

INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY FOR HUMAN COMFORT FACTORS  
STUDY IN OFFICE BUILDINGS

TAN KIAN HUI

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Construction Management)

School of Civil Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2021

## **DEDICATION**

This project report is dedicated to my parents, who always love and support me, who taught me about the power of inspiration and courage.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians and practitioners who have contributed towards this study. I would like to express my most sincere gratitude and appreciation to my Master's Project supervisor, Dr. Ain Naadia Mazlan, for her passionate guidance, encouragement and support.

I also would like to thank my beloved family and friends who support me during the research period.

## **ABSTRACT**

Poor indoor environmental quality (IEQ) can cause Sick Building Syndrome (SBS), different people have different tolerance level of indoor environment condition that could result in various kinds of subjective responses. Previous study demonstrate that current building user are dissatisfied with the indoor environmental conditions even though the technical measurement results show that the present standards are fulfilled. This research aims to study the indoor environmental quality (IEQ) for human comfort in office buildings. Data was collected by means of questionnaire, in-situ measurement and document review. The questionnaire survey was analysed using SPSS version 27.0. Four equipment were used to measure the IEQ condition which are humidity/temperature data recorder (Model MHT-381SD), lux meter (Model LX-1128SD), sound level meter (Model SoundPro SE/DL) and anemometer (Model AM-4307SD). As a result, overall respondents were more comfortable and satisfied with the IEQ in Eco Botanic. The measured IEQ average value ranges were 25.3 °C to 28.8 °C (temperature), 105 lux to 489 lux (illuminance), 46.4 dB(A) to 57.3 dB(A) (sound level), 0.10 m/s to 0.27 m/s (air movement) and 53.3 % to 75.8 % (relative humidity). From the document review, most measured IEQ values did not fulfil the requirement of MS 1525:2014 and BS EN 15251:2007. In conclusion, it is very important to ensure good IEQ in order to achieve human comfort and health.

## ABSTRAK

Kualiti persekitaran dalaman (IEQ) yang tidak baik dapat menyebabkan Sindrom Bangunan Sakit (SBS), setiap individu mempunyai tahap toleransi keadaan persekitaran dalaman yang berbeza, yang boleh mengakibatkan pelbagai jenis respons subjektif. Kajian sebelumnya menunjukkan bahawa pengguna bangunan semasa tidak berpuas hati dengan keadaan persekitaran dalaman itu walaupun hasil pengukuran teknikal menunjukkan bahawa standard masa kini dipenuhi. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji kualiti persekitaran dalaman (IEQ) untuk kesejahteraan manusia di bangunan pejabat. Data dikumpulkan dengan menggunakan soal selidik, pengukuran in-situ dan semakan dokumen. Soal selidik dianalisis dengan menggunakan SPSS versi 27.0. Empat peralatan yang digunakan untuk mengukur keadaan IEQ adalah perakam data kelembapan/suhu (Model MHT-381SD), meter lux (Model LX-1128SD), meter tahap bunyi (Model SoundPro SE/DL) dan anemometer (Model AM-4307SD). Akibatnya, secara keseluruhan responden berasa lebih selesa dan berpuas hati dengan keadaan IEQ di Eko Botani. Julat nilai purata kualiti persekitaran dalaman (IEQ) yang diukur adalah 25.3 °C hingga 28.8 °C (suhu), 105 lux hingga 489 lux (pencahayaan), 46.4 dB(A) hingga 57.3 dB(A) (tahap bunyi), 0.10 m/s hingga 0.27 m/s (pergerakan udara) dan 53.3 % hingga 75.8 % (kelembapan relatif). Bagi semakan document, kebanyakan nilai IEQ yang diukur tidak memenuhi syarat-syarat dalam MS 1525:2014 dan BS EN 15251:2007. Kesimpulannya, ia adalah sangat penting untuk memastikan kualiti persekitaran dalaman (IEQ) yang baik untuk mencapai kesejahteraan dan kesihatan manusia.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>viii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xi</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xii</b>
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xv</b>
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xvi</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Background of the Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Research Questions	5
	1.4 Aim of Research	5
	1.5 Objectives of Research	5
	1.6 Scope of the Study	6
	1.7 Significance of the Study	6
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>9</b>
	2.1 Introduction	9
	2.2 Thermal Comfort	9
	2.2.1 Definition	9
	2.2.2 Previous Researches	10
	2.2.3 Standard Guidelines	13
	2.3 Visual Comfort	13
	2.3.1 Definition	13

2.3.2	Previous Researches	13
2.3.3	Standard Guidelines	17
2.4	Acoustic Comfort	17
2.4.1	Definition	17
2.4.2	Previous Researches	18
2.4.3	Standard Guidelines	21
2.5	Indoor Air Quality (IAQ)	21
2.5.1	Definition	21
2.5.2	Previous Researches	22
2.5.3	Standard Guidelines	25
2.6	Acceptable Levels for IEQ Recommended by Previous Studies and Standard Guidelines	25
2.7	Research Gap	27
2.8	Summary	27
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>29</b>
3.1	Introduction	29
3.2	Research Flowchart	29
3.3	Literature Review	31
3.4	Questionnaire	31
3.5	Statistical Analysis	32
3.6	In-situ Measurement	34
3.7	Document Review	40
3.8	Summary	41
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>43</b>
4.1	Introduction	43
4.2	Pilot Study	43
4.3	Questionnaire Findings	45
4.3.1	Demographic and General Information of Respondents	45
4.3.2	Perception of Respondents on Indoor Environmental Quality (IEQ)	55

	4.3.3 Overall Satisfaction on Indoor Environmental Quality (IEQ)	70
	4.3.4 Comments and Suggestions	75
4.4	In-situ Measurement Findings	76
	4.4.1 Thermal Comfort	76
	4.4.2 Visual Comfort	79
	4.4.3 Acoustic Comfort	83
	4.4.4 Indoor Air Quality	86
4.5	Document Review Findings	93
	4.5.1 Thermal Comfort	93
	4.5.2 Visual Comfort	94
	4.5.3 Acoustic Comfort	94
	4.5.4 Indoor Air Quality	95
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>99</b>
5.1	Introduction	99
5.2	Findings	99
	5.2.1 The building users' human comfort perception of IEQ in terms of thermal comfort, visual comfort, acoustic comfort and indoor air quality in two office buildings	99
	5.2.2 The IEQ in-situ measurement in terms of thermal, visual, acoustic and indoor air quality conditions in two office buildings	100
	5.2.3 The analysis of IEQ condition with IEQ standard guidelines	101
5.3	Limitations	102
5.4	Recommendations	102

**REFERENCES**



## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Table 2.1	Summary of acceptable levels for IEQ recommended by standard guidelines	26
Table 3.1	The four sections of questionnaire	31
Table 3.2	Example of rating questions in questionnaire	32
Table 3.3	Cronbach's alpha value for questionnaire data	33
Table 3.4	Skewness and Kurtosis value for questionnaire data in Eco Botanic (Building A)	34
Table 3.5	Skewness and Kurtosis value for questionnaire data in Bandar Putra Kulai (Building B)	34
Table 3.6	Equipment used for the in-situ measurement	40
Table 4.1	Example of the questions revised in pilot study	44
Table 4.2	Maximum, minimum and average air temperature in both buildings	79
Table 4.3	Maximum, minimum and average illuminance in both buildings	83
Table 4.4	Maximum, minimum and average sound level in both buildings	86
Table 4.5	Maximum, minimum and average air movement in both buildings	90
Table 4.6	Maximum, minimum and average relative humidity in both buildings	93
Table 4.7	Recommended range of IEQ conditions by standards guidelines along with average readings and respondents' comfort and satisfaction level in Eco Botanic	96
Table 4.8	Recommended range of IEQ conditions by standards guidelines along with average readings and respondents' comfort and satisfaction level in Bandar Putra Kulai	97

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Figure 2.1	Some environmental aspects that influence thermal comfort (Source: Alwetaishi, 2016)	10
Figure 2.2	Discomfort glare due to improper use of daylighting (Source: Pierson, 2019)	15
Figure 2.3	Human hearing range (Source: Codelectron, 2020)	18
Figure 3.1	Research flowchart	30
Figure 3.2	Location map (Source: Google Map)	35
Figure 3.3	The layout of measured room on ground floor and first floor in Eco Botanic	36
Figure 3.4	The layout of measured room on ground floor and first floor in Bandar Putra Kulai	36
Figure 3.5	Setting up equipment on ground floor in Eco Botanic	37
Figure 3.6	Setting up equipment on first floor in Eco Botanic	37
Figure 3.7	Setting up equipment on ground floor in Bandar Putra Kulai	38
Figure 3.8	Setting up equipment on first floor in Bandar Putra Kulai	38
Figure 4.1	PBL1 in M46 in UTM	44
Figure 4.2	Distribution of respondents by age in Eco Botanic	45
Figure 4.3	Distribution of respondents by age in Bandar Putra Kulai	46
Figure 4.4	Distribution of respondents by gender in Eco Botanic	46
Figure 4.5	Distribution of respondents by gender in Bandar Putra Kulai	47
Figure 4.6	Distribution of respondents by hours spent per week in Eco Botanic	48
Figure 4.7	Distribution of respondents by hours spent per week in Bandar Putra Kulai	48
Figure 4.8	Distribution of respondents by role in the organisation in Eco Botanic	49

Figure 4.9	Distribution of respondents by role in the organisation in Bandar Putra Kulai	50
Figure 4.10	Distribution of respondents by mostly used cooling method in Eco Botanic	51
Figure 4.11	Distribution of respondents by mostly used cooling method in Bandar Putra Kulai	51
Figure 4.12	Distribution of respondents by mostly used lighting method in Eco Botanic	52
Figure 4.13	Distribution of respondents by mostly used lighting method in Bandar Putra Kulai	53
Figure 4.14	Distribution of respondents by considering whether the building is noisy in Eco Botanic	54
Figure 4.15	Distribution of respondents by considering whether the building is noisy in Bandar Putra Kulai	54
Figure 4.16	Thermal sensation level	55
Figure 4.17	Thermal comfortability level	56
Figure 4.18	Preference of temperature	57
Figure 4.19	Visual sensation level	58
Figure 4.20	Visual comfortability level	59
Figure 4.21	Preference of illuminance	60
Figure 4.22	Acoustic sensation level	61
Figure 4.23	Acoustic comfortability level	62
Figure 4.24	Preference of sound level	63
Figure 4.25	Air movement sensation level	64
Figure 4.26	Air movement comfortability level	65
Figure 4.27	Preference of air movement	66
Figure 4.28	Relative humidity sensation level	67
Figure 4.29	Relative humidity comfortability level	68
Figure 4.30	Preference of relative humidity	69
Figure 4.31	Thermal satisfaction level	70
Figure 4.32	Visual satisfaction level	71
Figure 4.33	Acoustic satisfaction level	72

Figure 4.34	Air movement satisfaction level	73
Figure 4.35	Relative humidity satisfaction level	74
Figure 4.36	Working environment satisfaction level	75
Figure 4.37	Air temperature on ground floor in Eco Botanic	77
Figure 4.38	Air temperature on first floor in Eco Botanic	77
Figure 4.39	Air temperature on ground floor in Bandar Putra Kulai	78
Figure 4.40	Air temperature on first floor in Bandar Putra Kulai	79
Figure 4.41	Illuminance on ground floor in Eco Botanic	80
Figure 4.42	Illuminance on first floor in Eco Botanic	81
Figure 4.43	Illuminance on ground floor in Bandar Putra Kulai	82
Figure 4.44	Illuminance on first floor in Bandar Putra Kulai	82
Figure 4.45	Sound level on ground floor in Eco Botanic	84
Figure 4.46	Sound level on first floor in Eco Botanic	84
Figure 4.47	Sound level on ground floor in Bandar Putra Kulai	85
Figure 4.48	Sound level on first floor in Bandar Putra Kulai	86
Figure 4.49	Air movement on ground floor in Eco Botanic	87
Figure 4.50	Air movement on first floor in Eco Botanic	88
Figure 4.51	Air movement on ground floor in Bandar Putra Kulai	89
Figure 4.52	Air movement on first floor in Bandar Putra Kulai	89
Figure 4.53	Relative humidity on ground floor in Eco Botanic	90
Figure 4.54	Relative humidity on first floor in Eco Botanic	91
Figure 4.55	Relative humidity on ground floor in Bandar Putra Kulai	92
Figure 4.56	Relative humidity on first floor in Bandar Putra Kulai	92
Figure 4.57	The measured values and recommended range	98

## **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

HVAC	-	Heating, Ventilation, and Air Conditioning
IAQ	-	Indoor Air Quality
IEQ	-	Indoor Environmental Quality
SBS	-	Sick Building Syndrome
SPSS	-	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
UTM	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Appendix A	Acceptable Levels for IEQ Recommended by Previous Studies	121
Appendix B	Research Gap	124
Appendix C	Questionnaire Survey Form	132
Appendix D	Letter for Request of Permission of Research	139

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background of the Study

Previous researches have been carried out in indoor environmental quality (IEQ) in order to study the human perception of thermal, acoustic, visual and indoor air quality conditions (Yang and Moon, 2019; Wu *et al.*, 2019a). Indoor environmental comfort can be used to indicate the overall comfort (Chiang and Lai, 2002). A study has found that the interactions among the indoor environmental parameters will influence the overall comfort (Yang and Moon, 2019). Among the four main indoor environmental parameters, thermal comfort has greater influence upon overall workplace satisfaction (Frontczak and Wargocki, 2011; Paul and Taylor, 2008).

Human comfort is defined as the state of the mind that indicates satisfaction with the overall surrounding environment in terms of physical, physiological, psychological, and social aspects to be suitable for the task performed (Elzeyadi, 2002). Study has shown that human is highly affected to the variation of temperature compared to relative humidity (Hussein *et al.*, 2009) and have significant effect on its occupancy (Al Obaidi *et al.*, 2014). In general, the four main indoor environmental parameters consists of indoor air quality (IAQ), thermal, acoustic, and visual comfort (Frontczak and Wargocki, 2011).

There are a number of sources of human discomfort including excessive level of temperature, lighting and noise, insufficient space and lack of control (Leaman and Bordass, 2007). A study by Kim and de Dear (2012) found that some factors such as temperature, noise level, space amount are classified as ‘Basic Factors’ of dissatisfaction that are minimum requirements which negative effects are much greater than positive effects. ‘Proportional Factors’ such as lighting level, air quality, visual

comfort, etc. are the factors to which the overall satisfaction of occupants increases or decreases proportionally (Kim and de Dear, 2012).

Most of the people, especially in industrialised countries, spend over 90% of their time indoors (Frontczak *et al.*, 2012; Frontczak and Wargocki, 2011; Höpfe, 2002). Recently, public concern regarding the influence of indoor environment on human comfort has been increasing (Wu *et al.*, 2019a; Huang *et al.*, 2012) as indoor condition can possess influence over the occupants' well-being and performance (Frontczak *et al.*, 2012; Frontczak and Wargocki, 2011). Poor IEQ, such as unsuitable temperature, improper lighting, disturbing noise and poor indoor air quality, will cause Sick Building Syndrome (SBS) (Fisk, 2000), including headaches, malaise, throat dryness, cough and so on (Jafari *et al.*, 2015) that will affect the productivity of the occupants (Arif *et al.*, 2016). Occupants may take sick leave or long break, complain, and make mistake at work due to the poor indoor environment (Azuma *et al.*, 2018; Mahbob *et al.*, 2011).

In addition, SBS will greatly affect job satisfaction, job stress and performance (Norhidayah *et al.*, 2013). Such symptoms become more apparent when people stay in the buildings for longer time and start to disappear when people leave the buildings (Norhidayah *et al.*, 2013; Wong *et al.*, 2009). Lack of immediate mitigation for the issues of poor IEQ can lead to adverse problems to human health (Norhidayah *et al.*, 2013). Moreover, the negative stress could potentially cause both physical and mental health issues in short-term and long-term (Bluyssen *et al.*, 2011). Thus, a comfortable indoor environmental condition is needed as a support to occupants' activities (van der Linden *et al.*, 2002).

Poor indoor environment will also cause human discomfort which results in decrease in productivity (Huang *et al.*, 2012). According to World Green Building Council (2016), productivity will decrease by 6 % in hot condition and 4 % in cold condition. Moreover, employees will tend to have additional 46 minutes of sleep when they are near windows. Furthermore, productivity will decrease by 66 % if disturbing noise exists. A study by Soewardi *et al.* (2016) measured the temperature, lighting and noise in office buildings, which found that the temperature is 31.2 °C, lighting level is



111 lux and noise level is 89.3 dB. In addition to that, by reducing temperature to 25.4 °C, noise level to 85.6 dB and enhancing lighting level to 210.5 lux, overall productivity can be improved by 14 %.

70-80 % of occupants are uncomfortable in lowest-rated buildings, in some cases it can reach 100 %. There are even 5-10 % occupants who feel uncomfortable in the highest-rated buildings (Leaman and Bordass, 2007). In addition, it is not surprising to have 30-40 % of occupants who are dissatisfied with the indoor environment (Leaman and Bordass, 2007). There is even limited evidence which proves that occupants' comforts are well addressed in environmentally-concerned buildings (Zhang and Altan, 2011; Paul and Taylor, 2008). There is even a number of employees who are uncomfortable in modern buildings (Abdou *et al.*, 2006).

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

According to United Nations (2020), the current population is about 7.7 billion and it is estimated to increase by 2 billion in 2050. At present, there are 55 % of the population living in cities and it is estimated that there will be 68 % of population living in cities by 2050. The increasing growth in world's population results in increasing urban density of buildings, therefore, the features of indoor environments are more and more depending on artificial systems to satisfy the building occupants (Rupp *et al.*, 2015).

Previous researches have proven the relationship between the occupants' individual control over the indoor environmental conditions and productivity (Park *et al.*, 2018; Roelofsen, 2002; Menzies *et al.*, 1997). Yet, in fact, these kinds of rooms tend to disappear at present due to the increasing popularity of open space workplaces, where the occupants are unable to adjust the comfort level to their preference (Leaman and Bordass, 2007).

More and more complaints regarding the health issues due to staying in artificially ventilated buildings, especially in work environment, for a long time have

been recorded (Li *et al.*, 2020; de Magalhães Rios *et al.*, 2009). The reason might be the physical and chemical exposures in workplace (de Magalhães Rios *et al.*, 2009). In addition, conventional studies were conducted to address the IEQ separately (Mahdavi *et al.*, 2020; Wong *et al.*, 2008). Until now, designers still address the IEQ separately for the design in many offices (Mahdavi *et al.*, 2020; Wong *et al.*, 2008).

Since different people have different tolerance level of indoor environment condition, same indoor environment condition could result in various kinds of subjective responses (Tsang *et al.*, 2020; Bluysen *et al.*, 2011; Frontczak and Wargocki, 2011). Although standards have been developed to define the acceptable range of IEQ (Frontczak and Wargocki, 2011), it is common situation that building occupants are still not satisfied with the indoor environmental conditions even though the technical measurement results show that the present standards are fulfilled (Kang *et al.*, 2017; Abdou *et al.*, 2006).

Compared to other countries, study with respect of IEQ in working environment in Malaysia is limited (Mahbob *et al.*, 2011). More research is required for the optimisation of standards (Kamaruzzaman *et al.*, 2011). It is necessary to ensure IEQ conditions are in desired condition in order to create a healthy environment that improves performances and well-being of building occupants (Al Horr *et al.*, 2016; Oral *et al.*, 2004). From previous studies, it can be inferred that indoor environment is essential to human comfort. However, what is unknown is whether building users feel comfortable with the indoor environmental conditions. Therefore, it is necessary to carry out reassessment for measurement and standards (Park *et al.*, 2018; Abdou *et al.*, 2006).

### **1.3 Research Questions**

The research seeks to answer the following questions:

- (a) Are the building users comfortable and satisfied with the IEQ conditions in the office buildings?
- (b) What are the IEQ conditions in the office buildings?
- (c) Does the IEQ conditions meet the IEQ standard guidelines in the office buildings?

### **1.4 Aim of Research**

The aim of the research is to study the indoor environmental quality (IEQ) for human comfort in office buildings. This is very important as many previous researches revealed that a lot of people are dissatisfied with the indoor environmental conditions of buildings even though the conditions meet the required IEQ standard guidelines.

### **1.5 Objectives of Research**

In order to achieve the aim of the research, the research intends to attain the following objectives:

- (a) To investigate the building users' human comfort perception of IEQ in terms of thermal comfort, visual comfort, acoustic comfort and indoor air quality in two office buildings.
- (b) To conduct IEQ in-situ measurement in terms of thermal, visual, acoustic and indoor air quality conditions in two office buildings.
- (c) To analyse the IEQ condition with IEQ standard guidelines.

## **1.6 Scope of the Study**

This study focused on thermal comfort, visual comfort, acoustic comfort and indoor air quality. The two office buildings which were selected as case studies are located in Eco Botanic and Bandar Putra Kulai, Johor respectively. The respondents in this study were the building users in the two selected office buildings. In addition, the personal factors of the respondents are not considered in the analysis of comfort level and satisfaction level. Furthermore, this study reviewed two IEQ standard guidelines which are Malaysia Standard MS 1525:2014 and British/European Standard BS EN 15251:2007.

## **1.7 Significance of the Study**

The research is highly significant as the IEQ, which consists of thermal, visual and acoustic comfort as well as indoor air quality, directly influences the human comfort and health (Al Horr *et al.*, 2016; De Giuli *et al.*, 2012). Indoor contaminants are more hazardous to human well-being compared to outdoor contaminants (Jones, 1999). High IEQ is needed to ensure the healthiest environment possible (Jones, 1999). Previous studies have proven the relation between illness and IEQ, which revealed that some of the illness may not appear in the short-term but could result in severe issues in the long-term, for instance, musculoskeletal and mental health problems, cardiovascular disease, coronary heart diseases, asthma-related issues and obesity (Abdulaali *et al.*, 2020; Azuma *et al.*, 2018; Jaakkola *et al.*, 2013; Houtman *et al.*, 2008).

Apart from that, studies have shown that the productivity of occupants can be maximised when their satisfactions with the comfort are the highest (Humphreys and Nicol, 2007; Leaman and Bordass, 2007). Roelofsen (2002) pointed out that improvement of the indoor environment can generally lead to an increase of productivity by 10 %. Evidence has shown that there is less chance for requesting higher salary when they feel comfortable in working environment and the employees

are more likely to decline job offers with higher salary when they notice the less comfort in new workplaces (Abdou *et al.*, 2006).

Investigation of human discomfort is highly needed (Leaman and Bordass, 2007) and high protection against the adverse health problems as a result of poor IEQ must be done (Kosonen and Tan, 2004). It is highly significant to ensure the desired thermal, visual, acoustic and indoor air quality conditions (Al Horr *et al.*, 2016; Oral *et al.*, 2004). Therefore, there is a necessity to carry out reassessment for measurement and standards (Abdou *et al.*, 2006).

## REFERENCES

- Abdou, O. A., Kholy, G. M., & Abdou, A. A. (2006, September). Correlation between indoor environmental quality and productivity in buildings. In *The 19th IAPS Conference, Alexandria, Egypt* (Vol. 732).
- Abdulaali, H., Usman, I., Hanafiah, M., Abdulhasan, M., Hamzah, M., & Nazal, A. (2020). Impact of poor Indoor Environmental Quality (IEQ) to Inhabitants' Health, Wellbeing and Satisfaction. *pp. xx-xx, 1(01)*.
- Abouleish, M. Y. Z. (2020). Indoor Air Quality and Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19). *Public Health*.
- Aflaki, A., Mahyuddin, N., Mahmoud, Z. A. C., & Baharum, M. R. (2015). A review on natural ventilation applications through building façade components and ventilation openings in tropical climates. *Energy and Buildings, 101*, 153-162.
- Afshari, R. (2020). Indoor air quality and severity of COVID-19: where communicable and non-communicable preventive measures meet. *Asia Pacific Journal of Medical Toxicology, 9(1)*, 1-2.
- Al Horr, Y., Arif, M., Kaushik, A., Mazroei, A., Kafatygiotou, M., & Elsarrag, E. (2016). Occupant productivity and office indoor environment quality: A review of the literature. *Building and environment, 105*, 369-389.
- Ali, A. S., Chua, S. J. L., & Lim, M. E. L. (2015). The effect of physical environment comfort on employees' performance in office buildings. *Structural Survey*.
- Al-Obaidi, K. M., Ismail, M., & Rahman, A. M. A. (2014). A study of the impact of environmental loads that penetrate a passive skylight roofing system in Malaysian buildings. *Frontiers of Architectural Research, 3(2)*, 178-191.
- Alsmo, T., & Alsmo, C. (2016). A Comparison of Relative Humidity between Two Swedish Buildings with Different Ventilation Solutions. *Journal of Environmental Protection, 7(6)*, 855-873.
- Alwetaishi, M. S. (2016). Impact of building function on thermal comfort: A review paper. *Am. J. Eng. Applied Sci, 9*, 928-945.
- ANSI/ASHRAE. (2017). *ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 55-2017. Thermal Environmental Conditions for Human Occupancy*.

- ANSI/ASHRAE. (2019). *ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 62.1-2019. Ventilation For Acceptable Indoor Air Quality.*
- Aries, M. B. C. (2005). Human Lighting Demands, healthy lighting in an office environment. Technische Universiteit Eindhoven 2005.
- Arif, M., Katafygiotou, M., Mazroei, A., Kaushik, A., & Elsarrag, E. (2016). Impact of indoor environmental quality on occupant well-being and comfort: A review of the literature. *International Journal of Sustainable Built Environment*, 5(1), 1-11.
- ASNI/ASHRAE. (2004). *Thermal environmental conditions for human occupancy* (Vol. 55, No. 2004). American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers.
- Ayr, U., Cirillo, E., & Martellotta, F. (2001). An experimental study on noise indices in air conditioned offices. *Applied Acoustics*, 62(6), 633-643.
- Azuma, K., Kagi, N., Yanagi, U., & Osawa, H. (2018). Effects of low-level inhalation exposure to carbon dioxide in indoor environments: A short review on human health and psychomotor performance. *Environment international*, 121, 51-56.
- Banbury, S. P., & Berry, D. C. (2005). Office noise and employee concentration: Identifying causes of disruption and potential improvements. *Ergonomics*, 48(1), 25-37.
- Bluyssen, P. M., Aries, M., & van Dommelen, P. (2011). Comfort of workers in office buildings: The European HOPE project. *Building and Environment*, 46(1), 280-288.
- Boubekri, M., & Boyer, L. L. (1992). Effect of window size and sunlight presence on glare. *Lighting Research & Technology*, 24(2), 69-74.
- Boyce, P. R., Veitch, J. A., Newsham, G. R., Jones, C. C., Heerwagen, J., Myer, M., & Hunter, C. M. (2006). Occupant use of switching and dimming controls in offices. *Lighting Research & Technology*, 38(4), 358-376.
- Bradley, J. S., & Gover, B. N. (2004, August). Criteria for acoustic comfort in open-plan offices. In *Proceedings of Inter-Noise, the 33rd International Congress and Exposition on Noise Control Engineering* (pp. 1-6). Prague: Czech Republic.
- British Standards Institute. (2007). *BS EN 15251:2007. Indoor environmental input parameters for design and assessment of energy performance of buildings addressing indoor air quality, thermal environment, lighting and acoustics.*

- British Standards Institute. (2014). *BS 8233:2014. Guidance on sound insulation and noise reduction for buildings.*
- Browning, W. D., & Romm, J. J. (1995). Greening the bottom line: Increasing productivity through energy-efficient design. *NIST special publication*, (888), 1-8.
- Browning, W. D., & Romm, J. J. (1998). Greening the building and the bottom line: Increasing productivity through energy-efficient design.
- Cândido, C., De Dear, R. J., Lamberts, R., & Bittencourt, L. (2010). Air movement acceptability limits and thermal comfort in Brazil's hot humid climate zone. *Building and environment*, 45(1), 222-229.
- Cândido, C., de Dear, R., & Lamberts, R. (2011). Combined thermal acceptability and air movement assessments in a hot humid climate. *Building and Environment*, 46(2), 379-385.
- Cao, B., Zhu, Y., Ouyang, Q., Zhou, X., & Huang, L. (2011). Field study of human thermal comfort and thermal adaptability during the summer and winter in Beijing. *Energy and Buildings*, 43(5), 1051-1056.
- Carlucci, S., Causone, F., De Rosa, F., & Pagliano, L. (2015). A review of indices for assessing visual comfort with a view to their use in optimization processes to support building integrated design. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, 47, 1016-1033.
- Chiang, C. M., & Lai, C. M. (2002). A study on the comprehensive indicator of indoor environment assessment for occupants' health in Taiwan. *Building and Environment*, 37(4), 387-392.
- Clarissa, C. & Jo, T. (2019, August 12). More hotter days now compared to 10 years ago. *The Star*.
- Concha-Barrientos, M., Steenland, K., Prüss-Üstün, A., Campbell-Lendrum, D. H., Corvalán, C. F., Woodward, A., & World Health Organization. (2004). *Occupational noise: assessing the burden of disease from work-related hearing impairment at national and local levels*. World Health Organization.
- Connelly, L. M. (2008). Pilot studies. *Medsurg Nursing*, 17(6), 411.
- Cui, W., Cao, G., Park, J. H., Ouyang, Q., & Zhu, Y. (2013). Influence of indoor air temperature on human thermal comfort, motivation and performance. *Building and environment*, 68, 114-122.



- Daghigh, R. (2015). Assessing the thermal comfort and ventilation in Malaysia and the surrounding regions. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, 48, 681-691.
- Daisey, J. M., Angell, W. J., & Apte, M. G. (2003). Indoor air quality, ventilation and health symptoms in schools: an analysis of existing information. *Indoor air*, 13(LBNL-48287).
- Damiati, S. A., Zaki, S. A., Rijal, H. B., & Wonorahardjo, S. (2016). Field study on adaptive thermal comfort in office buildings in Malaysia, Indonesia, Singapore, and Japan during hot and humid season. *Building and Environment*, 109, 208-223.
- De Dear, R. J., & Brager, G. S. (2002). Thermal comfort in naturally ventilated buildings: revisions to ASHRAE Standard 55. *Energy and buildings*, 34(6), 549-561.
- De Giuli, V., Da Pos, O., & De Carli, M. (2012). Indoor environmental quality and pupil perception in Italian primary schools. *Building and Environment*, 56, 335-345.
- de Magalhães Rios, J. L., Boechat, J. L., Gioda, A., dos Santos, C. Y., de Aquino Neto, F. R., & e Silva, J. R. L. (2009). Symptoms prevalence among office workers of a sealed versus a non-sealed building: associations to indoor air quality. *Environment international*, 35(8), 1136-1141.
- De Vecchi, R., Candido, C., De Dear, R., & Lamberts, R. (2017). Thermal comfort in office buildings: Findings from a field study in mixed-mode and fully-air conditioning environments under humid subtropical conditions. *Building and Environment*, 123, 672-683.
- Department of Environment and Science, Queensland, Australia. (2020). *Noise Measurement Manual*.
- Department of Environment, Parks, Heritage and the Arts, Australia. (2008). *Noise Measurement Procedures Manual*.
- Department of Occupational Safety and Health. (2010). *Industry Code of Practice on Indoor Air Quality 2010*.
- Department of Occupational Safety and Health. (2018). *Guidelines on Occupational Safety and Health for Lighting at Workplace*.

- Department of Standards Malaysia. (2014). *MS 1525:2014 Energy Efficiency and Use of Renewable Energy for Non-residential Buildings - Code of Practice (Second Revision)*.
- Di Blasio, S., Vannelli, G., Shtrepi, L., Masoero, M. C., & Astolfi, A. (2018). A subjective investigation on the impact of irrelevant speech noise on health, well-being and productivity in open-plan offices. In *Proceedings of the Euronoise* (pp. 1883-1890).
- Dietz, L., Horve, P. F., Coil, D. A., Fretz, M., Eisen, J. A., & Van Den Wymelenberg, K. (2020). 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic: Built environment considerations to reduce transmission. *Msystems*, 5(2).
- Djongyang, N., Tchinda, R., & Njomo, D. (2010). Thermal comfort: A review paper. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, 14(9), 2626-2640.
- Djukanovic, R., Wargocki, P., & Fanger, P. O. (2002). Cost-benefit analysis of improved air quality in an office building. In *Proceedings of Indoor Air 2002* (Vol. 1, pp. 808-813).
- Duffy, J. F., & Wright Jr, K. P. (2005). Entrainment of the human circadian system by light. *Journal of biological rhythms*, 20(4), 326-338.
- Edwards, L., & Torcellini, P. (2002). *Literature review of the effects of natural light on building occupants* (No. NREL/TP-550-30769). National Renewable Energy Lab., Golden, CO.(US).
- Elzeyadi, I. (2002, June). Designing for Indoor Comfort: A systemic model for assessing occupant comfort in sustainable office buildings. In *Proceedings Of The Solar Conference* (pp. 485-498). AMERICAN SOLAR ENERGY SOCIETY; AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF ARCHITECTS.
- EN, B. (2011). Light and lighting. Basic terms and criteria for specifying lighting requirements.
- Environment Agency, UK. (2004). *Horizontal Guidance for Noise: Part 2 – Noise Assessment and Control*.
- Fanger, P. O. (1970). Thermal comfort. Analysis and applications in environmental engineering. *Thermal comfort. Analysis and applications in environmental engineering*.
- Fineberg, H. V., & National Research Council. (2020). Rapid expert consultation on the possibility of bioaerosol spread of SARS-CoV-2 for the COVID-19

- pandemic (April 1, 2020). In *The National Academies Press NRC*. The National Academies Press, National Research Council, Washington, DC.
- Fisk, W. J. (2000). Health and productivity gains from better indoor environments and their relationship with building energy efficiency. *Annual review of energy and the environment*, 25(1), 537-566.
- Fountain, M., & Arens, E. A. (1993). Air movement and thermal comfort. *ASHRAE journal*, 35(8), 26-30.
- Freihoefer, K., Guerin, D., Martin, C., Kim, H. Y., & Brigham, J. K. (2015). Occupants' satisfaction with, and physical readings of, thermal, acoustic, and lighting conditions of sustainable office workspaces. *Indoor and Built Environment*, 24(4), 457-472.
- Frontczak, M., & Wargocki, P. (2011). Literature survey on how different factors influence human comfort in indoor environments. *Building and environment*, 46(4), 922-937.
- Frontczak, M., Andersen, R. V., & Wargocki, P. (2012). Questionnaire survey on factors influencing comfort with indoor environmental quality in Danish housing. *Building and Environment*, 50, 56-64.
- Galasiu, A. D., & Veitch, J. A. (2006). Occupant preferences and satisfaction with the luminous environment and control systems in daylit offices: a literature review. *Energy and buildings*, 38(7), 728-742.
- García-Mainar, I., Montuenga, V. M., & Navarro-Paniagua, M. (2015). Workplace environmental conditions and life satisfaction in Spain. *Ecological Economics*, 119, 136-146.
- Garretón, J. Y., Rodriguez, R., & Pattini, A. (2016). Effects of perceived indoor temperature on daylight glare perception. *Building Research & Information*, 44(8), 907-919.
- Gavhed, D., & Klasson, L. (2005). Perceived problems and discomfort at low air humidity among office workers. *Volume*, 3, 225-230.
- Gene-Harn, L., Keumala, N. I. M., & Ghafar, N. A. (2016). Office Occupants' Mood and Preference of Task Ambient Lighting in the Tropics. In *MATEC Web of Conferences* (Vol. 66, p. 00031). EDP Sciences.
- Geng, Y., Ji, W., Lin, B., & Zhu, Y. (2017). The impact of thermal environment on occupant IEQ perception and productivity. *Building and Environment*, 121, 158-167.

- Geng, Y., Lin, B., Yu, J., Zhou, H., Ji, W., Chen, H., Zhang, Z. & Zhu, Y. (2019). Indoor environmental quality of green office buildings in China: Large-scale and long-term measurement. *Building and Environment*, *150*, 266-280.
- Gong, N., Tham, K. W., Melikov, A. K., Wyon, D. P., Sekhar, S. C., & Cheong, K. W. (2006). The acceptable air velocity range for local air movement in the tropics. *Hvac&r Research*, *12*(4), 1065-1076.
- Haapakangas, A., Helenius, R., Keskinen, E., & Hongisto, V. (2008, July). Perceived acoustic environment, work performance and well-being—survey results from Finnish offices. In *9th International congress on noise as a public health problem (ICBEN)* (Vol. 18, No. 8, pp. 21-25).
- Haneda, M., Wargocki, P., Dalewski, M., & Tanabe, S. I. (2009). The effects of thermal discomfort on task performance, fatigue and mental work load examined in a subjective experiment. In *Proceedings of Healthy Buildings*.
- Hensen, J. L. M. (1991). *On the thermal interaction of building structure and heating and ventilating system*. Technische Universiteit Eindhoven.
- Höppe, P. (2002). Different aspects of assessing indoor and outdoor thermal comfort. *Energy and buildings*, *34*(6), 661-665.
- Houtman, I., Douwes, M., Jong, T. D., Meeuwssen, J. M., Jongen, M., Brekelmans, F., Nieboer-Op de Weegh, M., Brouwer, D., Bossche, S., Zwetsloot, G., & Reinert, D. (2008). *New forms of physical and psychosocial health risks at work*. European Parliament.
- Huang, L., Ouyang, Q., Zhu, Y., & Jiang, L. (2013). A study about the demand for air movement in warm environment. *Building and Environment*, *61*, 27-33.
- Huang, L., Zhu, Y., Ouyang, Q., & Cao, B. (2012). A study on the effects of thermal, luminous, and acoustic environments on indoor environmental comfort in offices. *Building and Environment*, *49*, 304-309.
- Hummelgaard, J., Juhl, P., Sæbjörnsson, K. O., Clausen, G., Toftum, J., & Langkilde, G. (2007). Indoor air quality and occupant satisfaction in five mechanically and four naturally ventilated open-plan office buildings. *Building and Environment*, *42*(12), 4051-4058.
- Humphreys, M. A., & Nicol, J. F. (2007). Self-assessed productivity and the office environment: monthly surveys in five European countries. *ASHRAE transactions*, *113*, 606.

- Hussein, I., Rahman, M. H. A., & Maria, T. (2009, December). Field studies on thermal comfort of air-conditioned and non air-conditioned buildings in Malaysia. In *2009 3rd International Conference on Energy and Environment (ICEE)* (pp. 360-368). IEEE.
- Hygge, S. & Löfberg, H. A. (1999). Post occupancy evaluation of daylight in buildings. *Report: IEA SHC TASK, 21*.
- Iacomussi, P., Radis, M., Rossi, G., & Rossi, L. (2015). Visual comfort with LED lighting. *Energy Procedia, 78*, 729-734.
- Ismail, N., & Rahmat, S. B. (2018). Overview of occupational noise management in Malaysia. *International Journal of Allied Health Sciences, 2*(3), 445-458.
- Jaakkola, M. S., Quansah, R., Hugg, T. T., Heikkinen, S. A., & Jaakkola, J. J. (2013). Association of indoor dampness and molds with rhinitis risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology, 132*(5), 1099-1110.
- Jafari, M. J., Khajevandi, A. A., Najarkola, S. A. M., Yekaninejad, M. S., Pourhoseingholi, M. A., Omid, L., & Kalantary, S. (2015). Association of sick building syndrome with indoor air parameters. *Tanaffos, 14*(1), 55.
- Jakubiec, J. A., & Reinhart, C. F. (2016). A concept for predicting occupants' long-term visual comfort within daylight spaces. *Leukos, 12*(4), 185-202.
- Jensen, K., & Arens, E. (2005). Acoustical quality in office workstations, as assessed by occupant surveys.
- Jin, L., Zhang, Y., & Zhang, Z. (2017). Human responses to high humidity in elevated temperatures for people in hot-humid climates. *Building and Environment, 114*, 257-266.
- Jones, A. P. (1999). Indoor air quality and health. *Atmospheric environment, 33*(28), 4535-4564.
- Kaarlela-Tuomaala, A., Helenius, R., Keskinen, E., & Hongisto, V. (2009). Effects of acoustic environment on work in private office rooms and open-plan offices—longitudinal study during relocation. *Ergonomics, 52*(11), 1423-1444.
- Kamaruzzaman, S. N., & Sabrani, N. A. (2011). The effect of indoor air quality (IAQ) towards occupants' psychological performance in office buildings. *Journal Design+ Built, 4*(1), 49-61.
- Kamaruzzaman, S. N., Egbu, C. O., Zawawi, E. M. A., Ali, A. S., & Che-Ani, A. I. (2011). The effect of indoor environmental quality on occupants' perception of

- performance: A case study of refurbished historic buildings in Malaysia. *Energy and Buildings*, 43(2-3), 407-413.
- Kang, S., Ou, D., & Mak, C. M. (2017). The impact of indoor environmental quality on work productivity in university open-plan research offices. *Building and Environment*, 124, 78-89.
- Kim, J., & de Dear, R. (2012). Nonlinear relationships between individual IEQ factors and overall workspace satisfaction. *Building and Environment*, 49, 33-40.
- Kong, Z., Utzinger, D. M., Freihoefer, K., & Steege, T. (2018). The impact of interior design on visual discomfort reduction: A field study integrating lighting environments with POE survey. *Building and Environment*, 138, 135-148.
- Kosonen, R., & Tan, F. (2004). The effect of perceived indoor air quality on productivity loss. *Energy and Buildings*, 36(10), 981-986.
- Kwak, S. G., & Kim, J. H. (2017). Central limit theorem: the cornerstone of modern statistics. *Korean journal of anesthesiology*, 70(2), 144.
- Lan, L., Lian, Z., Pan, L., & Ye, Q. (2009). Neurobehavioral approach for evaluation of office workers' productivity: The effects of room temperature. *Building and Environment*, 44(8), 1578-1588.
- Lan, L., Wargocki, P., & Lian, Z. (2011a). Quantitative measurement of productivity loss due to thermal discomfort. *Energy and Buildings*, 43(5), 1057-1062.
- Lan, L., Wargocki, P., Wyon, D. P., & Lian, Z. (2011b). Effects of thermal discomfort in an office on perceived air quality, SBS symptoms, physiological responses, and human performance. *Indoor air*, 21(5), 376-390.
- Leaman, A., & Bordass, B. (2001). Assessing building performance in use 4: the Probe occupant surveys and their implications. *Building Research & Information*, 29(2), 129-143.
- Leaman, A., & Bordass, B. (2007). Are users more tolerant of 'green' buildings?. *Building Research & Information*, 35(6), 662-673.
- Lee, J. Y., Wargocki, P., Chan, Y. H., Chen, L., & Tham, K. W. (2019). Indoor environmental quality, occupant satisfaction, and acute building-related health symptoms in Green Mark-certified compared with non-certified office buildings. *Indoor air*, 29(1), 112-129.
- Lee, J. Y., Wargocki, P., Chan, Y. H., Chen, L., & Tham, K. W. (2020). How does indoor environmental quality in green refurbished office buildings compare

- with the one in new certified buildings?. *Building and Environment*, 171, 106677.
- Lee, S. Y., & Brand, J. L. (2005). Effects of control over office workspace on perceptions of the work environment and work outcomes. *Journal of environmental psychology*, 25(3), 323-333.
- Lee, Y. S. (2010). Office layout affecting privacy, interaction, and acoustic quality in LEED-certified buildings. *Building and Environment*, 45(7), 1594-1600.
- Leslie, R. P. (2003). Capturing the daylight dividend in buildings: why and how?. *Building and environment*, 38(2), 381-385.
- Levin, H. (2003, December). Designing for people: what do building occupants really want. In *Healthy buildings* (pp. 1-18).
- Li, B., Du, C., Tan, M., Liu, H., Essah, E., & Yao, R. (2018). A modified method of evaluating the impact of air humidity on human acceptable air temperatures in hot-humid environments. *Energy and Buildings*, 158, 393-405.
- Li, D. H. (2010). A review of daylight illuminance determinations and energy implications. *Applied Energy*, 87(7), 2109-2118.
- Li, D., Menassa, C., & Kamat, V. (2020). Is There a Better Way to Control Room Temperature? Office occupants often complain of being too hot or too cold, but new uses of technology could help defuse the thermostat wars. *American Scientist*, 108(3), 158-162.
- Li, Y., Leung, G. M., Tang, J. W., Yang, X., Chao, C. Y., Lin, J. Z., Lu, J. W., Nielsen, P. V., Niu, J., Qian, H., & Sleigh, A. C. (2007). Role of ventilation in airborne transmission of infectious agents in the built environment—a multidisciplinary systematic review. *Indoor air*, 17(1), 2-18.
- Lin, Z., & Deng, S. (2008). A study on the thermal comfort in sleeping environments in the subtropics—developing a thermal comfort model for sleeping environments. *Building and environment*, 43(1), 70-81.
- Lou, H., & Ou, D. (2019). A comparative field study of indoor environmental quality in two types of open-plan offices: Open-plan administrative offices and open-plan research offices. *Building and Environment*, 148, 394-404.
- Lstiburek, J. (2002). Relative humidity. *Building Science Corporation online*, [www.buildingscience.com/resources/moisture/relative\\_humidity\\_0402.pdf](http://www.buildingscience.com/resources/moisture/relative_humidity_0402.pdf), dated April.

- Mahbob, N. S., Kamaruzzaman, S. N., Salleh, N., & Sulaiman, R. (2011). A correlation studies of indoor environmental quality (IEQ) towards productive workplace.
- Mahdavi, A., Berger, C., Bochukova, V., Bourikas, L., Hellwig, R. T., Jin, Q., Pisello, A. L. & Schweiker, M. (2020). Necessary conditions for multi-domain indoor environmental quality standards. *Sustainability*, *12*(20), 8439.
- Mannan, F., Sharma, S., Hoque, K. E., & Veeriah, J. (2017). Predictive validity of gender and experience of teachers into Malaysian women principal's instructional leadership practices. *MOJEM: Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Management*, *4*(3), 52-67.
- Maykot, J. K., Rupp, R. F., & Ghisi, E. (2018). A field study about gender and thermal comfort temperatures in office buildings. *Energy and Buildings*, *178*, 254-264.
- Memon, R. A., Chirarattananon, S., & Vangtook, P. (2008). Thermal comfort assessment and application of radiant cooling: a case study. *Building and environment*, *43*(7), 1185-1196.
- Menzies, D., Pasztor, J., Nunes, F., Leduc, J., & Chan, C. H. (1997). Effect of a new ventilation system on health and well-being of office workers. *Archives of Environmental Health: An International Journal*, *52*(5), 360-367.
- Menzies, G. F., & Wherrett, J. R. (2005). Windows in the workplace: examining issues of environmental sustainability and occupant comfort in the selection of multi-glazed windows. *Energy and buildings*, *37*(6), 623-630.
- Moore, T., Carter, D. J., & Slater, A. I. (2002). A field study of occupant controlled lighting in offices. *Lighting Research & Technology*, *34*(3), 191-202.
- Morawska, L., & Cao, J. (2020). Airborne transmission of SARS-CoV-2: The world should face the reality. *Environment International*, 105730.
- Morawska, L., & Milton, D. K. (2020). It is time to address airborne transmission of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, *71*(9), 2311-2313.
- Morawska, L., Tang, J. W., Bahnfleth, W., Bluysen, P. M., Boerstra, A., Buonanno, G., Cao, J., Dancer, S., Floto, A., Querol, X., & Wierzbicka, A. (2020). How can airborne transmission of COVID-19 indoors be minimised?.
- Mui, K. W., & Wong, L. T. (2007). Neutral temperature in subtropical climates—a field survey in air-conditioned offices. *Building and Environment*, *42*(2), 699-706.



- Mujan, I., Anđelković, A. S., Munćan, V., Kljajić, M., & Ružić, D. (2019). Influence of indoor environmental quality on human health and productivity-A review. *Journal of cleaner production*, 217, 646-657.
- Navai, M., & Veitch, J. A. (2003). Acoustic satisfaction in open-plan offices: review and recommendations.
- Newsham, G. R., & Veitch, J. A. (2001). Lighting quality recommendations for VDT offices: A new method of derivation. *Lighting Research & Technology*, 33(2), 97-113.
- Nicol, J. F., & Humphreys, M. A. (2002). Adaptive thermal comfort and sustainable thermal standards for buildings. *Energy and buildings*, 34(6), 563-572.
- Norhidayah, A., Chia-Kuang, L., Azhar, M. K., & Nurulwahida, S. (2013). Indoor air quality and sick building syndrome in three selected buildings. *Procedia Engineering*, 53(0), 93-98.
- Oral, G. K., Yener, A. K., & Bayazit, N. T. (2004). Building envelope design with the objective to ensure thermal, visual and acoustic comfort conditions. *Building and Environment*, 39(3), 281-287.
- Park, J., Loftness, V., & Aziz, A. (2018). Post-occupancy evaluation and IEQ measurements from 64 office buildings: Critical factors and thresholds for user satisfaction on thermal quality. *Buildings*, 8(11), 156.
- Paul, W. L., & Taylor, P. A. (2008). A comparison of occupant comfort and satisfaction between a green building and a conventional building. *Building and environment*, 43(11), 1858-1870.
- Pei, Z., Lin, B., Liu, Y., & Zhu, Y. (2015). Comparative study on the indoor environment quality of green office buildings in China with a long-term field measurement and investigation. *Building and Environment*, 84, 80-88.
- Pellerin, N., & Candas, V. (2003). Combined effects of temperature and noise on human discomfort. *Physiology & Behavior*, 78(1), 99-106.
- Pierrette, M., Parizet, E., Chevret, P., & Chatillon, J. (2015). Noise effect on comfort in open-space offices: development of an assessment questionnaire. *Ergonomics*, 58(1), 96-106.
- Pierson, C. (2019). *Discomfort glare perception from daylight: influence of the socio-environmental context* (Doctoral dissertation, UCL-Université Catholique de Louvain).

- Rodriguez, R. G., & Pattini, A. (2014). Tolerance of discomfort glare from a large area source for work on a visual display. *Lighting Research & Technology*, *46*(2), 157-170.
- Roelofsen, P. (2002). The impact of office environments on employee performance: The design of the workplace as a strategy for productivity enhancement. *Journal of facilities Management*, *1*(3), 247-264.
- Rupp, R. F., Vásquez, N. G., & Lamberts, R. (2015). A review of human thermal comfort in the built environment. *Energy and Buildings*, *105*, 178-205.
- Salt, A. N., & Kaltenbach, J. A. (2011). Infrasound from wind turbines could affect humans. *Bulletin of Science, Technology & Society*, *31*(4), 296-302.
- Salter, C., Powell, K., Begault, D., & Alvarado, R. (2003). Case studies of a method for predicting speech privacy in the contemporary workplace.
- Seppänen, O. A., & Fisk, W. (2006). Some quantitative relations between indoor environmental quality and work performance or health. *Hvac&R Research*, *12*(4), 957-973.
- Seppanen, O., & Fisk, W. J. (2001). Association of ventilation system type with SBS symptoms in office workers.
- Seppanen, O., Fisk, W. J., & Faulkner, D. (2003). Cost benefit analysis of the night-time ventilative cooling in office building.
- Soewardi, H., Dila, A., & Rizkiningtias, P. (2016, September). Development of working environment comfort to improve productivity. In *2016 IEEE International Conference on Knowledge Engineering and Applications (ICKEA)* (pp. 177-180). IEEE.
- Sterling, T. D., Collett, C., & Rumel, D. (1991). The epidemiology of " sick buildings". *Revista de Saúde Pública*, *25*(1), 56-63.
- Tanabe, S. I., Iwahashi, Y., Tsushima, S., & Nishihara, N. (2013). Thermal comfort and productivity in offices under mandatory electricity savings after the Great East Japan earthquake. *Architectural Science Review*, *56*(1), 4-13.
- Tanabe, S., & Nishihara, N. (2004). Productivity and fatigue. *Indoor Air*, *14*, 126-133.
- Tang, S. K., & Wong, C. T. (1998). Performance of noise indices in office environment dominated by noise from human speech. *Applied Acoustics*, *55*(4), 293-305.
- Tang, S. K., & Wong, M. Y. (2004). On noise indices for domestic air conditioners. *Journal of sound and vibration*, *274*(1-2), 1-12.

- Tavakol, M., & Dennick, R. (2011). Making sense of Cronbach's alpha. *International journal of medical education*, 2, 53.
- Teoh, P. Y. (2020, May 16). Important to ensure good indoor air quality. *New Straits Times*.
- Toe, D. H. C., & Kubota, T. (2013). Development of an adaptive thermal comfort equation for naturally ventilated buildings in hot-humid climates using ASHRAE RP-884 database. *Frontiers of Architectural Research*, 2(3), 278-291.
- Tsang, T. W., Mui, K. W., Wong, L. T., & Yu, W. (2020). Bayesian updates for indoor environmental quality (IEQ) acceptance model for residential buildings. *Intelligent Buildings International*, 1-16.
- Tsutsumi, H., Tanabe, S. I., Harigaya, J., Iguchi, Y., & Nakamura, G. (2007). Effect of humidity on human comfort and productivity after step changes from warm and humid environment. *Building and Environment*, 42(12), 4034-4042.
- United Nations. (2020). *Populations*. Retrieved from [www.un.org](http://www.un.org), accessed 24 February 2020.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. *Indoor Air Quality (IAQ)*, Retrieved from <https://www.epa.gov/indoor-air-quality-iaq/introduction-indoor-air-quality>, viewed 20 July 2020.
- Valančius, R., & Jurelionis, A. (2013). Influence of indoor air temperature variation on office work performance. *Journal of Environmental Engineering and Landscape Management*, 21(1), 19-25.
- Van Den Wymelenberg, K., & Inanici, M. (2014). A critical investigation of common lighting design metrics for predicting human visual comfort in offices with daylight. *Leukos*, 10(3), 145-164.
- Van Den Wymelenberg, K., & Inanici, M. (2016). Evaluating a new suite of luminance-based design metrics for predicting human visual comfort in offices with daylight. *Leukos*, 12(3), 113-138.
- Van Den Wymelenberg, K., Inanici, M., & Johnson, P. (2010). The effect of luminance distribution patterns on occupant preference in a daylit office environment. *Leukos*, 7(2), 103-122.
- van der Linden, K., Boerstra, A. C., Raue, A. K., & Kurvers, S. R. (2002). Thermal indoor climate building performance characterized by human comfort response. *Energy and Buildings*, 34(7), 737-744.

- Van Doremalen, N., Bushmaker, T., Morris, D. H., Holbrook, M. G., Gamble, A., Williamson, B. N., Tamin, A., Harcourt, J. L., Thornburg, N. J., Gerber, S. I. and Lloyd-Smith, J. O. (2020). Aerosol and surface stability of SARS-CoV-2 as compared with SARS-CoV-1. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 382(16), 1564-1567.
- Veitch, J. A., & Newsham, G. R. (2000). Preferred luminous conditions in open-plan offices: Research and practice recommendations. *International Journal of Lighting Research and Technology*, 32(4), 199-212.
- Veitch, J. A., Bradley, J. S., Legault, L. M., Norcross, S., & Svec, J. M. (2002). Masking speech in open-plan offices with simulated ventilation noise: noise level and spectral composition effects on acoustic satisfaction. *Institute for Research in Construction, Internal Report IRC-IR-846*.
- Vellenga-Persoon, S., & Höngens, T. (2015). Acoustic measurements in retrofit buildings lead to a sustainable design of a (semi-) open plan office. *Energy Procedia*, 78, 1641-1646.
- Viechtbauer, W., Smits, L., Kotz, D., Budé, L., Spigt, M., Serroyen, J., & Crutzen, R. (2015). A simple formula for the calculation of sample size in pilot studies. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*, 68(11), 1375-1379.
- Vine, E., Lee, E., Clear, R., DiBartolomeo, D., & Selkowitz, S. (1998). Office worker response to an automated venetian blind and electric lighting system: a pilot study. *Energy and buildings*, 28(2), 205-218.
- Wagner, A., Gossauer, E., Moosmann, C., Gropp, T., & Leonhart, R. (2007). Thermal comfort and workplace occupant satisfaction—Results of field studies in German low energy office buildings. *Energy and Buildings*, 39(7), 758-769.
- Wang, C., Horby, P. W., Hayden, F. G., & Gao, G. F. (2020). A novel coronavirus outbreak of global health concern. *The Lancet*, 395(10223), 470-473.
- Wargocki, P. (2008). Improving indoor air quality improves the performance of office work and school work.
- Weng, Z., Wei, L., Song, J., Wang, X., Liang, J., Zhang, L., & Lin, Y. (2020, November). Effect of Enclosed Lighting Environment on Work Performance and Visual Perception. In *2020 17th China International Forum on Solid State Lighting & 2020 International Forum on Wide Bandgap Semiconductors China (SSLChina: IFWS)* (pp. 188-191). IEEE.

- Witterseh, T., Wyon, D. P., & Clausen, G. (2004). The effects of moderate heat stress and open-plan office noise distraction on SBS symptoms and on the performance of office work. *Indoor air*, 14(8), 30-40.
- Wong, L. T., Mui, K. W., & Hui, P. S. (2008). A multivariate-logistic model for acceptance of indoor environmental quality (IEQ) in offices. *Building and Environment*, 43(1), 1-6.
- Wong, N. H., & Khoo, S. S. (2003). Thermal comfort in classrooms in the tropics. *Energy and buildings*, 35(4), 337-351.
- Wong, S. K., Lai, L. W. C., Ho, D. C. W., Chau, K. W., Lam, C. L. K., & Ng, C. H. F. (2009). Sick building syndrome and perceived indoor environmental quality: A survey of apartment buildings in Hong Kong. *Habitat International*, 33(4), 463-471.
- World Green Building Council. (2016). Building the Business Case: Health, Wellbeing and Productivity in Green Offices. World Green Building Council.
- Wu, F., Zhao, S., Yu, B., Chen, Y. M., Wang, W., Song, Z. G., Hu, Y., Tao, Z. W., Tian, J. H., Pei, Y. Y., & Yuan, M. L. (2020). A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China. *Nature*, 579(7798), 265-269.
- Wu, H., Wu, Y., Sun, X., & Liu, J. (2019a). Combined effects of acoustic, thermal, and illumination on human perception and performance: A review. *Building and Environment*, 169, 106593.
- Wu, Z., Li, N., Wargocki, P., Peng, J., Li, J., & Cui, H. (2019b). Field study on thermal comfort and energy saving potential in 11 split air-conditioned office buildings in Changsha, China. *Energy*, 182, 471-482.
- Yang, W., & Moon, H. J. (2019). Combined effects of acoustic, thermal, and illumination conditions on the comfort of discrete senses and overall indoor environment. *Building and Environment*, 148, 623-633.
- Yun, G., Yoon, K. C., & Kim, K. S. (2014). The influence of shading control strategies on the visual comfort and energy demand of office buildings. *Energy and Buildings*, 84, 70-85.
- Zhai, Y., Zhang, H., Zhang, Y., Pasut, W., Arens, E., & Meng, Q. (2013). Comfort under personally controlled air movement in warm and humid environments. *Building and environment*, 65, 109-117.

- Zhai, Y., Zhang, Y., Zhang, H., Pasut, W., Arens, E., & Meng, Q. (2015). Human comfort and perceived air quality in warm and humid environments with ceiling fans. *Building and Environment*, *90*, 178-185.
- Zhang, H., Arens, E., Fard, S. A., Huizenga, C., Paliaga, G., Brager, G., & Zagreus, L. (2007). Air movement preferences observed in office buildings. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, *51*(5), 349-360.
- Zhang, Y., & Altan, H. (2011). A comparison of the occupant comfort in a conventional high-rise office block and a contemporary environmentally-concerned building. *Building and Environment*, *46*(2), 535-545.
- Zhao, Z., Houchati, M., & Beitelmal, A. (2017). An Energy Efficiency Assessment of the Thermal Comfort in an Office building. *Energy Procedia*, *134*, 885-893.
- Zhou, X., Ouyang, Q., Lin, G., & Zhu, Y. (2006). Impact of dynamic airflow on human thermal response. *Indoor air*, *16*(5), 348-355.