

INDUCED PLURIPOTENT STEM CELLS TRANSPLANTATION AS WOUND
THERAPY ON A MOUSE MODEL

PATRICK ONG YIT HAN

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

INDUCED PLURIPOTENT STEM CELLS TRANSPLANTATION AS WOUND
THERAPY ON A MOUSE MODEL

PATRICK ONG YIT HAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Bioprocess Engineering)

School of Chemical and Energy Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

AUGUST 2021

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First, I would like to thank my mentors Dr Zanariah Hashim, Prof Effendy Abd Wahid, and Prof Fadzilah Adibah Abdul Majid, who mentored with great patience and dedication. It is regrettable that I only achieved so little despite your efforts. You deserve a better student.

Next, I would like to thank my collaborators who have went above and beyond: Dr Diana Ong Bee Lan and Ms Nur Adibah Bakkery from PERSuE, UM, and Assoc Prof Reena Rahayu Md Zin, Ms Maya Sabrina Tizen Liam, Ms Faridah Abd Rahman, and Mr Muaatamarulain Mustagin from the Faculty of Medicine, UKM. I have learned a whole lot from you, and I can only hope to return this favour before the end of my days, whenever that may be. Additionally, I would also like to thank Dr Suvik Assaw, Mr Khairil Azlan Bahrin, Mr Muhamad Fakhri, Dr Maheza, Assoc Prof Mun Kein Seong, and Ms Teh Liam Chee for miscellaneous assistance.

For funding, I would like to thank Universiti Teknologi Malaysia and the Ministry of Education, the Ministry of Agriculture, as well as the donors of the crowdfunded portion of the study: Prof Selvaraj Y Subramaniam, Sri Ternak Food Mart Sdn Bhd, Dato Simon Chong, Choong Siew Khay, Irene Ong, Hen Kee Restaurant, Andy and Su Ying, Sam and Yaw Chong, Kate, Sui Kok Hong, Terrence Ong, Yuan Hui Fang, Farah Izana, Chua Hui Mei, Sze Pow Lean, Sze Soo Lein, Lai Chin Mei, Fei Lo, Sze Chong Boon, Wilson Cheng, Sze Chong Wei, and 4 others who choose to remain anonymous. I would also like to thank the Society of Aesthetic and Regenerative Medicine Malaysia for their endorsement. Last but not least, I would like to thank the Ministry of Higher Education for their support through the MyPhD scholarship.

Lastly, I would like to thank my family and friends for putting up with my peculiarities, and to supporting me through thick and thin. You have my profound gratitude.

ABSTRACT

Stem cell transplantation represents a relatively new approach of treating wounds, with several studies reporting positive results. Yet these studies used stem cells that are limited by the following: differential potency, ethical permissibility, or histocompatibility. To overcome these obstructions, induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells, a type of stem cell that can be generated from cells of a patient, was used in this study instead. This study aims to culture and graft iPS cells into mice with the splinted wound mouse model and determine the effectiveness of the treatment. 18 clinically healthy female mice were immunosuppressed with a daily intramuscular injection of dexamethasone at 1 $\mu\text{g/g}$ for three consecutive days. Under anaesthesia, two sterile wounds were incised on shaved backs of each mouse via biopsy punch. Subsequently, six intradermal injections were made around the two wounds before the wounds were adhered with splints and wound dressing. The mice were divided into two groups; a treatment group that was given 7×10^5 iPS cells in each injection, and a control group that was given 0.9 % sodium chloride. Wound closure rates were determined through timed scaled photography and subsequent analyses with GNU Image Manipulation Program. Three mice were euthanised from each group at every seven days post-wounding, at which point wound beds and blood were harvested. Total leucocyte counts and differential leucocyte counts were conducted on the blood. Wound beds were fixed, processed, blocked, and sectioned. Sections were used in fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) to detect iPS cells of male origin in female hosts. Sections were also stained with H&E and Masson's Trichrome, as well as immunolabeled for CD31 and KI67. The stained sections were then subjected to an evaluation under compound microscopy and subsequently scored for several variables. FISH revealed that the transplanted iPS cells were successfully grafted and may survive permanently. KI67-immunostained sections revealed no difference between groups; together with the fact that no tumours were found throughout the study, the risk of teratoma formation seems to be low. Total and differential leucocyte counts showed no difference between groups. The wound closure curve of the treatment group was stiffer, yet the difference was not significant, however individual results were significantly different for day 7 ($p = 0.038$). From the evaluation and scoring, the treatment group scored better in chronic inflammation, fibroblast proliferation, granulation tissue, and collagen deposition, albeit not statistically significant. However, the treatment group did score significantly better in angiogenesis ($p = 0.006$ for day 7) and hypodermis regeneration ($p = 0.006$ for day 21).

ABSTRAK

Pemindahan sel induk merupakan pendekatan yang agak baharu bagi merawat luka, dengan beberapa kajian yang melaporkan keputusan positif. Namun, penggunaan sel induk di dalam kajian-kajian tersebut terhad oleh sebab-sebab berikut: potensi pembezaan terhad, masalah etika, dan, keserasian tisu. Untuk mengatasi masalah-masalah tersebut, sel induk pluripotent teraruh (sel iPS), sejenis sel induk yang boleh dijana daripada sel-sel pesakit, telah digunakan dalam kajian ini. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengkultur sel iPS dan mencantulkannya ke atas tikus-tikus yang dilakukan berasaskan model luka bertuap serta menentukan keberkesanan rawatan tersebut. 18 ekor tikus betina yang sihat secara klinikal telah disekat imuniti dengan diberikan suntikan harian 1 µg/g dexamethasone selama tiga hari berturutan. Dalam keadaan bius, dua luka steril dibuat pada bahagian belakang tikus yang telah dicukur menggunakan alat pembuat lubang. Selepas itu, enam suntikan dibuat di sekeliling dua luka tersebut sebelum luka tersebut dilekat dengan tuap dan pembalut luka. Tikus-tikus ini dibahagikan kepada dua kumpulan; kumpulan rawatan yang diberi 7×10^5 sel iPS dalam setiap suntikan, dan kumpulan kawalan yang diberi 0.9 % natrium klorida. Kadar penutupan luka ditentukan dengan fotografi berskala waktu dan dianalisa dengan program GNU Image Manipulation. Tiga ekor tikus daripada setiap kumpulan dimatikan pada setiap tujuh hari selepas dilukai, dan tisu luka dan darah diambil pada masa yang sama. Pengiraan leukosit keseluruhan dan pengiraan leukosit pembezaan dibuat ke atas darah tersebut. Tisu luka difiksasi, diproses, dibenam, dan dihiris. Hirisan-hirisan tersebut digunakan dalam proses hibridisasi pendarfluor *in situ* (FISH) untuk mengesan sel iPS yang berasal jantan di dalam perumah betina. Selain itu, hirisan-hirisan juga diwarnai H&E dan Masson's Trichrome, dan diimun-label untuk CD31 dan KI67. Selepas itu, hirisan-hirisan tersebut dinilai di bawah mikroskop majmuk dan beberapa pemboleh ubah telah diberi markah. FISH mendedahkan bahawa sel iPS yang dipindahkan telah berjaya dicantumkan dan berkemungkinan boleh hidup secara kekal. Hirisan imun-label KI67 menunjukkan tiada sebarang perbezaan antara kumpulan; bersama dengan tiadanya pembentukan tumor sepanjang penyelidikan ini, oleh itu risiko pembentukan teratoma nampaknya rendah. Lengkungan penutupan luka kumpulan rawatan lebih kaku, namun perbezaan tersebut tidak ketara; tetapi, secara individu, perbezaan antara kumpulan dianggap signifikan pada hari ke-7 ($p = 0.038$). Berdasarkan penilaian dan pemberian markah, kumpulan rawatan mendapat markah yang lebih baik dalam keradangan kronik, percambahan fibroblast, tisu granulasi, dan pemendapan kolagen, walaupun perbezaan markah tidak signifikan secara statistik. Walaubagaimanapun, kumpulan rawatan mendapat markah yang lebih baik dan signifikan dalam pembentukan salur darah ($p = 0.057$ bagi hari ke-7) dan pembentukan semula hipodermis ($p = 0.006$ bagi hari ke-21).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xviii
CHAPTER 1	RESEARCH BACKGROUND	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Statement of Problem	4
1.3	Significance of Study	5
1.4	Objectives	5
1.5	Scope of Study	6
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1	Cutaneous Wounds	7
2.1.1	The Skin	7
2.1.2	The Phases of Wound Healing	9
2.2	Stem Cells	11
2.2.1	Cell Potency	13
2.2.2	Embryonic Stem Cells	15
2.2.3	Mesenchymal Stem Cells	15
2.2.4	Hematopoietic Stem Cells Transplantation	16
2.2.5	Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells	16

2.3	Approaches at Wound Treatment	21
2.3.1	Wound Dressing	22
2.3.2	Skin Substitutes	23
2.3.3	Treatment involving Cytokines and Growth Factors	24
2.3.4	Experimental Stem Cell Treatment	25
2.4	Wound Assessment Parameters	26
CHAPTER 3	METHODOLOGY	29
3.1	Animal Cell Culture	30
3.1.1	General Strategy to Animal Cell Culture Work	30
3.1.1.1	Animal Cell Culture Procedure Arrangement	30
3.1.1.2	Limited Antibiotic Use in Animal Cell Culture	31
3.1.1.3	Contamination Detection in Cell Cultures	32
3.1.2	Animal Cell Culture Materials and Equipment	34
3.1.3	SNL 76/7 Cell Culture	39
3.1.3.1	SNL 76/7 Cell Recovery	41
3.1.3.2	SNL 76/7 Cell Maintenance	42
3.1.3.3	SNL 76/7 Cell Detachment	43
3.1.3.4	SNL 76/7 Cell Cryopreservation	45
3.1.3.5	Feeder Layer Preparation (SNL 76/7 Cell Mitotic Inactivation)	46
3.1.4	APS0004 Cell Culture	50
3.1.4.1	APS0004 Cell Recovery	53
3.1.4.2	APS0004 Cell Maintenance	54
3.1.4.3	APS0004 Cell Feeder-free Culture	54
3.1.4.4	APS0004 Cell Detachment	55
3.1.4.5	APS0004 Cell Cryopreservation	57

	3.1.4.6	Preparation of APS0004 Cell Suspension in Saline	58
	3.1.4.7	Cell Counting with Countess™	61
3.2		Mouse Studies	62
	3.2.1	Mouse Housing and Procedure Materials	63
	3.2.2	Mouse Injections	68
	3.2.2.1	Intraperitoneal Injection	69
	3.2.2.2	Intramuscular Injection	70
	3.2.2.3	Intradermal Injection	71
	3.2.3	The Mouse Handler	71
	3.2.4	Mouse Procedure Timeline	72
	3.2.5	Mouse Housing and Immunosuppression	74
	3.2.6	Mouse Depilation	75
	3.2.7	APS0004 Cells Transplantation into Mice	75
	3.2.8	Wound Morphometry	78
	3.2.8.1	Wound Area Scaled Photography	78
	3.2.8.1	Wound Area Data Analysis	79
	3.2.9	Euthanasia, Wound Bed Harvesting and Blood Harvesting	82
	3.2.10	Blood Analysis	83
	3.2.10.1	Total Leucocyte Count	84
	3.2.10.2	Peripheral Blood Film Preparation	84
	3.2.10.3	Peripheral Blood Film Examination	85
	3.2.10.4	Peripheral Blood Data Analysis	87
3.3		Wound Bed Analysis	88
	3.3.1	Wound Bed Section Preparations	89
	3.3.1.1	Wound Bed Processing Materials	89
	3.3.1.2	Wound Bed Tissue Processing	91
	3.3.1.3	Wound Bed Embedding	92
	3.3.1.4	Wound Bed Sectioning	93
	3.3.2	Wound Bed Section H&E Staining	94

3.3.3	Wound Bed Section Masson’s Trichrome Staining	97
3.3.4	Wound Bed Section Immunohistochemical Staining	101
3.3.5	Wound Bed Section Fluorescence in situ Hybridization	105
3.3.6	Wound Bed Assessment via Histological Scoring	111
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	115
4.1	Grafting and Long-term Survival of Transplanted Cells	115
4.2	Proliferation of Transplanted Cells <i>in vivo</i>	122
4.3	Wound Inflammation	124
4.4	Wound Angiogenesis	128
4.5	Wound Fibroblast Proliferation	132
4.6	Wound Granulation Tissue	133
4.7	Wound Collagen Deposition	134
4.8	Reepithelialization and Wound Closure	138
4.9	Wound Hypodermis Regeneration	139
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION	141
5.1	Conclusion	141
5.2	Recommendations	143
	REFERENCES	145
	APPENDICES	167

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	A comparison of four noteworthy types of stem cells	14
Table 2.2	Solutions to iPS cell-associated challenges	20
Table 2.3	Different wound treatment approaches	21
Table 3.1	SNL 76/7 cell complete medium preparation	34
Table 3.2	iPS cell complete medium preparation	35
Table 3.3	2-mercaptoethanol stock solution, 200 mM preparation	36
Table 3.4	ROCK inhibitor stock solution, 3.123 mM preparation	36
Table 3.5	Phosphate buffered saline preparation	36
Table 3.6	Gelatin solution, 0.1 % (w/v) preparation	37
Table 3.7	List of cell culture materials not requiring addition preparation	37
Table 3.8	List of cell culture disposables	38
Table 3.9	List of cell culture equipment	39
Table 3.10	SNL 76/7 passaged-independent feeder cells	39
Table 3.11	APS0004 (iPS-MEF-Ng-492B-4)	50
Table 3.12	Sodium chloride solution, 0.9 % (w/v) preparation	60
Table 3.13	Details of the mice and housing conditions	63
Table 3.14	Sodium hypochlorite solution, 0.5 % (v/v) or 20000 ppm preparation	63
Table 3.15	Dexamethasone working solution, 200 µg/mL preparation	64
Table 3.16	EDTA anticoagulant, 37.5 mg/mL preparation	64
Table 3.17	Ketamine/xylazine cocktail anaesthetic preparation	65
Table 3.18	Ketamine/xylazine cocktail euthanasia lethal dose preparation	65
Table 3.19	Leishman's stain solution preparation	66
Table 3.20	Phosphate Buffered Saline (B.P. Clinical Lab.) preparation	66
Table 3.21	List of animal work disposables	67

Table 3.22	List of animal work equipment	67
Table 3.23	Details of injections	68
Table 3.24	Procedures of wound analyses	88
Table 3.25	Neutral buffered formalin, 10 % (w/v) preparation	89
Table 3.26	List of other wound bed processing materials	90
Table 3.27	List of wound bed processing equipment	90
Table 3.28	Programme of the Automated Tissue Processor	92
Table 3.29	Acid alcohol, 0.25 % (w/w) preparation	94
Table 3.30	Potassium acetate, 2 % (w/v) preparation	94
Table 3.31	Equipment and other H&E staining materials	95
Table 3.32	Programme of the Automated H&E Stainer	96
Table 3.33	Bouin's solution preparation	97
Table 3.34	Celestine blue preparation	97
Table 3.35	Sodium acetate, 2 % (w/v) preparation	98
Table 3.36	Acid alcohol, 0.37 % (w/w), or \approx 0.5 % (w/v) preparation	98
Table 3.37	Biebrich scarlet-acid fuchsin solution preparation	98
Table 3.38	Phosphotungstic acid, 5 % (w/v) preparation	98
Table 3.39	Light green preparation	99
Table 3.40	List of other Masson's Trichrome equipment and materials	99
Table 3.41	Masson's Trichrome staining	100
Table 3.42	Antigens stained in wound bed sections	101
Table 3.43	Dilution of primary antibodies	102
Table 3.44	List of immunohistochemistry staining equipment and additional materials	102
Table 3.45	List of FISH Materials	107
Table 3.46	List of equipment used in FISH	107
Table 3.47	Optimisation of pepsin tissue digestion by section evaluation	109
Table 3.48	A summary of the procedures of FISH	111
Table 3.49	Histological scoring scheme for wound bed assessment	113
Table 4.1	Blood leukocyte counts of mice at various points of the study	125

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Skin components	8
Figure 2.2	Lineage flow chart for mammalian embryogenetic development	12
Figure 3.1	A Summary of the methodology	29
Figure 3.2	A summary of the methods used in SNL 76/7 cell culture	41
Figure 3.3	SNL 76/7 maintenance timeline	43
Figure 3.4	Referenced feeder cell density	49
Figure 3.5	A summary of the methods used in APS0004 cell culture	52
Figure 3.6	A summary of the methodology of mouse studies	62
Figure 3.7	IM injection, illustrated by project co-supervisor Prof Effendy	71
Figure 3.8	A timeline of procedures conducted on mice	73
Figure 3.9	Treatment conducted on the back of a mouse	77
Figure 3.10	Plotting a sigmoid graph with SciDAVIS	81
Figure 3.11	Dissection of the sample tissue	91
Figure 4.1	FISH micrographs of control day 7	116
Figure 4.2	FISH micrographs of control day 14	117
Figure 4.3	FISH micrographs of control day 21	118
Figure 4.4	FISH micrographs of treatment day 7	119
Figure 4.5	FISH micrographs of treatment day 14	120
Figure 4.6	FISH micrographs of treatment day 21	121
Figure 4.7	KI67-stained sections, at 400× magnification	123
Figure 4.8	CD68-immunostained control group day 7 section, at 400× magnification	126
Figure 4.9	Wound assessment score for neutrophils on days 7, 14, and 21	126
Figure 4.10	Wound assessment score for macrophages on days 7, 14, and 21	127
Figure 4.11	Wound assessment score for lymphocytes on days 7, 14, and 21	127

Figure 4.12	Wound assessment score for blood vessels on days 7, 14, and 21	129
Figure 4.13	CD31-immunostained day 7 sections, at 100× magnification	130
Figure 4.14	Wound assessment score for fibroblasts on days 7, 14, and 21	132
Figure 4.15	Wound assessment score for granulation tissue on days 7, 14, and 21	133
Figure 4.16	Wound assessment score for collagen on days 7, 14, and 21	134
Figure 4.17	Masson's Trichrome-stained day 14 sections, at 100× magnification	135
Figure 4.18	Masson's Trichrome-stained day 21 sections, at 100× magnification	136
Figure 4.19	Comparison of wound closure rates between groups; fitted with sigmoid graphs	138
Figure 4.20	Wound assessment score for reepithelialization on days 7, 14, and 21	139
Figure 4.21	Wound assessment score for hypodermis on days 7, 14, and 21	140

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANOVA	-	Analysis of variance
APS0004	-	Animal iPS no. 4
CD31	-	Cluster of differentiation 31
CD68	-	Cluster of differentiation 68
DAB	-	3,3'-diaminobenzidine
DMEM	-	Dulbecco's modified eagle medium
DMSO	-	Dimethyl sulfoxide
DPX	-	Distyrene plasticizer xylene
ES	-	Embryonic stem
FISH	-	Fluorescence in situ hybridization
HRP	-	Horseradish peroxidase
HSCT	-	Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation
H&E	-	Hematoxylin and eosin
ID	-	Intradermal
IM	-	Intramuscular
IP	-	Intraperitoneal
iPS	-	Induced pluripotent stem
KI67	-	Kiel, clone no. 67
LIF	-	Leukemia inhibitory factors
MEF	-	Mouse embryonic fibroblast
NEAA	-	Non-essential amino acids
PBS	-	Phosphate buffered saline
SNL 76/7	-	STO-Neo-LIF clone 76/7

LIST OF SYMBOLS

α	-	Significance level
%	-	Percentage
β	-	Beta
mm	-	Millimetre
cm	-	Centimetre
s	-	Second
min	-	Minute
h	-	Hour
H_0	-	Null hypothesis
H_1	-	Alternate hypothesis
d	-	Day
$^{\circ}\text{C}$	-	Degree Celsius
μg	-	Microgram
mg	-	Miligram
g	-	Gram
μL	-	Microliter
mL	-	Mililiter
$\times g$	-	Times gravity
mM	-	Milimolar
Q_1	-	Quartile 1
Q_3	-	Quartile 3
U	-	Unit
v/v	-	Volume per volume
w/v	-	Wight per volume

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Cell Culture Supplemental Information	167
Appendix B	Animal Ethics Committee Approval Letter	185
Appendix C	Morphometry Supplemental Information	186
Appendix D	Blood Leucocyte Count Supplemental Information	218
Appendix E	Stained Sections Supplemental Information	246
Appendix F	Fluorescence in situ Hybridization GenASIS FISHview Analysis	297
Appendix G	Wound Bed Histological Scoring Assessment Supplemental Information	298
Appendix H	Collaborators and Assistance Providers	304
Appendix I	Funding	306
Appendix J	Author Biodata	308

CHAPTER 1

RESEARCH BACKGROUND

1.1 Introduction

The skin covers the entire surface of our bodies and among other functions, act as a layer of protection to everything underneath. Understandably, a wound would compromise the integrity of the skin and expose the body to microbial infections.

When it comes to wounds, perhaps the most important classification is whether a wound is acute or chronic. Put simply, **acute wounds** are wounds that heals in a timely and orderly fashion (as will be discussed in Section 2.1.2), whilst **chronic wounds** do not (Lazarus et al., 1994). For practicality, chronic wounds can also be defined as wounds that take more than 3 weeks to heal (Hermans and Treadwell, 2010)

Broadly speaking, chronic wounds can be caused by medical conditions, or certain infections and tropical diseases; venous leg ulcers, ischemic ulcers, diabetic foot ulcers, and pressure ulcers are amongst the predominant types of chronic wounds (Hermans and Treadwell, 2010). Acute wounds on the other hand, are wound that are a result of incisions such as surgical incisions, or of trauma such as burns or falls (Ather et al., 2019). Of course, acute wounds can become chronic wounds as well.

There is no one single way of grading or classifying the severity of a wound, instead, an assessment of several parameters provides a better understanding to formulate the appropriate response. These parameters include wound size, wound edge characteristics, wound site properties, wound bed granulation tissue appearances, wound depth, surrounding skin characteristics, infection, haemostasis, presence of foreign matter, and the presence of necrotic tissue, slough, and eschar (DeBoard et al.,

2007; Grey et al., 2006). Burns in particular are often characterised by depth; from first degree burns which is of a simple sunburn; to fourth degree burns which have the dermis and epidermis destroyed, and subcutaneous and deeper tissue substantially damaged (Hermans and Treadwell, 2010).

Sadly, chronic wounds are staggeringly prevalent in the population. Diabetic foot ulcers, a type of chronic wound and a major complication of diabetes mellitus, is estimated to have a history prevalence of 5.1% and a lower-limb amputation prevalence of 1.2% in the United Kingdom (Abbott et al., 2005). In fact, their National Health Service is estimated to spend £ 5.3 billion on managing wounds annually (Guest et al., 2015). In the United States, it is estimated that 1.3 to 3.0 million of the population have pressure ulcers and that 10% to 15% of the 20 million diabetic patients are at risk of developing diabetic ulcers (Kuehn, 2007). Besides that, a study conducted in a rural village of Sweden established that the prevalence of healed or non-healed chronic leg ulcers is over 12.6% for the elderly over the age of 70 (Marklund et al., 2000). In Europe, approximately 1% of the population is affected by chronic wounds (Schreml et al., 2010). If the complication of delayed healing was not enough, it was found that 68.9% of patients do not survive the 6 months after first detecting nosocomial pressure ulcer; by the end of the first year, the percentage of mortality increases to 78.4% and 83.8% at the end of year 2 (Brown, 2003).

Wounds may considerably disrupt the daily routine of a patient. Such is caused by the frequent dressing change, sleep deprivation-caused continued fatigue, mobility restriction, discomfort, pain, unpleasant wound odour, infection, polypharmacy's effects on the body and mind, and reduced independency. Suffice to say, in many cases, the quality of life of wound patients is harshly compromised (Grey et al., 2006). That, however, assumes that the patient survives the trauma in one piece. It was found that foot ulcer patients have a 6-fold increased risk of low extremity amputation (Davis et al., 2006). On top of that, it is estimated that only approximately 30.7% diabetic amputation patients survive 5 years post amputation (Faglia et al., 2006). In a quality of life assessment consisting of 36 questions called the short form (36) health survey, the score of healed and unhealed leg ulcer patients were on par with patients with

chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, osteoarthritis, or angina (Kahn et al., 2004). Indeed, wound complications are a nightmare to the patients, but the nightmare may not end with the complete healing of the wound, that is if they heal at all. In the aftermath of a wound episode, wounds may leave behind scars.

Scars, especially those situated at eye-catching positions can be quite disfiguring and harm the self-esteem of a patient. As a matter of fact, in our society that emphasizes on appearance, a scarred face may frighten away possibilities of social connections with others.

While humans have complications with the recovery of a simple wound, urodele amphibian can regenerate amputated limbs with ease while invertebrates like planarians and starfish can even regenerate bidirectionally (Brockes, 1997). However, to say that humans have completely lost, over the course of evolution, the ability to regenerate a body part as complicated as a limb would not be most accurate. For example, children are known to regrow fingertips should they be severed (Illingworth, 1974). As for the matter of scarring, the human foetus is capable of healing cutaneous wounds so flawlessly to the point of leaving no scars at all (Longaker et al., 1994).

But how far could we theoretically go? Take a chicken for example, similarly with a long history of evolution, similarly not known to be capable of limb regeneration; in one study, partial regeneration of an amputated limb in a developing chick embryo was made possible by manipulation of the spatiotemporal of β -catenin expression (Kawakami et al., 2006). In African clawed frogs, an additional eye could be generated via manipulating the transmembrane voltage potential (Pai et al., 2012). Unrelated as it sounds, this suggests that given the right genetic manipulation and conditions, humans too could theoretically regenerate the lost limb or an extra eye, though the latter is probably not preferable in normal situations. Compared to that, wound regeneration should be relatively straight forward. Moreover, it was found in a recent study that a single clonogenic neoblast (a type of planarian pluripotent stem cell) is all it took to restore regeneration and completely replace all cells in a lethally

irradiated planarian host (Wagner et al., 2011). Although planarians are known to regenerate from tiny body fragments, the revelation that a single pluripotent stem cell alone is capable of such a task would make one wonder what pluripotent stem cells are capable in wound therapy.

Induced pluripotent stem cells (iPS cells), are pluripotent stem cells generated from somatic cells by viral transfection of 4 transcription factors (Takahashi and Yamanaka, 2006). With the ability to generate pluripotent stem cells from simple somatic cells, not only does iPS cells offers a source of stem cells free of ethical implications associated with the use of embryonic stem cells (ES cells), but they may also be generated from cells derived from specific patients (who requires transplant) and avoid the roadblock of immune rejection. Also, iPS cells can be generated from virtually any somatic cell, such as from blood cells and hair, making them amongst the least invasive to procure (Aasen et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2016). That being said, there has yet been any research done to address the use of iPS cells in wound therapy.

1.2 Statement of Problem

As discussed earlier, wounds, especially chronic wounds, greatly lower the quality of life of a patient, and may graduate towards extremity amputation and even death; paired with the prevalence of the condition, the need for wound care and treatment represents large sums of spending, on top of the misery caused on a personal level. Of potential treatments, cell-based wound therapies represent an interesting relatively new method of wound treatment. Of the many types of cells, or more specifically, stem cells available, iPS cells are ethical, pluripotent, possibly patient homologous, and non-invasive to procure; thereby circumventing roadblocks that may limit other types of stem cells. In fact, iPS cell have been differentiated into different types of skin cells (Ohta et al., 2011; Hewitt et al., 2011; Bilousova et al., 2011; Itoh et al., 2011; Bilousova and Roop, 2013); and in some, skin structures (Kim et al., 2018; Itoh et al., 2013); despite their effectiveness in these studies, as of this writing, iPS cells have yet been evaluated in wound therapy in an *in vivo* setting.

1.3 Significance of Study

Induced pluripotent stem cells are a type of potentially patient-homologous pluripotent stem cells that can be generated non-invasively and from an ethical source; due to their potency, their use in wound therapy should, in theory, result in wound recovery superior to other cell-based wound treatments, due to having a wider coverage of the types of cells they can differentiate into, without the risk of immune rejection.

iPS cells promise a great many uses wound healing. As a fellow pluripotent cell line, iPS cells may be beneficial to diabetic wounds just as ES cells have (Lee et al., 2011). If the use of iPS cells is advantageous to diabetic wound healing, then perhaps such benefits extend to chronic wounds as well. On top of that, while it is naïve to believe that iPS cells alone could replicate scarless wound healing demonstrated by foeti (Larson et al., 2010), the ‘less differentiated’ iPS cells may be able to mitigate scarring, and in doing so promises some use in hypertrophic scarring mitigation, which is often seen in burn victims.

However, a successful grafting of iPS cells must first be established before any of these potential applications can be explored. Additionally, an understanding how iPS cell transplantation affects the various aspect of wound healing will determine the types of wounds iPS cell transplantation therapy is suited for.

1.4 Objectives

The objectives of the study are:

- a. To culture and transplant iPS cells into mouse wounds.
- b. To assess the potential of iPS cell transplantation as a type of wound therapy with the following analyses:
 - i. Quantitative analyses: Wound closure rate, total leucocyte count, and differential leucocyte count.

- ii. Qualitative analyses: iPS cell grafting successfulness, transplanted iPS cell proliferation.
- iii. A score system to interpret qualitative data in a quantitative way: Inflammation, angiogenesis, fibroblast proliferation, granulation tissue formation, collagen deposition, reepithelialization, and hypodermis regeneration.

1.5 Scope of Study

The iPS cell line used was APS0004, an iPS cell line that was generated via introduction of 4 transcription factors via plasmids (Riken BioResource Center, 2014). The iPS cells used were not generated from cells acquired from the test mice for this study, and therefore, the mice were immunosuppressed to avoid immune rejection of the grafted cells. The wound model used was full-thickness incisional wounds on the back of immunosuppressed mice, with attached splints and wound dressing (Wang et al., 2013).

Wound closure rate was approximated via scaled photography. A graft was considered successful if the transplanted cells or their descendants were detected in wound bed samples. Proliferation was deemed present as indicated by the expression of KI67 (Borue et al., 2004). Angiogenesis was deemed present from the presence of blood vessels in the wound beds (Sivan-Loukianova et al., 2003). Immune response was evaluated from blood leucocyte and from the presence of macrophages and monocytes in the wound beds (Stepanovic et al., 2003). The degree of which inflammation, angiogenesis, fibroblast proliferation, granulation tissue formation, collagen deposition, and hypodermis regeneration, are different between groups were assessed via a score system (Abramov et al., 2006; Loh et al., 2020).

REFERENCES

- Aasen, T., Raya, A., Barrero, M. J., Garreta, E., Consiglio, A., Gonzalez, F., Rita Vassena, J. B., Bilić, J., Pekarik, V., Tiscornia, G., Edel, M., Boué, S., and Belmonte, J. C. I. (2008). Efficient and rapid generation of induced pluripotent stem cells from human keratinocytes. *Nature Biotechnology*. 26(11), 1276–1284.
- Abbott, C. A., Garrow, A. P., Carrington, A. L., Morris, J., Van Ross, E. R., and Boulton, A. J. (2005). Foot ulcer risk is lower in South-Asian and African-Caribbean compared with European diabetic patients in the UK: the North-West diabetes foot care study. *Diabetes Care*. 28(8), 1869–1875.
- Abdul Rahman, F. (2018). *Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) using histology FISH accessory kit (DAKO)*.
- Abramov, Y., Webb, A. R., Miller, J.-J. R., Alshahrour, A., Botros, S. M., Goldberg, R. P., Ameer, G. A., and Sand, P. K. (2006). Biomechanical characterization of vaginal versus abdominal surgical wound healing in the rabbit. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 194(5), 1472–7.
- Aiuti, A., Slavin, S., Aker, M., Ficara, F., Deola, S., Mortellaro, A., Morecki, S., Andolfi, G., Tabucchi, A., Carlucci, F., Marinello, E., Cattaneo, F., Vai, S., Servida, P., Miniero, R., Roncarolo, M. G., and Bordignon, C. (2002). Correction of ADA-SCID by stem cell gene therapy combined with nonmyeloablative conditioning. *Science*. 296(5577), 2410–2413.
- Alberts, B., Johnson, A., Lewis, J., Raff, M., Roberts, K., and Walter, P. (2002). The evolution of the cell. *Molecular Biology of the Cell*. 4th ed. New York: Garland Science.
- Altavilla, D., Saitta, A., Cucinotta, D., Galeano, M., Deodato, B., Colonna, M., Torre, V., Russo, G., Sardella, A., Urna, G., Campo, G. M., Cavallari, V., Squadrito, G., and Squadrito, F. (2001). Inhibition of lipid peroxidation restores impaired vascular endothelial growth factor expression and stimulates wound healing and angiogenesis in the genetically diabetic mouse. *Diabetes*. 50(3), 667–674.
- Ather, S., Harding, K., and Tate, S. (2019). Wound management and dressings. *Advanced Textiles for Wound Care*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.

- Badiavas, E. V. and Falanga, V. (2003). Treatment of chronic wounds with bone marrow-derived cells. *Archives of Dermatology*. 139(4), 510–516.
- Bainbridge, P. (2013). Wound healing and the role of fibroblasts. *Journal of Wound Care*. 22(8), 407-412.
- Bajpai, R., Lesperance, J., Kim, M., and Terskikh, A. V. (2008). Efficient propagation of single cells Accutase-dissociated human embryonic stem cells. *Molecular Reproduction and Development*. 75(5), 818–27.
- Bancroft, J. D. and Layton, C. (2019). The hematoxylin and eosin. *Bancroft's Theory and Practice of Histological Techniques*. 8th ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Bilousova, G., Chen, J., and Roop, D. R. (2011). Differentiation of mouse induced pluripotent stem cells into a multipotent keratinocyte lineage. *The Journal of Investigative Dermatology*. 131(4), 857–64.
- Bilousova, G. and Roop, D. R. (2013). Generation of functional multipotent keratinocytes from mouse induced pluripotent stem cells. *Molecular Dermatology*. New York: Springer.
- Birbrair, A. and Frenette, P. S. (2016). Niche heterogeneity in the bone marrow. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 1370(1), 82–96.
- Bolliger, A. P., Everds, N. E., Zimmerman, K. L., Morre, D. M., Smith, S. A., and Barnhart, K. F. (2010). Hematology of Laboratory Animals. *Schalm's Veterinary Hematology*. 6th ed. State Avenue: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Borue, X., Lee, S., Grove, J., Herzog, E. L., Harris, R., Diflo, T., Glusac, E., Hyman, K., Theise, N. D., and Krause, D. S. (2004). Bone marrow-derived cells contribute to epithelial engraftment during wound healing. *The American Journal of Pathology*. 165(5), 1767–1772.
- BP Clinical Lab Sdn Bhd. (2017). *Peripheral Blood Film*. Petaling Jaya: BP Clinical Lab Sdn Bhd.
- Branski, L. K., Gauglitz, G. G., Herndon, D. N., and Jeschke, M. G. (2009). A review of gene and stem cell therapy in cutaneous wound healing. *Burns*. 35(2), 171–180.
- Brockes, J. P. (1997). Amphibian limb regeneration: rebuilding a complex structure. *Science*. 276(5309), 81–87.
- Brown, G. (2003). Long-term outcomes of full-thickness pressure ulcers - healing and mortality. *Ostomy Wound Management*. 49, 42–50.

- Bryan, D., Walker, K. B., Ferguson, M., and Thorpe, R. (2005). Cytokine gene expression in a murine wound healing model. *Cytokine*. 31(6), 429–438.
- Campbell, N. A., Reece, J. B., Urry, L. A., Cain, M. L., Wasserman, S. A., Minorsky, P. V., and Jackson, R. B. (2008). *Biology*. 8th ed. San Francisco: Pearson.
- Cant, A. J., Craddock, C., and Skinner, R. (2007). Why hematopoietic stem cell transplantation and for whom? *Practical Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation*. Massachusetts: Wiley Online Library.
- Carter, J. E. and Holmes, J. H. (2016). The Surgical Management of Burn Wounds. *Skin Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine*. Boston: Academic Press.
- Cavazzana-Calvo, M., Hacein-Bey, S., de Saint Basile, G., Gross, F., Yvon, E., Nussbaum, P., Selz, F., Hue, C., Certain, S., Casanova, J.-L., Bousso, P., Deist, F. L., and Fischer, A. (2000). Gene therapy of human severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID)-X1 disease. *Science*. 288(5466), 669–672.
- Cell Biolabs (2016). *SNL Feeder Cells*. San Diego: Cell Biolabs.
- Cha, J. and Falanga, V. (2007). Stem cells in cutaneous wound healing. *Clinics in Dermatology*. 25(1), 73–78.
- Chakrabarty, S. (1992). Regulation of human colon-carcinoma cell adhesion to extracellular matrix by transforming growth factor β 1. *International Journal of Cancer*. 50(6), 968–973.
- Chatterjee, I., Li, F., Kohler, E. E., Rehman, J., Malik, A. B., and Wary, K. K. (2015). Induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cell culture methods and induction of differentiation into endothelial cells. *Induced Pluripotent Stem (iPS) Cells*. New York: Springer.
- Chien, S. and Wilhelmi, B. J. (2012). A simplified technique for producing an ischemic wound model. *Journal of Visualized Experiments*. (63), e3341.
- Chin, S., Daigo, Y., Huang, H., Iyer, N., Callagy, G., Kranjac, T., Gonzalez, M., Sangan, T., Earl, H., and Caldas, C. (2003). A simple and reliable pretreatment protocol facilitates fluorescent in situ hybridisation on tissue microarrays of paraffin wax embedded tumour samples. *Molecular Pathology*. 56(5), 275.
- Chiu, T. and Burd, A. (2005). “Xenograft” dressing in the treatment of burns. *Clinics in Dermatology*. 23(4), 419–423.

- Claassen, D. A., Desler, M. M., and Rizzino, A. (2009). ROCK inhibition enhances the recovery and growth of cryopreserved human embryonic stem cells and human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Molecular Reproduction and Development*. 76(8), 722–732.
- Clark, R. A., Chong, B., Mirchandani, N., Brinster, N. K., Yamanaka, K., Dowgiert, R. K., and Kupper, T. S. (2006). The vast majority of CLA⁺ T cells are resident in normal skin. *The Journal of Immunology*. 176(7), 4431–4439.
- Clayton, Z. E., Tan, R. P., Miravet, M. M., Lennartsson, K., Cooke, J. P., Bursill, C. A., Wise, S. G., and Patel, S. (2018). Induced pluripotent stem cell-derived endothelial cells promote angiogenesis and accelerate wound closure in a murine excisional wound healing model. *Bioscience Reports*. 38(4).
- Cohen, S., Yoshioka, T., Lucarelli, M., Hwang, L. H., and Langer, R. (1991). Controlled delivery systems for proteins based on poly (lactic/glycolic acid) microspheres. *Pharmaceutical Research*. 8(6), 713–720.
- Coomber, B. L. (1992). Influence of mitomycin C on endothelial monolayer regeneration in vitro. *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry*. 50(3), 293–300.
- Cosset, J. M. (2002). ESTRO Breur Gold Medal Award Lecture 2001: Irradiation accidents-lessons for oncology? *Radiotherapy and Oncology*. 63(1), 1–10.
- Dako (2015). *Histology FISH Accessory Kit*. Jena: Dako.
- Davis, W. A., Norman, P. E., Bruce, D. G., and Davis, T. M. E. (2006). Predictors, consequences and costs of diabetes-related lower extremity amputation complicating type 2 diabetes: the Fremantle Diabetes Study. *Diabetologia*. 49(11), 2634–2641.
- DeBoard, R. H., Rondeau, D. F., Kang, C. S., Sabbaj, A., and McManus, J. G. (2007). Principles of basic wound evaluation and management in the emergency department. *Emergency Medicine Clinics of North America*. 25(1), 23–39.
- Deinsberger, J., Reisinger, D., and Weber, B. (2020). Global trends in clinical trials involving pluripotent stem cells: A systematic multi-database analysis. *NPJ Regenerative Medicine*. 5(1), 1–13.
- Demidova-Rice, T. N., Durham, J. T., and Herman, I. M. (2012). Wound healing angiogenesis: innovations and challenges in acute and chronic wound healing. *Advances in Wound Care*. 1(1), 17–22.
- Drew, C. P. and Shieh, W.-J. (2015). *Immunology*. Massachusetts: Academic Press.

- Eming, S. A., Krieg, T., and Davidson, J. M. (2007). Inflammation in wound repair: molecular and cellular mechanisms. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*. 127(3), 514–525.
- Evans, M. J. and Kaufman, M. H. (1981). Establishment in culture of pluripotential cells from mouse embryos. *Nature*. 292(5819), 154–156.
- Ezashi, T., Telugu, B. P. V. L., Alexenko, A. P., Sachdev, S., Sinha, S., and Roberts, R. M. (2009). Derivation of induced pluripotent stem cells from pig somatic cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 106(27), 10993–10998.
- Faglia, E., Clerici, G., Clerissi, J., Gabrielli, L., Losa, S., Mantero, M., Caminiti, M., Curci, V., Lupattelli, T., and Morabito, A. (2006). Early and five-year amputation and survival rate of diabetic patients with critical limb ischemia: data of a cohort study of 564 patients. *European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery*. 32(5), 484–490.
- Fahey, T. J., Sherry, B., Tracey, K. J., van Deventer, S., Jones, W. G., Minei, J. P., Morgello, S., Shires, G. T., and Cerami, A. (1990). Cytokine production in a model of wound healing: The appearance of MIP-1, MIP-2, cachectin/TNF and IL-I. *Cytokine*. 2(2), 92–99.
- Fisher, R. A. (1954). *Statistical Methods for Research Workers*. New York: Hafner Publishing Company Inc.
- Fleckenstein, E. and Drexler, H. G. (1996). Elimination of mycoplasma contamination in cell cultures. *Biochemica*. 1, 48–51.
- Fleming, T. P., Saxena, A., Clark, W. C., Robertson, J. T., Oldfield, E. H., Aaronson, S. A., and Ali, I. U. (1992). Amplification and/or overexpression of platelet-derived growth factor receptors and epidermal growth factor receptor in human glial tumors. *Cancer Research*. 52(16), 4550.
- Folkman, J. (2007). Is angiogenesis an organizing principle in biology and medicine? *Journal of Pediatric Surgery*. 42(1), 1–11.
- Freshney, R. I. (2010). *Culture of Animal Cells: A Manual of Basic Technique and Specialized Applications*. 6th ed. New Jersey: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Fuchs, E. (2008). Skin stem cells: rising to the surface. *The Journal of Cell Biology*. 180(2), 273–284.
- Gallo, R. L. (2017). Human skin is the largest epithelial surface for interaction with microbes. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*. 137(6), 1213–1214.

- Geron (2009). Geron receives FDA clearance to begin world's first human clinical trial of embryonic stem cell-based therapy. Available from: <<http://ir.geron.com/phoenix.zhtml?c=67323&p=irol-newsArticle&ID=1636192&highlight=>>>. [29 July 2011].
- Ghasemi, A. and Zahediasl, S. (2012). Normality tests for statistical analysis: a guide for non-statisticians. *International Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism*. 10(2), 486.
- Gilbert, S. F. (2010). *Developmental Biology*. 9th ed. Sunderland: Sinauer Associates, Inc.
- Giorgetti, A., Montserrat, N., Aasen, T., Gonzalez, F., Rodríguez-Pizà, I., Vassena, R., Raya, A., Boué, S., Barrero, M. J., Corbella, B. A., Torrabadella, M., Veiga, A., and Belmonte, J. C. I. (2009). Generation of induced pluripotent stem cells from human cord blood using OCT4 and SOX2. *Cell Stem Cell*. 5(4), 353–357.
- Grey, J. E., Enoch, S., and Harding, K. G. (2006). ABC of wound healing: Wound assessment. *British Medical Journal*. 332(7536), 285–288.
- Grice, E. A., Kong, H. H., Conlan, S., Deming, C. B., Davis, J., Young, A. C., Bouffard, G. G., Blakesley, R. W., Murray, P. R., Green, E. D., Turner, M. L., and Segre, J. A. (2009). Topographical and temporal diversity of the human skin microbiome. *Science*. 324(5931), 1190–2.
- Grubbs, F. E. (1969). Procedures for detecting outlying observations in samples. *Technometrics*. 11(1), 1–21.
- Guest, J. F., Ayoub, N., McIlwraith, T., Uchegbu, I., Gerrish, A., Weidlich, D., Vowden, K., and Vowden, P. (2015). Health economic burden that wounds impose on the National Health Service in the UK. *BMJ Open*. 5(12), e009283.
- Hacein-Bey-Abina, S., Von Kalle, C., Schmidt, M., McCormack, M. P., Wulffraat, N., Leboulch, P., Lim, A., Osborne, C. S., Pawliuk, R., Morillon, E., Sorensen, R., Forster, A., Fraser, P., Cohen, J. I., de Saint Basile, G., Alexander, I., Wintergerst, U., Frebourg, T., Aurias, A., Stoppa-Lyonnet, D., Romana, S., Radford-Weiss, I., Gross, F., Valensi, F., Delabesse, E., Macintyre, E., Sigaux, F., Soulier, J., Leiva, L. E., Wissler, M., Prinz, C., Rabbitts, T. H., Le Deist, F., Fischer, A., and Cavazzana-Calvo, M. (2003). LMO2-associated clonal T cell proliferation in two patients after gene therapy for SCID-X1. *Science*. 302(5644), 415–9.

- Hacein-Bey-Abina, S., Le Deist, F., Carlier, F., Bouneaud, C., Hue, C., De Villartay, J.-P., Thrasher, A. J., Wulffraat, N., Sorensen, R., Dupuis-Girod, S., Fischer, A., Davies, E. G., Kuis, W., Leiva, L., and Cavazzana-Calvo, M. (2002). Sustained correction of X-linked severe combined immunodeficiency by ex vivo gene therapy. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 346(16), 1185–93.
- Healy, L. and Ruban, L. (2014). *Atlas of Human Pluripotent Stem Cells in Culture*. New York: Springer.
- Herbst, R. S. (2004). Review of epidermal growth factor receptor biology. *International Journal of Radiation Oncology • Biology • Physics*. 59(2), S21–S26.
- Hermans, M. H. and Treadwell, T. (2010). An introduction to wounds. *Microbiology of Wounds*. Florida: CRC Press.
- Hewitt, K. J., Shamis, Y., Hayman, R. B., Margvelashvili, M., Dong, S., Carlson, M. W., and Garlick, J. A. (2011). Epigenetic and phenotypic profile of fibroblasts derived from induced pluripotent stem cells. *PloS One*. 6(2), e17128.
- Hoaglin, D. C. and Iglewicz, B. (1987). Fine-tuning some resistant rules for outlier labeling. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. 82(400), 1147–1149.
- Hobby, J. A. E. and Levick, P. L. (1978). Clinical evaluation of porcine xenograft dressings. *Burns*. 4(3), 188–192.
- Hodge, V. and Austin, J. (2004). A survey of outlier detection methodologies. *Artificial Intelligence Review*. 22(2), 85–126.
- Honnegowda, T. M., Kumar, P., Udupa, E., Kumar, S., Kumar, U., and Rao, P. (2015). Role of angiogenesis and angiogenic factors in acute and chronic wound healing. *Plastic and Aesthetic Research*. 2(4), 243–249.
- Hosseini, S. N., Mousavinasab, S. N., and Fallahnezhat, M. (2007). Xenoderm dressing in the treatment of second degree burns. *Burns*. 33(6), 776–781.
- Huang, H.-L., Hsing, H.-W., Lai, T.-C., Chen, Y.-W., Lee, T.-R., Chan, H.-T., Lyu, P.-C., Wu, C.-L., Lu, Y.-C., Lin, S.-T., Lin, C.-W., Lai, C.-H., Chang, H.-T., Chou, H.-C., and Chan, H.-L. (2010). Trypsin-induced proteome alteration during cell subculture in mammalian cells. *Journal of Biomedical Science*. 17(1), 1–10.

- Huangfu, D., Maehr, R., Guo, W., Eijkelenboom, A., Snitow, M., Chen, A. E., and Melton, D. A. (2008a). Induction of pluripotent stem cells by defined factors is greatly improved by small-molecule compounds. *Nature Biotechnology*. 26(7), 795–797.
- Huangfu, D., Osafune, K., Maehr, R., Guo, W., Eijkelenboom, A., Chen, S., Muhlestein, W., and Melton, D. A. (2008b). Induction of pluripotent stem cells from primary human fibroblasts with only Oct4 and Sox2. *Nature Biotechnology*. 26(11), 1269–1275.
- Huberty, C. J. (1993). Historical origins of statistical testing practices: the treatment of Fisher versus Neyman-Pearson views in textbooks. *The Journal of Experimental Education*. 61(4), 317–333.
- Hübner, G. and Werner, S. (1996). Serum growth factors and proinflammatory cytokines are potent inducers of activin expression in cultured fibroblasts and keratinocytes. *Experimental Cell Research*. 228(1), 106–113.
- Ichioka, S., Kouraba, S., Sekiya, N., Ohura, N., and Nakatsuka, T. (2005). Bone marrow-impregnated collagen matrix for wound healing: experimental evaluation in a microcirculatory model of angiogenesis, and clinical experience. *British Journal of Plastic Surgery*. 58(8), 1124–1130.
- Illingworth, C. M. (1974). Trapped fingers and amputated finger tips in children. *Journal of Pediatric Surgery*. 9(6), 853–858.
- Innovative Cell Technologies (2017a). Defrosting Accutase® and Accumax correctly. Available from:
<<http://www.accutase.com/defrosting-accutase-accumax-correctly.html>>.
[21 March 2017].
- Innovative Cell Technologies (2017b). Typical cell passaging protocol using Accutase®. Available from:
<<http://www.accutase.com/typical-cell-passaging-instructions.html>>.
[21 March 2017].
- Innovative Cell Technologies (2021). Accutase. Available from:
<<http://www.accutase.com/accutase.html>>. [20 May 2021].
- Invitrogen (2009). *CountessTM Automated Cell Counter*. Carlsbad: Invitrogen.

- Itoh, M., Kiuru, M., Cairo, M. S., and Christiano, A. M. (2011). Generation of keratinocytes from normal and recessive dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa-induced pluripotent stem cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 108(21), 8797–802.
- Itoh, M., Umegaki-Arao, N., Guo, Z., Liu, L., Higgins, C. A., and Christiano, A. M. (2013). Generation of 3D skin equivalents fully reconstituted from human induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs). *PloS One*. 8(10), e77673.
- Jiang, D. and Rinkevich, Y. (2020). Scars or Regeneration?—Dermal Fibroblasts as Drivers of Diverse Skin Wound Responses. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*. 21(2), 617.
- Jones, V., Grey, J. E., and Harding, K. G. (2006). ABC of wound healing: Wound dressings. *British Medical Journal*. 332(7544), 777–780.
- Kahn, S. R., M'lan, C. E., Lamping, D. L., Kurz, X., Bérard, A., and Abenhaim, L. A. (2004). Relationship between clinical classification of chronic venous disease and patient-reported quality of life: results from an international cohort study. *Journal of Vascular Surgery*. 39(4), 823–828.
- Kaji, K., Norrby, K., Paca, A., Mileikovsky, M., Mohseni, P., and Woltjen, K. (2009). Virus-free induction of pluripotency and subsequent excision of reprogramming factors. *Nature*. 458(7239), 771–775.
- Kawakami, Y., Esteban, C. R., Raya, M., Kawakami, H., Martí, M., Dubova, I., and Belmonte, J. C. I. (2006). Wnt/ β -catenin signaling regulates vertebrate limb regeneration. *Genes & Development*. 20(23), 3232–3237.
- Keirstead, H. S., Nistor, G., Bernal, G., Totoiu, M., Cloutier, F., Sharp, K., and Steward, O. (2005). Human embryonic stem cell-derived oligodendrocyte progenitor cell transplants remyelinate and restore locomotion after spinal cord injury. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 25(19), 4694–4705.
- Kim, D., Kim, C. H., Moon, J. I., Chung, Y. G., Chang, M. Y., Han, B. S., Ko, S., Yang, E., Cha, K. Y., Lanza, R., and Kim, K. S. (2009). Generation of human induced pluripotent stem cells by direct delivery of reprogramming proteins. *Cell Stem Cell*. 4(6), 472–476.

- Kim, J. B., Sebastiano, V., Wu, G., Araúzo-Bravo, M. J., Sasse, P., Gentile, L., Ko, K., Ruau, D., Ehrlich, M., van den Boom, D., Meyer, J., Hübner, K., Bernemann, C., Ortmeier, C., Zenke, M., Fleischmann, B. K., Zaehres, H., and Schöler, H. R. (2009). Oct4-induced pluripotency in adult neural stem cells. *Cell*. 136(3), 411–419.
- Kim, J. B., Zaehres, H., Wu, G., Gentile, L., Ko, K., Sebastiano, V., Araúzo-Bravo, M. J., Ruau, D., Han, D. W., Zenke, M., and Schöler, H. (2008). Pluripotent stem cells induced from adult neural stem cells by reprogramming with two factors. *Nature*. 454(7204), 646–650.
- Kim, J. H. and Choi, I. (2019). Choosing the level of significance: A decision-theoretic approach. *Abacus*.
- Kim, K. L., Song, S.-H., Choi, K.-S., and Suh, W. (2013). Cooperation of endothelial and smooth muscle cells derived from human induced pluripotent stem cells enhances neovascularization in dermal wounds. *Tissue Engineering Part A*. 19(21–22), 2478–2485.
- Kim, Y., Park, N., Rim, Y. A., Nam, Y., Jung, H., Lee, K., and Ju, J. H. (2018). Establishment of a complex skin structure via layered co-culture of keratinocytes and fibroblasts derived from induced pluripotent stem cells. *Stem Cell Research & Therapy*. 9(1), 1–10.
- Kim, Y., Rim, Y. A., Yi, H., Park, N., Park, S.-H., and Ju, J. H. (2016). The generation of human induced pluripotent stem cells from blood cells: an efficient protocol using serial plating of reprogrammed cells by centrifugation. *Stem cells International*. 2016, 9.
- King, A., Balaji, S., Keswani, S. G., and Crombleholme, T. M. (2014). The role of stem cells in wound angiogenesis. *Advances in Wound Care*. 3(10), 614–625.
- Kirschstein, R. L., Skirboll, L. R., (2001). The stem cell. *Stem Cells: Scientific Progress and Future Research Directions*. Maryland: National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services.
- Klimanskaya, I. and McMahon, J. (2009). Approaches for Derivation and Maintenance of Human Embryonic Stem Cells: Detailed Procedures and Alternatives. *Essentials of Stem Cell Biology*. San Diego: Elsevier.
- Koyanagi-Katsuta, R., Akimitsu, N., Arimitsu, N., Hatano, T., and Sekimizu, K. (2000). Apoptosis of mouse embryonic stem cells induced by single cell suspension. *Tissue & Cell*. 32(1), 66–70.

- Kuehn, B. M. (2007). Chronic wound care guidelines issued. *The Journal of the American Medical Association*. 297(9), 938–939.
- Laerd Statistics (2017). Mixed ANOVA using SPSS Statistics. Available from: <<https://statistics.laerd.com/spss-tutorials/mixed-anova-using-spss-statistics.php>>. [26 October 2017].
- Laerd Statistics (2017). Testing for normality using SPSS Statistics. Available from: <<https://statistics.laerd.com/spss-tutorials/testing-for-normality-using-spss-statistics.php>>. [12 June 2017].
- Lafouresse, F. and Groom, J. R. (2018). A Task Force Against Local Inflammation and Cancer: Lymphocyte Trafficking to and Within the Skin. *Frontiers in Immunology*. 9, 2454.
- Larson, B. J., Longaker, M. T., and Lorenz, H. P. (2010). Scarless fetal wound healing: a basic science review. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*. 126(4), 1172.
- Laurenti, E., Varnum-Finney, B., Wilson, A., Ferrero, I., Blanco-Bose, W. E., Ehninger, A., Knoepfler, P. S., Cheng, P.-F., MacDonald, H. R., Eisenman, R. N., Bernstein, I. D., and Trumpp, A. (2008). Hematopoietic stem cell function and survival depend on c-Myc and N-Myc activity. *Cell Stem Cell*. 3(6), 611–624.
- Lazarus, G. S., Cooper, D. M., Knighton, D. R., Margolis, D. J., Percoraro, R. E., Rodeheaver, G., and Robson, M. C. (1994). Definitions and guidelines for assessment of wounds and evaluation of healing. *Wound Repair and Regeneration*. 2(3), 165–170.
- Leboffe, M. J. and Pierce, B. E. (2016). *Microbiology: Laboratory Theory and Application, Brief*. Englewood: Morton Publishing Company.
- Lee, K.-B., Choi, J., Cho, S.-B., Chung, J.-Y., Moon, E.-S., Kim, N.-S., and Han, H.-J. (2011). Topical embryonic stem cells enhance wound healing in diabetic rats. *Journal of Orthopaedic Research*. 29(10), 1554–62.
- Leveriza-Oh, M., Phillips, T. J., Dockery, G., and Crawford, M. (2012). Dressings and postoperative care. *Lower Extremity Soft Tissue & Cutaneous Plastic Surgery*. 2nd Ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Li, B. and Wang, J. H.-C. (2011). Fibroblasts and myofibroblasts in wound healing: force generation and measurement. *Journal of Tissue Viability*. 20(4), 108–120.

- Li, L. T., Jiang, G., Chen, Q., and Zheng, J. N. (2015). Ki67 is a promising molecular target in the diagnosis of cancer. *Molecular Medicine Reports*. 11(3), 1566–1572.
- Lin, T., Ambasadhan, R., Yuan, X., Li, W., Hilcove, S., Abujarour, R., Lin, X., Hahm, H. S., Hao, E., Hayek, A., and Ding, S. (2009). A chemical platform for improved induction of human iPSCs. *Nature Methods*. 6(11), 805–8.
- Liu, X., Li, Q., Niu, X., Hu, B., Chen, S., Song, W., Ding, J., Zhang, C., and Wang, Y. (2017). Exosomes secreted from human-induced pluripotent stem cell-derived mesenchymal stem cells prevent osteonecrosis of the femoral head by promoting angiogenesis. *International Journal of Biological Sciences*. 13(2), 232.
- Loh, E. Y. X., Fauzi, M. B., Ng, M. H., Ng, P. Y., Ng, S. F., and Amin, M. C. I. M. (2020). Insight into delivery of dermal fibroblast by non-biodegradable bacterial nanocellulose composite hydrogel on wound healing. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*. 159, 497-509.
- Longaker, M. T., Bouhana, K. S., Harrison, M. R., Danielpour, D., Roberts, A. B., and Banda, M. J. (1994). Wound healing in the fetus. *Wound Repair and Regeneration*. 2(2), 104–112.
- Lorenzo, I. M., Fleischer, A., and Bachiller, D. (2013). Generation of Mouse and Human Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells (iPSC) from Primary Somatic Cells. *Stem Cell Reviews*. 9(4), 435–50.
- Madhero88 and M Komorniczak (2012). Layers of the skin. Available from: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Skin_layers.svg>. [16 July 2017].
- Maianskiĭ, D. N. and Makarova, O. P. (1989). The effect of hydrocortisone on neutrophil functional activity. *Biulleten' Eksperimental'noi Biologii I Meditsiny*. 108(12), 730–2.
- Marklund, B., Sülau, T., and Lindholm, C. (2000). Prevalence of non-healed and healed chronic leg ulcers in an elderly rural population. *Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care*. 18(1), 58–60.
- Martin, G. R. (1981). Isolation of a pluripotent cell line from early mouse embryos cultured in medium conditioned by teratocarcinoma stem cells. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 78(12), 7634–7638.
- Martin, P. (1997). Wound healing-aiming for perfect skin regeneration. *Science*. 276(5309), 75–81.

- Martin, P., Hopkinson-Woolley, J., and McCluskey, J. (1992). Growth factors and cutaneous wound repair. *Progress in Growth Factor Research*. 4(1), 25–44.
- Martinez, F. O., Gordon, S., Locati, M., and Mantovani, A. (2006). Transcriptional profiling of the human monocyte-to-macrophage differentiation and polarization: new molecules and patterns of gene expression. *The Journal of Immunology*. 177(10), 7303–7311.
- Masters, J. R. and Stacey, G. N. (2007). Changing medium and passaging cell lines. *Nature Protocols*. 2(9), 2276.
- Matsusaki, M. and Akashi, M. (2005). Novel functional biodegradable polymer IV: pH-sensitive controlled release of fibroblast growth factor-2 from a poly (γ -glutamic acid)-sulfonate matrix for tissue engineering. *Biomacromolecules*. 6(6), 3351–3356.
- McKay, L. I. and Cidlowski, J. A. (2000). Corticosteroids. *Cancer Medicine*. 5th ed. Hamilton: B.C. Decker.
- Melton, D. A. and Cowen, C. (2009). “Stemness”: definitions, criteria, and standards. *Essentials of Stem Cell Biology*. 2nd ed. Burlington: Elsevier.
- Meraviglia, V., Zanon, A., Lavdas, A. A., Schwienbacher, C., Silipigni, R., Di Segni, M., Chen, H., Pramstaller, P. P., Hicks, A. A., and Rossini, A. (2015). Generation of Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells from Frozen Buffy Coats using Non-integrating Episomal Plasmids. *Journal Of Visualized Experiments*. (100), e52885–e52885.
- Michalska, A. E. (2007). Isolation and propagation of mouse embryonic fibroblasts and preparation of mouse embryonic feeder layer cells. *Current Protocols in Stem Cell Biology*. New Jersey: Wiley Online Library.
- Miller, R. G. J. (2012). Simultaneous Statistical Inference. *Miscellaneous Techniques*. 2nd ed. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Minguell, J. J., Erices, A., and Conget, P. (2001). Mesenchymal stem cells. *Experimental Biology and Medicine*. 226(6), 507–520.
- Mitalipov, S. and Wolf, D. (2009). Totipotency, pluripotency and nuclear reprogramming. *Engineering of Stem Cells*. Springer.
- Moore, K. L. and Persaud, T. V. N. (2007). The beginning of human development: first week. *The Developing Human - Clinically Oriented Embryology*. 8th ed. Philadelphia: Philadelphia Saunders.

- Morhenn, V. B. (1988). Keratinocyte proliferation in wound healing and skin diseases. *Immunology Today*. 9(4), 104–107.
- Murakami, T., Saitoh, I., Inada, E., Kurosawa, M., Iwase, Y., Noguchi, H., Terao, Y., Yamasaki, Y., Hayasaki, H., and Sato, M. (2013). STO feeder cells are useful for propagation of primarily cultured human deciduous dental pulp cells by eliminating contaminating bacteria and promoting cellular outgrowth. *Cell Medicine*. 6(1–2), 75–81.
- Nakagawa, M., Koyanagi, M., Tanabe, K., Takahashi, K., Ichisaka, T., Aoi, T., Okita, K., Mochiduki, Y., Takizawa, N., and Yamanaka, S. (2007). Generation of induced pluripotent stem cells without Myc from mouse and human fibroblasts. *Nature Biotechnology*. 26(1), 101–106.
- Nacalai Tesque (2017a). Cell Reservoir One. Available from: <http://www.nacalai.co.jp/global/reagent/research/41.html>. [21 March 2017].
- Nacalai Tesque (2017b). Cell Reservoir One (Vitrify). Available from: http://www.nacalai.co.jp/global/reagent/research/CellReservoirOne_Vitrify.html. [21 March 2017].
- NCBI (2021). Myc. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene/17869>. [23 April 2021].
- O’Dowd, G., Bell, S., and Wright, S. (2020). *Wheater’s Pathology: A Text, Atlas and Review of Histopathology*. 6th ed. Edinburgh: Elsevier.
- Ohta, S., Imaizumi, Y., Okada, Y., Akamatsu, W., Kuwahara, R., Ohyama, M., Amagai, M., Matsuzaki, Y., Yamanaka, S., Okano, H., and Kawakami, Y. (2011). Generation of human melanocytes from induced pluripotent stem cells. *PloS One*. 6(1), e16182.
- Okita, K., Hong, H., Takahashi, K., and Yamanaka, S. (2010). Generation of mouse-induced pluripotent stem cells with plasmid vectors. *Nature Protocols*. 5(3), 418–428.
- Okita, K., Ichisaka, T., and Yamanaka, S. (2007). Generation of germline-competent induced pluripotent stem cells. *Nature*. 448(7151), 313–317.
- Okita, K., Nakagawa, M., Hyenjong, H., Ichisaka, T., and Yamanaka, S. (2008). Generation of mouse induced pluripotent stem cells without viral vectors. *Science*. 322(5903), 949–953.

- Olczyk, P., Mencner, Łukasz, and Komosinska-Vassev, K. (2014). The role of the extracellular matrix components in cutaneous wound healing. *BioMed Research International*. 2014.
- Pai, V. P., Aw, S., Shomrat, T., Lemire, J. M., and Levin, M. (2012). Transmembrane voltage potential controls embryonic eye patterning in *Xenopus laevis*. *Development*. 139(2), 313–323.
- Park, B., Yoo, K. H., and Kim, C. (2015). Hematopoietic stem cell expansion and generation: the ways to make a breakthrough. *Blood Research*. 50(4), 194–203.
- Park, J. I., Lee, M. G., Cho, K., Park, B. J., Chae, K. S., Byun, D. S., Ryu, B. K., Park, Y. K., and Chi, S. G. (2003). Transforming growth factor- β 1 activates interleukin-6 expression in prostate cancer cells through the synergistic collaboration of the Smad2, p38-NF- κ B, JNK, and Ras signaling pathways. *Oncogene*. 22(28), 4314–4332.
- Pastar, I., Stojadinovic, O., Yin, N. C., Ramirez, H., Nusbaum, A. G., Sawaya, A., Patel, S. B., Khalid, L., Isseroff, R. R., and Tomic-Canic, M. (2014). Epithelialization in wound healing: a comprehensive review. *Advances in Wound Care*. 3(7), 445–464.
- Pennisi, E. (2008). Bacteria are picky about their homes on human skin. *Science*. 320(5879), 1001.
- Pratt, J. and West, G. (2014). Pressure therapy: history and rationale. *Pressure garments: a manual on their design and fabrication*. Linacre House: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Proksch, E., Brandner, J. M., and Jensen, J.-M. (2008). The skin: an indispensable barrier. *Experimental Dermatology*. 17(12), 1063–1072.
- Ralston, A. and Shaw, K. (2008). Gene expression regulates cell differentiation. *Nature Education*. 1(1), 127–131.
- Rangaraj, A., Harding, K., and Leaper, D. (2011). Role of collagen in wound management. *Wounds International*. 7(2), 54–63.
- ReproCell (2012). Chapter 2: Seeding the feeder cells. Available from: <<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zpD5Gx6zw9A>>. [7 February 2012].
- Riken BioResource Center (2014). *APS0004: iPS-MEF-Ng-492B-4*. Wako: Riken BioResource Center.

- Rivera, T., Zhao, Y., Ni, Y., and Wang, J. (2020). Human-Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell Culture Methods Under cGMP Conditions. *Current Protocols in Stem Cell Biology*. 54(1), e117.
- Rivera-Gonzalez, G., Shook, B., and Horsley, V. (2014). Adipocytes in skin health and disease. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine*. 4(3), a015271.
- Robertson, J. A. (2001). Human embryonic stem cell research: ethical and legal issues. *Nature Reviews Genetics*. 2(1), 74–78.
- Royal Children’s Hospital Melbourne (2012). Wound dressings - acute traumatic wounds. Available from:
<http://www.rch.org.au/clinicalguide/cpg.cfm?doc_id=9751>. [28 June 2012].
- Sasaki, M., Abe, R., Fujita, Y., Ando, S., Inokuma, D., and Shimizu, H. (2008). Mesenchymal stem cells are recruited into wounded skin and contribute to wound repair by transdifferentiation into multiple skin cell type. *The Journal of Immunology*. 180(4), 2581–2587.
- Schaffer, M. and Barbul, A. (1998). Lymphocyte function in wound healing and following injury. *British Journal of Surgery*. 85(4), 444–460.
- Schreml, S., Szeimies, R. M., Prantl, L., Landthaler, M., and Babilas, P. (2010). Wound healing in the 21st century. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*. 63(5), 866–881.
- Schöler, H. R. (2007). The potential of stem cells: an inventory. *Humanbiotechnology as Social Challenge*. Farnham: Ashgate Publishing, Ltd.
- Seita, J. and Weissman, I. L. (2010). Hematopoietic stem cell: self-renewal versus differentiation. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Systems Biology and Medicine*. 2(6), 640–653.
- Shaw, T. J. and Martin, P. (2009). Wound repair at a glance. *Journal of Cell Science*. 122(18), 3209–3213.
- Shedge, R., Krishan, K., Warriar, V., and Kanchan, T. (2020). Postmortem changes. *StatPearls*.
- Singer, A. J. and Clark, R. A. (1999). Cutaneous wound healing. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 341(10), 738–746.
- Sigma Aldrich (2021). Cell Dissociation with Trypsin. Available from:
<<https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/technical-documents/articles/biology/cell-dissociation-with-trypsin.html>>. [20 May 2021].

- Singhal, P. K., Sassi, S., Lan, L., Au, P., Halvorsen, S. C., Fukumura, D., Jain, R. K., and Seed, B. (2016). Mouse embryonic fibroblasts exhibit extensive developmental and phenotypic diversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 113(1), 122–127.
- Sîrbulescu, R. F., Boehm, C. K., Soon, E., Wilks, M. Q., Ilie\cs, I., Yuan, H., Maxner, B., Chronos, N., Kaittanis, C., Normandin, M. D., Fakhri, G. E., Orgill, D. P., Slunder, A. E., and Poznansky, M. C. (2017). Mature B cells accelerate wound healing after acute and chronic diabetic skin lesions. *Wound Repair and Regeneration*. 25(5), 774–791.
- Sivan-Loukianova, E., Awad, O. A., Stepanovic, V., Bickenbach, J., and Schatteman, G. C. (2003). CD34+ blood cells accelerate vascularization and healing of diabetic mouse skin wounds. *Journal of Vascular Research*. 40(4), 368–377.
- Slavin, J. (1996). Review article: The role of cytokines in wound healing. *The Journal of Pathology*. 178(1), 5–10.
- Sommer, C. A., Stadtfeld, M., Murphy, G. J., Hochedlinger, K., Kotton, D. N., and Mostoslavsky, G. (2009). Induced pluripotent stem cell generation using a single lentiviral stem cell cassette. *Stem Cells*. 27(3), 543–549.
- Sonnemann, K. J. and Bement, W. M. (2011). Wound repair: Toward understanding and integration of single and multicellular wound responses. *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology*. 27(1), 10.1–10.27.
- Stadtfeld, M., Nagaya, M., Utikal, J., Weir, G., and Hochedlinger, K. (2008). Induced pluripotent stem cells generated without viral integration. *Science*. 322(5903), 945–949.
- Stashak, T. S., Farstvedt, E., and Othic, A. (2004). Update on wound dressings: Indications and best use. *Clinical Techniques in Equine Practice*. 3(2), 148–163.
- Stepanovic, V., Awad, O., Jiao, C., Dunnwald, M., and Schatteman, G. C. (2003). Lepr^{db} diabetic mouse bone marrow cells inhibit skin wound vascularization but promote wound healing. *Circulation Research*. 92(11), 1247–1253.
- Suckow, M. A., Danneman, P., and Brayton, C. (2001). *The Laboratory Mouse*. Boca Raton: CRC Press LLC.
- Sullivan, M. (2018). *Statistics: Informed Decisions Using Data*. 5th ed. Harlow: Pearson.

- Supp, D. M., Supp, A. P., Bell, S. M., and Boyce, S. T. (2000). Enhanced Vascularization of Cultured Skin Substitutes Genetically Modified to Overexpress Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor1. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*. 114(1), 5–13.
- Suvarna, K. S., Layton, C., and Bancroft, J. D. (2018). *Bancroft's Theory and Practice of Histological Techniques*. 8th ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Suzuki, J. B. and Resnik, R. R. (2018). Wound Dehiscence: Incision Line Opening. *Misch's Avoiding Complications in Oral Implantology*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Sysmex (2000). *Automated Hematology Analyzer KX-21*. Kobe: Sysmex.
- Taeger, D. and Kuhnt, S. (2014). Statistical hypothesis testing. *Statistical Hypothesis Testing with SAS and R*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
- Takahashi, K., Tanabe, K., Ohnuki, M., Narita, M., Ichisaka, T., Tomoda, K., and Yamanaka, S. (2007). Induction of pluripotent stem cells from adult human fibroblasts by defined factors. *Cell*. 131(5), 861–872.
- Takahashi, K. and Yamanaka, S. (2006). Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. *Cell*. 126(4), 663–676.
- US Department of Health Human Services National Institutes of Health (2016). NIH stem cell information home page. Available from: <<https://stemcells.nih.gov/info/basics/4.htm>>. [20 July 2017].
- Thomson, J. A., Itskovitz-Eldor, J., Shapiro, S. S., Waknitz, M. A., Swiergiel, J. J., Marshall, V. S., and Jones, J. M. (1998). Embryonic stem cell lines derived from human blastocysts. *Science*. 282(5391), 1145–1147.
- Thomson, J. A., Kalishman, J., Golos, T. G., Durning, M., Harris, C. P., Becker, R. A., and Hearn, J. P. (1995). Isolation of a primate embryonic stem cell line. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 92(17), 7844–7848.
- Tsuchiya, K. D. (2011). Fluorescence in situ hybridization. *Clinics in Laboratory Medicine*. 31(4), 525–542.
- Tukey, J. W. (1977). Easy summaries: numerical and graphical. *Exploratory Data Analysis*. Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.
- Ullah, I., Subbarao, R. B., and Rho, G. J. (2015). Human mesenchymal stem cells-current trends and future prospective. *Bioscience Reports*. 35(2), e00191.
- Upjohn, E., Varigos, G., and Kaur, P. (2004). Burns and Skin Ulcers. *Methods of Tissue Engineering*. 731–736.

- Vranckx, J. J., Hoeller, D., Velander, P. E. M., Theopold, C. F. P., Petrie, N., Takedo, A., Eriksson, E., and Yao, F. (2007). Cell suspension cultures of allogenic keratinocytes are efficient carriers for ex vivo gene transfer and accelerate the healing of full-thickness skin wounds by overexpression of human epidermal growth factor. *Wound Repair and Regeneration*. 15(5), 657–664.
- Wagner, D. E., Wang, I. E., and Reddien, P. W. (2011). Clonogenic neoblasts are pluripotent adult stem cells that underlie planarian regeneration. *Science*. 332(6031), 811–816.
- Walter, M. N. M., Wright, K. T., Fuller, H. R., MacNeil, S., and Johnson, W. E. B. (2010). Mesenchymal stem cell-conditioned medium accelerates skin wound healing: An in vitro study of fibroblast and keratinocyte scratch assays. *Experimental Cell Research*. 316(7), 1271–1281.
- Wang, W., Yang, J., Liu, H., Lu, D., Chen, X., Zenonos, Z., Campos, L. S., Rad, R., Guo, G., Zhang, S., Bradley, A., and Liu, P. (2011). Rapid and efficient reprogramming of somatic cells to induced pluripotent stem cells by retinoic acid receptor gamma and liver receptor homolog 1. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 108(45), 18283–18288.
- Wang, X., Balaji, S., Steen, E. H., Li, H., Rae, M. M., Blum, A. J., Miao, Q., Butte, M. J., Bollyky, P. L., and Keswani, S. G. (2019). T lymphocytes attenuate dermal scarring by regulating inflammation, neovascularization, and extracellular matrix remodeling. *Advances in Wound Care*. 8(11), 527–537.
- Wang, X., Ge, J., Tredget, E. E., and Wu, Y. (2013). The mouse excisional wound splinting model, including applications for stem cell transplantation. *Nature Protocols*. 8(2), 302–309.
- Watson, N. F. S. and Dabell, W. (2006). Wound dressings. *The Foundation Years*. 2(1), 38–41.
- Welch, B. L. (1947). The generalization of ‘Student’s’ problem when several different population variances are involved. *Biometrika*. 34(1/2), 28–35.
- Wernig, M., Meissner, A., Cassady, J. P., and Jaenisch, R. (2008). c-Myc is dispensable for direct reprogramming of mouse fibroblasts. *Cell Stem Cell*. 2(1), 10–12.
- Williams, A. R. and Hare, J. M. (2011). Mesenchymal stem cells. *Circulation Research*. 109(8), 923–940.

- Wilmut, I., Schnieke, A. E., McWhir, J., Kind, A. J., and Campbell, K. H. (1997). Viable offspring derived from fetal and adult mammalian cells. *Nature*. 385(6619), 810–813.
- Wood, S. H. and Sojitra, N. M. (2002). Dressings. *Surgery*. 20(6), 142–144.
- World Health Organization (2016). Antimicrobial resistance. Available from: <<http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs194/en/>>. [28 February 2017].
- Wu, Z., Chen, J., Ren, J., Bao, L., Liao, J., Cui, C., Rao, L., Li, H., Gu, Y., Dai, H., Zhu, H., Teng, X., Cheng, L., and Xiao, L. (2009). Generation of pig-induced pluripotent stem cells with a drug-inducible system. *Journal of Molecular Cell Biology*. 1(1), 46–54.
- Yamanaka, S. (2009). A fresh look at iPS cells. *Cell*. 137(1), 13–17.
- Yang, Z. and Xiong, H.-R. (2012). Culture conditions and types of growth media for mammalian cells. *Biomedical Tissue Culture*. 1, 3–18.
- Yoon, J. J., Ismail, S., and Sherwin, T. (2014). Limbal stem cells: Central concepts of corneal epithelial homeostasis. *World Journal of Stem Cells*. 6(4), 391–403.
- Yoshikawa, T., Mitsuno, H., Nonaka, I., Sen, Y., Kawanishi, K., Inada, Y., Takakura, Y., Okuchi, K., and Nonomura, A. (2008). Wound therapy by marrow mesenchymal cell transplantation. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*. 121(3), 860–877.
- Young, H. E. and Black Jr, A. C. (2004). Adult stem cells. *The Anatomical Record Part A*. 276(1), 75–102.
- Yu, J., Hu, K., Smuga-Otto, K., Tian, S., Stewart, R., Slukvin, I. I., and Thomson, J. A. (2009). Human induced pluripotent stem cells free of vector and transgene sequences. *Science*. 324(5928), 797–801.
- Yu, J., Vodyanik, M. A., Smuga-Otto, K., Antosiewicz-Bourget, J., Frane, J. L., Tian, S., Nie, J., Jonsdottir, G. A., Ruotti, V., Stewart, R., Slukvin, I. I., and Thomson, J. A. (2007). Induced pluripotent stem cell lines derived from human somatic cells. *Science*. 318(5858), 1917–1920.
- Yu, J. and Thomson, J. A. (2014). Induced pluripotent stem cells. *Principles of Tissue Engineering*. Elsevier.
- Yu, V. and Scadden, D. (2016). Hematopoietic stem cell and its bone marrow niche. *Current Topics in Developmental Biology*. 118, 21–44.

- Zamri-Saad, M. and Effendy, A. (1999). The effects of dexamethasone on the response of bronchus-associated lymphoid tissue to intranasal administration of formalin-killed *Pasteurella haemolytica* A2 in goats. *Veterinary Research Communications*. 23(8), 467–473.
- Zhang, M. and Huang, B. (2012). The multi-differentiation potential of peripheral blood mononuclear cells. *Stem Cell Research & Therapy*. 3(6), 1–10.
- Zhou, H., Wu, S., Joo, J. Y., Zhu, S., Han, D. W., Lin, T., Trauger, S., Bien, G., Yao, S., Zhu, Y., Siuzdak, G., Schöler, H. R., Duan, L., and Ding, S. (2010). Generation of induced pluripotent stem cells using recombinant proteins. *Cell Stem Cell*. 4(5), 381–384.