

THE INTERACTION OF SKIN SENSITIZERS WITH GOLD NANOPARTICLES
AND CYSTEINE MODIFIED SCREEN PRINTED CARBON ELECTRODE
ANALYZED USING IMPEDANCE TECHNIQUE

TEH UBAIDAH BT NOH

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Chemical and Energy Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DECEMBER 2020

DEDICATION

This thesis is especially dedicated to my beloved,

*Mama, aunt Aloyah, and bestfriends
(Afzan, Siti Asmida, Noraayu, and Maisarah).*

*Also, to myself,
You have done a good job and really deserved this.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The work presented in this thesis would not have been possible without my close association with many people. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. First and foremost, I would like to extend my sincere gratitude to my beloved supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Azila Abd Aziz for introducing me to this exciting field of biosensor and for her dedicated help, advices, inspiration, encouragement and continuous supports, since my master until Ph.D. studies. Her enthusiasm, integral view on research and her mission for providing high-quality work, has made a deep impression on me.

My special words of thanks should also go to my research panels (Prof. Dr. Nor Azah Yusof and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Roshanida A. Rahman) during my viva session for their guidance and cooperation to improve my Ph.D. thesis. I am grateful to Prof. Dr. Madzlan Aziz from the Faculty of Science who gave me permission to work in his laboratory and use the Autolab potentiostat equipment. I must thank the Cosmetics and Fragrances laboratory, IBD UTM JB (Mrs. NorHayati, Mrs. Azizah, Ms. Faradiana, Mrs. Muna, and Dr. Rosnani), and School of Chemical and Energy Engineering staffs (Mrs. Noranita and Mrs. Lijah) who were always ready to give their timely help whenever required throughout my lab works and thesis submission. I gratefully acknowledge Mybrain Scholarship for providing me financial support through three years and a half. Also, thanks to my proofreader, Dr. Shawalizah, for her understanding and comments towards my Ph.D. thesis.

My heartfelt regard goes to my dearest mother for their love. A special thanks to my beloved aunt and family for their love and affection. My special thanks to fellow labmates; Hulwani, Norzy, Noralina, Ayshah, Bashirah, Zatul, Azrini, Hazwani and Rohaiza for always sharing a great relationship as compassionate friends. I will always cherish the warmth shown by them. Last but not least, a special mention of thanks to my super amazing supporters: Family, Aunt Aloyah, Afzan, Asmida, Maisarah, Noraayu, Zuhaili, Norazila (Azie & Zila), and Fatihah (Tia) for their constant supports, prayers, and cooperation. Their timely help and friendship shall always be remembered. Last but not least, to all people that helps and prays for me indirectly.

Thank you so much.

Thank you, Allah.

Alhamdulillah

ABSTRACT

Skin sensitization is defined as an allergic response to a skin sensitizer upon contact with the skin. Skin sensitization is induced through covalent binding of a skin sensitizer to skin proteins (haptenation process). Skin sensitization is usually studied using wet chemistry method. Previously, surface plasmon resonance (SPR) was used to study skin sensitization to speed up the analysis but SPR biosensor was costly and is less sensitive towards low molecular weight compounds. Due to the limitation of using SPR technology for skin sensitizer analysis, the use of disposable screen printed carbon electrode (SPCE) modified with gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) and cysteine, analyzed using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) has been proposed. The objective of this study was to investigate the interaction of skin sensitizers with AuNPs and cysteine modified SPCE using impedance technique. EIS was carried out to measure the changes in charge transfer resistance of skin sensitizer ($\Delta R_{CT}^{\text{sensitizer}}$) as a result of different binding rates of affinity skin sensitizers to cysteine. SPCE modified through electrodeposition of AuNPs/thiourea/self-assembly of AuNPs/cysteine (designated as ETSC) was selected as the best electrode for the detection of skin sensitizers. Investigation on the effect of potency of skin sensitizers on $\Delta R_{CT}^{\text{sensitizer}}$ readings suggested that $\Delta R_{CT}^{\text{sensitizer}}$ readings were directly proportional to the strength of the skin sensitizers with strong/extreme skin sensitizers displaying higher $\Delta R_{CT}^{\text{sensitizer}}$ readings compared to moderate and weak/non skin sensitizers. Fractional coverage area (θ_{IS}^P) of ETSC modified SPCE exposed with maleic anhydride (extreme/strong sensitizer) was estimated as 0.98 with r_a and $2r_b$ of 2.50 μm and 15.99 μm , respectively. The θ_{IS}^P for ETSC modified SPCE exposed with isoeugenol (moderate sensitizer) and glycerol (weak/non sensitizer) were estimated as 0.9536 and 0.8757, respectively with r_a and $2r_b$ of 4.73 μm and 21.96 μm ; and 7.08 μm and 40.20 μm , respectively. Kinetic study showed that adsorption of skin sensitizers on ETSC modified SPCE followed Langmuir isotherm with a binding rate constant of $5.00 \times 10^{+03} \text{ M}^{-1}$ for maleic anhydride, $2.00 \times 10^{+03} \text{ M}^{-1}$ for isoeugenol and $1.67 \times 10^{+02} \text{ M}^{-1}$ for glycerol. The interaction of the skin sensitizers to the ETSC modified SPCE was probed using Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy and atomic force microscopy method and the results showed discernible differences between different classes of skin sensitizers. The data obtained from human analysis, this work, human cell line activation test (h-CLAT), direct peptide reactivity assay (DPRA), KeratinoSensTM and SPR biosensor matched the categorization of local lymph node assay (LLNA—a gold standard in skin analysis testing) in the following descending order: 96 %, 92 %, 82 %, 70 % (DPRA and KeratinoSensTM) and 12 %. With only 8 % mismatched with the data obtained using LLNA, EIS method employing ETSC modified SPCE used in this research has the potential to be employed as a screening tool for the identification of skin sensitizers.

ABSTRAK

Pemekaan kulit didefinisikan sebagai satu tindak balas alergi apabila pemeka kulit bersentuhan dengan kulit. Pemekaan kulit terjadi melalui ikatan kovalen antara pemeka kulit dengan protein kulit (proses haptenasasi). Kebiasaannya, pemekaan kulit dikaji menggunakan kaedah kimia basah. Sebelum ini, resonans plasmon permukaan (SPR) digunakan untuk mengkaji pemekaan kulit bagi mempercepatkan analisis tetapi biosensor SPR adalah mahal dan kurang peka terhadap sebatian yang mempunyai berat molekul yang rendah. Disebabkan penggunaan teknologi SPR untuk analisis pemekaan kulit terbatas, penggunaan elektrod karbon bercetak skrin (SPCE) pakai buang diubah dengan nanopartikel emas (AuNPs) dan sisteina, dan analisis menggunakan spektroskopi impedansi elektrokimia (EIS) telah dicadangkan. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji hubungan pemeka kulit dengan AuNPs dan sisteina SPCE terubahsuai menggunakan teknik impedans. EIS dijalankan untuk mengukur perubahan rintangan pemindahan cas pemeka kulit ($\Delta R_{CT}^{\text{sensitizer}}$) yang disebabkan oleh kadar pengikatan afiniti pemeka kulit yang berbeza terhadap sisteina. SPCE terubahsuai melalui elektropemendapan AuNPs/tiourea/swa-himpunan AuNPs/sisteina (ETSC) dipilih sebagai elektrod terbaik untuk pengesanan pemeka kulit. Penyiasatan ke atas kesan potensi pemeka kulit terhadap $\Delta R_{CT}^{\text{sensitizer}}$ mencadangkan bacaan $\Delta R_{CT}^{\text{sensitizer}}$ berkadar langsung kepada kekuatan pemeka kulit dengan pemeka kulit yang kuat dengan mempamerkan bacaan $\Delta R_{CT}^{\text{sensitizer}}$ tertinggi berbanding dengan pemeka kulit yang sederhana dan lemah/bukan pemeka. Kawasan penutupan pecahan (θ_{IS}^P) daripada SPCE ETSC terubahsuai yang didedahkan dengan maleik anhidrida (pemeka kulit kuat) dianggarkan 0.98 dengan r_a dan $2r_b$ ialah 2.50 μm dan 15.99 μm . θ_{IS}^P daripada SPCE ETSC terubahsuai terdedah dengan isoeugenol (pemeka kulit sederhana) dan gliserol (pemeka kulit lemah/bukan) yang dianggarkan menjadi 0.9536 dan 0.8757 dengan r_a dan $2r_b$ masing-masing pada 4.73 μm dan 21.96 μm ; dan 7.08 μm dan 40.20 μm . Kajian kinetik menunjukkan penjerapan pemeka kulit mengikuti isoterma Langmuir dengan kadar pengikat malar $5.00 \times 10^{+03} \text{ M}^{-1}$ untuk maleik anhidrida, $2.00 \times 10^{+03} \text{ M}^{-1}$ untuk isoeugenol dan $1.67 \times 10^{+02} \text{ M}^{-1}$ untuk gliserol. Hubungan antara pemeka kulit dengan SPCE ETSC terubahsuai telah disiasat menggunakan kaedah spektroskopi inframerah transformasi Fourier dan mikroskop gaya atom dan perbezaan yang ketara dapat dilihat daripada kumpulan pemeka kulit yang berbeza. Data diperolehi daripada analisis manusia, kerja ini, ujian pengaktifan titisan sel manusia (h-CLAT), biosensor SPR, asai kereaktifan peptida secara langsung (DPRA) dan KeratinoSensTM sepadan dengan kategori daripada asai limfa nodus lokal (LLNA – ialah piawai emas dalam ujian analisis kulit) dengan turutan yang menurun: 96 %, 92 %, 82 %, 70 % (DPRA dan KeratinoSensTM) dan 12%. Memandangkan data hanya 8% yang tidak sepadan dengan data yang diperolehi menggunakan LLNA, kaedah EIS menggunakan SPCE ETSC terubahsuai yang digunakan dalam kerja ini mempunyai potensi untuk digunakan sebagai saringan awal bagi pengenalpastian pemeka kulit.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiiiv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxiv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxv
	CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statements	4
	1.3 Objective	6
	1.4 Hypothesis	6
	1.5 Scopes of the Research	7
	1.6 Rationale and Significance	8
	CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	9
	2.1 Introduction	9
	2.2 What is Wrong with Animal Testing?	9
	2.3 Skin Sensitization	12
	2.3.1 Sensitizers That Cause Skin Sensitization	15
	2.3.2 Reaction of Mechanism for Skin Sensitization	18
	2.3.2.1 Michael Acceptors	19
	2.3.2.2 Acylating Agent	22

2.3.2.3	Schiff Base Formers	24
2.3.2.4	S_NA_r Electrophiles	27
2.3.2.5	S_N1/S_N2 Electrophiles	29
2.3.2.6	Nonreactive	32
2.4	Review of Testing Methods Available For Skin Sensitization	33
2.4.1	Research Centre and Regulation Acceptance for Alternative for Animal Testing	33
2.4.2	<i>In Vivo</i> Test Method	37
2.4.3	<i>In Vitro</i> Test Method	40
2.4.4	<i>In Chemico</i> test Method	45
2.4.5	<i>In Silico test</i> Method	47
2.5	Affinity Nanotechnology–Based Biosensors Concepts	49
2.5.1	Probe–Target Binding	51
2.6	Fabrication of SPCE	53
2.6.1	Electrodeposition of AuNPs	53
2.6.2	Self Assembled Monolayers (SAM)	54
2.6.2.1	Pinholes/defects on Self Assembled Monolayers (SAM)	56
2.7	Measuring Electrochemical Impedance	57
2.7.1	Faradaic and Nonfaradaic	57
2.7.2	Data Fitting	59
2.7.3	Charge Transfer Resistance (R_{CT})	61
2.7.3.1	The Causes of Charge Transfer Resistance Changes (ΔR_{CT})	61
2.8	Interaction of Skin Sensitizers and Cysteine	63
2.8.1	Basic Concepts Thermodynamics and Binding Isotherm	64
2.9	Concluding Remark	66
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	67
3.1	Introduction	67
3.2	Preparation of Experimental Procedures	68
3.2.1	Chemical Reagents and Solutions	68
3.2.2	Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS)	70

3.3	Preparation of EC, ETSC, and ETSTSC Modified SPCE	71
3.3.1	Modification of SPCE with AuNPs and Cysteine	71
3.3.1.1	Pre-treatment for Bare SPCE	72
3.3.1.2	Electrodeposition of AuNPs and Cysteine (designated as EC) Modified SPCE	72
3.3.1.3	Electrodeposition of AuNPs, Self-assembled of AuNPs and Cysteine (designated as ETSC) Modified SPCE	73
3.3.1.4	Electrodeposition of AuNPs, Double Self-Assembled of AuNPs and cysteine (designated as ETSTSC) Modified SPCE	75
3.3.2	Skin Sensitizers	76
3.3.3	Estimation of Fractional Surface Coverage of EC, ETSC, and ETSTSC Modified SPCE Exposed with Skin Sensitizer Calculation	79
3.4	Surface Characterisation of Modified SPCE	80
3.4.1	ETSC Modified SPCE	80
3.4.1.1	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM)	80
3.4.1.2	Energy Dispersive X-Ray Spectroscopy (EDX) Data Analysis	80
3.4.2	ETSC Modified SPCE with Skin Sensitizers	81
3.4.2.1	Fourier-transform Infrared Spectroscopy-Attenuated Total Reflectance (FTIR-ATR) Data Analysis	81
3.4.2.2	Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) Surface Morphologies	81
3.5	The Interaction of Skin Sensitizers for ETSC Modified SPCE with Skin Sensitizers using Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) Technique	82
3.5.1	The Effect of the Potency of Skin Sensitizer on R_{CT}	82
3.5.2	Adsorption Kinetic Studies and the Effect of Concentrations of Skin Sensitizers	83
3.6	Characterisation of ETSC Modified SPCE	84
3.6.1	Stability upon Storage Condition Over Time	84
3.6.2	Repeatability over Skin Sensitizer Immobilisation	84
3.7	Performance of ETSC Modified SPCE	85
3.7.1	Performing the Commercial Cosmetics for Skin Sensitizer Analysis	85

CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	87
4.1	Introduction	87
4.2	Preparation of EC, ETSC, and ETSTSC Modified SPCE	88
4.2.1	Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) Detection Analysis	88
4.3	Surface Characterisation of Modified SPCE	101
4.3.1	ETSC Modified SPCE	101
4.3.2	ETSC Modified SPCE with Skin Sensitizers	104
4.4	Study of Interaction for ETSC Modified SPCE with Skin Sensitizers	115
4.4.1	The Effect of the Potency of Skin Sensitizer on R_{CT}	115
4.4.2	Estimation of the Electrode Fractional Surface Coverage	123
4.4.3	Adsorption Kinetic Studies and the Effect of Concentrations of Skin Sensitizer	130
4.5	Characterisation of ETSC Modified SPCE	134
4.5.1	Stability upon Storage Condition over Time	134
4.5.2	Repeatability over Maleic Anhydride Immobilisation	136
4.6	Study of Skin Sensitivity Comparison Pattern between Established Non–Animal Methods and EIS Data	138
4.6.1	Comparison Pattern between Established Non–Animal Methods and Animal/Human Data	138
4.7	Performance of ETSC Modified SPCE	144
4.7.1	Performance of ETSC Modified SPCE in Analyzing Commercial Cosmetics	144
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	145
5.1	Conclusion	145
5.2	Recommendation	148
	REFERENCES	149
	APPENDICES	183
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	201

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Classification of relative skin sensitization potency based on LLNA EC3 values	16
Table 3.1	List of test chemicals according to a category of skin sensitizing potency (Basketter et al., 2006)	77
Table 4.1	Parameter used to fit the modified SPCE with AuNPs and cysteine for impedance data	95
Table 4.2	Comparison results of the fitting of experimental data for θ_{IS}^P , r_a and $2r_b$	100
Table 4.3	The results for ETSC modified SPCE with the elemental compound of O, C, Au, S, and N	103
Table 4.4	The R_a and the average height for the ETSC modified SPCE with maleic anhydride, isoeugenol, and glycerol	113
Table 4.5	ETSC modified SPCE with different sensitizers against $\Delta R_{CT}^{sensitizer}$ based on the different potency of skin sensitizer in 0.1 M KCl containing 1 mM of $Fe(CN)_6^{3-/4-}$ at 10 mV/s	117
Table 4.6	The range of $\Delta R_{CT}^{sensitizer}$ based on the potency of skin sensitizer for ETSC modified SPCE with sensitizers	120
Table 4.7	Cooper statistics	121
Table 4.8	Parameter used to fit the ETSC modified SPCE with maleic anhydride, isoeugenol, and glycerol for impedance data	126
Table 4.9	The value of θ_{IS}^P , r_a , and $2r_b$ for ETSC modified SPCE with the different skin sensitizer	129
Table 4.10	Adsorption kinetic studies for ETSC modified SPCE with skin sensitizer based on the different potencies of skin sensitizer	132
Table 4.11	Results of ETSC modified SPCE for stability storage study within four weeks in the room temperature and at 4 °C	136

Table 4.12	Repeatability study of ETSC modified SPCE with maleic anhydride	137
Table 4.13	The list of skin sensitizers with the comparison of LLNA, human, this work and alternative non–animal data	140
Table 4.14	The $\Delta R_{CT}^{\text{sensitizer}}$ data for ETSC modified SPCE with the commercial cosmetic (sensitizers) in 0.1M KCl containing 1 mM of $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6^{3-/4-}$ at 10 mV/s	144

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	An illustrative diagram of mechanisms of sensitization in delayed-type IV hypersensitivity (Smith Pease et al., 2003)	13
Figure 2.2	Adverse outcome pathway and associated assay for skin sensitization (Wang et al., 2017)	14
Figure 2.3	The common mechanistic domains for Michael acceptors between sensitizers and cysteine (Roberts et al., 2018)	19
Figure 2.4	The reaction of benzoquinone, phenylenediamine and lauryl gallate with a sulfur group from cysteine based on Michael acceptors (Karlberg et al., 2013)	21
Figure 2.5	The common mechanistic domains for an acylating agent between sensitizers and cysteine (Taylor et al., 2014)	22
Figure 2.6	The reaction of dihydrocoumarin, phthalic anhydride, and oxazolone with a sulfur group from cysteine based on acylating (Urbisch et al., 2015)	23
Figure 2.7	The common mechanistic domains for Schiff base formers between sensitizers and cysteine (Casati et al., 2016)	26
Figure 2.8	The reaction of glutaraldehyde and hydroxycitronellal with NH-protein based on acylating (Enoch et al., 2009).	26
Figure 2.9	The common mechanistic domains for S_NA_r electrophiles between sensitizers and cysteine (Urbisch et al., 2015)	27
Figure 2.10	The reaction of 1-Chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene and TCPN with a sulfur group from cysteine based on the S_NAr pathway (Aptula et al., 2005)	28
Figure 2.11	The common mechanistic domains for S_N1/S_N2 electrophiles between sensitizers and cysteine (Roberts and Aptula, 2013)	30

Figure 2.12	The reaction of methylisothiazolinone with a sulfur group from cysteine based on S_N1/S_N2 electrophiles (Aptula et al., 2005)	31
Figure 2.13	The reaction of abietic acid with a sulfur group from cysteine based on S_N1/S_N2 electrophiles (Enoch et al., 2009)	31
Figure 2.14	The 6-methyl coumarin structure for nonreactive reaction (Casati et al., 2016)	32
Figure 2.15	Generalized affinity biosensor showing (a) the signal flow, (b) the physical arrangement and (c) the steps involved (Daniels and Pourmand, 2012)	50
Figure 2.16	Model nucleophiles represent the reactive group in amino acid (in the box) of cysteine, lysine, and histidine (Bischoff et al., 2012)	51
Figure 2.17	Comparison between the (a) direct biosensor and (b) indirect biosensor where the analyte is detected (Daniels and Pourmand, 2012)	52
Figure 2.18	Schematic of electrodeposition of AuNPs on the modified SPCE surface (Dolati et al., 2011)	53
Figure 2.19	Schematic of SAM that indicated headgroup for chemisorbing (Schreiber et al., 2000)	55
Figure 2.20	Schematic representations of pinholes/defects arrangement model with r_a and $2r_b$ surrounding the microarray electrode.	56
Figure 2.21	Basic circuit models for (a) non-Faradaic interface and (b) Faradaic interface (Daniels and Pourmand, 2007)	57
Figure 2.22	Example of Faradaic and non-Faradaic impedance data in (a) Nyquist with (b) Bode plots (Daniels and Pourmand, 2007)	59
Figure 2.23	Equivalent circuits with different charge transfer and kinetic control in Randles cell (Barsoukov et al., 2005)	60
Figure 2.24	Schematic diagram of the (a) equivalent circuit to fit impedance spectra and (b) ΔR_{CT} in Nyquist plot (Daniels and Pourmand, 2007)	62
Figure 3.1	Flowchart for the study of EC, ETSC, and ETSTSC modified SPCE for skin sensitizer studies	68

Figure 3.2	Schematic diagram of SPCE (Metrohm Malaysia)	70
Figure 3.3	The set up for EIS experiments	71
Figure 3.4	Flow chart of fabrication for EC modified SPCE	73
Figure 3.5	Flow chart of the fabrication for ETSC modified SPCE	74
Figure 3.6	Flow chart of the fabrication for ETSTSC modified SPCE	76
Figure 4.1	Nyquist plots of 1 mM of $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6^{3-/4-}$ containing 0.1 M KCl of SPCE: (a) EC, (b) ETSC, and (c) ETSTSC modified SPCE with multiple layer of AuNPs, thiourea and cysteine	89
Figure 4.2	Equivalent circuits for $R_s [R_{CT} (CPEW)]$	90
Figure 4.3	Nyquist plots of impedance spectra obtained in 1mM of $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6^{3-/4-}$ containing 0.1 M KCl at 10 mV/s and graphs of: (a) EC (b) ETSC and (c) ETSTSC modified SPCE exposed with and without maleic anhydride (n=3)	92
Figure 4.4	Equivalent ideal Randles circuit that was modified	94
Figure 4.5	Faradaic impedance plots, Z'_f and $\omega^{-1/2}$, in the presence of 1 mM of $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6^{3-/4-}$ in 0.1 M KCl: (a) AuNPs SPCE; (b) EC; (c) ETSC; and (d) ETSTSC. With $A = 0.1256 \text{ cm}^2$	97
Figure 4.6	Faradaic impedance plots, Z'_f and $\omega^{-1/2}$, in the presence of 1 mM of $\text{Fe}(\text{CN})_6^{3-/4-}$ in 0.1 M KCl: (a) EC, (b) ETSC and (c) ETSTSC modified SPCE exposed with maleic anhydride. $A_{\text{SPCE}} = 0.1256 \text{ cm}^2$	99
Figure 4.7	FESEM images for ETSC modified SPCE at different magnifications (a) 50 μm (1.00 k) and (b) 20 μm size (2.50 k) (Arrows indicate the immobilized cysteine)	102
Figure 4.8	EDX graph for ETSC modified SPCE showing the elemental compound of O, C, Au, S, and N	103
Figure 4.9	Possible binding mechanisms of (a) maleic anhydride and (b) isoeugenol with cysteine	107

Figure 4.10	The FTIR–ATR graph of ETSC modified SPCE exposure to maleic anhydride	108
Figure 4.11	The FTIR–ATR graph of ETSC modified SPCE exposure to isoeugenol	109
Figure 4.12	The FTIR–ATR graph of ETSC modified SPCE exposure to glycerol	110
Figure 4.13	The schematic model of ESTC modified SPCE immobilized with potential sensitizers (maleic anhydride, isoeugenol, and glycerol)	111
Figure 4.14	AFM topography images of the ETSC modified SPCE exposed with (a) maleic anhydride, (b) isoeugenol, and (c) glycerol	114
Figure 4.15	Plot of ETSC modified SPCE with different skin sensitizer against $\Delta R_{CT}^{skin\ sensitizer}$ in 0.1 M KCl containing 1 mM of $Fe(CN)_6^{3-/4-}$ at 10 mV/s based on the different potencies of skin sensitizers	122
Figure 4.16	The Nyquist plots of $-Z'$ and $-Z''$ for ETSC modified SPCE with (a) MA=maleic anhydride, (b) ISO=isoeugenol and (c) GLY=glycerol in 0.1 M KCl containing 1 mM of $Fe(CN)_6^{3-/4-}$ at 10 mV/s. Working electrode area = 0.1256 cm ²	125
Figure 4.17	The Faradaic impedance plots of Z'_f and $\omega^{-1/2}$ for ETSC modified SPCE with (a) maleic anhydride, (b) isoeugenol, and (c) glycerol in 0.1 M KCl containing 1 mM of $Fe(CN)_6^{3-/4-}$ at 10 mV/s. Working electrode area = 0.1256 cm ²	128
Figure 4.18	The graphs of concentration for (a) maleic anhydride, (b) isoeugenol and (c) glycerol on ETSC modified SPCE against $\Delta R_{CT}^{sensitizer}$ in 0.1 M KCl containing 1 mM of $Fe(CN)_6^{3-/4-}$ at 10 mV/s	131
Figure 4.19	Plots of C/θ against a concentration of (a) maleic anhydride, (b) isoeugenol, and (c) glycerol on ETSC modified SPCE against in 0.1 M KCl containing 1 mM of $Fe(CN)_6^{3-/4-}$ at 10 mV/s	133

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

A θ	– Inactive Area
AC	– Alternating Current
ACD	– Allergic Contact Dermatitis
AD	– Applicability Domains
AFM	– Atomic Force Microscopy
AOP	– Adverse Outcome Pathway
ARDA	– Amino Acid Derivative Reactivity Assay
ARE	– Antioxidant/Electrophile Response Element
AuNPs	– Gold Nanoparticles
ATP	– Adenosine Triphosphate
BBC	– British Broadcasting Corporation
BrdU	– 5-bromo-2-deoxyuridine
CAS	– Chemical Abstracts Service
CCR	– Correct Classification Rate
CE	– Counter Electrode
CIR	– Cosmetic Ingredient Review
CPE	– Constant Phase Element
CTFRA	– Cosmetic, Toiletries and Fragrance
CV	– Cyclic Voltammetry
Das	– Defined Approaches
DC	– Dendritic Cells
DMSO	– Dimethyl Sulfoxide
DNA	– Deoxyribonucleic Acid

DPRA	– Direct Peptide Reactivity Assay
EASA	– Electrophilic Allergen Screening Assay
EC3	– Emulsion Concentrate 3
EC	– SPCE/Electrodeposition of AuNPs/Cysteine
ECVAM	– European Center for Validation of Alternative Methods
EDX	– Energy Disperse X-Ray Spectroscopy
ELISA	– Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay
ESC	– SPCE/Electrodeposition of AuNPs/SAM of AuNPs/Cysteine
ESSC	– SPCE/Electrodeposition of AuNPs/ SAM of AuNPs/SAM of AuNPs/Cysteine
ETSC	– SPCE/Electrodeposition of AuNPs/Thiourea/SAM of AuNPs/Cysteine
ETSTSC	– SPCE/Electrodeposition of AuNPs/ Thiourea/SAM of AuNPs/Thiourea/SAM of AuNPs/Cysteine
EIS	– Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy
ESAC	– EURL ECVAM's Scientific Advisory Committee
EU	– European union
EU-NETVAL	– European Network of Laboratories for the Validation of Alternative Methods
EURL ECVAM	– European Union Reference Laboratory for Alternatives to Animal Testing
FCA	– Freund's Complete Adjuvant
FDA	– Food and Drug Administration
FESEM	– Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy
FITC	– Fluorescein Isothiocyanate

FRA	– Frequency Response Analysis
FTIR–ATR	– Fourier–Transform Infrared Spectroscopy – Attenuated Total Reflectance
GARD skin	– Genomic Allergen Rapid Detection
GHS	– Globally Harmonized System
GLY	– Glycerol
GPES	– General Purpose Electrochemical System Software
GPMT	– Guinea Pig Maximization Test
GPS	– GARD Prediction Signature
hCLAT	– Human Cell Line Activation Test
HPLC	– High–Performance Liquid Chromatography
ICATM	– International Cooperation on Alternative Test Methods
ICCR	– International Cooperation on Cosmetics Regulation
ICCVAM	– Interagency Coordinating Committee on the Validation of Alternative Methods
IgE	– Immunoglobulin E
IHCP	– International Health And Consumer Protection
IL–8 Luc assay	– Interleukin–8 Reporter Gene Assay
InChI™	– IUPAC International Chemical Identifier
INRA	– Institute National de la Recherche Agronomique
ISO	– Isoeugenol
IUPAC	– International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry
JaCVAM	– Japanese Center for the Validation of Alternative Methods

JSAAE	– Japanese Society for Alternatives to Animal Experiments
KeratinoSens TM	– ARE–Nrf2 Luciferase Test Method
KoCVAM	– Korean Center for the Validation of Alternative Methods
LC	– Langerhans Cell
LLNA	– Local Lymph Node Assay
LuSens	– Luciferase Gene Assay
LVMH	– Louis Vuitton Moet Hennessy
MA	– Maleic Anhydride
MEPs	– Member of the European Parliament
MHC	– Major Histocompatibility Complex
MIE	– Molecular Initiating Event
mLLNA	– Murine Local Lymph Node Assay
MOC	– Memorandum of Cooperation
NICEATM	– Interagency Center for the Evaluation of Alternative Toxicological Methods
NIEHS	– National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
NTP	– National Toxicology Program
OECD	– Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development
PBTG	– Performance–Based Test Guideline
QSAR	– Quantitative Structure–Activity Relationship
r_a	– Active Sites With Radius
$2r_b$	– Distances Between Two Adjacent Active Sites
R_a	– Surface Roughness
R_d	– Diffusion Resistance

RE	– Reference Electrode
REACH	– Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation, and Restriction of Chemicals
R_{CT}^{EC}	– Charge transfer resistance for EC
R_{CT}^{ETSC}	– Charge Transfer Resistance for ETSC
R_{CT}^{ETSTSC}	– Charge Transfer Resistance for ETSTSC
$R_{CT}^{EC+Maleic\ Anhydride}$	– Charge Transfer Resistance for EC with Maleic Anhydride
$R_{CT}^{ETSC+Maleic\ Anhydride}$	– Charge Transfer Resistance for ETSC with Maleic Anhydride
$R_{CT}^{ETSTSC+Maleic\ Anhydride}$	– Charge Transfer Resistance for ETSTSC with Maleic Anhydride
rLLNA	– Reduced LLNA
R_s	– Solution Resistance
RSD	– Relative Standard Deviation
SAM	– Self-Assembled Monolayer
SEURAT-1	– Safety Evaluation Ultimately Replacing Animal Testing
SDD	– Silicone Drift Detector
SI	– Stimulation Index
SMILES	– Formula, Simplified Molecular-Input Line-Entry System
SPE	– Screen-printed electrode
SPCE	– Screen-Printed Carbon Electrode
SPM	– Scanning Probe Microscopy
SPR	– Surface Plasmon Resonance
SVM	– Support Vector Machine
TCPN	– Tetrachloroisophthalonitrile

TG	– Test Guideline
TPSA	– Topological Polar Surface Area
UPMU	– University Laboratory Management Unit
U-SENS™	– U937 Cell Line Activation Test
UTM	– Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
WE	– Working Electrode
Z_D	– Diffusion Impedance

LIST OF SYMBOLS

σ	–	Warburg Coefficient
ε	–	Epsilon
C_i	–	Inhibitor Concentration
D	–	Diffusion Coefficient
i_0	–	Current Exchange Density
F	–	Faraday Constant
K_b	–	Binding Constant
K_d	–	Dissociation Constant
R	–	Gas Constant
m	–	Slope
n	–	Number of Electrons Involved
T	–	Temperature
W	–	Warburg Impedance
$Z(\omega)$	–	Impedance
θ_{IS}^P	–	Fractional Surface Coverage for EIS
ΔG	–	Gibbs Free Energy Changes
ΔH	–	Binding Enthalpy Changes
ΔH_{ads}	–	Heat of Adsorption Changes
ΔR_{CT}	–	Charge Transfer Resistance Changes
$\Delta R_{CT}^{sensitizer}$	–	Charge Transfer Resistance Changes for Sensitizer

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	SUPPLEMENTARY 1	183
Appendix B	SUPPLEMENTARY 2	187
Appendix C	SUPPLEMENTARY 3	193
Appendix D	SUPPLEMENTARY 4	197
Appendix E	SUPPLEMENTARY 5	199

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Cosmetics and personal care products are any substances that are used for body cleaning and promoting attractiveness of oneself. Safety analysis on ingredients of cosmetics and personal care products should always be made, to ensure the safety of these products (Bil et al., 2017). Determination of toxicity potential of the ingredients is the first step in hazard assessment of cosmetics and personal care products. The European Economic Community of Cosmetic Directives has suggested the following tests are needed for the safety evaluation of the ingredients of cosmetics and personal care products. The safety evaluations are including acute toxicity, percutaneous absorption, skin irritant, eye irritant, skin sensitization, and photosensitization, subchronic toxicity, mutagenicity and genotoxicity, phototoxicity and photoirritation, photomutagenicity, photogenotoxicity, metabolism studies, and long term toxicity studies (Basketter et al., 2006).

In this study, the focus is on the investigation of the skin sensitizing potential of a cosmetic ingredient. Skin sensitization is defined as an allergic response to any substance (known as skin sensitizers) upon contact with the skin. The haptening of skin sensitization is bound by covalent bonding of hapten (skin sensitizers) to skin proteins (as a first key event) (cysteine or lysine residues) which leads to activation of keratinocytes (as a second key event). The third key event is the activation of dendritic cells, which is caused by hapten–protein complexes as well as by signaling from activated keratinocytes. Dendritic cells subsequently migrate out of the epidermis to the local lymph node which to T–lymphocytes (T–cells) (as a fourth key event) (Wang et al., 2017).

Animal testing was completely banned in the European countries starting in March 2013. The cosmetics that were tested on animals are prohibited from entering the market. As an effort towards reducing animal testing, the scientific validity of the Local Lymph Node Assay (LLNA) had been endorsed by the European Union Reference Laboratory for Alternatives to Animal Testing (EURL ECVAM) of Scientific Advisory Committee in 2000 (Alexandre et al., 2011). Ryan et al. (2000) have reported that LLNA is a predictive test to screen the skin sensitizers that have potential in causing skin sensitization by utilizing guinea pig and human. The LLNA is an *in vivo* method and has been considered as a reduction method to reduce the number of animals required by 17 % to evaluate the positive response towards allergenic contact sensitizing activity (Basketter et al., 2018).

However, animal testing has become controversial due to ethical issues. Hence, many efforts have been spent on alternatives to animal testing. Non-animal testing methods for the identification of potential skin sensitizers test substances such as studies based on dendritic cell activation (Forreryd et al., 2018), protein binding (Wareing et al., 2017), and keratinocytes activation (Klicznik et al., 2018) have been developed. However, the validated alternative non-animal testing methods have not been validated as stand-alone replacements for the animal test.

Currently, the EURL ECVAM committee has validated alternative non-animal testing methods for replacement of the animal testing, namely, direct peptide reactivity assay (DPRA), ARE-Nrf2 Luciferase Test Method (KeratiSensTM), U937 cell line activation test (U-SENS), Interleukin-8 reporter gene assay (IL-8 Luc assay) and human cell line activation test (h-CLAT). At least 2 out of 3 negative results are needed to meet regulatory requirements as a single alternative non-animal testing method has not been able to provide enough information due to the complexity of the skin sensitization endpoint in comparison to the animal testing methods data (Alexandre et al., 2011).

In general, the validated alternative non–animal testing methods have exhibited good prediction when compared to human and LLNA data. The ‘2 out of 3’ prediction model achieved accuracies of 90 % and 79 % when compared to the human and LLNA data, respectively (Urbisch et al., 2015). The electrophilic reactivity property of skin sensitizers can be used as a skin sensitizers screening tool (Urbisch et al. 2016). Merckel et al (2013) have reported that the strongest nucleophiles potential in an amino acid is the sulfhydryl group of cysteine, imidazole group of histidine, and ϵ –amino group of lysine.

Investigators have always been interested in pursuing a method in measuring a chemical’s reactivity based on quantitative peptide–based reactivity assay that would have utility for screening a chemical’s skin sensitization potential as defined in the Local Lymph Node Assay (LLNA) (Gerberick et al., 2009; Troutman et al., 2011; Cho et al., 2019). DPRA is an *in chemico* test method that addresses peptide reactivity that is postulated to be the molecular initiating event of skin sensitization (first key event). Reactivity is measured by analyzing the interaction between the substances or skin sensitizers to the synthetic heptapeptides such as cysteine and lysine. This test method was adopted in 2015 under the standard test method Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) 442C. Over the years, the modifications of DPRA have been studied by the investigators such as precipitation of the chemicals (Yamamoto et al., 2019), *in silico* methods with QSAR Toolbox and TIMES SS (Urbish et al., 2016) and using high–pressure liquid chromatography (Zhang et al., 2018).

In 2009, researchers from the Institute National de la Recherche Agronomique (INRA) has worked with Louis Vuitton Moet Hennessy (LVMH) to fabricate a skin sensitizer based on electrophilic assay (cysteine, lysine, and histidine) (Achilleos et al., 2009). Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR) biosensor was used to calculate the interaction between a ligand and an immobilized analyte (Ahmed et al., 2010) using the direct binding of protein residues as a biosensor. The

result is observed directly through the changes in the refractive index at the surface of the biosensor. Kinetic measurements obtained using SPR can measure real-time binding value (Liu et al., 2014). However, the disadvantages of SPR include long response time requiring a high volume of the sample, high regeneration time, and costly detection technique (Nguyen et al., 2015).

Due to the limitation of SPR technology, in this research work, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was proposed as a potential technique to study the interaction of skin sensitizers with nucleophilic amino acids based on peptide binding reactivity. Disposable screen printed carbon electrode modified with gold and cysteine was proposed to be utilized to address the issue of cost and selectivity. Until this work was written, no study on the use of EIS to study peptide binding reactivity with skin sensitizers was reported. The benefits of EIS include high sensitivity, low cost, label-free strategy, and simplicity as compared to the SPR technique (Radhakrishnan et al., 2014). EIS measures current-voltage ratio events without disturbing the properties of the analyte (Chen et al., 2013).

The fabrication of the disposable screen printed carbon electrode (SPCE) was done using gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) and cysteine. Nanotechnology can improve the detection performance of biosensors. A great number of nanomaterials, such as nanoparticle, carbon nanotube and polymer nanotube have been applied in the development of biosensors (Lu et al., 2017, Li et al., 2017).

1.2 Problem Statements

DPRA is a skin sensitizer screening technique based on peptide-based reactivity assay. The current limitation of the DPRA technique is that it is a wet chemistry method and the analysis is time-consuming (Roberts et al., 2018). In 2009, Achilleos et al (2009) studied the interaction of nucleophilic amino acid residues with skin sensitizers using SPR technology in skin sensitization analysis. However,

the biosensor based on this technology still has its limitation such as its bulky size and high cost of production. Other than that, SPR has good mass sensitivity to high molecular weight molecules but low molecular weight compounds are more difficult to detect. Since skin sensitizers are low molecular weight compounds, the SPR based skin sensitization analysis have low detection accuracy.

Due to the limitation of using SPR technology for skin sensitizer analysis, the fabrication of disposable SPCE modified with AuNPs and cysteine and analysed using EIS was proposed in this study. The interaction of skin sensitizers with AuNPs and cysteine modified SPCE that leads to the haptentation mechanism was analysed using impedance technique. The category of skin sensitizer potency (extracted from LLNA data and provided in Appendix A) (Baketter et al., 2011) was analysed based on ΔR_{ct} value. Thus far, this type of technology has not been proposed and reported. Therefore, this study aimed to prove this concept.

SPCE addresses the issues of cost viability and portability with straightforward and inexpensive analytical methods (Hayat and Marty, 2014). The application of self-assembled monolayer (SAM) technique in the construction of SPCE has attracted considerable attention since it provides many advantages, such as ease of preparation, excellent stability, reproducibility, versatility, and the possibility of incorporating different chemical functionalities to produce a high molecular order of monolayers. Many researchers have used AuNPs in the fabrication of SPCE since they exhibit excellent selectivity and sensitivity for studies on electron transfer mechanism (Pooi See et al., 2011). This is because AuNPs can be self-assembled onto the modified electrode in the fabrication process.

Apart from the SAM technique, electrodeposition is another method that can be used to coat a thin film of the material of interest onto a conductive substrate surface (Li et al., 2018). It is a simple technique and is equivalent to the electroplating process (Somé et al., 2016). In this work, SAM and electrodeposition of AuNPs techniques were combined to produce modified SPCE with good

reproducibility. Cysteine was reported to be more reactive than histidine and lysine in detecting skin sensitizers using a direct binding assay (Wareing et al., 2017). Thus, in this study, cysteine was chosen as the main bio-recognition element for the modified SPCE for skin sensitization analysis.

Direct immobilisation of cysteine onto a working surface of modified SPCE was not possible; instead, AuNPs were immobilised on the working surface modified SPCE followed by self-assembly of cysteine on AuNPs (Ee et al., 2015). Cysteine is an amino acid that has a thiol group that can bind to AuNPs. The use of AuNPs and cysteine to modify SPCE has been reported by Teh Ubaidah (2014). Hence, this technique was utilized in this work to study the interaction of skin sensitizers with cysteine using EIS.

1.3 Objective

The main objectives of this research were :

1. To modify SPCE with AuNPs and cysteine for skin sensitization analysis.
2. To characterize the modified SPCE in regards to its capability in analyzing skin sensitizers.
3. To study the interaction of skin sensitizers with AuNPs and cysteine modified SPCE using impedance technique.

1.4 Hypothesis

The potency is directly proportional to the amount of charge transfer resistance (R_{CT}) value required to initiate the pathway leading ultimately to a skin sensitization event. That is, the higher R_{CT} value produced from the haptention of cysteine-skin sensitizer, the more potent the chemical would be.

1.5 Scopes of the Research

The experiments were divided into four parts: preparation, characterization, study of interaction of skin sensitizer with the modified SPCEs, and comparison study of the EIS data with other methods.

AuNPs and cysteine were used in the fabrication of modified SPCE to detect the haptentation between the skin sensitizers and the cysteine. Three methods of modification of SPCEs with AuNPs–cysteine SPCEs were considered. The electrodeposition of AuNPs followed by self–assembly of cysteine (designated as EC); electrodeposition of AuNPs followed by self–assembly of AuNPs and cysteine (designated as ETSC); and electrodeposition of AuNPs followed by double self–assembly of AuNPs and cysteine (designated as ETSTSC). The EC, ETSC, and ETSTSC modified SPCEs were compared and analysed using EIS.

Repeatability study using maleic anhydride for EC, ETSC, and ETSTSC modified SPCE was done using ten different modified SPCEs. Stability upon storage condition over time for EC, ETSC, and ETSTSC modified SPCE was conducted at room temperature and refrigerator for a month. Surface characterization of the ETSC modified SPCE was conducted using energy disperse x–ray spectroscopy (EDX) and field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM).

Next, the effect of the potency of skin sensitizers on the readings of $\Delta R_{CT}^{\text{skin sensitizer}}$ for three categories of skin sensitizers potency, which were extreme/strong, moderate, and weak/non skin sensitizer was studied. The mechanism of haptentation process between skin sensitizers and the modified SPCEs was probed using fourier–transform infrared spectroscopy–attenuated total reflectance (FTIR–ATR) and atomic force microscopy (AFM) analysis. Adsorption kinetic studies and the study of the effect of concentrations of skin sensitizers on the readings of the ETSC modified SPCE were also conducted.

After that, the data obtained from other skin sensitization study methods such as LLNA, human, DPRA, KeratinoSensTM, and h-CLAT were compared with the data obtained from this work. Lastly, ETSC modified SPCE was used to analyse commercial cosmetics.

1.6 Rationale and Significance

The original contribution to knowledge is the modified SPCE in this work is similar to a diagnostic kit with respect to qualitative measurements. Also, this modified SPCE is defined as user friendly that is easy to use and measure. Besides, disposable modified SPCE is provided the rapid detection with skin sensitizers at low cost compared to SPR technology. Other than that, the modified SPCE is used for routine screening purposes during early cosmetics and personal care product development. In this way, it may be useful to examine the data set between reactivity profiles for structural skin sensitizers to correlate the peptide reactivity data with a category of potency skin sensitizing.

REFERENCES

- Abraham, A., Gullion Terry, Andrew J. Ilott, and Joel Miller. (2012) 'H MAS NMR study of cysteine-coated AuNPs', *Journal of physical chemistry B*, 116, 7771–7775.
- Achilleos, C., Tailhardat, M., Courtellemont, P., Varlet, B. L., and Dupont, D. (2009) 'Investigation of surface plasmon resonance biosensor for skin sensitizers studies', *Toxicology in Vitro*, 23 (2), 308–318.
- Ade, N., Leon, F., Pallardy, M., Peiffer, J. L., Kerdine-Romer, S., Tissier, M. H., Bonnet, P. A., Fabre, I., and Ourlin, J. C. (2009) 'HMOX1 and NQO1 genes are upregulated in response to contact sensitizers in dendritic cells and THP-1 cell line: role of the Keap1/Nrf2 pathway', *Toxicological Sciences*, 107(2), 451–60.
- Adler, S., Basketter, D., and Creton, S. (2011) 'Alternative (non-animal) methods for cosmetics testing: current status and future prospects-2010', *Archives of Toxicology*, 85, 367–485.
- Ahmed, F. E., Wiley, J. E., Weidner, D. A., Bonnerup, C., Mota, H. (2010) 'Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) spectrometry as a tool to analyze nucleic acid-protein interactions in crude cellular extracts', *Cancer Genomics and Proteomics*, 7(6), 303–310.
- Aizawa, H., Tozuka, M., Kurosawa, S., Kobayashi, K., Reddy, S.M., and Higuchi, M. (2007) 'Surface plasmon resonance based trace detection of small molecules by competitive and signal enhancement immunoreaction', *Analytica Chemical Acta*, 59, 191–194.
- Akyilmaz, E. and Dinçkaya, E. (2005) 'An amperometric microbial biosensor development based on candida tropicalis yeast cells for sensitive determination of ethanol', *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 20(7), 1263–1239.
- Aleksic, M., Thain, E., Roger, D., Saib, O., Davies, M., Li, J., Aptula, A., and Zazzeroni, R. (2009) 'Reactivity profiling: covalent modification of single nucleophile peptides for skin sensitization risk assessment', *Toxicology Science*, 108, 401–411.

- Alexandre Angers–Loustau, Luca Tosti, and Silvia Casati. (2011) ‘The regulatory use of the local lymph node assay for the notification of new chemicals in Europe’, *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 60, 300–307.
- Alves (a), V. M., Muratov, E., Fourches, D., Strickland, J., Kleinstreuer, N., Andrade, C. H., and Tropsha, A. (2015) ‘Predicting chemically–induced skin reactions. Part I: QSAR models of skin sensitization and their application to identify potentially hazardous compounds’, *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 284(2), 262–272.
- Alves (b), V. M., Alves, V. M., Muratov, E., Fourches, D., Strickland, J., Kleinstreuer, N., Andrade, C. H., and Tropsha, A. (2015) ‘Predicting chemically–induced skin reactions. Part II: QSAR models of skin permeability and the relationships between skin permeability and skin sensitization’, *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 284(2), 273–280.
- Ali, T. A., Mohamed, G. G., Azzam, E. M. S., and Abd–Elaal, A. A. (2014) ‘Thiol surfactant assembled on gold nanoparticles ion exchanger for screen–printed electrode fabrication. Potentiometric determination of Ce(III) in environmental polluted samples’, *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 191, 192–203.
- Andrienko, D. (2008) ‘Cyclic Voltammetry’, *Cyclic Voltammetry*, 1–12.
- Ashby, J., Basketter, D. A., Paton, D., and Kimber, I. (1995) ‘Structure activity relationships in skin sensitization using the murine local lymph node assay’, *Toxicology*, 103, 177–194.
- Ashikaga, T., Yoshida, Y., Hirota, M., Yoneyama, K., Itagaki, H., Sakaguchi, H., Miyazawa, M., Ito, Y., Suzuki, H., and Toyoda, H. (2006) ‘Development of an *in vitro* skin sensitization test human cell lines: the human cell line activation test (h–CLAT)’, *Toxicology in Vitro*, 20, 767–773.
- Aptula, A. O., Patlewicz, G., and Roberts, D. W. (2005) ‘Skin sensitization: reaction mechanistic applicability domains for structure–activity relationships’, *Chemical Research in Toxicology*, 18, 1420–1426.
- Aptula, A. O., Patlewicz, G., Roberts, D. W. and Schultz, T. W. (2006) ‘Non–enzymatic glutathione reactivity and *in vitro* toxicity: a non–animal approach to skin sensitization’, *Toxicology In Vitro*, 20, 239–247.

- Aptula, A. O., Roberts, D. W., and Patlewicz, G. (2007) 'Electrophilic chemistry related to skin sensitization. Reaction mechanistic applicability domain classification for a published data set of 106 chemicals tested in the mouse local lymph node assay', *Chemical Research in Toxicology*, 20, 44–60.
- Apyari, V. V., Arkhipova, V. V., Isachenko, A. I., Volkov, P. A., Dmitrienko, S. G., and Torocheshnikova, I. I. (2018) 'Label-free gold nanoparticle-based sensing of cysteine: New peculiarities and prospects', *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, 260, 953–961.
- Aydin, M., Aydin, E. B. and Sezginürk, M. K. (2018) 'A disposable immunosensor using ITO based electrode modified by a star-shaped polymer for analysis of tumor suppressor protein p53 in human serum', *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 107, 1–9.
- Azizian, S., Eris, S. and Wilson, L. D. (2018) 'Re-evaluation of the century-old Langmuir isotherm for modeling adsorption phenomena in solution', *Chemical Physics*, 513(May), 99–104.
- Azzouzi, S., Rotariu, L., Benito, A. M., Maser, W. K., Ben Ali, M., and Bala, C. (2015) 'A novel amperometric biosensor based on gold nanoparticles anchored on reduced graphene oxide for sensitive detection of l-lactate tumor biomarker', *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 69, 280–286.
- Barbero, G., Alexe-Ionescu, A. L., and Lelidis, I. (2005) 'Significance of small voltage in impedance spectroscopy measurements on electrolytic cells', *Journal of Applied Physics*, 98, 113703 (1–5)
- Barhouni, A. H., Maaref, A., Rammah, M., Martelet, C., Jaffrezic, N., Mousty, C., Vial, S., and Forano, C. (2006) 'Urea biosensor based on Zn₃Al –Urease layered double hydroxides nanohybrid coated on insulated silicon structures', *Materials Science and Engineering C*, 26, 328–333.
- Barsoukov, E. and Macdonald, J. R. (2005) *Impedance Spectroscopy – Theory, Experiment, and Applications*. 2nd Edition. United States of America :Wiley Interscience.

- Barton, A. C., Collyer, S. D., Davis, F., Garifallou, G. Z., Tsekenis, G., Tully, E., O'Kennedy, R., Gibson, T., Millner, Paul A., and Higson, S. P. J. (2009) 'Labelless Ac impedimetric antibody-based sensors with pgml⁻¹ sensitives for point-of-care biomedical applications', *Biosensor and Bioelectronics*, 24, 1090–1095.
- Basketter, D. A., and Scholes, E. W. (1992) 'Comparison of the local lymph node assay with the guinea pig maximization test for the detection of a range of contact allergens', *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 30, 65–69.
- Basketter, D., Dooms-goossens, A. N. and Karlberg, A. (1995) 'The chemistry of contact allergy: why is a molecule allergenic?', *Contact Dermatitis*, 32, 65–73.
- Basketter, D. A., Lea, L. J., Cooper, K., Dickens, A., Briggs, D., Pate, I., Dearman, R. J., and Kimber, L. (1999) 'A comparisan of statistical approaches to derivation of EC3 values from local lymph node assay dose responses', *Journal Application of Toxicological*, 19, 261–266.
- Basketter, D., Casati, S., Gerberick, G., Frank, G., Peter, G., Barry, P., and Andrew, W. (2005) 'Skin sensitisation', *American Theological Library Association Alternatives to Laboratory Animals*, 33, 83–103.
- Basketter, D. A., and Kimber, I. (2006) 'Predictive test for irritants and allergens and their use in quantitative risk assessment', *Contact Dermatitis*, 179–188.
- Basketter, D., Darlenski, R., and Fluhr, J. W. (2008) 'Skin irritation and sensitization: mechanisms and new approaches for risk assessment', *Skin Pharmacology and Physiology*, 21, 191–202.
- Basketter, D. A. (2012) 'The identification of skin allergens by *in vivo* assay', *Kanerva's Occupational Dermatology*, 1147–1153.
- Basketter, D., Alépée, N., Casati, S., Crozier, J., Eigler, D., Griem, P., Hubesch, B., de Knecht, J., Landsiedel, R., Louekari, K., Manou, I., Maxwell, G., Mehling, A., Netzeva, T., Petry, T., and Rossi, L. H. (2013) 'Skin sensitisation—moving forward with non-animal testing strategies for regulatory purposes in the EU', *Regulatory toxicology and pharmacology*, 67(3), 531–535.

- Basketter, D. A., Alépée, N., Ashikaga, T., Barroso, J., Gilmour, N., Goebel, C., Hibatallah, J., Hoffmann, S., Kern, P., Martinozzi–Teissier, S., Maxwell, G., Reisinger, K., Sakaguchi, H., Schepky, A., Tailhardat, M., and Templier, M. (2014) ‘Categorization of chemicals according to their relative human skin sensitizing potency’, *Dermatitis*, 25, 11–21.
- Basketter, D. A., Natsch, A., Ellis, G., Api, A. M., Irizar, A., Safford, B., Ryan, C., and Kern, P. (2018) ‘Interspecies assessment factors and skin sensitization risk assessment’, *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 97(February), 186–188.
- Basketter, D. and Kimber, I. (2011) ‘Predictive tests for irritants and allergens and their use in quantitative risk assessment’, *Contact Dermatitis*, 179–187.
- Benigni, R., Bossa, C. and Tcheremenskaia, O. (2016) ‘A data–based exploration of the adverse outcome pathway for skin sensitization points to the necessary requirements for its prediction with alternative methods’, *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 78, 45–52.
- Bergfeld W. F., Belsito D. V., Marks J.G. Jr., and Andersen F.A. (2005) ‘Safety of ingredients used in cosmetics’, *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, 52(1), 125–32.
- Bernalte, E., Mari’n Sa’nchez, C. and Pinilla Gil, E. (2012) ‘Determination of mercury in indoor dust samples by ultrasonic probe microextraction and stripping voltammetry on AuNPs–modified screen–printed electrodes’, *Talanta*, 97, 187–192.
- Bertrand, F., Basketter, D. A., Roberts, D. W., and Lepoittevin, J. P. (1997) ‘Skin sensitization to eugenol and isoeugenol in mice: Possible metabolic pathways involving ortho–quinone and quinone methide intermediates’, *Chemical Research in Toxicology*, 10 (3), 335–343.
- Bil, W., Schuur, A.G., Ezendam, J., and Bokkers, B.G.H. (2017) ‘Probabilistic derivation of the interspecies assessment factor for skin sensitization’, *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 88, 34–44

- Boss, C., Eric Meurvillea, Jean–Michel Sallese, and Peter Rysera (2012) ‘Size–selective diffusion in nanoporous alumina membranes for a glucose affinity sensor’, *Journal of Membrane Science*, 401–402, 217–221.
- Bruze, M., Andersen K. E., and Goossens A. (2008) ‘Recommendation to include fragrance mix 2 and hydroxyisohexyl 3–cyclohexene carboxaldehyde (lyral) in the European baseline patch test series’, *Contact Dermatitis*, 58(3), 129–33.
- Buck, R. P., and Lindner, E. (1994) ‘Recommendations for Nomenclature of Ionselective Electrodes (IUPAC Recommendations 1994)’, *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, 66, 2527–2536.
- Byrne, B., Stack, E., Gilmartin, N., and O’Kennedy, R. (2009) ‘Antibody–based sensors: Principles, problems and potential for detection of pathogens and associated toxins’, *Sensors (Switzerland)*, 9(6), 4407–4445.
- Cai, H., Lee, T. M. H., and Hsing, I. M. (2006) ‘Label–free protein recognition using an aptamer–based impedance measurement assay’, *Sensors & Actuators B*, 114, 433–437.
- Campanella, L., Roversi, R., Sammartino, M.P., and Tomassetti, M. (1998) ‘Hydrogen peroxide determination in pharmaceutical formulations and cosmetics using a new catalase biosensor’, *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, 18, 105–116.
- Cardenas–Benitez, B., Hosseini, S., Madou, M. J., and Martinez–Chapa, S. O. (2018) ‘Review–Covalent Functionalization of Carbon Nanomaterials for Biosensor Applications: An Update’, *Journal of the Electrochemical Society*, 165(3), 103–117.
- Carr, J. A., Wang, H., Abraham, A., Gullion, T., and Lewis, J. P. (2012) ‘L–Cysteine Interaction with Au 55 Nanoparticle’, *Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 116(49), 25816–25823.
- Casati, S., Aeby, P., Basketter, D. A., Cavani, A., Gennari, A., Gerberick, G. F., Griem, P., Hartung, T., Kimber, I., Lepoittevin, J. P., Meade, B. J., Pallardy, M., Rougier, N., Rousset, F., Rubinstenn, G., Sallusto, F., Verheyen, and G. R., Zuang, V. (2005) ‘Dendritic cells as a tool for the predictive identification of skin sensitisation hazard’, *American Theological Library Association – Alternatives to Laboratory Animals*, 33, 47–62.

- Casati, S., Aschberger, K., Basketter, D., Dimitrov, S., Dumont, C., Karlberg, A., Lepoittevin, P., Patlewicz, G., David, W., and Worth, A. (2016) 'Ability of non-animal methods for skin sensitisation to detect pre- and pro-haptens', *Report and Recommendations of an EURL ECVAM Expert Meeting*, 4–19.
- Cash Kevin, J., Francesco, R., and Kevin Plaxco, W. (2009) 'An Electrochemical Sensor for the detection of protein–small molecule interactions directly in serum and other complex matrices', *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 131(20), 6955–6957.
- Cao, L., Lin, H., and Mirsky, V. M. (2007) 'Surface plasmon resonance biosensor for enrofloxacin based on deoxyribonucleic acid', *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 589, 1–5.
- Chang Byoung–Yong and Park Su–Moon. (2010) 'Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy', *Annual Review of Analytical Chemistry*, 3, 207–229.
- Chambers J. P, Arulanandam B. P, Matta, L. L, Weis A, and Valdes, J. J. (2005) 'Biosensor Recognition Elements', *Current issues in Molecular Biology*, 10, 1–12.
- Chen, C. S., Chang, K. N., Chen, Y. H., Lee, C. K., Lee, B. Y. J., and Lee, A. S. Y. (2011) 'Development of a label-free impedance biosensor for detection of antibody–antigen interactions based on a novel conductive linker', *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 26, 3072–3076.
- Chen, X., Wang, Y., Zhou, J., Yan, W., Li, X., and Jie, J. (2008) 'Electrochemical impedance immunosensor based on three-dimensionally ordered macroporous gold film', *Analytical Chemistry*, 80(6), 2133–2140.
- Chen, A., and Chatterjee, S. (2013) 'Nanomaterials based electrochemical sensors for biomedical applications', *Chemical Society reviews*, 42(12), 5425–38.
- Cho, S., An, S. and Park, J. (2019) 'High-throughput screening (HTS) based spectrophotometric direct peptide reactivity assay (Spectro–DPRA) to predict human skin sensitization potential', *Toxicology Letters*, 314(July), 27–36.
- Clouet, E., Kerdine-römer, S. and Ferret, P. (2017) 'Comparison and validation of an *in vitro* skin sensitization strategy using a data set of 33 chemical references', *Toxicology in Vitro*, 45(3), 374–385.

- Concha, M. B. M., Chatenet, M., Montella, C., and Diard, J. P. (2013) 'A Faradaic impedance study of E-EAR reaction', *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*, 696, 24–37.
- Corsini, E., Mitjans, M., Galbiati, V., Lucchi, L., Galli, C. L., and Marinovich, M. (2009) 'Use of IL-18 production in a human keratinocyte cell line to discriminate contact sensitizers from irritants and low molecular weight respiratory allergens', *Toxicology in Vitro*, 23(5), 789–796.
- Cronin, M. T. D., Fania Bajot, Steven Enoch, J., Judith Madden, C., David Roberts, W., and Johannes Schwöbel. (2009) 'The *in chemico*–*in silico* interface: challenges for integrating experimental and computational chemistry to identify toxicity', *Alternatives to Laboratory Animals*, 37, 513–52.
- Cui, Y., Yang, C., Zeng, W., Oyama, M., Pu, W., and Zhang, J. (2007) 'Electrochemical determination of nitrite using a gold nanoparticles–modified glassy carbon electrode prepared by the seed–mediated growth technique', *Analytical sciences: The International Journal of the Japan Society for Analytical Chemistry*, 23(12), 1421–1425.
- Daniels, J. S., and Pourmand, N. (2007) 'Label-free impedance biosensors: opportunities and challenges', *Electroanalysis*, 19(12), 1239–1257.
- David W. R., Api, A. M. and Aptula, A.O. (2016) 'Chemical applicability domain of the Local Lymph Node Assay (LLNA) for skin sensitisation potency. Part 2. The biological variability of the murine Local Lymph Node Assay (LLNA) for skin sensitisation', *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 80, 255–259
- Demchenko, A. P. (2001) 'Recognition between flexible protein molecules: Induced and assisted folding', *Journal of Molecular Recognition*, 14, 42–61.
- Desai, T. A., Hansford, D. J., Leoni, L., Essenpreis, M., and Ferrari, M. (2000) 'Nanoporous antifouling silicon membranes for biosensor applications', *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 15, 453–462.
- Dietz, L., Kinzebach, S., Ohnesorge, S., Franke, B., Goette, I., Koenig–Gresse, D. and Hermann–Josef, T. (2013) 'Proteomic allergen–peptide/protein interaction assay for the identification of human skin sensitizers', *Toxicology in Vitro*, 27(3), 1157–1162.

- Dijkma, M., Kamp, B., Hoogvliet, J. C., and Van Bennekom, W. P. (2001) 'Development of an electrochemical immunosensor for direct detection of interferon-gamma at the attomolar level', *Analytical Chemistry*, 73(5), 901–907.
- Divkovic, M., Basketter, D.A., Gilmour, N., Panico, M., Dell, A., Morris, H. R., and Smith Pease, C. K. (2003) 'Protein-hapten binding: challenges and limitations for *in vitro* skin sensitization assays', *Journal of Toxicology-Cutaneous and Ocular Toxicology*, 22, 87–99.
- Dolati, A., and Imanieh, I. (2011) 'The effect of cysteine on electrodeposition of AuNPs', *Materials Science and Engineering: B*, 176 (16), 1307–1312.
- Du, D., Chen, W., Cai, J., Zhang, J., Qu, F., and Li, H., (2008) 'Development of acetylcholinesterase biosensor based on CdTe quantum dots modified cysteamine self-assembled monolayer', *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*, 623, 81–85.
- Du, Xing, Yi Li, Yuan-Ling Xia, Shi-Meng Ai, Jing Liang, Peng Sang, Xing-Lai Ji, and Shu-Qun Liu. (2016) 'Insights into protein-ligand interactions: mechanisms, models, and methods', *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 17(2), 144–178.
- Eiichi, T., Mun'delanji, V., and Kagan, K. (2007) 'An overview of label-free electrochemical protein sensor', *Sensor*, 7, 3442–3458.
- Eckermann, A. L., Feld, D. J., Shaw, J., and Meade, T. J. (2010) 'Electrochemistry of redox-active self-assembled monolayers', *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*, 254(15–16), 1769–1802.
- Ekins, R, and Edwards, P. (1997) 'On the meaning of "sensitivity"', *Clinical Chemistry*, 43(10), 1824–1831.
- El-Dead, M.S. (2011) 'Interaction of cysteine and copper ions on the surface of iron: EIS, polarization and XPS study', *Materials Chemistry and Physics*, 129, 223–227.
- Elnashar M. M., M. (2010) 'Review article: immobilized molecules using biomaterials and nanobiotechnology', *Journal of Biomaterials and Nanobiotechnology*, 1(01), 61–77.

- Emanuel, P. A., Dang, J., Gebhardt, J. S., Aldrich, J., Garber, E. A. E., Kulaga, H., Stopa, P., Valdes, J. J., and Dion-Schultz, A. (2000) 'Recombinant antibodies: a new reagent for biological agent detection', *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 14, 751–759.
- Emter, R., Ellis, G. and Natsch, A. (2010) 'Performance of a novel keratinocyte-based reporter cell line to screen skin sensitizers *in vitro*', *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 245(3), 281–290.
- Englebienne, P., Van Hoonacker, A., Verhas, M. (2003) 'Surface plasmon resonance principles, methods and applications in biomedical sciences', *Spectroscopy*, 17, 255–2773.
- Enoch, S. J., Roberts, D. W. and Cronin, M. T. D. (2009) 'Electrophilic Reaction Chemistry of Low Molecular Weight Respiratory Sensitizers', *Chemical Research in Toxicology*, 22, 1447–1453.
- Enomoto, J., Kageyama, T., Myasnikova, D., Onishi, K., Kobayashi, Y., Taruno, Y., Kanai, T., and Fukuda, J. (2018) 'Gold cleaning methods for preparation of cell culture surfaces for self-assembled monolayers of zwitterionic oligopeptides', *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 125(5), 606–612.
- Eugenii Katz and Itamar Willner. (2003) 'Probing biomolecular interactions at conductive and semiconductive surfaces by impedance spectroscopy: routes to impedimetric immunosensors, DNA-sensors, and enzyme biosensors', *Electroanalysis*, 15(11), 913–947.
- Feliciano-Ramos, I., Caban-Acevedo, M., Aulice Scibioh, M., and Cabrera, C. R. (2010) 'Self-assembled monolayers of L-cysteine on palladium electrodes', *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*, 650 (1), 98–104.
- Forreryd, A., Norinder, U., Lindberg, T., and Lindstedt, M. (2018) 'Predicting skin sensitizers with confidence — Using conformal prediction to determine applicability domain of GARD', *Toxicology in Vitro*, 48, 179–187.
- Frosch, P. J., Rastogi, S. C., Pirker, C., Brinkmeier, T., Andersen, K. E., Bruze, M., Svedman, C., Goossens, A., White, I. R., Uter, W., Arnau, E. G., Lepoittevin, J. P., Johansen, J. D., and Menne, T. (2005) 'Patch testing with a new fragrance mix – reactivity to the individual constituents and chemical detection in relevant cosmetic products', *Contact Dermatitis*, 52(4), 216–225.

- Fu, Y., and Yuan, R. (2005) 'Electrochemical impedance behavior of DNA biosensor based on colloidal Ag and bilayer two-dimensional sol-gel as matrices', *Journal of Biochemical and Biophysical Methods*, 62 (2), 163–174.
- Galal, A., Nada Atta, F., and Ekram El-Ads, H. (2012) 'Probing cysteine self-assembled monolayer over AuNPs-towards selective electrochemical sensors', *Talanta*, 92, 264–273.
- Galvao dos Santos, G., Reinders, J., Ouwehand, K., Rustemeyer, T., Schepper, R. J. and Gibbs, S. (2009) 'Progress on the development of human *in vitro* dendritic cell based assays for assessment of the sensitizing potential of a compound', *Toxicology and Applied Toxicology*, 236(3), 372–382.
- García-Gavín, J., Lissens, R., Timmermans, A., and Goossens, A. (2011) 'Allergic contact dermatitis caused by isopropyl alcohol: A missed allergen?', *Contact Dermatitis*, 65(2), 101–106.
- Gerberick, G. F., Ryan, C. A., Kern, P. S., Dearman, R. J., Kimber, I., Patlewicz, G. Y., and Basketter, D. A. (2004) 'A chemical dataset for evaluation of alternative approaches to skin-sensitization testing', *Contact Dermatitis*, 50 (5), 274–288.
- Gerberick, G. F., Ryan, C. A., Kern, P. S., Schlatter, H., Dearman, R. J., Kimber, I., Patlewicz, G. Y., and Basketter, D. A. (2005) 'Compilation of historical local lymph node data for evaluation of skin sensitization alternative methods', *Dermatitis*, 16, 157–202.
- Gerberick, G., Frank, John, A., Troutman, Leslie, M., Foertsch, Jeffrey, D., Vassallo, Mike Quijano, Roy, L. M., Dobson, C. G., and Jean-Pierre, L. (2009) 'Investigation of peptide reactivity of pro-hapten skin sensitizers using a peroxidase-peroxide oxidation system', *Toxicological Sciences*, 1, 164–174.
- Gibbs, S., Corsini, E., and Spiekstra, S. W. (2013) 'An epidermal equivalent assay for identification and ranking potency of contact sensitizers', *Toxicology Applied Pharmacology*, 272, 529–541.
- Gilson, M. K. and Zhou, H. X. (2007) Calculation of protein-ligand binding affinities *Annual Review of Biophysics and Biomolecular Structure*, 36, 21–42.

- Glavič–Cindro, D., Korun, M., Vodenik, B., and Zorko, B. (2017) ‘Calculation of the detection limits by explicit expressions’, *Applied Radiation and Isotopes*, 126(February), 267–269.
- Guo, Z., Guilfoyle, R. A., Thiel, A. J., Wang, R., and Smith, L. M. (1994) ‘Direct fluorescence analysis of genetic polymorphisms by hybridization with oligonucleotide arrays on glass supports’, *Nucleic Acids Research*, 22, 5456–5465.
- Goebel, C., Aeby, P., Ade, N., Alépée, N., Aptula, A., Araki, D., Dufour, E., Gilmour, N., Hibatallah, J., Keller, D., Kern, P., Kirst, A., Marrec–fairley, M., Maxwell, G., Rowland, J., Safford, B., Schellauf, F., Schepky, A., Seaman, C., Teichert, T., Tessier, N., Teissier, S., Ulrich, H., Winkler, P., and Scheel, Julia. (2012) ‘Guiding principles for the implementation of non–animal safety assessment approaches for cosmetics: Skin sensitisation’, *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 63(1), 40–52.
- Gómez–Anquela, C., García–Mendiola, T., Abad, José M., Pita, M., Pariente, F., and Lorenzo, E. (2015) ‘Scaffold electrodes based on thioctic acid–capped gold nanoparticles coordinated Alcohol Dehydrogenase and Azure A films for high performance biosensor’, *Bioelectrochemistry*, 106, 335–342.
- Goode, J. A., Rushworth, J. V. H. and Millner, P. A. (2014) ‘Biosensor Regeneration: A Review of Common Techniques and Outcomes’, *Langmuir*, 31(23), 6267–76
- Goode, J. A., Rushworth, J. V. H., and Millner, P. A. (2018) ‘Perylene diimide cysteine derivatives self–assembled onto (111) gold surface: Evidence of ordered aggregation’, *Surface Science*, 675(April), 15–25.
- Gooding, J. J., Shein, J., and Lai, L. M. H. (2009) ‘Using AuNPs aggregation to give an ultrasensitive amperometric metal ion sensor’, *Electrochemistry Communications*, 11(10), 2015–2018.
- Guo, Z., Guilfoyle, R. A., Thiel, A. J., Wang, R., and Smith, L. M. (1994) ‘Direct fluorescence analysis of genetic polymorphisms by hybridization with oligonucleotide arrays on glass supports’, *Nucleic Acids Research*, 22, 5456–5465
- Haab, B.B. (2003) ‘Methods and applications of antibody microarrays in cancer research’, *Proteomics*, 3(11), 2116–2122.

- Hager, G. and Brolo, A. G. (2009) 'Protonation and deprotonation of cysteine and cystine monolayers probed by impedance spectroscopy', *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*, 625(2), 109–116.
- Hasan, A. and Pandey, L. M. (2018) 'Self-assembled monolayers in biomaterials', *Nanobiomaterials*, 137–178.
- Hassani, S., Akmal, M. R., Salek–Maghsoudi, A., Rahmani, S., Ganjali, M. R., Norouzi, P. And Abdollahi, M. (2018) 'Novel label-free electrochemical aptasensor for determination of Diazinon using gold nanoparticles–modified screen–printed gold electrode', *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 120, 122–128.
- Helali, S., Ben Fredj, H., Cherif, K., Abdelghani, A., Martelet, C. and Jaffrezic–Renault, N. (2008). Surface plasmon resonance and impedance spectroscopy on AuNPs electrode for biosensor application, *Materials Science and Engineering C*, 28, 588–593.
- Hsiao, Y.P., Su, W. Yu., Cheng, J. R., and Cheng, S. H. (2011) 'Electrochemical determination of cysteine based on conducting polymers/gold nanoparticles hybrid nanocomposites', *Electrochimica Acta*, 56(20), 6887–6895.
- Horovitz, O., Mocanu, A., Tomoaia, G., Bobos, L., Dubert, D., Daian, I., Yusanis, T., and Tomoaia–Cotisel, M. (2007) 'Lysine mediated assembly of gold nanoparticles', *Studia Universitatis Babes–Bolyai Chimia*, 52(1), 97–108.
- Horst, S., Troy Seidle, and Troy Seidle. (2012) 'Progress Report 2012, Alternative Testing strategies and AXLR8–3 Workshop report on a roadmap to next generation safety testing under horizon 2020', *AXLR8 Consortium*, 188–251.
- Hu, Q., Liu, Y., Feng, C., Zhang, Z., Lei, Z., and Shimizu, K. (2018) 'Predicting equilibrium time by adsorption kinetic equations and modifying Langmuir isotherm by fractal–like approach', *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, 268, 728–733.
- Huang, H., Pixin, R., and Zhigang, L. (2007) 'Impedance sensing of allergen–antibody interaction on glassy carbon electrode modified by AuNPs electrodeposition', *Bioelectrochemistry*, 70, 257–262.
- Ihalainen, P., Majumdar, H., Viitala, T., Törngren, B., Närjeoja, T., Määttänen, A., Sarfraz, J., Härmä, H., Yliperttula, M., Österbacka, R., and Peltonen, J. (2013) 'Application of paper–supported printed gold electrodes for impedimetric immunosensor development', *Biosensors*, 3(1), 1–17.

- Ines Rosane, De Oliveira, W. Z., Renata El-Hage, M. De Barros Osorio, Ademir Neves, and Iolanda Cruz Veira. (2007) 'Biomimetic sensor based on a novel copper complex for the determination of hydroquinone in cosmetics', *Sensors and Actuators*, 122, 89–94.
- Itai Chipinda, Justin Hettick, M., and Paul Siegel, D. (2011). Review Article Haptentation: Chemical Reactivity and Protein Binding. *Journal of Allergy*, 1–11.
- Ivers-Tiffée, E., Weber, A., and Schichlein, H. (2003) *Chapter 17 – Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy in Handbook of Fuel Cell*. Vol. 2. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Jagadeesh, R.V. and Lakshminarayanan, V. (2016) 'Adsorption kinetics of phosphonic acids and proteins on functionalized Indium tin oxide surfaces using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy', *Electrochimica Acta*, 197, 1–9.
- Jeong, M. L., Hyun, K. P., Jung, Y., Jin, K. K., Sun, O. J., and Bong, H. C. (2007) 'Direct immobilization of protein G variants with various numbers of cysteine residues on a gold surface', *Analytical Chemistry*, 79(7), 2680–2687.
- Jongeneel, W. P., Delmaar, J. E., and Bokkers, B. G. H. (2018) 'Health impact assessment of a skin sensitizer: Analysis of potential policy measures aimed at reducing geraniol concentrations in personal care products and household cleaning products', *Environment International*, 118 (February), 235–244.
- Jorcin, J. B., Orazem, M. E., Pebere, N., and Tribollet, B. (2006) 'CPE analysis by local electrochemical impedance spectroscopy', *Electrochimica Acta*, 51, 1473–1479.
- Kannan, A. and Sevel, R. (2017) 'Gold nanoparticles embedded electropolymerized thin film of pyrimidine derivative on glassy carbon electrode for highly sensitive detection of L-cysteine', *Materials Science and Engineering C*, 78, 513–519.
- Kato, H., Okamoto, M., Yamashita, K., Nakamura, Y., Fukumori, Y., Nakai, K., and Kaneko, H. (2003) 'Peptide-binding assessment using mass spectrometry as a new screening method for skin sensitization', *Journal Toxicology Science*, 28, 19–24.

- Kazutoshi, S., Osamu, T., Yuko, N., Masaaki, M., and Hitoshi, S. (2017) 'An in vitro skin sensitization assay termed EpiSensA for broad sets of chemicals including lipophilic chemicals and pre / pro-haptens', *Toxicology in Vitro*, 40, 11–25.
- Kern, P. S., Gerberick, G. F., Ryan, C. A., Kimber, I., Aptula, A. and Basketter, D. A. (2010) 'Historical local lymph node data for the evaluation of skin sensitization alternatives: a second compilation', *Dermatitis*, 21, 8–32.
- Kim, K. S., Myung, W. R. and Jung, S. B. (2012) 'Effects of plasma polymerized acrylic acid film on the adhesion of Ag tracks screen-printed on polyimide', *Journal of Adhesion*, 88(4–6), 337–349.
- Kim, S., Thiessen, P. A., Bolton, E. E., and Bryant, S. H. (2015) 'PUG-SOAP and PUG- REST: web services for programmatic access to chemical information in PubChem', *Nucleic Acids Research*, 43, 605–611.
- Kimber, I. and Cumberbatch, M. (1992) 'Dendritic cells and cutaneous immune responses to chemical allergens', *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 117, 137–46.
- Kimber, I., Dearman, R. J., Scholes, E. W. and Basketter, D. A. (1994) 'The local lymph node assay: developments and applications', *Toxicology*, 93, 13–31.
- Kimber, I., Basketter, D. A., Butler, M., Gamer, A., Garrigue, J. L., Gerberick, G. F., Newsome, C., Steiling, W., and Vohr, H. W. (2003) 'Classification of contact allergens according to potency: Proposals', *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 41, 1799–1809.
- Kimber, I., Dearman, R. J., Betts, C. J., Gerberick, G. F., Ryan, C. A., Kern, P. S., Patlewicz, G. Y. and Basketter, D. A. (2006) 'The local lymph node assay and skin sensitization: a cut-down screen to reduce animal requirements?', *Contact Dermatitis*, 54, 181–185.
- Kimber, I., Basketter, D. A., and Gerberick, G. F. (2011) 'Chemical allergy: translating biology into hazard characterization', *Journal Toxicology Science*, 120, 238–268.
- Klicznik, M. M., Szenes-Nagy, A. B., Campbell, D. J., Gratz, I. K. (2018) 'Taking the lead – how keratinocytes orchestrate skin T-cell immunity', *Immunology Letters*, 200(June), 43–51.

- Kreiling, R., Hollnagel, H. M., Hareng, L., Eigler, L., Lee, M. S., Griem, P., Dreessen, B., Kleber, M., Albrecht, A., Garcia, C. and Wendel, A. (2008) 'Comparison of the skin sensitizing potential of unsaturated compounds as assessed by the murine local lymph node assay (LLNA) and the guinea pig maximization test (GPMT)', *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 46, 1896–1904.
- Koeper, L. M., Schulz, A., Ahra, H. J., and Vohr, H. W. (2007) 'In vitro differentiation of skin sensitizers by cell signaling pathways', *Toxicology*, 242(1–3), 144–152.
- Kostner, L., Anzengruber, F., Guillod, C., Recher, M., Schmid–Grendelmeier, P., and Navarini, A. A. (2017) 'Allergic Contact Dermatitis', *Immunology and Allergy Clinics of North America*, 37(1), 141–152.
- Kusnezow, W., Yana, V. S., Sven, R., Nina, B., Christoph, G., Jörg D. H., David, W. and Igor, G. (2006) 'Optimal design of microarray immunoassays to compensate for kinetic limitations', *Molecular and Cellular Proteomics*, 5(9), 1681–1696.
- Lai, R.Y., Dwight S. Seferos, Alan J. Heeger, Guillermo C. Bazan, and Kevin W. Plaxco. (2006) 'Comparison of the signaling and stability of electrochemical DNA sensors fabricated from 6- or 11-carbon self-assembled monolayers', *Langmuir*, 22(25), 10796–10800.
- Lalko, J.F., Kimber, I., Dearman, R. J., Gerberick, G. F., Sarlo, K., and Api, A. M. (2011) 'Chemical reactivity measurements: Potential for characterization of respiratory chemical allergens', *Toxicology in Vitro*, 25(2), 433–445.
- Lalko, J. and Api, A. M. (2008) 'Citral: identifying a threshold for induction of dermal sensitization', *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 52, 62–73.
- Langmaier, J. (2014) 'Correlation between the standard Gibbs energies of an anion transfer from water to highly hydrophobic ionic liquids and to 1,2-dichloroethane', *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*, 714–715, 109–115.
- Lasseter, T. L., Cai, W., and Hamers, R. J. (2004) 'Frequency-dependent electrical detection of protein binding events', *Analyst*, 129(1), 3–8.
- Lee, S. H., Fang, H. Y. and Chen, W. C. (2005) 'Electrochemical characterization of screen-printed carbon electrodes by modification with chitosan oligomers', *Electroanalysis*, 17(23), 2170–2174.

- Lee, W., Ji, R., Gosele, U., and Nielsch, K. (2006) 'Fast fabrication of long-range ordered porous alumina membranes by hardanodization', *Nature Materials*, 5, 741–747.
- Leech, Dónal. (1994) 'Affinity biosensors', *Chemical Society Reviews*, 23 (3), 205–213.
- Li, H., Xie, Y., Liu, C., and Liu, S. (2014) 'Physicochemical bases for protein folding, dynamics, and protein–ligand binding', *Science China. Life Science*, 57, 287–302.
- Li, G., Wang, S, and Duan, Y, Y. (2018) 'Towards conductive–gel–free electrodes: Understanding the wet electrode, semi–dry electrode and dry electrode–skin interface impedance using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy fitting', *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, 277, 250–260.
- Li, M., Li, D., Xiu, G. and Long, Y. (2012) 'Applications of screen–printed electrodes in current environmental analysis', *Current Opinion in Electrochemistry*. Elsevier, 3(1), 137–143.
- Li, R., Li, Z., Wang, G., and Gu, Z. (2018) 'Octadecylamine–functionalized graphene vesicles based voltammetric sensing of hydroquinone', *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, 276, 404–412.
- Li, S., Zhang, Q., Lu, Y., Ji, D., Zhang, D., Wu, J., Chen, X., and Liu, Q. (2017) 'One step electrochemical deposition and reduction of graphene oxide on screen printed electrodes for impedance detection of glucose', *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, 244(16), 290–298.
- Li, Y., Tseng, Y. J., Pan, D., Liu, J., Kern, P. S., Gerberick, G. F., and Hopfinger, A. J. (2007) '4D–fingerprint categorical QSAR models for skin sensitization based on the classification of local lymph node assay measures', *Chemical Research in Toxicology*, 20, 114–128.
- Lien T. N, T., Xuan Viet, N., and Chikae, M. (2011) 'Development of label–free impedimetric hcg–immunosensor using screen–printed electrode', *Journal of Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 02(03), 2–7.
- Liu, J., Agarwal, M., and Varahramyan, K. (2008) 'Glucose sensor based on organic thin film transistor using glucose oxidase and conducting polymer,' *Sensors and Actuators B*, 135, 195–199.

- Liu, Q., Wu, C., Cai, H., Hu, N., Zhou, J., and Wang, P. (2014) 'Cell-Based Biosensors and Their Application in Biomedicine', *Chemicals Review*, 114, 6423–6461.
- Liu, Y., Wang, Y. M., Zhu, W. Y., Zhang, C. H., Tang, H., and Jiang, J. H. (2018) 'Conjugated polymer nanoparticles-based fluorescent biosensor for ultrasensitive detection of hydroquinone', *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 1–6.
- LoPachin, R. M. and Gavin, T. (2014) 'Molecular mechanisms of aldehyde toxicity: a chemical perspective', *Chemical research in toxicology*, 27(7), 1081–1091.
- Love, J., Christopher, Lara, A., Estroff, Jennah, K., Kriebel, Ralph Nuzzo, G., and George Whitesides, M. (2005) 'Self-assembled monolayers of thiolates on metals as a form of nanotechnology', *Chemical Review*, 105(4), 1103–1169.
- Lu, L., Hu, X. and Zhu, Z. (2017) 'Trends in Analytical Chemistry Biomimetic sensors and biosensors for qualitative and quantitative analyses of five basic tastes', *Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 87, 58–70.
- Lundin, A. (2000) 'Use of firefly luciferase in ATP-related assays of biomass, enzymes, and metabolites', *Methods in Enzymology*, 305, 346–370.
- Luzi, E., Minunni, M., Tombelli, S., and Mascini, M. (2003) 'New trends in affinity sensing – aptamers for ligand binding', *Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 22, 810–818.
- Madou, M. (2002) 'Fundamentals of microfabrication: the science of miniaturization', *CRC Press*, 62–63.
- Malhotra, B. D. and Turner Anthony, P. F. (2003) *Perspectives in biosensors. Advances in Biosensors*. 1st Edition. Netherlands : JAI Press, 190–196.
- Mandler, D. (2018) 'Chiral self-assembled monolayers in electrochemistry', *Current Opinion in Electrochemistry*, 7, 42–47.
- Marcelo Ricardo Romero, Fernando Garay, and Ana M. Baruzzi. (2008) 'Design and optimization of a lactate amperometric biosensor based on lactate oxidase cross-linked with polymeric matrixes', *Sensors and Actuators*, 13, 590–595.
- Markina, M., Stozhko, N., Krylov, V., Vidrevich, M., and Brainina, K. (2017) 'Nanoparticle-based paper sensor for thiols evaluation in human skin', *Talanta*, 165, 563–569.

- Mather, B., Viswanathan, K., Miller, K., and Long, T. (2006) 'Michael addition reactions in macromolecular design for emerging technologies', *Progress In Polymer Science*, 31 (5), 487–531.
- Md. Noh, M. F., Kadara, R. O. and Tothill, I. E. (2005) 'Development of cysteine–modified screen–printed electrode for the chronopotentiometric stripping analysis of cadmium (II) in wastewater and soil extracts', *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 382(4), 1175–1186.
- Melles, D., Vielhaber, T., Baumann, A., Zazzeroni, R., and Karst, U. (2013) '*In chemico* evaluation of skin metabolism: Investigation of eugenol and isoeugenol by electrochemistry coupled to liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry', *Journal of Chromatography B: Analytical Technologies in the Biomedical and Life Sciences*, 913–914, 106–112.
- Merckel, F., Giménez–Arnau, E., Gerberick, G. F., and Lepoittevin, J. P. (2013) '*In chemico* evaluation of prohaptens skin sensitizers: Behavior of 2–methoxy–4–(13C)methylphenol in the peroxidase peptide reactivity assay (PPRA) as an alternative to animal testing', *Toxicology Letters*, 218(3), 266–272.
- Migahed, M. A., Azzam, E. M. S. and Morsy, S. M. I. (2009) 'Electrochemical behaviour of carbon steel in acid chloride solution in the presence of dodecyl cysteine hydrochloride self–assembled on gold nanoparticles', *Corrosion Science*, 51(8), 1636–1639.
- Milam, E. C. and Cohen, D. E. (2018) 'Contact Dermatitis: Emerging Trends', *Dermatologic Clinics*, 1–8.
- Mocanu Aurora, Ileana Cernicab, Gheorghe Tomoaiac, Liviu–Dorel Bobosa, Ossi Horovitza, and Maria Tomoiaia–Cotisela. (2009) 'Self–assembly characteristics of AuNPs in the presence of cysteine', *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 338(1–3), 93–101.
- Mohamed, S. E. D. (2011) 'Interaction of cysteine and copper ions on the surface of iron: EIS, polarization and XPS study', *Materials Chemistry and Physics*, 129 (1–2), 223–227.

- Nasirizadeh, N., Shekari, Z., Zare, H. R., Ardakani, S. A. Y., and Ahmar, H. (2013) 'Developing a sensor for the simultaneous determination of dopamine, acetaminophen and tryptophan in pharmaceutical samples using a multi-walled carbon nanotube and oxadiazole modified glassy carbon electrode', *Journal of Brazil Chemical Society*, 24(11), 1846–1856.
- Natsch A, Caroline B, Leslie F, Frank G, Kimberly N, Allison H, Heather I, Robert L, Stefan O, Hendrik R, Andreas, S., Roger E. (2011) 'The intra- and inter-laboratory reproducibility and predictivity of the KeratinoSensTM assay to predict skin sensitizers *in vitro*: results of a ring-study in five laboratories', *Toxicology in Vitro*, 25, 733–44.
- Natsch, A., Gfeller, H., Haupt, T., and Brunner, G. (2012) 'Chemical Reactivity and Skin Sensitization Potential for Benzaldehydes: Can Schiff Base Formation Explain Everything?', *Chemical Research in Toxicology*, 25(10), 2203–2215.
- Nepal, M. R., Shakya, R., Kang, M. J., and Jeong, T. C. (2018) 'A simple *in chemico* method for testing skin sensitizing potential of chemicals using small endogenous molecules', *Toxicology Letters*, 289(February), 75–85.
- Nguyen, H. H., Park, J., Kang, S., and Kim, M. (2015) 'Surface plasmon resonance: A versatile technique for biosensor applications', *Sensors (Switzerland)*, 15(5), 10481–10510.
- Niu, L. M., and Luo, H. Q. (2007) 'Electrochemical detection of copper (II) at a AuNPs electrode modified with a self-assembled monolayer of penicillamine', *Journal of Analytical Chemistry*, 62 (5), 470–474.
- Nieuwoudt, M. K., Holroyd, S. E., McGoverin, C. M., Simpson, M. C., and Williams, D. E. (2016) 'Rapid, sensitive, and reproducible screening of liquid milk for adulterants using a portable Raman spectrometer and a simple, optimized sample well', *Journal of Dairy Science*, 99(10), 7821–7831.
- Noh, Md., M. F., Rashid Kadara, O., and Ibtisam Tothill, E. (2005) 'Development of cysteine-modified screen-printed electrode for the chronopotentiometric stripping analysis of cadmium (II) in wastewater and soil extracts', *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 382, 1175–1186.

- Nordin, N., Yusof, N., A., Abdullah, J., Radu, S., Hajian, R. (2016) 'Characterization of polylactide-stabilized gold nanoparticles and its application in the fabrication of electrochemical DNA biosensors', *Journal of the Brazilian Chemical Society*, 27(9), 1679–1686.
- Ohtake, T., Maeda, Y., Hayashi, T., Yamanaka, H., Nakai, M., and Takeyoshi, M. (2018) 'Applicability of an Integrated Testing Strategy consisting of *in silico*, *in chemico* and *in vitro* assays for evaluating the skin sensitization potencies of isocyanates', *Toxicology*, 393(October 2017), 9–14.
- Othman Mohamed Rozali, Riyanto, and Jumat Salimon. (2007) 'Analysis of ethanol using copper and nickel sheet electrodes by cyclic voltammetry', *The Malaysian Journal of Analytical Sciences*, 11(2), 379–387.
- Pajkossy, T. (1994) 'Impedance of rough capacitive electrodes', *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*, 364, 111–125.
- Pakiari, A. H. and Jamshidi, Z. (2010) 'Nature and Strength of M–S; Bonds (M = Au, Ag, and Cu) in Binary Alloy Gold Clusters', *Journal of Physical Chemistry A*, 114 (34), 9212–9221.
- Pan, S. and Rothberg, L. (2005) 'Chemical control of electrode functionalization for detection of DNA hybridization by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy', *Langmuir*, 21, 1022–1027.
- Patching, S.G. (2014) Surface plasmon resonance spectroscopy for characterisation of membrane protein–ligand interactions and its potential for drug discovery, *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, 1838, 43–55.
- Patlewicz, G., Kuseva, C., Kesova, A., Popova, I., Zhechev, T., Pavlov, T., Roberts, D. W., and Mekenyan, O. (2014) 'Towards AOP application–implementation of an integrated approach to testing and assessment (IATA) into a pipeline tool for skin sensitization', *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 69, 529–545.
- Petean, I., Tomoaia, Gh., Horovitz, O., Mocanu, A., and Tomoaia–Cotisel, M. (2008) 'Cysteine mediated assembly of gold nanoparticles', *Journal of Optoelectronics and Advanced Materials*, 10(9), 2289–2292.

- Philippe, B., Allouche, J., Lindgren, F., Gorgoi, M., Gonbeau, D., and Edstro, K. (2012) 'Nanosilicon Electrodes for Lithium–Ion Batteries: Interfacial Mechanisms Studied by Hard and Soft X–ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy', *Chemistry of Materials*, 24, 1107–1115.
- Pingarrón, J. M., Yáñez–Sedeño, P. and González–Cortés, A. (2008) 'Gold nanoparticle–based electrochemical biosensors', *Electrochimica Acta*, 53(19), 5848–5866.
- Pinto, E. M., Soares, D. M. and Brett, C. M. (2008) 'Interaction of BSA protein with copper evaluated by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy and quartz crystal microbalance', *Electrochimica Acta*, 53(25), 7460–7466.
- Pundir, C. S., Bharvi Sandeep, S., and Jagriti, N. (2010) 'Construction of an amperometric triglyceride biosensor using PVA membrane bound enzymes', *Clinical Biochemistry*, 43, 467–472.
- Park, J. Y., Kim, B. C., and Park, S. M. (2007) 'Molecular recognition of protonated polyamines self–assembled monolayer modified electrodes by impedance measurements', *Analytical Chemistry*, 79, 1890–1896.
- Park, S., Hankil, B., and Taek Dong, C. (2006) 'Electrochemical non–enzymatic glucose sensors', *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 556, 46–57.
- Park, H., Hwang, J. H., Han, J. S., Lee, B. S., Kim, Y. B., Joo, K. M., Choi, M. S., Cho, S. A., Kim, B. H., and Lim, K. M. (2018) 'Skin irritation and sensitization potential of oxidative hair dye substances evaluated with *in vitro*, *in chemico* and *in silico* test methods' *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 121, 360–366.
- Patlewicz, G., Basketter, D. A., Smith, C. K., Hotchikiss, S. A., and Roberts. D. W. (2001) 'Skin sensitization structure–activity relationships for aldehydes', *Contact Dermatitis*, 44, 331–336.
- Petean, I., and Tomoaia, G. (2008) 'Cysteine mediated assembly of AuNPs', *Journal of Optoelectronics and Advanced Materials*, 10(9), 2289–2292.
- Pinto, E. M., David, M. Soares, and Christopher, Brett M.A. (2008) 'Interaction of BSA protein with copper evaluated by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy and quartz crystal microbalance', *Electrochimica Acta*, 53, 7460–7466.

- Pooi See, Wong, Yook Heng, Lee and Sheila Nathan. (2011) 'A disposable copper (II) ion biosensor based on self-assembly of l-cysteine on AuNPs modified screen-printed carbon electrode', *Journal of Sensors*, 1–5.
- Posseckardt, J., Schirmer, C., Kick, A., Rebatschek, K., Lamz, T., and Mertig, M. (2018) 'Monitoring of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* viability by non-Faradaic impedance spectroscopy using interdigitated screen-printed platinum electrodes', *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, 255, 3417–3424.
- Prasad, S., Vinay Nagaraj, J., Srivatsa Aithal, Seron Eaton, Manish Bothara and Peter Wiktor. (2010) 'NanoMonitor: a miniature electronic biosensor for glycan biomarker detection', *Nanomedicine (London)*, 5(3), 369–378.
- Rai, J., and Kaushik, K. (2018) 'Reduction of Animal Sacrifice in Biomedical Science & Research through Alternative Design of Animal Experiments', *Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal*, 26(6), 896–902.
- Radhakrishnan, R., Suni, I. I., Bever, C. S., and Hammock, B. D. (2014) 'Impedance biosensors: Applications to sustainability and remaining technical challenges', *ACS Sustainable Chemistry and Engineering*, 2(7), 1649–1655.
- Randviir, E. P. (2018) 'A cross examination of electron transfer rate constants for carbon screen-printed electrodes using Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy and cyclic voltammetry', *Electrochimica Acta*, 286, 179–186.
- Ramirez, T., Mehling, A., Kolle, S. N., Wruck, C. J., Teubner, W., Eltze, T., Aumann, A., Urbisch, D., Van Ravenzwaay, B., and Landsiedel, R. (2014) 'LuSens : A keratinocyte based ARE reporter gene assay for use in integrated testing strategies for skin sensitization hazard identification', *Toxicology in Vitro*, 28, 1482–1497.
- Rasooly, A. (2006) 'Moving biosensors to point of care cancer diagnostics', *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 21, 1847–1850.
- Rastogi, S., Patel, K. R., Singam, V., and Silverberg, J. I. (2018) 'Allergic contact dermatitis to personal care products and topical medications in adults with atopic dermatitis', *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, 1–12.
- Reynolds, J., MacKay, C, Gilmour, N., Miguel-Vilumbrales, D., and Maxwell, G. (2019) 'Probabilistic prediction of human skin sensitiser potency for use in next generation risk assessment', *Computational Toxicology*, 36–49.

- Rickert, J., Göpel, W., Beck, W., Jung, G., and Heiduschka, P. (1996) 'A 'mixed' self-assembled monolayer for an impedimetric immunosensor', *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 11(8), 757–68.
- Roberts, D. W., Patlewicz, G., Kern, P. S., Gerberick, F., Kimber, I., Dearman, R. J., Ryan, C. A., Basketter, D. A., and Aptula, A. O. (2007) 'Mechanistic applicability domain classification of a local lymph node assay dataset for skin sensitization', *Chemical Research in Toxicology*, 20, 1019–1030.
- Roberts, D. W., Aptula, A. O. and Patlewicz, G. (2007) 'Electrophilic Chemistry Related to Skin Sensitization. Reaction Mechanistic Applicability Domain Classification for a Published Data Set of 106 Chemicals Tested in the Mouse Local Lymph Node Assay', *Chemical Research in Toxicology*, 20, 44–60.
- Roberts, D. W. and Aptula, A. O. (2014) 'Electrophilic Reactivity and Skin Sensitization Potency of SNAr Electrophiles', *Chemical Research in Toxicology*, 27 (2), 240–246.
- Roberts, D.W. and Api, A. M. (2018) 'Chemical applicability domain of the local lymph node assay (LLNA) for skin sensitisation potency. Part 4. Quantitative correlation of LLNA potency with human potency', *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 96, 76–84.
- Roberts, D.W. (2018) 'Is a combination of assays really needed for non-animal prediction of skin sensitization potential? Performance of the GARD™ (Genomic Allergen Rapid Detection) assay in comparison with OECD guideline assays alone and in combination', *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 98(July), 155–160.
- Rogers, K. R. (2000) 'Principles of Affinity-Based Biosensors. Molecular Biotechnology', *Molecular Biotechnology*, 14(2), 109–130.
- Rodriguez-Mozaz, S., Marco, M. P., De Alda, M. J. L., and Barceló, D. (2004) 'Biosensors for environmental applications: Future development trends', *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, 76(4), 723–752.
- Romero, M. R., Garay, F. and Baruzzi, A. M. (2008) 'Design and optimization of a lactate amperometric biosensor based on lactate oxidase cross-linked with polymeric matrixes', *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, 131(2), 590–595.

- Rothberg Lewis and Shanlin Pan. (2005) 'Chemical control of electrode functionalization for detection of DNA hybridization by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy', *Langmuir*, 21 (3), 1022–1027.
- Ryan, C. A., Gerberick, G. F., Cruse, L. W., Basketter, D. A., Lea, L., Blaikie, L., Dearman, R. J., Warbrick, E. V., and Kimber, I. (2000) 'Activity of human contact allergens in the local lymph node assay', *Contact Dermatitis*, 43, 95–102.
- Ryan, C. A., Kimber, I., Basketter, D. A., Pallardy, M., Gildea, L.A., and Gerberick, G. F. (2007) 'Dendritic cells and skin sensitization: biological roles and uses in hazard identification', *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 221, 384–394.
- Sadik, O. A., Xu, H., Gheorghiu, E., Andreescu, D., Balut, C., Gheorghiu, M., and Bratu, D. (2002) 'Differential impedance spectroscopy for monitoring protein immobilization and antibody–antigen reactions', *Analytical Chemistry*, 74(13), 3142–3150.
- Saito, K., Takenouchi, O., Nukada, Y., Miyazawa, M., and Sakaguchi, H. (2017) 'An *in vitro* skin sensitization assay termed EpiSensA for broad sets of chemicals including lipophilic chemicals and pre/pro–haptens', *Toxicology in Vitro*, 40(April 2016), 11–25.
- Sanchez–Politta, S., Campanelli, A., Pashe–Koo, F., Saurat, J. H., and Piletta, P. (2007) 'Allergic contact dermatitis to phenylacetaldehyde: a forgotten allergen?', *Contact Dermatitis*, 56(3), 171–172.
- Sandersa, W., and Mark Anderson, R. (2009) 'Electrostatic deposition of polycations and polyanions onto cysteine monolayers', *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 331(2), 318–321.
- Schoeters, E., Verheyen, G. R., Nelissen, I., Van Rompay, A. R., Hooyberghs, J., Van Den Heuvel, R. L., Witters, H., Schoeters, G. E. R., Van Tendeloo, V. F. I., and Berneman, Z. N. (2007) 'Microarray analyses in dendritic cells reveal potential biomarkers for chemical–induced skin sensitization', *Molecular Immunology*, 44, 3222–3233.
- Schreiber, F. (2000) 'Structure and growth of self–assembling monolayers', *Progress in Surface Science*, 65 (5–8), 151–257.

- Senel, M., Çevik, E. and Abasiyanik, M. F. (2010) 'Amperometric hydrogen peroxide biosensor based on covalent immobilization of horseradish peroxidase on ferrocene containing polymeric mediator', *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, 145(1), 444–450.
- Shariati, M., Ghorbani, M., Sasanpour, P., and Karimizefreh, A. (2018) 'An ultrasensitive label free human papilloma virus DNA biosensor using gold nanotubes based on nanoporous polycarbonate in electrical alignment', In Press, Corrected Proof.
- Shengbo, S. and Hartmut, W. (2010) 'A novel PDMS micro membrane biosensor based on the analysis of surface stress', *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 25, 2420–2424.
- Siddiqui, S., Dai, Z., Stavis, C. J., Zeng, H., Moldovan, N., Hamers, R. J., Carlisle, J. A., and Arumugam, P. U. (2012) 'A quantitative study of detection mechanism of a label-free impedance biosensor using ultrananocrystalline diamond microelectrode array', *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 35(1), 284–290.
- Silva, A. B., Agostinho, S. M. L., Barcia, O. E., Cordeiro, G. G. O., and D'Elia, E. (2006) 'The effect of cysteine on the corrosion of 304L stainless steel in sulphuric acid', *Corrosion Science*, 48(11), 3668–3674.
- Silva, D. W., Ghica, M. E., Ajayi, R. F., Iwuoha, E. I., and Brett, C. M. A. (2018) 'Impedimetric sensor for tyramine based on gold nanoparticle doped-poly(8-anilino-1-naphthalene sulphonic acid) modified gold electrodes. Talanta. In Press, Accepted Manuscript.
- Siuzdak, K., Niedziałkowski, P., Sobaszek, M., Łęga, T., Sawczak, M., Czaczyk, E., Dziąbowska, K., Ossowski, T., Nidzworski, D., and Bogdanowicz, R. (2019) 'Biomolecular influenza virus detection based on the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy using the nanocrystalline boron-doped diamond electrodes with covalently bound antibodies', *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, 280, 263–271.
- Smith Pease, C. K., Basketter, D. A. and Patlewicz, G. Y. (2003) 'Contact allergy: the role of skin chemistry and metabolism', *Clinical and Experimental Dermatology*, 28(2), 177–183.

- Soleri, R., Demey, H., Tria, S. A., Guiseppi-Elie, A., IBN Had Hassine, A., Gonzalez, C., and Bazin, I. (2015) 'Peptide conjugated chitosan foam as a novel approach for capture-purification and rapid detection of hapten – Example of ochratoxin A', *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 67, 634–641.
- Somé, I. T., Sakira, A. K., Mertens, D., Ronkart, S. N., and Kauffmann, J. M. (2016) 'Determination of groundwater mercury (II) content using a disposable gold modified screen printed carbon electrode', *Talanta*, 152, 335–340.
- Song, Y., and Song Y. Z. (2011) 'L-cysteine-nano-AuNPs modified glassy carbon electrode and its application for determination of dopamine hydrochloride', *Indian Journal of Chemistry Section Inorganic Bio-Inorganic Physical Theoretical and Analytical Chemistry*, 50 (7), 1006–1009.
- Sonuç Karaboğa, M. N. and Sezgintürk, M. K. (2018) 'Determination of C-reactive protein by PAMAM decorated ITO based disposable biosensing system: A new immunosensor design from an old molecule', *Talanta*, 186(April), 162–168.
- Stenlund, P., Frostell-Karlsson, A., and Karlsson, O. P. (2006) 'Studies of small molecule interactions with protein phosphatases using biosensor technology', *Analytical Biochemistry*, 353, 217–225.
- Stobieckaa Magdalena, Jeffrey Deeba, and Maria Hepel. (2006) 'Ligand exchange effects in AuNPs assembly induced by oxidative stress biomarkers: Homocysteine and cysteine', *Biophysical Chemistry*, 146(2–3), 98–107.
- Su, H., Kallury, K. M. R., and Thompson, M. (1994) 'Interfacial nucleic acid hybridization studied by random primer ³²P labeling and liquid-phase acoustic network analysis', *Analytical Chemistry*, 66 (6), 769–777.
- Su, Z., Xu, H., Xu, X., Zhang, Y., Ma, Y., Li, Ch., and Xie, Q. (2017) 'Effective covalent immobilization of quinone and aptamer onto a gold electrode via thiol addition for sensitive and selective protein biosensing', *Talanta*, 164, 244–248.

- Takenouchi, O., Takenouchi, O., Fukui, S., Okamoto, K., Kurotani, S., Imai, N., Fujishiro, M., Kyotani, D., Kato, Y., Kasahara, T., Fujita, M., Toyoda, A., Sekiya, D., Watanabe, S., Seto, H., Hirota, M., Ashikaga, T., and Miyazawa, M. (2015) 'Test battery with the human cell line activation test, direct peptide reactivity assay and DEREK based on a 139 chemical data set for predicting skin sensitizing potential and potency of chemicals', *Journal of Applied Toxicology*, 35(11), 1318–1332.
- Takeyoshi, M., Iida, K., Shiraishi, K. and Hoshuyama, S. (2005) 'Novel approach for classifying chemicals according to skin sensitizing potency by non-radioisotopic modification of the local lymph node assay', *Journal of Applied Toxicology*, 25, 129–134.
- Takigawa, T., and Endo Y. (2006) 'Effects of glutaraldehyde exposure on human health', *Journal of occupational health*, 48(2), 75–87.
- Tang, H., Zhang, W., Geng, P., Wang, Q., Jin, L., Wu, Z., and Lou, M. (2006) 'A new amperometric method for rapid detection of Escherichia coli density using a self-assembled monolayer-based bienzyme biosensor', *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 562, 190–196.
- Taylor, J. E. and Bull, S.D. (2014) 'N-Acylation Reactions of Amines', *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis: Second Edition*, 6, 427–478.
- Teengam, P., Siangproh, W., Tuantranont, A., Vilaivan, T., Chailapakul, O. and Henry, C. S. (2018) 'Electrochemical impedance-based DNA sensor using pyrrolidinyI peptide nucleic acids for tuberculosis detection', *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 1044, 102–109.
- Teh Ubaidah binti Noh (2014) *Disposable cysteine based electrochemical impedance biosensor for skin sensitization analysis*. Master Thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai
- Thévenot, D. R., Toth, K., Durst, R. A., and Wilson, G. S. (2001) 'Electrochemical biosensors: Recommended definitions and classification', *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 16(1–2), 121–131.
- Tsekenis, G., Garifallou, G. Z., Davis, F., Millner, P. A., and Pinacho, D. G. (2008) 'Detection of fluoroquinolone antibiotics in milk via a labelless immunoassay based upon an alternating current impedance protocol', *Analytical Chemistry*, 80, 9233–9239.

- Touil, F., Pratt, S., Mutter, R., and Chen, B. (2005) 'Screening a library of potential prion therapeutics against cellular prion proteins and insights into their mode of biological activities by surface plasmon resonance', *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, 40, 822–832.
- Troutman John, A., Leslie, Foertsch, M., Petra, S., Kern, Hong Jian Dai, Mike Quijano, Roy Dobson, L. M., Jon Lalko, F., Jean–Pierre Lepoittevin and Frank Gerberick, G. (2011) 'The incorporation of lysine into the peroxidase peptide reactivity assay for skin sensitization assessments', *Toxicology of Science*, 122 (2), 422–436.
- Ubuk, S. C., Ece Kök Yetimoglu, M., Vezir Kahramana, Pınar Demirbileka, and Melike Fırlak. (2013) 'Development of photopolymerized fluorescence sensor for glucose analysis', *Sensors and Actuators B*, 181, 187–193.
- Uehara, H., Kakiage, M., Sekiya, M., Sakuma, D., Yamonobe, T., Takano, N., Barraud, A., Meurville, E. and Ryser, P. (2009) 'Size–selective diffusion in nanoporous but flexible membranes for glucose sensors', *ACS Nano*, 3, 924–932.
- Urbisch, D., Mehling, A., Guth, K., Ramirez, T., Honarvar, N., Kolle, S., Landsiedel, R., Jaworska, J., Petra S. Kern, Gerberick, F., Natsch, A., Emter, R., Ashikaga, T., Miyazawa, M., and Sakaguchi, H. (2015) 'Assessing skin sensitization hazard in mice and men using non–animal test methods', *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 71(2), 337–351.
- Urbisch, D., Honarvar, N., Kolle, S. N., Mehling, A., Ramirez, T., Teubner, W., and Landsiedel, R. (2016) 'Peptide reactivity associated with skin sensitization: The QSAR Toolbox and TIMES compared to the DPRA', *Toxicology in Vitro*, 34, 194–203.
- Vandebriel, R. J., and Van Loveren, H. (2010) 'Non–animal sensitization testing: state–of–the–art', *Critical Reviews in Toxicology*, 40(5), 389–404.
- Vessman, J., Stefan, R. I., Van Staden, J. F., Danzer, K., Lindner, W., Burns, D. T., Fajgelj, A. and Muller, H. (2001) 'Selectivity in analytical chemistry', *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, 73, 1381–1386.
- Vieira, I.C. and Oliveira, I. R. W. Z. de. (2006) 'Immobilization procedures for the development of a biosensor for determination of hydroquinone using chitosan and gilo (*Solanum gilo*)', *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 38, 449–456.

- Viguier, B., and Zór, K. (2011) 'Development of an Electrochemical Metal–Ion Biosensor Using Self–Assembled Peptide Nanofibrils', *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*, 3 (5), 1594–1600.
- Vogel, Y. B., Darwish, N., Kashi, M. B., Gooding, J. J., and Ciampi, S. (2017) Hydrogen evolution during the electrodeposition of gold nanoparticles at Si(100) photoelectrodes impairs the analysis of current–time transients. *Electrochimica Acta*, 247(100), 200–206.
- Vos, Johannes, G., Robert Forster, J., and Tia Keyes, E. (2003) *Interfacial Supramolecular Assemblies*. 1st edition. Great Brittain : John Wiley and Sons.
- Wan, H., Sun, Q., Li, H, Sun, F., Hu, N., Wang, P. (2015) 'Screen–printed gold electrode with gold nanoparticles modification for simultaneous electrochemical determination of lead and copper', *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 209, 336–342.
- Wang, J., Wang, L., Di, J., and Tu, Y. (2008) 'Disposable biosensor based on immobilization of glucose oxidase at gold nanoparticles electrodeposited on indium tin oxide electrode', *Sensors and Actuators, B: Chemical*, 135(1), 283–288.
- Wang, C. C., Lin, Y.C., Wang, S. S., Shih, C., Lin, Y. H., Tung, C. W. (2017) 'SkinSensDB : a curated database for skin sensitization assays', *Journal of Cheminformatics*, 9 (5), 1–6.
- Wang, J., Huixin, Z., Liping, D., Hua, C., and Ping, W. (2012) 'An enzyme–metal–insulator–silicon structured sensor using surface photovoltage technology for potentiometric glucose detection', *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemica*, 1–6.
- Wareing, B., Urbisch, D., Kolle, S. N., Honarvar, N., Sauer, U. G., Mehling, A., and Landsiedel, R. (2017) 'Prediction of skin sensitization potency sub–categories using peptide reactivity data', *Toxicology in Vitro*, 45(September), 134–145.
- Wei Hang, Jian–Jun Sun, Yu Xie, Cong–Gui Lin, Yan–Min Wang, Wen–Hui Yin, and Guo–Nan Chen. (2007) 'Enhanced electrochemical performance at screen–printed carbon electrodes by a new pretreating procedure', *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 588 (2), 297–303.

- Wendel, A. (2008) ‘Comparison of the skin sensitizing potential of unsaturated compounds as assessed by the murine local lymph node assay (LLNA) and the guinea pig maximization test (GPMT)’, *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 46, 1896–1904.
- Wongkaew, P. and Poosittisak, S. (2016) ‘Atomic force microscopic and electrochemical characterization of the modified screen printed carbon electrode by self assembled deposition of chitosan and activated carbon’, *International Journal of GEOMATE*, 11(24), 2356–2362.
- Wu, Z. S., Li, J. S., Deng, T., Luo, M. H., Shen, G. L., and Yu, R.Q. (2005) ‘A sensitive immunoassay based on electropolymerized films by capacitance measurements for direct detection of immunospecies’, *Analytical Biochemistry*, 337(2), 308–315.
- Wu, Z., Wang, H., Guo, M., Tang, L. J., Yu, R. Q., and Jiang, J. H. (2011) ‘Terminal protection of small molecule–linked DNA: A versatile biosensor platform for protein binding and gene typing assay’, *Analytical Chemistry*, 83(8), 3104–3111.
- Xie, Q., Zhang, Y., Xu, M., Li, Z., Yuan, Y., and Yao, S. (1999) ‘Combined quartz crystal impedance and electrochemical impedance measurements during adsorption of bovine serum albumin onto bare and cysteine– or thiophenol–modified AuNPs electrodes’, *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*, 478 (1–2), 1–8.
- Xie, Q., Zhang, Y., Yuan, Y., Guo, Y., and Wang, X. (2000) ‘An electrochemical quartz crystal impedance study on cystine precipitation onto an Au electrode surface during cysteine oxidation in aqueous solution’, *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*, 484 (1), 41–54.
- Xu, D., Yu, X., Liu, Z., He, W., and Ma, Z. (2005). Label–free electrochemical detection for aptamer–based array electrodes. *Analytical Chemistry*. 77(16), 5107–5113.
- Yamamoto, Y. Sayaka, W., Ayako, S., Toshihiko, K., and Masaharu, F. (2019) ‘Precipitation of test chemicals in reaction solutions used in the amino acid derivative reactivity assay and the direct peptide reactivity assay’, *Journal of Pharmacological and Toxicological Methods*, 106624, 1–24.

- Ye, Y., Ji, J., Pi, F., Yang, H., Liu, J., Zhang, Y., Xia, S., Wang, J, Xu, D., and Sun, X. (2018) ‘A novel electrochemical biosensor for antioxidant evaluation of phloretin based on cell–alginate/*l*-cysteine/gold nanoparticle–modified glassy carbon electrode’, *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, 119, 119–125.
- Yoetz–Kopelman, T., Ram, Y., Freeman, A., and Shacham–Diamand, Y. (2015) ‘Faradaic impedance spectroscopy for detection of small molecules binding using the avidin–biotin model’, *Electrochimica Acta*, 173, 630–635.
- Zhang, D. W., Zhang, F., Cui, Y.–R., Deng, Q.–P., Krause, S., Zhou, Y.–L., Zhang, X.–X. (2012) ‘A label–free aptasensor for the sensitive and specific detection of cocaine using supramolecular aptamer fragments/target complex by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy’, *Talanta*. Elsevier, 92, 65–71.
- Zhang, F., Erskine, T., Klapacz, J., Settivari, R., and Marty, S. (2018) ‘A highly sensitive and selective high pressure liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC /MS–MS) method for the direct peptide reactivity assay (DPRA)’, *Journal of Pharmacological and Toxicological Methods* 94, 1–15.
- Zhang, J. and Oyama, M. (2005) ‘Gold nanoparticle arrays directly grown on nanostructured indium tin oxide electrodes: characterization and electroanalytical application’, *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 540(2), 299–306.
- Zhu, W., Zeng, N., and Wang, N. (2010) ‘Sensitivity, Specificity, Accuracy, Associated Confidence Interval and ROC Analysis with Practical SAS Implementations’, *Health Care and Life Science*, 1–9.
- Zhu, C., Yang, G., Li, H., Du, D., and Lin, Y. (2015) ‘Electrochemical Sensors and Biosensors Based on Nanomaterials and Nanostructures’, *Analytical Chemical*, 87, 230–249.
- Zhou, S., and Chromatogr, J. (2003) ‘Separation and detection methods for covalent drug–protein adducts’, *Journal of Chromatography B*, 797, 63–90.
- Zuang, Valérie, D., Bertrand, B., João, B., Susanne, B., Elisabet, B., Camilla B., Jos, B., Stephanie, C., Silvia, C., Sandra, C., Raffaella, D., Coralie, G., Varvara, G., Claudius, H., Marlies, J., Annett, K., Aude, L., Brigitte, M., Federica, M., Anne, M., Sharon, P., Anna, P., Pilar, S., Michael, T., Jutta, W., Clemens, W., Andrew, W., and Maurice. (2015) ‘EURL ECVAM Status Report on the Development, Validation and Regulatory Acceptance of Alternative Methods and Approaches (2015), *EURL ECVAM Status Report 2015*, 1–114.

Zuang, V., Barroso, J., Belz, S., Berggren, E., Bernasconi, C., Bopp, S., Bouhifd, M.,
Bowe, G., Campia, I., Casati, S., Coecke, S., Corvi, R., Dura, A., Gribaldo,
L., Grignard, E., Halder, M., Holley, T., Janusch Roi, A., Kienzler, A., Lostia,
A., Madia, F., Milcamps, A., Morath, S., Munn, S., Pains, A., Pistollato, F.,
Price, A., Prieto-Peraita, P., Richarz, A., Triebe, J., Van der Linden, S.,
Wittwehr, C., Worth, A., and Whelan, M. (2017) 'EURL ECVAM Status
Report on the Development, Validation and Regulatory Acceptance of
Alternative Methods and Approaches (2017)', *JRC Science for Policy Report*,
99–107.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journal with Impact Factor

1. **Noh T. U.**, & Aziz A. A. (2018). Estimation of the Surface Area of a Screen-Printed Carbon Electrode Modified with Gold Nanoparticles and Cysteine using Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy. *Chemical Engineering Transactions*, 63, 529–534. <https://doi.org/10.3303/CET1863089>. (**Q3, IF: 0.27**).

Non-Indexed Conference Proceedings

1. **Noh T. U.**, & Aziz A. A. (2017). Characterization of screen printed carbon electrode modified with gold nanoparticles and cysteine. *Conference: International Postgraduate Symposium in Biotechnology 2017* (18–24).