Studies. *Environment International.*, 2014. 67(2014): 22–26.
 85. Baltrenas, P., and Buckus, R., Measurements and analysis of the electromagnetic fields of mobile communication antennas. *Measurement*

- Journal International Confed.*, 2013. 46(10): 3942–3949, doi: 10.1016/j.measurement.2013.08.008.
86. Colombi, D., Thors, B., and Tornevik, C. Implications of EMF Exposure Limits on Output Power Levels for 5G Devices Above 6 GHz. *IEEE Antennas Wireless and Propagation Letter.*, 2015. 14(2015): 1247–1249, doi: 10.1109/LAWP.2015.2400331.
 87. Ibrahim, N.A., Rahman, T.A., and Elijah, O., Recent trend in electromagnetic radiation and compliance assessments for 5G communication. *International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering.*, 2017. 7(2): 912–918,, doi: 10.11591/ijece.v7i2.pp912-918.
 88. Nasim, I., and Kim, S., Human Exposure to RF Fields in 5G Downlink. *arXiv Prepr. arXiv1711.03683*, 2017, doi: 10.1007/978-1-4899-0473-7_5.
 89. Degirmenci, E., Thors, B., and Törnevik, C., Assessment of Compliance with RF EMF Exposure Limits: Approximate Methods for Radio Base Station Products Utilizing Array Antennas with Beam-Forming Capabilities. *IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility.*, 2016. 58(4): 1110–1117, doi: 10.1109/TEMC.2016.2550611.
 90. Al-bazzaz, S.H.S., Theoretical Estimation of Power Density Levels around Mobile Telephone Base Stations. *Journal of Science and Technology.*, 2008. 13(2): 3–16.
 91. Siwiak, K., Radio Wave Propagation and Antennas for Personal Communication. *Artech House, Inc*, 1998.
 92. Renke. A., and Chavan, M., An investigation on residential exposure to electromagnetic field from cellular mobile base station antennas. *2015 International Conference on Computing, Communication and Security (ICCCS)* 2016. pp. 1–4. doi: 10.1109/cccs.2015.7374208.
 93. Han, F., and Guckian, P., Assessment of EMF Radiation from a Ku-Band Antenna. *3rd International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility.*, 2002: 143–146.
 94. Resende, U.C., Da Costa, M.C., Goncalves, S.T., and Sao Jose, A.N., Evaluation of electromagnetic field emitted by cellular radio base antennae in human head using the Method of Moments. *SBMO/IEEE MTT-S International Microwave & Optoelectronic Conference (IMOC).*, 2013. pp. 1–5, doi: 10.1109/IMOC.2013.6646538.

95. Pozar, D.M., *Microwave Engineering*, 4th Edition. USA: John Wiley and Sons, Inc, 2009.
96. Visser, H.J., Reniers, A.C., and Theeuwes, J.A. Ambient RF energy scavenging: GSM and WLAN power density measurements. *Proc. 38th European Microwave Conference EuMC 2008*,. October 2008, pp. 721–724, doi: 10.1109/EUMC.2008.4751554.
97. Zhao, K., Ying, Z., and He, S., EMF Exposure Study Concerning mmWave Phased Array in Mobile Devices for 5G Communication. *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters.*, 2016. 15(c): 1132–1135, doi: 10.1109/LAWP.2015.2496229.
98. Mohsoon, A.A., Impact of Random Broadcast of the Internet on Human Health : A Field Study on Al-Najaf City. *Journal of Theoretical & Applied Information Technology.*, 2017. 95(8).
99. Thapa, D., Sahu, R.B., Parajuli, P., and Shah, B.R., Study of Power Density Transmitted from Cellular Base Station Towers of Nepal Telecom in Biratnagar Sub-Metropolitan City. *International Journal of Applied Sciences and Biotechnology.*, 2016. 4(3): 338–345. doi: 10.1007/s100520050215.
100. Wu, T., Rappaport, T.S., and Collins, C.M., The Human Body and Millimeter - Wave Wireless Communication Systems : Interactions and Implications. *IEEE International Conference on Communication (ICC).*, 2015. pp. 2423–2429.
101. Rappaport, T., *Wireless Communications and Principle and Practice*, 19th Print. Prentice Hall, 2010.
102. Jain, A., and Tupe-Waghmare, P., Radiation measurements at repeated intervals for various locations of SIU campus and calculation of compliance distance from cell tower. *International Conference on Automatic Control and Dynamic Optimization Technique (ICACDOT) 2016*, 2017. pp. 804–808, doi: 10.1109/ICACDOT.2016.7877698.
103. Degirmenci, E., Thors, B., and Tornevik, C., Approximate methods for EMF compliance assessments of large array antennas. *2015 International Conference on Electromagnetic in Advanced. Applications (ICEAA)*, 2015. pp. 1141–1144, doi: 10.1109/ICEAA.2015.7297297.
104. Centre, T.E., and Bhavan, L., Test Procedure For Measurement of Electromagnetic Fields From Base Station Antenna, 2018.
105. Thielens, A., Vermeeren, G., Kurup, D., Joseph, W., and Martens, L.,

- Compliance boundaries for LTE base station antennas at 2600 MHz. *Proc. 6th European Conference on Antennas and Propagation, EuCAP 2012*, 2012. pp. 889–892., doi: 10.1109/EuCAP.2012.6205926.
106. Thielens, A., Vermeeren, G., Kurup, D, Joseph, W., and Martens, L., Compliance boundaries for multiple-frequency base station antennas in three directions. *Bioelectromagnetics*, 2013. 34(6): 465-478. doi: 10.1002/bem.21778.
 107. Nozadze, T., Jeladze, V., Tabatadze, V., Petoev, I., Prishvin, M., and Zaridze, R., Base station antenna’s em exposure study on a homogeneous human model located inside the car. *2017 22nd International. Seminar/Workshop on Direct and Inverse Problem of Electromagnetic and Acoustic Wave Theory, DIPED*, 2017. pp. 209–213. doi: 10.1109/DIPED.2017.8100603.
 108. Persia, S., Carciofi, C., Barbiroli, M., Volta, C., Bontempelli, D., and Anania, G., Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Field Exposure Assessment for future 5G networks. *IEEE International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communication (PIMRC)*, September 2018., pp. 1203–1207, doi: 10.1109/PIMRC.2018.8580919.
 109. Dhande, P., Antennas and its Applications, *DRDO Science Spectrum*, March 2009. pp. 66–78.
 110. Stutzman, W.L., and Thiele. G.A., *Antenna Theory and Design*. John Wiley and Sons, Inc, 2012.
 111. Lienau, J. *Antenna Bandwidth*. Retrieved November 17, 2019 from: <https://www.lairdconnect.com/resources/white-papers/understanding-antenna-design>. 2019.
 112. Rodrigo, R., “Fundamental Parameters of Antennas,” 2010. pp. 1–19.
 113. KO4BB Wiki, *Antenna Measurement Radiating Regions*. Retrieved August 29, 2019 from: http://www.ko4bb.com/Test_Equipment/Antenna_Measurements/. 2019
 114. This, I., “Design and Simulation of Pin Feed Microstrip Patch Array,” 2010. pp. 128–148.
 115. Alon, L., Deniz, C.M., Collins, C.M., and Sodickson, D.K., System, Method and Computer Accessible Medium for Compliance Assessment and Active Power Management for Safe Use of Radiowave Emitting Devices, United States Patent Application US. 2017.

116. Nawale, P.A., and Zope, R.G., Design and Improvement of Microstrip Patch Antenna Parameters Using Defected Ground Structure. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Application*, 2014. 4(6): 123–129.
117. Dua, R.L., Singh, H., and Gambhir, N., 2.45 GHz Microstrip Patch Antenna with Defected Ground Structure for Bluetooth. *International Journal of Soft Computing and Engineering.*, 2012. 1(6): 262–265.
118. Balanis, C. A., *Antenna Theory : Analysis and Design*. 2016.
119. Kumar, A., and Garg, B., Rectangular Microstrip Patch Antenna Loaded with Double Orthogonal Crossed Slits in Ground Plane. *International Journal of Advance Technology and Engineering Research.*, 2011.
120. Chavda, N., Dwivedi, V., and Parmar, K., Designing of Microstrip Patch Antenna for 3G WCDMA Applications. *Diamond*, pp. 2–53.
121. Garg, R., Bhartia, P., Bahl, I.J., and Ittipiboon, A., *Microstrip Design Handbook*. London: Artech House, Inc, 2001.
122. Ramesh, M., and Kb, Y.I.P., Design Formula for Inset Fed Microstrip Patch Antenna. *Journal of Microwave, Optoelectronics and Electromagnetic Application (JIMOE).*, 2003. 3(3): 5–10.
123. Rajeshkumar, V., Priyadashini, K., Glory Devakerubai, D., Ananthi, C., Sneha, P., Design and comparative Study of Pin Feed and Line Feed Microstrip Patch Antenna for X-Band Applications. *International Journal of Applied Information System.*, 2012. 1(5): 21–25.
124. Tutorials Point, "Antenna Theorey-Antenna Arrays", [online] Available at: tutorialspoint.com/antenna_theory/antenna_theory_arrays.htm
125. Hussin, M.F., Muslim, M.H., Sulaiman, A.A., and Jusoh, M.H., Electromagnetic field (EMF) and radio frequency (RF) radiation assessment on telecommunication tower and ground station at national planetarium national space agency. *Proc. - 2015 IEEE 11th International Colloquium on Signal Processing and Its Application (CSPA)*, 2015. no. 3, pp. 180–185. doi: 10.1109/CSPA.2015.7225642.
126. Awad, A.H.A., and Habeeballah, T.M., Power Density of Cellular Tower Against Distance, Direction and Height: A Case Study. *Journal of King Abdul Aziz University; Metrology Environment Arid Land Agriculture Sciences*, 2014. 25(2): 51-65.

APPENDIX C

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Indexed Journal

1. **Ibrahim, N.A.**, Tharek, A.R., and Elijah, O. (2017). Recent Trend in Electromagnetic Radiation and Compliance Assessment for 5G Communication. *International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE)*, 7(2), 912-918. **(Indexed by SCOPUS)**
2. **Ibrahim, N.A.**, Tharek, A.R., Ngah, R., Aziz, O.A., and Elijah, O. (2020). Power Density Of Rectangular Microstrip Patch Antenna Arrays for 5G Indoor Base Station. *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science (IJECS)*, 19(3), 1367-1374. **(Indexed by SCOPUS)**

Indexed Conference Proceedings

1. **Ibrahim, N.A.**, Tharek, A.R., and Elijah, O. (2017). EMF Radiation Effects from 5x5 Dipole Array Antenna Towards Human Body for 5G Communication. *In Asian Simulation Conference, Springer*. (pp. 483-493). **(Indexed by SCOPUS)**