

DISTANCE-AWARE BIDIRECTIONAL MEDIUM ACCESS CONTROL FOR
MESH WIRELESS NETWORK-ON-CHIP ARCHITECTURE

ASRANI LIT

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Electrical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

AUGUST 2022

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This thesis is the culmination of almost six years of work. During this time, I have had the pleasure of living, working, or simply interacting with lots of people; some of these people have played a meaningful part in my life as they have helped me grow both technically and personally especially for unique experience when the covid-19 outbreak. Therefore, I would like to dedicate a few lines to them. First and foremost, I would like to truly thank my advisors Assoc. Prof. Ir. Dr. Muhammad Nadzir Marsono and Dr. Mohd. Shahrizal Rusli on their dedication and support. I also appreciate all the constructive criticism and their kind words for me. I genuinely admire their combination of professionalism, insights, meticulousness, and optimism. It has been a pleasure to learn from them as we developed the research that has led to this thesis. I sincerely feel honored to have had the chance to work under their guidance and inspiration. I would also like to take the chance to express my gratitude to my first mentor, Prof. Dr. Mohamed Khalil Hani. Although he has retired, I have fond memories of being his student since my undergraduate to postgraduate studies, which indirectly opened my eyes to the world of research. This PhD would not have been possible without financial support. Thus, I acknowledge my employer, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak (UNIMAS), and the Ministry of Higher Education (MoHE) for awarding me the SLAB doctoral scholarship. My gratitude also goes to the PhD examiners, namely Assoc. Prof. Dr. Fawnizu Azmadi bin Hussin and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ooi Chia Yee, for their time and constructive feedback. I would like to especially thank Mohamed Sultan, Jeevan, and Ayodeji Fasiku for hours spent together working hard in the VeCAD research lab. I am grateful for their friendship, and the good times we had discussing research or simply exchanging bad jokes. Last but not least by any means, I would like to extend my deepest appreciation to the ones that have unconditionally been by my side, cheering me up and walking with me throughout this journey: my parents in law, my older brothers and sisters, my wonderful significant other, Fariza and my precious son, Arfan. Thank you, and I love you.

ABSTRACT

Wireless Network-on-Chip (WiNoC) architectures have recently been proposed to address the scalability limitations of conventional multi-hop wired NoC architectures. The medium access control (MAC) protocol and routing strategy are critical in determining the performance and energy characteristics of a WiNoC. Most conventional WiNoC MAC use a daisy-chained ring topology, which limits the performance benefit of using a wireless channel since daisy-chaining results in a maximum waiting time when a radio hub misses the token before a packet arrives. Furthermore, even when radio hubs are connected to wired paths, all cores connected to the WiNoC radio hub prioritise transmission through the radio hub, resulting in an uncontrolled load on the wireless channel. Therefore, this thesis's main objectives are as follows. The first objective is to propose a Bidirectional MAC (BMAC) strategy for WiNoC while the second objective is to propose a Distance-Aware (DA) routing scheme in conjunction with BMAC (DA+BMAC) to control a single-hop wireless transmission exclusive to far away destination cores. The wired metal planar interconnect has a higher aggregate bandwidth and is dedicated to short-range communication, whereas single-hop wireless channels are dedicated to long-range transmission beyond a certain distance threshold. To determine the effectiveness of the proposed works, a comprehensive validation was performed using the cycle-accurate Noxim simulator. The proposed strategy was tested and validated in terms of latency, throughput, and energy consumption using synthetic traffic distributions (random, shuffle, transpose, and hotspot) and real-application PARSEC (Barnes) and SPLASH-2 (Fluidanimate) traces. Extensive simulation results show that BMAC can achieve up to 1.84 times faster throughput, while DA+BMAC can improve up to 11.49 times faster than the WiNoC baseline daisy-chained architecture. At the same time, the energy improvement over the baseline daisy-chained at the saturated packet injection load is up to 8% for BMAC and 15% for DA+BMAC. The proposed MAC and routing protocols increase wireless channel utilisation and balance wireless-wired load, resulting in significantly improved WiNoC performance over the baseline architecture.

ABSTRAK

Seni bina Rangkaian-atas-cip wayarles (WiNoC) baru-baru ini telah dicadangkan untuk menangani had kebolehskalaan seni bina NoC berwayar berbilang-hop konvensional. Protokol dan strategi penghalauan kawalan capaian media (MAC) adalah penting dalam menentukan prestasi dan ciri tenaga WiNoC. Kebanyakan MAC WiNoC konvensional menggunakan topologi gelang rantai-daisy, yang menghadkan faedah prestasi menggunakan saluran wayarles memandangkan rantaian-daisy menghasilkan masa menunggu maksimum apabila hab radio terlepas token sebelum paket tiba. Tambahan pula, walaupun hab radio disambungkan ke laluan berwayar, semua teras yang disambungkan ke hab radio WiNoC mengutamakan penghantaran melalui hab radio, mengakibatkan beban tidak terkawal pada saluran wayarles. Oleh itu, objektif utama tesis ini adalah seperti berikut. Objektif pertama adalah untuk mencadangkan strategi MAC Dwiarah (B_{MAC}) untuk WiNoC manakala objektif kedua adalah untuk mencadangkan skim penghalauan sedar-jarak (D_A) bersama-sama dengan B_{MAC} (D_A+B_{MAC}) untuk mengawal penghantaran wayarles hop tunggal eksklusif untuk teras destinasi yang jauh. Sambungan satah logam berwayar mempunyai lebar jalur agregat yang lebih tinggi yang dikhususkan untuk komunikasi jarak dekat, manakala saluran wayarles hop tunggal dikhususkan untuk penghantaran jarak jauh melebihi ambang jarak tertentu. Untuk menentukan keberkesanan kerja yang dicadangkan, pengesahan komprehensif telah dilakukan menggunakan penyelaku Noxim tepat kitaran. Strategi yang dicadangkan telah diuji dan disahkan dari segi kependaman, daya pemprosesan dan penggunaan tenaga menggunakan taburan trafik sintetik (rawak, kocok, transposisi, dan kawasan panas) dan surih aplikasi sebenar PARSEC (Barnes) dan SPLASH-2 (Fluidanimate). Hasil simulasi yang meluas menunjukkan bahawa B_{MAC} boleh mencapai daya pemprosesan sehingga 1.84 kali lebih pantas, manakala D_A+B_{MAC} boleh meningkat sehingga 11.49 kali lebih pantas daripada rangkaian-daisy garis dasar seni bina WiNoC. Pada masa yang sama, peningkatan tenaga ke atas rantaian-daisy garis dasar pada beban suntikan paket tepu adalah sehingga 8% untuk B_{MAC} dan 15% untuk D_A+B_{MAC} . MAC dan protokol penghalauan yang dicadangkan meningkatkan penggunaan saluran wayarles dan mengimbangi beban berwayar-wayarles, menghasilkan prestasi WiNoC yang lebih baik berbanding seni bina garis dasar dengan ketara.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xx
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxi
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Problem Statement	2
1.3	Objectives	4
1.4	Scope of Work	4
1.5	Expected Contributions of this Thesis	5
1.6	Thesis Organization	6
CHAPTER 2	BACKGROUND AND LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1	Network-on-Chip	9
2.2	Wireless Network-on-Chip	13
2.3	MAC Protocol for WiNoC	20
2.3.1	WiNoC Channelization Scheme	21
2.3.2	WiNoC Coordinate Access Scheme	23
2.3.3	WiNoC Random Access Scheme	25
2.3.4	WiNoC Hybrid Scheme	26
2.4	WiNoC Routing Protocol	28

2.4.1	Deterministic Routing	29
2.4.2	Oblivious Routing	30
2.4.3	Adaptive Routing	32
2.5	Chapter Summary	34
CHAPTER 3 PROPOSED BIDIRECTIONAL MEDIUM ACCESS CONTROL WITH DISTANCE-AWARE ROUTING MECHANISM		37
3.1	Top-Down Perspective of 8×8 mesh-WiNoC Architecture	38
3.2	Research Workflow	40
3.3	Objective 1: Bidirectional MAC (BMAC) Protocol	42
3.3.1	BMAC Radio Hub Architecture	44
3.3.2	Proposed BMAC Protocol	45
3.4	Objective 2: Distance-Aware Routing with Bidirectional-MAC Protocol (DA+BMAC)	47
3.4.1	Distance-Aware (DA) Router Architecture	50
3.4.2	Proposed DA+BMAC Routing Algorithm	51
3.4.3	Optimization of Distance Threshold	54
3.5	Design Environments for Noxim Simulator	56
3.5.1	Simulation Setup	60
3.5.2	Traffic Workload Models	62
3.6	Performance Metrics	66
3.7	Chapter Summary	67
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		69
4.1	Chapter Overview	69
4.2	Simulation Result of Bidirectional MAC (BMAC)	69
4.3	Simulation Result of Distance Aware Routing with BMAC (DA+BMAC)	72
4.4	Validation with SPLASH-2 and PARSEC Benchmark Applications	75
4.5	Performance and Energy at Saturated Load	77
4.5.1	Performance at Saturated Load	78

4.5.2	Energy Consumption at Saturated Load	80
4.6	Discussion	81
4.7	Chapter Summary	84
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS	85
5.1	Contributions of Thesis	85
5.2	Directions for Future Works	87
REFERENCES		89
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		119

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Literature taxonomized based on MAC protocols can be classified into four categories: coordinate controlled-access, channelization, random-access, or hybrid.	20
Table 2.2	Summary of the literature for routing protocols in the WiNoC architecture, classified into three categories: deterministic, oblivious, and adaptive.	29
Table 3.1	Optimal distance threshold for the 8×8 mesh-WiNoC with 4×4 radio hub architecture.	56
Table 3.2	Simulation setup for 8 × 8 (64) cores mm-wave mesh-WiNoC architecture with 16 radio radio hub. The mesh-WiNoC baseline architecture, B _{MAC} , and D _A +B _{MAC} are executed with both synthetic, SPLASH-2 (Barnes), and PARSEC (Fluidanimate) benchmark traffic workload for comprehensive validation of the WiNoC architecture under test.	61
Table 3.3	Descriptions of synthetic, SPLASH-2, and PARSEC network traffic patterns.	63
Table 4.1	Packet injection rate at saturation load under various traffic distribution.	78
Table 4.2	Percentage of wireless utilization at the upper-layer of the 8×8 mesh-WiNoC with 4×4 radio hub architecture	80

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Generic NoC architecture with each tile consisting of a processing element, memory, network interface, and router.	10
Figure 2.2	The composition of message, packet, and flit in the WiNoC resource allocation unit.	11
Figure 2.3	Store-and-forward communication example in the 3×3 mesh NoC topology from Tile ₀ to Tile ₈ .	12
Figure 2.4	Time-space diagram for virtual-cut-through and wormhole.	13
Figure 2.5	A conceptual tile-based floor plan diagram of a manycore processor integrating wireless NoC. The NoC processing tiles are organized into a mesh topological WiNoC structure with the integration of an on-chip radio hub (transceiver) to enable on-chip wireless communication.	14
Figure 2.6	Generic wireless transceiver dataflow diagram.	15
Figure 2.7	Generic classification of WiNoC topologies. (a) Regular/Hierarchical (b) Irregular/Small-world.	16
Figure 2.8	Examples of different WiNoC topologies. (a) Mesh. (b) Small-world. (c) Honey-comb.	16
Figure 2.9	Various WiNoC architectures that can be classified as a regular topology. (a) McWiNoC. (b) iWISE. (c) WiMesh. (d) sWHNoC.	17
Figure 2.10	Various WiNoC architectures that can be classified as a irregular topology. (a) Small-world mWNoC. (b) Delta MIN. (c) HoneyWin. (d) N-MoT.	18
Figure 2.11	Various frequency band operated in the Wireless Network-on-Chip.	19
Figure 2.12	WiNoC channelization media access control protocols. (a) TDMA. (b) FDMA. (c) CDMA.	21

Figure 3.1	A conceptual illustration of top level perspective of 8×8 (64) cores mesh-WiNoC architecture with 4×4 (16) radio hub. Note that the unidirectional daisy-chained token ring link is represented by the bold red arrows.	38
Figure 3.2	Functional block diagram for microarchitectural components of Tile-Radio Hub. Note that there are unidirectional links (<i>token_in</i> and <i>token_out</i>) at the Radio Hub component.	39
Figure 3.3	The block diagram for an implementation of 4×4 (16) radio hub in the baseline unidirectional the token-based daisy-chained ring.	40
Figure 3.4	The research workflow of this thesis work.	41
Figure 3.5	The two-tier mesh-WiNoC architecture with the proposed B _{MAC} . (a) The conventional wireline plane at the lower layer of the mesh-WiNoC architecture. (b) The proposed B _{MAC} at the upper layer of the mesh-WiNoC architecture. The B _{MAC} protocol is employed at the upper-layer of the mesh-WiNoC with its neighbor-aware mechanism and the bidirectional links to allow the token to serve the previous adjacent radio hub for utilising the wireless channel for data packet transmission.	43
Figure 3.6	Radio hub architecture with integration of B _{MAC} token controller equipped with the bidirectional links.	44
Figure 3.7	Probability density function for token ring and B _{MAC} in random traffic.	46
Figure 3.8	Comparative walkthrough example between the baseline token-passing (a) and the proposed work using B _{MAC} approach (b). The B _{MAC} protocol can prevent the worst-case of the full-round token trip waiting time by having the possible time taken equal to 2τ ($Hub_2 \leftrightarrow Hub_1$) rather than 15τ ($Hub_2 \rightarrow Hub_3 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow Hub_0 \rightarrow Hub_1$) in the unidirectional baseline design.	47

Figure 3.9	The two-tier mesh-WiNoC architecture with the proposed joint scheme of DA+BMAC. (a) The proposed DA is at the lower layer of the wireline plane. (b) The proposed BMAC is at the upper layer of wireless plane.	48
Figure 3.10	A conceptual illustration of single-hop wireless (dotted line arrow) versus twelve (12) multi-hops wired (bold black arrows) communication from the source to the destination.	49
Figure 3.11	Router architecture with Distance-aware (DA) arbitration mechanism. Based on the source and destination communication distance between processing tiles, the DA arbitration mechanism adopts the distance threshold strategy for steering the data packets either by wired (north, east, south, or west) or wireless (radio hub) channel.	51
Figure 3.12	Example of walkthrough illustration of DA+BMAC communication scenarios. (a) <i>Source₁</i> (Tile ₄₀) - <i>Destination₁</i> (Tile ₇) is the communication pair that using the DA mechanism. (b) <i>Source₂</i> (Tile ₃₆) - <i>Destination₂</i> (Tile ₂₂) is the communication pair that using BMAC protocol after the DA routing scheme. (c) <i>Source₃</i> (Tile ₃) - <i>Destination₃</i> (Tile ₁₇) is the communication pair that using the conventional multi-hops wired transmission.	54
Figure 3.13	Comparative performance impact on latency with various hops count as distance threshold for the 8×8 mesh-WiNoC with 4×4 radio hub architecture (a) Random. (b) Shuffle. (c) Transpose. (d) Hotspot. (e) Barnes. (f) Fluidanimate.	55
Figure 3.14	Methodology abstraction for simulation-based BMAC and DA+BMAC design space exploration. (a) YAML configuration file as an input to the WiNoC interconnect and its traffic distribution. (b) Cycle-accurate Noxim WiNoC simulator engine. (c) Noxim simulation results and statistics in terms of latency, throughput, and energy consumption.	57

Figure 3.15	The source codes for the hierarchical modules in the Noxim NoC Simulator. The shaded boxes represent the modified modules for the proposed DA and B _{MAC} designs.	60
Figure 3.16	Building the mesh-WiNoC infrastructure. (a) Develop the individual components such as router, processing element and radio hub. (b) Combine to construct NoC tile and radio hub. (c) Combine multiple NoC tiles and radio hubs in constructing the mesh-WiNoC topology.	61
Figure 3.17	64-cores mesh-WiNoC topology with 16 radio hub. Each radio hub is integrated with the B _{MAC} protocol and equipped with the bidirectional links in the daisy-chained ring topology. Every mesh-WiNoC tile has a DA routing mechanism for allowing traffic steering selection between wired or wireless transmission in the mesh-WiNoC architecture.	62
Figure 3.18	Illustration of traffic distribution in the 8×8 mesh topology for various synthetic traffics. (a) Random. (b) Shuffle. (c) Transpose. (d) Hotspot.	64
Figure 3.19	The generation of SPLASH-2 (Barnes) and PARSEC (Fluidanimate) traffic communication traces using the Graphite multicore simulator.	66
Figure 4.1	Performance impact on latency using B _{MAC} against the baseline design under synthetic traffics. (a) Random. (b) Shuffle. (c) Transpose. (d) Hotspot.	70
Figure 4.2	Performance impact on network throughput using B _{MAC} against the baseline design under synthetic traffics. (a) Random. (b) Shuffle. (c) Transpose. (d) Hotspot.	71
Figure 4.3	Performance impact on latency using DA+B _{MAC} against B _{MAC} and the baseline design under synthetic traffics. (a) Random. (b) Shuffle. (c) Transpose. (d) Hotspot.	73
Figure 4.4	Performance impact on network throughput using DA+B _{MAC} against B _{MAC} and the baseline design under synthetic traffics. (a) Random. (b) Shuffle. (c) Transpose. (d) Hotspot.	74

Figure 4.5	Traffic pattern for Barnes (SPLASH-2) and Fluidanimate (PARSEC) benchmark workload for 4000 clock cycle time-stamp. The x-axis shows clock cycles, and the y-axis corresponds to the Manhattan-distance of communication cores.	75
Figure 4.6	Performance impact on latency and network throughput under the SPLASH-2 and PARSEC benchmark traces. (a) Barnes application. (b) Fluidanimate application.	76
Figure 4.7	Energy consumption under the SPLASH-2 and PARSEC benchmark traces. (a) Barnes application. (b) Fluidanimate application.	77
Figure 4.8	Normalized network throughput comparison for the baseline, B _{MAC} , and DA+B _{MAC} designs in the mesh-WiNoC architecture under synthetic, Barnes, and Fluidanimate traffic workloads.	79
Figure 4.9	Performance speedup (throughput) comparison for the baseline, B _{MAC} , and DA+B _{MAC} designs in the mesh-WiNoC architecture under synthetic, Barnes, and Fluidanimate traffic workloads.	79
Figure 4.10	Normalized energy consumption comparison for the baseline, B _{MAC} , and DA+B _{MAC} designs in the mesh-WiNoC architecture under synthetic, Barnes, and Fluidanimate traffic workloads.	81
Figure 4.11	Percentage of energy savings comparison for the proposed B _{MAC} and DA+B _{MAC} designs in the mesh-WiNoC architecture under synthetic, Barnes, and Fluidanimate traffic workloads.	82
Figure A.1	8 × 8 mesh-WiNoC architecture with 16 Radio Hub.	111
Figure A.2	An example of Noxim simulation output for the 64-cores mesh-WiNoC architecture that provides the average latency, network throughput, and total energy consumption.	114

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

2D	–	Two Dimension
3D	–	Three Dimension
A-WiNoC	–	Adaptive Wireless NoC
aCDMA	–	Adaptive Code-Division Multiple Access
ADC	–	Analog to Digital Converter
ALASH	–	Adaptive Layered Shortest Path
ASK	–	Amplitude Shift Keying
BPSK	–	Binary Phase Shift Keying
BMAC	–	Bidirectional Medium Access Control
BRS	–	Broadcast Reliability Sensing
CDMA	–	Code-Division Multiple Access
CJA	–	Congestion Judgement Algorithm
CMOS	–	Complementary Metal-Oxide Semiconductor
CMP	–	Chip Multi Processor
CNT	–	Carbon Nano Tube
CPCA	–	Cross-Path Congestion-Aware
CSMA	–	Carrier-Sense Multiple Access
D-SAM	–	Demanded Slot Allocation Mechanism
DA	–	Distance-Aware
DA+BMAC	–	Distance-Aware Bidirectional MAC
Delta MIN	–	Delta Multistage Interconnection Network
FDMA	–	Frequency Division Multiple Access
FIT	–	Floyd-based Inter-chip Traffic

GWNoC	–	Graphene-based Wireless NoC
HDL	–	Hardware Description Language
HiWA	–	Hierarchical Wireless-Based Architecture
HoneyWiN	–	Honeycomb-based Wireless NoC
IC	–	Integrated Circuit
I/O	–	Input/Output
IP	–	Intellectual Property
iWISE	–	Inter-router Wireless Scalable Express Channels
LNA	–	Low Noise Amplifier
LTCA	–	Load-balanced Time-based Congestion Aware
MAC	–	Medium Access Control
MALASH	–	Multicast Adaptive Layered Shortest Path
MROOTS	–	Multiple Tree Roots
McWiNoC	–	Multi-Channel WiNoC
MinBD	–	Minimally Buffered Deflection Routing
MoT	–	Mesh of Tree
mSWNoC	–	Millimeter-wave Small-world Wireless NoC
MWCNT	–	Multi-walled Carbon Nanotube
NACK	–	Negative Acknowledgement
NI	–	Network Interface
NePA	–	Network-Based Processor Array
NoC	–	Network-on-Chip
OOK	–	On-Off Keying
OOP	–	Object-oriented Programming
PA	–	Power Amplifier
PARSEC	–	Princeton Application Repository for Shared Memory Computer

PDF	–	Probability Density Function
PE	–	Processing Element
PIR	–	Packet Injection Rate
RF	–	Radio Frequency
RMS	–	Recognition, Mining and Synthesis
RTL	–	Register Transfer Level
SoC	–	System-on-Chip
SPH	–	Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics
SPLASH-2	–	Stanford Parallel Applications for SHared memory 2
STL	–	Standard Template Library
TDMA	–	Time-Division Multiple Access
TLM	–	Transaction Level Model
UWB	–	Ultra Wideband
WiNoC	–	Wireless Network-on-Chip
YAML	–	YAML Ain't Markup Language

LIST OF SYMBOLS

mm	–	millimeter
nm	–	nanometer
Gb/s	–	giga bit per second
GHz	–	giga hertz
pJ	–	pico joule
THz	–	terahertz

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Noxim User Guide	107

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

In the era of Chip Multi Processor (CMP), modern processors contain an ever increasing number of integrated cores, and network-on-chip (NoC) architectures have gained a strong establishment as a solution for future on-chip interconnect networks [1–9]. NoC adapts the application of networking theory, which is based on packet-switching and consists of a number of routers interconnected with point-to-point links that are arranged to form a specific topology. Currently, NoC is the preferred choice for chip multiprocessors interconnected networks because it not only provides substantial enhancements to on-chip interconnect scalability, but also in fault tolerance and modularity with appropriate design of the routing protocol or the flow control mechanism [10–15].

In general, the scaling of parallel applications increases the communication-to-computation ratio when the computation is distributed over a large number of cores [16]. The increase in core density implies a broader selection of possible destinations, making the traffic more dynamic with a higher amount of communication and a higher degree of traffic heterogeneity [7, 17]. As the number of cores grows, the performance benefit of the conventional NoC is restricted by the high latency together with high power dissipation due to long-distance multi-hop communication. Moreover, among the critical challenges of the growing number of processing cores is the average packet traversal, which affects the time and energy required for data movement across the chip die. This calls for an innovative alternative architecture that can offer the shorter distance between large processing cores.

Nonetheless, as integration levels continue to rise, these NoC interconnects face serious scalability constraints, inspiring researches into new emerging interconnect

technologies such as optical NoC (oNoC) [18–22], three-dimensional (3D) NoC [23–28], RF-interconnection (RF-I) [29–32], and Wireless NoC (WiNoC) [33–37]. Each of these on-chip interconnect alternatives has its own unique features as well as their advantages and disadvantages [34, 38, 39]. Among others, WiNoC communication has garnered interest in recent research due to its several interesting capabilities [33–37]. First, this interconnect is Complementary Metal-Oxide Semiconductor (CMOS) compatible [40] and can be employed for transmission of data across the chip via a one-hop wireless link with low energy while providing high bandwidth with low latency at low energy. Furthermore, because wireless transmission does not require a wireline metal wire or a waveguide, the WiNoC platform provides architectural flexibility, reducing area overhead and chip design complexity.

WiNoC is the NoC architecture that hybridises wired and wireless interconnects to alleviate the long latency of wired planar communication through an express long-range wireless channel [34, 36, 40–46]. Advances in integrated transceivers [47, 48] and millimetre-wave antennas [49, 50] have motivated the development of WiNoC as a possible complement to conventional NoC [51]. A set of processing cores are integrated with antennas and transceivers that are able to modulate and transmit data packets for wireless on-chip transmission. With WiNoC, the long-distant cores can communicate with one-hop low latency. In addition, beyond a certain source-to-destination distance, the performance enhancement using the WiNoC wireless channel is greater because the wireless channel consumes less energy as compared with the multi-hop traditional metal wires [52–56].

1.2 Problem Statement

The design of low area overhead and efficient MAC protocol are regarded as some of the important challenges for WiNoC technology [34, 57, 58]. In WiNoC, most existing MAC approaches is the daisy-chained based ring topology, which uses token passing procedure as an access mechanism to wireless medium due to its simplicity and collision-free protocol [34, 46, 59–62]. In the token-passing method, the radio hub that holds the token is permitted to exclusively utilize the channel for present wireless transmission. After that, the token is handed off to the following radio hub nodes in an

ordered sequence according to the logical ring manner. However, the shortcoming of this approach is the wireless link access delay caused by token circulation among radio hub nodes. The token-passing based MAC protocol grants the radio hub that has the token for exclusively access to the wireless data transmission channel. This restricts the performance advantages of adopting the wireless channel for the WiNoC architecture since the flow of token is in a unidirectional manner that is based on circular token passing for granting the particular radio hub for using wireless communication. As a consequence, this can cause low network utilization for the WiNoC architecture due to its restricted wireless channel access. Regardless of the scalability concern, this token round-trip persists as the cost of additional latency. This is because when the scale of the daisy-chained ring becomes bigger, it directly implies a longer MAC delay and token waiting time for circulating the token holder among radio hubs. Nevertheless, the MAC delay is one of the dominant factors in the overall delay in WiNoC [57, 63–65]. Therefore, reducing the MAC delay for WiNoC is important to sustain the latency advantage of the one-hop wireless approach.

Because no wired path infrastructure is needed between processing cores, the flexible architecture of WiNoC makes it an attractive option for future CMPs to tackle a large number of cores [38, 39, 58, 66]. Most of the WiNoC architectures proposed in the literature have adopted regular topological structures to take advantage of their modularity, scalability, and simplicity [37, 40, 44, 67–70]. Each radio hub is composed of an antenna and a transceiver that are clustered with a set of processing cores with shared wireless bandwidth among them. However, due to the limited wireless channel bandwidth, the radio hubs are susceptible to congestion as the wireless channel is shared with all processing cores [61, 69–74]. The waiting time to get access to wireless channels is the main factor of congestion in radio hubs. This leads to possibility of radio hub overloading and causing network contention due to multiple access requests to the wireless channel at the upper layer of WiNoC. Consequently, this deprecates the benefit of high-speed wireless links offered by WiNoC [68–70, 75]. In addition, from the parallelism standpoint, the bandwidth for a wireless channel is much less than the aggregate bandwidth of all wired metal interconnects. Hence, to achieve the best WiNoC performance, there is a necessity to devise a routing mechanism that can select the use of either wired or wireless channel bandwidth for data packet transmission.

1.3 Objectives

The aim of this thesis is to improve the network performance of the mesh-WiNoC architecture by improving the MAC protocol and WiNoC packet routing. The specific research objectives are as follows:

1. To propose a bidirectional medium access control protocol to improve wireless access performance at the upper-layer of the mesh-WiNoC architecture. The bidirectional MAC provides bidirectional links with the control mechanism in the radio hub that allow the token to turn to its previous adjacent radio hub to reduce the full round maximum waiting time.
2. To formulate the distance-aware routing mechanism to improve network performance at the lower-layer of the mesh-WiNoC architecture. This approach uses a distance-aware routing technique to allow a single-hop wireless transmission exclusive to source and destination cores beyond a certain distance threshold for the long-range cores communication.

1.4 Scope of Work

The WiNoC performance validation at the system level requires simulating CMP with WiNoC interconnection. However, due to the expensive costs involved in prototyping the WiNoC Integrated Chip (IC), the hardware implementation of the proposed work is outside the scope of this thesis. Therefore, as the norm in the computer architecture research, a cycle-accurate full system WiNoC architecture simulation is employed as evaluation of the proposed works. The scope of work for this thesis is summarized as follows:

- The investigated architecture is mesh-WiNoC with a system size of 64 cores, as it is a sufficient size to demonstrate NoC based multicore system-on-chip (SoC). [2, 3, 76–80].
- Since the investigation in this thesis emphasised on the MAC protocol and routing techniques, all NoC routers have access to both wired and wireless

channels as part of the WiNoC topological structure. Single-channel wireless access is assumed to be available for WiNoC integration.

- The dimension-order XY routing algorithm is adopted because it can provide the shortest path routing with respect to the mesh-WiNoC topology. In addition, XY deterministic routing guarantees deadlock and livelock freedom [11–13].
- The proposed designs are developed in C++ and SystemC with an object-oriented programming (OOP) approach in the Noxim [81] NoC simulator that supports wireless communication.
- Validation of the proposed works presented in the thesis is evaluated on the Noxim [81] NoC simulator with synthetic traffic distributions (random, shuffle, transpose and hotspot) and existing application specific benchmark suites (PARSEC [82] and SPLASH-2 [83]) namely Barnes (high-performance application) and Fluidanimate (interactive animation application) respectively.
- The works are evaluated based on common evaluation metrics analysis [13, 84, 85] for on-chip network system in term of transmission latency, network throughput and energy consumption .

1.5 Expected Contributions of this Thesis

This work proposes two strategies dedicated for mesh-WiNoC architecture to accomplish the thesis objectives that can improve the WiNoC performance. The expected research contributions are as below.

1. Bidirectional MAC protocol for the mesh-WiNoC wireless channel

In this thesis, a bidirectional MAC protocol is proposed as the first contribution aiming at reducing the maximal token waiting time for the case of token full round-trip time. At the upper-layer, the MAC mechanism plays an essential role in ensuring the correct operation of the mesh-WiNoC architecture. This approach is aimed at improving the MAC delay with the bidirectional links that enable the token to be given to its preceding hub node rather than completing a full round of the daisy-chained token ring. A lightweight bidirectional MAC

protocol is designed, modeled, and evaluated with the baseline implementation with a set of common traffic scenarios, seeking to prove the better performance of the proposed approach over the baseline model.

2. Distance-aware routing scheme for the mesh-WiNoC architecture

As a continuation of the first objective, this thesis formulates the distance-aware routing scheme at the network layer. Based on the hop distance between the source and destination pair, this scheme selectively decides the use of either wired or wireless communication for packet transmission. By implementing the distance aware routing, a single-hop wireless transmission can be exploited for far-away cores as an express communication to bypass the multi-hop transmission between processing cores. Nevertheless, short-range communications are served through wired metal communication to accommodate its much greater aggregate communication bandwidth as compared with a wireless link. As a result, by appropriately balancing the network workload between wired and wireless paths, the WiNoC performance is expected to be improved. Furthermore, this work performs a thorough analysis of the proposed design with a set of synthetic and benchmark (PARSEC [82] and SPLASH-2 [83]) traffic workload. It is expected that the integration of both strategies, bidirectional MAC and distance-aware routing, can provide profound benefits in terms of the execution speed and a better energy profile for the mesh-WiNoC architecture.

1.6 Thesis Organization

The remainder of the thesis is structured as follows.

- **Chapter 2** provides the fundamental background of WiNoC communication and taxonomizes the existing literature's emphasis on MAC protocol and WiNoC routing before detailing the proposed works and its contributions. This chapter first describes a brief overview of NoC architecture technologies and the foundation background of WiNoC. This chapter also discusses the related

work on the adopted MAC mechanisms and routing strategies in the WiNoC architecture.

- **Chapter 3** presents the bidirectional MAC with the distance-aware routing mechanism as the thesis's proposed works. This chapter describes the top-down perspective of the proposed designs and the research workflow carried out in this research. This chapter also covers the design platform, software tools, and performance metrics employed for this thesis.
- **Chapter 4** discusses the experimental results with comparative performance analysis between baseline architecture against the proposed works. This chapter describes the evaluation environment and analyses the performance of the proposed works under both synthetic and application specific benchmark traffic distributions.
- **Chapter 5** concludes the thesis with a summary of lessons learned and pathways that could be explored as future research work.

REFERENCES

1. Benini, L. and De Micheli, G. Networks on chips: a new SoC paradigm. *IEEE Computer*, 2002. 35(1): 70–78.
2. Vangal, S. R., Howard, J., Ruhl, G., Dighe, S., Wilson, H., Tschanz, J., Finan, D., Singh, A., Jacob, T., Jain, S. *et al.* An 80-tile sub-100-w teraflops processor in 65-nm cmos. *IEEE Journal of Solid-state Circuits*, 2008. 43(1): 29–41.
3. Wentzlaff, D., Griffin, P., Hoffmann, H., Bao, L., Edwards, B., Ramey, C., Mattina, M., Miao, C.-C., Brown III, J. F. and Agarwal, A. On-chip interconnection architecture of the tile processor. *IEEE Micro*, 2007. 27(5): 15–31.
4. Benini, L. and De Micheli, G. Powering networks on chips: energy-efficient and reliable interconnect design for SoCs. *Proceedings of the 14th International Symposium on Systems Synthesis*. 2001. 33–38.
5. Dally, W. J. and Towles, B. Route packets, not wires: On-chip interconnection networks. *Proceedings of IEEE Design Automation Conference (DAC 2001)*. Nevada, USA. 2001. 684–689.
6. Henkel, J., Wolf, W. and Chakradhar, S. On-chip networks: A scalable, communication-centric embedded system design paradigm. *17th International Conference on VLSI Design. Proceedings*. IEEE. 2004. 845–851.
7. Marculescu, R., Ogras, U. Y., Peh, L.-S., Jerger, N. E. and Hoskote, Y. Outstanding research problems in NoC design: system, microarchitecture, and circuit perspectives. *IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems*, 2009. 28(1): 3–21.
8. Ogras, U. Y., Hu, J. and Marculescu, R. Key research problems in NoC design: a holistic perspective. *Proceedings of the 3rd IEEE/ACM/IFIP International Conference on Hardware/software co-design and System Synthesis*. ACM. 2005. 69–74.

9. Owens, J. D., Dally, W. J., Ho, R., Jayasimha, D., Keckler, S. W. and Peh, L.-S. Research challenges for on-chip interconnection networks. *IEEE Micro*, 2007. 27(5): 96–108.
10. Bjerregaard, T. and Mahadevan, S. A survey of research and practices of network-on-chip. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, 2006. 38(1): 1.
11. Tatas, K., Siozios, K., Soudris, D. and Jantsch, A. *Designing 2D and 3D network-on-chip architectures*. Springer. 2014.
12. Palesi, M. and Daneshtalab, M. *Routing algorithms in networks-on-chip*. Springer. 2014.
13. Dally, W. J. and Towles, B. P. *Principles and practices of interconnection networks*. Elsevier. 2004.
14. Ogras, U. Y. and Marculescu, R. *Modeling, analysis and optimization of network-on-chip communication architectures*. vol. 184. Springer Science & Business Media. 2013.
15. Peh, L.-S. and Dally, W. J. Flit-reservation flow control. *Proceedings Sixth International Symposium on High-Performance Computer Architecture. HPCA-6 (Cat. No. PR00550)*. IEEE. 2000. 73–84.
16. Culler, D., Singh, J. P. and Gupta, A. *Parallel Computer Architecture: a Hardware/software Approach*. Gulf Professional Publishing. 1999.
17. Huang, W., Rajamani, K., Stan, M. R. and Skadron, K. Scaling with design constraints: Predicting the future of big chips. *IEEE Micro*, 2011. 31(4): 16–29.
18. Koochi, S., Shafaei, A. and Hessabi, S. An optical wavelength switching architecture for a high-performance low-power photonic noc. *2011 IEEE Workshops of International Conference on Advanced Information Networking and Applications*. IEEE. 2011. 1–6.
19. Kirman, N., Kirman, M., Dokania, R. K., Martinez, J. F., Apsel, A. B., Watkins, M. A. and Albonesi, D. H. Leveraging optical technology in future bus-based chip multiprocessors. *Proceedings of the 39th Annual IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Microarchitecture*. IEEE Computer Society. 2006. 492–503.

20. Miller, D. A. Device requirements for optical interconnects to silicon chips. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 2009. 97(7): 1166–1185.
21. Dong, P., Chen, Y.-K., Gu, T., Buhl, L. L., Neilson, D. T. and Sinsky, J. H. Reconfigurable 100 Gb/s silicon photonic network-on-chip. *Journal of Optical Communications and Networking*, 2015. 7(1): A37–A43.
22. Huang, D., Sze, T., Landin, A., Lytel, R. and Davidson, H. L. Optical interconnects: out of the box forever? *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Quantum Electronics*, 2003. 9(2): 614–623.
23. Chen, K.-C., Lin, S.-Y., Hung, H.-S. and Wu, A.-Y. A. Topology-aware adaptive routing for nonstationary irregular mesh in throttled 3D NoC systems. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, 2012. 24(10): 2109–2120.
24. Matsutani, H., Bogdan, P., Marculescu, R., Take, Y., Sasaki, D., Zhang, H., Koibuchi, M., Kuroda, T. and Amano, H. A case for wireless 3D NoCs for CMPs. *2013 18th Asia and South Pacific Design Automation Conference (ASP-DAC)*. IEEE. 2013. 23–28.
25. Matsutani, H., Koibuchi, M., Fujiwara, I., Kagami, T., Take, Y., Kuroda, T., Bogdan, P., Marculescu, R. and Amano, H. Low-latency wireless 3D NoCs via randomized shortcut chips. *2014 Design, Automation & Test in Europe Conference & Exhibition (DATE)*. IEEE. 2014. 1–6.
26. Elmiligi, H., Gebali, F. and El-Kharashi, M. W. Power-aware mapping for 3D-NoC designs using genetic algorithms. *Procedia Computer Science*, 2014. 34: 538–543.
27. Daneshtalab, M., Ebrahimi, M., Dytckov, S. and Plosila, J. In-order delivery approach for 2D and 3D NoCs. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, 2015. 71(8): 2877–2899.
28. Khayambashi, M., Yaghini, P. M., Eghbal, A. and Bagherzadeh, N. Analytical reliability analysis of 3D NoC under TSV failure. *ACM Journal on Emerging Technologies in Computing Systems (JETC)*, 2015. 11(4): 1–16.

29. ValadBeigi, M., Safaei, F. and Pourshirazi, B. An energy-efficient reconfigurable NoC architecture with RF-interconnects. *2013 Euromicro Conference on Digital System Design*. IEEE. 2013. 489–496.
30. Beckmann, B. M. and Wood, D. A. TLC: Transmission line caches. *Proceedings. 36th Annual IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Microarchitecture, 2003. MICRO-36*. IEEE. 2003. 43–54.
31. Carpenter, A., Hu, J., Xu, J., Huang, M., Wu, H. and Liu, P. Using transmission lines for global on-chip communication. *IEEE Journal on Emerging and Selected Topics in Circuits and Systems*, 2012. 2(2): 183–193.
32. Hsu, H.-M., Lee, T.-H. and Hsu, C.-J. Millimeter-wave transmission line in 90-nm cmos technology. *IEEE Journal on Emerging and Selected Topics in Circuits and Systems*, 2012. 2(2): 194–199.
33. Ganguly, A., Chang, K., Pande, P. P., Belzer, B. and Nojeh, A. Performance evaluation of wireless networks on chip architectures. *2009 10th International Symposium on Quality Electronic Design*. IEEE. 2009. 350–355.
34. Deb, S., Ganguly, A., Pande, P. P., Belzer, B. and Heo, D. Wireless NoC as interconnection backbone for multicore chips: Promises and challenges. *IEEE Journal on Emerging and Selected Topics in Circuits and Systems*, 2012. 2(2): 228–239.
35. Abadal, S., Iannazzo, M., Nemirovsky, M., Cabellos-Aparicio, A., Lee, H. and Alarcón, E. On the area and energy scalability of wireless network-on-chip: A model-based benchmarked design space exploration. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking*, 2014. 23(5): 1501–1513.
36. Ganguly, A., Chang, K., Deb, S., Pande, P. P., Belzer, B. and Teuscher, C. Scalable hybrid wireless network-on-chip architectures for multicore systems. *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, 2010. 60(10): 1485–1502.
37. DiTomaso, D., Kodi, A., Kaya, S. and Matolak, D. iwise: Inter-router wireless scalable express channels for network-on-chips (nocs) architecture. *Proceedings of the IEEE 19th Annual Symposium on High Performance Interconnects (HOTI)*. California, USA. 2011. 11–18.

38. Karkar, A., Mak, T., Tong, K.-F. and Yakovlev, A. A survey of emerging interconnects for on-chip efficient multicast and broadcast in many-cores. *IEEE Circuits and Systems Magazine*, 2016. 16(1): 58–72.
39. Kim, J., Choi, K. and Loh, G. Exploiting new interconnect technologies in on-chip communication. *IEEE Journal on Emerging and Selected Topics in Circuits and Systems*, 2012. 2(2): 124–136.
40. DiTomaso, D., Kodi, A., Matolak, D., Kaya, S., Laha, S. and Rayess, W. A-winoc: Adaptive wireless network-on-chip architecture for chip multiprocessors. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, 2015. 26(12): 3289–3302.
41. Murray, J., Wettin, P., Pande, P. P. and Shirazi, B. *Sustainable wireless network-on-chip architectures*. Morgan Kaufmann. 2016.
42. Abadal, S., Torrellas, J., Alarcón, E. and Cabellos-Aparicio, A. OrthoNoC: A Broadcast-Oriented Dual-Plane Wireless Network-on-Chip Architecture. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel & Distributed Systems*, 2018. (1): 1–1.
43. Abadal, S., Mestres, A., Nemirovsky, M., Lee, H., González, A., Alarcón, E. and Cabellos-Aparicio, A. Scalability of broadcast performance in wireless network-on-chip. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, 2016. 27(12): 3631–3645.
44. Rezaei, A., Daneshtalab, M., Safaei, F. and Zhao, D. Hierarchical approach for hybrid wireless network-on-chip in many-core era. *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, 2016. 51: 225–234.
45. Hu, W.-H., Wang, C. and Bagherzadeh, N. Design and Analysis of a Mesh-Based Wireless Network-on-Chip. *J. Supercomput.*, 2015. 71(8): 2830–2846. ISSN 0920-8542. doi:10.1007/s11227-014-1341-4. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11227-014-1341-4>.
46. Chang, K., Deb, S., Ganguly, A., Yu, X., Sah, S. P., Pande, P. P., Belzer, B. and Heo, D. Performance evaluation and design trade-offs for wireless network-on-chip architectures. *ACM Journal on Emerging Technologies in Computing Systems (JETC)*, 2012. 8(3): 23.

47. Laha, S., Kaya, S., Matolak, D. W., Rayess, W., DiTomaso, D. and Kodi, A. A new frontier in ultralow power wireless links: Network-on-chip and chip-to-chip interconnects. *IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems*, 2014. 34(2): 186–198.
48. Subramaniam, S., Shinde, T., Deshmukh, P., Shamim, M. S., Indovina, M. and Ganguly, A. A 0.36 pJ/bit, 17Gbps OOK receiver in 45-nm CMOS for inter and intra-chip wireless interconnects. *2017 30th IEEE International System-on-Chip Conference (SOCC)*. IEEE. 2017. 132–137.
49. Markish, O., Katz, O., Sheinman, B., Corcos, D. and Elad, D. On-chip millimeter wave antennas and transceivers. *Proceedings of the 9th International Symposium on Networks-on-Chip*. 2015. 1–7.
50. Cheema, H. M. and Shamim, A. The last barrier: on-chip antennas. *IEEE Microwave Magazine*, 2013. 14(1): 79–91.
51. Matolak, D. W., Kodi, A., Kaya, S., DiTomaso, D., Laha, S. and Rayess, W. Wireless networks-on-chips: architecture, wireless channel, and devices. *IEEE Wireless Communications*, 2012. 19(5): 58–65.
52. Chang, M.-C. F., Socher, E., Tam, S.-W., Cong, J. and Reinman, G. RF interconnects for communications on-chip. *Proceedings of the 2008 international symposium on Physical design*. 2008. 78–83.
53. Deb, S., Chang, K., Yu, X., Sah, S. P., Cosic, M., Ganguly, A., Pande, P. P., Belzer, B. and Heo, D. Design of an energy-efficient CMOS-compatible NoC architecture with millimeter-wave wireless interconnects. *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, 2012. 62(12): 2382–2396.
54. Kim, R. G., Choi, W., Liu, G., Mohandesi, E., Pande, P. P., Marculescu, D. and Marculescu, R. Wireless NoC for VFI-enabled multicore chip design: Performance evaluation and design trade-offs. *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, 2015. 65(4): 1323–1336.
55. Catania, V., Mineo, A., Monteleone, S., Palesi, M. and Patti, D. Improving energy efficiency in wireless network-on-chip architectures. *ACM Journal on Emerging Technologies in Computing Systems (JETC)*, 2018. 14(1): 9.

56. Catania, V., Mineo, A., Monteleone, S., Palesi, M. and Patti, D. Energy efficient transceiver in wireless network on chip architectures. *2016 Design, Automation & Test in Europe Conference & Exhibition (DATE)*. IEEE. 2016. 1321–1326.
57. Abadal, S., Mestres, A., Torrellas, J., Alarcón, E. and Cabellos-Aparicio, A. Medium Access Control in Wireless Network-on-Chip: A Context Analysis. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 2018. 56(6): 172–178.
58. Ganguly, A., Ahmed, M. M., Singh Narde, R., Vashist, A., Shamim, M. S., Mansoor, N., Shinde, T., Subramaniam, S., Saxena, S., Venkataraman, J. *et al.* The Advances, Challenges and Future Possibilities of Millimeter-Wave Chip-to-Chip Interconnections for Multi-Chip Systems. *Journal of Low Power Electronics and Applications*, 2018. 8(1): 5.
59. Palesi, M., Collotta, M., Mineo, A. and Catania, V. An efficient radio access control mechanism for wireless network-on-chip architectures. *Journal of Low Power Electronics and Applications*, 2015. 5(2): 38–56.
60. Hu, W.-H., Wang, C. and Bagherzadeh, N. Design and analysis of a mesh-based wireless network-on-chip. *Proceedings of the 20th IEEE Euromicro International Conference on Parallel, Distributed and Network-Based Processing (PDP 2012)*. Garching, Germany. 2012. 483–490.
61. Wettin, P., Kim, R., Murray, J., Yu, X., Pande, P. P., Ganguly, A. and Heoamlan, D. Design space exploration for wireless NoCs incorporating irregular network routing. *IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems*, 2014. 33(11): 1732–1745.
62. Ganguly, A., Chang, K., Deb, S., Pande, P. P., Belzer, B. and Teuscher, C. Scalable hybrid wireless network-on-chip architectures for multicore systems. *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, 2011. 60(10): 1485–1502.
63. Mansoor, N., Vashist, A., Ahmed, M. M., Shamim, M. S., Mamun, S. A. and Ganguly, A. A Traffic-Aware Medium Access Control Mechanism for Energy-Efficient Wireless Network-on-Chip Architectures. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.07862*, 2018.

64. Mestres, A., Abadal, S., Torrellas, J., Alarcón, E. and Cabellos-Aparicio, A. A MAC protocol for reliable broadcast communications in wireless network-on-chip. *Proceedings of the 9th International Workshop on Network on Chip Architectures*. 2016. 21–26.
65. Franques, A., Abadal, S., Hassanieh, H. and Torrellas, J. Fuzzy-Token: An Adaptive MAC Protocol for Wireless-Enabled Manycores. *Design, Automation and Test in Europe Conference (DATE)*. 2021.
66. Achballah, A. B., Othman, S. B. and Saoud, S. B. An Extensive Review of Emerging Technology Networks-On-Chip Proposals. *Global Journal of Research In Engineering*, 2017.
67. Lee, S.-B., Tam, S.-W., Pefkianakis, I., Lu, S., Chang, M. F., Guo, C., Reinman, G., Peng, C., Naik, M., Zhang, L. *et al.* A scalable micro wireless interconnect structure for CMPs. *Proceedings of the 15th Annual International Conference on Mobile computing and Networking*. ACM. 2009. 217–228.
68. Wang, C., Hu, W.-H. and Bagherzadeh, N. A load-balanced congestion-aware wireless network-on-chip design for multi-core platforms. *Microprocessors and Microsystems*, 2012. 36(7): 555–570.
69. Ouyang, Y., Li, Z., Li, J., Sun, C., Liang, H. and Du, G. CPCA: An efficient wireless routing algorithm in WiNoC for cross path congestion awareness. *Integration*, 2019. 69: 75–84.
70. Mamaghani, S. M. and Jamali, M. A. J. A load-balanced congestion-aware routing algorithm based on time interval in wireless network-on-chip. *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, 2019. 10(7): 2869–2882.
71. Wang, C., Hu, W.-H. and Bagherzadeh, N. A wireless network-on-chip design for multicore platforms. *Proceedings of the 19th Euromicro International Conference on Parallel, Distributed and Network-Based Processing (PDP 2011)*. Ayia Napa, Cyprus. 2011. 409–416.
72. Duraisamy, K., Xue, Y., Bogdan, P. and Pande, P. P. Multicast-aware high-performance wireless network-on-chip architectures. *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems*, 2016. 25(3): 1126–1139.

73. Rezaei, A., Daneshtalab, M. and Zhao, D. CAP-W: Congestion-aware platform for wireless-based network-on-chip in many-core era. *Microprocessors and Microsystems*, 2017. 52: 23–33.
74. Ouyang, Y., Li, Z., Xing, K., Huang, Z., Liang, H. and Li, J. Design of low-power WiNoC with congestion-aware wireless node. *Journal of Circuits, Systems and Computers*, 2018. 27(09): 1850148.
75. Tang, M., Lin, X. and Palesi, M. Local congestion avoidance in Network-on-Chip. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, 2015. 27(7): 2062–2073.
76. Chen, Y.-H., Krishna, T., Emer, J. S. and Sze, V. Eyeriss: An energy-efficient reconfigurable accelerator for deep convolutional neural networks. *IEEE Journal of Solid-state Circuits*, 2016. 52(1): 127–138.
77. Sodani, A., Gramunt, R., Corbal, J., Kim, H.-S., Vinod, K., Chinthamani, S., Hutsell, S., Agarwal, R. and Liu, Y.-C. Knights landing: Second-generation intel xeon phi product. *IEEE Micro*, 2016. 36(2): 34–46.
78. Kim, D. H., Athikulwongse, K., Healy, M. B., Hossain, M. M., Jung, M., Khorosh, I., Kumar, G., Lee, Y.-J., Lewis, D. L., Lin, T.-W. *et al.* Design and analysis of 3D-MAPS (3D massively parallel processor with stacked memory). *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, 2013. 64(1): 112–125.
79. Vangal, S., Howard, J., Ruhl, G., Dighe, S., Wilson, H., Tschanz, J., Finan, D., Iyer, P., Singh, A., Jacob, T. *et al.* An 80-tile 1.28 TFLOPS network-on-chip in 65nm CMOS. *Proceedings of the IEEE International Solid-State Circuits Conference (ISSCC 2007), Digest of Technical Papers*. California, USA. 2007. 98–99.
80. Howard, J., Dighe, S., Vangal, S. R., Ruhl, G., Borkar, N., Jain, S., Erraguntla, V., Konow, M., Riepen, M., Gries, M. *et al.* A 48-core IA-32 processor in 45 nm CMOS using on-die message-passing and DVFS for performance and power scaling. *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, 2010. 46(1): 173–183.
81. Catania, V., Mineo, A., Monteleone, S., Palesi, M. and Patti, D. Cycle-accurate network on chip simulation with noxim. *ACM Transactions on Modeling and Computer Simulation (TOMACS)*, 2016. 27(1): 1–25.

82. Bienia, C., Kumar, S., Singh, J. P. and Li, K. The PARSEC benchmark suite: Characterization and architectural implications. *Proceedings of the 17th ACM International Conference on Parallel Architectures and Compilation Techniques (PACT 2008)*. Toronto, Canada. 2008. 72–81.
83. Woo, S. C., Ohara, M., Torrie, E., Singh, J. P. and Gupta, A. The SPLASH-2 programs: Characterization and methodological considerations. *Proceedings of the 22nd IEEE International Symposium on Computer Architecture*. Santa Margherita Ligure, Italy. 1995. 24–36.
84. Jerger, N. E. and Peh, L.-S. On-chip networks. *Synthesis Lectures on Computer Architecture*, 2009. 4(1): 1–141.
85. Hennessy, J. L. and Patterson, D. A. *Computer architecture: a quantitative approach*. Elsevier. 2011.
86. Ma, S., Wang, Z., Jerger, N. E., Shen, L. and Xiao, N. Novel flow control for fully adaptive routing in cache-coherent noocs. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, 2013. 25(9): 2397–2407.
87. Wang, L., Song, H., Jiang, Y. and Zhang, L. A routing-table-based adaptive and minimal routing scheme on network-on-chip architectures. *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, 2009. 35(6): 846–855.
88. Nilsson, E. *Design and Implementation of a hot-potato Switch in a Network on Chip^o*. Master’s Thesis. Royal Institute of Technology (KTH). 2002.
89. Matsutani, H., Koibuchi, M., Yamada, Y., Jouraku, A. and Amano, H. Non-minimal routing strategy for application-specific networks-on-chips. *2005 International Conference on Parallel Processing Workshops (ICPPW’05)*. IEEE. 2005. 273–280.
90. Tsai, W.-C., Chu, K.-C., Hu, Y.-H. and Chen, S.-J. Non-minimal, turn-model based NoC routing. *Microprocessors and Microsystems*, 2013. 37(8): 899–914.
91. Mohapatra, P. Wormhole routing techniques for directly connected multicomputer systems. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, 1998. 30(3): 374–410.

92. Lu, Z. *Using wormhole switching for networks on chip: Feasibility analysis and microarchitecture adaptation*. Ph.D. Thesis. KTH. 2005.
93. Deb, S., Chang, K., Cosic, M., Ganguly, A., Pande, P. P., Heo, D. and Belzer, B. CMOS compatible many-core noc architectures with multi-channel millimeter-wave wireless links. *Proceedings of the Great Lakes Symposium on VLSI (GLSVLSI 2012)*. Massachusetts, USA. 2012. 165–170.
94. Floyd, B., Hung, C.-M. *et al.* Intra-chip wireless interconnect for clock distribution implemented with integrated antennas, receivers, and transmitters. *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, 2002. 37(5): 543–552.
95. Floyd, B., Shi, L., Taur, Y., Lagnado, I. *et al.* A 23.8-GHz SOI CMOS Tuned Amplifier. *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, 2002. 50(9): 2193–2196.
96. Kumar, A., Peh, L.-S. and Jha, N. K. Token flow control. *2008 41st IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Microarchitecture*. IEEE. 2008. 342–353.
97. Yu, X., Sah, S. P., Rashtian, H., Mirabbasi, S., Pande, P. P. and Heo, D. A 1.2-pJ/bit 16-Gb/s 60-GHz OOK transmitter in 65-nm CMOS for wireless network-on-chip. *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, 2014. 62(10): 2357–2369.
98. DiTomaso, D., Kodi, A., Matolak, D., Kaya, S., Laha, S. and Rayess, W. A-winoc: Adaptive wireless network-on-chip architecture for chip multiprocessors. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, 2014. 26(12): 3289–3302.
99. Piro, G., Abadal, S., Mestres, A., Alarcón, E., Solé-Pareta, J., Grieco, L. A. and Boggia, G. Initial MAC exploration for graphene-enabled wireless networks-on-chip. *Proceedings of ACM The First Annual International Conference on Nanoscale Computing and Communication*. 2014. 1–9.
100. Alaei, M. and Yazdanpanah, F. H2WNoC: A honeycomb hardware-efficient wireless network-on-chip architecture. *Nano Communication Networks*, 2019. 19: 119–133.
101. Afsharmazayejani, R., Yazdanpanah, F., Rezaei, A., Alaei, M. and Daneshtalab, M. HoneyWiN: Novel honeycomb-based wireless NoC

- architecture in many-core era. *International Symposium on Applied Reconfigurable Computing*. Springer. 2018. 304–316.
102. Yazdanpanah, F., AfsharMazayejani, R., Alaei, M., Rezaei, A. and Daneshtalab, M. An energy-efficient partition-based XYZ-planar routing algorithm for a wireless network-on-chip. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, 2018: 1–25.
 103. Zhao, D., Wang, Y., Li, J. and Kikkawa, T. Design of multi-channel wireless NoC to improve on-chip communication capacity! *Proceedings of the Fifth ACM/IEEE International Symposium*. IEEE. 2011. 177–184.
 104. Dai, P., Chen, J., Zhao, Y. and Lai, Y.-H. A study of a wire–wireless hybrid NoC architecture with an energy-proportional multicast scheme for energy efficiency. *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, 2015. 45: 402–416.
 105. Mnejja, S., Aydi, Y., Abid, M., Monteleone, S., Catania, V., Palesi, M. and Patti, D. Delta multi-stage interconnection networks for scalable wireless on-chip communication. *Electronics*, 2020. 9(6): 913.
 106. Dehghani, A. A design flow for an optimized congestion-aware application-specific wireless network-on-chip architecture. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 2020. 106: 234–249.
 107. Lin Jr, J., Wu, H.-T., Su, Y., Gao, L., Sugavanam, A., Brewer, J. E. *et al.* Communication using antennas fabricated in silicon integrated circuits. *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, 2007. 42(8): 1678–1687.
 108. Kempa, K., Rybczynski, J., Huang, Z., Gregorczyk, K., Vidan, A., Kimball, B., Carlson, J., Benham, G., Wang, Y., Herczynski, A. *et al.* Carbon nanotubes as optical antennae. *Advanced Materials*, 2007. 19(3): 421–426.
 109. Rusli, M. S., Lit, A., Marsono, M. N. and Palesi, M. Adaptive Packet Relocator in Wireless Network-on-Chip (WiNoC). *Asian Simulation Conference*. Springer. 2017. 719–735.
 110. Fernando, V., Franques, A., Abadal, S., Misailovic, S. and Torrellas, J. Replica: A wireless manycore for communication-intensive and approximate data. *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fourth International Conference on*

Architectural Support for Programming Languages and Operating Systems. 2019. 849–863.

111. Mamaghani, S. M. and Jamali, M. A. J. An adaptive congestion-aware routing algorithm based on network load for wireless routers in wireless network-on-chip. *AEU-International Journal of Electronics and Communications*, 2018. 97: 25–37.
112. Bahrami, B., Jamali, M. A. J. and Saeidi, S. A novel hierarchical architecture for Wireless Network-on-Chip. *Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing*, 2018.
113. Vijayakumaran, V., Yuvaraj, M. P., Mansoor, N., Nerurkar, N., Ganguly, A. and Kwasinski, A. CDMA Enabled Wireless Network-on-Chip. *J. Emerg. Technol. Comput. Syst.*, 2014. 10(4): 28:1–28:20. ISSN 1550-4832. doi: 10.1145/2536778. URL <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2536778>.
114. Vidapalapati, A., Vijayakumaran, V., Ganguly, A. and Kwasinski, A. NoC architectures with adaptive code division multiple access based wireless links. *2012 IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems*. IEEE. 2012. 636–639.
115. Baharloo, M. and Khonsari, A. A low-power wireless-assisted multiple network-on-chip. *Microprocessors and Microsystems*, 2018. 63: 104–115.
116. Mohseni, Z. and Reshadi, M. A deadlock-free routing algorithm for irregular 3D network-on-chips with wireless links. *The Journal of Supercomputing*, 2018. 74(2): 953–969.
117. Abadal, S., Cabellos-Aparicio, A., Alarcon, E. and Torrellas, J. WiSync: an architecture for fast synchronization through on-chip wireless communication. *ACM SIGPLAN Notices*, 2016. 51(4): 3–17.
118. Zhao, D. and Wang, Y. SD-MAC: Design and synthesis of a hardware-efficient collision-free QoS-aware MAC protocol for wireless network-on-chip. *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, 2008. 57(9): 1230–1245.
119. Forouzan, A. B. *Data communications & networking (sie)*. Tata McGraw-Hill Education. 2007.

120. Clark, D. D., Pograd, K. T. and Reed, D. P. An introduction to local area networks. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 1978. 66(11): 1497–1517.
121. Rusli, M., Ab Rahman, A., Sheikh, U., Shaikh-Husin, N., Tan, M. L., Andromeda, T. and Marsono, M. Performance Evaluation of Centralized Reconfigurable Transmitting Power Scheme in Wireless Network-on-chip. *Telkomnika*, 2018. 16(6).
122. Abramson, N. The ALOHA system: Another alternative for computer communications. *Proceedings of the November 17-19, 1970, Fall Joint Computer Conference*. 1970. 281–285.
123. Kleinrock, L. and Tobagi, F. Packet switching in radio channels: Part I-carrier sense multiple-access modes and their throughput-delay characteristics. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 1975. 23(12): 1400–1416.
124. Mansoor, N. and Ganguly, A. Reconfigurable wireless network-on-chip with a dynamic medium access mechanism. *Proceedings of the 9th International Symposium on Networks-on-Chip*. ACM. 2015. 13.
125. Lee, B. G., Chen, X., Biberman, A., Liu, X., Hsieh, I.-W., Chou, C.-Y., Dadap, J., Xia, F., Green, W. M., Sekaric, L. *et al.* Ultrahigh-bandwidth silicon photonic nanowire waveguides for on-chip networks. *IEEE Photonics Technology Letters*, 2008. 20(6): 398–400.
126. Ouyang, Y., Yang, J., Xing, K., Huang, Z. and Liang, H. An improved communication scheme for non-HOL-blocking wireless NoC. *Integration*, 2018. 60: 240–247.
127. Rezaei, A., Safaei, F., Daneshtalab, M. and Tenhunen, H. HiWA: A hierarchical wireless network-on-chip architecture. *High Performance Computing & Simulation (HPCS), 2014 International Conference on*. IEEE. 2014. 499–505.
128. Catania, V., Mineo, A., Monteleone, S., Palesi, M. and Patti, D. Cycle-Accurate Network on Chip Simulation with Noxim. *ACM Trans. Model. Comput. Simul.*, 2016. 27(1): 4:1–4:25. ISSN 1049-3301. doi:10.1145/2953878. URL <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2953878>.
129. Jantsch, A., Tenhunen, H. *et al.* *Networks on chip*. vol. 396. Springer. 2003.

130. Borkar, S. Thousand core chips: a technology perspective. *Proceedings of the 44th annual Design Automation Conference*. ACM. 2007. 746–749.
131. Ogras, U. Y. and Marculescu, R. "It's a small world after all": NoC performance optimization via long-range link insertion. *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems*, 2006. 14(7): 693–706.
132. Dimitrakopoulos, G., Psarras, A. and Seitanidis, I. *Microarchitecture of Network-on-chip Routers*. vol. 1025. Springer. 2015.
133. Catania, V., Mineo, A., Monteleone, S., Palesi, M. and Patti, D. Noxim: An open, extensible and cycle-accurate network on chip simulator. *Proceedings of the IEEE 26th International Conference on Application-specific Systems, Architectures and Processors (ASAP 2015)*. Ontario, Canada. 2015. 162–163.
134. Accellera Systems Initiative. SystemC., 2011. URL <http://www.accellera.org/downloads/standards/systemc>.
135. Accelera Systems Initiative. Transaction-Level Modeling 2.0.1. URL <http://www.accellera.org/images/downloads/standards/systemc/TLM-2.0.1.tgz>.
136. Soteriou, V., Wang, H. and Peh, L. A statistical traffic model for on-chip interconnection networks. *14th IEEE International Symposium on Modeling, Analysis, and Simulation*. IEEE. 2006. 104–116.
137. Gratz, P. and Keckler, S. W. Realistic workload characterization and analysis for networks-on-chip design. *The 4th Workshop on Chip Multiprocessor Memory Systems and Interconnects (CMP-MSI)*. Citeseer. 2010. 1–10.
138. Bienia, C., Kumar, S. and Li, K. PARSEC vs. SPLASH-2: A quantitative comparison of two multithreaded benchmark suites on chip-multiprocessors. *Proceedings of IEEE International Symposium on Workload Characterization (IISWC 2008)*. Washington, USA. 2008. 47–56.
139. Jaswinder, C. H. Hierarchical n-body methods on shared address space multiprocessors. *Proceedings of the Seventh SIAM Conference on Parallel Processing for Scientific Computing*. SIAM. 1995, vol. 75. 313.
140. Müller, M., Charypar, D. and Gross, M. Particle-based fluid simulation for interactive applications. *Proceedings of the 2003 ACM*

- SIGGRAPH/Eurographics symposium on Computer animation*. Citeseer. 2003. 154–159.
141. Barrow-Williams, N., Fensch, C. and Moore, S. A communication characterisation of splash-2 and parsec. *2009 IEEE international Symposium on Workload Characterization (IISWC)*. IEEE. 2009. 86–97.
 142. Miller, J. E., Kasture, H., Kurian, G., Gruenwald, C., Beckmann, N., Celio, C., Eastep, J. and Agarwal, A. Graphite: A distributed parallel simulator for multicores. *HPCA-16 2010 The Sixteenth International Symposium on High-Performance Computer Architecture*. IEEE. 2010. 1–12.
 143. <https://www.synopsys.com>.
 144. <http://www.umc.com>.
 145. Yu, X., Baylon, J., Wettin, P., Heo, D., Pande, P. P. and Mirabbasi, S. Architecture and design of multichannel millimeter-wave wireless NoC. *IEEE Design & Test*, 2014. 31(6): 19–28.
 146. Achballah, A. B., Othman, S. B. and Saoud, S. B. Problems and challenges of emerging technology networks- on- chip: A review. *Microprocessors and Microsystems*, 2017. 53: 1–20.
 147. Zhao, D., Ouyang, Y., Wang, Q. and Liang, H. Cm 3 WiNoCs: Congestion-aware millimeter-wave multichannel wireless networks-on-chip. *IEEE Access*, 2020. 8: 24098–24107.
 148. Wu, R. and Zhao, D. Load adaptive multi-channel distribution and arbitration in unequal RF interconnected WiNoC. *2014 IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems (ISCAS)*. IEEE. 2014. 1973–1976.
 149. Chan, J., Hendry, G., Biberman, A., Bergman, K. and Carloni, L. P. Phoenixsim: A simulator for physical-layer analysis of chip-scale photonic interconnection networks. *2010 Design, Automation & Test in Europe Conference & Exhibition (DATE 2010)*. IEEE. 2010. 691–696.
 150. Jiang, N., Balfour, J., Becker, D. U., Towles, B., Dally, W. J., Michelogiannakis, G. and Kim, J. A detailed and flexible cycle-accurate network-on-chip simulator. *Performance Analysis of Systems and Software (ISPASS), 2013 IEEE International Symposium on*. IEEE. 2013. 86–96.

151. Ubal, R., Jang, B., Mistry, P., Schaa, D. and Kaeli, D. Multi2Sim: A simulation framework for CPU-GPU computing. *2012 21st International Conference on Parallel Architectures and Compilation Techniques (PACT)*. IEEE. 2012. 335–344.
152. Varga, A. OMNeT++. In: *Modeling and tools for network simulation*. Springer. 35–59. 2010.
153. Agarwal, N., Peh, L.-S. and Jha, N. Garnet: A detailed interconnection network model inside a full-system simulation framework. *Technical Report CE-P08-001 Princeton University*, 2008.
154. Kahng, A. B., Li, B., Peh, L.-S. and Samadi, K. Orion 2.0: A power-area simulator for interconnection networks. *IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems*, 2011. 20(1): 191–196.
155. Sikder, M. A. I., Kodi, A. K., Kennedy, M., Kaya, S. and Louri, A. OWN: Optical and wireless network-on-chip for kilo-core architectures. *2015 IEEE 23rd Annual Symposium on High-Performance Interconnects*. IEEE. 2015. 44–51.
156. Russer, P., Fichtner, N., Lugli, P., Porod, W., Russer, J. A. and Yordanov, H. Nanoelectronics-based integrate antennas. *IEEE Microwave Magazine*, 2010. 11(7): 58–71.
157. Zhang, Y. P., Chen, Z. M. and Sun, M. Propagation mechanisms of radio waves over intra-chip channels with integrated antennas: Frequency-domain measurements and time-domain analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 2007. 55(10): 2900–2906.
158. Duraisamy, K., Kim, R. G. and Pande, P. P. Enhancing performance of wireless NoCs with distributed MAC protocols. *Sixteenth International Symposium on Quality Electronic Design*. IEEE. 2015. 406–411.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Indexed Journal (SCOPUS)

1. **A. Lit**, M. S. Rusli and M. N. Marsono, Comparative Performance Evaluation of Routing Algorithm and Topology Size for Wireless Network-on-Chip, International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering (IJECE), 2019.

Indexed Conference Proceedings

1. **A. Lit**, M. S. Rusli and M. N. Marsono, On the Impact of Routing and Network Size in Wireless Network-on-Chip Performance, 3rd International Conference on Electrical, Electronic, Communication and Control Engineering (ICEECC2018), KSL Hotel, Johor Bahru, Malaysia, 28-29th November 2018.

Other Publication

1. M. S. Rusli, **A. Lit**, M. N. Marsono and M. Palesi, Adaptive Packet Relocator in Wireless Network-on-Chip (WiNoC), Asian Simulation Conference, Springer 2017. 719-735.
2. A. I. Fasiku, M. N. Marsono, P. E. Numan, **A. Lit** and M. S. Rusli, Wireless Network-on-Chip History-Based Traffic Prediction for Token Flow Control and Allocation, ELEKTRIKA - Journal of Electrical Engineering, 2019. 18(3): 21-26.