

MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF HYBRID RECYCLATE GLASS
FIBRE - MONTMORILLONITE NANOFILLER REINFORCED
POLYMER NANOCOMPOSITE

UMAR BIN ABDUL HANAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Mechanical Engineering)

School of Mechanical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JULY 2021

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my father, who taught me that the best kind of knowledge to have is that which is learned for its own sake. It is also dedicated to my mother, who taught me that even the largest task can be accomplished if it is done one step at a time.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Allah (SWT), who has shown me the right path and provided me with the strength and knowledge to complete this research. May Allah (SWT) accept my work and make it a source of goodness for me in this world and the hereafter.

I would like express my immerse gratefulness and appreciation to my supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Shukur Abu Hassan for his great support and guidance throughout my research journey. He has been continuously helpful, understanding and patient while responding to my questions and discussing my work. Without his valuable suggestions, advice and thoughts I would certainly never have been able to successfully complete this thesis. In addition, my gratitude goes to my co-supervisor Professor Dr. Mat Uzir Wahit for guiding me in my study.

My heartiest thank to my father and my mother for their continuous support and motivation, which always lightened my way to success. My special thanks go to my brothers, sisters, and friends for their positive du'a and encouragement. Further, I would also like to thank and acknowledge the support and help of my Composites Laboratory mates. I am grateful to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia and its entire staff for their support. In addition, I would like to thank the Malaysian Ministry of Higher Education for supporting my study with MyBrain 15 Scholarship, without which I might not able to fulfil my dream and pursue this research.

ABSTRACT

Glass fibre reinforced polymer (GFRP) waste quantity is reported to increase every year and contributing to the majority of composites waste. The awareness for a greener world has led to the recycling of GFRP waste. Previous studies focus on extracting the recycled fibres from GFRP waste and reintroducing the reclaimed fibres back into various types of polymer matrix to produce new composite materials. However, there is a lack of study conducted on the potential use of recycled fibres hybridized with nanoclay. This research highlights on recycled glass fibre (rGF) as a potential reinforcement in polymer composite, as green alternative to virgin glass fibre. The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of montmorillonite (MMT) nanoclay on the mechanical properties of rGF – unsaturated polyester (UP) composites. The selected parameters were rGF fibre sizes and loading, MMT weight percentage and the hybridization effect of rGF with MMT. The grades of rGF were raw (unsieved), fine and coarse, while the fibre loading ranges were from 5% to 45% by weight. Various MMT nanoclay weight percentage ranges from 0.5% to 7% were studied. The rGF samples were prepared using mechanical grinding, prior being fabricated by hand lay-up and followed by compression moulding. The effects of varying experimental parameters were observed in composites tensile, flexural, and compression properties. Mathematical formulae for every mechanical properties including all interaction factors were developed. The results from tensile and flexural tests revealed that rGF size was the most significant factor influencing its strength. The tensile strength of 30% coarse rGF was the highest among all samples while coarse rGF at 35% fibre loading showed the best flexural strength. For hybrid rGF-MMT composites, tensile strength showed improvement at 0.5% MMT inclusion. The flexural test demonstrated that the inclusion of rGF increases the flexural modulus by approximately 300% compared to pure UP. The addition of rGF and MMT was also improved the compression properties of composites by about 200% compared to pure UP. The morphology analysis showed that a good adhesion between rGF-resin bonding was observed at low MMT percentage. Transmission electron microscopy of UP-MMT nanocomposites showed that at 1 wt.% MMT, the nanoclay is well dispersed. Based on the results, hybridization with MMT nanoclay can be a green alternative solution to improve mechanical properties of rGF-UP composites and at the same time providing recycling solution to GFRP waste.

ABSTRAK

Kuantiti sisa polimer bertetulang gentian kaca (GFRP) dilaporkan meningkat setiap tahun dan menyumbang kepada sebahagian besar sisa komposit. Kesedaran terhadap kelastrian dunia telah mendorong aktiviti kitar semula sisa buangan FRP. Kajian- kajian terdahulu memberi fokus pada pengekstrakan gentian kitar semula dari sisa buangan FRP dan gentian tersebut akan dimasukkan semula ke dalam matriks polimer untuk menghasilkan bahan komposit baru. Walau bagaimanapun, kajian yang sangat kurang dilakukan mengenai potensi penggunaan gentian kitar semula yang dihibridisasi dengan tanah liat nano. Penyelidikan ini memberi tumpuan terhadap gentian kaca yang dikitar semula (rGF) sebagai penguat berpotensi dalam komposit polimer sebagai alternatif hijau untuk gentian kaca tulen. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji kesan tanah liat nano montmorillonite (MMT) terhadap sifat mekanik komposit rGF - poliester tak tepu (UP). Parameter yang dipilih adalah ukuran dan peratusan berat gentian rGF, peratusan berat MMT dan kesan hibrid rGF dengan MMT. Gred rGF terdiri daripada mentah (tidak diayak), halus dan kasar manakala peratusan berat gentian yang dikaji adalah antara 5% hingga 45% berat. Pelbagai peratusan berat tanah liat nano MMT antara 0.5% hingga 7% telah dikaji. Untuk penyediaan sampel, rGF telah dikisar secara mekanikal, sebelum difabrikasi dengan teknik bengkalai tangan dan diikuti dengan kaedah pengacuanan mampatan. Pelbagai parameter eksperimen telah dianalisa terhadap sifat tegangan, lenturan, dan mampatan komposit. Formula matematik pada setiap sifat mekanikal yang dibangunkan meliputi kesemua faktor interaksi. Hasil dari ujian tegangan dan lenturan menunjukkan bahawa saiz rGF adalah faktor yang paling bererti yang mempengaruhi kekuatannya. Kekuatan tegangan bagi 30% rGF kasar adalah tertinggi di antara semua sampel sementara rGF kasar pada 35% serat menunjukkan kekuatan lenturan terbaik. Untuk komposit hibrid rGF-MMT, kekuatan tegangan menunjukkan peningkatan pada kemasukan 0.5% MMT. Ujian lenturan menunjukkan bahawa penambahan rGF meningkatkan modulus lenturan sebanyak 300% berbanding UP tulen. Untuk hasil ujian mampatan, penambahan rGF dan MMT meningkatkan sifat mampatan komposit sebanyak 200% berbanding UP. Analisis morfologi menunjukkan bahawa MMT pada peratusan rendah menghasilkan rekatan yang baik antara rGF-resin. Mikroskopi elektron transmissi bagi kompositnano UP-MMT menunjukkan bahawa pada 1 wt.% MMT, tanah liat nano menyerak dengan baik. Berdasarkan hasil kajian, hibridisasi dengan tanah liat nano MMT boleh menjadi penyelesaian alternatif hijau untuk meningkatkan sifat mekanik komposit rGF-UP dan pada masa yang sama memberikan penyelesaian kitar semula kepada sisa GFRP.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxvi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxviii
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Research Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Objectives of Research Project	4
	1.4 Scope of Research	5
	1.5 Significance of Research	7
	1.6 Thesis Framework	7
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	9
	2.1 Introduction	9
	2.2 Polymer Composite Materials	9
	2.3 FRP Recycling	11
	2.4 Recycling Technology for GFRP	12
	2.4.1 Mechanical Recycling of GFRP	13

2.4.1.1	Characteristics of Recycled Glass Fibre from Mechanical Recycling	14
2.4.1.2	Recycled Glass Fibre Composites from Mechanical Recycling	18
2.4.2	Thermal Recycling Methods	25
2.4.2.1	Energy Recovery or Incineration	25
2.4.2.2	Fluidised Bed	26
2.4.2.3	Pyrolysis	27
2.4.3	Chemical Recycling Methods	28
2.4.3.1	Solvolysis	28
2.4.3.2	Hydrolysis	29
2.5	Mathematical Model for Predicting Composites Properties	31
2.6	Nanocomposites	32
2.6.1	Polymer Nanocomposites	33
2.6.2	Montmorillonite Nanoclay in Polymer Nanocomposites	35
2.6.3	Fabrication Method of Polymer Nanocomposites	39
2.6.3.1	Ultrasonication	40
2.6.3.2	Three Roll Mixing	41
2.7	Summary	42
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	45
3.1	Introduction	45
3.2	Research Activities	45
3.3	Materials	48
3.3.1	Unsaturated Polyester	48
3.3.2	Montmorillonite (MMT) Nanoclay	49
3.3.3	Preparation of Raw rGF Recyclate	49
3.3.4	Preparation of Coarse and Fine rGF Recyclates	53
3.3.5	Characterization of GFRP Recyclates	55
3.4	Test Samples Formulation Classification	58

3.4.1	Tensile and Flexural Test Samples Classification	58
3.4.2	Compression Test Samples Classification	61
3.5	Sample Preparation	62
3.5.1	Fabrication of Tensile and Flexural Test Sample	63
3.5.2	Fabrication of Compression Test Sample	71
3.6	Mechanical Testing	73
3.6.1	Tensile Test	74
3.6.2	Flexural Test	80
3.6.3	Compression Test	81
3.7	Microstructure Analysis	83
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	85
4.1	Introduction	85
4.2	The Effect of Raw rGF on the Tensile and Flexural Properties of rGF-UP Composites	85
4.2.1	Characterization of rGF	86
4.2.2	Tensile Properties	90
4.2.3	Flexural Properties	95
4.2.4	Morphology Study	98
4.3	The Effect of Fibre Sizes and Percentages on the Tensile and Flexural Properties of Sieved rGF-UP Composites	101
4.3.1	Tensile Properties	101
4.3.2	Flexural Properties	111
4.3.3	Morphology Study	116
4.4	The Effect of MMT Percentage on the Tensile and Flexural Properties of UP-MMT Nanocomposites	119
4.4.1	Tensile Properties	119
4.4.2	Flexural Properties	124
4.4.3	Morphology Study	127
4.4.4	X-ray Diffractometer	130

4.5	The Effect of MMT and rGFRP Percentages on the Tensile and Flexural Properties on the rGFRP-MMT Hybrid Composites	131
4.5.1	Tensile Properties of Coarse rGF-MMT	131
4.5.2	Flexural Properties of Coarse rGF-MMT	140
4.5.3	Morphology Study of Coarse rGF-MMT	144
4.5.4	Tensile Properties, Flexural Properties and Morphology Study of Fine rGF-MMT	148
4.6	The Effect of rGFRP and MMT Percentages on Compressive Properties of rGFRP-MMT Hybrid Composites	151
4.6.1	Sieved rGFRP-UP Composites	151
4.6.2	UP-MMT Nanocomposites	157
4.6.3	FrGF-MMT Hybrid Composites	163
4.7	Summary	170
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	175
5.1	Conclusion	175
5.2	Recommendations	177
	REFERENCES	179
	APPENDIXES	193
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	214

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Tensile properties of virgin and recovered glass fibres from mechanical grinding processes (Rouholamin et al., 2014)	17
Table 2.2	Tensile properties of recovered glass fibre through mechanical recycling (Palmer, 2009a)	17
Table 2.3	Tensile properties of virgin and reground PA66-rGF (Bernasconi et al., 2007)	23
Table 2.4	Comparison of recycling methods for GFRP waste	31
Table 2.5	Tensile properties of UP/nanoclay composites	38
Table 3.1	Physical properties of BIP 2700AT-1 unsaturated polyester resin	48
Table 3.2	Specification of MMT Nanoclay	49
Table 3.3	List of tensile and flexural test samples name and it formulation	59
Table 3.4	List of tensile and flexural test sample name for rGF-MMT hybrids formulation	60
Table 3.5	List of compression test sample name and formulation	61
Table 4.1	Weight composition and fibre length of rGF after sieving process	86
Table 4.2	Density of powder, fine and coarse rGF	86
Table 4.3	Tensile properties of Raw rGF-UP composites	90
Table 4.4	Flexural properties of Raw rGF-UP composites	95
Table 4.5	Tensile properties of Fine and Coarse rGF-UP composites	101
Table 4.6	Flexural properties of Fine and Coarse rGF-UP composites	111

Table 4.7	Tensile properties of UP-MMT nanocomposites	119
Table 4.8	Flexural properties of UP-MMT nanocomposites	124
Table 4.9	Tensile properties of CrGF-MMT hybrid composites	131
Table 4.10	Flexural properties of CrGF-MMT hybrid composites	140
Table 4.11	Tensile properties of 40FrGF-MMT hybrid composites	148
Table 4.12	Flexural properties of 40FrGF-MMT hybrid composites	149
Table 4.13	Compressive properties of Raw rGF-UP, Fine rGF-UP and Coarse rGF-UP composites	151
Table 4.14	Compressive properties of UP and UP-MMT at different percentages of MMT	157
Table 4.15	Compressive properties of FrGF-MMT hybrid composites	163
Table 4.16	Summary of tensile, flexural and compressive properties in relation to rGF fibre loading or MMT nanoclay loading	172
Table 4.17	Summary of rule of mixture equations	173
Table 4.18	Summary of Halpin-Pagano equations	173
Table 4.19	Summary of Halpin-Tsai equations	173

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Market share distribution of composites for 2019 (Grand View Research, 2020)	11
Figure 2.2	Thermal, chemical, and mechanical techniques in recycling of thermoset composites (Asmatulu et al., 2014)	13
Figure 2.3	Coarse (CW) and fine (FW) recyclates from GFRP waste via grinding and sieving processes (Ribiero et al., 2015)	15
Figure 2.4	Formation of fibre bundles known as fuzz-balling effect in coarse recyclates (Ribiero et al., 2017)	15
Figure 2.5	3D printed tensile coupon with different recycled fibre content (Rahimizadeh et al., 2019)	18
Figure 2.6	Decommissioned electrical insulation pipe from glass fibre reinforced polymer composite (Grammatikos et al., 2018)	19
Figure 2.7	Conversion of GFRP scrap material into coarse (CW) and fine (FW) recyclates after mechanical recycling and tested flexural samples (Castro et al., 2013)	20
Figure 2.8	Influence of mass fraction of rGFRP on (a) tensile and (b) flexural properties of a reproduced composite board (rGFRP/PP). (Duan et al., 2010)	21
Figure 2.9	Flexural strength of composites panels incorporating 10% by weight fraction A (coarse) and fraction B1 (fine).	22
Figure 2.10	Flexural properties of composites containing all virgin fibres (VF) at various fibre length and compared to 100 wt.% recyclate(DeRosa et al, 2005)	23
Figure 2.11	(a) rGFRP/plastic lumber with bolted tenon joint to solid timber (b) Manhole cover from recycled GFRP and polyester resin(Conroy et al., 2006)	24
Figure 2.12	Fluidised-bed recycling method for FRP waste (Bhadra et al., 2017)	26

Figure 2.13	(a) Charred recovered fibres (b) Post-treated recovered fibres (Oliveux et al., 2015)	27
Figure 2.14	Recovered glass fibres from different recycling process. (a) and (d) mechanical recycling. (b) and (e) pyrolysis. (c) and (f) subcritical water process (Beauson et al., 2014)	30
Figure 2.15	Nanoparticle geometries includes (a) fibre or tube (b) sheet or platelet and (c) sphere (Zaman et al., 2014)	34
Figure 2.16	Montmorillonite clay structure(Chiu et al., 2014)	36
Figure 2.17	Schematic illustration of nano-clay dispersion in polymer matrix composites(Zabihi et al., 2018)	37
Figure 2.18	SEM image of fracture surface of glass fibre-epoxy (a) and (b) with no MMT addition (c) with MMT addition (Bozkurt et al., 2007)	38
Figure 2.19	Figure 2.19 SEM micrographs of fractured surface of specimens: (a) Composite without nanoclay. (b) Composite with 1.5 wt% nanoclay (Moallemzadeh et al., 2019)	39
Figure 2.20	Fabrication method of nano-composites laminates (Nayak et al., 2017)	40
Figure 2.21	Schematic of filler dispersion in fabrication epoxy and matrix process of epoxy composite or glass fabric(Li et al., 2014)	41
Figure 3.1	Flowchart of research activities	47
Figure 3.2	GFRP composites waste	50
Figure 3.3	Mechanical recycling machine	50
Figure 3.4	Schematic diagram of mechanical recycling process flow	51
Figure 3.5	(a) Twin screw shredder as a mechanism to crush GFUP scraps (b) Crushed GFUP waste transported by screw conveyor to hammer mill	51
Figure 3.6	Hammer mill machine (Howarth et al, 2014) and classifier screen with 5 mm filter size	52

Figure 3.7	(a) Recyclates produces by 15mm sizing filter and (b) recyclates produces by 5 mm sizing filter	52
Figure 3.8	Flow diagram of mechanical recycling of polymer matrix composites waste (Makenji, 2010)	53
Figure 3.9	Removal of foreign objects from raw rGF	53
Figure 3.10	Classification of raw rGF into three categories of rGF sizes	54
Figure 3.11	Steps for fibre density determination	56
Figure 3.12	Image for fibre length analysis of (a) fine rGF (b) coarse rGF and (c) histogram of fibre length measurement	57
Figure 3.13	Sample preparation procedures for four different types of samples	62
Figure 3.14	Mechanical mixing of UP with MMT	63
Figure 3.15	Ultrasonic agitation of UP-MMT	63
Figure 3.16	(a) UP-MMT mixture after sonication (b) Degas process of UP-MMT mixture	64
Figure 3.17	Fabrication process flow of UP resin or UP-MMT moulding	64
Figure 3.18	(a) Male mould and (b) female mould	65
Figure 3.19	Moulding process for rGF-UP composites	67
Figure 3.20	Hydraulic pressure at 100 bar applied on mould	68
Figure 3.21	Plate of 5% Raw rGF-UP composites	68
Figure 3.22	Vertical band saw for cutting plate	69
Figure 3.23	Tensile specimens of (a) UP samples and (b) 40FrGF samples	69
Figure 3.24	Tab for tensile specimens	70
Figure 3.25	Tabs with cured epoxy resin	70

Figure 3.26	(a) UP and UP-MMT resin in mould (b) Cured compression test samples of UP and UP-MMT	71
Figure 3.27	(a) Schematic diagram of compression mould for short column cylinder specimen and (b) position of specimen inside the mould	72
Figure 3.28	Moulding of rGF composites for compression samples	73
Figure 3.29	Tensile specimen schematic diagram	74
Figure 3.30	(a) Instron 5980 universal testing machine (b) Extensometer attached to tensile test specimen	75
Figure 3.31	A fractured test specimen under tensile load	75
Figure 3.32	(a) Shimazu 10 kN universal testing machine (b) flexural testing jig	80
Figure 3.33	(a) Instron 600kN universal testing machine (b) compression testing jig	82
Figure 3.34	(a) and (b) Ultramicrotome process of UP-MMT sample (c) and (d) sliced MMT placed on mesh and mesh holder	84
Figure 4.1	(a) Image of coarse rGF (b) Image of fine rGF (c) Image of powder rGF	87
Figure 4.2	Thermogravimetric analysis of fine and coarse rGF	88
Figure 4.3	Thermogravimetric analysis of composite fragments in coarse rGF	88
Figure 4.4	Micrography of recyclates fibres. (a) fibre bundle. (b) single fibre. (x300 magnification)	89
Figure 4.5	(a) Image of composites fragments found in CrGF. (b) SEM of CrGF composites fragments (x150 magnification)	89
Figure 4.6	(a) SEM image of powder rGF (at 25x magnification) (b) SEM image of powder rGF (at 50x magnification)	90
Figure 4.7	(a) Tensile strength and (b) tensile modulus of Raw rGF-UP composites	91
Figure 4.8	Optimization equation of tensile strength of Raw rGF-UP composites	92

Figure 4.9	Modified rule of mixture equation for tensile strength of Raw rGF-UP composites	93
Figure 4.10	Equation for tensile modulus of Raw rGF-UP composites	93
Figure 4.11	Modified rule of mixture equation for tensile modulus of Raw rGF-UP composites	94
Figure 4.12	Stress versus strain curve of Raw rGF-UP composites	95
Figure 4.13	(a) Flexural strength and (b) flexural modulus of Raw rGF-UP composites	96
Figure 4.14	Equation for flexural strength of Raw rGF-UP composites	97
Figure 4.15	Equation for flexural modulus of Raw rGF-UP composites	97
Figure 4.16	Flexural stress versus flexural strain of Raw rGF-UP composites	98
Figure 4.17	SEM image of Raw 5rGF composites fractured area under tensile load	98
Figure 4.18	SEM image of Raw 10rGF composites fractured area under tensile load	99
Figure 4.19	SEM image of Raw 15rGF composites fractured area under tensile load	99
Figure 4.20	SEM image of Raw 20rGF composites fractured area under tensile load	100
Figure 4.21	SEM image of Raw 25rGF composites fractured area under tensile load	100
Figure 4.22	(a) Tensile strength and (b) tensile modulus of Fine rGF-UP composites	102
Figure 4.23	Theoretical prediction model of R.O.M and Halpin-Pagano for tensile strength of Fine rGF-UP composites	103
Figure 4.24	Theoretical prediction model of R.O.M and Halpin-Pagano for tensile modulus of Fine rGF-UP composites	104
Figure 4.25	(a) Tensile strength and (b) tensile modulus of Coarse rGF-UP composites	105

Figure 4.26	Theoretical prediction model of R.O.M and Halpin-Pagano for tensile strength of Coarse rGF-UP composites	106
Figure 4.27	Theoretical prediction model of R.O.M and Halpin-Pagano for tensile modulus of Coarse rGF-UP composites	107
Figure 4.28	Tensile strength comparison of rGF-UP composites with optimization equations	108
Figure 4.29	Tensile modulus comparison of rGF-UP composites with optimization equations	109
Figure 4.30	Tensile stress versus tensile strain of (a) Fine rGF-UP and (b) Coarse rGF-UP composites	109
Figure 4.31	(a) Tensile failure mode of 25FrGF composites (b) and (c) at 250x magnification	110
Figure 4.32	(a) Flexural strength and (b) flexural modulus of Fine rGF-UP composites	112
Figure 4.33	(a) Flexural strength and (b) flexural modulus of Coarse rGF-UP composites	112
Figure 4.34	Formation of percolating network in (a) raw rGF-UP (b) FrGF-UP and (c) CrGF-UP composites	113
Figure 4.35	Flexural strength comparison of rGF-UP composites with optimization equations	114
Figure 4.36	Flexural modulus comparison of rGF-UP composites with optimization equations	115
Figure 4.37	Flexural failure mode for 30FrGF composites (a) bottom view (b) side view and (c) side view at 250x magnification	115
Figure 4.38	SEM image at (a) 50x magnification and (b) 500x magnification of 25FrGF of fractured area under tensile load	116
Figure 4.39	SEM image at (a) 50X magnification and (b) 500X magnification of 45FrGF of fractured area under tensile load	116
Figure 4.40	Cross-section of (a) 25FrGF and (b) 45FrGF at 50x magnification	117

Figure 4.41	SEM image at (a) 25x magnification and (b) 50x magnification of 30CrGF fractured area under tensile load	117
Figure 4.42	SEM image of 30CrGF tensile fractured area (250x magnification)	118
Figure 4.43	SEM image at (a) 20x magnification and (b) 25x magnification of 35CrGF fractured area under tensile load	118
Figure 4.44	SEM image at (a) 25x magnification and (b) 50x magnification of 35CrGF fractured area under tensile load	118
Figure 4.45	(a) Tensile strength and (b) tensile modulus of UP-MMT nanocomposites	120
Figure 4.46	Tensile stress versus tensile strain of UP-MMT nanocomposites	121
Figure 4.47	Optimization equation for tensile modulus of UP-MMT nanocomposites	121
Figure 4.48	Optimization equation for tensile modulus of UP-MMT nanocomposites	122
Figure 4.49	Halpin-Tsai prediction model of tensile strength of UP-MMT nanocomposites	122
Figure 4.50	Halpin-Tsai prediction model for tensile modulus of UP-MMT nanocomposites	123
Figure 4.51	Tensile failure mode of UP-MMT3 nanocomposites	123
Figure 4.52	(a) Flexural strength and (b) flexural modulus of UP-MMT nanocomposites	125
Figure 4.53	Flexural strength trend line of UP-MMT nanocomposites	125
Figure 4.54	Optimization equation of flexural modulus of UP-MMT nanocomposites	126
Figure 4.55	Flexural failure mode of UP-0.5MMT nanocomposites	126
Figure 4.56	TEM image of MMT1(a) x15,000, (b) x30,000, (c) x50,000 and (d) x100,000 magnification	127

Figure 4.57	Spacing measurement of intercalated MMT in MMT1 sample	128
Figure 4.58	TEM image of MMT3 (a) x3,000 (b) x6,000 and (c) x15,000 magnification	129
Figure 4.59	X-ray diffraction of UP-MMT nanocomposites	130
Figure 4.60	Tensile strength of CrGF hybrid composites for various MMT percentages	132
Figure 4.61	Optimization equations for tensile strength of 25CrGF-MMT, 30CrGF-MMT and 35CrGF-MMT hybrid composites	133
Figure 4.62	Halpin-Tsai equation for tensile strength of 25CrGF-MMT composites	134
Figure 4.63	Halpin-Tsai equation for tensile strength of 30CrGF-MMT composites	135
Figure 4.64	Halpin-Tsai equation for tensile strength of 35CrGF-MMT composites	135
Figure 4.65	Tensile modulus of CrGF-MMT hybrid composites for various MMT percentages	136
Figure 4.66	Tensile stress versus tensile strain curves of 25CrGF-MMT composites at different MMT loading	137
Figure 4.67	Optimization equation for tensile modulus of 25CrGF-MMT composites	138
Figure 4.68	Halpin-Tsai equation for tensile modulus of 25CrGF-MMT composites	139
Figure 4.69	Halpin-Tsai equation for tensile modulus of 30CrGF-MMT composites	139
Figure 4.70	Halpin-Tsai equation for tensile modulus of 35CrGF-MMT composites	139
Figure 4.71	Flexural strength of CrGF-MMT hybrid composites for various MMT percentages	141
Figure 4.72	Trend lines for flexural strength of 25CrGF-MMT, 30CrGF-MMT and 35CrGF-MMT hybrid composites	141
Figure 4.73	Flexural modulus of CrGF-MMT hybrid composites for various MMT percentages	142

Figure 4.74	Trend line for flexural modulus of 25CrGF-MMT, 30CrGF-MMT and 35CrGF-MMT composites	143
Figure 4.75	SEM image of 25CrGF-0.5MMT cross section (500x)	144
Figure 4.76	SEM image of 25CrGF-1MMT cross section (500X)	144
Figure 4.77	SEM image of 25CrGF-2MMT cross section (500X)	145
Figure 4.78	SEM image of 25CrGF-3MMT cross section (500X)	145
Figure 4.79	SEM image of 25CrGF-0.5MMT fractured area under tensile load (50X)	146
Figure 4.80	SEM image of 25CrGF-1MMT fractured area under tensile load (50X)	146
Figure 4.81	SEM image of 25CrGF-2MMT fractured area under tensile load (50X)	147
Figure 4.82	SEM image of 25CrGF-3MMT fractured area under tensile load (50X)	147
Figure 4.83	(a) Tensile strength and (b) tensile properties of 40FrGF-MMT	148
Figure 4.84	(a) Flexural strength and (b) flexural modulus of 40FrGF-MMT	149
Figure 4.85	SEM image of 40FrGF-3MMT	150
Figure 4.86	SEM image of 40FrGF-5MMT	150
Figure 4.87	Compressive stress versus strain for UP, Raw rGF, FrGF and CrGF samples at 15 wt.% fibre loading	152
Figure 4.88	Compressive stress versus strain for FrGF samples at different fibre loading	153
Figure 4.89	Compressive stress versus strain for CrGF samples at different fibre loading	153
Figure 4.90	(a) Compressive strength and (b) compressive modulus of Fine rGF-UP composites	154
Figure 4.91	(a) Compressive strength and (b) compressive modulus of Coarse rGF-UP composites	155

Figure 4.92	Compressive strength comparison of Fine and Coarse rGF-UP composites with trend line equations	155
Figure 4.93	Compressive modulus comparison of Fine and Coarse rGF-UP composites with trend lines	156
Figure 4.94	Compressive failure mode for FrGF-UP composites at different fibre loading	157
Figure 4.95	Compressive failure mode for CrGF-UP composites at different fibre loading	157
Figure 4.96	Compressive stress versus strain graph of UP and UP-MMT nanocomposites	158
Figure 4.97	Comparison of compressive stress at yield and maximum for UP and UP-MMT nanocomposites	159
Figure 4.98	Optimization equation of compressive stress at yield of UP-MMT nanocomposites	159
Figure 4.99	Halpin-Tsai prediction model for compressive stress at yield for UP-MMT nanocomposites	160
Figure 4.100	Comparison of compressive modulus for UP and UP-MMT nanocomposites	161
Figure 4.101	Trend line equation of compressive modulus of UP-MMT nanocomposites	161
Figure 4.102	Halpin-Tsai prediction model of compressive modulus for UP-MMT nanocomposites	162
Figure 4.103	Compressive failure mode of UP-MMT at different MMT loading	163
Figure 4.104	Compressive stress versus strain for 15FrGF-MMT hybrid composites at different MMT loading	164
Figure 4.105	Compressive stress versus strain for 20FrGF-MMT hybrid composites at different MMT loading	165
Figure 4.106	(a) Compressive stress at yield and (b) compressive modulus of 15FrGF-MMT hybrid composites	165
Figure 4.107	Optimization equation of compressive strength at yield for 15FrGF-MMT hybrid composites	166
Figure 4.108	Optimization equation for compressive modulus of 15FrGF-MMT hybrid composites	167

Figure 4.109	Halpin-Tsai prediction model for compressive strength at yield for 15FrGF-MMT hybrid composites	168
Figure 4.110	Halpin-Tsai prediction model for compressive modulus for 15FrGF-MMT hybrid composites	168
Figure 4.111	(a) Compressive strength and (b) compressive modulus of 20FrGF-MMT hybrid composites	169
Figure 4.112	Comparison of compressive strength of FrGF-MMT hybrid composites with different MMT percentages	169

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASTM	-	American Society for Testing and Materials
CrGF	-	Coarse rGF-UP Composites
EoL	-	End-of-Life
EDX	-	Energy Dispersive X-ray Analysis
EuCIA	-	European Composites Industry Association
FRP	-	Fibre Reinforced Polymer
FrGF	-	Fine rGF-UP Composites
GFRP	-	Glass Fibre Reinforced Polymer
MEKP	-	Methyl Ethyl Ketone Peroxide
MMT	-	Montmorillonite
PHR	-	Part per Hundred Resin
PMC	-	Polymer Matrix Composite
PNC	-	Polymeric Nano-Composites
rGF	-	Recycled Glass Fibre / Recyclate
rGFRP	-	Recycled Glass Fibre Reinforced Polymer
rGFUP	-	Recycled Glass Fibre Unsaturated Polyester
ROM	-	Rule of Mixture
SEM	-	Scanning Electron Microscope
SMC	-	Sheet Moulding Compound
TGA	-	Thermogravimetric Analysis
TEM	-	Transmission Electron Microscope
UP	-	Unsaturated Polyester
XRD	-	X-ray Diffractometer

LIST OF SYMBOLS

ε	-	Normal strain
σ_{Com}	-	Tensile strength of composite
σ_{cl}	-	Tensile strength of MMT clay
σ_{fib}	-	Tensile strength of fibre
σ_m	-	Tensile strength of matrix
σ_{nc}	-	Tensile strength of nanocomposite
E_{com}	-	Tensile modulus of composites
E_{fib}	-	Tensile modulus of fibre
E_m	-	Tensile modulus of matrix
E_{nc}	-	Tensile modulus of nanocomposites
M_f	-	Mass of glass fibre recycle
M_m	-	Mass of matrix
v_c	-	Volume of composite
v_{cl}	-	Volume fraction of MMT clay
v_f	-	Volume of fibres
v_m	-	Volume of matrix
W_f	-	Weight of fibre
W_m	-	Weight of matrix
W_{MMT}	-	Weight percentage of montmorillonite nanoclay
W_{RGF}	-	Weight percentage of recycled glass fibres
W_{UP}	-	Weight percentage of unsaturated polyester
ρ_f	-	Density of glass fibre recycle
ρ_m	-	Density of matrix
ΔV	-	Change of water level
P	-	Force
ζ	-	Shape factor of MMT nanoclay
σ	-	Tensile strength
E_C	-	Compressive modulus
σ_C	-	Compressive strength

σ_f	-	Flexural strength
E_f	-	Flexural modulus
A	-	Cross section area
E	-	Tensile modulus
L	-	Support span
k	-	Coefficient for types of recyclates
l	-	Fibre length
V	-	Volume fraction of fibre

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A1	Tensile Test Result (UP-MMT5)	193
Appendix A2	Tensile Test Result (30FrGF)	194
Appendix A3	Tensile Test Result (40FrGF-5MMT)	195
Appendix B1	Flexural Test Result (UP-MMT1)	196
Appendix B2	Flexural Test Result (25CrGF)	197
Appendix B3	Flexural Test Result (30CrGF-1MMT)	198
Appendix C1	Compression Test Result (0.5MMT)	199
Appendix C2	Compression Test Result (20FrGF)	200
Appendix C3	Compression Test Result (15FrGF-0.5MMT)	201
Appendix D	Rule of Mixture Calculation Example	202
Appendix E	Halpin-Pagano Calculation Example	206
Appendix F	Halpin-Tsai Calculation Example	210

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Fibre reinforced polymer (FRP) composites are unique because they offer engineers and designers the flexibility in selecting the wide selection of reinforcing fibres, fillers, additives, binding resins and manufacturing process to produce an engineered material with desired definitive specifications (Gibson, 2016). The main advantages of using FRP composites over other conventional materials are high strength-to-weight ratios, non-conductive, non-corrosive, non-magnetic, good electrical insulation and wear resistant (Vinson and Sierakowski, 2012).

Every year, it is projected that over six megatons of plastic composites are produced worldwide, mostly consists of glass fibre reinforced polymers (GFRP). It is estimated that about 1 million tons of GFRP produced annually in Europe (Nash et al., 2019). In United Kingdom, the GFRP production represents about 140,000 tonnes, while the carbon fibre composite production represents around only approximately 2,000 tonnes. It was estimated one billion tonnes of GFRP waste is generated in Europe annually and the amounts of GFRP waste are increasing yearly (Rybicka et al., 2016).

Increased GFRPs usage has led to mounting pressure to resolve issues relating to composite waste (Abu Hassan et al., 2016). For instance, GFRP sheet moulding compound (SMCs), is one of the most commonly used composite materials, its total waste generates 0.4 million tonnes annually. Composites materials from glass fibres and carbon fibres are relatively new in commercial usage and therefore the current composites recycling technologies are still in development stage and requires further research. Environmental factors are seen to be probably the most critical element affecting the composites industries, with the issues of recycling having the greatest impact.

Composites made from thermoset polymer resins such as epoxies and unsaturated polyesters are technically challenging to recycle since the thermoset polymer matrixes are cross-linked and cannot be re-melted like thermoplastic polymers. There are many recycling options available for FRP composites waste include mechanical grinding, fluidised-bed processing, pyrolysis and supercritical water processing (Meng et al., 2017; Naqvi et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2019; Shuaib and Mativenga, 2016). Most of the technique has been demonstrated inside the laboratory except for mechanical recycling which has been commercialized (Mamanpush et al., 2019; Yazdanbakhsh et al., 2018).

The strength of recycled glass fibres is lower compared to virgin glass fibres (Rouholamin et al., 2014). The deterioration of recycled fibres is one of the major factors that discourage FRP manufacturers to recycle or reuse the composites scraps. Recent studies show that hybrid glass fibre composites that uses nanofillers such as montmorillonite (MMT) nanoclay can improve the mechanical properties of composites (Sagar and Palanikumar, 2016; Prabhu et. al., 2019). The addition of MMT nanoclay could possibly give the same positive result for recycled glass fibre composites.

The research on nanoclays fillers in polymer nanocomposites has attracted considerable interest due to its many potential improvement in thermo-mechanical properties, fire and gas resistance of the developed nanocomposites. The nanoclay fillers has excellent dispersion in the polymer matrix at nanometer scale and high surface-to-volume ratio which resulted in improvement of mechanical and physical properties of nanocomposites as compared to the pure polymers (Müller et al., 2017; Laatar et al., 2016).

Previous studies focus on the technology of recycling and extracting the fibres from FRP waste. The reclaimed fibres were added back to various types of polymer matrix and produced into a new composites material. In this study, the reclaim fibres will not only be reintroduced into new polymer matrix but will also be hybridize with nanoclay. The effect of nanoclay hybridization with glass fibre recyclates polymer composites will be evaluated by its quasi-static mechanical properties such as tensile

and flexural properties. Compression properties of glass fibre recyclates polymer composites will also be highlighted in this study, as not many research has been done on the compression properties of glass fibre recyclate composites.

1.2 Problem Statement

Abundant usage of GFRP applications has generated large amount of waste which ends up in landfills. A sustainable recycling mechanism is critically necessary to effectively recycle the GFRP waste. From past studies, mechanical recycling technology of GFRP has shown to be an eco-friendly method compared to thermal and chemical recycling technologies. However, the recovered glass fibres from mechanical recycling of GFRP only maintain half of its original tensile strength compared to virgin glass fibre (Rouholamin et al., 2014; Palmer 2009). Reintroducing the recovered fibres into composites resulted in inferior mechanical properties.

Understanding the characteristics of the GFRP recyclates is essential in determining the composites mechanical properties. Characteristic of GFRP waste from septic tanks fabrication scraps has not been investigated. The effect of raw recyclates at various fibre loading on the tensile and flexural properties of recyclate reinforced unsaturated polyester composite need to be establish.

Raw GFRP recyclates tends to include powder particles and impurities which inhibit good composite fabrication. The raw recyclates need to be sieved to remove the impurities and powder particles and to grade the fibrous recyclate form into different fibre length grades. The effect of sieved GFRP recyclates at different fibre length and various fibre loading percentages in unsaturated polyester composite on tensile and flexural properties need to be determined.

The high surface-to-volume ratio of montmorillonite (MMT) nanoclay among other nanoparticles geometries has the potential to improve the mechanical and physical properties of nanocomposites. However, the optimal percentage of MMT loading in unsaturated polyester nanocomposites need to be identified as the dispersion of MMT in polymer plays a major role in influencing the mechanical properties of

nanocomposites. Therefore, inclusion of MMT loading ranging from 0.5 wt.% to 7 wt.% need to be study in determining optimal MMT loading for tensile and flexural properties of unsaturated polyester nanocomposite.

Based on a previous study (Prabhu et. al., 2019), the incorporation of MMT can improve the mechanical properties of virgin glass fibre reinforced composites. The hybridization of MMT nanofillers with recycled glass fibres can potentially overcome the degraded strength of recycled glass fibres reinforced polymer composites. The combination effect of MMT and GFRP recyclates at various percentages on tensile and flexural properties of hybrid composites requires further evaluation.

Lack of studies was found regarding the compression properties of GFRP recyclates composites. Pure unsaturated polyester polymer composites have low compression strength and modulus reading. Most studies combine the use of sand and aggregates to improve the compression performance of polyester polymer composites but lack of studies was performed using recyclates exclusively with polyester polymer composites. The effect of sieved GFRP recyclates at different fibre length and various fibre loading percentages in sieved GFRP unsaturated polyester composite on compression properties requires further investigation. Investigation on MMT reinforced polyester nanocomposite need to be done using various MMT loading. The combined effects of GFRP recyclates and nanoclay at various percentages on compression of hybrid composites need to be assessed.

1.3 Objectives of Research Project

In the light of the above, the aim of this project is to investigate the potential use of montmorillonite nanoclay in order to enhance the mechanical properties of recycled glass fibre reinforced unsaturated polyester composites. The specific objectives are:

1. To determine the physical characteristic of raw glass fibre recyclates (rGF) and its effect at different fibre loading on the tensile and flexural properties of raw rGF reinforced unsaturated polyester composites.

2. To determine the effect of different fibre sizes and different fibre percentages of sieved rGF on the tensile and flexural properties of sieved rGF reinforced unsaturated polyester composites.
3. To investigate the effect of MMT nanoclay at different percentages on the tensile and flexural properties of MMT nanoclay reinforced unsaturated polyester nanocomposites.
4. To evaluate the hybridization effect of MMT nanoclay percentages on the tensile and flexural properties of sieved rGF reinforced unsaturated polyester composites.
5. To evaluate the effect of rGF fibre percentages, rGF fibres sizes and the hybridization effect of MMT percentages on the compression properties of sieved rGF reinforced unsaturated polyester composites.

1.4 Scope of Research

This thesis covers the topic of polymer composites focusing on the issue of composite recycling and sustainable composite materials. The study emphasized on the recycling of glass fibre reinforced polyester (GFRP) waste specifically from the scraps of septic tanks fabrication. The GFRP was recycled using mechanical recycling method. The recycled GFRP (rGF) was reintroduced in a new composite at different fibre size grades. The type of matrix selected for this study is limited to unsaturated polyester resin. The mechanical performance of the test samples was evaluated under three different types of testing which are tensile, flexural and compression test. The parameters that were studied are fibre size and fibre loading of the rGF. The mechanical tests provide data regarding the tensile properties, stress versus strain curve graph, flexural properties, compression properties and compression stress versus compression strain curves of the rGF reinforced UP composites samples. Morphology study on the test samples was performed using scanning electron microscope (SEM) to observe the interfacial bonding between rGF and polymer resin. Data such as fibre distribution, fibre breakage, fibre-matrix debonding, fibre pull-out, matrix cracking and porosity of the composites was observed.

Polymer nanocomposite was studied specifically on the use of montmorillonite nanoclay reinforced unsaturated polyester (UP-MMT) composites. The prepared composite samples were tested for tensile, flexural and compression properties which generate data on tensile strength, Young's modulus, tensile strain, stress versus strain curve graph, flexural strength, flexural modulus, compression strength, compression modulus and compression stress versus compression strain of the UP-MMT nanocomposites material. These data were analysed based on the MMT weight percentages. Mathematical model using Halpin-Tsai equation was produced based on the plotted experimental tensile and compression data. Transmission electron microscope (TEM) and X-ray diffraction (XRD) were used to provide information regarding MMT nanoclay distribution in UP-MMT nanocomposites samples.

Hybrid polymer nanocomposites to be studied in this thesis include the use of rGF and MMT nanoclay. The samples of rGF and MMT were produced after the optimal fibre percentage and MMT loading. The prepared hybrid nanocomposite samples were tested for tensile, flexural and compression properties which generate data on tensile strength, Young's modulus, tensile strain, stress versus strain curve graph, flexural strength, flexural modulus and compression strength, compression modulus and compression stress versus compression strain curve of the hybrid rGF-MMT nanocomposites material. Mathematical model using modified Halpin-Tsai equation were produced based on the plotted experimental tensile and compression data. SEM image was used to observe the interfacial bonding between rGF and UP resin at different MMT percentage.

1.5 Significance of Research

The hybridization of montmorillonite nanofillers with recycled glass fibre reinforced composites has the potential to increase the mechanical properties of the composites. The data on tensile, flexural and compression properties of recycled GFRP composites hybridized with nanoclay can be used by engineers and other researchers to develop a useful product and further investigates potential application of recycled GFRP. Mathematical model on the mechanical properties of recycled GFRP-MMT hybrid composites in relation to fibre loading that was produced by this study can be

used by other researcher as a guideline. In general, a practical composite product developed based from this study will encourage FRP manufacturer to involve in composites recycling rather than landfilling or incinerating their FRP waste. This study will indirectly promote the recycling of GFRP scraps, reuse of GFRP scraps and reducing the consumption of new glass fibre.

1.6 Thesis Framework

This thesis is divided into five major chapters. The first chapter will present the overall background of the study, problem statement, research objectives, scope and the significance of this research. Chapter 2 discussed the literature review based on previous studies of related topics including GFRP and its waste management and recycling technologies. The literature review includes past studies which use recycled GFRP in composites. Polymer nanocomposites and its hybrid with conventional fibres were reviewed in this chapter. Chapter 3 elaborated on the research methodology. Materials properties used in this study, sample formulations, sample fabrication, testing standards and analysis method was highlighted in this chapter. Chapter 4 reported the experimental data on tensile, flexural and compression properties. Mechanical test results analysis was supported by microstructure analysis. The mathematical models generated based on the composites parameters studied. Chapter 5 concluded the research findings based on research objectives and recommendation for future research to be explored.

REFERENCES

- Al-Khanbashi, A., El-Gamal, M., and Moet, A. (2005). Reduced shrinkage polyester–montmorillonite nanocomposite. *Journal of applied polymer science*, 98(2), 767-773.
- Amuthakkannan, P., Manikandan, V., Jappes, J. W., and Uthayakumar, M. (2013). Effect of fibre length and fibre content on mechanical properties of short basalt fibre reinforced polymer matrix composites. *Materials Physics and Mechanics*, 16(2), 107-117.
- Asim, M., Jawaid, M., Abdan, K., and Ishak, M. R. (2018). The effect of silane treated fibre loading on mechanical properties of pineapple leaf/kenaf fibre filler phenolic composites. *Journal of Polymers and the Environment*, 26(4), 1520-e1527.
- Asmatulu, E., Twomey, J., and Overcash, M. (2014). Recycling of fiber-reinforced composites and direct structural composite recycling concept. *Journal of Composite Materials*, 48(5), 593-608.
- Asmatulu, R., Khan, W. S., Reddy, R. J., and Ceylan, M. (2015). Synthesis and analysis of injection-molded nanocomposites of recycled high-density polyethylene incorporated with graphene nanoflakes. *Polymer Composites*, 36(9), 1565-1573.
- Atif, R., Shyha, I., and Inam, F. (2016). Mechanical, thermal, and electrical properties of graphene-epoxy nanocomposites—A review. *Polymers*, 8(8), 281.
- Bajpai, P. K., and Singh, I. (Eds.). (2019). *Reinforced Polymer Composites: Processing, Characterization and Post Life Cycle Assessment*. John Wiley and Sons.
- Barbezat, M., Brunner, A. J., Neola, A., Rees, M., Gasser, P., and Terrasi, G. (2009). Fracture behavior of GFRP laminates with nanocomposite epoxy resin matrix. *Journal of Composite Materials*, 43(9), 959-976.
- Beauson, J., Lilholt, H., and Brøndsted, P. (2014). Recycling solid residues recovered from glass fibre-reinforced composites—A review applied to wind turbine blade materials. *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, 33(16), 1542-1556.

- Bedi, H. S., Padhee, S. S., and Agnihotri, P. K. (2018). Effect of carbon nanotube grafting on the wettability and average mechanical properties of carbon fiber/polymer multiscale composites. *Polymer Composites*, 39(S2), E1184-E1195.
- Begieva, M. B., Bazheva, R. C., Khasanov, V. V., Malkanduev, Y. A., and Kharaev, A. M. (2018). Effect of Na⁺-Montmorillonite Modified with N, N-Diallylaminoisohexanoic Acid on the Structure and Properties of Polyamide 6. *Fibre Chemistry*, 50(1), 45-48.
- Bernasconi, A., Rossin, D., and Armani, C. (2007). Analysis of the effect of mechanical recycling upon tensile strength of a short glass fibre reinforced polyamide 6, 6. *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, 74(4), 627-641.
- Bhadra, J., Al-Thani, N., and Abdulkareem, A. (2017). Recycling of polymer-polymer composites. In *Micro and Nano Fibrillar Composites (MFCs and NFCs) from Polymer Blends* (pp. 263-277). Woodhead Publishing.
- Bozkurt, E., Kaya, E., and Tanoğlu, M. (2007). Mechanical and thermal behavior of non-crimp glass fiber reinforced layered clay/epoxy nanocomposites. *Composites Science and Technology*, 67(15), 3394-3403.
- Castro, A. M., Ribeiro, M. C. S., Santos, J., Meixedo, J. P., Silva, F. J., Fiúza, A. and Alvim, M. R. (2013). Sustainable waste recycling solution for the glass fibre reinforced polymer composite materials industry. *Construction and Building Materials*, 45, 87-94.
- Chang, L. N., and Chow, W. S. (2010). Accelerated weathering on glass fiber/epoxy/organo-montmorillonite nanocomposites. *Journal of Composite Materials*, 44(12), 1421-1434.
- Chatterjee, A., and Islam, M. S. (2008). Fabrication and characterization of TiO₂-epoxy nanocomposite. *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 487(1-2), 574-585.
- Chiu, C. W., Huang, T. K., Wang, Y. C., Alamani, B. G., and Lin, J. J. (2014). Intercalation strategies in clay/polymer hybrids. *Progress in Polymer Science*, 39(3), 443-485.
- Conroy, A., Halliwell, S., and Reynolds, T. (2006). Composite recycling in the construction industry. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 37(8), 1216-1222.

- Correia, J. R., Bai, Y., and Keller, T. (2015). A review of the fire behaviour of pultruded GFRP structural profiles for civil engineering applications. *Composite Structures*, 127, 267-287.
- Cunliffe, A. M., and Williams, P. T. (2003). Characterisation of products from the recycling of glass fibre reinforced polyester waste by pyrolysis. *Fuel*, 82(18), 2223-2230.
- DeRosa, R., Telfeyan, E., Gaustad, G. and Mayes, S. (2005). Strength and microscopic investigation of unsaturated polyester BMC reinforced with SMC-recyclate. *Journal of Thermoplastic Composite Materials*, 18(4), 333-349.
- Dobránský, J., Běhálek, L., Baron, P., Kočíško, M., Dulebová, Ľ., and Doboš, Z. (2019). The influence of the use of technological waste on the mechanical behavior of fibrous polymer composite. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 166, 162-168.
- Duan, H., Jia, W., and Li, J. (2010). The recycling of comminuted glass-fiber-reinforced resin from electronic waste. *Journal of the Air and Waste Management Association*, 60(5), 532-539.
- El-Shekeil, Y. A., Sapuan, S. M., Abdan, K., and Zainudin, E. S. (2012). Influence of fiber content on the mechanical and thermal properties of Kenaf fiber reinforced thermoplastic polyurethane composites. *Materials and Design*, 40, 299-303.
- El Messiry, M. (2013). Theoretical analysis of natural fiber volume fraction of reinforced composites. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 52(3), 301-306.
- Emran, M. S. (2016). Mechanical Properties of Glass Fibre Reinforced Polymer Recyclate Powder Nanoclay Composites (Bachelor thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Johor, Malaysia).
- Erden, S., and Ho, K. (2017). Fiber reinforced composites. In *Fiber Technology for Fiber-Reinforced Composites* (pp. 51-79). Woodhead Publishing.
- Facca, A. G., Kortschot, M. T., and Yan, N. (2007). Predicting the tensile strength of natural fibre reinforced thermoplastics. *Composites Science and Technology*, 67(11-12), 2454-2466.
- Farinha, C. B., de Brito, J., and Veiga, R. (2019). Assessment of glass fibre reinforced polymer waste reuse as filler in mortars. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 210, 1579-1594.

- Fu, S. Y., Lauke, B., and Mai, Y. W. (2019). *Science and Engineering of Short Fibre-reinforced Polymer Composites*. Woodhead Publishing.
- Gharde, S., and Kandasubramanian, B. (2019). Mechanochemical and chemical recycling methodologies for the Fibre Reinforced Plastic (FRP). *Environmental Technology and Innovation*, 14, 100311.
- Gibson, R. F. (2016). *Principles of composite material mechanics*. CRC press.
- Giorgini, L., Leonardi, C., Mazzocchetti, L., Zattini, G., Cavazzoni, M., Montanari, I., ...and Benelli, T. (2016). Pyrolysis of fiberglass/polyester composites: recovery and characterization of obtained products. *FME Transactions*, 44(4), 405-414.
- Goodship, V. (Ed.).(2009). *Management, recycling and reuse of waste composites*. Elsevier.
- Grammatikos, S., Tsampas, S., Petterson, J., Luping, T., and Löfgren, I. (2018). Recycling and re-purposing decommissioned construction polymer composites for construction applications. In *ECCM18-18th European Conference on Composite Materials Athens, Greece, 24-28th June 2018*.
- Grand View Research (2020, July 11). *Composites Market Size, Share and Trends Analysis Report By Product (Carbon, Glass), By Resin (Thermosetting, Thermoplastics), By Manufacturing Process, By End Use, And Segment Forecasts, 2020 - 2027*. <https://www.grandviewresearch.com/industry-analysis/composites-market>
- Haameem, JA. M., Majid, M. A., Afendi, M., Marzuki, H. F. A., Fahmi, I., and Gibson, A. G. (2016). Mechanical properties of Napier grass fibre/polyester composites. *Composite Structures*, 136, 1-10.
- Hall, S. (2016). End-of-life recycling options for glass fibre reinforced polymers. *The Plymouth Student Scientist*, 9(2), 68-94.
- Haq, M., Burgueño, R., Mohanty, A. K., and Misra, M. (2009). Processing techniques for bio-based unsaturated-polyester/clay nanocomposites: tensile properties, efficiency, and limits. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 40(4), 394-403.
- Hibbeler, R. C. (1997). *Mechanics of Materials*. Prentice Hall International.
- Howarth, J., Mareddy, S. S., and Mativenga, P. T. (2014). Energy intensity and environmental analysis of mechanical recycling of carbon fibre composite. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 81, 46-50.

- Jamal, S. K., Hassan, S. A., Wong, K. J., and Yahya, M. Y. (2017). Flexural and interlaminar shear study of hybrid woven kenaf/recycled GFRP (rGFRP) composites subjected to bending load. *International Journal of Advanced and Applied Sciences*, 4(3), 45-50.
- Jasso-Gastinel, C. F., and Kenny, J. M. (Eds.). (2017). Modification of polymer properties. William Andrew.
- Jayaram, S., Sivaprasad, K., & Nandakumar, C. G. (2018). Recycling of FRP Boats. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Engineering and Technology*, 9(3), 244-252.
- Johnson, Alastair Frank. Engineering design properties of GRP. No. 215/2 6-79 Monograph. 1979.
- Jumahat, A., Soutis, C., Jones, F. R., and Hodzic, A. (2012a). Compressive behaviour of nanoclay modified aerospace grade epoxy polymer. *Plastics, Rubber and Composites*, 41(6), 225-232.
- Jumahat, A., Soutis, C., Mahmud, J., and Ahmad, N. (2012b). Compressive properties of nanoclay/epoxy nanocomposites. *Procedia Engineering*, 41, 1607-1613.
- Jweeg, M. J., Hammood, A. S., and Al-Waily, M. (2012). Experimental and theoretical studies of mechanical properties for reinforcement fiber types of composite materials. *International Journal of Mechanical and Mechatronics Engineering IJMME-IJENS*, 12(04), 62.
- Kalkanis, K., Psomopoulos, C. S., Kaminaris, S., Ioannidis, G., and Pachos, P. (2019). Wind turbine blade composite materials-End of life treatment methods. *Energy Procedia*, 157, 1136-1143.
- Kao, C. C., Ghita, O. R., Hallam, K. R., Heard, P. J., and Evans, K. E. (2012). Mechanical studies of single glass fibres recycled from hydrolysis process using sub-critical water. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 43(3), 398-406.
- Karippal, J. J., Murthy, H. N., Rai, K. S., Sreejith, M., and Krishna, M. (2011). Study of mechanical properties of epoxy/glass/nanoclay hybrid composites. *Journal of Composite Materials*, 45(18), 1893-1899.
- Kawasumi, M. (2004). The discovery of polymer-clay hybrids. *Journal of Polymer Science Part A: Polymer Chemistry*, 42(4), 819-824.

- Kaynak, C., and Tasan, C. C. (2006). Effects of production parameters on the structure of resol type phenolic resin/layered silicate nanocomposites. *European polymer journal*, 42(8), 1908-1921.
- Kaynak, C., Nakas, G. I., and Isitman, N. A. (2009). Mechanical properties, flammability and char morphology of epoxy resin/montmorillonite nanocomposites. *Applied Clay Science*, 46(3), 319-324.
- Ke, Y. C., and Stroeve, P. (2005). *Polymer-layered silicate and silica nanocomposites*. Elsevier.
- Kennerley, J. R., Kelly, R. M., Fenwick, N. J., Pickering, S. J., and Rudd, C. D. (1998). The characterisation and reuse of glass fibres recycled from scrap composites by the action of a fluidised bed process. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 29(7), 839-845.
- Khalil, Y. F. (2018). Comparative environmental and human health evaluations of thermolysis and solvolysis recycling technologies of carbon fiber reinforced polymer waste. *Waste Management*, 76, 767-778.
- Kim, Y. N., Kim, Y. O., Kim, S. Y., Park, M., Yang, B., Kim, J., and Jung, Y. C. (2019). Application of supercritical water for green recycling of epoxy-based carbon fiber reinforced plastic. *Composites Science and Technology*, 173, 66-72.
- Kinloch, A. J., and Taylor, A. C. (2006). The mechanical properties and fracture behaviour of epoxy-inorganic micro- and nano-composites. *Journal of Materials Science*, 41(11), 3271–3297.
- Kouparitsas, C. E., Kartalis, C. N., Varelidis, P. C., Tsenoglou, C. J., and Papaspyrides, C. D. (2002). Recycling of the fibrous fraction of reinforced thermoset composites. *Polymer composites*, 23(4), 682-689.
- Laatar, F., Gomez, M., Romdhane, M. R. B., and Srasra, E. (2016). Preparation of nanocomposite by adding of Tunisian nanoclay in unsaturated polyester matrix: mechanical and thermal study. *Journal of Polymer Research*, 23(11), 239.
- Li, W., Dichiara, A., Zha, J., Su, Z., and Bai, J. (2014). On improvement of mechanical and thermo-mechanical properties of glass fabric/epoxy composites by incorporating CNT–Al₂O₃ hybrids. *Composites science and technology*, 103, 36-43.

- Li, X., Bai, R., and McKechnie, J. (2016). Environmental and financial performance of mechanical recycling of carbon fibre reinforced polymers and comparison with conventional disposal routes. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 127, 451-460.
- Liu, R., Luo, S., Cao, J., and Peng, Y. (2013). Characterization of organo-montmorillonite (OMMT) modified wood flour and properties of its composites with poly (lactic acid). *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 51, 33-42.
- López, F. A., Rodríguez, O., Alguacil, F. J., García-Díaz, I., Centeno, T. A., García-Fierro, J. L., and González, C. (2013). Recovery of carbon fibres by the thermolysis and gasification of waste prepreg. *Journal of analytical and applied pyrolysis*, 104, 675-683.
- Makenji, K. (2010). Mechanical methods for recycling waste composites. In *Management, Recycling and Reuse of Waste Composites* (pp. 217-252). Woodhead Publishing.
- Mamanpush, S. H., Li, H., Englund, K., and Tabatabaei, A. T. (2019). Extruded fiber-reinforced composites manufactured from recycled wind turbine blade material. *Waste and Biomass Valorization*, 1-10.
- Manfredi, L. B., De Santis, H., and Vázquez, A. (2008). Influence of the addition of montmorillonite to the matrix of unidirectional glass fibre/epoxy composites on their mechanical and water absorption properties. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 39(11), 1726-1731.
- Mehdikhani, M., Petrov, N. A., Straumit, I., Melro, A. R., Lomov, S. V., and Gorbatiikh, L. (2019). The effect of voids on matrix cracking in composite laminates as revealed by combined computations at the micro-and meso-scales. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 117, 180-192.
- Meng, F., McKechnie, J., Turner, T. A., and Pickering, S. J. (2017). Energy and environmental assessment and reuse of fluidised bed recycled carbon fibres. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 100, 206-214.
- Mohd.Sam, A. R., Ishak, M. Y., and Abu Hassan, S. (2006, September). *Advanced composites in malaysian construction industry*. Proceedings of the 6th asia-pacific structural engineering and construction conference (APSEC 2006), Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

- Mukhopadhyay, M. (2005). *Mechanics of composite materials and structures*. Universities press.
- Müller, K., Bugnicourt, E., Latorre, M., Jorda, M., Echegoyen Sanz, Y., Lagaron, J. M., ...and Pérez, G. (2017a). Review on the processing and properties of polymer nanocomposites and nanocoatings and their applications in the packaging, automotive and solar energy fields. *Nanomaterials*, 7(4), 74.
- Müller, M., Valášek, P., and Ruggiero, A. (2017b). Strength characteristics of untreated short-fibre composites from the plant *ensete ventricosum*. *BioResources*, 12(1), 255-269.
- Mustapha, S. N. H., Rahmat, A. R., Arsad, A., Alsagayar, Z. S., and Shoot Kian, Y. (2015). Flexural properties of MMT reinforced unsaturated polyester/epoxidized palm oil biobased resin. *Advanced Materials Research*, 1112, 377-380
- Naqvi, S. R., Prabhakara, H. M., Bramer, E. A., Dierkes, W., Akkerman, R., and Brem, G. (2018). A critical review on recycling of end-of-life carbon fibre/glass fibre reinforced composites waste using pyrolysis towards a circular economy. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 136, 118-129.
- Nash, N. H., Portela, A., Bachour-Sirerol, C. I., Manolakis, I., and Comer, A. J. (2019). Effect of environmental conditioning on the properties of thermosetting-and thermoplastic-matrix composite materials by resin infusion for marine applications. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 177, 107271.
- Nayak, R. K., and Ray, B. C. (2017). Water absorption, residual mechanical and thermal properties of hydrothermally conditioned nano-Al₂O₃ enhanced glass fiber reinforced polymer composites. *Polymer Bulletin*, 74(10), 4175-4194.
- Nayak, R. K., Mahato, K. K., and Ray, B. C. (2019). Processing of Polymer-Based Nanocomposites. Reinforced Polymer Composites: Processing, Characterization and Post Life Cycle Assessment.
- Nilakantan, G., and Nutt, S. (2015). Reuse and upcycling of aerospace prepreg scrap and waste. *Reinforced Plastics*, 59(1), 44-51.
- Norkhairunnisa, M., Azhar, A. B., and Shyang, C. W. (2007). Effects of organo-montmorillonite on the mechanical and morphological properties of epoxy/glass fiber composites. *Polymer International*, 56(4), 512-517.

- Oliveux, G., Dandy, L. O., and Leeke, G. A. (2015). Current status of recycling of fibre reinforced polymers: Review of technologies, reuse and resulting properties. *Progress in Materials Science*, 72, 61-99.
- Onwudili, J. A., Miskolczi, N., Nagy, T., and Lipóczy, G. (2016). Recovery of glass fibre and carbon fibres from reinforced thermosets by batch pyrolysis and investigation of fibre re-using as reinforcement in LDPE matrix. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 91, 154-161.
- Ozkoc, G., Bayram, G., and Tiesnitsch, J. (2008). Microcompounding of organoclay–ABS/PA6 blend-based nanocomposites. *Polymer Composites*, 29(4), 345-356.
- Palmer J.A.T. Mechanical recycling of automotive composites for use as reinforcement in thermoset composites. PhD dissertation. UK: University of Exeter; May 2009.
- Palmer, J. A. T. (2009a). Mechanical recycling of automotive composites for use as reinforcement in thermoset composites (Doctoral dissertation). University of Exeter, UK.
- Palmer, J., Ghita, O. R., Savage, L., and Evans, K. E. (2009b). Successful closed-loop recycling of thermoset composites. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 40(4), 490-498.
- Patel, K., Gupta, R., Garg, M., Wang, B., and Dave, U. (2019). Development of FRC materials with recycled glass fibers recovered from industrial GFRP-acrylic waste. *Advances in Materials Science and Engineering*, 2019.
- Patel, S. H., Gonsalves, K. E., Stivala, S. S., Reich, L., and Trivedi, D. H. (1993). Alternative procedures for the recycling of sheet molding compounds. *Advances in Polymer Technology: Journal of the Polymer Processing Institute*, 12(1), 35-45.
- Paul, D. R., and Robeson, L. M. (2008). Polymer nanotechnology: nanocomposites. *Polymer*, 49(15), 3187-3204.
- Pickering, S. J. (2006). Recycling technologies for thermoset composite materials—current status. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 37(8), 1206-1215.
- Pickering, S. J., Kelly, R. M., Kennerley, J. R., Rudd, C. D., and Fenwick, N. J. (2000). A fluidised-bed process for the recovery of glass fibres from scrap thermoset composites. *Composites Science and Technology*, 60(4), 509-523.

- Prabhu, P., Iqbal, S. M., Balaji, A., and Karthikeyan, B. (2019). Experimental investigation of mechanical and machining parameters of hybrid nanoclay glass fiber-reinforced polyester composites. *Advanced Composites and Hybrid Materials*, 2(1), 93-101.
- Psomopoulos, C. S., Kalkanis, K., Kaminaris, S., Ioannidis, G. C., and Pachos, P. (2019). A Review of the Potential for the Recovery of Wind Turbine Blade Waste Materials. *Recycling*, 4(1), 7.
- Puggal, S., Mahajan, S., Sharma, S. D., Dhall, N., and Singh, N. (2015). Evaluation of Mechanical Properties of Polymer-Clay Nanocomposites Subjected To Different Environmental Conditions. *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research*, 10(9), 22069-22076.
- Rahimizadeh, A., Kalman, J., Henri, R., Fayazbakhsh, K., and Lessard, L. (2019). Recycled Glass Fiber Composites from Wind Turbine Waste for 3D Printing Feedstock: Effects of Fiber Content and Interface on Mechanical Performance. *Materials*, 12(23), 3929.
- Rajini, N., Jappes, J. W., Rajakarunakaran, S., and Bennet, C. (2013). Effects of chemical modifications and MMT nanoclay addition on transport phenomena of naturally woven coconut sheath/polyester nanocomposites. *Chinese Journal of Polymer Science*, 31(8), 1074-1086.
- Rana, S., Parveen, S., and Fangueiro, R. (2016). Multiscale composites for aerospace engineering. In *Advanced Composite Materials for Aerospace Engineering* (pp. 265-293). Woodhead Publishing.
- Ribeiro, M. C. S., Fiúza, A., and Ferreira, A. J. M. (2017). Recycling and Reuse of Fiber Reinforced Polymer Wastes in Concrete Composite Materials.
- Ribeiro, M. C. S., Meira-Castro, A. C., Silva, F. G., Santos, J., Meixedo, J. P., Fiúza, A., Maria, L. D. and Alvim, M. R. (2015). Re-use assessment of thermoset composite wastes as aggregate and filler replacement for concrete-polymer composite materials: A case study regarding GFRP pultrusion wastes. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 104, 417-426.
- Rodin III, H., Nassiri, S., Englund, K., Fakron, O., and Li, H. (2018). Recycled glass fiber reinforced polymer composites incorporated in mortar for improved mechanical performance. *Construction and Building Materials*, 187, 738-751.
- Rothon, R. (Ed.). (2017). *Fillers for polymer applications*. Berlin, Germany. Springer.

- Rouholamin, D., Shyng, Y. T., Savage, L., and Ghita, O. R. (2014). A comparative study into mechanical performance of glass fibres recovered through mechanical grinding and high voltage pulse power fragmentation. In *16th European conference on composite materials*, Seville, Spain.
- Ruiz-Hitzky, E., and Van Meerbeek, A. (2006). Clay Mineral–and Organoclay–Polymer Nanocomposite. *Developments in Clay Science, 1*, 583-621.
- Rybicka, J., Tiwari, A., and Leeke, G. A. (2016). Technology readiness level assessment of composites recycling technologies. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 112*, 1001-1012.
- Sagar, N. A., and Palanikumar, K. (2016). Development and Characterization of Nano Clay Reinforced Three-Phase Sandwich Composite Laminates. In *Nanoclay Reinforced Polymer Composites* (pp. 357-391). Springer, Singapore.
- Sapiai, N., Jumahat, A., Manap, N., & Usoff, M. A. I. (2015). Effect of nanofillers dispersion on mechanical properties of clay/epoxy and silica/epoxy nanocomposites. *Jurnal Teknologi, 76*(9).
- Satasivam, S., Bai, Y., and Zhao, X. L. (2014). Adhesively bonded modular GFRP web–flange sandwich for building floor construction. *Composite Structures, 111*, 381-392.
- Sathishkumar, T. P. (2017). Glass fiber composite materials. *Lightweight and Sustainable Materials for Automotive Applications*, 239.
- Sharuddin, S. D. A., Abnisa, F., Daud, W. M. A. W., and Aroua, M. K. (2016). A review on pyrolysis of plastic wastes. *Energy conversion and management, 115*, 308-326.
- Shuaib, N. A., and Mativenga, P. T. (2016). Energy demand in mechanical recycling of glass fibre reinforced thermoset plastic composites. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 120*, 198-206.
- Shukur Abu Hassan, Mat Uzir Wahit, Umar Abdul Hanan and Siti Khalijah Jamal (2017), “Tensile Properties of Hybrid Glass Fibre Recyclate-Montmorillonite Nanofiller Composites.” In Wong King Jye and Zaini Ahmad (Ed.), *Structural Composites: Mechanical Properties and Behaviour* (pp. 67-81). Johor Bahru:UTM.
- Soundararajah, Q. Y., Karunaratne, B. S. B., and Rajapakse, R. M. G. (2010). Mechanical properties of poly (vinyl alcohol) montmorillonite nanocomposites. *Journal of composite materials, 44*(3), 303-311.

- Subramaniyan, A. K., and Sun, C. T. (2006). Enhancing compressive strength of unidirectional polymeric composites using nanoclay. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 37(12), 2257-2268.
- Tanahashi, M. (2010). Development of fabrication methods of filler/polymer nanocomposites: With focus on simple melt-compounding-based approach without surface modification of nanofillers. *Materials*, 3(3), 1593-1619.
- Theng, B. K. G. (2012). *Formation and properties of clay-polymer complexes*. Elsevier.
- Thostenson, E. T., Li, C., and Chou, T. W. (2005). Nanocomposites in context. *Composites Science and Technology*, 65(3-4), 491-516.
- Halpin, J. C., and Pagano, N. J. (1969). The laminate approximation for randomly oriented fibrous composites. *Journal of Composite Materials*, 3(4), 720-724.
- Uddin, N. (Ed.). (2013). *Developments in fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) composites for civil engineering*. Elsevier.
- Vinson, J. R., and Sierakowski, R. L. (2012). The behavior of structures composed of composite materials (Vol. 5). Springer Science and Business Media.
- Wang, T., Zhang, J., Bai, W., and Hao, S. (2013). Forming process and mechanical properties of fibers-reinforced polymer concrete. *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, 32(12), 907-911.
- Winey, K. I., and Vaia, R. A. (2007). Polymer nanocomposites. *MRS Bulletin*, 32(4), 314-322.
- Winter, H., Mostert, H. A. M., Smeets, P. J. H. M., and Paas, G. (1995). Recycling of sheet-molding compounds by chemical routes. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 57(11), 1409-1417.
- Witik, R. A., Teuscher, R., Michaud, V., Ludwig, C., and Månson, J. A. E. (2013). Carbon fibre reinforced composite waste: an environmental assessment of recycling, energy recovery and landfilling. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 49, 89-99.
- Wu, S., Wang, L., Wu, L., Weng, Z., and Zhou, Y. (2017). Unsaturated polyester/montmorillonite nanocomposites with improved mechanical and thermal properties fabricated by in situ polymerization. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 134(35), 45251.
- Xanthos, M., and Patel, S. H. (1998). Solvolysis. In *Frontiers in the science and technology of polymer recycling* (pp. 425-436). Springer, Dordrecht.

- Yang, Y., Boom, R., Irion, B., van Heerden, D. J., Kuiper, P., and de Wit, H. (2012). Recycling of composite materials. *Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification*, 51, 53-68.
- Yang, J., Liu, J., Liu, W., Wang, J., and Tang, T. (2015). Recycling of carbon fibre reinforced epoxy resin composites under various oxygen concentrations in nitrogen–oxygen atmosphere. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 112, 253-261.
- Yazdanbakhsh, A., and Bank, L. C. (2014). A critical review of research on reuse of mechanically recycled FRP production and end-of-life waste for construction. *Polymers*, 6(6), 1810-1826.
- Yazdanbakhsh, A., Bank, L. C., Rieder, K. A., Tian, Y., and Chen, C. (2018). Concrete with discrete slender elements from mechanically recycled wind turbine blades. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 128, 11-21.
- Zabihi, O., Ahmadi, M., Nikafshar, S., Preyeswary, K. C., and Naebe, M. (2018). A technical review on epoxy-clay nanocomposites: Structure, properties, and their applications in fiber reinforced composites. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 135, 1-24.
- Zaman, I., Manshoor, B., Khalid, A., and Araby, S. (2014). From clay to graphene for polymer nanocomposites—a survey. *Journal of Polymer Research*, 21(5), 429.
- Żyjewski, A., Chróścielewski, J., and Pyrzowski, Ł. (2017). The use of fibre-reinforced polymers (FRP) in bridges as a favourable solution for the environment. In *E3S Web of Conferences* (Vol. 17, p. 00102). EDP Sciences.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

1. **Umar Abdul Hanan**, Shukur Abu Hassan, Mat Uzir Wahit, Balqis Omar, Rohana Yusof and Siti Khalijah Jamal (2017), “Mechanical properties of recycled glass fibre reinforced nanoclay/unsaturated polyester composites.” *International Journal of Advanced and Applied Sciences*, 4(3):1-6. (Indexed in Web of Science)
2. **Umar Abdul Hanan**, Shukur Abu Hassan, Mat Uzir Wahit, Ahmad Raizal Md Rozali, Hj. Kamarul Bahrin Mohamad and Mohd Arif Mat Lazi (2016), “Mechanical properties of glass fibre waste/kenaf core reinforced unsaturated polyester eco-friendly composites.” *Journal of Built Environment, Technology and Engineering*, 1: 273-281.
3. **Umar Abdul Hanan**, Shukur Abu Hassan, Mat Uzir Wahit and Siti Khalijah Jamal (2016), “Mechanical Recycling of GFRP Waste as Reinforcement in Unsaturated Polyester Composites.” In: 6th International Graduate Conference on Engineering, Science and Humanities (IGCESH). Johor Bahru.
4. Shukur Abu Hassan, Mat Uzir Wahit, **Umar Abdul Hanan** and Siti Khalijah Jamal (2017), “Tensile Properties of Hybrid Glass Fibre Recyclate-Montmorillonite Nanofiller Composites.” In Wong King Jye and Zaini Ahmad (Ed.), *Structural Composites: Mechanical Properties and Behaviour* (pp. 67-81). Johor Bahru:UTM.
5. Behzad Abdi, Shukur Abu Hassan, Mat Uzir Wahit and **Umar Abdul Hanan** (2014), “Tensile and Flexural Properties of Recycled Glass Fibre Reinforced Polyester Composites.” *Materials Research Innovations* Vol. 18 Suppl. 6 pp.S6-1-S6-3. (Indexed in Scopus)