

LIGHTING SIMULATION OF ENERGY SAVING FOR REST AND SERVICE  
AREA IN MALAYSIA

MONH NEARDEY

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Philosophy

School of Civil Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2022

## DEDICATION

*Special dedication to my mom, lover and best friends*

For their endless love, support and encouragement

You know who you are. Thank you so much

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor, Dr. Eeydzah Aminudin, for encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship. I am also very thankful to my co-supervisor Professor. Ir. Dr Rosli Mohammad Zin and Associate Professor Dr. Rozana Zakaria for their guidance, advices and motivation. Without their continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

I am deeply thankful and grateful to AUN-SEED Net by JICA for the opportunity and full fund scholarship support for my study.

My peer postgraduate student should also be recognised for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. I am grateful to all my family member.

## ABSTRACT

Rest and Service Areas (RSA) is to provide a refreshing feeling for traveller to relax and rest. However, it is reported that a large amount of electrical energy was consumed every day at RSAs which reported almost 250 kW/h in a day. Reducing the amount of end-use energy and enhancing energy efficiency in buildings are essential strategies to reduce the carbon emission. Most energy efficiency can be applied for retrofitting purposes such as advancing the electrical appliances, yet less effort of energy saving has been introduced to improve the energy performance at RSA which also known as semi-open space building. Semi-structure interview was conducted among the concessionaire for Malaysia Highway Authority (MHA), and as a result RSA Ayer Keroh located at the North bound highway consumes the highest energy. Hence, this study aims to improve building energy performance at RSA Ayer Keroh Northbound by using quantitative study and energy-saving simulation as retrofitting measures. To achieve this aim four objectives have been lined up; the first objective is to identify the significant factors affecting energy consumption in building for retrofitting initiative, second is determination of existing building energy index and lighting performance at RSA. The third is an investigation of existing lighting characteristic for proposing lighting retrofitting scenarios in reducing energy consumption at RSA. Finally, the evaluation on the optimum lighting energy saving based on the proposed lighting scenarios were identified using DesignBuilder. The process begins with a comprehensive literature review in identifying the most appropriate variables for factor affecting energy consumption for RSA followed by a survey questionnaires method to identify the level of significance of each variable. Factor analysis was performed to reduce the numbers of variables on energy consumption and to prioritize the level of its importance. The results from the factor analysis optimized retrofitting measures, where the end-use factor is the most dominant factor with weightage 49% and indicated that the artificial lighting combined with daylight harvesting was selected as most significant in proposing the energy-saving method. Based on the results, three lighting simulation scenarios were proposed. Scenario 1 is the baseline of the case study in which the light is assumed to be ON for 24 hours, scenario 2 is to reduce the amount of luminaire in the existing layout of Ayer Keroh RSA and scenario 3 is reducing the time operation by using the timer devices. The step in predicting the energy-saving from the lighting control scenarios begins with the use of Autodesk Revit software to model the architectural template and MEP template for the building. Timer effect was modelled in DesignBuilder software by creating a new schedule template. The simulation individually was performed on each scenario of lighting to predict the energy consumption. The results showed a high opportunity of energy-saving with 24% and 42 % total annual lighting load reduction respectively for scenario 2 and 3. Besides that, the results were estimated about RM 84,000 annually can be saved from electricity cost and reduced about 10 metric tonnes of carbon emission.

## ABSTRAK

Kawasan Rehat dan Rawat (RSA) adalah bertujuan memberikan kesegaran kepada pengunjung untuk beristirahat. Namun, tenaga elektrik yang digunakan di Kawasan Rehat dan Rawat adalah sebanyak 250kW/h sehari kerana perkhidmatan secara 24 jam oleh pengguna lebuh raya. Pengurangan jumlah tenaga melalui pengurangan jumlah penggunaan akhir dan penggunaan kecekapan tenaga di bangunan adalah strategi penting untuk mengurangkan pelepasan karbon. Sebilangan besar kecekapan tenaga telah diterapkan melalui cara pemasangan, namun indikator pengurangan tenaga kurang diperkenalkan bagi meningkatkan prestasi penggunaan tenaga di RSA. *Semi-structure interview* telah dijalankan dikalangan syarikat konsesi Lembaga Lebuhraya Malaysia (MLA). Kawasan RSA Ayer Keroh yang terletak di bahagian lebuh raya sempadan utara didapati antara yang menggunakan tenaga elektrik yang tertinggi. Oleh itu, kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengenal pasti amalan kecekapan tenaga terbaik untuk kawasan RSA Ayer Keroh Arah Utara dengan menggunakan kajian kuantitatif dan simulasi penjimatan tenaga sebagai langkah baik pulih. Untuk mencapai tujuan ini, empat objektif telah disenaraikan; objektif pertama adalah untuk menentukan tahap signifikan pada faktor yang mempengaruhi penggunaan tenaga, kedua adalah menentukan indeks tenaga bangunan dan prestasi dalaman yang ada di Kawasan RSA. Ketiga, ciri pencahayaan penyelidikan bagi mencadangkan senario pemasangan lampu yang terbaik bagi mengurangkan penggunaan tenaga di Kawasan Rehat dan Rawat. Akhirnya, simulasi berdasarkan tiga senario kecekapan tenaga pencahayaan dijalankan dengan menggunakan 'DesignBuilder' bagi mengenal pasti penjimatan tenaga yang terbaik. Prosesnya tinjauan literatur yang komprehensif telah dijalankan bagi mengenal pasti pemboleh ubah yang sesuai untuk faktor yang mempengaruhi penggunaan tenaga di Kawasan RSA diikuti dengan kaedah soal selidik tinjauan untuk mengenal pasti tahap signifikan setiap pemboleh ubah. Analisis faktor telah dikenalpasti bagi mengurangkan bilangan pemboleh ubah mengikut kepada penggunaan tenaga dan tahap kepentingannya. Hasil dari analisis faktor langkah-langkah pemasangan merupakan faktor dominan, dengan bacaan 49% dan cadangan melalui cara pencahayaan buatan yang digabungkan dengan penuaian pencahayaan disiang hari adalah paling signifikan didalam bagi kaedah penjimatan tenaga. Selain daripada itu, tiga senario simulasi pencahayaan telah dicadangkan. Senario 1 adalah garis dasar kajian kes yang lampu dianggap menyala selama 24 jam, senario 2 adalah mengurangkan jumlah lampu dalam susun atur yang ada pada RSA Ayer Keroh dan senario 3 adalah mengurangkan operasi waktu dengan menggunakan alat permasa. Bagi meramal penjimatan tenaga ini, Perisian AutoDesk Revit dengan memodelkan bangunan RSA menggunakan templat MEP telah direka. Kesan pemasangan dimodelkan dalam perisian 'DesignBuilder' dengan meletakkan ramalan templat masa. Kemudian 'DesignBuilder' akan menjalankan simulasi secara berasingan pada setiap senario pencahayaan bagi meramalkan penggunaan tenaga. Hasil dari tiga simulasi kawalan pencahayaan dari perisian 'DesignBuilder' menunjukkan peluang penjimatan tenaga yang tinggi dengan pengurangan beban pencahayaan tahunan sebanyak 24% dan 42%, masing-masing bagi senario 2 dan 3. Selain itu, keputusan menunjukkan sebanyak RM 84,000 berjaya dijitamkan setiap tahun dari kos elektrik dan ini dapat menjimatkan 10 metrik tan pelepasan karbon.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>viii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xiii</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xv</b>
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xix</b>
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xx</b>
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xxi</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Background of Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Research gap	5
	1.4 Research Aim and objectives	6
	1.5 Scope of Study	6
	1.6 Significant of Study	7
	1.7 Organization of the Thesis	8
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>11</b>
	2.1 Introduction	11
	2.2 Overview of world energy consumption	14
	2.2.1 Building Energy Consumption	16
	2.2.2 Influence of Energy consumption versus Green Building	18
	2.3 Green Building Assessment Tools	21

2.4	Energy Efficiency in Buildings	22
2.5	Sustainable Rest and Service Areas (RSAs)	24
2.6	Factors Affecting Energy Consumption in non-residential Building	24
2.6.1	Passive design factor affecting energy consumption in RSA building	26
2.6.2	Non-design factor affecting energy consumption in RSA building	30
2.6.3	End-Use (Lighting and Fan appliances)	33
2.6.4	Renewable energy initiatives	38
2.6.5	Malaysia Standard 1525 (MS1525) for Energy Efficiency in non-residential buildings	45
2.6.6	Building Energy Index	46
2.7	Building Retrofitting Theory	46
2.7.1	Retrofitting Technology	48
2.8	Building Information Modelling (BIM)	49
2.8.1	BIM and Building Energy Model (BEM)	51
2.8.2	BIM and Building Energy Performance Simulation	53
2.9	Simulation on lighting control technology	58
2.9.1	Lighting control methods	59
2.10	Summary	60
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>63</b>
3.1	Introduction	63
3.2	Research Design & Procedure	63
3.2.1	Data Collection Technique-Survey	69
3.2.2	Questionnaire Survey Development	69
3.2.3	Elements of Factors Affecting Energy Consumption in Non-Residential Buildings	72
3.2.4	Identify Factors/Sub-Factors Affecting Energy Consumption in Non-residential Buildings	73
3.2.5	Identify the Level of Significant of Factor Affecting Energy Consumption in Building for Retrofitting Initiative in RSA Ayer Keroh	74
3.2.6	Sampling size	74

3.2.6.1	Methods of Selecting Sample Size	76
3.2.7	Questionnaire Analysis	78
3.2.7.1	Frequency Analysis	78
3.2.7.2	Reliability Analysis	79
3.2.7.3	Mean Average Index	80
3.2.7.4	KMO & Bartlett Test	81
3.2.7.5	Factor Analysis (FA)	81
3.2.8	Case Study	84
3.2.9	Simulation of the Case Study to Determine the BEI and Indoor Performance	85
3.2.10	Simulation on Lighting Performance by RELUX Desktop to Propose Retrofitting Scenario	88
3.2.11	Simulation on the Lighting Retrofitting Scenarios by DesignBuilder Software	93
3.2.11.1	Simulation on Lighting Energy Consumption of Existing Scenario (Scenario 1)	96
3.2.11.2	Simulation on Lighting Energy Consumption of Scenario 2	98
3.2.11.3	Simulation on Lighting Energy Consumption of Scenario 3	98
3.3	Summary	103
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>RESULT AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>105</b>
4.1	Introduction	105
4.1.1	Background of Respondents	105
4.1.2	Education Level	107
4.1.3	Type of Profession	107
4.1.4	Involvement in Green development	108
4.1.5	Reliability Analysis	108
4.1.6	Descriptive Statistic	109
4.1.6.1	Design Factor	110
4.1.6.2	Non-Design Factor	111
4.1.6.3	Electrical Appliance (End-Use)	112



4.1.6.4	Renewable Technology	114
4.1.7	Factor Analysis	120
4.1.7.1	Design Factor	121
4.1.7.2	Non-Design Factor	123
4.1.7.3	Lighting Factor	124
4.1.7.4	Renewable Technology	126
4.1.8	The Critical Variables for Retrofitting Initiative	130
4.2	Determine the Existing Building Energy Index and Indoor Performance at RSA.	130
4.2.1	Case study of energy consumption at RSA Ayer Keroh Northbound	131
4.2.1.1	Weather data analysis on case study's location	135
4.2.2	RSA's Building Modeling Case Study	137
4.2.3	Benchmark Building Energy Performance from Autodesk-Revit Insight 360 Plugin Tool	140
4.3	Investigating Lighting Characteristic to Propose Lighting Retrofitting Scenarios for Reducing Energy Consumption.	142
4.3.1	Existing Daylighting and Artificial Lighting Profile for from RELUX Desktop	143
4.3.2	Existing Daylighting and Artificial Lighting Illuminance	144
4.3.3	Daylighting and Artificial Lighting Profile of Propose Scenario	148
4.3.4	Proposing Lighting Energy Efficiency Scenario for Retrofitting Initiative at RSA	151
4.4	Simulate on Three Lighting Retrofitting Scenarios by using DesignBuilder for Energy Saving Purpose	152
4.4.1	RSA Ayer Keroh Building Energy Analytical Model	152
4.4.2	Lighting Energy Consumption for Scenario 1	154
4.4.2.1	Daily Energy Consumption for Scenario 1	155
4.4.2.2	Monthly Energy Consumption for Scenario 1	156

4.4.2.3	Annual Energy Consumption for Scenario 1	156
4.4.3	Lighting Energy Consumption for Scenario 2	157
4.4.3.1	Daily Energy Consumption for Scenario 2	158
4.4.3.2	Monthly and Annually Lighting Load for Scenario 2	159
4.4.3.3	Annual Lighting Load for Scenario 2	159
4.4.4	Lighting energy consumption for Scenario 3	160
4.4.4.1	Daily Energy Consumption for Scenario 3	161
4.4.4.2	Monthly Energy Consumption for Scenario 3	162
4.4.4.3	Annual Energy Consumption for Scenario 3	163
4.4.5	Comparing energy consumption from all the 3 proposed scenarios	164
4.4.6	Comparing building energy index from proposed scenarios	167
4.4.6.1	Carbon Footprint Emission Reduction	168
4.4.6.2	Electricity Cost Reduction	169
4.4.7	Summary	170
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>173</b>
5.1	Introduction	173
5.2	Achievement of Objectives	174
5.3	Research Limitations	177
5.4	Recommendations for Future Research	178
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>181</b>
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>		<b>201</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Table 2.1	Main targets of sustainable development by (John et al, 2005)	21
Table 2.2	Green Building assessment tool	22
Table 2.3	Factor affecting energy consumption by (Aun, 2009)	26
Table 2.4	Design Variables Affecting Energy Consumption for building	28
Table 2.5	Summary of findings of Design-factor elements review	30
Table 2.6	Variables and elements of Non-design factor affecting energy consumption in building	31
Table 2.7	Summary of findings of Non-Design factor elements review	33
Table 2.8	Ceiling fan variable affecting energy consumption	34
Table 2.9	Lighting Design Variable Affecting Energy Consumption	35
Table 2.10	Summary of findings of End-use factor elements review	37
Table 2.11	Variables and elements of solar photovoltaic factor affecting energy consumption in building.	38
Table 2.12	Wind Turbine for Renewable Energy	41
Table 2.13	Summary of findings of Renewable Energy Initiative factor elements review	43
Table 3.1	Operation for archieving each objectives	68
Table 3.2	Scale and Label Indication	72
Table 3.3	The Reliability Value for Cronbach's Alpha (Gliem, 2003)	79
Table 3.4	Comparing the three (3) types of layout luminaire characteristic	91
Table 4.1	Respondent's educational level	107
Table 4.2	Respondents types of profession	107
Table 4.3	Experience of respondents in Green Development	108
Table 4.4	Result of Reliability Test using Cronbach's Alpha	109

Table 4.5	Descriptive Statistic for Design factor	110
Table 4.6	Descriptive Statistic for Non-Design factor	111
Table 4.7	Mean value for Lighting	113
Table 4.8	Mean value for Fan design	114
Table 4.9	Mean value for Solar Photovoltaic	115
Table 4.10	Mean value of Wind Turbine	116
Table 4.11	Ranking mean value according to the factor	117
Table 4.12	Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin and Barlett's Test of Sphericity for Design Factor	122
Table 4.13	Factor Scoring Analysis for Variables in Design Factor	122
Table 4.14	Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin and Barlett's Test of Sphericity for Non-Design Factor	123
Table 4.15	Factor Scoring Analysis for Non-design factor	123
Table 4.16	Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin and Barlett's Test of Sphericity for Lighting Design	124
Table 4.17	Factor Scoring Analysis for Lighting Design Variables	124
Table 4.18	Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin and Barlett's Test of Sphericity for Fan Design	125
Table 4.19	Factor Scoring Analysis for Fan Design Factor	125
Table 4.20	Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin and Barlett's Test of Sphericity for Solar Photovoltaic Factor	126
Table 4.21	Factor Scoring Analysis for Variables of Solar Photovoltaic	126
Table 4.22	Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin and Barlett's Test of Sphericity for Wind Turbines Factor	127
Table 4.23	Factor Scoring Analysis for Variables on Wind Turbines	128
Table 4.24	Average weightage and percentage Average Weightage	128
Table 4.25	Lighting Scenario of Retrofitting Initiative for RSA Ayer Keroh	152

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Figure 2.1	Theoretical Framework of Literature Review	13
Figure 2.2	Global Sectorial CO <sub>2</sub> emission in 2011 (Source IEA)	14
Figure 2.3	Percentage of energy consumption globally (Nejat et al, 2015)	15
Figure 2.4	World energy consumption and CO <sub>2</sub> emission (IEA, 2018)	16
Figure 2.5	Residential energy consumption in the 10 largest emitters in 2000 & 2011	17
Figure 2.6	net ZEB balance concept (Sartori et al., 2012)	20
Figure 2.7	Retrofitting Technology Categories Developed by (Ma et al., 2012)	49
Figure 2.8	Different definitions for BIM (Akadiri et al., 2013)	50
Figure 2.9	Digital tools used to manage different phases of analysis process (Iv, 2020)	51
Figure 2.10	Energy results comparison between BEM software and actual results (energy bill) (Iv, 2020)	52
Figure 2.11	Spider diagram for evaluation of the BIM to BEM workflows (Iv, 2020)	53
Figure 2.12	Procedure diagram for exchange information between BIM and EnergyPlus. (Pinheiro et al., 2018)	55
Figure 2.13	Framework for energy simulation using plugin design tools (Han et al., 2018)	56
Figure 2.14	The lists for some BPS tools and third-party BPS tools (Han et al., 2018)	57
Figure 2.15	Flowchart of methodology for occupancy behavior simulation proposed by (Zhu et al, 2017)	58
Figure 2.16	Smart indoor lighting system (a) centralized control and (b) distributed control (Pandharipande & Caicedo, 2015)	60
Figure 3.1	Operational Framework	67
Figure 3.2	Step for Reliability Analysis in SPSS	80
Figure 3.3	Energy Setting Dialog in AutoDesk Revit	87

Figure 3.4	Interface of Insight 360 Plugin Tool from AutoDesk Revit	88
Figure 3.5	Energy Simulation Workflow for the Case Study	88
Figure 3.6	Existing Luminaire Distribution Modelled by RELUX	89
Figure 3.7	Propose Luminaire Distribution Type (a) Modelled by RELUX	90
Figure 3.8	Propose Luminaire Distribution Type (b) Modelled by RELUX	91
Figure 3.9	Illuminance of view of proposed layout type (a) by RELUX desktop	92
Figure 3.10	Overall transition flow of energy simulation	93
Figure 3.11	Methods of Exporting Revit Models to DesignBuilder by (DesignBuilder, 2018)	95
Figure 3.12	Lighting template and lighting schedule modelled in DesignBuilder.	97
Figure 3.13	Process map for data exchange and simulation flow between Revit and DesignBuilder in this study	102
Figure 4.1	Group photo with all the respondents	106
Figure 4.2	The weightage of Factor Affecting Energy Consumption in RSA Ayer Keroh.	129
Figure 4.3	Electrical distribution in average of RSA Ayer Keroh	132
Figure 4.4	Monthly energy consumption for 3 years' period	133
Figure 4.5	Specification of Lighting Equipped in RSA Ayer Keroh Dining Area (NIKKON, 2017)	134
Figure 4.6	Building Energy Index for 3 years report in Ayer Keroh RSA	134
Figure 4.7	Site geographical data from DesignBuilder simulation result	136
Figure 4.8	Ayer Keroh food court building architecture model in Autodesk Revit 2018	137
Figure 4.9	Lighting Properties Surface from AutoDesk Revit M&E Template	138
Figure 4.10	Ayer Keroh Dining area layout model in Autodesk Revit 2018 M&E Template	139
Figure 4.11	Electrical Model of the Case Study Building by AutoDesk Revit	139

Figure 4.12	Building Energy Index Benchmark and Performance Cost Index from Insight 360	141
Figure 4.13	Average Lighting Consumption per Unit Floor Area from Insight 360	141
Figure 4.14	Cost of Lighting Consumption per Meter Square per Year by Insight 360	142
Figure 4.15	Artificial and daylight profile in 3D pseudo colors from RELUX	144
Figure 4.16	3D luminance view for dining area of Rest and Service Area from RELUX	145
Figure 4.17	Lighting Contour and lighting position from RELUX	146
Figure 4.18	Illuminance (lux) value in tabulate form from RELUX	147
Figure 4.19	3D Mountain Plot for Daylight Factor and Artificial Lighting	148
Figure 4.20	Artificial and daylight profile of propose scenario in 3D pseudo colors	149
Figure 4.21	Illuminance (lux) value from the propose scenario in tabulate form	149
Figure 4.22	3D Mountain Plot for Daylight Factor and Artificial Lighting of Propose Scenario	150
Figure 4.23	Energy Setting Dialog Surface from Autodesk Revit 2018	153
Figure 4.24	Energy Analytical Model (EAM) of Food Court Building in RSA Ayer Keroh.	154
Figure 4.25	Daily energy consumption simulation for scenario 1	155
Figure 4.26	Monthly Lighting Energy Consumption for Scenario 1	156
Figure 4.27	The annual energy consumption breakdown of scenario 1	157
Figure 4.28	Daily Lighting Load for Scenario 2	158
Figure 4.29	Monthly Lighting Electrical Consumption for Scenario 2	159
Figure 4.30	Annual Electrical Lighting for Scenario 2	160
Figure 4.31	Daily Lighting Energy Consumption for Scenario 3	162
Figure 4.32	Lighting Energy Consumption Monthly for Scenario 3	163
Figure 4.33	Annual Lighting energy consumption for scenario 3	164
Figure 4.34	Daily lighting consumption from proposed 3 scenarios	165
Figure 4.35	Monthly lighting load from proposed 3 scenarios	166

Figure 4.36	Annual lighting load from the proposed 3 scenarios	166
Figure 4.37	Building energy index from 3 proposed retrofitting scenarios	167
Figure 4.38	Annual Carbon Footprint Equivalent Reduction from the Lighting Retrofit	168
Figure 4.39	Annual Electricity Cost Reduction from Retrofitting	1



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AM	-	Analytical Model
BEI	-	Building Energy Index
BIM	-	Building Information Modelling
BEM	-	Building Energy Model
BEPS	-	Building Energy Performance Simulation
EPBD	-	Energy Performance Building Directives
EAM	-	Energy Analytical Model
FA	-	Factor Analysis
FS	-	Factor Score
GBCA	-	Green Building Council Australia
GHG	-	Green House Gas
IEA	-	International Energy Agency
IPCC	-	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
LED	-	Light Emitting Diode
LEED	-	Leadership in Energy and Environment Design
NZEB	-	Net Zero Energy Building
NZEH	-	Net Zero Energy Home
SDGs	-	Sustainable Development Goals
SPSS	-	Statistical Packages for Social Study
UTM	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
XML	-	Extensible Markup Language

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

CO <sub>2</sub>	-	Carbon Dioxide
kW	-	Kilowatt
kWh	-	Kilowatt hour
kBtu	-	Kilowatt British Thermal Unit
kWh/m <sup>2</sup> /year	-	Kilowatt hour per meter square per year
m <sup>2</sup>	-	Meter square
P	-	Baelett's Test of Sphericity
W	-	Watt
°C	-	Degree Celcius
RM	-	Ringgit Malaysia

## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Appendix A	Questionnaire	167

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background of Study

As the world energy consumption is becoming inadequate, building energy efficiency and energy conservation is a rising topic for all researchers around the globe (T. Zhu et al., 2017). Reducing the amount of energy consumption in a building is a compulsory job to mitigate the relevant amount of Greenhouse Gases (GHG). The small building is relatively low in energy consumption when compared to a huge or high-rise building due to a large amount of electrical appliance. However, some small building provides service in 24 hours, which results in high energy consumption due to the huge amounts of electrical appliances in the building (Krem, 2012). Rest and Service Areas, also are known as RSAs or R&R are provided for passenger's stop points during a long journey of travelling (Farinee & Rozana, 2012). RSAs can be found in every 80 kilometres or 100 kilometres distance of travelling and provided multiple facilities such as restaurant, toilet, pray room, petrol station, children's playground, ATMs, and public telephone. There is a total of 24 RSAs can be found in PLUS highway in both Northbound and Southbound Malaysia (Chester, 2014). All the activities use to service in these areas are categorized into operation energy for highway energy consumption (Farinee & Rozana, 2012). This end-use energy consumption is enormous and emitted a related amount of carbon emission. The reduction of energy use in building RSAs can be made by energy efficiency practices such as refurbishment and the use of renewable sources is the root to achieve the reduction in fossil fuel consumption and Greenhouse Gas emission (Ferrari & Beccali, 2017). Energy-efficient measure for the refurbishment of one existing building varies from one another due to the different characteristic of each building such as its function, service provided, and the operation hours itself.

According to (N. Abidin, 2019) refurbishment or retrofitting decision making criteria of the existing building comprise of lean energy, green technology, and clean energy. The lean energy retrofitting potential includes daylighting (side lighting), daylighting (roof lights), building orientation, building massing, building shading devices, plant shading, green roof, green wall, glazing, thermal mass, wind-ventilation (cross ventilation), and wind ventilation (stack ventilation). While green technology retrofitting is the adoption of current technology to the existing equipment, machinery and appliances. And clean energy is the consideration of renewable energy such as solar photovoltaic, wind turbine, and electric hydropower. Numerous studies have been carried out to improve energy efficiency and energy conservation through the retrofitting decision. In recent years, the magnitude of energy consumption in buildings seems to crest from the normal demand and that has to be carefully addressed through implementing energy conservative and energy management techniques (Parameshwaran et al., 2012). Parameshwaran et al., (2012) emphasized that the awareness of energy end-user is important as other categories of global total energy consumption which consists of economic growth, pricing nature, and type of region. Thus, the end-user awareness for energy conservation is one of the most crucial parts of to improve energy performance.

Energy efficiency refers to the amount of energy required to generate or produce the desire to end products (Parameshwaran et al., 2012). In other words, energy efficiency is a parameter that indicates the minimum level of energy usage for performing an associate task and that largely depends on the state-of-the-art technology and production processes. The state of the art of technology can be referred to as retrofitting technology, which retrofitting technology scenarios have cover three important aspects such as economics, environmental, and social (Najihah et al., 2015). However, the retrofitting project has some challenges in terms of the expensive cost of instalment and the long-term payback period (Tahir et al., 2016). To make a decision for retrofitting, there are some important steps to be conducted such as identifying the characteristics of buildings and energy auditing. While energy conservative is more to identifying the energy management techniques that would reduce the demand for energy (Parameshwaran et al., 2012). Energy auditing is part of the energy conservative techniques, the investigation of the energy usage in a certain place or area, in which it shows a clear image of energy consumption in building and cost. It

also plays a crucial part in the early stage of the refurbishment program to determine the potential's spot of energy-saving and building energy performance (Ma et al., 2012).

Energy conservation and energy efficiency practice by critical considered on the selection of retrofitting methods in RSAs building is a key strategy to reduce the amount of energy-consuming. Thus, this study focuses on the refurbishment strategy on one selected RSA building along the Malaysian's Highway by critically analysing the feasibility of potential energy saving for energy efficiency purpose.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

RSAs service is to provide a refreshing feeling for the traveller to relax and rest (Binti & Zakaria, 2012) and in term of operation, the services provided in 24 hours to traveller along the highway. RSA which consumed lots of energy inefficiency has the opportunity to implement energy efficiency to encourage energy conservation of users. Huge energy was consumed every day at Rest and Service Areas such as artificial lighting, water consumption and HVAC (Heating, ventilation, and air conditioning) system. However, user awareness of energy-saving is arguable and there are opportunities for appropriate behaviours toward energy using at RSAs, which can be improved. For example, the fan and lighting in the common dining area are operating almost 24 hours a day even there is no traveller in the areas without proper energy conservative manner or advance lighting and fan sensor system to reduce the energy demand (Farinee & Rozana, 2012). Thus, this end-user behaviour causes a huge amount of energy wastage daily at RSA which demand a proper retrofitting measure.

Previous research conducted by (Farinee & Rozana, 2012) determined the potential of retrofitting of RSAs at highway Malaysia by analysing the energy consumption and seeking the cause of energy wastage. The study found out that there are some activities regarding to energy wastage at RSAs Pagoh (North and South), Machap (South) and Gelang Patah (North and South). The three main causes that leads to energy wastage are first is spotlight was not efficient at the parking area and

secondly fan is operating even there are no occupants in the areas. Thirdly, artificial lighting is operation hours. The results of the study show energy can be significant save by reducing the number of air-conditioners at Machap Northbound and retrofitting on a variable refrigerate flow system on the HVAC system. Equipment retrofitting such as LED lighting and dimming system also helps in reducing the amount of energy use and improving energy performance.

A preliminary study on the problem has been conducted by a semi-interview with high way authority who responsible for managing Rest and Service Areas for energy auditing purposes. The interview is to figure out the current status of energy consumption and energy behaviour at RSAs Ayer Keroh Northbound. The results from the preliminary study showed that the Building Energy Index (BEI) at RSAs such as Ayer Keroh is a range between 290 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/year to 320 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/year from 2015 to 2017 which lighting consumption is the dominant contribution to the overall energy consumption. In Malaysia Standard for energy efficiency and use of renewable energy for non-residential building (Malaysia & Standard, 2012), BEI of office building range of 200 to 250 kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/year and BEI is commonly used for comparing energy used in building. As a result of fact, the higher number of BEI simply means that the building is consumed a high amount of energy and there is room to improve energy performance to eliminate the energy wastage.

Hence, there is still a lack of studies that are associated with energy efficiency focusing on energy simulation at highway RSA for reducing energy load. A few studies conducted on RSA retrofitting strategies; the outcome of the studies only show the potential retrofitting process. This study will focus specifically on energy consumption and analysis at RSA Ayer Keroh Northbound by seeking building energy efficiency measure, which is suitable for refurbishment toward a better energy performance.

### 1.3 Research gap

As the world population keeps rising, the energy demand is becoming the world most challenging issue, which consequently creates a rising topic for all researchers around the globe (T. Zhu et al., 2017). RSA service is provided 24/7 resulting in a large amount of energy consumption consumed daily. RSA Ayer Keroh is situated at KM 205.1 along PLUS Expressways, Alor Gajah, Melaka. This food court's building of RSA can be categorized as a semi-open space building due to its building envelop characteristic.

This public facility is built as part of the development of the Interstate Highway system and as a result, many are reaching the end of their useful life or require renovations. This ageing facility contributes to poor energy performance and rising operational costs of the highway sector (Hirsch, 2014). Even though there are few studies that focus on the retrofitting measure for RSA, but there is still a lack of study that emphasizes building energy simulation. Modernizing the retrofitting measure by using computerized simulation to predict energy saving is a compulsory task for maintaining the facility performance and to find the optimum measure for energy saving (Ascione *et al.*, 2017).

Thus, building energy simulation (BES) is needed to performed building energy calibration for RSA and seeking the best retrofitting measure. Building Information Modelling (BIM) has a direct connection with energy modelling which allow the potential solution for energy efficiency and also enables the process of preparing building energy performance simulation (BEPS). This study will be introducing the building energy performance simulations (BEPS) in RSA Ayer Keroh for the first time to find the optimum energy saving.



#### **1.4 Research Aim and objectives**

This study aims to improve building energy performance at Rest and Service Area Ayer Keroh Northbound by analysing the building retrofitting scenarios. The objectives of the research are:

1. To identify significant factors affecting energy consumption in building to retrofitting initiative in RSA Ayer Keroh.
2. To determine the existing building energy index and lighting performance at RSA.
3. To investigate the existing lighting characteristic for proposing lighting retrofitting scenario.
4. To evaluate the optimum lighting energy saving based on the proposed lighting scenarios by using DesignBuilder.

#### **1.5 Scope of Study**

The scope of this study is to focus on the method to save lighting consumption for open space building specifically RSA in Malaysia. The objectives of this study are achieved by taking into consideration the scope of data collection as listed below. Rest and Service Area Ayer Keroh northbound was selected as the case study due to the lack of energy management practice in the area. The preliminary study revealed that the energy conservation practice in the building is relatively low which leads to energy wastage. The energy efficiency strategy is proposed during the operation period of RSA for reducing the operation cost of the Highway sector and also increase building performance for this public service facility.

The limitation of the questionnaire survey conducted is with the sample size as

it is limited based on the registration of professional engineers. The questionnaire was distributed only to engineers and experts who working under highway contractor companies. The experience involved with green development is the crucial key to the answer to the questionnaire. The respondent's selection is also based on their knowledge and involvement in green building and energy efficiency technology. This research aim is to find an appropriate energy-efficient strategy based on the result achieved from the questionnaire analysis combined with the computerized-simulation to define the building energy performance.

The data set was therefore analysed by using SPSS software for statistical study and factor analysis is the main method to define the significant factor affecting energy consumption in RSA building. The factor analysis conducted on the variables that affecting energy consumption which covers design factor, non-design factor, end-use and renewable technology which gather from the literature review. Therefore, the result from factor analysis will eliminate the less significant variables and prioritize the variable, which is significant for energy consumption and energy-efficient strategies.

The energy-efficient strategy in this study is lighting control technology which natural daylighting harvesting is selected as the main energy-saving measure due to the result from factor analysis and the lighting performance of the existing condition of the building. The energy simulation is only focused on lighting simulation to see energy saving. Besides, the simulation is performed only in the dinning zones of RSA Ayer Keroh Northbound due to the potential of natural daylight harvesting in the area. Last but not least, the simulation period is run for one whole year to see total energy consumption and the energy-saving from the energy-efficient strategy.

## **1.6 Significant of Study**

The study is beneficial for promoting green building technologies such as lighting control technology into existing buildings in RSAs by implement energy efficiency to improve energy performance. RSA building can be categorized as an

open space building due to its building envelope characteristic thus lighting control technology by harvesting the daylighting is an optimum energy efficient strategy for this facility. The enormous amount of energy will be saved from energy wastage and result in carbon emission reduction if the study is introduced into application in this Rest and Service Areas building. In addition, this study also attempts to practice sustainable living by reducing the energy use from fossil fuel and upgrade the highway facilities toward a green practice.

Besides, to come up with building retrofitting solutions, some retrofitting activities are conducted in this study such as energy auditing, optimize the retrofitting selection, and measurement of energy-saving by simulation. Critical analysis such as factor analysis and energy optimization in Revit software is conducted for retrofitting strategies to ensure an efficient and proper refurbishment is made on the RSA. The output from the factor loading from SPSS in this study provides prioritize-option for the retrofitting selection technologies such as integrate with daylight harvesting and operation hours of electrical appliances.

Furthermore, the study will estimate the lighting energy consumption from the proposed technology to optimize the energy-saving from lighting. The energy that can be reduced from the proposed lighting technology also can save some amount of electric bill costs. The study will also contribute to Malaysia Highway Government (MHA) to achieve the goal of carbon emission reduction by 45% by the year 2030 (Susskind et al., 2020).

## **1.7 Organization of the Thesis**

This thesis consists of five chapters and the arrangement of the chapters is presented as follows:

Chapter 1: Introduction – discuss the background of the study, aim, and knowledge gaps relevant to this research. Research problem, research objectives,

research scopes, and the significance of the research also includes in this chapter. The chapter is discussing the necessity of conducting this research.

Chapter 2: Literature review – Presents a comprehensive literature review of published paper or thesis by other researchers and scholars related to the field of energy efficiency in building. The supporting knowledge on building toward sustainable energy, building retrofitting technologies, energy auditing in retrofitting technology, factors affecting energy consumption in non-residential buildings, and simulation on building energy consumption is consistent in this chapter. The literature review of this thesis is used as supporting knowledge to achieve the aim and objectives.

Chapter 3: Research Methodology – illustrates the detail of the methodology used in this study by presents the research design and procedures, research operation framework, research boundary, sampling procedures, preparation of the questionnaire, the method used for analysis on the variable affecting energy and lighting energy consumption simulation procedures in Autodesk Revit and Design Builder software incorporates with Energy PLUS calculation engine. The proposed retrofitting scenarios and the simulation framework also presented in the chapter. Design-Builder is selected for simulation in this study as it has control procedures that ensure the results are more accurate comparing to Energy PLUS stand-alone engine (El-Darwish & Goma, 2017).

Chapter 4: Result and Discussion – Presents the process of analysis of quantitative and qualitative data through the data collection procedure. The data collection procedures from the RSA officer to analyze the building energy performance and data collection from highway engineers under PLUS Highway are presented in this chapter. The data obtained from the survey were analyzed to fulfill the objective of this study. The analysis tool for this stage includes Statistics Packages for Social and Science (SPSS) and Microsoft Excel Software. Next, the energy analysis tools in this study include Autodesk Revit to build up the 3D architecture model and lighting model. Design-Builder was used to simulate lighting energy consumption for the proposed retrofitting scenarios. The data are illustrated in the form of a graph, pie chart, and table to facilitate the understanding of the study results.

Chapter 5: Conclusion and Recommendation - Concludes the thesis by providing a summary of the results in this research that involving the review of the achievements in the research objectives, theoretical and practical contributions, limitations, and future research suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- Abdul Halim, N. H., Zakaria, N. Z., & Ahmed, A. Z. (2012). Thermal and energy performance of pitch and wall insulation for air-conditioned buildings in malaysia. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 209–211(May 2016), 1766–1769. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.209-211.1766>
- Abidin, N. I. (2019). *Decision making tool in building retrofitting for energy reduction in higher learning institution nur izieadiana binti abidin universiti teknologi malaysia*.
- Abidin, N. I., Zakaria, R., Aminuddin, E., Abdul Hamid, A. R., Munikanan, V., Sahamir, S. R., & Shamsuddin, S. M. (2017). Factor Analysis on Criteria Affecting Lean Retrofit for Energy Efficient Initiatives in Higher Learning Institution Buildings. *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 138. <https://doi.org/10.1051/mateconf/201713802025>
- Afgan, N. H., Gobaisi, D. Al, Carvalho, M. G., & Cumo, M. (1998). Sustainable energy development. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2(3), 235–286. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-0321\(98\)00002-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-0321(98)00002-1)
- Aidin Nobahar Sadeghifam. (2019). *Development of cooling load prediction prototype for low-rise residential buildings aidin nobahar sadeghifam universiti teknologi malaysia*.
- Akadiri, P. O., Chinyio, E. A., & Olomolaiye, P. O. (2012). Design of a sustainable building: A conceptual framework for implementing sustainability in the building sector. *Buildings*, 2(2), 126–152. <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings2020126>
- Akter, M. N., Mahmud, M. A., & Oo, A. M. T. (2017). Comprehensive economic evaluations of a residential building with solar photovoltaic and battery energy storage systems: An Australian case study. *Energy and Buildings*, 138, 332–346. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2016.12.065>
- Al-Masri, H. M., & Ehsani, M. (2016). Feasibility Investigation of a Hybrid On-Grid Wind Photovoltaic Retrofitting System. *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, 52(3), 1979–1988. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIA.2015.2513385>
- Al-Mofleh, A., Taib, S., Mujeebu, M. A., & Salah, W. (2009). Analysis of sectoral energy conservation in Malaysia. *Energy*, 34(6), 733–739.

- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2008.10.005>
- Alshehry, A. S., & Belloumi, M. (2015a). Energy consumption, carbon dioxide emissions and economic growth: The case of Saudi Arabia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *41*, 237–247. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.08.004>
- Alshehry, A. S., & Belloumi, M. (2015b). Energy consumption, carbon dioxide emissions and economic growth: The case of Saudi Arabia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *41*, 237–247. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.08.004>
- Ascione, F., Bianco, N., De Masi, R. F., Mauro, G. M., & Vanoli, G. P. (2017). Energy retrofit of educational buildings: Transient energy simulations, model calibration and multi-objective optimization towards nearly zero-energy performance. *Energy and Buildings*, *144*, 303–319. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2017.03.056>
- Associate, L. (n.d.). Energy Efficient Fluorescent Ballasts. In *Pacific Energy Center Factsheet*. Retrieved from <http://www.pge.com/pec>
- Aste, N., Compostella, J., & Mazzon, M. (2012). Comparative energy and economic performance analysis of an electrochromic window and automated external venetian blind. *Energy Procedia*, *30*, 404–413. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2012.11.048>
- Aun, C. S. (2009). GREEN BUILDING INDEX – MS1525: Applying MS1525:2007 Code of Practice on Energy Efficiency and Use of Renewable Energy for Non-Residential Buildings. *GBI CPD Seminar*, 1–22.
- Azhar, S. (2011). Building information modeling (BIM): Trends, benefits, risks, and challenges for the AEC industry. *Leadership and Management in Engineering*, *11*(3), 241–252. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)LM.1943-5630.0000127](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)LM.1943-5630.0000127)
- Babatundea, K.A., Saida, F.F., Nora, N. G. M. (2018). Reducing Carbon Dioxide Emissions from Malaysian Power Sector: Current Issues and Future Directions. *Engineering Journal*, *1*(6), 59–69. Retrieved from <http://www.ukm.my/jkukm/wp-content/uploads/2018/si1/6/8.pdf>
- Balcomb, J. D., & Curtner, A. (2000). Multi-criteria decision-making process for buildings. *35th Intersociety Energy Conversion Engineering Conference and Exhibit*, 528–535. <https://doi.org/10.2514/6.2000-2898>
- Bazjanac, V., & Kiviniemi, A. (2007). Reduction, simplification, translation and

- interpretation in the exchange of model data. *Proceedings of the 24th Conference on Bringing ITC Knowledge to Work*, 78(Gsa 2003), 163–168. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Binti, F., & Zakaria, R. (2012). *ENERGY CONSUMPTION AND POTENTIAL RETROFITTING OF HIGHWAY REST AND SERVICE AREAS (RSAs)*. 1–10.
- Brooks, W., & Dunlop, J. (2012). *Photovoltaic Installer Resource Guide*. 5.3(March), 162.
- Cabeza, L. F., Rincón, L., Vilariño, V., Pérez, G., & Castell, A. (2014). Life cycle assessment (LCA) and life cycle energy analysis (LCEA) of buildings and the building sector: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 29, 394–416. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.08.037>
- Catalogue, N. (2017). *Industrial . commercial . outdoor*.
- Chen, Y., Liu, J., Pei, J., Cao, X., Chen, Q., & Jiang, Y. (2014). Experimental and simulation study on the performance of daylighting in an industrial building and its energy saving potential. *Energy and Buildings*, 73, 184–191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2014.01.030>
- Choi, I. Y., Cho, S. H., & Kim, J. T. (2012). Energy consumption characteristics of high-rise apartment buildings according to building shape and mixed-use development. *Energy and Buildings*, 46, 123–131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2011.10.038>
- Chung, W., & Hui, Y. V. (2009). A study of energy efficiency of private office buildings in Hong Kong. *Energy and Buildings*, 41(6), 696–701. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2009.02.001>
- Congedo, P. M., Baglivo, C., D’Agostino, D., & Zacà, I. (2015). Cost-optimal design for nearly zero energy office buildings located in warm climates. *Energy*, 91(244), 967–982. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2015.08.078>
- Corish, P., & Agreement, L. (2010). *Australian / New Zealand Standard™*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00441-015-2144-5>
- De Boeck, L., Verbeke, S., Audenaert, A., & De Mesmaeker, L. (2015). Improving the energy performance of residential buildings: A literature review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 52, 960–975. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.07.037>
- de Wilde, P. (2018). *Building Performance Analysis*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119341901>



- DesignBuilder. (2018). *DesignBuilder Revit – gbXML Tutorial*.
- Di Foggia, G. (2018). Energy efficiency measures in buildings for achieving sustainable development goals. *Heliyon*, 4(11), e00953. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e00953>
- DOE. (2020). Directory.
- Dubois, M. C., & Blomsterberg, Å. (2011). Energy saving potential and strategies for electric lighting in future north european, low energy office buildings: A literature review. *Energy and Buildings*, 43(10), 2572–2582. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2011.07.001>
- El-Darwish, I., & Gomaa, M. (2017). Retrofitting strategy for building envelopes to achieve energy efficiency. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 56(4), 579–589. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2017.05.011>
- Ferrara, M., Fabrizio, E., Virgone, J., & Filippi, M. (2015). Appraising the effect of the primary systems on the cost optimal design of nZEB: A case study in two different climates. *Energy Procedia*, 78, 2028–2033. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2015.11.200>
- Ferrari, S., & Beccali, M. (2017). Energy-environmental and cost assessment of a set of strategies for retrofitting a public building toward nearly zero-energy building target. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 32, 226–234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2017.03.010>
- Fingersh, L., Hand, M., & Laxson, A. (2006). Wind Turbine Design Cost and Scaling Model. *Nrel*, 29(December), 1–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advwatres.2006.01.003>
- Gene-Harn, L., Keumala, N. I. M., & Ghafar, N. A. (2016). Office Occupants' Mood and Preference of Task Ambient Lighting in the Tropics. *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 66. <https://doi.org/10.1051/mateconf/20166600031>
- Ghaffarianhoseini, A., Dahlan, N. D., Berardi, U., Ghaffarianhoseini, A., Makaremi, N., & Ghaffarianhoseini, M. (2013). Sustainable energy performances of green buildings: A review of current theories, implementations and challenges. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 25, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.01.010>
- González, A. B. R., Díaz, J. J. V., Caamaño, A. J., & Wilby, M. R. (2011). Towards a universal energy efficiency index for buildings. *Energy and Buildings*, 43(4), 980–987. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2010.12.023>

- Granadeiro, V., Duarte, J. P., Correia, J. R., & Leal, V. M. S. (2013). Building envelope shape design in early stages of the design process: Integrating architectural design systems and energy simulation. *Automation in Construction*, 32, 196–209. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2012.12.003>
- Haji-Sapar, M., & Lee, S. E. (2005). Establishment of energy management tools for facilities managers in the tropical region. *Facilities*, 23(9–10), 416–425. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02632770510602351>
- Han, T., Huang, Q., Zhang, A., & Zhang, Q. (2018). Simulation-based decision support tools in the early design stages of a green building-A review. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 10(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10103696>
- Heffernan, E., Pan, W., Liang, X., & Wilde, P. de. (2013). Redefining zero? A critical review of definitions of zero energy buildings and zero carbon homes. *Cibse.Org*, (April), 11–12. Retrieved from <http://hub.hku.hk/handle/10722/190261%5Cnhttp://www.cibse.org/content/cibsesymposium2013/paper006.pdf>
- Hirsch, A. H. (2014). Sustainable Rest Areas Design and Operations. *Icsi 2014*, (October), 819–830. <https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784478745.077>
- Ihara, T., Gustavsen, A., & Jelle, B. P. (2015). Effect of facade components on energy efficiency in office buildings. *Applied Energy*, 158, 422–432. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.08.074>
- Ihm, P., Nemri, A., & Krarti, M. (2009). Estimation of lighting energy savings from daylighting. *Building and Environment*, 44(3), 509–514. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2008.04.016>
- Iv, V. (2020). *Understanding impacts and functioning of different solutions Edited by. IV(2016)*.
- Ivanova, I., Kiesel, K., & Mahdavi, A. (2015). BIM-generated data models for EnergyPlus: A comparison of gbXML and IFC formats. *Building Simulation Applications, 2015-Febru*, 407–414.
- Jadhav, M. (2011). *Building Information Modeling Better Building Through Digital Practice - Study of Processes & Roles*. 3.
- Jagarajan, R., Abdullah Mohd Asmoni, M. N., Mohammed, A. H., Jaafar, M. N., Lee Yim Mei, J., & Baba, M. (2017). Green retrofitting – A review of current status, implementations and challenges. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 67(August 2016), 1360–1368. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.09.091>

- Jean-Pascal, T. (2013). VISUALISING the “ HIDDEN ” FUEL of ENERGY EFFICIENCY. *The Journal of the International Energy Agency*, (4).
- John, G., Clements-Croome, D., & Jeronimidis, G. (2005). Sustainable building solutions: A review of lessons from the natural world. *Building and Environment*, 40(3), 319–328. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2004.05.011>
- Kalkan, N., Bercin, K., Cangul, O., Morales, M. G., Saleem, M. M. K. M., Marji, I., ... Tsigkogianni, E. (2011). A renewable energy solution for Highfield Campus of University of Southampton. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 15(6), 2940–2959. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2011.02.040>
- Khairunnisa, A. R., Yusof, M. Z. M., Salleh, M. N. M., & Leman, A. M. (2015). The Development of Energy Efficiency Estimation System (EEES) for Sustainable Development: A Proposed Study. In *Energy Procedia* (Vol. 79). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2015.11.527>
- Kilkiş, B. (2014). Energy consumption and CO<sub>2</sub>emission responsibilities of terminal buildings: A case study for the future Istanbul International Airport. *Energy and Buildings*, 76, 109–118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2014.02.049>
- Kirimtat, A., Koyunbaba, B. K., Chatzikonstantinou, I., & Sariyildiz, S. (2016). Review of simulation modeling for shading devices in buildings. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 53, 23–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.08.020>
- Krem, M. (2012). Effect of Building Morphology on Energy and Structural Performance of High-Rise Office Buildings. *Journal of Energy Build*, 9(5), 71–88.
- Kreminski, R., Hirsch, A., & Boand, J. (2011). *Assessment of Colorado Department of Transportation Rest Areas for Sustainability Improvements and Highway Corridors and Facilities for Alternative Energy Source Use*. (March).
- Kwok, Statz, C. (2011). *Carbon Emission Modeling for Green Buildings: A Comprehensive Study of Methodologies*. 1(3), 9–17. <https://doi.org/10.1089/g4h.2012.0007>
- Lechtenböhrer, S., & Schüring, A. (2011). The potential for large-scale savings from insulating residential buildings in the EU. *Energy Efficiency*, 4(2), 257–270. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12053-010-9090-6>
- Lee, C. C. (2005). Energy consumption and GDP in developing countries: A cointegrated panel analysis. *Energy Economics*, 27(3), 415–427.

- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2005.03.003>
- Li, D. H.W., Lam, J. C., & Wong, S. L. (2002). Daylighting and its implications to overall thermal transfer value (OTTV) determinations. *Energy*, 27(11), 991–1008. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-5442\(02\)00067-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-5442(02)00067-1)
- Li, Danny H.W., Yang, L., & Lam, J. C. (2013). Zero energy buildings and sustainable development implications - A review. *Energy*, 54, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2013.01.070>
- Lindberg, R., Korpi, M., & Vinha, J. (2008). *Factors affecting energy consumption of buildings*. Retrieved from [https://c.ymcdn.com/sites/www.nibs.org/resource/resmgr/BEST/BEST1\\_022.pdf](https://c.ymcdn.com/sites/www.nibs.org/resource/resmgr/BEST/BEST1_022.pdf)
- Lior, N. (2012). Sustainable energy development (May 2011) with some game-changers. *Energy*, 40(1), 3–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2011.09.044>
- Lotsch, H. K. V, Rhodes, W. T., Adibi, E. B. A., Asakura, T., Hänsch, T. W., Kamiya, T., ... Rhodes, W. T. (2009). *Springer Series in Springer Series in*.
- Ma, Z., Cooper, P., Daly, D., & Ledo, L. (2012). Existing building retrofits: Methodology and state-of-the-art. *Energy and Buildings*, 55, 889–902. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2012.08.018>
- Maamari, F., Fontoyont, M., & Adra, N. (2006). Application of the CIE test cases to assess the accuracy of lighting computer programs. *Energy and Buildings*, 38(7), 869–877. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2006.03.016>
- Maestre, I. R., Blázquez, J. L. F., Gallero, F. J. G., & Cubillas, P. R. (2015). Influence of selected solar positions for shading device calculations in building energy performance simulations. *Energy and Buildings*, 101, 144–152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2015.05.004>
- Malaysia Energy Commission. (2017). Malaysia Energy Statistics Handbook 2017. *Malaysia Energy Commission*, 1–86. Retrieved from <http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/57-601-x/57-601-x2012001-eng.pdf%5Cnpapers2://publication/uuid/792EFC7D-A6CF-40B5-A139-8FA59714AA41>
- Malaysia, & Standard. (2012). Malaysian Standard. *Chrysanthemum Standard*, 1–3. <https://doi.org/MS 692:2007>
- Misni, A. (2015). The Effect of Building Construction and Human Factors in Cooling Energy Use. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 202(December 2014),

- 373–381. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.08.241>
- Mojtaba. (2013). BUILDING PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT IN TERMS OF ENERGY CONSUMPTION USING BUILDING INFORMATION MODELING (Vol. 58). <https://doi.org/10.1179/1743280412Y.0000000001>
- Mukherjee, S., Birru, D., Cavalcanti, D., Shen, E., Patel, M., Wen, Y.-J., & Das, S. (2010). Closed Loop Integrated Lighting and Daylighting Control for Low Energy Buildings. *2010 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings*, 9–252.
- Muthuvel, P., Daniel, S. A., & Yazhini, D. G. (2016). Retrofitting domestic appliances for PV powered DC Nano-grid and its impact on net zero energy homes in rural India. *Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal*, 19(4), 1836–1844. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jestch.2016.09.019>
- Mwasha, A., Williams, R., & Iwaro, J. (2011). *Modeling the sustainable performance of residential building envelope: the role of performance indicator*. 167, 67–78. <https://doi.org/10.2495/>
- MyCREST. (2018). BINA MAMPAN Malaysia's Sustainable Construction Periodical: Sustainable Building Initiatives with MyCrest. In *CIDB Malaysia* (Vol. 3). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Najihah, N., Bakar, A., Yusri, M., & Abdullah, H. (2015). *Energy efficiency index as an indicator for measuring building energy performance: A review*. 44, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.12.018>
- Nejat, P., Jomehzadeh, F., Taheri, M. M., Gohari, M., & Muhd, M. Z. (2015). A global review of energy consumption, CO<sub>2</sub>emissions and policy in the residential sector (with an overview of the top ten CO<sub>2</sub>emitting countries). *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 43, 843–862. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.11.066>
- Nursyareena, A., & Ezdihar, H. (2020). Internet Business Strategy for Real Estate Company in Kuala Lumpur. *International Journal of Business and Technology Management*, 2(3), 20–29. Retrieved from <http://myjms.mohe.gov.my/index.php/ijbtm/article/view/10808/5069>
- Omer, A. M. (2008). Energy, environment and sustainable development. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 12(9), 2265–2300. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2007.05.001>
- Pacheco, R., Ordóñez, J., & Martínez, G. (2012a). Energy efficient design of building:

- A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 16(6), 3559–3573.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.03.045>
- Pacheco, R., Ordóñez, J., & Martínez, G. (2012b). Energy efficient design of building: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 16(6), 3559–3573.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.03.045>
- Pacific Northwest National Laboratory. (2016). ANSI/ASHRAE/IES Standard 90.1-2010 Performance Rating Method Reference Manual. *Pnnl-255130*, (May). Retrieved from [http://www.pnnl.gov/main/publications/external/technical\\_reports/PNNL-25130.pdf](http://www.pnnl.gov/main/publications/external/technical_reports/PNNL-25130.pdf)
- Pandharipande, A., & Caicedo, D. (2015). Smart indoor lighting systems with luminaire-based sensing: A review of lighting control approaches. *Energy and Buildings*, 104, 369–377. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2015.07.035>
- Parameshwaran, R., Kalaiselvam, S., Harikrishnan, S., & Elayaperumal, A. (2012). Sustainable thermal energy storage technologies for buildings: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 16(5), 2394–2433.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.01.058>
- Parker, A., Benne, K., Brackney, L., Hale, E., Macumber, D., & Schott, M. (2014). A Parametric Analysis Tool for Building Energy Design Workflows: Application to a Utility Design Assistance Incentive Program. *2014 ACEEE Summer Study on Energy Efficiency in Buildings*, 2007(OCEAN), 263–274.
- Pérez-Lombard, L., Ortiz, J., & Pout, C. (2008). A review on buildings energy consumption information. *Energy and Buildings*, 40(3), 394–398.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2007.03.007>
- Petersen, S., & Svendsen, S. (2010). Method and simulation program informed decisions in the early stages of building design. *Energy and Buildings*, 42(7), 1113–1119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2010.02.002>
- Pinheiro, S., Wimmer, R., O'Donnell, J., Muhic, S., Bazjanac, V., Maile, T., ... van Treeck, C. (2018). MVD based information exchange between BIM and building energy performance simulation. *Automation in Construction*, 90(November 2017), 91–103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2018.02.009>
- Rahman, K. A., Leman, A. M., Mubin, M. F., Yusof, M. Z. M., Hariri, A., & Salleh, M. N. M. (2017). Energy Consumption Analysis Based on Energy Efficiency Approach. *Faculty of Mechanical & Manufacturing Engineering, Universiti Tun*

*Hussein Onn Malaysia, 02003.*

- Regnier, C., Sun, K., Hong, T., & Piette, M. A. (2018). Quantifying the benefits of a building retrofit using an integrated system approach: A case study. *Energy and Buildings*, *159*, 332–345. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2017.10.090>
- Riffat SB, M. A. (2015). Building Energy Consumption and Carbon dioxide Emissions: Threat to Climate Change. *Journal of Earth Science & Climatic Change*, *s3*, 1–3. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2157-7617.S3-001>
- Rim, D., Schiavon, S., & Nazaroff, W. W. (2015). Energy and cost associated with ventilating office buildings in a tropical climate. *PLoS ONE*, *10*(3), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0122310>
- Robert, A., & Kummert, M. (2012). Designing net-zero energy buildings for the future climate, not for the past. *Building and Environment*, *55*, 150–158. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2011.12.014>
- Robichaud, L. B., & Anantatmula, V. S. (2011). Greening project management practices for sustainable construction. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, *27*(1), 48–57. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)ME.1943-5479.0000030](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)ME.1943-5479.0000030)
- Rosin, A., Auvaart, A., & Lebedev, D. (2012). Analysis of operation times and electrical storage dimensioning for energy consumption shifting and balancing in residential areas. *Elektronika Ir Elektrotechnika*, (4), 15–20. <https://doi.org/10.5755/j01.eee.120.4.1444>
- Saidur, R. (2009). Energy consumption, energy savings, and emission analysis in Malaysian office buildings. *Energy Policy*, *37*(10), 4104–4113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2009.04.052>
- Saleh, A. A. Z., Nasrat, L. S., Hasaneen, B. M., & Nassar, I. A. I. (2014). *A Novel Method of Optimization and Matching Generation of Photovoltaic Modules and Wind Turbines Models using Matlab*. *5*(7), 1011–1019.
- Sartori, I., Napolitano, A., & Voss, K. (2012). Net zero energy buildings: A consistent definition framework. *Energy and Buildings*, *48*, 220–232. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2012.01.032>
- Schiermeier, Q. (2011). Clean-energy credits tarnished. *Nature*, *477*(7366), 517–518. <https://doi.org/10.1038/477517a>
- Selkowitz, S. (2009). *Getting to Net Zero Energy Buildings*. (September). Retrieved from [http://www.stanford.edu/group/peec/cgi-bin/docs/home/events/2009/public\\_discussions/presentation\\_Selkowitz.pdf&ei=](http://www.stanford.edu/group/peec/cgi-bin/docs/home/events/2009/public_discussions/presentation_Selkowitz.pdf&ei=)

- DIJGSvimPIHaNaCF3aIB&usg=AFQjCNGQgaTzt2zJXfRxbcdGcd2IE-J6WQ
- Shafiei, M. W. M., Abadi, H., & Osman, W. N. (2017). The indicators of green buildings for Malaysian property development industry. *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research*, 12(10), 2182–2189.
- Shah, N., Sathaye, N., Phadke, A., Letschert, V., & Berkeley, L. (2012). *Costs and Benefits of Energy Efficiency Improvement in Ceiling Fans Techno-Economic Assessment of Efficiency Improvement Options in Ceiling Fans*. 1–14.
- Sotos, M. (2014). *GREENHOUSE GAS PROTOCOLE. GHG Protocol Scope 2 Guidance*. (March), 151. Retrieved from [http://ghgprotocol.org/files/ghgp/Scope 2 Guidance\\_Final.pdf](http://ghgprotocol.org/files/ghgp/Scope 2 Guidance_Final.pdf)
- Susskind, L., Chun, J., Goldberg, S., Gordon, J. A., Smith, G., & Zaerpoor, Y. (2020). Breaking Out of Carbon Lock-In: Malaysia's Path to Decarbonization. *Frontiers in Built Environment*, 6(March). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fbuil.2020.00021>
- Szalay, Z. (2008). Modelling building stock geometry for energy, emission and mass calculations. *Building Research and Information*, 36(6), 557–567. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09613210802396429>
- Tahir, M. Z., Nawi, M. N. M., & Ibrahim, A. (2016). Low-cost and no-cost practice to achieve energy efficiency of government office buildings: A case study in federal territory of Malaysia. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 1761. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4960941>
- Tahir, M. Z., Nawi, M. N. M., & Rajemi, M. F. (2015). Building energy index: A case study of three government office buildings in Malaysia. *Advanced Science Letters*, 21(6), 1798–1801. <https://doi.org/10.1166/asl.2015.6239>
- Tanaka, K. (2008). Assessment of energy efficiency performance measures in industry and their application for policy. *Energy Policy*, 36(8), 2887–2902. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2008.03.032>
- Venkatarama Reddy, B. V., & Jagadish, K. S. (2003). Embodied energy of common and alternative building materials and technologies. *Energy and Buildings*, 35(2), 129–137. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788\(01\)00141-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-7788(01)00141-4)
- Wai, C. W., Mohammed, A. H., & Ting, L. S. (2011). Energy management key practices: A proposed list for Malaysian universities. *International Journal of Energy and Environment*, 2(4), 749–760.
- Wang, J. J., Jing, Y. Y., Zhang, C. F., & Zhao, J. H. (2009). Review on multi-criteria decision analysis aid in sustainable energy decision-making. *Renewable and*



- Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 13(9), 2263–2278.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2009.06.021>
- Ward, D. O., Clark, C. D., Jensen, K. L., Yen, S. T., & Russell, C. S. (2011). Factors influencing willingness-to-pay for the ENERGY STAR® label. *Energy Policy*, 39(3), 1450–1458. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2010.12.017>
- Yohanis, Y. G., & Norton, B. (2002). Life-cycle operational and embodied energy for a generic single-storey office building in the UK. *Energy*, 27(1), 77–92. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-5442\(01\)00061-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-5442(01)00061-5)
- Yu, X., Su, Y., & Chen, X. (2014). Application of RELUX simulation to investigate energy saving potential from daylighting in a new educational building in UK. *Energy and Buildings*, 74, 191–202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2014.01.024>
- Zhao, H. X., & Magoules, F. (2011). New parallel support vector regression for predicting building energy consumption. *IEEE SSCI 2011 - Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence - MCDM 2011: 2011 IEEE Symposium on Computational Intelligence in Multicriteria Decision-Making*, (May 2011), 14–21. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SMDCM.2011.5949289>
- Zhu, P., Gilbride, M., Yan, D., Sun, H., & Meek, C. (2017). Lighting energy consumption in ultra-low energy buildings: Using a simulation and measurement methodology to model occupant behavior and lighting controls. *Building Simulation*, 10(6), 799–810. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12273-017-0408-6>
- Zhu, T., Li, R., & Li, C. (2017). The Analysis of Natural Lighting Simulation and Study on Energy Saving in Cigarette Factory. *Procedia Engineering*, 205, 895–901. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2017.10.097>
- Zuo, J., & Zhao, Z. Y. (2014). Green building research-current status and future agenda: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 30, 271–281. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.10.021>

## **LIST OF PUBLICATIONS**

Factor Analysis on Variables Affecting Energy Consumption for Retrofitting Initiative at Rest and Service Area Malaysia.

Simulation on Lighting Energy Consumption based on Building Information Building for Energy Efficiency at Highway Rest and Service Area Malaysia.

Carbon Footprint Calculator of Highway Pavement Rehabilitation: The Quantification of Carbon Emissions per unit Activity.

Review on the Method for Carbon Footprint Calculation of Highway Development.

Carbon Footprint Calculator for Malaysia Green Highway Index.

Energy and Economic Benefits of LED Adoption in Malaysia Highway Lighting System.

Adoption of Building Information Modelling in Malaysia Road Construction.