

THE PERFORMANCE OF WASTE FRYING PALM OIL BIODIESEL  
AS ESTER-BASED DRILLING FLUID

MOHD NAZRI BIN MOHD SOKRI

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Science (Petroleum Engineering)

Faculty of Petroleum and Renewable Energy Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JULY 2012

To abah, mak, hana, irfan and my beloved family,  
to lecturers and friends,  
especially my supervisor and team mates,  
million thank you for all your supports, encouragement and guidance.  
You are my inspiration in completing this project.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

First of all I would like to thank to my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Azmi bin Kamis. He had helped a lot by his encouragement, guidance and critics. I have enjoyed very much in the process of making this report. I sincerely hope that in the near future this report may have some value for further study.

Furthermore, I would like to thank the technician of Drilling Laboratory, Mr. Othman Adon , Mrs. Hasanah Hussien and those who contributed their favor, during the entire period of pursuing my master degree in UTM.

I would like to thank my family especially to my parent, Mohd Sokri and Faridah, my wife Norhana and son, Irfan Hadi who had always faith in me. Thank you very much for everything.

## ABSTRACT

Ester based mud (EBM) derived from vegetable oil has been known as one of the alternative for conventional oil-based mud due to its environmental friendly properties. However, the cost for ester, or biodiesel, derived from virgin oil are relatively high compared to conventional oil-based mud. The used of waste frying palm oil (WFPO) could be the best option to tackle the cost related problem. The waste frying palm oil derived ester should be tested to meet the required properties as the drilling fluids such as rheological properties, environmentally friendly, and its compatibility to the rubber and seal elements. Hence, this study was done in order to investigate the use of biodiesel generated from waste frying palm oil to fullfill the above requirements. WFPOB shows good mud properties except for HTHP filtrate loss where it exceeds beyond control value. But, for the blending of 70:30 Sarapar to WFPOB mud shows some reduction in HTHP filtrate loss. For rubber compatibility test, NBR components had been exposed to three different temperature, 27°C , 80°C and 125°C for one and two weeks. WFPOB mud give greater changes in rubber compound. The presence of WFPOB in blending mud shows some reduction in rubber changes rate. However, the NBR components lost more than 80% of its initial strength after exposure at 125°C due to incompatibility of NBR components at such high temperature. As for toxicity study, the *Cyprinus Caprio* (carp fish) has been used as test organism. The LC<sub>50</sub> of WFPOB and the blending mud obtained in this study is 56,000 ppm and 32,000 ppm which lies under Practically Non-Toxic category in toxicity classification. The result shows that the WFPOB mud can be used as base oil in drilling mud formulation with certain limitation. At the same time, the possibility of WFPOB blending with other mineral oil such as Sarapar can be made to improve the technical requirements and meet the environmental requirements.

## ABSTRAK

Lumpur berasaskan ester (EBM) daripada minyak sayuran dikenali sebagai satu alternatif bagi lumpur berasaskan minyak konvensional disebabkan ciri-ciri mesra alam. Walaubagaimanapun, kos untuk ester, atau biodiesel, yang ditukar daripada minyak sayuran suci adalah tinggi jika dibandingkan dengan minyak konvensional. Penggunaan sisa minyak masak sawit (WFPO) menjadi pilihan terbaik untuk mengatasi masalah kos. Ester daripada sisa minyak sawit ini perlu diuji bagi memenuhi ciri-ciri keperluan sebagai bendalir penggerudian seperti ciri reologi, mesra alam dan kesesuaian dengan getah. Oleh itu, kajian ini dilakukan untuk mengkaji kegunaan biodiesel yang dijana daripada sisa minyak masak sawit (WFPOB) bagi memenuhi keperluan di atas. Lumpur berasaskan WFPOB menunjukkan ciri-ciri lumpur yang baik kecuali kehilangan turasan HTHP yang melebihi nilai kawalan. Tetapi lumpur campuran 70:30 Sarapar kepada WFPOB menunjukkan penurunan di dalam kehilangan turasan HTHP. Bagi ujian kesesuaian getah, komponen NBR didedahkan kepada tiga suhu berasingan 27°C, 80°C and 125°C selama satu dan dua minggu. Lumpur berasaskan WFPOB memberi perubahan besar terhadap getah. Kehadiran WFPOB didalam lumpur campuran memberi sedikit penurunan kepada kadar perubahan getah. Namun begitu, NBR kehilangan lebih 80% daripada kekuatan asalnya selepas didedahkan pada suhu 125 °C. Bagi ujian ketoksikan, ikan *Cyprinus Caprio* (ikan kap) digunakan sebagai organisma ujian. Nilai LC<sub>50</sub> bagi lumpur WFPOB dan campuran ialah 56,000 ppm dan 32,000 ppm yang terletak dalam kategori "*Practically Non-Toxic*" di dalam pengkelasan ketoksikan. Keputusan menunjukkan lumpur daripada sisa minyak masak sawit boleh digunakan dalam penghasilan lumpur penggerudian dengan had tertentu. Lumpur daripada sisa minyak sawit juga boleh dicampur dengan minyak mineral seperti Sarapar untuk memperbaiki keperluan teknikal dan pada masa yang sama, dapat memenuhi keperluan alam sekitar.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>TITLE PAGE</b>	<b>i</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>ii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xi</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xii</b>
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xiv</b>
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Background of study	1
	1.2 Objectives of study	4
	1.3 Scopes of study	4
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>5</b>
	2.1 Drilling Fluid	5
	2.2 Function of drilling fluid	5
	2.3 Types of drilling fluid	6
	2.3.1 Water-based muds	7

2.3.2	Gaseous muds	9
2.3.3	Oil-based muds	9
	2.3.3.1 Environmental impact of OBM	11
2.4	Synthetic-based muds	12
2.4.1	Ester-based muds	13
2.5	Physical properties of oil-based mud system	15
2.5.1	Mud weight	15
2.5.2	Rheological Properties	16
	2.5.2.1 Plastic viscosity	16
	2.5.2.2 Yield point	16
	2.5.2.3 Gel strength	17
2.5.3	High Temperature-High Pressure Filtrate Loss	17
2.5.4	Electrical stability	17
2.6	Palm oil	18
2.7	Waste frying palm oil	19
2.8	Biodiesel production from waste frying palm oil	19
2.8.1	Transesterification process	20
2.9	Elastomers for oil field applications	21
2.9.1	Effects of biodiesel on elastomers	22
2.9.2	Nitrile Butadiene Rubber (NBR)	23
	2.9.2.1 NBR manufacturing process	24
2.9.3	Acrylonitrile (CAN) content	24
2.9.4	General types of NBR	25
	2.9.4.1 Hot NBR	25
	2.9.4.2 Cold NBR	26
	2.9.4.3 Crosslinked Hot NBR	26
	2.9.4.4 Carboxylated Nitrile (X-NBR)	27
	2.9.4.5 Bound antioxidant NBR	27
2.9.5	Standard test methods for vulcanized rubber and thermoplastic elastomers	27

2.10	Drilling fluid toxicity	28
2.10.1	Aquatic toxicity testing	29
2.10.2	Evaluation of Toxicity Level	31
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>32</b>
3.1	Project Description	32
3.2	Biodiesel Production from Waste Frying Palm Oil	33
3.2.1	Titration Process	33
3.2.2	Methoxide Preparation	33
3.2.3	Transesterification Process	34
3.2.4	Washing	35
3.3	Sample Preparation	35
3.4	The Analysis of the Physical Properties of Waste Frying Oil	36
3.5	Base Mud Formulation	37
3.6	Experiment and Test Conducted for Rheological Properties	37
3.6.1	Mud Density	37
3.6.2	Plastic viscosity, yield point and gel strength	38
3.6.2.1	Determination of viscosity and yield point	38
3.6.2.2	Determination of gel strength	38
3.6.2.3	High temperature and High Pressure (HTHP) test	39
3.6.3	Aging Process	39
3.7	The Analysis of the Effect of WFPOB Based Mud on Rubber Component	40
3.7.1	Sample of Rubber Specimen Preparation	41
3.7.2	Exposure of Drilling Mud to Rubber Components	42
3.7.3	Test and Experiment Conducted for Rubber Components	43



3.8	Aquatic Toxicity Test	43
3.8.1	Preparation of Drilling Mud (Separation of Solid and Liquid Phase)	43
3.8.2	Toxicity Test Procedures for the Liquid Phase	44
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>45</b>
4.1	The Physical Properties Determination of Base Oil	45
4.2	The Rheological Properties Comparison of Drilling Mud	49
4.2.1	Plastic Viscosity	50
4.2.2	Yield Point	51
4.2.3	Gel Strength	51
4.2.4	High Temperature High Pressure (HTHP) Filtrate Loss	53
4.3	The Volume Swelling of NBR Components	54
4.4	The Tensile Strength of NBR Components	58
4.5	Toxicity Test	63
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	
5.1	Conclusions	68
5.2	Recommendations	69
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>71</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>76</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Functional categories of materials used in WBM, their functions, and examples of typical chemicals in each category	7
2.2	Comparison of physical properties of oil used as oil-based mud	11
2.3	Number of Wells Drilled with Ester-Based Fluids Globally	15
2.4	Fatty acid content of palm oil	18
2.5	The common properties for conventional NBR polymers	25
2.6	Toxicity Rating Classification System	31
4.1	Physical properties of base oil	45
4.2	Drilling Mud Rheological Properties Before and After Aging	49
4.3	Percentage of Volume Swelling of NBR Components after immerse into drilling mud sample	55
4.4	Percentage of Tensile Strength Change of NBR Components after immerse into drilling mud sample	59
4.5	Average of Tensile Strength Change of NBR Components after immerse into drilling mud sample	59

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Leptocheirus plumulosus	14
2.2	Transesterification Reaction	21
2.3	Chemical Structure of NBR	23
4.1	The kinematic viscosity of base oil @ 40°C	46
4.2	The density of base oil	47
4.3	The pour point of base oil	47
4.4	The flash point of base oil	48
4.5	Plastic viscosity (PV) of sample before and after aging	50
4.6	Yield point (YP) of sample before and after aging	51
4.7	Gel strength of sample before aging	52
4.8	Gel strength of sample after aging	53
4.9	Filtrate loss distribution comparison of sample before and after aging	53
4.10	Mud cake thickness of samples before and after aging	54
4.11	Percentage of Volume Swelling on NBR Components after One Week Exposure	56
4.12	Percentage of Volume Swelling on NBR Components after Two Weeks Exposure	56
4.13	Comparison of Percentage Volume Swelling for Different Period of Exposure to the Sarapar mud	57
4.14	Comparison of Percentage Volume Swelling for Different Period of Exposure to the WFPOB mud	57

4.15	Comparison of Percentage Volume Swelling for Different Period of Exposure to the Sarapar 70:30 WFPOB blend mud	58
4.16	Comparison of Percentage Tensile Strength Change of NBR after immerse into drilling mud sample for one week	60
4.17	Comparison of Percentage Tensile Strength Change of NBR after immerse into drilling mud sample for two weeks	60
4.18	Comparison of Percentage Tensile Strength Change of NBR after immerse into Sarapar mud at different period	61
4.19	Comparison of Percentage Tensile Strength Change of NBR after immerse into WFPOB mud at different period	62
4.20	Comparison of Percentage Tensile Strength Change of NBR after immerse into 70:30 Sarapar to WFPOB mud at different period	62
4.21	Survival Rate of Cyprinus Carpio after 96 hours of exposure to Sarapar mud	64
4.22	Survival Rate of Cyprinus Carpio after 96 hours of exposure to WFPOB mud	65
4.23	Survival Rate of Cyprinus Carpio after 96 hours of exposure to Sarapar 70:30 WFPOB blend mud	66

**LIST OF SYMBOLS**

WBM	-	Water Based Mud
OBM	-	Oil Based Mud
SBM	-	Synthetic Based Mud
EBM	-	Ester Based Mud
WFPO	-	Waste Frying Palm Oil
WFPOB	-	Waste Frying Palm Oil Biodiesel
NBR	-	Nitrile Butadiene Rubber
API	-	American Petroleum Institute
EPA	-	Environmental Protection Agency
OECD	-	Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development
EU	-	European Union
NaOH	-	Sodium Hydroxide
KOH	-	Potassium Hydroxide
BOP	-	Blowout Preventer
ACN	-	Acrylonitrile
BD	-	Butadiene
XNBR	-	Carboxylated Nitrile
ASTM	-	American Society of Testing Material
PAH	-	Poly Aromatic Hydrocarbon
ppm	-	Part per Million
LC50	-	Fifty Percent Lethal Concentration

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Biodiesel Preparation	76
B	Oil Based Mud System Formulation	77
C	Rubber Test Setup	78
D	Definition and calculation for rubber test	81
E	Volume Swelling Result	84
F	Tensile Strength Result	86
G	Data for Toxicity Test	88
H	Result for liquid phase test	89
I	Toxicity Experimental Setup	90

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background of Study

The rising of global energy demand has led to exploration for oil and gas in increasingly difficult environments. Exploration is now extending into sensitive areas, in particular, offshore field. The development of deep and ultra-deep offshore operations brings new and more complex technical challenges due to the harsh conditions encountered at these water depths. To encounter this challenges, oil based drilling fluid are much preferred to be used for drilling in this condition because it performs better than water based mud (Dosonmu *et al.*, 2010; Apeleke *et al.*, 2012)

Oil-based mud (OBM) are among the best performant and cost effective fluids in hostile conditions. They are used in particular when drilling water-sensitive shale, or when high temperatures are encountered, risk of important differential pressure sticking, exposure to acid gas, or long directional intervals requiring minimum torque and drag on the drill string. Even though they may be two or three times more expensive than water based muds, their use is justified by better performances and savings on mud maintenance.

Diesel has been for years the only base chosen for the formulation of drilling fluids for oil-based mud (Sachez *et al.*, 1999). However their intensive use make conventional, diesel oil-based mud an important source of pollution.

During the last decade, environmental regulations have severely restricted the use of conventional oil-based muds, mainly because of their impact in marine environment during offshore operations. All these general environment concerns have led to an extensive industrial research aiming at designing non-toxic substitution fluids that could replace conventional oil based muds but have the same performances in a wide range of drilling conditions. Low toxicity mineral oils containing substantially lower concentrations of aromatic or napthenics were used to replace diesel as the base fluid in these muds (Dostonmu *et al.*, 2010).

However, the legislation has become more and more restrictive and even these classical low toxic fluids like kerosene have been contested and will progressively leave the market under the pressure of environmental requirements. If we aim further in the future, a zero discharge limit may be imposed in many areas which would preclude the use of oil-based mud or necessitate that drilled cuttings be transported to shore and treated to remove adhering oil.

These regulations have not only made the use of oil based mud more costly but some local regulatory guidelines make oil-based mud difficult or impossible to use (Hussein *et al.*, 2010). Faced with this scenario, alternatives to the present low aromatic oil-based mud systems are required by the petroleum exploration industries. This has lead to the research on the application of ester as ester based mud (EBM).

Compared to diesel and mineral oil, ester is non-toxic, highly biodegradable and does not contain aromatic compounds when derived from biomass. This ester, called as biodiesel, contains mono-alkyl esters of long-chain fatty acids derived from vegetable or animal oils and fats.

Esters were first field trialled offshore Norway (Peresich *et al.*, 1991) and been used to drill several hundred wells since that time (Eckhout *et al.*, 2000; Burrows *et al.*, 2001). Ester-based drilling fluids have had limited success in field applications. Esters generally have higher kinematic viscosity, which translates into higher drilling fluid rheological properties. High rheological properties limit the



ability of ester-based fluids to tolerate high solids loading at higher fluid density. To take into account, esters are also much more aggressive to elastomer components used in downhole drilling and completion equipment. Additionally, esters are susceptible to hydrolysis. Hydrolysis, the reaction of ester with water to produce carboxylic acid and alcohol, increases in rate at higher temperatures and increased by the presence of alkalinity agents such as lime.

However, esters have some advantages over these base oil alternatives in terms of biodegradation and toxicity. This made esters as one of the best alternatives to produce the suitable drilling fluid that satisfies both technical and environmental criteria. To meet this both criteria, the industry has recognized the potential of vegetable oil-based mud. To overcome several drawbacks of vegetable oil, good drilling fluid formulation could be suggested for efficient oil-based drilling fluid (Amin *et al.*, 2010). However, the use of vegetable oils is relatively high cost compared to the conventional oil based mud. The high cost of this drilling fluid mainly due to the cost of virgin vegetable oil (Amin *et al.*, 2010). Therefore, it is not surprising that the vegetable oil based mud produced from vegetable oil costs much more than petroleum based diesel. It is estimated that for vegetable oil, it will cost around USD 225 – USD 425 per barrels whereas cost for mineral oil only around USD 80 – USD 100 per barrels.

Besides, it is necessary to make an effort and explore the way to reduce production costs of vegetable oil-based mud. Based on that, methods that permit minimizing the costs of the raw material are of special interest. The use of waste frying oil instead of virgin oil to produce oil-based mud is an effective way to reduce the raw material cost because waste frying oil are estimated to be about half the price of virgin oil.

The amount of used frying palm oils are estimated about 7000 tonnes, both vegetable oils and animal fats are disposed off as waste yearly in Malaysia without treatment (Berger, 2005). This action will give a negative impact on environment. This waste frying palm oil might be use if it purified (Veil *et al.*, 1999). Hence, the

idea of using waste frying palm oil in the petroleum industry as a drilling mud perhaps can reduce the cost of operation using oil-based mud .

## **1.2 Objectives of Study**

The main objectives of this study is to investigate the performance of waste frying palm oil biodiesel (WFPOB) as ester-based drilling fluid. This study investigated the rheological properties, the effect of biodiesel on rubber component and the toxicity level of the ester-based drilling fluid from WFPOB and the possibility of WFPOB blending with Sarapar.

## **1.3 Scope of the Study**

The scopes of this study are:

- i. To prepare biodiesel from waste frying palm oil through transesterification process and investigate the suitability of WFPOB as base oil for drilling fluid.
- ii. To compare the physical properties of WFPOB with standard base mineral oil, Sarapar.
- iii. To conduct the rheological properties using WFPOB as base oil in drilling mud and compare it with standard base mineral oil, Sarapar.
- iv. To conduct the test of WFPOB effect on the rubber component using Nitrile Butadiene Rubber (NBR).
- v. To perform the toxicity test using *Cyprinus Caprio* (Carp Fish) as test organism to determine the WFPOB toxicity level.

## REFERENCES

- Adams, N. J. (1985). *Drilling Engineering : A Complete Well Planning Approach*. Oklahoma, PennWell Publishing Company.
- Amanullah, M. (2005). Physio-Chemical Characterisation of Vegetable Oils and Preliminary Test Results of Vegetable Oil-based Muds. *SPE/IADC Middle East Drilling Technology Conference and Exhibition*. Dubai, United Arab Emirates, Society of Petroleum Engineers.
- Amin, R. A. M., D. K. Clapper, et al. (2010). Joint Development of an Environmentally Acceptable Ester-Based Drilling Fluid. *Trinidad and Tobago Energy Resources Conference*. Port of Spain, Trinidad, Society of Petroleum Engineers.
- Annis, M. R. (1997). *Retention of synthetic-based drilling material on cuttings discharged to the Gulf of Mexico*. Report for the American Petroleum Institute (API) ad hoc Retention on Cuttings Work Group under the API Production Effluent Guidelines Task Force. Washington D.C, American Petroleum Institute.
- Apaleke, A. S., A. A. Al-Majed, et al. (2012). Drilling Fluid: State of The Art and Future Trend. *North Africa Technical Conference and Exhibition*. Cairo, Egypt, Society of Petroleum Engineers.
- ASTM D412 *Standard Test Methods for Vulcanized Rubber and Thermoplastic Elastomers-Tension*.

- Ayers Jr., R. C., T. C. Sauer Jr., et al. (1985). The Generic Mud Concept for NPDES Permitting of Offshore Drilling Discharges. *SPE Journal of Petroleum Technology* 37(3): 475-480.
- Berger, K. G. (2005). *Frying Oil Series : The Use of Palm Oil in Frying*. Malaysian Palm Oil Promotion Council.
- Bloys, B. et.al (1994). Designing and Managing Drilling Fluid. *Oilfield Review* 6(2): 33-43.
- Boehm et.al (2001). *Deepwater Program : Literature Review, Environmental Risks of Chemical Products Used in Gulf of Mexico Deepwater Oil and Gas Operations*. Technical Report OCS Study MMS 2001-2011 U.S Dept. of the Interior, Minerals Management Service, Gulf of Mexico OCS Region, New Orleans, LA. Volume 1.
- Burrows, K., J. Evans, et al. (2001). New Low Viscosity Ester Is Suitable for Drilling Fluids in Deepwater Applications. *SPE/EPA/DOE Exploration and Production Environmental Conference*. San Antonio, Texas, Copyright 2001, Society of Petroleum Engineers, Inc.
- Candler, J. E., J. H. Rushing, et al. (1993). Synthetic-Based Mud Systems Offer Environmental Benefits Over Traditional Mud Systems. *SPE/EPA Exploration and Production Environmental Conference*. San Antonio, Texas, Copyright 1993, Society of Petroleum Engineers Inc.
- Chenard, P. G. (1984). *Composition of oil-based drilling muds. Report of the Workshop on Environmental Considerations in the Offshore Use of Oil-Based Drilling Muds*. Technical Report 2, Canada Oil and Gas Lands Administration, Environmental Protection Branch, Ottawa, Canada.
- Cobby, G. L. and R. J. Craddock (1999). Western Australian Government Decision-Making Criteria Involved in The Regulation of Drilling Fluids Offshore. *APPEA Journal*.

- Davies, J. M., J. M. Addy, et al. (1984). Environmental Effects of the Use of Oil-based Drilling Muds in the North Sea. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*. 15 (10): 363-370.
- Dosunmu, A. and O. J. O. (2010). Development of Environmentally Friendly Oil Based Mud Using Palm-Oil and Groundnut-Oil. *Nigeria Annual International Conference and Exhibition*. Tinapa - Calabar, Nigeria, Society of Petroleum Engineers.
- Eckhout, D., S. Dolan, et al. (2000). Development Process and Field Applications of a New Ester-based Mud System for ERD Wells on Australia's Northwest Shelf. *IADC/SPE Asia Pacific Drilling Technology*. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, Copyright 2000, IADC/SPE Asia Pacific Drilling Technology.
- Ferrari, G., F. Cecconi, et al. (2000). Drilling Wastes Treatment and Management Practices for Reducing Impact on HSE: ENI/Agip Experiences. *International Oil and Gas Conference and Exhibition in China*. Beijing, China, 2000,. Society of Petroleum Engineers Inc.
- Frame, E. and R. L. McCormick (2005). *Elastomers Compatibility Testing of Renewable Diesel Fuels*. South West Research Institute.
- Getliff, J., A. Roach, et al. (1997). *An overview of the environmental benefits of LAO based drilling fluids for offshore drilling*. Report from Schlumberger Dowell: 10 pp.
- Hudgins, C. M. (1994). Chemical Use in North Sea Oil and Gas E&P. *SPE Journal of Petroleum Technology* 46(1): 67-74.
- Hussein, A. M. O. and R. A. M. Amin (2010). Density Measurement of Vegetable and Mineral Based Oil Used in Drilling Fluids. *Nigeria Annual International Conference and Exhibition*. Tinapa - Calabar, Nigeria, Society of Petroleum Engineers.
- IMCO (1979). *Applied Mud Technology Manual*, Catalog by IMCO.

- ISO1817 (2005). *Rubber, vulcanized - Determination of the effect of the liquids*. International Organization for Standardization.
- John, H. (1997). *Elastomers in Mud Motors for Oil Field Applications*. Baker Hughes Inteq GmbH, Germany.
- Kenny, P. (1993). Ester-based muds show promise for replacing some oil-based muds. *Oil & Gas Journal*: 91:88-91.
- National Research Council. (1983). *Drilling Discharges in the Marine Environment*. National Academy Press, Washington. 180 pp
- Neff, J. M., S. McKelvie, et al. (2000). *Environmental Impacts of Synthetic Based Drilling Fluids*. OCS Study MMS 2000-04. New Orleans, LA, U.S Dept. of Interior, Mineral Management Service, Gulf of Mexico OCS Program: 118 pp.
- Norwegian Oil Industry Association Working Group. (1996). *Criteria for selection and approval of drilling fluids : with respect to effects on human workers and marine ecological systems*. Norwegian Oil Industry Association Stavenger, Norway. 70 pp
- Peresich, R. L., B. R. Burrell, et al. (1991). Development and Field Trial of a Biodegradable Invert Emulsion Fluid. *SPE/IADC Drilling Conference*. Amsterdam, Netherlands. Copyright 1991, SPE/IADC Drilling Conference.
- Sachez, G., N. Leon, et al. (1999). Environmentally Safe Oil-Based Fluids for Drilling Activities. *SPE/EPA Exploration and Production Environmental Conference*. Austin, Texas, Copyright 1999, Society of Petroleum Engineers, Inc.
- Shashidhara, Y. M. and S. R. Jayaram (2010). Vegetable oils as a potential cutting fluid - An evolution. *Tribology International* 43(5–6): 1073-1081.
- Tan, K. T., K. T. Lee, et al. (2011). Potential of waste palm cooking oil for catalyst-free biodiesel production. *Energy* 36(4): 2085-2088.

Veil, J., D. Elcock, et al. (1996). *Preliminary Technical and Legal Evaluation of Disposing of non Hazardous Oil Field Waste into Salt Caverns*. DOE Office of Fossil Energy.

Veil, J. A. and J. M. Daly (1999). Innovative Regulatory Approach for Synthetic-Based Drilling Fluids. *SPE/EPA Exploration and Production Environmental Conference*. Austin, Texas, Not subject to copyright. This document was prepared by government employees or with government funding that places it in the public domain.

Yassin, A. A. M. and A. Kamis (1990). Palm Oil Derivative as a Based Fluid in Formulating Oil Based Drilling Mud. *SPE Latin America Petroleum Engineering Conference*. Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, Society of Petroleum Engineers.

World Oil. (1999) World Oil's fluids : Classification of fluid systems. *World Oil* 220 (6): 81-83