

MARKET LEARNING ORIENTATION, ENTREPRENEURIAL ORIENTATION,
INNOVATION CAPABILITY, TRUST AND THEIR IMPACT ON THE
PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACT FARMING IN MALAYSIA

NORAINDAH BINTI ABDULAH FAHIM

A thesis submitted in the fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Management)

Azman Hashim International Business School
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2020

DEDICATION

To my family who always be the pillar of my strength...

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful. All praises be only to Allah who has given me His blessings to complete this thesis successfully.

The completion of this thesis is among the major achievements of my life and there are many individuals who should be acknowledged for it.

First, the immeasurable appreciation and thanks to my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Rohaizat bin Baharun for his invaluable supervision and continuous guidance, support, constructive criticisms and patience throughout this academic journey. I will always appreciate and remember in my heart your endless support and encouragement. For that, I am deeply indebted to you. Thank you.

My sincere gratitude goes to the Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE) Malaysia for funding my study via Federal Training Award Scheme. Without which, it would not have been possible for me to pursue and complete this PhD successfully.

My sincere appreciation also extends to the Director of Contract Farming (CF) Division of FAMA, especially, Cik Suriana binti A. Razak, the Assistance Director of FAMA Headquarter, CF FAMA officers and respondents from Johor, Pahang, Negeri Sembilan and Perak, who gave me support, assistance and guidance during the data collection process to complete my work. Thank you all.

I am grateful to the support of my family and friends. Special thanks to my beloved parents, Hj Abdullah Fahim, Hajah Napsiah, parents in laws, Hj Mokhtar and Hajah Rohani, for their undivided love, support and relentless prayers, I am forever grateful; my siblings and my siblings in laws, for always encouraging and supporting me in every way; my fruitful children, Nadzmi, Kamal, Hafiy and Zaim whom I owe time and love spent with you guys. Thank you for the understanding and affection that kept me going through all the way. I love you all. My dear colleagues and friends, particularly Che Zawiyah, Logaiswari, Salmalina, Raihani, who shared with me the joys and worries of this long process since the first day of this lonesome and tedious process. I have saved for my dear husband, Muhammad Najib, whom stood by my side all these years and has made them the best years of my life. Thank you for the invaluable love, care, support, countless duaa and prayers, understanding and encouragement that keep me motivated. Thank you for believing in me. I love you.

ABSTRACT

Contract farming (CF) is facing a number of issues which require farmers to change their traditional business approaches to strategic orientation (SO). In addition, innovation capability (IC) has been promoted as mechanism for growth and sustainability in various sectors. However, the study on the adoption of both SO and IC are still low. Previous studies have been done in manufacturing or technology-related sectors and towards large firms from the developed nations. Nevertheless, most studies have ignored the small and medium-sized firms in developing nations, particularly the agricultural sector. Motivated by this phenomenon, a better understanding on the adoption of SO and IC are provided in this study to fill the existing gap, using the Malaysia's fruits and vegetables contract farming (FFV CF) as an analysis. Additionally, this study regards IC as mediator, while trust as moderator between SO and farm performance relationship. The relationship between SO-Trust-IC which are summarised as SOTI Model is one of the mechanisms to improve FFV CF performance in Malaysia. The quantitative method was employed and were guided according to the integration of Resource-Based View (RBV), Dynamic Capabilities view (DCV) and Commitment and Trust theory to achieve the objective of this study. 300 questionnaires were distributed to FFV CF and only 228 usable questionnaires were analysed and assessed using the Partial Least Square (PLS) technique. Finding shows that both SO of Market Learning Orientation (MLO) and Entrepreneurial Orientation (EO) were significant towards IC. IC was the only variable which gives positive and significant impact to farm performance and fully mediates the relationship between MLO, EO and farm performance while trust do not moderate the relationship of MLO, EO and IC. However, the direct effect of Trust to IC was substantial. Therefore, the study shows that MLO, EO, Trust and IC were important variables in influencing FFV CF performance in Malaysia. Besides, this study offers conclusive insights to various stakeholders, such as academician, practitioners and policy makers to engage in various strategies in enhancing the strategic business approach of FFV CFs. In summary, this study has concluded that the influence of SO of MLO, EO, Trust and IC towards farm performance in the context of FFV CF were significant, solidified by providing empirical evidence and suggestions for improvement, particularly to FFV CF and the agricultural sector as a whole.

ABSTRAK

Pertanian ladang kontrak (CF) menghadapi beberapa isu yang memerlukan petani untuk mengubah tradisi pendekatan perniagaan mereka kepada orientasi strategik (SO). Di samping itu, keupayaan inovasi (IC) merupakan satu mekanisme bagi membantu meningkatkan pembangunan mampan dalam pelbagai sektor. Namun begitu, kajian kesesuaian kedua-dua SO dan IC masih kurang dilaksanakan. Kajian lepas telah banyak dilakukan dalam sektor pembuatan atau berkaitan teknologi dan terhadap firma besar dari negara membangun. Namun, kebanyakan kajian telah mengabaikan firma kecil dan sederhana di negara sedang membangun, terutamanya dari sektor pertanian. Didorong oleh fenomena ini, pemahaman yang lebih baik berkaitan SO dan IC dilaksanakan dalam kajian ini untuk mengisi jurang sedia ada, dengan menganalisis pertanian ladang kontrak buah-buahan dan sayur-sayuran (FFV CF) di Malaysia. Sebagai tambahan, kajian ini menganggap IC sebagai pengantara dan kepercayaan sebagai moderator antara hubungan SO dan prestasi ladang. Hubungkait antara SO-Kepercayaan-IC (SO-Trust-I) yang diringkaskan sebagai SOTI Model adalah salah satu mekanisme untuk meningkatkan prestasi FFV CF di Malaysia. Kaedah kuantitatif digunakan berdasarkan Teori Berasaskan Sumber (RBV), Teori Keupayaan Dynamik (DCV) dan Teori Komitmen dan Kepercayaan untuk mencapai matlamat kajian ini. 300 soal selidik telah diedarkan kepada FFV CF dan hanya 228 jawapan yang diterima, dianalisis dan dinilai menggunakan teknik Partial Least Square (PLS). Hasil analisa menunjukkan bahawa SO dari Orientasi Pembelajaran Pasaran (MLO) dan Orientasi Keusahawanan (EO) terbukti penting kepada IC. IC adalah satu-satunya pemboleh ubah yang memberikan impak positif dan signifikan kepada prestasi FFV CF dan sepenuhnya menjadi pengantara diantara hubungan MLO, EO dan prestasi ladang manakala kepercayaan tidak menyederhanakan hubungan antara MLO, EO dan IC. Akan tetapi, kesan langsung dari kepercayaan kepada IC adalah besar. Oleh itu, kajian ini membuktikan bahawa MLO, EO, kepercayaan dan IC adalah pemboleh ubah penting dalam mempengaruhi prestasi FFV CF di Malaysia. Selain itu, kajian ini telah menawarkan pandangan yang konklusif kepada pelbagai pemegang taruh seperti ahli akademik, pengamal industri dan pembuat dasar untuk melibatkan pelbagai strategi dalam meningkatkan pendekatan perniagaan yang strategik terhadap FFV CF. Kesimpulannya, kajian ini membuktikan bahawa pengaruh SO dari MLO, EO, kepercayaan dan IC terhadap prestasi FFV CF adalah signifikan, diperteguhkan dengan bukti empirikal dan cadangan penambahbaikan, khususnya kepada FFV CF dan umumnya kepada sektor pertanian.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	ix
	LIST OF TABLES	xviii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xxii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxiv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxv
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Research Background	1
	1.2 Motivation of This Study	4
	1.3 Problem Statement	6
	1.4 Research Objectives	10
	1.5 Research Questions	11
	1.6 Significant of Study	11
	1.6.1 Academic Contribution	12
	1.6.2 Non-academic Contribution	13
	1.7 Scope of the Study	14
	1.8 The Terms and Operational Definitions	15
	1.8.1 Market Learning Orientation (MLO)	15
	1.8.2 Entrepreneurial Orientation (EO)	16
	1.8.3 Innovation Capability (IC)	16
	1.8.4 Trust (TR)	17
	1.8.5 FFV CF Farm Performance	17
	1.9 Organization of the Thesis	18

CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	19
2.1	Introduction	19
2.2	Contract Farming around the Globe	19
2.3	Contract Farming in Malaysia	22
2.4	Issues in Contract Farming (CF) of Fresh Fruits and Vegetables (FFV) in Malaysia	23
2.4.1	Internal Factors Affecting FFV CF in Malaysia	24
2.4.2	External Factors Affecting FFV CF in Malaysia	24
2.5	Implementation of Strategic Orientation (SO) in FFV CF	27
2.6	Limited study on Theoretical Gap between Resource-Based View (RBV) and Dynamic Capability View (DCV) in FFV CF	29
2.7	Linking Theories to Farm Performance	29
2.7.1	The Resource-Based View (RBV) and Strategic Orientation (SO) Approach	30
2.7.2	Resource Based View (RBV) and the Dynamic Capability View (DCV)	35
2.7.3	Commitment-Trust Theory	37
2.8	An Overview of Strategic Orientation (SO)	39
2.9	The conceptualization of Market Learning Orientation (MLO)	41
2.9.1	Market Orientation (MO)	41
2.9.2	Learning Orientation (LO)	46
2.9.3	The Synergy of Market Orientation (MO) and Learning Orientation (LO)	48
2.9.4	Market Orientation and Learning Orientation as One Variable	52
2.9.4.1	The Relation of MO and LO with Market Learning (ML)	56

2.9.5	The Development of Market Learning Orientation (MLO)	59
2.9.5.1	Market Learning Orientation (MLO)	61
2.9.5.2	Market Learning Orientation (MLO) Dimensions	63
2.9.5.2.1	Market Intelligence Generation	64
2.9.5.2.2	Market Intelligence Dissemination	65
2.9.5.2.3	Market Intelligence Interpretation	66
2.9.5.2.4	Market Intelligence Responsiveness	66
2.10	Entrepreneurial Orientation (EO)	67
2.10.1	Entrepreneurial Orientation (EO) Dimensions	69
2.10.1.1	Innovativeness	70
2.10.1.2	Risk-taking	71
2.10.1.3	Pro-activeness	72
2.11	Strategic Orientation (SO) as a Business Approach to FFV CF	73
2.11.1	Market Learning Orientation (MLO) and FFV CF	74
2.11.2	Entrepreneurial Orientation (EO) and FFV CF	77
2.12	The Role and Definition of Innovation Capability (IC)	79
2.12.1	Innovation Capability (IC) Dimensions	82
2.12.2	Innovation Capability (IC) and FFV CF	85
2.13	The Importance of Trust in Business Environment	86
2.13.1	Definition and Role of Trust in Business Environment	89
2.13.2	Trust in Contract Farming of Fresh Fruits and Vegetables (FFV CF)	92
2.14	Contract Farming Performance	94
2.15	Summary	100

CHAPTER 3	CONSTRUCTION OF THE FRAMEWORK:	
	SOTI MODEL	101
3.1	Introduction	101
3.2	The Construction of the Conceptual Framework	101
3.3	The Effect of MLO and EO on Performance	102
3.4	The Effect of MLO and EO on Innovation Capability	104
3.5	The Direct and Mediating Effect of Innovation Capability	105
3.6	The Direct and Moderating Effect of Trust and Innovation Capability	107
3.7	The Development of Hypotheses	109
3.7.1	The Elements of MLO, EO and Trust on FFV CF Innovation Capability	109
3.7.1.1	Market Learning Orientation on Innovation Capability	109
3.7.1.2	Entrepreneurial Orientation on Innovation Capability	110
3.7.1.3	Trust on Innovation Capability	111
3.7.2	The Elements of MLO, EO and IC in Improving FFV CF Performance	112
3.7.2.1	Market Learning Orientation on FFV CF Performance	112
3.7.2.2	Entrepreneurial Orientation on FFV CF Performance	114
3.7.2.3	Innovation Capability on FFV CF Performance	116
3.7.3	The Mediating Role of Innovation Capability	118
3.7.4	The Moderating Role of Trust	121
3.7.5	The Hypotheses Development	123
3.8	Summary	125

CHAPTER 4	METHODOLOGY	127
4.1	Introduction	127
4.2	Research Design	127
4.2.1	Research Philosophy	130
4.2.2	Research Methodical Choice	130
4.2.3	Research Strategy	132
4.2.4	Time Horizon	133
4.3	Population of the Study and Source of Data	133
4.4	Unit of Analysis	135
4.5	Sampling Frame, Sampling Technique and Size	136
4.5.1	Sampling Frame and Procedure	136
4.5.2	Sampling Strategy	138
4.5.3	Sample Size Determination Technique	139
4.6	Research Instrument Development	141
4.6.1	Questionnaire Design	141
4.6.2	Questionnaire Structure	142
4.6.2.1	Measuring Market Learning Orientation	144
4.6.2.2	Measuring Entrepreneurial Orientation	148
4.6.2.3	Measuring Trust	150
4.6.2.4	Measuring Innovation Capability	151
4.6.2.5	Measuring Performance	153
4.6.3	Pretesting	153
4.6.4	The Pilot Study	158
4.7	Data Collection Procedure	159
4.8	Data Analysis Techniques	161
4.9	An Overview of Structural Equation Modelling (SEM)	161
4.9.1	Justification of Using PLS-SEM	162
4.9.2	Evaluating Measurement and Structural Model Using PLS-SEM	164
4.9.2.1	Assessing the Measurement (Outer) Model	165

4.9.3	Evaluating the Reflective Measurement Model	167
4.9.3.1	Assessing the Structural Model	168
4.9.4	Testing Mediation Using PLS-SEM	169
4.9.5	Testing Moderation using PLS-SEM	172
4.10	Summary	174
CHAPTER 5	ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS	175
5.1	Introduction	175
5.2	Assessment of Normality and Outliers	175
5.3	Survey Response	176
5.4	General Information and Respondents' Profile	177
5.5	Common Method Bias	180
5.6	Evaluation the Measurement Model among the Targeted Latent Constructs	180
5.6.1	First Order Measurement Model	183
5.6.1.1	Convergent Validity	183
5.6.1.2	Discriminant Validity	185
5.6.2	Second Order Measurement Model	190
5.6.2.1	Convergent Validity	191
5.6.2.2	Discriminant Validity	193
5.7	Descriptive Analysis	196
5.7.1	Descriptive Analysis for Independent Variable Dimensions	196
5.7.2	Descriptive Analysis for Mediating Variable Dimensions	198
5.7.3	Descriptive Analysis for Moderating Variable Dimensions	199
5.7.4	Descriptive Analysis for Dependent Variable Dimensions	200
5.7.5	Descriptive Analysis for Targeted Variables	200
5.8	Evaluation of Structural Model	201

5.8.1	Coefficient of Determination (R^2), Predictive Relevance (Q^2 and q^2), and Effect Size (f^2) Evaluation	201
5.8.2	Path Coefficient Evaluation	203
5.9	Evaluation of Mediating Effect	206
5.9.1	Testing the Mediating Effect	206
5.9.2	Classification of Mediating Effect	208
5.10	Evaluation of Moderating Effect	209
5.10.1	Moderator effect of Trust toward MLO → IC Relationship	209
5.10.2	Moderator effect of Trust toward EO → IC Relationship	212
5.11	Summary of Analysis and Results	215
CHAPTER 6	DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSION	221
6.1	Introduction	221
6.2	Recapitulating the Research Questions	221
6.2.1	RQ 1: Do the Market Learning Orientation, Entrepreneurial Orientation and Trust provide a direct effect on FFV CF Innovation Capability?	222
6.2.1.1	The Impact of Market Learning Orientation (MLO) on Innovation Capability (IC)	223
6.2.1.2	The Impact of Entrepreneurial Orientation (EO) on Innovation Capability (IC)	225
6.2.1.3	The Impact of Trust on Innovation Capability (IC)	228
6.2.2	RQ 2: Do the Market Learning Orientation, Entrepreneurial Orientation and Innovation Capability directly affect FFV CF Performance?	231

6.2.2.1	The Impact of Market Learning Orientation (MLO) on Fresh Fruits and Vegetables Contract Farming (FFV CF) Performance	231
6.2.2.2	The Impact of Entrepreneurial Orientation (EO) on Fresh Fruits and Vegetables Contract Farming (FFV CF) Performance	234
6.2.2.3	The Impact of Innovation Capability (IC) on Fresh Fruits and Vegetables Contract Farming (FFV CF) Performance	236
6.2.3	RQ 3: Does Innovation Capability has a mediating effect between Market Learning Orientation, Entrepreneurial Orientation and FFV CF Performance?	238
6.2.3.1	The Mediating Effect of Innovation Capability (IC) between Market Learning Orientation (MLO) and FFV CF Performance	238
6.2.3.2	The Mediating Effect of Innovation Capability (IC) between Entrepreneurial Orientation (EO) and FFV CF Performance	240
6.2.4	RQ 4: Does Trust moderate the effect of Market Learning Orientation and Entrepreneurial Orientation on Innovation Capability?	242
6.2.4.1	The Role of Trust on the effect of Market Learning Orientation (MLO) on Innovation Capability (IC)	242

6.2.4.2	The Role of Trust on the effect of Entrepreneurial Orientation (EO) on Innovation Capability (IC)	244
6.2.5	Contribution and Implication of the Study	245
6.2.5.1	Academic and Theory Contribution	246
6.2.5.2	Managerial Implication	249
6.2.5.3	Policy Contribution	250
6.3	Limitations	251
6.4	Recommendations for Future Research	253
6.5	Summary	255
	REFERENCES	257
	Appendices A-J	303-343

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 1.1	Percentage Share of Agricultural Sector in Gross Domestic Product (GDP)	2
Table 1.2	Highlights of Agriculture's Percentage of Achievement	2
Table 2.1	Summary of Total Hectares, Production and Revenue of FFV CF	26
Table 2.2	Resource Requirements for Sustainable Competitive Advantage	32
Table 2.3	The Definition of DCV from Previous Scholars by the Author	36
Table 2.4	Numbers of Studies on the Combination of SOs to Enrich the Existing Literatures	40
Table 2.5	List of MO Key Definitions by MO Proponent by Author	44
Table 2.6	Definition of LO from Previous Scholars	47
Table 2.7	MO-LO Relationships	51
Table 2.8	Issues on MO-LO Relationship by Several Authors	54
Table 2.9	Among the Studies on Market Learning Relation	58
Table 2.10	Entrepreneurial Orientation Definition	69
Table 2.11	Studies in Agricultural Sector on MLO Related Activities	75
Table 2.12	Studies in Agricultural Sector on EO Activities	78
Table 2.13	Innovation Capability Definition	81
Table 2.14	Previous Studies on Influence of Innovation on Firm Performance	84
Table 2.15	Among the Definitions of Trust	90
Table 2.16	Trust with Various Purposes and Perspectives by Author	93
Table 2.17	Subjective and Objective Measure Different Aspect on Performance	96
Table 2.18	Performance Indicators Related with SO and IC Studies	98

Table 3.1	List all the hypotheses developed for the purpose of testing in this study	124
Table 4.1	Research Design Applied in the Study	128
Table 4.2	Population of FFV CF as at 21 st October 2016	134
Table 4.3	FFV CF Active Participants from FAMA by the States	137
Table 4.4	MLO Instrument in FFV CF Context	146
Table 4.5	EO Instrument in FFV CF Context	149
Table 4.6	Trust Instrument in FFV CF Context	150
Table 4.7	IC Instrument in FFV CF Context	152
Table 4.8	Performance Instrument in FFV CF Context	153
Table 4.9	Pre-testing of the Questionnaire	155
Table 4.10	Pilot Testing Result	159
Table 4.11	Data Collection Procedures	161
Table 4.12	Summary of the Rules of Thumb for Selecting PLS-SEM and CB-SEM	163
Table 4.13	Summary and Abbreviations of Constructs and Indicators	165
Table 4.14	Measurement Model for Reflective Construct Criterion	168
Table 4.15	Assessment Criterion for Measure Structural Model	169
Table 5.1	Response rate of the study	177
Table 5.2	Farm Profile	178
Table 5.3	Respondent Profile	179
Table 5.4	Reliability and AVE Assessments of First Order Measurement Model	184
Table 5.5	Fornell-Larcker discriminant assessments of first order measurement model	186
Table 5.6	Cross-Loading Discriminant Assessments of First Order Measurement Model	187
Table 5.7	HTMT Discriminant Assessments of First Order Measurement Model	189
Table 5.8	Normality assessment of LVS	191
Table 5.9	Indicator loading of second order measurement model	192
Table 5.10	Reliability and AVE assessments of second order measurement model	193

Table 5.11	Fornell-Larcker discriminant assessments of second model measurement model	194
Table 5.12	Cross-Loading discriminant assessments of second order measurement model	195
Table 5.13	HTMT discriminant assessments of second order measurement model	195
Table 5.14	Summary Result of Descriptive Analysis for Market Learning Orientation	197
Table 5.15	Summary Result of Descriptive Analysis for Entrepreneurial Orientation	198
Table 5.16	Summary Result of Descriptive Analysis for Innovation Capability	199
Table 5.17	Summary Result of Descriptive Analysis for Trust	199
Table 5.18	Summary Result of Descriptive Analysis for Farm Performance	200
Table 5.19	Summary Result of Descriptive Analysis for Variables	200
Table 5.20	R ² and Q ² assessments of structural model	201
Table 5.21	Predictive relevance (q ²) of endogenous latent variables of structural model	202
Table 5.22	Effect size (f ²) of endogenous latent variables of structural model	203
Table 5.23	Path coefficients of structural model	204
Table 5.24	Indirect effect analysis of structural model	207
Table 5.25	Bootstrap confidence interval for indirect effect for structural model	207
Table 5.26	Mediation types and VAF assessments for indirect effect for structural model	208
Table 5.27	Summary Result of Moderating Analysis	212
Table 5.28	Summary Result of Moderating Effect Analysis	212
Table 5.29	Summary results of hypothesis testing for direct relationship	218
Table 5.30	Summary results of hypothesis testing for mediating relationship	219
Table 5.31	Summary results of moderating hypothesis testing for structural measurement model	219

Table 6.1	Summary results of hypothesis testing for structural measurement model	222
-----------	--	-----

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Barney's (1991) RBV conceptual framework (Newbert, 2007)	31
Figure 2.2	Research Model of RBV and DCV by Grande (2011)	37
Figure 2.3	A conceptual framework of MO perspective (Lafferty and Hult, 2001)	43
Figure 2.4	A Generic Conceptual Causal Model by Matsuno et al. (2005)	49
Figure 2.5	Market-Driven processes for learning about market by Day (1994a)	50
Figure 2.6	A model of the relationship by Jiménez-Jiménez and Cegarra-Navarro (2007)	53
Figure 2.7	The MBOL Model (Sinkula et al., 1997)	56
Figure 2.8	The MO and LO Illustration on dimensions similarities by author	60
Figure 2.9	Ten Discrete Forms of relationship (Morgan and Hunt, 1994)	88
Figure 3.1	The effect of MLO and EO on Performance	102
Figure 3.2	The Theoretical Framework by Fugate et al. (2009)	103
Figure 3.3	Conceptual Framework by Lee and Lim (2009)	103
Figure 3.4	The Model of Market Learning Process (Berchicci and Tucci, 2010)	104
Figure 3.5	Research Framework by Mu et al. (2017)	105
Figure 3.6	Research Model by Wang and Cao (2015)	106
Figure 3.7	The mediating effect of Innovativeness by Hult et al. (2004)	106
Figure 3.8	Relationship between Entrepreneurship, Innovation and SME Performance by Ndubisi and Iftikhar (2012)	107
Figure 3.9	The social capital structural model by Jiménez-Jiménez et al. (2014)	107

Figure 3.10	The conceptual model by Boso et al. 2013	108
Figure 3.11	Conceptual SOIT Model	109
Figure 3.12	The proposed SOTI Model Framework	124
Figure 4.1	The Research Onion Model (Saunders et al., 2011)	128
Figure 4.2	Outline for the Research Flow of This Study	129
Figure 4.3	Proposed Measurement and Structural Model	166
Figure 4.4	Basic Mediator Model (Source: Hair et al., 2014)	170
Figure 4.5	The Baron and Kenny causal-approach by Preacher and Hayes (2008)	170
Figure 4.6	Moderation Analysis Model	174
Figure 5.1	First Order Measurement Model	182
Figure 5.2	Second Order Measurement Model	182
Figure 5.3	Structural Model with Valid Indicators (Loading)	210
Figure 5.4	Structural Model with Valid Indicators (Bootstrapping)	211
Figure 5.5	Trust Moderator Effect toward MLO → IC (Loading's Value)	213
Figure 5.6	Trust Moderator Effect toward MLO → IC (Bootstrapping's Value)	214
Figure 5.7	Trust Moderator Effect toward EO → IC (Loading's Value)	216
Figure 5.8	Trust Moderator Effect toward EO → IC (Bootstrapping's Value)	217

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AVE	-	Average Variance Extracted
CF	-	Contract Farming
CR	-	Composite Reliability
DCV	-	Dynamic Capability View
EO	-	Entrepreneurial Orientation
FAMA	-	Federal Agricultural Marketing Authority
FFV	-	Fruits and Vegetables
HIP	-	High Impact Projects
HTMT	-	Heterotrait-monotrait
LO	-	Learning Orientation
LVS	-	Latent Variable Scores
MLO	-	Market Learning Orientation
MO	-	Market Orientation
MoA	-	Ministry of Agricultural and Agro business
MOKJ	-	MO by Kohli and Jaworski
MONS	-	MO by Narver and Slater
MP	-	Malaysia's Plan
PLS-SEM	-	Partial Least Square – Structural Equation Modelling
RBV	-	Resource-based View
RMK	-	Rancangan Malaysia Ke
SO	-	Strategic Orientation
TKPM	-	Taman Kekal Pengeluaran Makanan
VRIN	-	Valuable, Rare, Inimitable and Non-substitutable

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Research Questionnaire	303
B	Coded Indicators	311
C	Assessment of Normality	313
D	Indicator Loading of First Order Measurement Model (Initial Model)	315
E	Indicator Removed Process	317
F	Selected output of PLS-SEM of first order model	319
G	Selected output of PLS-SEM of second order model	331
H	Assessment of moderating of trust toward MLO → IC relationship	337
I	Assessment of moderating of trust toward EO → IC relationship	341
J	Assessment of Predictive Relevance	343

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

The agricultural sector has “long tradition of being commodity-oriented with emphasis on efficiency, high-volume, consistent quality and economies of scale” (Grunert et al., 2005, p. 429). Due to the intense competition in all industries to produce high levels of value-added and differentiated products, it is crucial for production- and commodity-oriented firms (i.e., firms in the agricultural sector) to supplement and tailor themselves to the emerging situations according to a farm’s abilities and business competencies (Grunert et al., 2005; McElwee et al., 2015).

Nevertheless, studies on a farm’s abilities and competencies such as ICT adoptions, new knowledge acquisition, innovation, or entrepreneurial competencies in the agricultural sector of developing countries were understudied (Diaz-Pichardo et al., 2012; Prawiranegara et al., 2015; Yang, 2013). In fact, farmers’ abilities to penetrate larger markets to obtain reasonable profits remains questionable (Huang et al., 2015). In Malaysia, evidence shows that smallholding farmers are unable to procure an adequate quantity and quality of products due to their lack of marketing and innovation skills in production (Kaur et al., 2015). Additionally, the agricultural sector in Malaysia has recorded a decreasing contribution rate from 2015 to 2017 in terms of the percentage share of gross domestic products (GDP) as compared to other sectors as shown in Table 1.1. Also, Table 1.2 illustrates a slight percentage decrease in the average annual growth for agriculture in Malaysia Plans, or Rancangan Malaysia Ke (RMK), from RMK8 to RMK10.

Table 1.1 Percentage Share of Agricultural Sector in Gross Domestic Product (GDP)

Year	Share (%)	Source
2015	8.9	Department of Statistics Malaysia (2016) as at 31 st December 2016
2016	8.1	Department of Statistics Malaysia (2017) as at 31 st December 2017
2017	8.2	Department of Statistics Malaysia (2018) as at 31 st December 2018

Table 1.2 Highlights of Agriculture's Percentage of Achievement

RMK	% Achievement	Source
RMK8 (2001-2005)	3.2%	Economic Planning Unit (2010)
RMK9 (2006-2010)	3.0%	Economic Planning Unit (2010)
RMK10 (2011-2015)	2.4%	Economic Planning Unit (2015a)

Even though the contribution of the agriculture sector in Malaysia is small and decreasing, it plays a vital role in supplying food for society, ensuring food security, and creating employment for rural people. In fact, the sector is expected to achieve an average annual growth rate of 3.5% in the Eleventh Malaysia Plan (RMK11) (2016-2020) (Economic Planning Unit, 2015b). Therefore, all agencies are required to help this sector by strategically steering towards becoming more modern and dynamic than it is at present (Arshad, 2012; Mohamed and Damin, 2015).

Although the agricultural sector is a production-oriented sector, this sector is to be treated as entrepreneurial (Diaz-Pichardo et al., 2012; Mcelwee, 2006). Research has suggested that farms' focus should be directed towards non-farming activities to alleviate poverty and increase household income (Mohamed and Xavier, 2015; Rosairo and Potts, 2016; Valdés and Foster, 2010). Farmers would be faced with stagnant income if they refuse to change their traditional farming practices (i.e., if they remain heavily production-oriented) (Valdés and Foster, 2010). Countries in which agriculture is the main source of income (e.g., China, India, and Africa) faced difficulties if they did not change their operation methods (Elly and Silayo, 2013; Huang et al., 2015). Thus, contract farming (CF) is believed to resolve these problems because CF changed the traditional practices of agriculture and connects

farmers with buyers and urges farmers to plan, manage, strategized, and commercialise their business operations efficiently (Nguyen et al., 2015).

CF is designed to connect and assist smallholding farmers by providing support to the primary agricultural production and preserving the perishable product (i.e., the fresh fruits and vegetables (FFV)) to bring it into the market (Jia and Bijman, 2013; Otsuka et al., 2016; Prowse, 2012). The majority of FFV producers are involved in CF for the purposes of commercialisation, economic and technical realities (Eaton and Shepherd, 2005). FFV is regarded as an important resource in the agricultural sector with promising market potential. For instance, in 2017, 10 out of 13 fruits, (e.g., papaya, star fruit, pineapple, and durian) and vegetables (e.g., tomato, cucumber, and spinach) had a 100% self-sufficient ratio (SSR) in Malaysia (Department of Statistics Malaysia, 2017). The 100% SSR indicates that the country's supply or production of agricultural commodities is sufficient to meet domestic demands. In fact, to secure food sources and agricultural growth, research and development on agricultural modelling are directed towards good agricultural practices (GAP) adoption towards creating 500 additional new FFV plantations in the RMK11 (Economic Planning Unit, 2015b).

Moreover, the FFV industry in Malaysia performed well according to the strong domestic demand (Ministry of Finance, 2016). This situation highlighted the potential opportunity for the FFV CF industry to develop in this country. Nevertheless, the National Agro-food Policy (2011-2020) was formulated to substantially increase FFV production to enhance the country's self-sufficiency; reduce the vast sums spent on importing food; promote the country's status as a food net exporter; increase the income levels of farmers; and guarantee the security, safety, availability, affordability, accessibility, competitiveness, and sustainability of the food industry (MOA, 2011). Subsequently, the policy has set targets for this sector to increase its gross national income (GNI) from US\$9.1 billion to US\$15.4 billion by 2020 (PEMANDU, 2010). Therefore, Malaysia should consider how to achieve these aims.

Considering the potential growth of FFV, the present study recognised the importance of supporting FFV CF to improve its sustainability and agricultural performance. Therefore, two priorities have been identified in this research: (i) to improve the performance of FFV CF by increasing the efficiency with which resources and dynamic approaches are utilised and (ii) to support the development of strategic approaches and the adoption of innovations in farmers' competencies to foster agricultural development.

1.2 Motivation of This Study

CF involves a contract agreement between two parties: the seller (the farmers) and the buyer. Farmers (producers) and supermarkets or retailers (buyers) enter a contract in which farmers sell their commodities according to standard requirements. These requirements obligate farmers to produce only high-quality products (Eaton and Shepherd, 2005; Man and Nawi, 2010a). In return, buyers provide a vast range of services and assistance to farmers. For example, a buyer might provide the farmer with technical assistance, helpful products (e.g., seeds and pesticides), or guarantee market at mutually agreed-upon prices (Arumugam et al., 2012; Man and Nawi, 2010a, 2010b; Majid and Hassan, 2014; Morrison et al., 2006; PEMANDU, 2010; Prowse, 2012). This process is called the vertical integration and alliance between buyers and producers which represents a transformation and modernisation from its traditional agricultural procedure.

The literature on this topic has extensively discussed the significant impact of CF on reducing difficulties for smallholding farmers to participate in the market and to generate income in rural societies (Eaton and Shepherd, 2005; Mohamed and Xavier, 2015; Ragasa et al., 2017). CF has gained considerable popularity as a useful tool to provide positive impacts on productivity, sustainability, economic development, welfare, and the well-being of the smallholding farmers in developed and developing countries (Jia and Bijman, 2013; Maertens and Velde, 2017; Oya, 2012; Wang et al., 2014). Through CF, smallholding farmers can adopt new technologies, invest in modern facilities, gain market information, create business

relationships, and invest in research and development by tying the production based on buyers' requirements (Ponciano et al., 2011). CF has also introduced farms' products to a broader market through commercialisation while mitigating the risks and uncertainties faced by farmers (Kaur et al., 2015). Thus, CF is considered a means for small and medium scale farmers to upgrade farm's income as well as its operations.

Additionally, CF is undeniably responsible for the emergence of 'value-chain agriculture,' which incorporates "small holding farmers into commercial relations to redress apparent food shortages" (Mcmichael, 2013, p. 671). The alarming situation of food security and quality has urged CF development in many countries (Bellemare and Novak, 2015; Kumar et al., 2018; Ton et al., 2017). Significantly, FFV supplies are provided to society every day. Therefore, the provision of high-quality, safe, and fresh foods is crucial. This situation has spurred this study to focus on CF of FFV commodities. In Malaysia, the agricultural sector and government agencies play a large role in ensuring food security, particularly in terms of food sufficiency and the quality of food supplied to society. To achieve food security and sufficiency, the government introduced policies in this sector aimed at helping agriculture's strategic direction and making this sector modern and dynamic (Economic Planning Unit, 2015), namely the National Food Security Policy (2008-2011) and the National Agro-Food Policy (2011-2020).

These policies focus on the need for sustainable farming practices with incentives provided to promote CF, livestock rearing, food production and to encourage agricultural entrepreneurs to become involved in agriculture (MOA, 2011). In light of this information, CF has been recognised a high-impact program (HIP), as introduced in the Ninth Malaysia Plan (RMK9), and FAMA is responsible for assisting CF farmers (MOA, 2014). Therefore, CF is a significant FFV support program and facilitator of agriculture intended to ensure that sufficient levels of food supply and security are met according to the national agro-food policies.

Few studies around the world focused and approached the problems of defining and describing the success of the CF program itself (Abebe et al., 2013;

Bellemare and Bloem, 2018; Kumar et al., 2017). However, the evidence on the positive effect of CF remains inconclusive (Otsuka, Nakano and Takahashi, 2016). In fact, lack of studies have compared the business approaches used in the agricultural sector and CF to those used in other sectors. As such, this study is conducted to help FFV CF in Malaysia to efficiently use their unique resources and capabilities through strategic orientation (SO) approaches. Studies on the SO as the business approaches in the agricultural firms significantly increase farm's income and promote food sustainability to local consumption (Gellynck et al., 2012; Gellynck et al., 2015; Ho et al., 2018). Through such approaches, the farmers can indirectly contribute to the agricultural sector and overall economic growth.

1.3 Problem Statement

In Malaysia, CF was initiated by the Ministry of Agriculture Malaysia (MOA) in the Ninth Malaysia Plan (9MP) (2006-2010), with specific attention given to the improvement of production and value-added agricultural activities. CF provides several benefits, such as increments in production and sustainable income to FFV farmers (Arumugam and Shamsudin, 2014; Arumugam et al., 2011; D'Silva et al., 2009; Man and Nawawi, 2010b). Nevertheless, several external and internal factors are known to lead to the failure of CF in Malaysia.

Among the main external issues faced by FFV CF in Asia and Malaysia particularly, is on the nature of the CF agreement itself. CF is a vertical coordination process which allows buyers to unfairly impose specifications and requirements in the agreement that are impossible for farmers to follow (Arumugam and Shamsudin, 2014; Minot and Sawyer, 2014; Morrison et al., 2006; Serin et al., 2011; Otsuka et al., 2016). CF farmers in the developing countries including Malaysia, operate on a small or medium scale with limited resources and capabilities (Minot and Sawyer, 2014). Due to farmer's limitations, hence, the complexity of the CF agreements and criteria requested by buyers, especially when stringent quality and safety requirements are in place, have created difficulty for farmers to follow and adhere to

the contract (Arumugam and Shamsudin, 2014; Man and Nawi, 2010b; Minot and Sawyer, 2014; Morrison et al., 2006; Serin et al., 2011).

Other internal factors are lacking in terms of internal skills and competencies (Chin, 2014). Studies in Malaysia context have reported that some farmers begin CF because they are reluctant to adapt to any changes beyond the farm gate (Arumugam and Shamsudin, 2014; Arumugam et al., 2011; Chin, 2014; D'Silva et al., 2009). Past studies on CF in Malaysia have only analysed issues related to the FFV CF program development. However, limited studies, such as studies by Bahri et al. (2016) and Halim et al. (2011), have identified the individual efficacies of farmers in achieving performance goals. CF fails to show significant improvements due to the limited entities of the farms. This dynamic has resulted in the restriction of farmers' access to external knowledge which would allow them to make changes and innovations (Lambrecht et al., 2015).

In order to help CF, the government agencies have provided tremendous support to contract farmers by encouraging farmers to meet market demands and ensure a continuous supply of quality products to customers (Kaur et al., 2015; Majid and Hassan, 2014; Serin et al., 2011). The government has assisted contract farmers to the extent that the farmers can make their products competitive and profitable. However, it is worth noting that the agriculture industry will continue to be uncompetitive if it remains highly dependent on government assistance (PEMANDU, 2012).

Additionally, due to the internal and external problems faced by contract farmers, CF programs showed a lack of improvement as both agricultural performance and output have decreased (Department of Agriculture, 2015; Economic Planning Unit, 2014; FAMA, 2017). Until recently, this sector is still considered laggard in a knowledge ecosystem context even though the technical and non-technical supports, such as subsidies, funds, and training, have been provided (Malaysia Productivity Corporation, 2017). In line with the practical gap arising in FFV CF in Malaysia, a conclusive study on strategic business approach has deemed urgently necessary to solve these issues. In fact, farmer's reluctance to make changes

in their business operations is no longer acceptable. Farmers are required to continually learn about the market because it is crucial for them to utilise any input and valuable resources from the environment (Micheels and Gow, 2015). Modern farming practices such as CF involve stringent contract agreements which require effective business approaches and tools and do not rely solely on the government and the contracts themselves.

Many different types of strategic orientation (SO) are discussed in literatures including market orientation (MO), learning orientation (LO) and entrepreneurial orientation (EO). Studies on SO has been subjected to discussion across sectors, both in developing and developed countries. For instance, studies on LO and MO to non-profit firms in South Korea (Choi, 2014), SMEs in Iran (Kakapour et al., 2016) and public and private sector in manufacturing and service firms in India (Raj and Srivastava, 2016). Besides, studies on EO has been conducted in manufacturing industry for middle and large firms in Turkey (Zehir et al., 2015) and SME firms in India (Gupta and Batra, 2015); MO on Taiwanese high-tech firms (Wang, 2015); MO and EO on China high-tech firms and LO on logistic firms in China (Yuan et al., 2018). These to indicate that SO is a crucial determinant for firm performance and outcome in all sectors. However, the empirical analysis on the integration of all MO, LO and EO are limited in the literature such as studies by Deutscher et al. (2016), Hakala, (2011) and Hussain et al. (2013).

Additionally, little empirical research have focused on the impact of SO on the agricultural sector in the developed and developing countries, whether individual or with the combination of SO such as MO and LO in USA Micheels and Gow (2015) and Indonesia (Pramono et al., 2015); EO in USA (Campbell, 2014); and MO in Vietnam (Ho et al., 2018) including Malaysia (such as Awang et al., 2010), while at the infant stage on FFV CF such as study by Morrison et al. (2006) on entrepreneurship for small scale contract farming in Sarawak.

Notably, minimal attention has been given to the effect of IC on the agricultural sector (such as studies by Gellynck et al., 2015; Ho et al., 2018; Martino and Polinori, 2019; Lambrecht et al., 2014; López-mosquera et al., 2014), and this

effect has gone unexplored in the FFV CF context. This study is the first investigation, to the researcher's knowledge, focusing upon the relationship of innovation capability (IC), as a significant factor that should be applied in FFV CF context with SO approach so that they can cope in dynamic and challenging environments. The IC on FFV CF is referred following from the previous agricultural context whereby; product innovation refers to the use of new ideas and modification on products (Micheels and Gow, 2008); process innovation refers to proper operation process in term of equipment or skills to improve production (Martino and Polinori, 2019; Otsuka et al., 2016); management innovation refers to methods or forms in recording the intangible knowledge and expertise (Luo et al., 2017); and marketing innovation refers to targeting and quality of product (Otsuka et al., 2016).

The concept of leveraging a firm's performance by utilising its available resources and capability is supported by the resource-based view (RBV) and dynamic capability view (DCV) theories (Ndubisi and Agarwal, 2014; Ndubisi, 2014). The RBV, which has to do with a farm's tangible resources (land, machines, and employees) and intangible resources (knowledge, skills, business strategies, and innovation capability) are integrated and transformed into a more dynamic approach according to the DCV. This kind of transformation facilitates farms in capturing their potential market. Thus, farms would be able to gain more benefits, opportunities, and income with the process. This also would minimise farms' dependency on FFV CF on FAMA. Nevertheless, limited studies have applied the RBV and DCV theories to the agricultural sector (e.g., Bahri et al., 2016; Buerkler, 2013; Ding et al., 2014; Grande, 2011), while none have specifically dealt with FFV CF.

Despite early conceptualizations in the literatures on the link between MLO, EO and IC and the corresponding implication of the RBV and DCV of the firm, the literature has not examined the moderating role of trust (TR) in such theoretical framework. Limited studies have recognized TR to facilitate and moderate relationship such as EO and competitive intelligence from chemical industry in Vietnam (Trong Tuan, 2015), intellectual capital and innovation on manufacturing and non-manufacturing firms in Taiwan (Wu et al., 2008) and LO and service effectiveness of logistics firm in China (Yuan et al., 2018). Nevertheless, scarce

studies on the effectiveness role of trust in moderating the relationship of MLO, EO and IC were conducted (such as by Ben and Ladib, 2015; Wu et al., 2008). In fact, limited studies have investigated the moderating effect of TR in the social relationships of SO as according to the trust-commitment theory and have supported its use in the agricultural literatures (such as studies by Gellynck et al., 2015; Lambrecht et al., 2014; Micheels and Gow, 2011; Sanzo et al., 2012).

In summary, this study aims to fulfil the gaps mentioned above by investigating the relationships between SO of MLO, EO, TR on IC, and their correlation in one framework – namely the strategic orientation-trust-innovation capability (SOTI) model – in Malaysia’s agricultural context, particularly FFV CF. This study aims to apply the RBV and DCV to potentially improve FFV CF performance. As such, this study focuses on how farmers can overcome the challenges and limitations they are facing by reconfiguring them (Ambrosini and Bowman, 2009; Teece et al., 1997). Consequently, the proposed SOTI model can hopefully be regarded as a benchmark model to improve FFV contract farmer’s daily operations so that they can sustain, maintain, and increase productivity and profit from their business activities.

1.4 Research Objectives

The main objective of this research is to improve the performance of FFV CF in Malaysia using the proposed SOTI model. The following sub-objectives are proposed as follows:

1. To investigate the direct effect of market learning orientation, entrepreneur orientation, trust to FFV CF innovation capability
2. To investigate the direct effect of market learning orientation, entrepreneurial orientation and innovation capability on FFV CF performance.
3. To examine the mediating role of innovation capability between market learning orientation, entrepreneurial orientation and FFV CF performance.

4. To examine the moderating role of trust to effect the market learning orientation and entrepreneurial orientation on innovation capability.
5. To recommend the policy makers and development practitioners with SOTI model to help FFV CF performance.

1.5 Research Questions

Based on the research background and the motivation for this study, the researcher addressed the research objectives via the following four questions:

1. Do the market learning orientation, entrepreneur orientation and trust provide a direct effect on FFV CF innovation capability?
2. Do the market learning orientation, entrepreneurial orientation and innovation capability directly affect FFV CF performance?
3. Does the innovation capability has a mediating effect between market learning orientation, entrepreneurial orientation and FFV CF performance?
4. Does trust moderate the effect of market learning orientation and entrepreneurial orientation on innovation capability?
5. How the development of SOTI model would aid the policy maker and development practitioners in improving the FFV CF performance?

1.6 Significant of Study

Based on the gaps identified in the problem statement, this study examines the factors that influence the performance of FFV CF in Malaysia. This research extends the constructs of SO, which consists of MLO and EO, to the agricultural context and combines its effect with IC as a mediating variable and TR as a moderating variable. This research contributes to theory, methodology and practice in order to understand the association between SO, TR, IC and FFV CF performance. The following subsections are dedicated to illustrating the significance of this research in terms of its academic and non-academic contributions.

1.6.1 Academic Contribution

First and foremost, this study contributes to the agriculture literature because it extensively examined the effect of both RBV and DCV approaches on FFV CF in Malaysia. The findings of this study provide benefits to farmers, as the RBV and DCV approaches play important roles in the competitive agricultural environment. Several studies on RBV and DCV approaches have been applied in manufacturing, services, and even to non-profit organisation sectors. Nevertheless, in the agricultural sector (particularly in the area of FFV CF), only a few studies have applied the RBV and DCV individually, while none have combined the RBV and DCV.

Secondly, this study contributes to the research on the importance of MLO. This study recognises the similarity of the behavioural perspective of MO by Kohli and Jaworski (1990) (i.e., market intelligence generation and market intelligence dissemination) with the cultural perspective of LO by Huber (1991) (i.e., knowledge acquisition and knowledge distribution, shared interpretation and shared memory). Both knowledge and market intelligence acquisitions refer to the same MO process of generating and acquiring market information (Serra, 2013). Additionally, MO firms are also LO firms and do not require any additional orientation to develop learning, as MO involves continuously gathering and sharing information (Farrell et al., 2008; Jiménez-Jiménez and Cegarra-Navarro, 2007; Gebhardt et al., 2006).

The third contribution of this study is related to the mediating effect of IC. The influence of MLO and EO on farmers' FFV CF performance has necessitated the intervention of a third variable to evaluate the significance of the relationship (Mahmoud et al., 2016; Gao et al., 2017). Moreover, the mediating effect of IC on MLO, EO and FFV CF performance is the pioneering effort within the framework of FFV CF performance.

Fourthly, the current study also addresses the moderating role of TR on the relationship between MLO, EO and IC. This study highlights how IC can be ensured and how TR can be maximized. The higher level of interaction on TR by FFV CF farmers in the relationship of MLO and EO is to achieve higher levels of IC.

Therefore, it can be concluded that the findings from this study narrow the following gaps:

1. The theoretical gap in the combination of RBV and DCV in the field of agriculture, particularly in FFV CF
2. The literature gaps on the overlapping concepts of MO and LO, which lead to the new MLO concept
3. The literature gap of IC as a mediator and the role of TR as a moderator in the effect of MLO and EO on IC and FFV CF performance

1.6.2 Non-academic Contribution

This study provided practical contributions, as it is relevant to the agricultural industry, policymakers, and government officials. First and foremost, this study contributes significantly to the agricultural sector. Traditionally, agricultural sectors are controlled by suppliers, whereas farmers focus only on the production process. As a result, farmers have failed to fully benefit and profit from their products. The farmers must react and respond to the business environment directly through SO and IC approaches. Farming is also a business, and SO, TR and IC are required for running a business. Even though the agriculture sector has been regarded as secondary compared to other sectors, such as manufacturing, commercial, or government sectors, its significant contribution to economic growth and its role as a source of motivation of farmers' livelihoods cannot be denied.

This study could also influence policymakers or government officials to work towards reducing the poverty level in Malaysia, reduce imports and increase the agricultural sector's contribution to the economy. As mentioned earlier, CF is one of five high-impact programs (HIPs); therefore, this study aims to encourage growth in the agricultural sector not only through government support, assistance, and incentives for CF but also to propose relevant business approach to farmers themselves.

1.7 Scope of the Study

The agricultural sector environment is dynamic and competitive. Therefore, although farmers are traditionally production-oriented, they are now required to adopt a dynamic approach to sustain themselves. Because of this situation, the present study engaged the FFV CF owners in Peninsular Malaysia (according to FAMA databases) to participate in this study. The study focused on evaluating the importance of the resources and capability approaches in the relationships between MLO, EO, TR, and IC, focusing only on the owners of FFV CF from every region in Johor, Negeri Sembilan, Perak, and Pahang. This selection is based on the suggestion by FAMA, as these areas produce large quantities of FFV.

In this study, MLO focuses on the simultaneous processes of market intelligence generation, dissemination, interpretation, and responsiveness. MLO refers to how FFV CF utilises the information generated. EO focuses on innovativeness, pro-activeness, and risk-taking. EO emphasises that all CF owners should have individual efficacies as owners and should strive to achieve a competitive advantage in the market. IC focuses on product, process, marketing, and management based on the assumption that contract farmers are willing to make some changes in their farming operations in light of the challenges and dynamic changes in the business and market environments. TR is considered as support from government agencies, suppliers, and buyers that enhance the ability of contract farmers to be innovative.

1.8 The Terms and Operational Definitions

The following terms are operationally defined for the purpose of this study:

1.8.1 Market Learning Orientation (MLO)

MO is defined by Jaworski and Kohli (1990; 1996) as the continuous acts and activities of generating, disseminating, and being responsive towards market intelligence gained from internal and external sources. Market intelligence that is collected and distributed requires LO as a non-stop process included in all decisions made and activities performed by firms (Day, 1994a). Therefore, the operational definition of MLO adopted by this study refers to the market knowledge development resulting from MO activities through the endogenous learning process of LO via gains in market intelligence.

In the FFV CF context, the aim of MLO is to describe (i) how the FFV CF farmer learns and gains intelligence from the external market (exploration), (ii) how this intelligence is utilised within the farm (firm) internally (exploitation), (iii) how the intelligence is shared and understood in a valuable way within the farm, and (iv) how the farm responds to the information. The dimensions of MLO are adopted from Hult et al. (2005), Jiménez-Jimenez et al. (2008), Matsuno et al. (2000), and Morgan and Berthon (2008) (these studies originally adopted the MARKOR measurement from Kohli et al. (1993)). The MLO dimensions are market intelligence generation, market intelligence dissemination, market intelligence interpretation, and market intelligence responsiveness.

1.8.2 Entrepreneurial Orientation (EO)

EO is related to entrepreneurial behaviour and is an important antecedent for any entrepreneurial activity (Kollmann and Stöckmann, 2014). Miller (1983) claimed that EO assists firms to pursue innovativeness, take risks, and outperform competitors by reacting proactively. On the other hand, Covin and Slevin (1989) defined EO as the “innovativeness of the entrepreneurial attributes of the product and technological innovation, top management risk-taking and pro-activeness.”

In this study, EO is defined as assistance given to farmers related to their strategy-making processes, decisions, and actions. Farmers have to indicate which items represent their willingness to become involved in innovations and to act proactively to improve performance, seize market opportunities, make risky decisions, and experiment with new technologies and processes. These factors can be measured using Lumpkin and Dess’s (1996), Mirzaei et al.’s (2016), and Rosairo and Potts’s (2016) questionnaires, which were adopted from Covin and Slevin (1989).

1.8.3 Innovation Capability (IC)

IC is a firm’s ability to generate innovation through continuous learning, knowledge transformation, creativity and exploitation of internal and external resources available to them (Iddris, 2016). It allows knowledge and ideas to be translated into new products, processes and systems for the benefit of the firm (Lawson and Samson, 2001). IC significantly affects agricultural extension activities, improve productivity, efficiency, performance and reduces cost (Luo et al., 2017; Micheels and Boecker, 2017). The IC requires combination of all product, process, management and marketing innovation to increase the current and future agricultural performance (Lambrecht et al., 2014; Martino and Polinori, 2019; Micheels and Boecker, 2017; Pindado and Sánchez, 2017). In the context of FFV CF, IC is defined as the adoption and implementation of innovations when the farmer is willing to utilise new and modified additions to the farm’s production, processing, management, and marketing activities. The IC could be a means of improving

efficiency through product, process, marketing and management innovation, following the OSLO Manual (OECD, 2010). IC is analysed using a combination of items developed by Liao et al. (2007), Nasution et al. (2011), and Ozkaya et al. (2015).

1.8.4 Trust (TR)

The development of trust may result in mutual benefits for firms and partners. Any activities related to relationship-building (e.g. networking, social capital, strategic alliances or engaging in social interactions) is regarded as aspects of trust. Trust foster firm's coordination in relationship which gives positive consequences, such as the minimisation of agricultural costs and the reduction of risk and uncertainties (Gellynck et al., 2015). Reflecting the approach of Gellynck et al. (2015), this study focus on trust as the extent of relationships that are developed by farmers through personal relationships with external entities (such as suppliers, customers, competitors, distributors or government officials) who provides knowledge, skills and expertise to expand FFV CF's IC. Thus, this study adopted the instruments developed by Gellynck et al. (2015) and Micheels and Gow (2011) to facilitate the MLO, EO and IC of CF.

1.8.5 FFV CF Farm Performance

Performance measurement involves the evaluation of a firm's performance by comparing its current achievements with specific achievement goals. In this study, FFV CF performance refers to firm performance, whereby farms are considered to be firms. Farm performance, in this study, is analysed to measure the impact of subjective measurements on the financial performance of FFV contract farms. These measurements include the farm's returns on assets, cash flow, production investments, and overall performance. These are measured using Micheels and Gow's (2011) instrument, which was adopted from Jaworski and Kohli (1993).

1.9 Organization of the Thesis

The remainder of the thesis is organised as follows. In Chapter 2, a comprehensive review of the literature that is relevant to the problem statements, providing a theoretical background for each construct. The proposed framework is provided in Chapter 3. The research methodology discussed on research design, instruments, sampling procedure, data collection employed in this study were discussed in Chapter 4. Chapter 5 focuses on the results and findings obtained from hypotheses testing. Finally, Chapter 6 presents the conclusion of the study, including a discussion and summary of the hypotheses tests, limitations, and recommendations for future research.

REFERENCES

- Aarikka-Stenroos, L., Sandberg, B., & Lehtimäki, T. (2014). Networks for the Commercialization of Innovations: A Review of How Divergent Network Actors Contribute. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 43(3), 365–381.
- Aas, T. H., & Pedersen, P. E. (2011). The Impact of Service Innovation on Firm-Level Financial Performance. *Service Industries Journal*, 31(February 2015), 2071–2090.
- Abd Aziz, N. N., & Samad, S. (2016). Innovation and Competitive Advantage : Moderating Effects of Firm Age in Foods Manufacturing SMEs in Malaysia. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 35(October 2015), 256–266.
- Abebe, G. K., Bijman, J., Kemp, R., Omta, O., & Tsegaye, A. (2013). Contract farming configuration: Smallholders' preferences for contract design attributes. *Food Policy*, 40, 14–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2013.01.002>
- Adekambi, S. A., Ingenbleek, P. T. M., & Trijp, H. C. M. van. (2015). Integrating Base-of-the-Pyramid Producers with Global Markets: A Market Learning Approach. *American Marketing Association Journal of International Marketing*, 1–51.
- Agarwal, S., Erramilli, M. K., & Dev, C. S. (2003). Market Orientation and Performance in Service Firms: Role of Innovation. *Journal of Services Marketing*, 17(1), 68–82.
- Akman, G., & Yilmaz, C. (2008). Innovative Capability, Innovation Strategy and Market Orientation: an Empirical Analysis in Turkish Software Industry. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 12(01), 69–111.
- Alam, M. M., Siwar, C., Murad, M. W., Molla, R. I., & Toriman, M. E. (2010). Socioeconomic Profile of Farmer in Malaysia: Study on Integrated Agricultural Development Area in North-West Selangor. *Agricultural Economics and Rural Development New Series*, 7(2), 249–265.
- Alam, S. S. (2011). Entrepreneur's traits and firm innovation capability: an empirical study in Malaysia. *Asian Journal of Technology Innovation*, 19(1), 53–66.
- Alegre, J., & Chiva, R. (2013). Linking entrepreneurial orientation and firm performance: The role of organizational learning capability and innovation

- performance. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 51(4), 491–507.
- Ambrosini, V., & Bowman, C. (2009). What are dynamic capabilities and are they a useful construct in strategic management? *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 11(1), 29–49.
- Andreasen, A. R., & Durkson, P. G. (1968). Market Learning of New Residents. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 5(2), 166.
- Ansari, A. H., & Malik, S. (2017). Ability-based emotional intelligence and knowledge sharing: The moderating role of trust in co-workers. *VINE Journal of Information and Knowledge Management Systems*, 47(2), 211–227.
- Argyris, C., & Schon, D. (1978). *Organizational learning: A theory of action approach*. Reading, MA: Addison Wesley.
- Arham, A. F. (2014). *The Relationship Between Leadership Behaviour , Entrepreneurial Orientation and Organisational Performance in Malaysian Small and Medium Enterprises*. Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology University.
- Ariffin, A. S., Mohtar, S., & Baluch, N. (2014). Broiler Industry With Emphasis on Short Supply Chain in Malaysia. *The 4th International Conference on Technology and Operations Management*, 1–13.
- Arshad, F. M. (2012). *The new supply chain: Implications to the fresh fruits and vegetables sector in Malaysia*. *International Journal of Business and Social Research* (Vol. 2).
- Arumugam, N., & Shamsudin, M. . (2014). Contract Farming Practices by Rock Melon Growers in Terengganu , Malaysia. *Journal Agrobiotech*, 5, 85–94.
- Arumugam, Nalini, Mohamed Arshad, F., Chiew, F. ., & Mohamed, Z. (2011). Determinants of Fresh Fruits and Vegetables (FFV) Farmers' Participation in Contract Farming in Peninsular Malaysia. *International Journal of Agricultural Management & Development*, 1(2), 65–71.
- Arumugam, Nalini, & Shamsudin, M. (2013). Attitudes of Rock Melon Growers' Towards Contract Farming Practices. *International Conference on Social Science Research*, 2013(June 2013), 402–408.
- Arumugam, Nalini, Wan Muda, W. M., & Aisyah, N. (2012). Chili Growers' Experience with Contract Farming in Malaysia. *Journal of International Food & Agribusiness Marketing*, 24(2), 137–147.
- Atuahene-Gima, K., & Ko, A. (2001). An Empirical Investigation of the Effect of

- Market Orientation and Entrepreneurship Orientation Alignment on Product Innovation. *Organization Science*, 12(1), 54–74.
- Atuahene-Gima, K., Slater, S. F., & Olson, E. M. (2005). The Contingent Value of Responsive and Proactive Market Orientations for New Product Program Performance. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 22(6), 464–482.
- Awad, Z., Taghi, A. S., Sethukumar, P., Ziprin, P., Darzi, A., & Tolley, N. S. (2014). Binary versus 5-Point Likert Scale in Assessing Otolaryngology Trainees in Endoscopic Sinus Surgery. *Otolaryngology--Head and Neck Surgery*, 151(1 Suppl), 113–116.
- Aziz, N. a., & Omar, N. A. (2013). Exploring the Effect of Internet Marketing Orientation, Learning Orientation and Market Orientation on Innovativeness and Performance: Sme (Exporters) Perspectives. *Journal of Business Economics and Management*, 14(1), S257–S278.
- Azman, A., D'Silva, J. L., Samah, B. A., Man, N., & Shaffril, H. A. M. (2013). Relationship between attitude, knowledge, and support towards the acceptance of sustainable agriculture among contract farmers in Malaysia. *Asian Social Science*, 9(2), 99–105.
- Baba, Y. (2015). Does learning orientation matter for nonprofit organization performance? Empirical evidence from Ghana. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 36(3), 234–252.
- Bahri, S. I. S., Mohtar, S., & Ariffin, A. S. (2016). Relationship Between Technological Capability Towards Business Performance Moderated By Managerial Skill : A Study Of Broiler Contract Farming Industry In Malaysia. *Sains Humanika*, 2, 111–115.
- Baker, T. L., Simpson, P. M., & Siguaw, J. A. (1999). The impact of suppliers' perceptions of reseller market orientation on key relationship constructs. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 27(1), 50–57.
- Baker, W. E., Grinstein, A., & Harmancioglu, N. (2016). Whose Innovation Performance Benefits More from External Networks: Entrepreneurial or Conservative Firms. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 33(1), 104–120.
- Baker, W. E., & Sinkula, J. M. (1999a). Learning Orientation, Market Orientation, and Innovation: Integrating and Extending Models of Organizational Performance. *Journal of Market Focused Management*, 4, 295–308.

- Baker, W. E., & Sinkula, J. M. (1999b). The synergistic effect of market orientation and learning orientation on organizational performance. *Academy of Marketing Science Journal*, 27(4), 411–427.
- Baker, W. E., & Sinkula, J. M. (2002). Market Orientation , Learning Orientation and Product Innovation : Delving into the Organization’s Black Box. *Journal of Market-Focused Management*, 5(1), 5–23.
- Baker, W. E., & Sinkula, J. M. (2007). Does market orientation facilitate balanced innovation programs? An organizational learning perspective. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 24(4), 316–334.
- Baker, W. E., & Sinkula, J. M. (2009). The complementary effects of market orientation and entrepreneurial orientation on profitability in small businesses. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 47(4), 443–464.
- Balan, P., & Lindsay, N. (2010). *Innovation capability, entrepreneurial orientation and performance in Australian hotels: an empirical study*. Cooperative Research Centre for Sustainable Tourism.
- Baluch, N., Ariffin, A. S., Abas, Z., & Mohtar, S. (2017). Servitization in Malaysian poultry contract farming: A critical overview. *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 6(1), 259–265.
- Baqutayan, S. M., Mohamad, R., Azman, R. R., & Abuhassan, N. (2017). The Implementation of Contract Farming of Fresh Fruits and Vegetables (FFV) for Smallholders in Malaysia : Government Roles and Initiatives. *JOSTIP*, 3(1).
- Baregheh, A., Rowley, J., & Sambrook, S. (2009). Towards a multidisciplinary definition of innovation. *Management Decision*, 47(8), 1323–1339.
- Barney, J. (1991). Firm Resources an Sustained Competitive Advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120.
- Barney, J. A., & Hansen, M. H. (1994). Trustworthiness as a source of competitive advantage. *Strategic Management Journal*, 15(5), 175–190.
- Barney, J. B. (2001). Is the resource-based “view” a useful perspective for strategic management research? Yes. *Academy of Management Review*, 26(1), 45–56.
- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. a. (1986). The Moderator-Mediator Variable Distinction in Social Psychological Research: Conceptual, Strategic, and Statistical Considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51(6), 1173–1182.
- Barraud-Didier, V., Henninger, M. C., & Akremi, A. El. (2012). The relationship

- between members' trust and participation in the governance of cooperatives: The Role of organizational commitment. *International Food and Agribusiness Management Review*, 15(1), 1–24.
- Barrett, C. B., Bachke, M. E., Bellemare, M. F., Michelson, H. C., Narayanan, S., & Walker, T. F. (2012). Smallholder participation in contract farming: Comparative evidence from five countries. *World Development*, 40(4), 715–730.
- Becker, N., & Brettel, M. (2017). Analyzing The Mediating Effect Of Learning Orientation On The Relationship Of Market Orientation And Company Performance In Times Of Turbulence. In Campbell C.L. (eds) (Ed.), *The Customer is NOT Always Right? Marketing Orientations in a Dynamic Business World* (p. 787). Campbell C.L. (eds).
- Bellemare, M. F., & Bloem, J. R. (2018). Does contract farming improve welfare? A review. *World Development*, 112, 259–271. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2018.08.018>
- Bellemare, M. F., & Novak, L. (2015). Contract Farming and Food Security. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, (21200).
- Bellemare, M. F., & Novak, L. (2017). Contract Farming and Food Security. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 99(2), 357–378. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajae/aaw053>
- Beneke, J., Blampied, S., Dewar, N., & Soriano, L. (2016). The impact of market orientation and learning orientation on organisational performance: A study of small to medium-sized enterprises in Cape Town, South Africa. *Journal of Research in Marketing and Entrepreneurship*, 18(1), 90–108.
- Berchicci, L., & Tucci, C. L. (2010). There Is More to Market Learning than Gathering Good Information: The Role of Shared Team Values in Radical Product Definition. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 27(7), 972–990.
- Bharadwaj, N., Nevin, J. R., & Wallman, J. P. (2012). Explicating hearing the voice of the customer as a manifestation of customer focus and assessing its consequences. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 29(6), 1012–1030.
- Bhatti, W. A., Larimo, J., & Coudounaris, D. N. (2016). The Effect of Experiential Learning on Subsidiary Knowledge and Performance. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(5), 1567–1571.

- Bigne, E., & Blesa, A. (2003). Market Orientation, Trust and Satisfaction in Dyadic Relationships: A Manufacturer-Retailer Analysis. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 31(11), 574–590.
- Blomqvist, K. (2002). *Partnering in the dynamic environment: The role of trust in asymmetric technology partnership formation*. *Acta Universitatis Lappeenrantaensis*.
- Boehlje, M., Roucan-kane, M., & Bröring, S. (2011). Future Agribusiness Challenges : Strategic Uncertainty , Innovation and Structural Change. *International Food and Agribusiness Management Review*, 14(5), 53–82.
- Boso, N, Cadogan, J. W., & Story, V. M. (2013). Entrepreneurial orientation and market orientation as drivers of product innovation success: A study of exporters from a developing economy. *International Small Business Journal*, 31(1), 57–81.
- Boso, Nathaniel, Story, V. M., & Cadogan, J. W. (2013). Entrepreneurial orientation, market orientation, network ties, and performance: Study of entrepreneurial firms in a developing economy. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 28, 708–727.
- Boso, Nathaniel, Story, V. M., Cadogan, J. W., Micevski, M., Kadic-Maglajlic, S., & Kadić-Maglajlić, S. (2013). Firm innovativeness and export performance: Environmental, networking, and structural contingencies. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 21(4), 62–87.
- Bou-Llugar, J. C., Escrig-Tena, A. B., Roca-Puig, V., & Beltra'n-Marti'n, I. (2009). An empirical assessment of the EFQM excellence model: Evaluation as a TQM framework relative to the MBNQA model. *Journal of Operations Management*, 27, 1–22.
- Brettel, M., Chomik, C., & Flatten, T. C. (2015). How Organizational Culture Influences Innovativeness, Proactiveness, and Risk-Taking: Fostering Entrepreneurial Orientation in SMEs. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 53(4), 868–885.
- Breznik, L., & D. Hisrich, R. (2014). Dynamic capabilities vs. innovation capability: are they related? *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 21(3), 368–384.
- Bruyat, C., & Julien, P. A. (2001). Defining the field of research in entrepreneurship. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 16(2), 165–180.
- Bryman, A., & Bell, E. (2011). *Business Research Methods* (3rd ed.). Oxford, New

- York: Oxford University Press.
- Buang, A., Abdullah, M. A., & Mohd Zainol, R. (2010). Memajukan petani kecil Melayu menerusi Pertanian Kontrak - Persepsi dan penilaian peserta projek dan komuniti tempatan. *Geografia - Malaysian Journal of Society and Space*, 6(1), 68–80. Retrieved from <http://www.ukm.my/geografia>
- Buerkler, E. (2013). Critical success factors for joint innovation: Experiences from a New Zealand innovation platform. *Innovation Journal*, 18(2).
- Burns, R. (2000). *Introduction to Research Methods*. London: Sage Publications.
- Calantone, R. J., Cavusgil, S. T., & Zhao, Y. (2002). Learning orientation, firm innovation capability, and firm performance. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 31(6), 515–524.
- Camelo-Ordaz, C., García-Cruz, J., Sousa-Ginel, E., & Valle-Cabrera, R. (2011). The influence of human resource management on knowledge sharing and innovation in Spain: the mediating role of affective commitment. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 22(07), 1441–1463.
- Camelo-Ordaz, C., Pérez-Luño, A., & Sousa-Ginel, E. (2009). The Impact of Market and Entrepreneurial Orientation on Innovativeness: An Empirical Assessment. *Int. J. Entrepreneurship and Innovation Management*, 1044(3), 243–265.
- Campbell, J. (2014). Cooperate or compete: the relationship of strategic orientation and firm performance for farmers' market vendors. *Journal of Small Business & Entrepreneurship*, 27(1), 27–42.
- Campbell, J. M., & Park, J. (2017). Extending the resource-based view: Effects of strategic orientation toward community on small business performance. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 34, 302–208.
- Cano, C. R., Carrillat, F. A., & Jaramillo, F. (2004). A meta-analysis of the relationship between market orientation and business performance: evidence from five continents. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 21(2), 179–200.
- Carolan, M. S. (2006). Social change and the adoption and adaptation of knowledge claims: Whose truth do you trust in regard to sustainable agriculture? *Agriculture and Human Values*, 23(3), 325–339.
- Carraresi, L., Mamaqi, X., Albisu, L. M., & Banterle, A. (2016). Can Strategic Capabilities Affect Performance? Application of RBV to Small Food Businesses. *Agribusiness*, 32(3), 416–436.

- Carrasco-Hernández, A., & Jiménez-Jiménez, D. (2013). Can family firms innovate? Sharing internal knowledge under a social capital perspective. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 11(1), 30–37.
- Chandler, G. N., & Hanks, S. H. (1993). Measuring the performance of emerging businesses: A validation study. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 8(5), 391–408.
- Chandrasekhara Rao, N., Sutradhar, R., & Reardon, T. (2017). Disruptive innovations in food value chains and small farmers in India. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 72(1), 24–48.
- Chang, C., Chen, Y., & Lin, M. J. (2014). Determinants of absorptive capacity : contrasting manufacturing vs services enterprises. *R&D Management*, 44(5), 18.
- Charterina, J., Landeta, J., & Basterretxea, I. (2017). Mediation effects of trust and contracts on knowledge-sharing and product innovation. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, EJIM-03-2017-0030.
- Chin, C.-H., Lo, M.-C., & Ramayah, T. (2013). Market Orientation and Organizational Performance: The Moderating Role of Service Quality. *SAGE Open*, 3, 1–14.
- Chin, D. (2014). *Supermarkets in Malaysia's Food Supply Chain : Implications for Contract Farmers and National Policy*. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses. Tufts University.
- Chin, D. (2015). Supermarkets in Malaysia ' s Food Supply Chain : Influence on Traditional Supply Chain and Implications for Contract Farmers. In *International Conference of Agricultural Economists*. International Association of Agricultural Economists.
- Chin, W. W. (1998). *The partial least squares approach to structural equation modeling*. (Marcoulide, Ed.). Modern Methods for Business Research. Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Chin, W. W., Peterson, R. ., & Brown, S. P. (2008). Structural equation modeling in marketing: Some practical reminders. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 16(4).
- Choi, S. (2014). Learning Orientation and Market Orientation as Catalysts for Innovation in Nonprofit Organizations. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 43, 393–413.
- Coakes, S. J., Steed, L., & Ong, C. (2009). *SPSS: Analysis without Anguish: Version 14.0 for Windows*. John Wiley and Sons.

- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences* (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Cohen, W., & Levinthal, D. (1990). Absorptive Capacity A New Perspective On Learning And Innovation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 35(1), 128–153.
- Copper, D. R., & Schindler, P. . (2014). *Business Research Methods* (12th ed.). Singapore: McGraw-Hill Education.
- Covin, J. G., & Slevin, D. P. (1991). A conceptual model of entrepreneurship as firm behavior. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 16(1), 7–25.
- Covin, Jeffrey G., Green, K. M., & Slevin, D. P. (2006). Strategic Process Effects on the Entrepreneurial Orientation–Sales Growth Rate Relationship. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 30(1), 57–81.
- Covin, Jeffrey G., & Slevin, D. P. (1988). The Influence Of Organization Structure On The Utility Of An Entrepreneurial Top Management Style. *Journal of Management Studies*, 25(May), 217–234.
- Covin, Jeffrey G., & Wales, W. J. (2012). The Measurement of Entrepreneurial Orientation. *Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice*, 36(4), 677–702.
- Covin, Jeffrey G, & Slevin, D. P. (1989). Strategic Management Of Small Firms In Hostile And Benign Environments. *Strategic Management Journal*, 10, 75–87.
- Covin, Jeffrey G, Slevin, D. P., & Covin, T. J. (1990). Content and Performance of Growth-Seeking Strategies: A Comparison of Small Firms in High- And Low-Technology Industries. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 5, 391–412.
- Creswell, J. W. (2008). *Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research* (3rd ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson.
- Creswell, J. W. (2009). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*. London: SAGE Publication, Inc.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications Inc.
- Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. . (2007). *In Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research*. Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, London.
- Cronbach, L. J. (1971). Test validation. *Educational Measurement, Issues and Practice*, 2(443–507).
- D’Silva, J. L., Abu Samah, B., & Uli, J. (2011). Towards developing a framework on acceptance of sustainable agriculture among contract farming entrepreneurs.

- African Journal of Business Management*, 5(20), 8110–8116.
- D’Silva, J. L., Shaffril, M., Azril, H., Uli, J., & Abu Samah, B. (2009). A Review of Contract Farming and Factors that Impinge Youths Acceptance to Contract Farming. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 11(2), 328–338.
- Dahou, K. H., Hacini, I. S., Benbouziane, M. O., & Bekr, A. (2015). Organizational Learning And Market Orientation For Algerian Smes Innovation: The Key For SMEs Competitiveness. *Économie & Société*, 11, 109–130.
- Damanpour, F. (1991). Organizational Innovation : a Meta-Analysis of Effects of Determinants and Moderators. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 34(3), 555–590.
- Davis, J. a., Marino, L. D., Aaron, J. R., & Tolbert, C. L. (2011). An Examination of Entrepreneurial Orientation, Environmental Scanning, and Market Strategies of Nonprofit and For-Profit Nursing Home Administrators. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 40(1), 197–211.
- Dawes, J. G. (2008). Do data characteristics change according to the number of scale points used? An experiment using 5 point, 7 point and 10 point scales. *International Journal of Market Research.*, 5(1).
- Day, G. S. (1994a). Continuous learning about markets. *Strategy & Leadership*, 20(5), 47–49.
- Day, G. S. (1994b). The Capabilities of Market-Driven Organizations. *Journal of Marketing*, 58(4), 37–52. Retrieved from accessed:
- Day, G. S. (2002). Managing the market learning process. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, 17(4), 240–252.
- Day, G. S. (2011). Closing the Marketing Capabilities Gap. *Journal of Marketing*, 75(July), 183–195.
- Department of Agriculture. (2015). *Vegetables and Cash Crops Statistic Malaysia*. Retrieved from <http://www.doa.gov.my/>
- Department of Statistics Malaysia. (2016). *Selected Agricultural Indicators, Malaysia, 2016*.
- Department of Statistics Malaysia. (2017). *Selected Agricultural Indicators, Malaysia, 2017*.
- Department of Statistics Malaysia. (2018). *Selected Agricultural Indicators, Malaysia, 2018*.
- Deshpandé, R., & Farley, J. U. (1998). Measuring Market Orientation:

- Generalization and Synthesis. *Journal of Market-Focused Management*, 2(3), 213–232.
- Deshpandé, R., Farley, J. U., & Webster Jr, F. E. (1993). Corporate Culture, Customer Orientation, and Innovativeness in Japanese Firms: A Quadrant Analysis. *Journal of Marketing*, 57(1), 23–37.
- Dess, G. G., & Lumpkin, G. T. (2005). The Role of Entrepreneurial Orientation in Stimulating Effective Corporate Entrepreneurship. *Academy of Management Executive*, 19(1), 147–156.
- Dess, G. G., Lumpkin, G. T., & Covin, J. G. (1997). Entrepreneurial Strategy Making and Firm Performance : Tests of Contingency and Configurational Models. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(6), 677–695.
- Dethier, J.-J., & Effenberger, A. (2012). Agriculture and development: A brief review of the literature. *Economic Systems*, 36(2), 175–205.
- Deutscher, F., Zapkau, F. B., Schwens, C., Baum, M., & Kabst, R. (2016). Strategic Orientations and Performance: A Configurational Perspective. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(2), 849–861.
- Devaux, A., Torero, M., Donovan, J., & Horton, D. (2018). Agricultural innovation and inclusive value-chain development: a review. *Journal of Agribusiness in Developing and Emerging Economies*, 8(1), 99–123.
- Diaz-Pichardo, R., Cantu-Gonzalez, C., Lopez-Hernandez, P., & McElwee, G. (2012). From Farmers to Entrepreneurs: The Importance of Collaborative Behaviour. *Journal of Entrepreneurship*, 21(1), 91–116.
- Didonet, S., Simmons, G., Díaz-Villavicencio, G., & Palmer, M. (2012). The Relationship between Small Business Market Orientation and Environmental Uncertainty. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 30(7), 757–779.
- Ding, Y., Li, W., & Peng, Y. (2014). A Relationship Model of Brand Marketing Strategy and Agribusiness Dynamic Capability. In *Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Management Science and Engineering Management* (Vol. 241, pp. 145–154).
- Dobni, C. B., & Luffman, G. (2000). Market orientation and market strategy profiling: an empirical test of environment-behaviour-action coalignment and its performance implications. *Management Decision*, 38(8), 503–522.
- Doney, M., & Cannon, J. P. (1997). Trust Examination of the Nature of in Buyer-Seller Relationship for assistance. *Journal of Marketing*, 61(2), 35–51.

- Dong, X., Zhang, Z., Hinsch, C. A., & Zou, S. (2014). Reconceptualising the Elements Of Market Orientation: A Process-Based View. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 56, 130–142.
- Easterby-Smith, M., Lyles, M. A., & Tsang, E. W. K. (2008). Inter-Organizational Knowledge Transfer: Current Themes and Future Prospects. *Journal of Management Studies*, 45(4), 677–690.
- Eaton, C., & Shepherd, A. W. (2005). *Contract Farming: Partnerships for Growth*. Food and Agriculture Organization of The United Nations.
- Economic Planning Unit. (2006). *Ninth Malaysia Plan 2006-2010*.
- Economic Planning Unit. (2010). *Tenth Malaysia Plan (2011-2015)*.
- Economic Planning Unit. (2014). *Economic Report 2014/2015*.
- Economic Planning Unit. (2015). *Eleventh Malaysia Plan: Driving Modernisation in Agro-food*.
- Eggers, F., Hansen, D. J., & Davis, A. E. (2012). Examining the relationship between customer and entrepreneurial orientation on nascent firms' marketing strategy. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, 8(2), 203–222.
- Eggers, F., Kraus, S., Hughes, M., Laraway, S., & Snyckerski, S. (2013). Management Decision Implications of customer and entrepreneurial orientations for SME growth. *Management Decision*, 51(2), 524–546.
- Eisenhardt, K. M., & Martin, J. A. (2000). Dynamic Capabilities: What Are They? *Strategic Management Journal*, 21(10/11), 1105–1121.
- Ellonen, R., Blomqvist, K., & Puumalainen, K. (2008). The role of trust in organisational innovativeness. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 11(2), 160–181.
- Elly, T., & Silayo, E. E. (2013). Agricultural Information Needs and Sources of the Rural Farmers in Tanzania: A Case of Iringa Rural District. *Library Review*, 62(8), 547–566.
- Evangelista, F., & Mac, L. (2016). The Influence Of Experience And Deliberate Learning On SME Export Performance. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, 22(6), 860–879.
- Fairoz, F. M., Hirobumi, T., & Tanaka, Y. (2010). Entrepreneurial Orientation and Business Performance of Small and Medium Scale Enterprises of Hambantota District Sri Lanka. *Asian Social Science*, 6(3), 34–46.
- Farrell, M. A., Oczkowski, E., & Kharabsheh, R. (2008). Market orientation,

- learning orientation and organisational performance in international joint ventures. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, 20(3), 289–308.
- Farrell, Ma, & Oczkowski, E. (2002). Are market orientation and learning orientation necessary for superior organizational performance? *Journal of Market-Focused Management*, 5, 197–217.
- Farrell, Mark. (2002). A Critique of the Development of Alternative Measures of Market Orientation. *Marketing Bulletin*, 13(3), 1–13.
- Farrelly, F., & Quester, P. (2003). The effects of market orientation on trust and commitment: The case of the sponsorship business-to-business relationship. *European Journal of Marketing*, 37(3/4), 530–553.
- Fawcett, S. E., Jin, Y. H., Fawcett, A. M., & Magnan, G. (2017). I Know It When I See It: The Nature of Trust, Trustworthiness Signals, and Strategic Trust Construction. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 28(4), 914–938.
- Field, A. (2009). *Discovering Statistics Using SPSS* (3rd ed.). London: SAGE Publications.
- Fitz-Koch, S., Nordqvist, M., Carter, S., & Hunter, E. (2017). Entrepreneurship in the Agricultural Sector: A Literature Review and Future Research Opportunities. *Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice*, 00(0), 1–38.
- Fraenkel, J., & Wallen, N. (2003). *How to design and evaluate in education*. New York: McGraw-Hill Higher.
- Friesen, D. P. (2013). *The Relationship Of Market Orientation, Absorptive Capacity, Organizational Learning, And Positional Advantage To Corporate Performance In Turbulent And Non-Turbulent Environments*. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses. Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan.
- Fugate, B. S. (2008). *The Role of Logistics in Market Orientation*. University of Tennessee. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2158-1592.2008.tb00085.x>
- Fugate, B. S., Autry, C. W., Davis-Sramek, B., & Germain, R. N. (2012). Does knowledge management facilitate logistics-based differentiation? the effect of global manufacturing reach. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 139(2), 496–509.
- Fugate, B. S., Stank, T. P., & Mentzer, J. T. (2009). Linking improved knowledge management to operational and organizational performance. *Journal of Operations Management*, 27(3), 247–264.

- Fujisaka, S. (1994). Learning from six reasons why farmers do not adopt innovations intended to improve sustainability of upland agriculture. *Agricultural Systems*, 46(4), 409–425.
- Ganesan, S. (1994). Determinants of long-term orientation in buyer-seller relationships. *The Journal of Marketing*, 58, 1–19.
- Gao, Y., Li, Y., Cheng, M., & Feng, G. (2017). How does market learning affects radical innovation? The moderation roles of horizontal ties and vertical ties. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, 32(1), 57–74.
- García-Morales, V J, Lloréns-Montes, F. J., & Verdú-Jover, A. J. (2006). Organisational learning categories: Their influence on organisational performance. *International Journal of Innovation and Learning*, 3(5), 518–536.
- García-Morales, Víctor J, Moreno, A. R., & Lloréns-Montes, F. J. (2006). Strategic capabilities and their effects on performance: entrepreneurial, learning, innovator and problematic SMEs. *Int. J. Management and Enterprise Development*, 3(3), 191–211.
- Gassmann, O., Sandmeier, P., & Wecht, C. H. (2006). Extreme customer innovation in the front-end: learning from a new software paradigm. *International Journal of Technology Management*, 33(1), 46.
- Gatignon, H., & Xuereb, J.-M. (1997). Strategic orientation of the firm and new product performance. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 34(1), 77–90.
- Gautam, M., Singh, S., Singh Arya, K., Tiwari, A., & Fartyal, G. (2016). An Impact of Learning, Entrepreneurial and Market Orientation on Innovation Competencies. *International Journal of Management*, 7(1), 69–78.
- Gebhardt, G. F., Carpenter, G. S., & Sherry, J. F. (2006). Creating a Market Orientation: A Longitudinal, Multifirm, Grounded Analysis of Cultural Transformation. *Journal of Marketing*, 70(4), 37–55.
- Gellynck, X., Banterle, A., Kühne, B., Carraresi, L., & Stranieri, S. (2012). Market orientation and marketing management of traditional food producers in the EU. *British Food Journal*, 114(4), 481–499.
- Gellynck, X., C´ardenas, J., Pieniak, Z., & Verbeke, W. (2015). Association between Innovative Entrepreneurial Orientation, Absorptive Capacity, and Farm Business Performance. *Agribusiness*, 31(1), 91–106.
- Ghauri, P., & Gronhaugh, K. (2002). *Research Methods in Business Studies: A Practical Guide* (2nd ed.). Harlow: Financial Times, Prentice Hall.

- Glover, D. J. (1987). Increasing the benefits to smallholders from contract farming: Problems for farmers' organizations and policy makers. *World Development*, 15(4), 441–448.
- González-Benito, Ó., González-Benito, J., & Muñoz-Gallego, P. a. (2009). Role of entrepreneurship and market orientation in firms' success. *European Journal of Marketing*, 43(3/4), 500–522.
- Goto, K., & Douangneune, B. (2017). Agricultural modernisation and rural livelihood strategies: the case of rice farming in Laos. *Canadian Journal of Development Studies*, 5189(January), 1–20.
- Grande, J. (2011). New venture creation in the farm sector - Critical resources and capabilities. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 27(2), 220–233.
- Grande, J., Madsen, E. L., & Borch, O. J. (2011). The relationship between resources, entrepreneurial orientation and performance in farm-based ventures. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, 23(4), 89–111.
- Greenley, G. (1995). Market orientation and company performance: empirical evidence from UK companies. *British Journal of Management*, 6(1), 1–13.
- Guevara, M. (2002). *An Exploratory Study on the Effect Of Employee Contact With Customers On Employee Performance. Perceptions of Market Orientation And Learning Orientation. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses*. Alliant International University. Los Angeles, Californi.
- Gunday, G., Ulusoy, G., Kilic, K., & Alpkan, L. (2011). Effects of innovation types on firm performance. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 133(2), 662–676.
- Gupta, V. K., & Batra, S. (2015). Entrepreneurial orientation and firm performance in Indian SMEs: Universal and contingency perspectives. *International Small Business Journal*, 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0266242615577708>
- Hair, J. F. J., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2014). *Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-Sem)*. *Marketing Bulletin*.
- Hair, J., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C., & et al. (2012). An assessment of the use of partial least squares structural equation modeling in marketing researc. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 40(3), 414–433.
- Hair, J.F, Ringle, C. ., & Sarstedt, M. (2011). PLS-SEM: Indeed a silver bullet. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 19(2), 139–151.
- Hair, Joseph F., Bush, R. P., & Ortinau, D. J. (2003). *Marketing Research. Within a*

- Changing Information Environment. Journal of Product Innovation Management* (Vol. 22).
- Hakala, H. (2011). Strategic Orientations in Management Literature: Three Approaches to Understanding the Interaction between Market, Technology, Entrepreneurial and Learning Orientations. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 13(2), 199–217.
- Hakala, H. (2013). Entrepreneurial and learning orientation: Effects on growth and profitability in the software sector. *Baltic Journal of Management*, 8(1), 102–118.
- Halim, M. A. S. A., Alias, M., Hamid, A. C., & Zakaria, Z. (2011). An Inter-Relationship of Entrepreneurial Personality, Commitment And Self-Independent In A Terengganu Agriculture Industry. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 2(23), 274–281.
- Hall, R., Scoones, I., & Tsikata, D. (2017). Plantations , outgrowers and commercial farming in Africa : agricultural commercialisation and implications for agrarian change. *The Journal of Peasant Studies*, 44(3), 515–537.
- Han, J. K., Kim, N., & Srivastava, R. K. (1998). Market Orientation and Organizational Performance: Is Innovation a Missing Link? *Journal of Marketing*, 62(4), 30–45.
- Han, X., Hansen, E., Panwar, R., Hamner, R., & Orozco, N. (2013). Connecting market orientation, learning orientation and corporate social responsibility implementation: is innovativeness a mediator? *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research*, 28(8), 784–796.
- Hansen, M. H., Perry, L. T., & Reese, C. S. (2004). A Bayesian operationalization of the resource-based view. *Strategic Management Journal*, 25(13), 1279–1295.
- Hardwick, J., Anderson, A. R., & Cruickshank, D. (2013). Trust formation processes in innovative collaborations. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 16(1), 4–21.
- Hau, L. N., Evangelista, F., & Thuy, P. N. (2013). Does It Pay For Firms In Asia's Emerging Markets To Be Market Oriented? Evidence from Vietnam. *Journal of Business Research*, 66(12), 2412–2417.
- Hayes, A. F. (2009). Beyond Baron and Kenny: Statistical mediation analysis in the new millenium. *Communication Monographs*, 76, 408–420.
- Helfat, C. E., & Peteraf, M. A. (2003). The dynamic resource-based view: Capability

- lifecycles. *Strategic Management Journal*, 24(10 SPEC ISS.), 997–1010.
- Henseler, J., & Chin, W. W. (2010). A comparison of approaches for the analysis of interaction effects between latent variables using partial least squares path modeling. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 17(1), 82–109.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sinkovics, R. R. (2009). The use of partial least squares path modeling in international marketing. *Advances in International Marketing*, 20(277–320).
- Ho, K. L. P., Nguyen, C. N., Adhikari, R., Miles, M. P., & Bonney, L. (2018). Exploring market orientation, innovation, and financial performance in agricultural value chains in emerging economies. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 3(3), 154–163.
- Hoe, S L, & McShane, S. (2010a). Differentiating Knowledge Processes In Organizational Learning: A Case Of “Two Solitudes.” *International Journal Of Organization Theory And Behavior*, 13(2), 149–168.
- Hoe, S L, & McShane, S. (2010b). Structural and Informal Knowledge Acquisition and Dissemination in Organizational Learning: An Exploratory Analysis. *The Learning Organization*, 17(4), 364–386.
- Hoe, Siu Loon. (2008). Benefiting from customer and competitor knowledge: A market-based approach to organizational learning. *The Learning Organization*, 15(3), 240–250.
- Hu, W.-Y. (2012). Effect of Contract Farming on the Farmers ’ Average Return - The Case of the Grain Industry in the USA. *Selected Paper Prepared for Presentation at the Agricultural and Applied Economics Associations (AAEA) 2012 Annual Meeting, Seattle, Washington.*
- Huang, K. (2014). *The Effects of Proactive Entrepreneurship and Social Adaptability on Innovation : A Study of Taiwanese SMEs Operating in China.* The University of Technology, Sydney in.
- Huhtala, J.-P., Sihvonen, A., Frösén, J., Jaakkola, M., & Tikkanen, H. (2014). Market orientation, innovation capability and business performance: Insights from the global financial crisis. *Baltic Journal of Management*, 9(2), 134–152.
- Hulland, J. (1999). Use of Partial Least Squares (PLS) in Strategic Management Research: A Review of Four Recent Studies. *Strategic Management Journal*, 20(2), 195–204.

- Hult, G. Thomas M, Hurley, R. F., & Knight, G. a. (2004). Innovativeness: Its antecedents and impact on business performance. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 33(5), 429–438.
- Hult, G. Tomas M, Ketchen, D. J., & Slater, S. F. (2005). Market orientation and performance: An integration of disparate approaches. *Strategic Management Journal*, 26(12), 1173–1181.
- Hult, G. Tomas M, Snow, C. C., & Kandemir, D. (2003). The role of entrepreneurship in building cultural competitiveness in different organizational types. *Journal of Management*, 29(3), 401–426.
- Hult, G.T.M., Ketchen, D. J., & Nichols, E. L. (2002). An Examination of Cultural Competitiveness and Order Fulfillment Cycle Time within Supply Chains. *Academy of Management Journal*, 45(3), 577–586.
- Hunt, S. D., & Lambe, C. J. (2000). Marketing's contribution to business strategy: market orientation, relationship marketing and resource-advantage theory. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 2(1), 17–43.
- Hunt, S. D., & Morgan, R. M. (1995). The Comparative Advantage Theory of Competition. *Journal of Marketing*, 59(2), 1–15.
- Hurley, R. F., & Hult, G. T. M. (1998). Innovation, Market Orientation, and Organizational Learning: An Integration and Empirical Examination. *Journal of Marketing*, 62(3), 42–54.
- Hurley, R. F., Hult, G. T. M., & Knight, G. a. (2005). Innovativeness and capacity to innovate in a complexity of firm-level relationships: A response to Woodside (2004). *Industrial Marketing Management*, 34(3), 281–283.
- Hussain, S. S., Azhar, S. M., & Shahid, M. (2013). Strategic Orientations and Organisational Types : A Theoretical Link. *Journal of Organisation & Human Behaviour*, 2(3).
- Hussin, M. R. M., Noorliza, M. H. A. H. A., Karia, N., & Ali@Hamid, A. J. (2012). Small Farmers And Factors That Motivate Them Towards Agricultural Entrepreneurship Activities. *Journal of Agribusiness Marketing*, 5, 47–60.
- Hussin, S. R., Yee, W. F., & Bojei, J. (2010). Essential quality attributes in fresh produce purchase by Malaysian consumers. *Journal of Agribusiness Marketing*, 3, 1–19.
- Iacobucci, D., Saldanha, N., & Deng, X. (2007). A meditation on mediation: Evidence that structural equation models perform better than regression.

- Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 7(2), 140–154.
- Ismail, M. D. (2016). The Moderating Function of Communication on the Relationship between Entrepreneurship Orientation , Learning Orientation and International Relationship Trust. *Jurnal Pengurusan*, 46, 77–87.
- Javalgi, R. G., Hall, K. D., & Cavusgil, S. T. (2014). Corporate entrepreneurship, customer-oriented selling, absorptive capacity, and international sales performance in the international B2B setting: Conceptual framework and research propositions. *International Business Review*, 23(6), 1193–1202.
- Jaworski, B. J., & Kohli, A. K. (1993). Market Orientation: Antecedents and Consequences. *Journal of Marketing*, 57(3), 53.
- Jaworski, B. J., & Kohli, A. K. (1996). Market orientation: Review, refinement, and roadmap. *Journal of Market-Focused Management*, 1(2), 119–135.
- Jaworski, B. J., & Kohli, A. K. (2017). Conducting field-based , discovery-oriented research : lessons from our market orientation research experience. *Academy of Marketing Science*.
- Jayawardhana, K., & Weerawardena, J. (2014). Conceptualizing The Role Of Market Learning In Social Innovation-Based Competitive Strategy. In *11th International Conference on Business Management - 2014* (pp. 188–201).
- Jia, X., & Bijman, J. (2013). *Contract farming: Synthetic themes for linking farmers to demanding markets*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Jiménez-Castillo, D. (2016). Beyond mere information transfer: The importance of a relational approach to market-related internal communication. *Journal of Public Relations Research*, 28(5–6), 268–281.
- Jiménez-Jiménez, D., & Cegarra-Navarro, J. G. (2007). The performance effect of organizational learning and market orientation. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 36(6), 694–708.
- Jiménez-Jiménez, D., Martínez-Costa, M., & Ahmed-Dine Rabeih, H. (2017). Fostering new product success through learning competences. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 0(0), 1–13.
- Jiménez-Jiménez, D., Martínez-Costa, M., & Sanz-Valle, R. (2014). Knowledge management practices for innovation : a multinational corporation ’ s perspective. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 18(5), 905–918.
- Jiménez-Jiménez, D., & Sanz-Valle, R. (2011). Innovation, organizational learning,

- and performance. *Journal of Business Research*, 64(4), 408–417.
- Jiménez-Jimenez, D., Valle, R. S., & Hernandez-Espallardo, M. (2008). Fostering innovation: The role of market orientation and organizational learning. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 11(3), 389–412.
- Jones, J. B., Wimpenny, D., & Gibbons, G. J. (2017). Market, entrepreneurial, and technology orientations: impact on innovation and firm performance. *Management Decision*, 55(2).
- Kadir, M. K. A. (2014). Development of Young Agropreneur in Malaysia. In *FFTC-RDA International Seminar on Enhanced Entry of Young Generation into Farming, Jeonju, Korea* (pp. 1–5).
- Kakapour, S., Morgan, T., Parsinejad, S., & Wieland, A. (2016). Antecedents of corporate entrepreneurship in Iran: the role of strategic orientation and opportunity recognition. *Journal of Small Business & Entrepreneurship*, 28(3), 251–266.
- Karabulut, A. T. (2015). Effects of Innovation Types on Performance of Manufacturing Firms in Turkey. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 195, 1355–1364.
- Kaur, B., Kamarulzaman, N. H., & Hamzah, N. A. (2015). The Impact Of Public-Assisted Contract Farming Programmes In Malaysia. *Journal of Agribusiness Marketing*, 7, 1–15.
- Kaya, N., & Patton, J. (2011). The Effects Of Knowledge-Based Resources, Market Orientation And Learning Orientation On Innovation Performance: An Empirical Study Of Turkish Firms. *Journal of International Development*, 23, 204–219.
- Kazemian, S., Rahman, R. A., Sanusi, Z. M., & Adeyemi, A. A. (2016). Role of market orientation in sustainable performance : the case of a leading microfinance provider. *Humanomics*, 32(3), in-press.
- Keco, R., Xhoxhi, O., Skreli, E., & Imami, D. (2019). To contract or not contract: Implications for farmer-buyer trading relation performance. *International Journal on Food System Dynamics*, 10(2), 151–161.
- Keskin, H. (2006). Market orientation, learning orientation, and innovation capabilities in SMEs: An extended model. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 9(4), 396–417.
- Kessler, E. H., Bierly, P. E., & Gopalakrishnan, S. (2000). Internal vs. external

- learning in new product development: effects on speed, costs and competitive advantage. *R&D Management*, 30(3), 213–224.
- Kibbeling, M., Van Der Bij, H., & Van Weele, A. (2013). Market orientation and innovativeness in supply Chains: Supplier's impact on customer satisfaction. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 30(3), 500–515.
- Kim, N., & Atuahene-Gima, K. (2010). Using exploratory and exploitative market learning for new product development. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 27, 519–536.
- Kim, N., Im, S., & Slater, S. F. (2013). Impact of knowledge type and strategic orientation on new product creativity and advantage in high-technology firms. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 30(1), 136–153.
- Kirca, A. H., Jayachandran, S., & Bearden, W. O. (2005). Market Orientation: A Meta-Analytic Review and Assessment of Its Antecedents and Impact on Performance. *Journal of Marketing*, 69(2), 24–41.
- Kirsten, J., & Sartorius, K. (2002). Linking agribusiness and small-scale farmers in developing countries: Is there a new role for contract farming? *Development Southern Africa*, 19(4), 503–529.
- Kjellberg, H., Azimont, F., & Reid, E. (2015). Market innovation processes : Balancing stability and change. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 44, 4–12.
- Klewitz, J., & Hansen, E. G. (2014). Sustainability-oriented Innovation of SMEs: A Systematic Review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 65, 57–75.
- Kohli, A., & Jaworski, B. (1990). Market orientation: the construct, research propositions, and managerial implications. *The Journal of Marketing*, 54(2), 1–18.
- Kohli, A. K., Jaworski, B. J., & Kumar, A. (1993). MARKOR: A Measure of Market Orientation. *Journal of Marketing*, 30(4), 467–477.
- Kollmann, T., & Stöckmann, C. (2014). Filling the entrepreneurial orientation-performance gap: The mediating effects of exploratory and exploitative innovations. *Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice*, 38(5), 1001–1026.
- Kozlenkova, I. V., Samaha, S. a., & Palmatier, R. W. (2014). Resource-based theory in marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 42(1), 1–21.
- Kraaijenbrink, J., Spender, J.-C., & Groen, A. J. (2010). The Resource-Based View: A Review and Assessment of Its Critiques. *Journal of Management*, 36(1), 349–372.

- Kraus, S., Rigtering, J. P. C., Hughes, M., & Hosman, V. (2012). Entrepreneurial orientation and the business performance of SMEs: A quantitative study from the Netherlands. *Review of Managerial Science*, 6(2), 161–182.
- Kreiser, P. M., Marino, L. D., Kuratko, D. F., & Weaver, K. M. (2013). Disaggregating entrepreneurial orientation: The non-linear impact of innovativeness, proactiveness and risk-taking on SME performance. *Small Business Economics*, 40(2), 273–291.
- Krishnan, R., & Martin, X. (2006). When Does Trust Matter To Alliance Performance ? *Academy of Management Journal*, 49(5), 894–917.
- Kuada, J., & Buatsi, S. N. (2005). Market Orientation and Management Practices in Ghanaian Firms: Revisiting the Jaworski and Kohli Framework. *Journal of International Marketing*, 13(1), 58–88.
- Kumar, A., Mishra, A., Joshi, P., & D'Souza, A. (2018). Impact of Contract Farming and Risk Preference on Food Security : The Case of Organic Basmati Rice Smallholders. In *30th International Conference of Agricultural Economists* (pp. 1–38).
- Kumar, Anjani, Roy, D., Tripathi, G., Joshi, P. K., & Adhikari, R. P. (2017). Does contract farming improve profits and food safety ? Evidence from tomato cultivation in Nepal. *Journal of Agribusiness in Developing and Emerging Economies*.
- Lafferty, B. a., & Hult, G. T. M. (2001). A synthesis of contemporary market orientation perspectives. *European Journal of Marketing*, 35(1/2), 92–109.
- Laforet, S. (2011). A framework of organisational innovation and outcomes in SMEs. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, 17(4), 380–408.
- Lakshman, C., Kumra, R., & Adhikari, A. (2017). Proactive market orientation and innovation in India: The moderating role of intrafirm causal ambiguity. *Journal of Management and Organization*, 23(1), 116–135.
- Lambrecht, E., Kühne, B., & Gellynck, X. (2014). How do innovation partners differ with respect to innovation type and stage in the innovation journey of farmers? *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Innovation*, 15(3), 191–203.
- Lambrecht, E., Kühne, B., & Gellynck, X. (2015). Asymmetric relationships in networked agricultural innovation processes. *British Food Journal*, 117(7), 1810–1825.

- Lambrecht, E., Taragola, N., Kühne, B., Crivits, M., & Gellynck, X. (2015). Networking and innovation within the ornamental plant sector. *Agricultural and Food Economics*, 3(1), 1–20.
- Lane, P. J., Salk, J. E., & Lyles, M. a. (2001). Absorptive capacity, learning, and performance in international joint ventures. *Strategic Management Journal*, 22(12), 1139–1161.
- Langerak, F. (2002). *What is the Predictive Power of Market Orientation* ? (No. Report Series Reference, No. ERS-2002–88-MKT).
- Lans, T., & Versteegen, J. a a M. (2011). Entrepreneurial Proclivity , Market Orientation and Performance of Dutch Farmers and Horticultural growers Entrepreneurial Proclivity , Market Orientation and Performance of Dutch Farmers and Horticultural growers. In *EAAE 2011 Congress Change and Uncertainty*.
- Laukkanen, T., Nagy, G., Hirvonen, S., Reijonen, H., & Pasanen, M. (2013). The effect of strategic orientations on business performance in SMEs: A multigroup analysis comparing Hungary and Finland. *International Marketing Review*, 30(6), 510–535.
- Lawson, B., & Samson, D. (2001). Developing Innovation Capability in Organisations: a Dynamic Capabilities Approach. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 05(03), 377–400.
- Leat, P., & Revoredo-Giha, C. (2008). Building collaborative agri-food supply chains: The challenge of relationship development in the Scottish red meat chain. *British Food Journal*, 110(4/5), 395–411.
- Lee, S. M., & Lim, S. (2009). Entrepreneurial orientation and the performance of service business. *Service Business*, 3(1), 1–13.
- Lee, T.-S., & Tsai, H.-J. (2005). The effects of business operation mode on market orientation, learning orientation and innovativeness. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 105(3), 325–348.
- Lee, Y. K., Kim, S. H., Seo, M. K., & Hight, S. K. (2015). Market orientation and business performance: Evidence from franchising industry. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 44, 28–37.
- Lei, P. W., & Wu, Q. (2007). Introduction to structural equation modeling: Issues and practical considerations. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practices*, 26(3), 33–43.

- Leiblein, M. J. (2011). What Do Resource- and Capability-Based Theories Propose? *Journal of Management*, 37(4), 909–932.
- Leimane, I., Krieviņa, A., & Miglavs, A. (2014). Improving of Small Farm Market Capability in Latvia. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 110, 182–189.
- Lembaga Pemasaran Pertanian Persekutuan (FAMA). (2014). *Statistik Utama Pemasaran FAMA 2014*.
- Lembaga Pemasaran Pertanian Persekutuan (FAMA). (2017). *Statistik Utama Pemasaran FAMA 2016*.
- Levitt, T. (1960). *Marketing Myopia*. Chicago.
- Li, Q. (2013). A novel Likert scale based on fuzzy sets theory. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 40(5), 1609–1618.
- Liao, S., Fei, W.-C., & Chen, C.-C. (2007). Knowledge sharing, absorptive capacity, and innovation capability: an empirical study of Taiwan's knowledge-intensive industries. *Journal of Information Science*, 33(3), 340–359.
- Lin, C.-H., Peng, C.-H., & Kao, D. T. (2008). The innovativeness effect of market orientation and learning orientation on business performance. *International Journal of Manpower*, 29(8), 752–772.
- Lindgreen, A. (2003). Trust as a valuable strategic variable in the food industry: Different types of trust and their implementation. *British Food Journal*, 105(6), 310–327.
- Lisboa, A., Skarmeas, D., & Lages, C. (2011). Entrepreneurial orientation, exploitative and explorative capabilities, and performance outcomes in export markets: A resource-based approach. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 40(8), 1274–1284.
- Lisboa, A., Skarmeas, D., & Lages, C. (2013). Export market exploitation and exploration and performance: Linear, moderated, complementary and non-linear effects. *International Marketing Review*, 30(3), 211–230.
- Lohr, S. L. (2009). *Sampling: Design and Analysis*. (M. Julet, Ed.) (2nd ed.). Richard Stratton.
- López-mosquera, N., Álvarez-coque, J. M. G., & Sánchez, M. (2014). Does Market Orientation in Small-Scale farms pay off ? A study of Innovation behaviour in Spanish agriculture. In *Paper prepared for presentation at the EAAE 2014 Congress Agri-Food and Rural Innovations for Healthier Societies* (pp. 1–13).
- Lumpkin, G. T., & Dess, G. G. (1996). Clarifying the Entrepreneurial Orientation

- Construct and Linking It to Performance. *Academy of Management Review*, 21(1), 135–172.
- Lumpkin, G. T., & Dess, G. G. (2001). Linking two dimensions of entrepreneurial orientation to firm performance: The moderating role of environment and industry life cycle. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 16(5), 429–451.
- Luo, J., Guo, H., & Jia, F. (2017). Technological innovation in agricultural co-operatives in China: Implications for agro-food innovation policies. *Food Policy*, 73(December), 19–33.
- Lwoga, T. E., Stilwell, C., & Ngulube, P. (2011). Access and use of agricultural information and knowledge in Tanzania. *Library Review*, 60(5), 383–395.
- Lyon, D. W., Lumpkin, G. T., & Dess, G. G. (2000). Enhancing Entrepreneurial Orientation Research: Operationalizing and Measuring a Key Strategic Decision Making Process. *Journal of Management*, 26(5), 1055–1085.
- Lyon, F. (2000). Trust, networks and norms: The creation of social capital in agricultural economies in Ghana. *World Development*, 28(4), 663–681.
- Ma'toufi, A. R., & Tajeddini, K. (2015). The impact of learning orientation on entrepreneurial orientation and innovation in small-sized business firms. *Middle East J. Management*, 2(3), 252–267.
- MacKinnon, D., Chapman, K., & Cumbers, A. (2004). Networking, trust and embeddedness amongst SMEs in the Aberdeen oil complex. *Entrepreneurship and Regional Development*, 16(2), 87–106.
- Maertens, M., & Velde, K. Vande. (2017). Contract-farming in Staple Food Chains : The Case of Rice in Benin. *World Development*.
- Mahindaratne, P. P., & Gunaratne, L. H. P. (2015). Entrepreneurial orientation of organic farmers: A case of organic vegetable farmers in the Badulla District of Sri Lanka. *Journal of International Food & Agribusiness Marketing*, 4438(April), 1–13.
- Mahmoud, M. A., Blankson, C., Owusu-Frimpong, N., Nwankwo, S., & Trang, T. P. (2016). Market orientation, learning orientation and business performance: The mediating role of innovation. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 34(5), 623–648.
- Majid, R., & Hassan, S. (2014). Performance of broiler contract farmers : A case study in Perak ,Malaysia. *UMK Procedia*, (2014), 18–25.
- Malaysia Productivity Corporation. (2015). *Productivity Report 2014/2015*. 22nd

Productivity Report 2014/2015.

- Malaysia Productivity Corporation. (2017). *Malaysia Productivity Report 2016/2017.*
- Mamun, A. Al, Muniady, R., Yukthamarani, P. P., Binti, Z. N. R., & Mohamad, M. R. (2016). Development in Practice Micro-enterprise development initiatives and entrepreneurial competencies , innovativeness , and social capital in Malaysia. *Development in Practice*, 26(8), 1094–1110.
- Man, N., & Nawi, N. M. (2010a). The Participation of Malaysian Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Farmers in Contract Farming. *Journal of Agribusiness Marketing*, 3, 75–84.
- Man, N., & Nawi, N. M. (2010b). The Practices of Contract Farming Among Fresh Fruit and Vegetable Suppliers in Malaysia. *American Journal of Agricultural and Biological Sciences*, 5(3), 321–330.
- Marcoulides, G. A., & Saunders, C. (2006). *PLS: A silver bullet?* *MIS Quarterly*, 30, iii-ix.
- Martin, R., & Sharp, J. (2016). Analysis of the Organization and Challenges of Contract Farming in Tanzania: A Case of Mtibwa Sugarcane Outgrower Scheme. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology*, 11(2), 1–11. <https://doi.org/2016/26281>
- Martinez-Roman, J. A., & Romero, I. (2013). About the determinants of the degree of novelty in small businesses' product innovations. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, 9(4), 655–677.
- Martino, G., & Polinori, P. (2019). An analysis of the farmers contractual preferences in process innovation implementation: A case study in the Italian poultry context. *British Food Journal*, 121(2), 426–440.
- Matell, M. S., & Jacoby, J. (1971). Is There an Optimal Number of Alternatives for Likert Scale Items' Study. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 31, 657–674.
- Matsuno, K., Mentzer, J. T., & Rentz, J. O. (2000). A Refinement and Validation of the MARKOR Scale. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 28(4), 527–539.
- Matsuno, Ken, Mentzer, J. T., & Özsomer, A. (2002). The effects of entrepreneurial proclivity and market orientation on business performance. *Journal of Marketing*, 66, 18–32.

- Matsuno, Ken, Mentzer, J. T., & Rentz, J. O. (2005). A conceptual and empirical comparison of three market orientation scales. *Journal of Business Research*, 58, 1–8.
- Mayer, R. C., Davis, J. H., & Schoorman, F. D. (1995). An Integrative Model of Organizational Trust. *Source: The Academy of Management Review*, 20(3), 709–734.
- McElwee, G. (2006). FARMERS AS ENTREPRENEURS: DEVELOPING COMPETITIVE SKILLS. *Journal of Developmental Entrepreneurship*, 11(3), 187–206.
- McElwee, G. (2005). *Developing entrepreneurial skills of farmers. A Literature review of entrepreneurship in agriculture.*
- McElwee, G. (2008). A taxonomy of entrepreneurial farmers. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business*, 6(3), 465.
- McElwee, G., Gordon, A. A. R., & Vesala, K. (2015). The strategic farmer: A cheese producer with cold feet? *Statewide Agricultural Land Use Baseline 2015*, 1(6), 65–72.
- McMichael, P. (2013). Value-chain Agriculture and Debt Relations: contradictory outcomes. *Third World Quarterly*, 34(4), 671–690.
- Menguc, B., & Auh, S. (2006). Creating a Firm-Level Dynamic Capability through Capitalizing on Market Orientation and Innovativeness. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 34(1), 63–73.
- Micheels, E. T., & Boecker, A. (2017). Competitive strategies among Ontario farms marketing direct to consumers. *Agricultural and Food Economics*, 5(1), 10.
- Micheels, E. T., & Gow, H. (2012). The Effect of Alternative Market Orientation Strategies on Firm Performance. *International Journal of Marketing Studies*, 4(3), 2–16.
- Micheels, E. T., & Gow, H. R. (2008). Market Orientation , Innovation and Entrepreneurship : An Empirical Examination of the Illinois Beef Industry. *International Food and Agribusiness Management Review*, 11(3), 31–56.
- Micheels, E. T., & Gow, H. R. (2011). The Moderating Effects of Trust and Commitment on Market Orientation, Value Discipline Clarity, and Firm Performance. *Agribusiness*, 27(3), 360–378.
- Micheels, E. T., & Gow, H. R. (2015). The Effect of Market Orientation on Learning, Innovativeness, and Performance in Primary Agriculture. *Canadian*

- Journal of Agricultural Economics/Revue Canadienne d'agroeconomie*, 63(2), 209–233.
- Micheels, E. T., & Nolan, J. F. (2016). Examining the effects of absorptive capacity and social capital on the adoption of agricultural innovations: A Canadian Prairie case study. *Agricultural Systems*, 145, 127–138.
- Miller, D. (1983). The Correlates of Entrepreneurship in Three Types of Firms. *Management Science*, 29(7), 770–791.
- Miller, Danny, & Friesen, P. H. (1982). Innovation in conservative and entrepreneurial firms: Two models of strategic momentum. *Strategic Management Journal*, 3(1), 1–25.
- Ministry of Agriculture (MOA). (2011). *Dasar AgroMakanan Negara (2011-2020)*.
- Ministry of Agriculture and Agro-Based Industry Malaysia (MOA). (2014). *Productivity Performance of the Agriculture Sector. Productivity Report 2013/2014*.
- Minot, N., & Sawyer, B. (2014). Chapter 4: Contract Farming In Developing Countries: Theory, Practice, And Policy Implications. In D. E. (ed. . Devaux, André & Torero, Maximo & Donovan, Jason & Horton (Ed.), *Innovation for inclusive value-chain development: Successes and challenges* (pp. 127–155). International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI).
- Mirzaei, O., Micheels, E. T., & Boecker, A. (2016). Product and marketing innovation in farm-based businesses: The role of entrepreneurial orientation and market orientation. *International Food and Agribusiness Management Review*, 19(2), 99–130.
- Mohamed, M. Z., & Xavier, J. a. (2015). Poverty Alleviation Strategies and New Economic Model in Malaysia. *International Academic Research Journal of Economics and FinanceAcrpub.Com*, (3), 17–31.
- Mohamed Salim, I., & Sulaiman, M. (2011). Organizational Learning, Innovation and Performance: A Study of Malaysian Small and Medium Sized Enterprises. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 6(12).
- Mohamed, Z. A. (2014). *Public sector support for inclusive agribusiness development – An appraisal of institutional models in Malaysia*. (E. Gálvez-Nogales & M. Rankin, Eds.), *Food And Agriculture Organization Of The United Nations (FAO)*.
- Mohd Shafiai, M. H., & Moi, M. R. (2015). Financial Problems among Farmers in

- Malaysia: Islamic Agricultural Finance as a Possible Solution. *Asian Social Science*, 11(4), 1–16.
- Mohr, J. J., Sengupta, S., & Slater, S. F. (2010). *Marketing of high-technology products and innovations*. Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Moorman, C., Deshpandé, R., & Zaltman, G. (1993). Factors Affecting Trust in Market Research Relationships. *Journal of Marketing*, 57(1), 81–101.
- Morgan, Anokhin, Kretinin, & Frishammar. (2015). The dark side of the entrepreneurial orientation and market orientation interplay: A new product development perspective. *International Small Business Journal*, 33(7), 731–751.
- Morgan, N. a. (2012). Marketing and business performance. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 40(1), 102–119.
- Morgan, N. A., Vorhies, D. W., & Mason, C. H. (2009). Market Orientation, Marketing Capabilities, And Firm Performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 30, 909–920.
- Morgan, R. E. (2004). Market-Based Organisational Learning - Theoretical Reflections and Conceptual Insights. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 20(1–2), 67–103.
- Morgan, R. E., & Berthon, P. (2008). Market Orientation, Generative Learning, Innovation Strategy and Business Performance Inter-Relationships in Bioscience Firms. *Journal of Management Studies*, 45(December), 1329–1353.
- Morgan, R. E., Katsikeas, C. S., & Appiah-Adu, K. (1998). Market Orientation and Organizational Learning Capabilities. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 14(4), 353–381.
- Morgan, R. E., & Turnell, C. R. (2003). Market based Organizational Learning and Market Performance Gains. *British Journal of Management*, 14(3), 255–274.
- Morgan, R. M., & Hunt, S. (1999). Relationship-based competitive advantage: The role of relationship marketing in marketing strategy. *Journal of Business Research*, 46(1961), 281–290.
- Morgan, R. M., & Hunt, S. D. (1994). The Commitment-Trust Theory of Relationship Marketing. *Journal of Marketing*, 58(3), 20.
- Morris, M. H., Coombes, S., Schindehutte, M., & Allen, J. (2007). Antecedents and Outcomes of Entrepreneurial and Market Orientations in a Non-profit Context: Theoretical and Empirical Insights. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational*

- Studies*, 13(4), 12–39.
- Morris, Michael H., & Paul, G. W. (1987). The relationship between entrepreneurship and marketing in established firms. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 2(3), 247–259.
- Morrison, P. S., Murray, W. E., & Ngidang, D. (2006). Promoting indigenous entrepreneurship through small-scale contract farming: The poultry sector in Sarawak, Malaysia. *Singapore Journal of Tropical Geography*, 27(2), 191–206.
- Mu, J., & Di Benedetto, C. A. (2011). Strategic orientations and new product commercialization: Mediator, moderator, and interplay. *R and D Management*, 41(4), 337–359.
- Mu, J., Thomas, E., Peng, G., & Di Benedetto, A. (2017). Strategic orientation and new product development performance: The role of networking capability and networking ability. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 64, 187–201.
- Mugwagwa, I., Bijman, J., & Trienekens, J. (2019). Why do agribusiness firms simultaneously source from different contract farming arrangements? Evidence from the soybean industry in Malawi. *International Food and Agribusiness Management Review*, 22(1), 79–96.
- Muijs, D. (2010). *Doing Quantitative Research in Education with SPSS* (2nd ed.). London: SAGE Publication, Inc.
- Mwambi, M. M., Oduol, J., Mshenga, P., & Saidi, M. (2016). Does contract farming improve smallholder income? The case of avocado farmers in Kenya. *Journal of Agribusiness in Developing and Emerging Economies*, 6(1), 2–20.
- Narver, J. C., & Slater, S. F. (1990). The effect of Market Orientation on Business Profitability. *Journal of Marketing*, 54(4), 20–35.
- Narver, J C, Slater, S. F., & Tietje, B. (1998). Creating a Market Orientation. *Journal of Market-Focused Management*, 2(3), 241–255.
- Narver, John C, Slater, S. F., & MacLachlan, D. L. (2004). Responsive and Proactive Market Orientation and New Product Success. *The Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 21(5), 334–347.
- Nasution, H. N., Mavondo, F. T., Matanda, M. J., & Ndubisi, N. O. (2011). Entrepreneurship: Its relationship with market orientation and learning orientation and as antecedents to innovation and customer value. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 40(3), 336–345.
- Ndubisi, N. O. (2014). Entrepreneurship and service innovation. *Journal of Business*

- & *Industrial Marketing*, 29(6), 449–453.
- Ndubisi, N. O., & Agarwal, J. (2014). Quality Performance of SMEs in a Developing Economy: Direct and Indirect Effects of Service Innovation and Entrepreneurial Orientation. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, 29(6), 454–468.
- Ndubisi, N. O., & Iftikhar, K. (2012). Relationship between entrepreneurship, innovation and performance: Comparing small and medium-size enterprises. *Journal of Research in Marketing and Entrepreneurship*, 14(2), 214–236.
- Neely, A., Filippini, R., Forza, C., Vinelli, A., & Hii, J. (2001). A framework for analysing business performance, firm innovation and related contextual factors: perceptions of managers and policy makers in two European regions. *Integrated Manufacturing Systems*, 12(2), 114–124.
- Nelson, R. R., & Winter, S. G. (1982). The Schumpeterian Tradeoff Revisited. *The American Economic Review*, 72(1), 114–132.
- Newbert, S. L. (2007). Empirical Research on the Resource-Based View of the Firm: An Assessment and Suggestions for Future Research. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28, 121–146.
- Ngo, L. V., & O’Cass, A. (2012). In search of innovation and customer-related performance superiority: The role of market orientation, marketing capability, and innovation capability interactions. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 29(5), 861–877.
- Nguyen, A. T., Dzator, J., & Nadolny, A. (2015). Does Contract Farming Improve Productivity And Income Of Farmers ? A Review Of Theory And Evidence. In *Proceedings of the Australasian Conference on Business and Social Sciences 2015, Sydney* (pp. 341–352).
- Nieuwenhuis, L. F. M. (2002). Innovation and learning in agriculture. *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 26(6), 283–291.
- Noble, C. H., Sinha, R. K., & Kumar, A. (2002). Market Orientation and Alternative Strategic Orientations: A Longitudinal Assessment of Performance Implications. *Journal of Marketing*, 66(4), 25–39.
- Nor, N. G. M., Bhuiyan, A. B., Said, J., & Alam, S. S. (2016). Innovation barriers and risks for food processing SMEs in Malaysia : A logistic regression analysis. *Malaysian Journal of Society and Space*, 2(FEBRUARY), 167–178.
- Nyaga, G. N., Whipple, J. M., & Lynch, D. F. (2010). Examining supply chain relationships: Do buyer and supplier perspectives on collaborative relationships

- differ? *Journal of Operations Management*, 28(2), 101–114.
- Nybakk, E., Crespell, P., Hansen, E., & Lunnan, A. (2009). Antecedents to forest owner innovativeness: An investigation of the non-timber forest products and services sector. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 257(2), 608–618.
- O’Cass, A., & Sok, P. (2014). The role of intellectual resources, product innovation capability, reputational resources and marketing capability combinations in firm growth. *International Small Business Journal*, 32(8), 996–1018.
- O’Connor, G. C. (1998). Market Learning and Radical Innovation: A Cross Case Comparison of Eight Radical Innovation Projects. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*.
- O’Dwyer, M., & Ledwith, A. (2010). Size matters: Market orientation and NPD in small and large firms. *International Journal of Product Development*, 12(2), 107–125.
- Ochieng, D. O., Veetil, P. C., & Qaim, M. (2017). Farmers’ preferences for supermarket contracts in Kenya. *Food Policy*, 68, 100–111.
- Olander, H., Vanhala, M., Hurmelinna-Laukkanen, P., & Blomqvist, K. (2015). HR-related Knowledge Protection and Innovation Performance: The Moderating Effect of Trust. *Knowledge and Process Management*, 22(3), 220–233.
- Olsson, A., Wadell, C., Odenrick, P., & Bergendahl, M. N. (2010). An action learning method for increased innovation capability in organisations. *Action Learning: Research and Practice*, 7(2), 167–179.
- Omar, N. A., Md Aris, H., & Nazri, M. A. (2016). The effect of entrepreneurial orientation, innovation capability and knowledge creation on firm performance: A perspective on small scale entrepreneurs. *Jurnal Pengurusan*, 48(2016), 187–200.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2005). *OSLO Manual-Guidelines for collecting and interpreting innovation data*. OECD and Eurostat (Third). OECD Publishing.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2012). *OECD Science, Technology and Industry Outlook 2012*. OECD Publishing.
- Osman, J., Gilbert, D., Tan, C., Zainol, Z., & Jizat, J. E. M. (2015). The Significance of Intellectual Capital and Strategic Orientations on Innovation Capability and Firm Performance. In *Proceedings of the Australasian Conference on Business and Social Sciences 2015, Sydney (in partnership with The Journal of*

- Developing Areas*) (pp. 553–567).
- Osman, M. H. M., Ahmad, F. S., Rashid, M. A., & Hussain, G. (2011). Assimilating entrepreneurial orientation and market orientation dimensions in the context of women-owned small and medium sized businesses. *African Journal of Business Management*, 5(14), 5974–5983.
- Österberg, P., & Nilsson, J. (2009). Members' perception of their participation in the governance of cooperatives: The key to trust and commitment in agricultural cooperatives. *Agribusiness*, 25(2), 181–197.
- Otsuka, K., Nakano, Y., & Takahashi, K. (2016). Contract Farming in Developed and Developing Countries. *Annual Review of Resource Economics*, 8(1), 353–376.
- Oya, C. (2012). Contract Farming in Sub-Saharan Africa: A Survey of Approaches, Debates and Issues. *Journal of Agrarian Change*, 12(1), 1–33.
- Ozkaya, H. E., Droge, C., Hult, G. T. M., & Calan-, R. (2015). Market orientation, knowledge competence, and innovation. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 32(3), 309–318.
- Ozlati, S. (2015). The Moderating Effect of Trust on the Relationship between Autonomy and Knowledge Sharing: A National Multi- industry Survey of Knowledge Workers. *Knowledge and Process Management*, 22(3), 191–205.
- Özsomer, A., & Gençtürk, E. (2003). A Resource-Based Model of Market Learning in the Subsidiary: The Capabilities of Exploration and Exploitation. *Journal of International Marketing*, 11(3), 1–29.
- Park, N. K., Park, U. D., & Lee, J. (2012). Do the Performances of Innovative Firms Differ Depending on Market-oriented or Technology-oriented Strategies? *Industry & Innovation*, 19(February 2015), 391–414.
- Performance Management and Delivery Unit (PEMANDU). (2012). *Chapter 15: Transitioning from agriculture to agribusiness. Economic Transformation Programme: A Roadmap For Malaysia*. Performance Management and Delivery Unit (Pemandu).
- Peteraf, M. a. (1993). The Cornerstones of Competitive Advantage: A Resouce-Based View. *Strategic Management Journal*, 14(3 Mar.), 179–191.
- Pindado, E., & Sánchez, M. (2017). Researching the entrepreneurial behaviour of new and existing ventures in European agriculture. *Small Business Economics*, 49(2), 421–444.

- Pittaway, L., Robertson, M., Munir, K., Denyer, D., & Neely, A. (2004). *Networking and innovation: A systematic review of the evidence. International Journal of Management Reviews* (Vol. 5–6).
- Ponciano, S. I. J., Oum, S., & Simorangkir, M. J. O. (2011). Agricultural Development, Trade and Regional Cooperation in Developing East Asia. In *Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia*. Jakarta: Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia (ERIA).
- Pramono, R. B., Nimran, U., Kertahadi, & Utami, H. N. (2015). The Influence of Market Orientation and Learning Orientation on Performance through Competitive Advantage : An Empirical Study on Combined Farmer Groups of the Tidal Area in South Sumatera Province. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 7(9), 232–240.
- Prawiranegara, D., Sumardjo, Sri, D. P. L., & Harijati, S. (2015). Strengthening Role of Farmer Institution in Enhance of Innovation Capability Based on ICT in West Java Province, Indonesia. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 5(12), 128–136.
- Preacher, K. J., & Hayes, A. F. (2008). Asymptotic and resampling strategies for assessing and comparing indirect effects in multiple mediator models. *Behavior Research Methods*, 40(879–891).
- Preda, G. (2013). The Influence of Entrepreneurial Orientation and Market- based Organizational Learning on the Firm's Strategic Innovation Capability. *Management & Marketing Challenges for the Knowledge Society*, 8(4), 607–622.
- Press, M., Arnould, E. J., Murray, J. B., & Strand, K. (2014). Ideological Challenges to Changing Strategic Orientation in Commodity Agriculture. *Journal of Marketing*, 78(November), 103–119.
- Priem, R. L., & Butler, J. E. (2001). Is the Resource-Based for Strategic Management Perspective Research ? *Academy of Management Review*, 26(1), 22–40.
- Prowse, M. (2012). Contract farming in developing countries - A Review. *The A Savoir Collection*.
- Pyysiäinen, J., Anderson, A., McElwee, G., & Vesala, K. (2006). Developing the entrepreneurial skills of farmers: some myths explored. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, 12(1), 21–39.
- Qureshi, M. E., Dixon, J., & Wood, M. (2015). Public policies for improving food

- and nutrition security at different scales. *Food Security*, 393–403.
- Ragasa, C., Lambrecht, I., & Kufoalor, D. S. (2018). Limitations of Contract Farming as a Pro-poor Strategy: The Case of Maize Outgrower Schemes in Upper West Ghana. *World Development*, 102, 30–56.
- Raj, R., & Srivastava, K. B. L. (2016). Mediating role of organizational learning on the relationship between market orientation and innovativeness. *Learning Organization*, 23(5), 370–384.
- Raju, P. S., Lonial, S. C., & Crum, M. D. (2011). Market orientation in the context of SMEs: A conceptual framework. *Journal of Business Research*, 64(12), 1320–1326.
- Ramachandran, K., Devarajan, T. P., & Sougata, R. (2006). Corporate Entrepreneurship: How? *Interfaces*, 31(1), 85–97.
- Rauch, A., Wiklund, J., Lumpkin, G. T., & Frese, M. (2009). Entrepreneurial orientation and business performance: An assessment of past research and suggestions for the future. *Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice*, 33(3), 761–787.
- Rauch, A., Wiklund, J., Lumpkin, G. T., & Frese, M. (2015). Entrepreneurial Orientation and Business Performance: An Assessment of Past Research and Suggestions for the Future. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 1, 1–54.
- Reilly, M., & Scott, P. S. (2010). Dynamic capabilities, absorptive capacity and knowledge sharing: a research agenda into explicating the antecedent factors conducive to subsidiary bargaining. *Conference Paper*.
- Renko, M., Carsrud, A., & Brännback, M. (2009). The Effect of a Market Orientation, Entrepreneurial Orientation, and Technological Capability on Innovativeness: A Study of Young Biotechnology Ventures in the United States and in Scandinavia. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 47(3), 331–369.
- Repar, L. A., Onakuse, S., Bogue, J., & Afonso, A. (2018). Key challenges in Malawi's paprika supply chain: new insights into contract farming. *Development in Practice*, 28(2), 227–241.
- Rigdon, E. E., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2010). *Structural modeling of heterogeneous data with partial least squares*. (Review of marketing research, Ed.). Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Ringle, C., Sarstedt, M., & Hair, J. F. (2013). Partial least squares structural equation modeling: Rigorous applications, better results and higher acceptance. *Journal*

- of Long Range Planning*, 46(1), 1–12.
- Rosairo, H., & Potts, D. (2016). A study on entrepreneurial attitudes of upcountry vegetable farmers in Sri Lanka. *Journal of Agribusiness in Developing and Emerging Economies*, 6(1), 39–58.
- Roslin, R. M., & Melewar, T. C. (2004). Linking practices reflective of “Asian values” and relationship marketing in the grocery distribution channels in Malaysia. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 32(1), 33–44.
- Ruane, J. (2005). *Essentials of Research Methods: A Guide to Social Science Research*. Malden MASS: Blackwell Publications.
- Rubin, H. J., & Rubin, I. S. (2012). *Qualitative interviewing: the art of hearing data* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Ruekert, R. W. (1992). Developing a market orientation: An organizational strategy perspective. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 9(3), 225–245.
- Ryu, S., Min, S., & Zushi, N. (2007). The moderating role of trust in manufacturer-supplier relationships. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, 23(1), 48–58.
- Saeed, S., Yousafzai, S., & Engelen, A. (2014). On Cultural and Macroeconomic Contingencies of The Entrepreneurial Orientation- Performance Relationship. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 38(2), 255–290.
- Sahilla, A., Amir, M., Abas, N., & Idris, R. (2013). Sustaining Food Production for Food Security in Malaysia. *Journal of Economics and Development Studies*, 1(2), 19–25.
- Santos-Vijande, M. L., Sanzo-Pérez, M. J., Álvarez-González, L. I., & Vázquez-Casielles, R. (2005). Organizational learning and market orientation: interface and effects on performance. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 34(3), 187–202.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2009). *Research Methods for Business Students*. Prentice Hall.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2012). *Research methods for business students* (6th ed.). Essex: Pearson Education Limited.
- Saunders, M. N., Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2011). *Research methods for business students* (5th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Saunila, M. (2014). Innovation capability for SME success: perspectives of financial and operational performance. *Journal of Advances in Management Research*,

- 11, 163–175.
- Saunila, M. (2016). Performance measurement approach for innovation capability in SMEs. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 65(2), 162–176.
- Saunila, M., & Ukko, J. (2013). Facilitating innovation capability through performance measurement. *Management Research Review*, 36(10), 991–1010.
- Saunila, M., & Ukko, J. (2014). Intangible aspects of innovation capability in SMEs: Impacts of size and industry. *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*, 33, 32–46.
- Sekaran, U. (2003). *Research Methods for Business: A Skill-building approach* (4th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
- Sekaran, U. (2006). *Research methods for business: A skill building approach*. Wiley.com.
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2010). *Research methods for business: a skill building approach*. West Sussex, United Kingdom: John Wiley & Son Ltd.
- Sengun, A. E., & Nazli Wasti, S. (2009). Revisiting Trust and Control: Effects on Perceived Relationship Performance. *International Small Business Journal*, 27(1), 39–69.
- Seppanen, R., Blomqvist, K., & Sundqvist, S. (2007). Measuring inter-organizational trust-a critical review of the empirical research in 1990-2003. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 36(2), 249–265.
- Serin, T., Lias, M. H., Sharif, N. R., & Nazir, J. (2011). Isu dan impak dalam perladangan kontrak ayam daging di Semenanjung Malaysia. *Economic and Technology Management Review*, 6, 33–57.
- Serra, K. L. O. (2013). Market Orientation and Organizational Memory : Case Studies of Small Family Businesses in Puerto Rico. *ACRN Journal of Entrepreneurship Perspectives*, 2(1), 14–30.
- Sethibe, T., & Steyn, R. (2016). Innovation and organisational performance: A critical review of the instruments used to measure organisational performance. *The Southern African Journal of Entrepreneurship and Small Business Management*, 8(1), 1–12.
- Shaffril, H. A. M., D'Silva, J. L., Samah, B. A., Man, N., Uli, J., & Azman, A. (2012). Acceptance towards sustainable agriculture among contract farmers and its impingement factors. *American Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 8(3),

297–303.

- Shaffril, H. A. M., D'Silva, J. L., Uli, J., & Samah, B. A. (2010). Gender Issue in Contract Farming: The Case of Malaysian Students. *American Journal of Agricultural and Biological Sciences*, 5(2), 204–209.
- Shapiro, B. P. (1988). What the Hell Is 'Market Oriented?'. *Harvard Business Review*, 66(6), 1–11.
- Sharma, N. (2016). Determining Growers' Participation in Contract Farming in Punjab. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 51(2), 7–8.
- Sigala, M. (2016). Learning with the market: A market approach and framework for developing social entrepreneurship in tourism and hospitality. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 28(6), 1245–1286.
- Siguaw, J. A., Simpson, P. M., & Baker, T. L. (1998). Effects of Supplier Market Orientation on Distributor Market Orientation and the Channel Relationship: The Distributor Perspective. *Journal of Marketing*, 62(3), 99.
- Simpson, P. M., Siguaw, J. a., &ENZ, C. a. (2006). Innovation orientation outcomes: The good and the bad. *Journal of Business Research*, 59(10–11), 1133–1141.
- Singleton, R., & Straits, B. (2005). *Approaches to Social Research*. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Sinkula, J. M., Baker, W. E., & Noordewier, T. (1997). A Framework for Market-Based Organizational Learning: Linking Values, Knowledge, and Behavior. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 25(4), 305–318.
- Sinkula, James M. (1994). Market Information and Processing Organizational Learning. *Journal of Marketing*, 58(1), 35–45.
- Skarmeas, D., Lisboa, A., & Saridakis, C. (2016). Export performance as a function of market learning capabilities and intrapreneurship: SEM and FsQCA findings. *Journal of Business Research*.
- Slater, S. F., Mohr, J. J., & Sengupta, S. (2010). Market Orientation. In *Wiley International Encyclopedia of Marketing* (pp. 1–8).
- Slater, S. F., Mohr, J. J., & Sengupta, S. (2014). Radical product innovation capability: Literature review, synthesis, and illustrative research propositions. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 31(3), 552–566.
- Slater, S. F., & Narver, J. C. (1994). Does Environment Competitive Moderate the Market Orientation- Performance Relationship ? *Journal of Marketing*, 58(1), 46–55.

- Slater, S. F., & Narver, J. C. (1995). Market Orientation and the Learning Organization. *Journal of Marketing*, 59(3), 63–74.
- Slevin, D. P., & Covin, J. G. (1990). Juggling Entrepreneurial Style and Organisational Structure - How to Get Your Act Together. *Sloan Management Review*, 43–53.
- Sligo, F. X., & Massey, C. (2007). Risk, trust and knowledge networks in farmers' learning. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 23(2), 170–182.
- Sobel, M. E. (1982). *Asymptotic confidence intervals for indirect effect in structural equation models*. (S. Leinhardt, Ed.), *Sociological Methodology*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Sok, P., O'Cass, A., & Sok, K. M. (2013). Achieving superior SME performance: Overarching role of marketing, innovation, and learning capabilities. *Australasian Marketing Journal (AMJ)*, 21(3), 161–167.
- Song, M., Droge, C., Hanvanich, S., & Calantone, R. (2005). Marketing and technology resource complementarity: An analysis of their interaction effect in two environmental contexts. *Strategic Management Journal*, 26(3), 259–276.
- Spicer, D. P., & Sadler-Smith, E. (2006). Organizational Learning in Smaller Manufacturing Firms. *International Small Business Journal*, 24(2), 133–158.
- Spillan, J. E., Kara, A., King, D. O., & McGinnis, M. a. (2013). Market Orientation and Firm Performance: An Empirical Analysis of Ghanaian Microenterprises. *Journal of Global Marketing*, 26(5), 258–272.
- Squire, B., Cousins, P. D., & Brown, S. (2009). Cooperation and knowledge transfer within buyer-supplier relationships: The moderating properties of trust, relationship duration and supplier performance. *British Journal of Management*, 20(4), 461–477.
- Stam, W., & Elfring, T. (2008). Entrepreneurial orientation and new venture performance: The moderating role of intra- and extraindustry social capital. *Academy of Management Journal*, 51(1), 97–111.
- Stavroulakis, D., Karagiannis, S., Mitoula, R., & Papagrorgiou, A. (2014). Female Entrepreneurship and Agritourism Cooperatives in the Gree K Periphery : an Overview, 5(4), 129–138.
- Stenholm, P., & Hytti, U. (2014). In search of legitimacy under institutional pressures: A case study of producer and entrepreneur farmer identities. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 35, 133–142.

- Storbacka, K., & Nenonen, S. (2015). Learning with the market: Facilitating market innovation. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 44(November), 73–82.
- Storer, M., Hyland, P., Ferrer, M., Santa, R., & Griffiths, A. (2014). Strategic supply chain management factors influencing agribusiness innovation utilization. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 25(3), 487–521.
- Tamam, E., Md. Sail, R., Mohamed, Z. A., Abdullah, A. M., Hamzah, M. R., Zamree, Y., ... Zamree, Y. (2008). Are Malay Small-Scale Agro-Entrepreneurs Ready to Face Future Challenges? An Analysis of Attitudes Towards Work Values. *Pertanika Journal of Social Science and Humanities*, 16(1), 31–44.
- Tang, T.-W., Wang, M. C.-H., & Tang, Y.-Y. (2015). Developing service innovation capability in the hotel industry. *Service Business*, 9(1), 97–113.
- Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating Dynamic Capabilities: The Nature and Microfoundations of (Sustainable) Enterprise Performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 29(13), 1319–1350.
- Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509–533.
- Tippins, M. J., & Sohi, R. S. (2003). IT competency and firm performance: Is organizational learning a missing link? *Strategic Management Journal*, 24(8), 745–761.
- Ton, G., Desiere, S., Vellema, W., Weituschat, S., & D 'haese, M. (2017). *The Effectiveness of Contract Farming in Improving Smallholder Income and Food Security in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Mixed-Method Systematic Review*. *International Initiative for Impact Evaluation (3ie)*.
- Ton, G., Desiere, S., Vellema, W., Weituschat, S., & Haese, M. D. (2017). *The effectiveness of contract farming for raising income of smallholder farmers in low- and middle-income countries*.
- Ton, G., Vellema, W., Desiere, S., Weituschat, S., & D'Haese, M. (2018). Contract farming for improving smallholder incomes: What can we learn from effectiveness studies? *World Development*, 104, 46–64.
- Trong Tuan, L. (2015). Organizational social capital as a moderator for the effect of entrepreneurial orientation on competitive intelligence. *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, 25(4), 301–315.
- Tsou, H.-T., Chen, J.-S., & Liao, W.-H. (2014). Market and technology orientations for service delivery innovation: the link of innovative competence. *Journal of*

- Business & Industrial Marketing*, 29(6), 499–513.
- Tutar, H., Nart, S., & Bingöl, D. (2015). The Effects of Strategic Orientations on Innovation Capabilities and Market Performance: The Case of ASEM. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 207, 709–719.
- Tyler, T. R. (2003). Trust within organisations. *Personnel Review*, 32(5), 556–568.
- Venkatraman, N., & Ramanujam, V. (1986). Measurement of business performance in strategy research: a comparison of approaches. *The Academy of Management Review*, 11(4), 801–814.
- Verhees, F.J.H.M., Lans, T., & Verstegen, J. A. A. M. (2012). The influence of market and entrepreneurial orientation on strategic marketing choices: The cases of Dutch farmers and horticultural growers. *Journal on Chain and Network Science*, 12(2), 167–179.
- Verhees, Frans J. H. M., & Meulenbergh, M. T. G. (2004). Market Orientation, Innovativeness, Product Innovation, and Performance in Small Firms. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 42(2), 134–154.
- Vorhies, D. W., Morgan, R. E., & Autry, C. W. (2009). Product-market strategy and the marketing capabilities of the firm: Impact on market effectiveness and cash flow performance. *Strategic Management Journal*, 30, 1310–1334.
- Wales, W. J., Patel, P. C., Parida, V., & Kreiser, P. M. (2013). Nonlinear Effects of Entrepreneurial Orientation on Small Firm Performance: The Moderating Role of Resource Orchestration Capabilities. *Strategic Entrepreneurship Journal*, 7, 93–121.
- Wang, C.-H. (2015). The Impact of Market Orientation on Innovation Performance: Does Service Innovation Matter? *Journal of Business Studies Quarterly*, 6(3), 77–93.
- Wang, C., & Ahmed, P. (2004). The development and validation of the organisational innovativeness construct using confirmatory factor analysis. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 7(4), 1–20.
- Wang, C. L. (2008). Entrepreneurial orientation, learning orientation, and firm performance. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 32(4), 635–656.
- Wang, C. L., & Ahmed, P. K. (2007). Dynamic capabilities: A review and research agenda. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 9(1), 31–51.
- Wang, H. H., Wang, Y., & Delgado, M. S. (2014). The transition to modern agriculture: Contract farming in developing economies. *American Journal of*

- Agricultural Economics*, 96(5), 1257–1271.
- Wang, Z., & Cao, J. (2015). Impact of Intellectual Capital on Firm Performance : The Influence of Innovation Capability and Environmental Dynamism. *Intellectual Capital and Firm Performance*, 1–16.
- Weerawardena, J. (2003a). Exploring the role of market learning capability in competitive strategy. *European Journal of Marketing*, 37(3/4), 407–429.
- Weerawardena, J. (2003b). The role of marketing capability in innovation-based competitive strategy. *Journal of Strategic Marketing*, 11(March 2015), 15–35.
- Weerawardena, J., & O’Cass, A. (2004). Exploring the characteristics of the market-driven firms and antecedents to sustained competitive advantage. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 33(5), 419–428.
- Welter, F., & Smallbone, D. (2011). Institutional Perspectives on Entrepreneurial Behavior in Challenging Environments. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 49(1), 107–125.
- Wernerfelt, B. (1984). A Resource based view of the firm. *Strategic Management Journal*, 5(2), 171–180.
- West, M. A., & Anderson, N. R. (1996). Innovation in top management teams. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 81(6), 680–693.
- Wiklund, J. (1999). The sustainability of the entrepreneurial orientation performance relationship. *Entrepreneurship: Theory & Practice*, 24(1), 39–50.
- Wiklund, J., & Shepherd, D. (2005). Entrepreneurial orientation and small business performance: A configurational approach. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 20(1), 71–91.
- Wilson, T. (2011). *Organizational Learning, Market Orientation, Organizational Innovativeness, and Firm Performance: A Synthesis of Models and Measures. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses*. University of Washington.
- Winter, S. G. (2003). Understanding dynamic capabilities. *Strategic Management Journal*, 24(10 SPEC ISS.), 991–995.
- Wolff, J. A., Pett, T. L., & Ring, J. K. (2015). Small firm growth as a function of both learning orientation and entrepreneurial orientation: An empirical analysis. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, 21(5), 790–730.
- Wong, A. H. K. (2010). *Do Relationships with Multinational Buyers Improve the Marketing Capabilities of Suppliers in Transition Economies?*
- Wong, K. Y. (2005). Critical success factors for implementing knowledge

- management in small and medium enterprises. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 105(3), 261–279.
- Wu, W. Y., Chang, M. L., & Chen, C. W. (2008). Promoting innovation through the accumulation of intellectual capital, social capital, and entrepreneurial orientation. *R and D Management*, 38(3), 265–277.
- Wuepper, D., & Sauer, J. (2016). Explaining the performance of contract farming in Ghana: The role of self-efficacy and social capital. *Food Policy*, 62, 11–27.
- Xiao, J. (2012). *Drivers and barriers for innovation in Chinese and Dutch seed and food & beverage companies*.
- Yannopoulos, P., Auh, S., & Menguc, B. (2012). Achieving fit between learning and market orientation: Implications for new product performance. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 29(4), 531–545.
- Yi, C. H. (2010). Using ways of the trust, entrepreneurial orientation and the performance of venture enterprise. In *ICSPS 2010 - Proceedings of the 2010 2nd International Conference on Signal Processing Systems* (Vol. 3, pp. 70–73).
- Yli-Renko, H., Autio, E., & Sapienza, H. J. (2001). Social capital, knowledge acquisition, and knowledge exploitation in young technology-based firms. *Strategic Management Journal*, 22(6–7), 587–613.
- Yuan, Y., Feng, B., Lai, F., & Collins, B. J. (2018). The role of trust, commitment, and learning orientation on logistic service effectiveness. *Journal of Business Research*, 93(March 2017), 37–50.
- Yusr, M. M. (2016). Innovation capability and its role in enhancing the relationship between TQM practices and innovation performance. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 2(1), 6.
- Zaheer, A., McEvily, B., & Perrone, V. (1998). Does Trust Matter? Exploring the Effects of Interorganizational and Interpersonal Trust on Performance. *Organization Science*, 9(2), 141–159.
- Zahra, Sa, & George, G. (2002). Absorptive capacity: A review, reconceptualization, and extension. *Academy of Management Review*, 27(2), 185–203.
- Zahra, Shaker, Sapienza, H., & Davidsson. (2006). Entrepreneurship and Dynamic Capabilities: A Review, Model and Research Agenda. *Journal of Management Studies*, 43(4), 917–955.
- Zehir, C., Can, E., & Karaboga, T. (2015). Linking Entrepreneurial Orientation to Firm Performance: The Role of Differentiation Strategy and Innovation

- Performance. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 210, 358–367.
- Zehir, C., Köle, M., & Yıldız, H. (2015). The Mediating Role of Innovation Capability on Market Orientation and Export Performance: An Implementation on SMEs in Turkey. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 207, 700–708.
- Zhang, D. (2008). *Integration of Market and Entrepreneurial Orientations*. PhD Proposal. University of Manitoba.
- Zhang, H., Wu, F., & Cui, A. S. (2015). Balancing Market Exploration and Market Exploitation in Product Innovation: A Contingency Perspective. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 32(3), 297–308.
- Zhang, J., & Duan, Y. (2010a). Empirical study on the impact of market orientation and innovation orientation on new product performance of Chinese manufacturers. *Nankai Business Review International*, 1(2), 214–231.
- Zhang, J., & Duan, Y. (2010b). The impact of different types of market orientation on product innovation performance. *Management Decision*, 48(6), 849–867.
- Zhang, Y., & Liu, D. (2010). Public R&D Subsidies, Firm Innovation and Firm Performance —Empirical evidence from Listed Companies in China's SME Board. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on E-Business and E-Government, ICEE 2010* (pp. 1206–1209).
- Zhao, X., Lynch Jr., J. G., & Chen, Q. (2010). Reconsidering Baron and Kenny: Myths and Truths about Mediation Analysis. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 37(2), 197–206.
- Zhou, K.Z., Yim, C. K., & Tse, D. K. (2005). The Effects of Strategic Orientations on Technology- and Market-Based Breakthrough Innovations. *Journal of Marketing*, 69(2), 42–60.
- Zhou, Kevin Zheng, Brown, J. R., & Dev, C. S. (2009). Market orientation, competitive advantage, and performance: A demand-based. *Journal of Business Research*, 62(11), 1063–1070.
- Zhou, L., Wu, W., & Luo, X. (2007). Internationalization and the performance of born-global SMEs: the mediating role of social networks. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 38(4), 673–690.
- Zilberman, D., Lu, L., & Reardon, T. (2019). Innovation-induced food supply chain design. *Food Policy*, 83, 289–297.
- Zollo, M., & Winter, S. G. (2002). Deliberate Learning and the Evolution of Dynamic Capabilities. *Organization Science*, 13(3), 339–351.

Zulkifli, S. N. 'Atikah, & Perera, N. (2011). A Literature Analysis on Business Performance for SMEs – Subjective or Objective Measures? *SIBR Conference on Interdisciplinary Business and Economics Research*, 1–9.