

**TERNARY LANTHANUM COBALTITE PEROVSKITE AND TITANIA
BASED NANOCOMPOSITES FOR PHOTOCATALYTIC RENEWABLE
HYDROGEN PRODUCTION**

SEHAR TASLEEM

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

TERNARY LANTHANUM COBALTITE PEROVSKITE AND TITANIA
BASED NANOCOMPOSITES FOR PHOTOCATALYTIC RENEWABLE
HYDROGEN PRODUCTION

SEHAR TASLEEM

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Chemical and Energy Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DECEMBER 2021

ABSTRACT

Photocatalytic hydrogen (H_2) production via water splitting is one of the favourable technologies to overcome concerns of exploitation of fossil fuels and issues of global warming. Thus, it is recognized as clean solar to energy conversion for replacing non-renewable fossil fuels. However, available semiconductors and photoreactors are less efficient for splitting water into renewable H_2 under solar energy. The objective of this study is to design and develop structured photocatalysts and photoreactors for stimulating photocatalytic H_2 production. Specifically, cocatalyst including titanium aluminium carbide (Ti_3AlC_2) and titanium carbide (Ti_3C_2) MXene multilayers heterojunctions with TiO_2 and graphitic carbon nitride nanosheets (PCN) were fabricated to promote conductive properties. Further modification of TiO_2 and PCN was carried out to form ternary nanocomposites involving lanthanum cobaltite ($LaCoO_3$) perovskite and nickel phosphide (Ni_2P) to maximize photoactivity under visible light irradiations. Initially, the hydrofluoric acid etching process was employed to get Ti_3C_2 multilayers, whereas, $LaCoO_3$ nanotextures were obtained through hydrothermal method. Good morphology, improved light absorption, and superior charge separation were observed in the $Ti_3AlC_2/TiO_2/Ni_2P$, and $LaCoO_3/g-C_3N_4/TiO_2@Ti_3C_2$ nanocomposites. The performance of nanocomposites was determined in a liquid phase slurry photoreactor under visible irradiation. The TiO_2 grown Ti_3C_2 modified $LaCoO_3/g-C_3N_4$ composite generated 125 μmol of H_2 , significantly higher than pure components, attributing to the visible light activity, efficient mobility and charge separation, good interfacial contact and conductivity of Ti_3C_2 . Comparatively, Ti_3AlC_2 modified TiO_2/Ni_2P generated 1300 μmol of H_2 based on the inhibited charge recombination, improved visible light response and good redox potential of TiO_2 . The comparative performance of slurry, fixed bed, and monolith photoreactors over $Ti_3AlC_2/TiO_2/Ni_2P$ was conducted. The monolith photoreactor generated 2050 μmol of H_2 under ultraviolet light which was 136 times higher than H_2 generated from monolith photoreactor under visible light. This is attributed to the improved light penetration of ultraviolet light into monolith channels for maximum interaction with catalysts. The highest H_2 generating $Ti_3AlC_2/TiO_2/Ni_2P$ nanocomposite was involved in testing of operating parameters using response surface methodology for optimization with the amount of H_2 as the response. Optimization revealed 10.5 methanol concentration, 0.11 g catalyst loading and 3.59 h reaction time as optimum conditions for maximum H_2 generation. Finally, a modified Langmuir-Hinshelwood (L-H) mechanism-based kinetic model was developed for TiO_2 and PCN based nanocomposites and calculation of adsorption and rate constants were also carried out for investigating the adsorption behaviours. In conclusion, this study will contribute to the development of an efficient phototechnology for H_2 production towards sustainable solar fuels.

ABSTRAK

Penghasilan hidrogen (H_2) fotomangkin melalui pemisahan air ialah salah satu teknologi yang baik untuk mengatasi masalah eksploitasi bahan-bahan api fosil dan isu pemanasan global. Oleh itu, ia dikenali sebagai penukaran tenaga suria kepada tenaga yang bersih untuk menggantikan bahan api fosil yang tidak boleh diperbaharui. Walau bagaimanapun, semikonduktor dan fotoreaktor yang ada kurang cekap untuk menukar air menjadi H_2 yang boleh diperbaharui di bawah tenaga suria. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk merekabentuk dan membangunkan fotomangkin berstruktur dan fotoreaktor untuk merangsang pengeluaran H_2 fotomangkin. Secara khusus, titanium aluminium karbida (Ti_3AlC_2) dan titanium karbida (Ti_3C_2) MXene berbilang lapisan digunakan sebagai sokongan membentuk heterosimpang dengan TiO_2 dan lembaran nano karbon nitrida bergrafit (PCN) telah difabrikasi untuk meningkatkan sifat konduktif. Modifikasi selanjutnya ke atas TiO_2 dan PCN telah dijalankan untuk membentuk nanokomposit ternari melibatkan lantanum kobaltit ($LaCoO_3$) perovskites dan nikel fosfida (Ni_2P) untuk memaksimumkan fotoaktiviti di bawah cahaya nampak. Pada awalnya, proses punaran asid hidrofluorik digunakan untuk mendapatkan berbilang lapisan Ti_3C_2 berbilang lapisan, sementara, nanotekstur $LaCoO_3$ diperoleh melalui kaedah hidroterma. Morfologi yang baik, penyerapan cahaya yang lebih tinggi, dan pemisahan cas yang unggul diperhatikan dalam nanokomposit $Ti_3AlC_2/TiO_2/Ni_2P$, dan $LaCoO_3/g-C_3N_4/TiO_2@Ti_3C_2$. Prestasi nanokomposit ditentukan dalam fotoreaktor buburan fasa cecair di bawah pancaran radiasi tampak. Komposit $LaCoO_3/g-C_3N_4$ terubah suai TiO_2 campuran Ti_3C_2 menghasilkan $125 \mu mol H_2$, jauh lebih tinggi daripada komponen tulen, disebabkan oleh aktiviti cahaya nampak, mobiliti dan pemisahan cas yang cekap, hubungan antara muka dan kekonduksian Ti_3C_2 yang baik. Secara perbandingan, TiO_2/Ni_2P terubah suai Ti_3AlC_2 menghasilkan $1300 \mu mol H_2$ berdasarkan penggabungan semula cas yang direncatkan dan tindak balas cahaya nampak yang lebih baik dengan potensi redoks TiO_2 yang baik. Perbandingan prestasi fotoreaktor buburan, lapisan tetap, dan monolit ke atas $Ti_3AlC_2/TiO_2/Ni_2P$ dijalankan. Reaktor monolit menghasilkan H_2 tertinggi $2050 \mu mol$ di bawah cahaya ultraungu, iaitu 136 kali ganda lebih tinggi daripada H_2 dihasilkan daripada fotoreaktor monolit di bawah cahaya nampak. Ini disebabkan peningkatan penembusan cahaya ultraungu ke dalam saluran monolit untuk interaksi maksimum dengan mangkin. Penjanaan H_2 tertinggi $Ti_3AlC_2/TiO_2/Ni_2P$ nanokomposit telah digunakan dalam mengkaji parameter operasi menggunakan kaedah sambutan permukaan untuk pengoptimuman penghasilan H_2 sebagai gerak balas. Pengoptimuman menunjukkan kepekatan metanol 10.5, pemuatan mangkin 0.11 g dan masa tindak balas 3.59 jam sebagai keadaan optimum untuk penjanaan H_2 maksimum. Akhirnya, model kinetik berasaskan mekanisme Langmuir-Hinshelwood (L-H) dibangunkan untuk komposit TiO_2 dan PCN, di mana pengiraan pemalar penjerapan dan pemalar kadar dijalankan untuk menyiasat tingkah laku penjerapan. Kesimpulannya, kajian ini akan menyumbang kepada pembangunan teknologi foto yang cekap untuk pengeluaran H_2 ke arah bahan api solar yang lestari.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiv
	LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxvii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxix
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of Study	1
	1.2 Photocatalytic H ₂ Production	2
	1.3 Problem Statement and Research Hypothesis	5
	1.4 Research Objectives	7
	1.5 Scope of Study	8
	1.6 Significance of Study	10
	1.7 Outline of Thesis	11
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	13
	2.1 Introduction	13
	2.2 Photocatalytic H ₂ production Mechanism	13
	2.3 Advancements of Photocatalysts for Photocatalytic H ₂ generation	16
	2.3.1 Graphitic Carbon Nitride (g-C ₃ N ₄)	18

2.3.2	Titanium Dioxide (TiO ₂)	21
2.3.3	Cadmium Sulfide (CdS)	23
2.4	Advancements in Perovskite Photocatalysts for Photocatalytic H ₂ Generation	25
2.4.1	Tantalates (ATaO ₃)	26
2.4.2	Niobates (ANbO ₃)	26
2.4.3	Titanates (ATiO ₃)	27
2.4.4	Ferrites (AFeO ₃)	28
2.4.5	Other Rare Perovskites	29
2.4.6	Lanthanum Cobaltite Perovskite (LaCoO ₃)	29
2.5	Advancement in Cocatalysts as Photocatalysts for Photocatalytic H ₂ Generation	34
2.5.1	Titanium Aluminum Carbide (Ti ₃ AlC ₂) and Titanium Carbide (Ti ₃ C ₂)	34
2.5.2	Nickel Phosphide (Ni ₂ P)	36
2.6	Photocatalytic Charge Transfer Systems	37
2.6.1	Heterojunction Photocatalytic Systems	37
2.6.2	Z-Scheme Photocatalytic Systems	39
2.7	Selection of Photocatalysts	42
2.8	Photoreactors for Photocatalytic H ₂ Production	43
2.8.1	Slurry Photoreactor	44
2.8.2	Fixed bed Photoreactor	45
2.8.3	Monolith Photoreactor	46
2.9	Surface Response Methodology (RSM)	48
2.10	Kinetic Model	49
2.11	Summary	51
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	53
3.1	Introduction	53
3.2	Materials and Reagents	54
3.3	Synthesis of Photocatalysts	55
3.3.1	TiO ₂ Grown 2D Ti ₃ C ₂ Modified LaCoO ₃ /g-C ₃ N ₄ Nanosheets	56
3.3.1.1	Synthesis of LaCoO ₃	56

3.3.1.2	Synthesis of 2D Ti_3C_2	57
3.3.1.3	Synthesis of g- C_3N_4 Nanosheets	58
3.3.1.4	Synthesis of g- C_3N_4 Nanosheets Attached Ti_3C_2	58
3.3.1.5	Synthesis of $LaCoO_3$ Dispersed g- C_3N_4 Nanosheets	59
3.3.2	TiO_2 Grown 2D Ti_3C_2 Modified $LaCoO_3/g-C_3N_4$ Nanosheets	59
3.3.3	Ti_3AlC_2 Modified TiO_2/Ni_2P	60
3.3.3.1	Synthesis of TiO_2	61
3.3.3.2	Synthesis of Ni_2P Supported TiO_2	61
3.3.3.3	Synthesis of TiO_2 Dispersed Ti_3AlC_2	62
3.3.3.4	Synthesis of Ti_3AlC_2 Modified TiO_2/Ni_2P	62
3.3.4	Coating of Monoliths	63
3.4	Characterization of Photocatalysts	64
3.4.1	X-ray Diffraction (XRD)	65
3.4.2	Raman Spectroscopy	66
3.4.3	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FE-SEM)	66
3.4.4	High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy (HR-TEM)	67
3.4.5	X-ray Photo-electron Spectroscopy (XPS)	67
3.4.6	Diffuse Electron Ultraviolet Visible Spectroscopy (UV-Vis)	68
3.4.7	Photoluminescence (PL)	68
3.5	Photocatalytic Activity in Photoreactors	69
3.5.1	Slurry Photoreactor	70
3.5.2	Fixed Bed and Monolith Photoreactors	70
3.6	Calculations for Yield of H_2 produced, Apparent Photonic Efficiency, and Space Yield	74
3.7	Statistical Design of Experiment	75
3.8	Kinetic Study	77

CHAPTER 4	CHARACTERIZATION OF PHOTOCATALYSTS	79
4.1	Introduction	79
4.1.1	X-ray Diffraction	79
4.1.1.1	TiO ₂ Grown 2D Ti ₃ C ₂ Modified LaCoO ₃ /g-C ₃ N ₄ Nanosheets	79
4.1.1.2	Ti ₃ AlC ₂ Modified TiO ₂ /Ni ₂ P	82
4.1.2	Raman Spectroscopy	83
4.1.2.1	TiO ₂ Grown 2D Ti ₃ C ₂ Modified LaCoO ₃ / g-C ₃ N ₄ Nanosheets	83
4.1.2.2	Ti ₃ AlC ₂ Modified TiO ₂ /Ni ₂ P	84
4.1.3	Field Emission Microscopy (FE-SEM)	86
4.1.3.1	TiO ₂ Grown 2D Ti ₃ C ₂ Modified LaCoO ₃ / g-C ₃ N ₄ Nanosheets	86
4.1.3.2	Ti ₃ AlC ₂ Modified TiO ₂ /Ni ₂ P	87
4.1.4	Energy Dispersive X-Ray Analysis (EDX)	89
4.1.4.1	TiO ₂ Grown 2D Ti ₃ C ₂ Modified LaCoO ₃ / g-C ₃ N ₄ Nanosheets	89
4.1.4.2	Powder Nanocomposite and Monolithic TiO ₂ /Ni ₂ P/Ti ₃ AlC ₂	90
4.1.5	Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)	92
4.1.5.1	TiO ₂ Grown 2D Ti ₃ C ₂ Modified LaCoO ₃ /g-C ₃ N ₄ Nanosheets	92
4.1.5.2	Ti ₃ AlC ₂ Modified TiO ₂ /Ni ₂ P	93
4.1.6	X-ray Photo-electron Spectroscopy (XPS)	95
4.1.6.1	TiO ₂ Grown 2D Ti ₃ C ₂ Modified LaCoO ₃ /PCN	95
4.1.6.2	Ti ₃ AlC ₂ Modified TiO ₂ /Ni ₂ P	97
4.1.7	Diffuse Electron ultraviolet Visible Spectroscopy (UV-vis)	99
4.1.7.1	TiO ₂ Grown 2D Ti ₃ C ₂ Modified LaCoO ₃ /g-C ₃ N ₄ Nanosheets	99
4.1.7.2	Ti ₃ AlC ₂ Modified TiO ₂ /Ni ₂ P	101
4.1.8	Photoluminescence (PL)	105

4.1.8.1	TiO ₂ Grown 2D Ti ₃ C ₂ Modified LaCoO ₃ /g-C ₃ N ₄ Nanosheets	105
4.1.8.2	Ti ₃ AlC ₂ Modified TiO ₂ /Ni ₂ P	106
4.2	Summary	107
CHAPTER 5	PHOTOCATALYTIC H₂ PRODUCTION IN SLURRY AND GAS PHASE PHOTOREACTORS	109
5.1	Photocatalytic H ₂ Production in Slurry Solar Photoreactor	109
5.1.1	2D Ti ₃ C ₂ Modified LaCoO ₃ /PCN	110
5.1.1.1	Photocatalytic H ₂ production activity	110
5.1.1.2	Effect of Calcination Temperature of Ti ₃ C ₂	111
5.1.1.3	Effect of Ti ₃ C ₂ Loading Calcined at Different Temperature on PCN	113
5.1.1.4	Effect of LaCoO ₃ Loading onto PCN	116
5.1.1.5	Effect of Irradiation Time	117
5.1.1.6	Effect of Various Sacrificial Agents with Varying Concentrations	119
5.1.1.7	Photostability	121
5.1.1.8	Apparent Photonic Efficiency (APE)	123
5.1.2	Ti ₃ AlC ₂ Modified TiO ₂ /Ni ₂ P	124
5.1.2.1	Photocatalytic H ₂ production activity	124
5.1.2.2	Effect of Ni ₂ P Loading Toward H ₂ Generation	126
5.1.2.3	Effect Irradiation Time	127
5.1.2.4	Effect of Sacrificial Agents	131
5.1.2.5	Effect of Catalyst Loading	132
5.1.2.6	Photostability Analysis	133
5.2	Performance Comparison of Liquid and Gas Phase Photoreactors	135

5.2.1	Performance of Slurry, Fixed Bed, and Monolith Photoreactor Under Visible Light	135
5.2.2	Performance of Monolith Photoreactor Under UV and Visible Light	137
5.2.3	Effect of Monolith Geometry on H ₂ Production Under UV and Visible Light	139
5.2.4	Effect of Feed Composition on Monolith Performance Under UV and Visible Light	140
5.2.5	Performance of Fixed Bed and Monolith Photoreactors in Batch and Continuous Operation	141
5.2.6	Performance Analysis of Efficiency Enhancement	144
5.2.7	Apparent Photonic Efficiency and Space yield Analysis	146
5.3	Reaction Mechanism	148
5.3.1	TiO ₂ Grown 2D Ti ₃ C ₂ Modified LaCoO ₃ /g-C ₃ N ₄ Nanosheets	148
5.3.2	Ti ₃ AlC ₂ Modified TiO ₂ /Ni ₂ P	151
5.4	Performance Comparison of Synthesized Nanocomposite with Literature	152
5.5	Statistical Analysis Using RSM	155
5.5.1	Analysis of Regression Model	155
5.5.2	Relationship of Parameters for Y ₁ Response	159
5.5.3	Optimization of H ₂ Production	161
5.6	Kinetic Model	161
5.7	Summary	166
CHAPTER 6	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	171
6.1	Conclusions	171
6.2	Recommendations	173
	REFERENCES	175
	APPENDICES	199
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS, PATENTS AND AWARDS	203

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	H ₂ production and photocatalytic reaction conditions for photocatalytic activity by various perovskites.	32
Table 2.2	Characteristics and limitations for selection of photocatalysts	42
Table 2.3	Characteristics and limitations for photoreactors used for photocatalytic H ₂ generation	47
Table 3.1	Type of materials, their source, characteristics, and their specific usage for catalyst synthesis.	54
Table 3.2	Modifiers in weight percentage (wt. %) combined to prepare photocatalysts.	56
Table 3.3	Modifiers combined to prepare photocatalyst in weight percentage (wt. %).	61
Table 3.4	Set of experiments by using nanocomposites in various photoreactors for H ₂ production.	69
Table 3.5	Summary of experimental design for RSM	76
Table 4.1	Enlisting of band gap of synthesized pristine photocatalyst materials.	105
Table 5.1	Apparent photonic efficiencies (APE) for pure LaCoO ₃ , PCN, and TiO ₂ @Ti ₃ C ₂ , LaCoO ₃ /PCN, PCN/TiO ₂ @Ti ₃ C ₂ , and LaCoO ₃ /PCN/TiO ₂ @Ti ₃ C ₂ nanocomposite.	124
Table 5.2	Apparent quantum yield (AQY) and Space yield (SY) analysis for slurry, fixed bed and monolith photoreactor under 2 h irradiation time	148
Table 5.3	Summary of H ₂ production in terms of apparent quantum efficiency over nanocomposite catalysts and their comparative analysis with literature.	154
Table 5.4	Full factorial (2 ³) CCD matrix of variables as input and their output experimental response.	156
Table 5.5	Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for second-order quadratic regression model for the response Y ₁ .	158

Table 5.6	Optimum condition analysis for H ₂ production.	161
Table 5.7	Enlisting of rate of reaction constant and adsorption constants to fit model per experimental results.	164

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Steps involved in process of photocatalysis ranging from light harvesting to redox reaction.	16
Figure 2.2	(a) Heterojunction between AgNbO_3 and $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ for efficient electron transfer; (b) Photocatalytic H_2 evolution over $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4/\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2$ composite; (c) Z-scheme photocatalytic $\text{TiO}_2/\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ composite.	21
Figure 2.3	(a) Heterojunction formation of CdS and TiO_2 for photocatalytic H_2 generation under visible light; (b) Photocatalytic charge transfer mechanism over TiO_2/GO QDs.	23
Figure 2.4	(a) Heterojunction formation of CdS and TiO_2 for photocatalytic H_2 generation under visible light; (b) Photocatalytic charge transfer mechanism over CdS/WS_2 .	25
Figure 2.5	(a) Sol-gel synthesized LaCoO_3 ; (b) The proposed mechanism for electron transfer and H_2 evolution on $\text{TiO}_2/\text{SrTiO}_3$ with Ni as cocatalyst; (c) The photocatalytic hydrogen production mechanism of the $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4/\text{CaTiO}_3$ nano-heterojunction; (d) $\text{LaCoO}_3/\text{PdO}$ nanocomposite for H_2 generation.	31
Figure 2.6	Schematic presentation of different types of heterojunction for photocatalytic hydrogen evolution; (a) type I heterojunction, (b) type II heterojunction, (c) type III heterojunction.	39
Figure 2.7	(a) Z-scheme with shuttle redox mediator; (b) Direct Z-scheme system for charge carrier separation, (c) Charge carrier separation by solid electron mediator Z-scheme.	41
Figure 2.8	Photoreactor setup of liquid phase slurry photoreactor.	45
Figure 2.9	Photoreactor setup of fixed bed photoreactor.	46
Figure 2.10	Photoreactor setup of monolith photoreactor.	47
Figure 2.11	Stages of heterogenous photocatalytic reactions adopting L-H kinetic model.	50
Figure 3.1	Overview of research methodology	53

Figure 3.2	Overview of different combination of photocatalysts to obtain nanocomposite for H ₂ generation.	55
Figure 3.3	Systematic illustration of synthesis of (a) LaCoO ₃ by combination of co-precipitation and hydrothermal method; (b) Ti ₃ C ₂ by acid assisted exfoliation; (c) PCN by thermal polymerization of melamine.	58
Figure 3.4	Wet impregnation method for synthesis of (a) PCN/TiO ₂ @Ti ₃ C ₂ ; (b) LaCoO ₃ /PCN and; (c) LaCoO ₃ /PCN/TiO ₂ @Ti ₃ C ₂ .	60
Figure 3.5	Systematic for the preparation of TNTAC heterojunction composite sample through sol-gel method.	63
Figure 3.6	Schematic illustration for the monolith coating by TNTAC	64
Figure 3.7	Systematic illustration of slurry photoreactor under continuous operating mode.	70
Figure 3.8	Systematic illustration of fixed bed photoreactor under continuous and batch operating mode.	72
Figure 3.9	Systematic illustration of monolith photoreactor under continuous and batch operating mode.	73
Figure 4.1	(a) XRD patterns with diffraction peaks and their corresponding planes for pristine Ti ₃ AlC ₂ , Ti ₃ C ₂ , 300 °C calcined Ti ₃ C ₂ , 500 °C calcined Ti ₃ C ₂ samples; (b) XRD patterns with diffraction peaks and their corresponding planes for pure LaCoO ₃ perovskite, pure PCN, 300-TiO ₂ @Ti ₃ C ₂ , LaCoO ₃ /PCN, and LaCoO ₃ /PCN/TiO ₂ @Ti ₃ C ₂ nanocomposite.	81
Figure 4.2	XRD patterns with diffraction peaks and their corresponding planes for pristine TiO ₂ , pristine Ti ₃ AlC ₂ , TTAC, TNTAC, bare monolith and TNTAC coated monolith samples.	83
Figure 4.3	Raman spectra for PCN, pure LaCoO ₃ , Ti ₃ C ₂ and LaCoO ₃ /PCN/TiO ₂ @Ti ₃ C ₂ nanocomposite.	84
Figure 4.4	Raman spectra of Ti ₃ AlC ₂ , TiO ₂ , TTAC, TN and TNTAC samples	85
Figure 4.5	FE-SEM images of (a) Ti ₃ C ₂ calcined at 300°C; (b) Ti ₃ C ₂ calcined at 500°C; (c) PCN; (d) LaCoO ₃ ; (e) LaCoO ₃ dispersed TiO ₂ @Ti ₃ C ₂ ; (f) LaCoO ₃ /PCN/TiO ₂ @Ti ₃ C ₂ nanocomposite.	87
Figure 4.6	FE-SEM images of (a) TiO ₂ NPs; (b) Ti ₃ AlC ₂ ; (c) calcined Ti ₃ AlC ₂ ; (d) TTAC and; (e-f) TNTAC.	88

Figure 4.7	(a) Monolith coated channels by TNTAC nanocomposite; (b) Monolith coated side walls by TNTAC nanocomposite; (c) Homogenous dispersion of TNTAC nanocomposite on channels of monolith; (d) Layered structure of Ti_3AlC_2 ; (e) TiO_2 nanoparticles; (f) TTAC heterojunction.	89
Figure 4.8	(a) EDX mapping of $LaCoO_3/PCN/TiO_2@Ti_3C_2$ nanocomposite; (b-h) Element identification in the nanocomposite; (i) Identification of wt. % of elemental composition of $LaCoO_3/PCN/TiO_2@Ti_3C_2$ nanocomposite.	90
Figure 4.9	(a) EDX mapping of TNTAC; (b) EDX plots with elemental composition.	91
Figure 4.10	(a) EDX mapping of coated monolith with TNTAC nanocomposite; (b) Element identification TNTAC nanocomposite coated monolith.	92
Figure 4.11	HR-TEM images of (a) $LaCoO_3$; (b) $PCN/TiO_2@Ti_3C_2$ and; (c, d) $LaCoO_3/PCN/TiO_2@Ti_3C_2$.	93
Figure 4.12	(a) TEM images of TiO_2 nanoparticles; (b) TEM images of layered MAX phase Ti_3AlC_2 ; (c, d) HR-TEM images of TNTAC; (e) HR-TEM of the corresponding sample along with d-spacing.	94
Figure 4.13	High resolution XPS spectra for $LaCoO_3/PCN/TiO_2@Ti_3C_2$ nanocomposite (a) La 3d; (b) Co 2p; (c) O 1s; (d) N 1s; (e) C 1s; (f) Ti 2p; (g) F 1s.	96
Figure 4.14	High resolution XPS spectra for TNTAC nanocomposite (a) Ti 2p; (b) C 1s; (c) Al 2p; (d) O 1s; (e) P 2p; (f) Ni 2p.	98
Figure 4.15	UV-Vis spectra of pure PCN_4 , pure $LaCoO_3$, $LaCoO_3/PCN$, and $LaCoO_3/PCN/TiO_2@Ti_3C_2$.	99
Figure 4.16	Tauc plot for band gap calculation of (a) PCN; (b) $LaCoO_3$.	101
Figure 4.17	UV-Vis absorbance spectra of pristine TiO_2 , pristine Ti_3AlC_2 , Ni_2P , TTAC, TN and TNTAC nanocomposite samples.	103
Figure 4.18	Tauc plot for band gap calculation of (a) TiO_2 ; (b) Ni_2P and; (c) Ti_3AlC_2 .	104
Figure 4.19	PL emission spectrum of PCN, $LaCoO_3$, $LaCoO_3/PCN$ and $LaCoO_3/PCN/TiO_2@Ti_3C_2$ nanocomposites.	106
Figure 4.20	PL emission spectra of pristine TiO_2 , pristine Ni_2P , Ti_3AlC_2 , TTAC, TN and TNTAC nanocomposite samples.	107

Figure 5.1	Photocatalytic H ₂ generation from PCN, LaCoO ₃ , Ti ₃ C ₂ , LaCoO ₃ /PCN, PCN/TiO ₂ @Ti ₃ C ₂ and LaCoO ₃ /PCN/TiO ₂ @Ti ₃ C ₂ nanocomposite for visible light photocatalytic H ₂ generation under 4 h visible irradiation.	111
Figure 5.2	Effect of calcination temperature (300°C, and 500°C) on Ti ₃ C ₂ for the growth of TiO ₂ NPs towards photocatalytic H ₂ generation under 4 h visible irradiation.	112
Figure 5.3	(a) Effect of wt. % loading of calcined TiO ₂ @Ti ₃ C ₂ at 300°C onto PCN for photocatalytic H ₂ generation; (b) Effect of wt. % loading of calcined TiO ₂ @Ti ₃ C ₂ at 500°C onto PCN for photocatalytic H ₂ generation and; (c) Effect of wt. % loading of calcined TiO ₂ @Ti ₃ C ₂ at 300°C onto LaCoO ₃ /PCN for photocatalytic H ₂ generation.	115
Figure 5.4	Effect of varying wt. % of LaCoO ₃ onto PCN for visible light photocatalytic H ₂ generation under 4 h irradiation.	117
Figure 5.5	Photocatalytic H ₂ production performance of PCN, LaCoO ₃ , Ti ₃ C ₂ , LaCoO ₃ /PCN, PCN/300-TiO ₂ @Ti ₃ C ₂ , and LaCoO ₃ /PCN/PCN/TiO ₂ @Ti ₃ C ₂ under 4 h of visible light irradiation.	118
Figure 5.6	Comparative study of charge transfer pathway in photocatalytic H ₂ production efficiency from (a) PCN; (b) LaCoO ₃ ; (c) Z-scheme LaCoO ₃ /PCN heterojunction; (d) Type II PCN/TiO ₂ @Ti ₃ C ₂ heterojunction and; (e) photosystem with combined Z-scheme and type II LaCoO ₃ /PCN/TiO ₂ @Ti ₃ C ₂ heterojunction.	119
Figure 5.7	(a) Photocatalytic H ₂ generation from different sacrificial agents (CH ₃ OH, C ₃ H ₈ O ₃ and TEA); (b) Effect of varying concentration of CH ₃ OH and TEA on photocatalytic H ₂ generation.	121
Figure 5.8	Stability and recyclability analysis of LaCoO ₃ /PCN/TiO ₂ @Ti ₃ C ₂ nanocomposite over 3 cyclic runs.	122
Figure 5.9	H ₂ production over TiO ₂ , Ni ₂ P, Ti ₃ AlC ₂ , calcined Ti ₃ AlC ₂ , TN, TTAC, and TNTAC composite catalyst under 4 h of visible light.	126
Figure 5.10	Effect of Ni ₂ P loading (1wt. %, 3wt. %, 5wt. %) on the activity of TNTAC composite under 4 h visible irradiation.	127
Figure 5.11	Effect of irradiation time on the photocatalytic H ₂ production activity under 4 h of visible irradiation.	129
Figure 5.12	Proposed mechanism of photocatalytic process for H ₂ evolution over TNTAC nanocomposite under visible light	

	irradiation; (a) Generating electron-hole pairs and recombination in TiO ₂ ; (b) Ni ₂ P acting as electron trapper; (c and d) Provision of reaction sites by Ti ₃ AlC ₂ for H ₂ production reaction.	131
Figure 5.13	Effect of sacrificial different agents (5%) on the photo-activity of TNTAC nanocomposite photocatalyst under 4 h of visible irradiation.	132
Figure 5.14	Effect of catalyst loading on the photoactivity of TNTAC nanocomposite photocatalyst under 4 h visible irradiation.	133
Figure 5.15	Stability study under visible light irradiation of TNTAC nanocomposite catalyst for photocatalytic H ₂ production.	134
Figure 5.16	Photocatalytic H ₂ production over TNTAC nanocomposite photocatalyst in slurry (0.1 g catalyst loading), fixed bed (0.15 catalyst loading), and monolith (10 mm-0.33g catalyst loading) continuous operating photoreactors under 4 h visible irradiation.	136
Figure 5.17	H ₂ production performance over TNTAC composite catalyst in slurry photoreactor under visible light, monolith under visible and UV light irradiation for 4 h irradiation time; (b) H ₂ production performance over TNTAC composite catalyst in fixed bed reactor and monolith reactor under 4 h UV irradiation.	138
Figure 5.18	H ₂ production performance over TNTAC composite catalyst in 10 mm monolith under visible and UV light irradiation (0.33 g catalyst loading) and 5 mm monolith under visible and UV light irradiation (0.0.253 g catalyst loading).	140
Figure 5.19	Effect of H ₂ O-CH ₃ OH feed temperature (25°C and 80°C) on H ₂ production of 5 mm and 10 mm thick monolith.	141
Figure 5.20	(a) Performance comparison of fixed bed photoreactor in batch and continuous operation under 4 h visible light irradiation; (b) Performance comparison of monolith photoreactor in batch and continuous operation under 4 h visible irradiation.	143
Figure 5.21	Schematic illustration of cross-sectional comparison of (a) Slurry; (b) fixed-bed, and (c) monolith photoreactors for H ₂ production.	145
Figure 5.22	Schematic illustration of charge separation and transfer mechanism in Z-scheme LaCoO ₃ /PCN/TiO ₂ @Ti ₃ C ₂ system for efficient photocatalytic H ₂ generation.	150

Figure 5.23	Schematic diagram of the separation and transfer of photogenerated charges in TNTAC composite under visible light irradiation.	152
Figure 5.24	Plot of observed and predicted values for response Y1	157
Figure 5.25	Patero chart for standardized effects of individual variable parameters and interactive relationship among parameters for response Y ₁ .	158
Figure 5.26	The contour plots of response Y ₁ (a) catalyst loading and CH ₃ OH concentration; (b) catalyst loading and reaction and; (c) CH ₃ OH concentration and reaction time.	160
Figure 5.27	Comparison of the experimental results fitted with the proposed kinetic model for photocatalytic H ₂ generation by LaCoO ₃ /PCN/Ti ₃ C ₂ @TiO ₂ .	165
Figure 5.28	Comparison of the experimental results fitted with the proposed kinetic model for photocatalytic H ₂ generation by TiO ₂ /Ni ₂ P/Ti ₃ AlC ₂ nanocomposite.	165

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CO ₂	- Carbon dioxide
H ₂	- Hydrogen
O ₂	- Oxygen
TiO ₂	- Titanium dioxide
g-C ₃ N ₄	- Graphitic carbon nitride
RGO	- Reduced graphene oxide
Ni ₂ P	- Nickel phosphide
Ti ₃ AlC ₂	- Titanium aluminium carbide
Ti ₃ C ₂	- Titanium carbide
GE	- Gibbs free energy
H ⁺	- Protons
RSM	- Response surface methodology
L-H	- Langmuir-Hinshelwood
TiO ₂ @Ti ₃ C ₂	- TiO ₂ grown Ti ₃ C ₂
PCN	- Graphitic carbon nitride nanosheets
LaCoO ₃	- Lanthanum cobaltite
UV	- Ultraviolet
0D	- Zero dimensional
2D	- Two dimensional
3D	- Three dimensional
N ₂	- Nitrogen
Hg lamp	- Mercury lamp
AC	- Alternating current
H ₂ O	- Water
C ₂ H ₅ OH	- Ethanol
CH ₃ OH	- Methanol
(CH ₂ OH)	- Ethylene glycol
C ₃ H ₈ O ₃	- Glycerol
TEA	- Triethanolamine
CCD	- Central composite design

DoE	- Design of experiments
VB	- Valance band
CB	- Conduction band
GO	- Graphene
CdS	- Cadmium sulfide
NiTiO ₃	- Nickel titanate
AgNbO ₃	- Silver niobate
BiOBr	- Bismuth oxybromide
MoS ₂	- Molybdenum disulfide
N-ZnO	- Nitrogen doped zinc oxide
Ni(OH) ₂	- Nickel II hydroxide
Fe ₂ O ₃	- Hematite
Au	- Silver
Ti	- Titanium
Co	Cobalt
Fe	- Iron
Ni	- Nickel
Ce	- Cerium
F	Fluorine
P	Phosphorus
SPR	- Surface plasmon resonance effect
CoO _x	- Cobalt oxide
Pt	- Platinum
BiVO ₄	- Bismuth vanadate
ATaO ₃	- Tantalates
AgTaO ₃	- Silver tantalate
NaTaO ₃	- Sodium tantalate
KTaO ₃	- Potassium tantalate
ANbO ₃	- Niobates
NaNbO ₃	- Sodium niobate
KNbO ₃	- Potassium niobate
ATiO ₃	- Titanates
SrTiO ₃	- Strontium titanate

ZnTiO ₃	- Zinc titanates
PbTiO ₃	- Lead titanate
CdTiO ₃	- Cadmium titanate
MgTiO ₃	- Magnesium titanate
CaTiO ₃	- Calcium titanate
LaFeO ₃	- Lanthanum ferrite
BiFeO ₃	- Bismuth ferrite
PrFeO ₃	- Praseodymium ferrite
GaFeO ₃	- Gallium ferrite
YFeO ₃	- Yttrium ferrite
AlFeO ₃	- Aluminium ferrite
Sn	- Tin
Sr	- Strontium
SrZrO ₃	- Strontium zirconium oxide
CaSnO ₃	- Calcium tin oxide
BaSnO ₃	- Barium tin oxide
SrSnO ₃	- Strontium tin
PdO	- Palladium (II) oxide
Sc	- Scandium
V	- Vanadium
Zr	- Zirconium
Hf	- Hafnium (Hf),
Mn	- Manganese
Ta	- Tantalum
Cr	- Chromium
Mo	- Molybdenum
Al	- Aluminium
CH ₃ COOH	- Acetic acid
NH ₄ OH	- Ammonium hydroxide
La (NO ₃) ₃ 6H ₂ O	- Lanthanum (III) nitrate hexahydrate
Co(NO ₃) ₂ .3H ₂ O	- Cobalt (II) nitrate hexahydrate
NaOH	- Sodium hydroxide
C ₃ H ₆ N ₆	- Melamine

C_3H_8O	- Isopropanol
$C_{12}H_{28}O_4Ti$	- Titanium tetra Isopropoxide
HF	- Hydrofluoric acid
$CuInS_2$	- Copper indium sulphide
IO_3^-/I^-	- Iodate/iodine ion
NO_3^-/NO_2^-	- Nitrate/nitrite ion
Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+}	- Ferric/ferrous ion
Co^{3+}/Co^{2+}	- Cobaltic/cobaltous ion
XRD	- X-ray diffraction
FE-SEM	- Emission scanning electron microscopy
HR-TEM	- High resolution electron microscopy
XPS	- X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy
EDX	- Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy
UV-Vis	- UV-Visible spectroscopy
PL	- Photoluminescence Spectroscopy
BE	- Binding energy
Na_2S	- Sodium sulphide
Na_2SO_3	- Sodium sulphite
MMT	- Montmorillonite
Fe_2P	- Iron phosphide
In_2S_3	- Indium (III) sulphide
CPSI	- Cells per square inch
TN	- TiO_2/Ni_2P
TTAC	- TiO_2/Ti_3AlC_2
TNTAC	- $TiO_2/Ni_2P/Ti_3AlC_2$
MOF	- Metal organic framework
MFC	- Mass flow controller
APE	- Apparent photonic efficiency
SY	- Space yield
DF	- Degree of freedom
R^2	- Coefficient of determination
MSSSR	- Mean of square regression
SSR	- Division of sum of squares

SSE	- Division of sum of residual
E_g	- Band gap
E_{CB}	- CB edge potential
E_{VB}	- VB edge potential
E°	- Free electron energy
X	- Absolute electronegativity
Y_1	- H_2 response
X_1	- Catalyst loading
X_2	- Methanol concentration
X_3	- Reaction time

LIST OF SYMBOLS

W	-	Watt
mWcm^{-2}	-	Milliwatt per square centimetre
Wm^{-2}	-	Watt per square metre
wt. %	-	Weight percent
$^{\circ}\text{C}$	-	Degree centigrade
h	-	Hour
g	-	Gram
$\mu\text{molg}^{-1}\text{h}^{-1}$	-	Micromole per gram per hour
$\mu\text{molh}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-3}$	-	Micromole per hour per centimetre cube
eV	-	Electron volt
λ	-	Wavelength
nm	-	Nanometre
kV	-	Kilovolt
mA	-	Milliampere
β	-	Beta
<	-	Less than
kcal	-	Kilocalorie
K	-	Scherer constant
mg mL^{-1}	-	Milligrams per millilitre
mg L^{-1}	-	Milligrams per litre
k	-	Reaction rate
n	-	Reaction order
mm	-	Millimetre
m	-	Meter
m^2	-	Meter square
J	-	Joule
cm^{-1}	-	Per centimetre
cm^3	-	Centimetre cube
mL/min	-	Millilitre per minute
m	-	Minute

ppm	-	Parts per million
M	-	Molar
$\mu\text{mol/s}$	-	Micromole per second
e^-	-	Electron
h^+	-	Hole
h	-	Plank's constant
ν	-	Photon density
α	-	Significance level
θ	-	surface coverage
$K_{\text{CH}_3\text{OH}}$	-	Adsorption constant of CH_3OH
$K_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$	-	Adsorption constant of H_2O
$C_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$	-	Concentration of H_2O
$C_{\text{CH}_3\text{OH}}$	-	Concentration of CH_3OH
molL^{-1}	-	Mol per liter
\geq	-	Greater than equal to
\leq	-	Less than equal to
I	-	Light flux
a	-	Reaction order of intensity

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	EXPLANATION OF POLYMATH AND SIMULATION	199

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Currently, most of the global energy consumption is achieved by utilization of non-renewable resources including fossil fuels [1]. However, excessive burning of these fuels produces greenhouse gases particularly carbon dioxide (CO_2) which causes global warming [2]. Therefore, the utmost challenge of the time is to develop environment-friendly and carbon-free renewable energy resources. In recent years, the hydrogen (H_2) economy is a prominent research area in which H_2 can replace fossil fuels and overcome environment associated problems. Moreover, H_2 energy is considered as a sustainable, long-lasting, clean and renewable energy with easy storage [3, 4].

Generally, solar energy is converted into electrical energy using photovoltaic cells. It is a commercialised technique, and its efficiency has risen dramatically in recent years, surpassing a considerable value of 20%. Despite significant advancements in smart grids and new batteries, large-scale energy storage remains a significant barrier. Converting solar energy into chemical energy, which produces renewable fuels known as "solar fuels," on the other hand, has obvious practical benefits [5]. Likewise, the use of wind energy as well as hydropower are green energy power sources of interest however, they face drawbacks related to their intrinsically dependence on day-night intervals, seasons and fluctuating environmental conditions that result in periods of deficit and surplus of energy output [6]. Thus, keeping in view the solar fuels, several methods have been used to produce H_2 such as steam reforming [7, 8] where H_2 is produced at industrial scale using hydrocarbon particularly methane, and renewable liquids like ethanol ($\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}$) and methanol (CH_3OH) [9]. The steam reforming for hydrogen production is an endothermic, reversible, and high-temperature reaction. Also, it faces limited availability of fossil fuels and the

production of CO₂, a major GHG that contributes to the global warming [10]. Similarly, the gasification of coal or biomass to produce H₂ requires input energy, leading to emission of CO₂ and is an expensive process [11]. Furthermore, electrolysis of water (H₂O) needs electric current through H₂O while, redox reactions occur at electrodes. Thus, an alternative, eco-friendly, and carbon-free renewable energy system is necessary for sustainable development [12]. Therefore, the conversion of solar energy into chemical energy such as H₂ from H₂O is an interesting strategy to effectively utilize solar light irradiations [13, 14]. This method is a standalone process for harvesting and storing solar energy as chemical energy. Photo-reforming is a technique that uses oxygenated organic substrates and solar radiation as an alternative to pure water splitting. When bio-available oxygenates are used as sacrificial agents, the approach comes near to being carbon-neutral, because the CO₂ produced can be transformed back into biomass by plant photosynthesis. The origin of the organic substrate, i.e., non-sustainable first-generation biomasses or appealing second/next generation biomasses, has a significant impact on overall sustainability [15]. Photogenerated H₂ can easily be stored and used as a green fuel. Green technology like photocatalytic H₂ production from H₂O is a promising approach to fulfil the energy demands and is safe for the environment.

1.2 Photocatalytic H₂ Production

Green technology like photocatalytic H₂ production from H₂O is a promising approach to fulfil the energy demands and is safe for the environment [16, 17]. Motivation for photocatalysis comes from the natural photosynthesis process, where plants absorb solar light and produce chemical energy in the form of glucose with the help of chlorophyll. In addition, it is the most simple and proven technology to produce H₂ using renewable solar light irradiations [18]. In photocatalytic process, solar light irradiation directly provides energy to split H₂O, whereas utilization of this H₂ fuel produces only H₂O, which becomes part of the H₂ cycle [19, 20].

Several semiconductor materials as photocatalyst have been studied for H₂O splitting to achieve high efficiency [21]. Presently, there are limited suitable materials

with appropriate band gap positions for overall H₂O splitting and also lack high efficiency as well as require stability for photocatalytic processes [22, 23]. Semiconductor photocatalysts face limitations of lower efficiency, wide band gap, lower surface area, and faster charge recombination [24]. Among photocatalytic semiconductors, titanium dioxide (TiO₂) is an excellent metal oxide semiconductor photocatalyst having low cost, high photochemical stability, and non-toxic nature. However, it has a wide band gap (3.2 eV) and also faces charge recombination. Moreover, metal-free semiconductors like graphitic carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄) are also under consideration. It has a narrow band gap (2.7 eV), low cost and gives off less pollution [21], but it shows faster charges recombination [25]. To overcome these limitations, several approaches such as doping with metals, coupling with cocatalysts, and modification with other semiconductor materials have been employed [26-29].

Although various studies have been carried out on photocatalytic H₂ generation by utilizing photocatalyst material, however, still the efficiency of the photocatalytic system is low based on various limitations discussed above, leading to a need for photocatalyst with higher efficiency, narrow band gap, excellent morphology, efficient charge transfer and separation, good visible light absorption and a lower rate of recombination. In this context, the fabrication of semiconductors including TiO₂ and g-C₃N₄ by the formation of heterojunctions or Z-scheme is recognized to be an efficient approach for efficient H₂ generation photoactivity. Recently, perovskite oxides have been explored for the formation of efficient composites with TiO₂, g-C₃N₄ as well as reduced graphene oxide (RGO) as a promising approach for minimizing charge carrier's recombination and band gap tuning for improved photoactivity towards H₂ generation [30]. Incorporation of cocatalyst like nickel phosphide (Ni₂P) is been explored for visible light responsive photocatalyst composites and can be coupled with various wider band gap catalysts for their activation, thus leading to improved photocatalytic activity under solar energy [31]. Moreover, the utilization of 2D materials from MAX phase (M stands for the front metal element of the transition group; A represents the elements of the main group, mainly the elements of the third group and the fourth group; X is for carbon or nitrogen) including titanium aluminium carbide (Ti₃AlC₂) and MXene- titanium carbide (Ti₃C₂) are currently under exploration for their extraordinary electrical conductivity, metal like properties, and attractive morphology [32].

Efficient photocatalysis requires an appropriate photoreactor in which photocatalytic reaction takes place after contact between photocatalyst, sacrificial agent, and photons. The most commonly used photoreactor is the slurry reactor, involving three phase system in which the catalyst bed is in fluidized form and mass transfer between catalyst and reactants is increased by agitation which provides an increased surface area to be illuminated [33]. In a fixed bed photoreactor, photocatalyst is immobilized (fixed) on the bed of reactor while, in monolith the catalyst is dispersed onto a supporting material i.e., monolith, and placed inside the photoreactor [34, 35]. However, these photoreactors lack overall higher efficiency based on the inappropriate selection of operating parameters such as light to form an effective photocatalytic scheme for the enhancement of photoactivity. This can be addressed by considering the necessary engineering approach elements including proper distribution of photons in the reactor, efficient mass transfer, and effective interaction of catalyst with reactants.

The operating parameters have a significant effect on the efficiency of the photosystem towards photocatalytic H₂ generation. However, the common method of varying different operating parameters in a way that the parameter to be studied is varied and others are taken as constant lacks the identification of the relation between the parameters and also does not identify the optimum range of parameters for high efficiency. Response Surface Methodology (RSM) is a combination of mathematical and statistical analysis for the effect of parameters in the complex study of photoactivity, leading to a cost-effective and time-saving approach for improved efficiency [36]. Moreover, the reaction kinetics of reactants into products can be investigated by developing a rate equation. Generally, Langmuir–Hinshelwood (L-H) mechanism determines the rate of reaction.

Overall, work aims at exploring and studying an entire photocatalytic system involving the investigation for an efficient nanocomposite for photocatalytic H₂ generation along with the exploration for effect of the four components of photocatalytic process including light, sacrificial agent, photocatalyst, photoreactor for efficiency improvement. Specifically, the study focuses on the efficiency improvement of two widely researched and industrially applied semiconductor photocatalysts

including TiO_2 and $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ for photocatalytic H_2 generation by formation of heterojunctions and in cooperation of cocatalysts. The study also includes the study of the role of photoreactor and their parameters for maximizing the interaction of irradiation, photocatalyst and reactants for boosted H_2 generation. Else, the work also goals to carry out optimization study to identify the optimized conditions of various parameters including catalyst loading, sacrificial agent and irradiation time along with developing of kinetic model for studying the rate of reaction for studying the effect of reactant concentration governing the rate of H_2 producing reaction.

1.3 Problem Statement and Research Hypothesis

Currently, among the several alternatives for energy requirements and global warming, production of H_2 is the best strategy to replace fossil fuels and to mitigate global effects [37]. While, photocatalysis is a rapidly growing H_2 production method as well as is cost-effective and shows high efficiency with zero pollution [38, 39].

Among binary semiconductor photocatalyst, TiO_2 and $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ are the most widely employed photocatalysts as compared to all existing semiconductors. $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ has attracted great attention in photocatalytic applications of hydrogen production and pollutant degradation especially due to metal free nature and low price of raw materials. TiO_2 is also been researched widely for its environmental and energy applications due to the maximum quantum efficiency achieved from low cost TiO_2 . However, both of these materials offer limitations of less efficiency towards photocatalytic processes. TiO_2 lacks the photocatalytic efficiency due to the charge recombination and wide band gap. Likewise, $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ in spite of visible light activity show low visible light activity, charge recombination, and fewer reaction sites for photoreaction make it less efficient [21]. Various modification approaches have been applied for the efficiency improvement of TiO_2 and $\text{g-C}_3\text{N}_4$ however, the efficiency still need to be improved under sunlight mimicking visible irradiation.

Moreover, perovskites are also considered good materials employed for photoactivity and in heterojunction formation for activity improvement, but the

photocatalytic efficiency of perovskites is been explored under UV light, making it less desirable for photocatalytic H₂ generation. Also, pristine perovskites show charge recombination and less surface area.

Apart from this, most of the studies focus on utilizing noble metals cocatalysts for activity enhancement however, their low abundance, availability, toxicity and cost factor makes them undesirable. Thus, there is a need for alternate cost-effective and efficient photocatalytic materials to replace utilization of noble metal.

Furthermore, the effective catalyst development for photocatalytic H₂ production, the design of a photocatalytic scheme effective for enhancement of photoactivity by proper distribution of photons in the reactor, efficient mass transfer, and effective interaction of catalyst with reactants are considered necessary engineering approaches. There is insignificant work been carried out for addressing the limitations and the parameter study of various photoreactors to address and enhance the efficiency towards photocatalytic H₂ generation. Currently, structured photocatalytic frameworks including monolith photoreactors are getting considerable attention for photocatalytic H₂ production with photocatalyst immobilized on the walls of the monolith. Monoliths have attained much consideration as compared to the slurry and fixed bed because of their flexible geometry and design of catalyst as well as more exposed catalyst surface [40]. However, the drawbacks of limited photon penetration to the surface of catalyst and reaction sites causing less interaction of light photons with catalyst and reactants are not considerably studied [41]. In this regard, based on the discussed problems following hypothesis is formulated:

- (a) The photoactivity of binary semiconductors including TiO₂ and g-C₃N₄ nanosheets (PCN) can be effectively improved through the formation of binary or ternary nanocomposites with other semiconductors and by the introduction of cocatalysts. Perovskite can form heterojunction with binary semiconductors to improve charge separation and also provide band gap tunability. In terms of visible light driven photocatalysts, LaCoO₃ as a visible light active photocatalyst has been explored for photocatalytic H₂ generation. The cocatalyst activity of LaCoO₃ based on the narrow band gap for visible light

activity, charge trapping stability and efficiency enhancement has been explored.

- (b) MAX/MXene 2D layered materials show electronic conductivity for electron trapping. Improvement in activity can be achieved by enhanced reaction area of MAX phase 2D Ti_3AlC_2 which will provide significant enhancement towards redox reaction. Also, 2D Ti_3C_2 MXene will contribute towards improved efficiency by building a strong interfacial connection with binary semiconductors for improved charge transportation and electron connectivity to promote charge separation. Ni_2P is visible light active with high conductivity.
- (c) The efficiency of photoreactors can be enhanced towards photocatalytic H_2 generation activity through engineering approaches based on the type of light irradiations, the operational mode of reactors, the geometry of monolith for maximum photon utilization, and interaction of photons with catalyst and reactants. Also, the photocatalytic H_2 producing system involving study on nanocomposite as well as for all the four components of photocatalytic process towards efficiency enhancement; light, sacrificial agent, photocatalyst, photoreactor will improve the overall efficiency of system.
- (d) The optimization of parameters like catalyst loading, reactant concentration, and reaction time along with their interactive relationship will be helpful in further maximizing the H_2 production. Moreover, the kinetic modelling will help to develop a reaction rate equation for determining the rate of H_2 generation.

1.4 Research Objectives

Following are the objective of this study:

- (a) To fabricate and characterize MAX-Ti₃AlC₂/Ni₂P modified TiO₂ and MXene-Ti₃C₂/LaCoO₃ modified g-C₃N₄ based ternary nanocomposites for higher light utilization;
- (b) To investigate the performance of synthesized nanocomposites and to calculate the photocatalytic H₂ generation under UV and visible light irradiations;
- (c) To explore the performance of slurry, fixed bed, and monolith photoreactors towards photocatalytic H₂ generation over different ternary composites;
- (d) To optimize reaction parameters and to identify the interactive relationship between parameters using RSM;
- (e) To develop a kinetic model for determining reaction rate for photocatalytic H₂ generation and to propose reaction mechanism.

1.5 Scope of Study

The study focuses on maximizing the low efficiency of TiO₂ and PCN binary semiconductor photocatalysts towards visible light induced photocatalytic H₂ production. The modification by semiconductor incorporation and cocatalyst loading for the development of ternary nanocomposite is investigated. In this outlook, the nanocomposites were synthesized, characterized and tested for determining the efficiency towards H₂ generation. Comparative analysis of slurry, fixed bed, and monolith have been studied in detail for effective enhancement of H₂ production. Also, the optimization of reaction parameters was carried out. The study includes an in-depth discussion of the reaction mechanism for the nanocomposite catalysts with the deliberation of kinetic study. The detailed scope of the study is as under which aims at maximizing the amount of H₂ production:

- (a) The synthesis of Ti₃AlC₂ modified TiO₂/Ni₂P and Ti₃C₂ modified LaCoO₃/PCN is carried out for photoactivity enhancement. The modification of TiO₂ is carried out by single sol-gel method. The Ni₂P loading onto TiO₂ is

varied as 1, 3, and 5 wt.% for the synthesis. A coprecipitation followed by hydrothermal method is used to synthesize LaCoO_3 while, for the synthesis Ti_3C_2 , HF exfoliation of Ti_3AlC_2 is carried out and the calcination temperature is varied as 300°C and 500°C for growth of TiO_2 on Ti_3C_2 . Modification of PCN is carried out by wet impregnation method. The loading of LaCoO_3 onto PCN is also varied as 10, 15 and 20 wt.% while, the loading of TiO_2 grown 300°C , 500°C calcined Ti_3C_2 and 300°C calcined $\text{TiO}_2@ \text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2$ loading onto $\text{LaCoO}_3/\text{PCN}$ was varied as 5, 10, 15 and 20 wt.%. The crystallinity, internal surface, and surface morphology, elemental distribution, elemental valance, extend of charge recombination, optical property, vibrational mode of components, and extend of charge recombination is analyzed by characterization of catalysts including XRD, HR-TEM, FE-SEM, EDX, XPS, Raman, PL, and UV-Visible spectroscopy.

- (b) The performance test of pure catalyst, binary and ternary nanocomposites for testing the performance efficiency is conducted by photocatalytic experiments using liquid phase slurry photoreactor. The catalyst is in form of powder mixed with distilled H_2O and CH_3OH as sacrificial agent for reduction reaction under continuous stirring. A continuous flow of nitrogen (N_2) is supplied as well as a Xenon lamp of 35 W with 20 mWcm^{-2} light intensity is used as a visible light source. The photocatalytic H_2 generated is analysed by an intelligent H_2 analyser in ppm and calculation were carried out for conversion into μmol .
- (c) The comparative study of photocatalytic H_2 producing ability from slurry, fixed bed, and monolith photoreactors is carried out to maximize the efficiency of photosystem. Photocatalyst is dispersed in the $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$ mixture under continuous stirring in slurry photoreactor whereas, the photocatalyst is immobilized on the bottom of the fixed bed photoreactor, and photocatalyst is coated onto the monolith in monolith photoreactor. For a comparative analysis to study the parameter of light source, slurry photoreactor is operated in continuous mode under visible light from 35 W Xenon lamp while, fixed bed and monolith is operated in continuous and batch mode under visible light as well as UV light from 35 W Xenon lamp and 200 W Hg lamp, respectively. The efficiency of 5 mm and 10 mm thick monolith for light utilization is also

explored under visible and UV irradiation along with the study of their efficiencies at 25 and 80°C temperatures from H₂O-CH₃OH mixture.

- (d) Optimization by RSM with central composite design (CCD) is carried out for TiO₂ and PCN based ternary nanocomposites to optimize reaction parameters including catalyst loading, CH₃OH concentration, and reaction time having DoE with minimum and maximum range of 0.05-0.15 g, 5-15 %, and to 1-4 h, respectively. The interactive relationship between parameters is also identified.
- (e) The kinetic models for both TiO₂ and PCN based ternary nanocomposites are developed using a modified L-H mechanism-based equation to determine the rate constant (k), adsorption constant (K), and reaction rate (r) using Polymath software.

1.6 Significance of Study

The study addresses the concerns of excessive fossil fuel usage and related environmental issues by providing an alternate clean energy source in the form of H₂. The photocatalytic H₂ generation photosystem comprising of efficient photocatalytic nanocomposites and photoreactors for solar to energy conversion offers a vital and significant contribution towards sustainable development. Moreover, the study also opens up more effective approaches for boosting the efficiency of widely used binary semiconductors i.e., TiO₂ and g-C₃N₄. Following is the significance of the study:

- (a) The Ti₃AlC₂ modified TiO₂/Ni₂P and Ti₃C₂ modified LaCoO₃/PCN lead to the development of highly efficient nanocomposites workable under visible light.
- (b) Development of narrow band gap LaCoO₃ perovskite and ternary nanocomposites with effective charge separation heterojunction and Z-scheme for efficient photoactivity.
- (c) Investigation of photoreactors under varying parameters to get more insight into overcoming the inefficiencies for large-scale production.

- (d) Exploration for efficient nanocomposite as well as for all the four components of photocatalytic process towards efficiency enhancement.
- (e) A major contribution towards sustainable, and environmentally friendly energy production, applicable on an industrial scale.

1.7 Outline of Thesis

The thesis is dissected into six individual chapters eliminating the table of content, list of figures, list of tables, list of abbreviation, symbols, and abstract. Chapter 1 includes the background of the study, problem statement, and hypothesis, research objectives, the scope of study, significance, and outline of this study. Chapter 2 covers the literature review for the research conducted, including fundamentals of photocatalytic, study of previous work carried for various photocatalysts and photoreactor setups, characterization techniques, and development of kinetic models. The details for the methodology adopted for the work is been elaborated in Chapter 3 including synthesis technique for photocatalysts and nanocomposites, photoreactor setups, photoactivity test, regression model, and DoE for RSM as well as kinetic model development. Chapter 4 includes the results and discussion of all conducted characterizations while, Chapter 5 discusses the experimental results for photoactivity and reactors, reaction mechanism, statistical analysis using RSM, and kinetic model development with model fitting. Chapter 6 summarizes the conclusion of the entire research work along with the detailed recommendations.

REFERENCES

1. Umer M, Tahir M, Usman Azam M, Tasleem S, Abbas T, Muhammad A. Synergistic effects of single/multi-walls carbon nanotubes in TiO₂ and process optimization using response surface methodology for photo-catalytic H₂ evolution. *J Environ Chem Eng.* 2019;7(5).
2. Tahir M. Hierarchical 3D VO₂/ZnV₂O₄ microspheres as an excellent visible light photocatalyst for CO₂ reduction to solar fuels. *Appl Surf Sci.* 2019;467-468:1170-80.
3. Rivero MJ, Iglesias O, Ribao P, Ortiz I. Kinetic performance of TiO₂/Pt/reduced graphene oxide composites in the photocatalytic hydrogen production. *Int J Hydrogen Energy.* 2019;44(1):101-9.
4. Lv T, Wu M, Guo M, Liu Q, Jia L. Self-assembly photocatalytic reduction synthesis of graphene-encapsulated LaNiO₃ nanoreactor with high efficiency and stability for photocatalytic water splitting to hydrogen. *Chem Eng J.* 2019;356:580-91.
5. Christoforidis KC, Fornasiero P. Photocatalytic hydrogen production: a rift into the future energy supply. 2017.
6. Navlani-García M, Salinas-Torres D, Mori K, Kuwahara Y, Yamashita H. Photocatalytic Approaches for Hydrogen Production via Formic Acid Decomposition. *Heterogeneous Photocatalysis.* 2020:193-223.
7. Prabhu S, Cindrella L, Kwon OJ, Mohanraju K. Photoelectrochemical, photocatalytic and photochromic performance of rGO-TiO₂WO₃ composites. *Mater Chem Phys.* 2019;224:217-28.
8. Mo Z, Xu H, She X, Song Y, Yan P, Yi J, et al. Constructing Pd/2D-C₃N₄ composites for efficient photocatalytic H₂ evolution through nonplasmon-induced bound electrons. *Appl Surf Sci.* 2019;467-468:151-7.
9. Chiarello GL, Ferri D, Selli E. In situ attenuated total reflection infrared spectroscopy study of the photocatalytic steam reforming of methanol on Pt/TiO₂. *Appl Surf Sci.* 2018;450:146-54.
10. Tahir B, Tahir M, Amin NAS. Silver loaded protonated graphitic carbon nitride (Ag/pg-C₃N₄) nanosheets for stimulating CO₂ reduction to fuels via photocatalytic bi-reforming of methane. *Appl Surf Sci.* 2019;493:18-31.
11. Tahir M, Mulewa W, Amin NAS, Zakaria ZY. Thermodynamic and experimental analysis on ethanol steam reforming for hydrogen production over Ni-modified TiO₂ /MMT nanoclay catalyst. *Energy Convers Manage.* 2017;154:25-37.
12. Luo B, Song R, Geng J, Liu X, Jing D, Wang M, et al. Towards the prominent cocatalytic effect of ultra-small CoP particles anchored on g-C₃N₄ nanosheets for visible light driven photocatalytic H₂ production. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental.* 2019;256.
13. Shehzad N, Tahir M, Johari K, Murugesan T, Hussain M. Fabrication of highly efficient and stable indirect Z-scheme assembly of AgBr/TiO₂ via graphene as a solid-state electron mediator for visible light induced enhanced photocatalytic H₂ production. *Appl Surf Sci.* 2019;463:445-55.
14. Qian X, Zhang J, Guo Z, Liu S, Liu J, Lin J. Facile ultrasound-driven formation and deposition of few-layered MoS₂ nanosheets on CdS for highly enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen evolution. *Appl Surf Sci.* 2019;481:795-801.

15. Zinoviev S, Müller-Langer F, Das P, Bertero Ns, Fornasiero P, Kaltschmitt M, et al. Next-generation biofuels: survey of emerging technologies and sustainability issues. *ChemSusChem*. 2010;3(10):1106-33.
16. Zou Y, Ma D, Sun D, Mao S, He C, Wang Z, et al. Carbon nanosheet facilitated charge separation and transfer between molybdenum carbide and graphitic carbon nitride toward efficient photocatalytic H₂ production. *Appl Surf Sci*. 2019;473:91-101.
17. Wang K, Peng T, Wang Z, Wang H, Chen X, Dai W, et al. Correlation between the H₂ response and its oxidation over TiO₂ and N doped TiO₂ under UV irradiation induced by Fermi level. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 2019;250:89-98.
18. Zhu Y, Wang T, Xu T, Li Y, Wang C. Size effect of Pt co-catalyst on photocatalytic efficiency of g-C₃N₄ for hydrogen evolution. *Appl Surf Sci*. 2019;464:36-42.
19. Yuan Y-J, Shen Z, Wu S, Su Y, Pei L, Ji Z, et al. Liquid exfoliation of g-C₃N₄ nanosheets to construct 2D-2D MoS₂/g-C₃N₄ photocatalyst for enhanced photocatalytic H₂ production activity. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 2019;246:120-8.
20. Fajrina N, Tahir M. A critical review in strategies to improve photocatalytic water splitting towards hydrogen production. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2019;44(2):540-77.
21. Wang L, Zhao J, Liu H, Huang J. Design, modification and application of semiconductor photocatalysts. *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers*. 2018;93:590-602.
22. Mao Q, Chen J, Chen H, Chen Z, Chen J, Li Y. Few-layered 1T-MoS₂-modified ZnCoS solid-solution hollow dodecahedra for enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen evolution. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*. 2019.
23. Chen S, Yang G, Wang C, Yang S, Chen D, Cai X, et al. Magnetic Fe₃C@C nanoparticles as a novel cocatalyst for boosting visible-light-driven photocatalytic performance of g-C₃N₄. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2019;44(49):26970-81.
24. Xu D, Cheng B, Zhang J, Wang W, Yu J, Ho W. Photocatalytic activity of Ag₂MO₄ (M = Cr, Mo, W) photocatalysts. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*. 2015;3(40):20153-66.
25. Zhu M, Kim S, Mao L, Fujitsuka M, Zhang J, Wang X, et al. Metal-free photocatalyst for H₂ evolution in visible to near-infrared region: black phosphorus/graphitic carbon nitride. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 2017;139(37):13234-42.
26. Fajrina N, Tahir M. Engineering approach in stimulating photocatalytic H₂ production in a slurry and monolithic photoreactor systems using Ag-bridged Z-scheme pCN/TiO₂ nanocomposite. *Chem Eng J*. 2019;374:1076-95.
27. Wang P, Xu S, Chen F, Yu H. Ni nanoparticles as electron-transfer mediators and NiS as interfacial active sites for coordinative enhancement of H₂-evolution performance of TiO₂. *Chinese Journal of Catalysis*. 2019;40(3):343-51.
28. Wang L, Liu J, Min Y, Zhang K. Nontopological transformation of hierarchical TiO₂ by self-regulated etching and capping roles of F⁻ for photocatalytic H₂ evolution. *Appl Surf Sci*. 2019;473:738-45.
29. Qi K, Xie Y, Wang R, Liu S-y, Zhao Z. Electroless plating Ni-P cocatalyst decorated g-C₃N₄ with enhanced photocatalytic water splitting for H₂ generation. *Appl Surf Sci*. 2019;466:847-53.
30. Lai CW, Juan JC, Ko WB, Bee Abd Hamid S. An overview: recent development of titanium oxide nanotubes as photocatalyst for dye degradation. *International Journal of Photoenergy*. 2014;2014.

31. Luo X, Li R, Homewood KP, Chen X, Gao Y. Hybrid 0D/2D Ni₂P quantum dot loaded TiO₂ (B) nanosheet photothermal catalysts for enhanced hydrogen evolution. *Appl Surf Sci.* 2020;505:144099.
32. Khazaei M, Mishra A, Venkataramanan NS, Singh AK, Yunoki S. Recent advances in MXenes: From fundamentals to applications. *Current Opinion in Solid State and Materials Science.* 2019.
33. Kočí K, Reli M, Kozák O, Lacný Z, Plachá D, Praus P, et al. Influence of reactor geometry on the yield of CO₂ photocatalytic reduction. *Catalysis today.* 2011;176(1):212-4.
34. Ola O, Maroto-Valer MM. Review of material design and reactor engineering on TiO₂ photocatalysis for CO₂ reduction. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology C: Photochemistry Reviews.* 2015;24:16-42.
35. Tahir B, Tahir M, Amin NS. Gold–indium modified TiO₂ nanocatalysts for photocatalytic CO₂ reduction with H₂ as reductant in a monolith photoreactor. *Applied Surface Science.* 2015;338:1-14.
36. Zhang J, Fu D, Xu Y, Liu C. Optimization of parameters on photocatalytic degradation of chloramphenicol using TiO₂ as photocatalyst by response surface methodology. *Journal of Environmental Sciences.* 2010;22(8):1281-9.
37. Fajrina N, Tahir M. A critical review in strategies to improve photocatalytic water splitting towards hydrogen production. *Int J Hydrogen Energy.* 2019;44(2):540-77.
38. Roy SC, Varghese OK, Paulose M, Grimes CA. Toward solar fuels: photocatalytic conversion of carbon dioxide to hydrocarbons. *Acs Nano.* 2010;4(3):1259-78.
39. Wang Y, Bai X, Qin H, Wang F, Li Y, Li X, et al. Facile one-step synthesis of hybrid graphitic carbon nitride and carbon composites as high-performance catalysts for CO₂ photocatalytic conversion. *ACS applied materials & interfaces.* 2016;8(27):17212-9.
40. Ola O, Maroto-Valer MM. Synthesis, characterization and visible light photocatalytic activity of metal based TiO₂ monoliths for CO₂ reduction. *Chem Eng J.* 2016;283:1244-53.
41. Chong MN, Jin B, Chow CW, Saint C. Recent developments in photocatalytic water treatment technology: a review. *Water Res.* 2010;44(10):2997-3027.
42. Clarizia L, Spasiano D, Di Somma I, Marotta R, Andreozzi R, Dionysiou DD. Copper modified-TiO₂ catalysts for hydrogen generation through photoreforming of organics. A short review. *international journal of hydrogen energy.* 2014;39(30):16812-31.
43. Dincer I, Acar C. Review and evaluation of hydrogen production methods for better sustainability. *International journal of hydrogen energy.* 2015;40(34):11094-111.
44. Tahir M, Amin NS. Advances in visible light responsive titanium oxide-based photocatalysts for CO₂ conversion to hydrocarbon fuels. *Energy Convers Manage.* 2013;76:194-214.
45. Grewe T, Meggouh M, Tüysüz H. Nanocatalysts for solar water splitting and a perspective on hydrogen economy. *Chemistry—An Asian Journal.* 2016;11(1):22-42.
46. Wen J, Xie J, Chen X, Li X. A review on g-C₃N₄-based photocatalysts. *Appl Surf Sci.* 2017;391:72-123.
47. Colón G. Towards the hydrogen production by photocatalysis. *Applied Catalysis A: General.* 2016;518:48-59.

48. Hisatomi T, Kubota J, Domen K. Recent advances in semiconductors for photocatalytic and photoelectrochemical water splitting. *Chemical Society Reviews*. 2014;43(22):7520-35.
49. Shi J, Guo L. ABO₃-based photocatalysts for water splitting. *Progress in Natural Science: Materials International*. 2012;22(6):592-615.
50. Tasleem S, Tahir M. Current trends in strategies to improve photocatalytic performance of perovskites materials for solar to hydrogen production. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2020;132:110073.
51. Liang Z, Dong X, Han Y, Geng J. In-situ growth of 0D/2D Ni₂P quantum dots/red phosphorus nanosheets with p-n heterojunction for efficient photocatalytic H₂ evolution under visible light. *Appl Surf Sci*. 2019;484:293-9.
52. Li Z, Ma Y, Hu X, Liu E, Fan J. Enhanced photocatalytic H₂ production over dual-cocatalyst-modified g-C₃N₄ heterojunctions. *Chinese Journal of Catalysis*. 2019;40(3):434-45.
53. Tentu RD, Basu S. Photocatalytic water splitting for hydrogen production. *Current Opinion in Electrochemistry*. 2017;5(1):56-62.
54. Umer M, Tahir M, Azam MU, Tahir B, Jaffar MM, Alias H. Montmorillonite dispersed single wall carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs)/TiO₂ heterojunction composite for enhanced dynamic photocatalytic H₂ production under visible light. *Applied Clay Science*. 2019;174:110-9.
55. Janáky C, Rajeshwar K, De Tacconi N, Chanmanee W, Huda M. Tungsten-based oxide semiconductors for solar hydrogen generation. *Catalysis today*. 2013;199:53-64.
56. Attia Y, Samer M. Metal clusters: New era of hydrogen production. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2017;79:878-92.
57. Carrasco-Jaim OA, Ahumada-Lazo R, Clark PCJ, Gómez-Solis C, Fairclough SM, Haigh SJ, et al. Photocatalytic hydrogen production by biomimetic indium sulfide using Mimosa pudica leaves as template. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2019;44(5):2770-83.
58. Umer M, Tahir M, Azam MU, Jaffar MM. Metals free MWCNTs@TiO₂@MMT heterojunction composite with MMT as a mediator for fast charges separation towards visible light driven photocatalytic hydrogen evolution. *Applied Surface Science*. 2019;463:747-57.
59. Hamilton JW, Byrne JA, Dunlop PS, Dionysiou DD, Pelaez M, O'Shea K, et al. Evaluating the mechanism of visible light activity for N, F-TiO₂ using photoelectrochemistry. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*. 2014;118(23):12206-15.
60. Acar C, Dincer I, Naterer GF. Review of photocatalytic water-splitting methods for sustainable hydrogen production. *International Journal of Energy Research*. 2016;40(11):1449-73.
61. An X, Hu C, Liu H, Qu J. Hierarchical nanotubular anatase/rutile/TiO₂ (B) heterophase junction with oxygen vacancies for enhanced photocatalytic H₂ production. *Langmuir*. 2018;34(5):1883-9.
62. Umer M, Tahir M, Azam MU, Tahir B, Musaab M. Self-doped Ti³⁺ mediated TiO₂/In₂O₃/SWCNTs heterojunction composite under acidic/basic heat medium for boosting visible light induced H₂ evolution. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2019;44(26):13466-79.
63. Liu X, Wang P, Zhai H, Zhang Q, Huang B, Wang Z, et al. Synthesis of synergetic phosphorus and cyano groups (CN) modified g-C₃N₄ for enhanced photocatalytic H₂ production and CO₂ reduction under visible light irradiation. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 2018;232:521-30.

64. Patnaik S, Sahoo DP, Parida K. An overview on Ag modified g-C₃N₄ based nanostructured materials for energy and environmental applications. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2018;82:1297-312.
65. Zhang M, Lin H, Cao J, Guo X, Chen S. Construction of novel S/CdS type II heterojunction for photocatalytic H₂ production under visible light: The intrinsic positive role of elementary α -S. *Chemical Engineering Journal*. 2017;321:484-94.
66. Zhao D, Yang C-F. Recent advances in the TiO₂/CdS nanocomposite used for photocatalytic hydrogen production and quantum-dot-sensitized solar cells. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2016;54:1048-59.
67. Wang H, Chen W, Zhang J, Huang C, Mao L. Nickel nanoparticles modified CdS—a potential photocatalyst for hydrogen production through water splitting under visible light irradiation. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2015;40(1):340-5.
68. Buehler N, Meier K, Reber JF. Photochemical hydrogen production with cadmium sulfide suspensions. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry*. 1984;88(15):3261-8.
69. Chen F, Luo W, Mo Y, Yu H, Cheng B. In situ photodeposition of amorphous CoS_x on the TiO₂ towards hydrogen evolution. *Appl Surf Sci*. 2018;430:448-56.
70. Wei S, Ni S, Xu X. A new approach to inducing Ti³⁺ in anatase TiO₂ for efficient photocatalytic hydrogen production. *Chinese Journal of Catalysis*. 2018;39(3):510-6.
71. Yang J, Wang D, Han H, Li C. Roles of cocatalysts in photocatalysis and photoelectrocatalysis. *Acc Chem Res*. 2013;46(8):1900-9.
72. Xu J, Brenner TJ, Chabanne L, Neher D, Antonietti M, Shalom M. Liquid-based growth of polymeric carbon nitride layers and their use in a mesostructured polymer solar cell with V(oc) exceeding 1 V. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2014;136(39):13486-9.
73. Xu J, Wang G, Fan J, Liu B, Cao S, Yu J. g-C₃N₄ modified TiO₂ nanosheets with enhanced photoelectric conversion efficiency in dye-sensitized solar cells. *J Power Sources*. 2015;274:77-84.
74. Wang X, Maeda K, Thomas A, Takanabe K, Xin G, Carlsson JM, et al. A metal-free polymeric photocatalyst for hydrogen production from water under visible light. *Nat Mater*. 2009;8(1):76-80.
75. Zhang J, Chen X, Takanabe K, Maeda K, Domen K, Epping JD, et al. Synthesis of a carbon nitride structure for visible-light catalysis by copolymerization. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl*. 2010;49(2):441-4.
76. Yan SC, Lv SB, Li ZS, Zou ZG. Organic-inorganic composite photocatalyst of g-C₃N₄ and TaON with improved visible light photocatalytic activities. *Dalton Trans*. 2010;39(6):1488-91.
77. Fajrina N, Tahir M. 2D-montmorillonite-dispersed g-C₃N₄/TiO₂ 2D/0Dnanocomposite for enhanced photo-induced H₂ evolution from glycerol-water mixture. *Applied Surface Science*. 2019;471:1053-64.
78. Zhang X, Xie X, Wang H, Zhang J, Pan B, Xie Y. Enhanced photoresponsive ultrathin graphitic-phase-C₃N₄ nanosheets for bioimaging. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2013;135(1):18-21.
79. Zheng Y, Liu J, Liang J, Jaroniec M, Qiao SZ. Graphitic carbon nitride materials: controllable synthesis and applications in fuel cells and photocatalysis. *Energy & Environmental Science*. 2012;5(5):6717.
80. Yin S, Han J, Zhou T, Xu R. Recent progress in g-C₃N₄ based low cost photocatalytic system: activity enhancement and emerging applications. *Catal Sci Technol*. 2015;5(12):5048-61.

81. Zhang J, Sun J, Maeda K, Domen K, Liu P, Antonietti M, et al. Sulfur-mediated synthesis of carbon nitride: Band-gap engineering and improved functions for photocatalysis. *Energy Environ Sci.* 2011;4(3):675-8.
82. Wen J, Xie J, Chen X, Li X. A review on g-C₃N₄-based photocatalysts. *Appl Surf Sci.* 2017;391:72-123.
83. Liu Y, Liu H, Zhou H, Li T, Zhang L. A Z-scheme mechanism of N-ZnO/g-C₃N₄ for enhanced H₂ evolution and photocatalytic degradation. *Appl Surf Sci.* 2019;466:133-40.
84. Su T, Hood ZD, Naguib M, Bai L, Luo S, Rouleau CM, et al. 2D/2D heterojunction of Ti₃C₂/g-C₃N₄ nanosheets for enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen evolution. *Nanoscale.* 2019;11(17):8138-49.
85. Zeng Y, Wang Y, Chen J, Jiang Y, Kiani M, Li B, et al. Fabrication of high-activity hybrid NiTiO₃/g-C₃N₄ heterostructured photocatalysts for water splitting to enhanced hydrogen production. *Ceram Int.* 2016;42(10):12297-305.
86. Chen P, Xing P, Chen Z, Hu X, Lin H, Zhao L, et al. In-situ synthesis of AgNbO₃/g-C₃N₄ photocatalyst via microwave heating method for efficiently photocatalytic H₂ generation. *J Colloid Interface Sci.* 2019;534:163-71.
87. Lv J, Dai K, Zhang J, Liu Q, Liang C, Zhu G. Facile constructing novel 2D porous g-C₃N₄/BiOBr hybrid with enhanced visible-light-driven photocatalytic activity. *Sep Purif Technol.* 2017;178:6-17.
88. Wang H, Zhang X, Xie J, Zhang J, Ma P, Pan B, et al. Structural distortion in graphitic-C₃N₄ realizing an efficient photoreactivity. *Nanoscale.* 2015;7(12):5152-6.
89. Wang J, Guan Z, Huang J, Li Q, Yang J. Enhanced photocatalytic mechanism for the hybrid g-C₃N₄/MoS₂ nanocomposite. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A.* 2014;2(21):7960-6.
90. Wang J, Wang G, Wang X, Wu Y, Su Y, Tang H. 3D/2D direct Z-scheme heterojunctions of hierarchical TiO₂ microflowers/g-C₃N₄ nanosheets with enhanced charge carrier separation for photocatalytic H₂ evolution. *Carbon.* 2019;149:618-26.
91. Cao R, Yang H, Zhang S, Xu X. Engineering of Z-scheme 2D/3D architectures with Ni(OH)₂ on 3D porous g-C₃N₄ for efficiently photocatalytic H₂ evolution. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental.* 2019;258:117997.
92. Li Y-p, Li F-t, Wang X-j, Zhao J, Wei J-n, Hao Y-j, et al. Z-scheme electronic transfer of quantum-sized α -Fe₂O₃ modified g-C₃N₄ hybrids for enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen production. *Int J Hydrogen Energy.* 2017;42(47):28327-36.
93. Zou Y, Shi J-W, Ma D, Fan Z, Niu C, Wang L. Fabrication of g-C₃N₄/Au/C-TiO₂ hollow structure as visible-light-driven Z-scheme photocatalyst with enhanced photocatalytic H₂ evolution. *ChemCatChem.* 2017.
94. Ahmad H, Kamarudin SK, Minggu LJ, Kassim M. Hydrogen from photocatalytic water splitting process: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews.* 2015;43:599-610.
95. Fajrina N, Tahir M. 2D-montmorillonite-dispersed g-C₃N₄/TiO₂ 2D/0D heterojunction composite for enhanced visible-light-induced H₂ evolution from glycerol-water mixture 2018.
96. Huang J, Jiang Y, Li G, Xue C, Guo W. Hetero-structural NiTiO₃/TiO₂ nanotubes for efficient photocatalytic hydrogen generation. *Renewable Energy.* 2017;111:410-5.
97. Azam MU, Tahir M, Umer M, Jaffar MM, Nawawi MGM. Engineering approach to enhance photocatalytic water splitting for dynamic H₂ production using La₂O₃/TiO₂ nanocatalyst in a monolith photoreactor. *Appl Surf Sci.* 2019;484:1089-101.

98. Sanzone G, Zimbone M, Cacciato G, Ruffino F, Carles R, Privitera V, et al. Ag/TiO₂ nanocomposite for visible light-driven photocatalysis. *Superlattices Microstruct.* 2018;123:394-402.
99. Tiwari A, Pal U. Effect of donor-donor- π -acceptor architecture of triphenylamine-based organic sensitizers over TiO₂ photocatalysts for visible-light-driven hydrogen production. *Int J Hydrogen Energy.* 2015;40(30):9069-79.
100. Yao X, Liu T, Liu X, Lu L. Loading of CdS nanoparticles on the (101) surface of elongated TiO₂ nanocrystals for efficient visible-light photocatalytic hydrogen evolution from water splitting. *Chem Eng J.* 2014;255:28-39.
101. Zhang J, Yu Z, Gao Z, Ge H, Zhao S, Chen C, et al. Porous TiO₂ nanotubes with spatially separated platinum and CoO_x cocatalysts produced by atomic layer deposition for photocatalytic hydrogen production. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2017;56(3):816-20.
102. Lv Y-R, Liu C-J, He R-K, Li X, Xu Y-H. BiVO₄/TiO₂ heterojunction with enhanced photocatalytic activities and photoelectrochemistry performances under visible light illumination. *Mater Res Bull.* 2019;117:35-40.
103. Zhu Y, Wang Y, Chen Z, Qin L, Yang L, Zhu L, et al. Visible light induced photocatalysis on CdS quantum dots decorated TiO₂ nanotube arrays. *Applied Catalysis A: General.* 2015;498:159-66.
104. Raghavan A, Sarkar S, Nagappagari LR, Bojja S, MuthukondaVenkatakrishnan S, Ghosh S. decoration of graphene quantum dots on TiO₂ nanostructures: photosensitizer and cocatalyst role for enhanced hydrogen generation. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research.* 2020;59(29):13060-8.
105. Geng R, Yin J, Zhou J, Jiao T, Feng Y, Zhang L, et al. In Situ Construction of Ag/TiO₂/g-C₃N₄ Heterojunction Nanocomposite Based on Hierarchical Co-Assembly with Sustainable Hydrogen Evolution. *Nanomaterials.* 2020;10(1):1.
106. Han X, Yao B, Li K, Zhu W, Zhang X. Preparation and photocatalytic performances of WO₃/TiO₂ composite nanofibers. *Journal of Chemistry.* 2020;2020.
107. Yang J, Shi C, Dong Y, Su H, Sun H, Guo Y, et al. Efficient hydrogen generation of vector Z-scheme CaTiO₃/Cu/TiO₂ photocatalyst assisted by cocatalyst Cu nanoparticles. *J Colloid Interface Sci.* 2022;605:373-84.
108. Lv B, Lu L, Feng X, Wu X, Wang X, Zou X, et al. Efficient photocatalytic hydrogen production using an NH₄TiOF₃/TiO₂/g-C₃N₄ composite with a 3D camellia-like Z-scheme heterojunction structure. *Ceram Int.* 2020;46(17):26689-97.
109. Chen R, Wang P, Chen J, Wang C, Ao Y. Synergetic effect of MoS₂ and MXene on the enhanced H₂ evolution performance of CdS under visible light irradiation. *Appl Surf Sci.* 2019;473:11-9.
110. Wang W, Fang J. Mesoporous SiO₂-derived g-C₃N₄@ CdS core-shell heteronanostructure for efficient and stable photocatalytic H₂ production. *Ceram Int.* 2020;46(2):2384-91.
111. Zubair M, Svenum I-H, Rønning M, Yang J. Facile synthesis approach for core-shell TiO₂-CdS nanoparticles for enhanced photocatalytic H₂ generation from water. *Catal Today.* 2019;328:15-20.
112. Gao P, Liu J, Lee S, Zhang T, Sun DD. High quality graphene oxide-CdS-Pt nanocomposites for efficient photocatalytic hydrogen evolution. *J Mater Chem.* 2012;22(5):2292-8.
113. Wang Y, Zhang X, Liu Y, Zhao Y, Xie C, Song Y, et al. Crystallinity and phase controlling of g-C₃N₄ /CdS hetrostructures towards high efficient photocatalytic H₂ generation. *Int J Hydrogen Energy.* 2019;44(57):30151-9.

114. Zhong W, Tu W, Feng S, Xu A. Photocatalytic H₂ evolution on CdS nanoparticles by loading FeSe nanorods as co-catalyst under visible light irradiation. *J Alloys Compd.* 2019;772:669-74.
115. He J, Chen L, Yi Z-Q, Au C-T, Yin S-F. CdS Nanorods Coupled with WS₂ Nanosheets for Enhanced Photocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution Activity. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research.* 2016;55(30):8327-33.
116. Grabowska E. Selected perovskite oxides: characterization, preparation and photocatalytic properties—a review. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental.* 2016;186:97-126.
117. Kanhere P, Chen Z. A review on visible light active perovskite-based photocatalysts. *Small Mol.* 2014;19(12):19995-20022.
118. Xu D, Yang S, Jin Y, Chen M, Fan W, Luo B, et al. Ag-decorated ATaO₃ (A=K, Na) nanocube plasmonic photocatalysts with enhanced photocatalytic water-splitting properties. *Langmuir.* 2015;31(35):9694-9.
119. Nuraje N, Su K. Perovskite ferroelectric nanomaterials. *Nanoscale.* 2013;5(19):8752-80.
120. Hu C-C, Teng H. Influence of structural features on the photocatalytic activity of NaTaO₃ powders from different synthesis methods. *Applied Catalysis A: General.* 2007;331:44-50.
121. Niishiro R, Tanaka S, Kudo A. Hydrothermal-synthesized SrTiO₃ photocatalyst codoped with rhodium and antimony with visible-light response for sacrificial H₂ and O₂ evolution and application to overall water splitting. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental.* 2014;150:187-96.
122. Chen Z, Xing P, Chen P, Chen Q, Wang Y, Yu J, et al. Synthesis of carbon doped KTaO₃ and its enhanced performance in photocatalytic H₂ generation. *Catal Commun.* 2018;109:6-9.
123. Husin H, Chen H-M, Su W-N, Pan C-J, Chuang W-T, Sheu H-S, et al. Green fabrication of La-doped NaTaO₃ via H₂O₂ assisted sol-gel route for photocatalytic hydrogen production. *Appl Catal, B* 2011;102(1-2):343-51.
124. Liu J, Chen G, Li Z, Zhang Z. Hydrothermal synthesis and photocatalytic properties of ATaO₃ and ANbO₃ (A= Na and K). *Int J Hydrogen Energy.* 2007;32(13):2269-72.
125. Modak B, Modak P, Ghosh SK. Improving visible light photocatalytic activity of NaNbO₃: a DFT based investigation. *RSC Adv.* 2016;6(93):90188-96.
126. Kumar S, Parthasarathy R, Singh AP, Wickman B, Thirumal M, Ganguli AK. Dominant {100} facet selectivity for enhanced photocatalytic activity of NaNbO₃ in NaNbO₃/CdS core/shell heterostructures. *Catalysis Science & Technology.* 2017;7(2):481-95.
127. Liu Q, Chai Y, Zhang L, Ren J, Dai W-L. Highly efficient Pt/NaNbO₃ nanowire photocatalyst: Its morphology effect and application in water purification and H₂ production. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental.* 2017;205:505-13.
128. Zielińska B, Borowiak-Palen E, Kalenczuk RJ. Preparation, characterization and photocatalytic activity of metal-loaded NaNbO₃. *J Phys Chem Solids.* 2011;72(2):117-23.
129. Alammar T, Hamm I, Wark M, Mudring A-V. Low-temperature route to metal titanate perovskite nanoparticles for photocatalytic applications. *Appl Catal, B.* 2015;178:20-8.
130. Ikeue K, Yamamoto Y, Suzuki M. Photocatalytic Activity for Hydrogen Evolution of Heteroatom-Doped SrTiO₃ Prepared Using a Graphitic-Carbon Nitride Nanosheet. *Ceramics.* 2020;3(1):22-30.

131. Sharma P, Lee C-T, Wu JC-S. Sunlight-driven photocatalytic hydrogen production from water using metal-doped Strontium Titanate perovskite. 2020.
132. Carrasco-Jaim OA, Mora-Hernandez JM, Torres-Martínez LM, Moctezuma E. A comparative study on the photocatalytic hydrogen production of ATiO_3 (A = Zn, Cd and Pb) perovskites and their photoelectrochemical properties. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry*. 2019;371:98-108.
133. Zhang H, Chen G, Li Y, Teng Y. Electronic structure and photocatalytic properties of copper-doped CaTiO_3 . *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2010;35(7):2713-6.
134. Zhao H, Duan Y, Sun X. Synthesis and characterization of CaTiO_3 particles with controlled shape and size. *New J Chem*. 2013;37(4):986-91.
135. Lozano-Sánchez LM, Méndez-Medrano MG, Colbeau-Justin C, Rodríguez-López JL, Hernández-Uresti DB, Obregón S. Long-lived photoinduced charge-carriers in Er^{3+} doped CaTiO_3 for photocatalytic H_2 production under UV irradiation. *Catal Commun*. 2016;84:36-9.
136. Wang R, Ni S, Liu G, Xu X. Hollow CaTiO_3 cubes modified by La/Cr co-doping for efficient photocatalytic hydrogen production. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 2018;225:139-47.
137. Zhang N, Qu Y, Pan K, Wang G, Li Y. Synthesis of pure phase $\text{Mg}_{1.2}\text{Ti}_{1.8}\text{O}_5$ and MgTiO_3 nanocrystals for photocatalytic hydrogen production. *Nano Research*. 2016;9(3):726-34.
138. Zhang N, Qu Y, Pan K, Wang G, Li Y. Synthesis of pure phase $\text{Mg}_{1.2}\text{Ti}_{1.8}\text{O}_5$ and MgTiO_3 nanocrystals for photocatalytic hydrogen production. *Nano Research*. 2016;9.
139. Lin Y, Yuan G, Sheehan S, Zhou S, Wang D. Hematite-based solar water splitting: challenges and opportunities. *Energy & Environmental Science*. 2011;4(12):4862-9.
140. Tang P, Tong Y, Chen H, Cao F, Pan G. Microwave-assisted synthesis of nanoparticulate perovskite LaFeO_3 as a high active visible-light photocatalyst. *Current Applied Physics*. 2013;13(2):340-3.
141. Chen S, Wang L-W. Thermodynamic oxidation and reduction potentials of photocatalytic semiconductors in aqueous solution. *Chem Mater*. 2012;24(18):3659-66.
142. Iervolino G, Vaiano V, Sannino D, Rizzo L, Palma V. Enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen production from glucose aqueous matrices on Ru-doped LaFeO_3 . *Appl Catal, B*. 2017;207:182-94.
143. Yang Y, Kang L, Li H. Enhancement of photocatalytic hydrogen production of BiFeO_3 by Gd^{3+} doping. *Ceram Int*. 2018.
144. Tijare S, Bakardjieva S, Subrt J, Joshi M, Rayalu S, Hishita S, et al. Synthesis and visible light photocatalytic activity of nanocrystalline PrFeO_3 perovskite for hydrogen generation in ethanol–water system. *Journal of Chemical Sciences*. 2014;126(2):517-25.
145. Kanhere P, Chen Z. A review on visible light active perovskite-based photocatalysts. *Molecules*. 2014;19(12):19995-20022.
146. Guo Z, Sa B, Pathak B, Zhou J, Ahuja R, Sun Z. Band gap engineering in huge-gap semiconductor SrZrO_3 for visible-light photocatalysis. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2014;39(5):2042-8.
147. Tian Q, Zhang L, Liu J, Li N, Ma Q, Zhou J, et al. Synthesis of $\text{MoS}_2/\text{SrZrO}_3$ heterostructures and their photocatalytic H_2 evolution under UV irradiation. *RSC Advances*. 2014;5(1):734-9.

148. Abe R. Recent progress on photocatalytic and photoelectrochemical water splitting under visible light irradiation. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology C: Photochemistry Reviews*. 2010;11(4):179-209.
149. Chen D, Ye J. SrSnO₃ nanostructures: synthesis, characterization, and photocatalytic properties. *Chemistry of materials*. 2007;19(18):4585-91.
150. Lee CW, Kim DW, Cho IS, Park S, Shin SS, Seo SW, et al. Simple synthesis and characterization of SrSnO₃ nanoparticles with enhanced photocatalytic activity. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2012;37(14):10557-63.
151. Zhang WF, Tang J, Ye J. Photoluminescence and photocatalytic properties of SrSnO₃ perovskite. *Chemical Physics Letters*. 2006;418(1):174-8.
152. Zhang W, Tang J, Ye J. Structural, photocatalytic, and photophysical properties of perovskite MSnO₃ (M= Ca, Sr, and Ba) photocatalysts. *J Mater Res*. 2007;22(7):1859-71.
153. Yao S, Wu J, Li W, Zheng R, Li R, Chen Y, et al. LaCoO₃ co-catalyst modified Ag₂CrO₄ for improved visible-light-driven photocatalytic degradation of tetracycline. *Sep Purif Technol*. 2019;227:115691.
154. Qin J, Lin L, Wang X. A perovskite oxide LaCoO₃ cocatalyst for efficient photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with visible light. *Chem Commun*. 2018;54(18):2272-5.
155. Zhang B-T, Liu J, Yue S, Teng Y, Wang Z, Li X, et al. Hot electron injection: An efficacious approach to charge LaCoO₃ for improving the water splitting efficiency. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 2017;219:432-8.
156. Wu M, Luo M, Guo M, Jia L. Sugarcane Bagasse Hydrolysis by Metal Ions Mediated Synthesis of Perovskite LaCoO₃ and the Photocatalytic Performance for Hydrogen from Formaldehyde Solution under Visible Light. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering*. 2017;5(12):11558-65.
157. Ajmal S, Bibi I, Majid F, Ata S, Kamran K, Jilani K, et al. Effect of Fe and Bi doping on LaCoO₃ structural, magnetic, electric and catalytic properties. *Journal of Materials Research and Technology*. 2019;8(5):4831-42.
158. Jia L, Li J, Fang W, Song H, Li Q, Tang Y. Visible-light-induced photocatalyst based on C-doped LaCoO₃ synthesized by novel microorganism chelate method. *Catal Commun*. 2009;10(8):1230-4.
159. Guo J, Li P, Yang Z. A novel Z-scheme g-C₃N₄/LaCoO₃ heterojunction with enhanced photocatalytic activity in degradation of tetracycline hydrochloride. *Catal Commun*. 2019;122:63-7.
160. Subha N, Mahalakshmi M, Myilsamy M, Reddy NL, Shankar M, Neppolian B, et al. Influence of synthesis conditions on the photocatalytic activity of mesoporous Ni doped SrTiO₃/TiO₂ heterostructure for H₂ production under solar light irradiation. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*. 2017;522:193-206.
161. Pan J, Jiang Z, Feng S, Zhao C, Dong Z, Wang B, et al. The enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen production of the fusiform g-C₃N₄ modification CaTiO₃ nano-heterojunction. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2018;43(41):19019-28.
162. Ling F, Anthony OC, Xiong Q, Luo M, Pan X, Jia L, et al. PdO/LaCoO₃ heterojunction photocatalysts for highly hydrogen production from formaldehyde aqueous solution under visible light. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2016;41(14):6115-22.
163. Yang P, Yang Y, Jiang L, He J, Chen D, Chen Y, et al. Significantly Enhanced Photocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution Under Visible Light Over LaCoO₃-Decorated Cubic/Hexagonal Mn_{0.25}Cd_{0.75}S. *Catal Lett*. 2021.

164. Wang M, Zheng D, Ye M, Zhang C, Xu B, Lin C, et al. One-Dimensional Densely Aligned Perovskite-Decorated Semiconductor Heterojunctions with Enhanced Photocatalytic Activity. *Small*. 2015;11(12):1436-42.
165. Ramos-Sanchez JE, Camposeco R, Lee S-W, Rodríguez-González V. Sustainable synthesis of AgNPs/strontium-titanate-perovskite-like catalysts for the photocatalytic production of hydrogen. *Catal Today*. 2020;341:112-9.
166. Ibarra-Rodriguez LI, Huerta-Flores AM, Mora-Hernandez JM, Torres-Martínez LM. Photocatalytic evolution of H₂ over visible-light active LaMO₃ (M: Co, Mn, Fe) perovskite materials: Roles of oxygenated species in catalytic performance. *J Phys Chem Solids*. 2020;136:109189.
167. Carrasco-Jaim OA, Huerta-Flores AM, Torres-Martínez LM, Moctezuma E. Fast in-situ photodeposition of Ag and Cu nanoparticles onto AgTaO₃ perovskite for an enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen generation. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2020;45(16):9744-57.
168. Xie P, Yang F, Li R, Ai C, Lin C, Lin S. Improving hydrogen evolution activity of perovskite BaTiO₃ with Mo doping: Experiments and first-principles analysis. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2019;44(23):11695-704.
169. Yang Y, Kang L, Li H. Enhancement of photocatalytic hydrogen production of BiFeO₃ by Gd³⁺ doping. *Ceram Int*. 2019;45(6):8017-22.
170. Chen T, Meng J, Lin Q, Wei X, Li J, Zhang Z. One-step synthesis of hollow BaZrO₃ nanocrystals with oxygen vacancies for photocatalytic hydrogen evolution from pure water. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*. 2019;780:498-503.
171. Meng J, Fu X, Du K, Chen X, Lin Q, Wei X, et al. BaZrO₃ hollow nanostructure with Fe (III) doping for photocatalytic hydrogen evolution under visible light. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2018;43(19):9224-32.
172. Jiang Z, Pan J, Wang B, Li C. Two dimensional Z-scheme AgCl/Ag/CaTiO₃ nano-heterojunctions for photocatalytic hydrogen production enhancement. *Appl Surf Sci*. 2018;436:519-26.
173. Qu Z, Wang J, Tang J, Shu X, Liu X, Zhang Z, et al. Carbon quantum dots/KNbO₃ hybrid composites with enhanced visible-light driven photocatalytic activity toward dye waste-water degradation and hydrogen production. *Molecular Catalysis*. 2018;445:1-11.
174. Yu J, Chen Z, Zeng L, Ma Y, Feng Z, Wu Y, et al. Synthesis of carbon-doped KNbO₃ photocatalyst with excellent performance for photocatalytic hydrogen production. *Sol Energy Mater Sol Cells*. 2018;179:45-56.
175. Zhou D, Zhai P, Hu G, Yang J. Upconversion luminescence and enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen production for Er³⁺ doped SrTiO₃ nanoparticles. *Chemical Physics Letters*. 2018;711:77-80.
176. Yeh CL, Shen YG. Effects of using Al₄C₃ as a reactant on formation of Ti₃AlC₂ by combustion synthesis in SHS mode. *J Alloys Compd*. 2009;473(1):408-13.
177. Zhang J, Li S, Hu S, Zhou Y. Chemical Stability of Ti₃C₂ MXene with Al in the Temperature Range 500–700° C. *Materials*. 2018;11(10):1979.
178. Li Y, Ding L, Yin S, Liang Z, Xue Y, Wang X, et al. Photocatalytic H₂ Evolution on TiO₂ Assembled with Ti₃C₂ MXene and Metallic 1T-WS₂ as Co-catalysts. *Nanomicro Lett*. 2019;12(1):6.
179. Ganguly P, Harb M, Cao Z, Cavallo L, Breen A, Dervin S, et al. 2D Nanomaterials for Photocatalytic Hydrogen Production. *ACS Energy Letters*. 2019;4(7):1687-709.

180. Tahir M. Enhanced photocatalytic CO₂ reduction to fuels through bireforming of methane over structured 3D MAX Ti₃AlC₂/TiO₂ heterojunction in a monolith photoreactor. *Journal of CO₂ Utilization*. 2020;38:99-112.
181. Ng WH, Gnanakumar ES, Batyrev E, Sharma SK, Pujari PK, Greer HF, et al. The Ti₃AlC₂ MAX Phase as an Efficient Catalyst for Oxidative Dehydrogenation of n-Butane. *Angewandte Chemie*. 2018;130(6):1501-6.
182. Naguib M, Kurtoglu M, Presser V, Lu J, Niu J, Heon M, et al. Two-dimensional nanocrystals produced by exfoliation of Ti₃AlC₂. *Adv Mater*. 2011;23(37):4248-53.
183. Li S, Tuo P, Xie J, Zhang X, Xu J, Bao J, et al. Ultrathin MXene nanosheets with rich fluorine termination groups realizing efficient electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution. *Nano Energy*. 2018;47:512-8.
184. Jiang X, Kuklin AV, Baev A, Ge Y, Ågren H, Zhang H, et al. Two-dimensional MXenes: From morphological to optical, electric, and magnetic properties and applications. *Physics Reports*. 2020;848:1-58.
185. Wang Y, Wu Q, Zhang B, Tian L, Li K, Zhang X. Recent Advances in Transition Metal Carbide Electrocatalysts for Oxygen Evolution Reaction. *Catalysts*. 2020;10(10):1164.
186. Li Y, Liu Y, Xing D, Wang J, Zheng L, Wang Z, et al. 2D/2D heterostructure of ultrathin BiVO₄/Ti₃C₂ nanosheets for photocatalytic overall Water splitting. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 2021;285:119855.
187. Ashraf I, Ahmad S, Rizwan S, Iqbal M. Fabrication of Ti₃C₂@ MoO₃ nanocomposite as an electrode material for highly efficient and durable water splitting system. *Fuel*. 2021;299:120928.
188. He F, Zhu B, Cheng B, Yu J, Ho W, Macyk W. 2D/2D/0D TiO₂/C₃N₄/Ti₃C₂ MXene composite S-scheme photocatalyst with enhanced CO₂ reduction activity. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 2020;272:119006.
189. Tan B, Fang Y, Chen Q, Ao X, Cao Y. Construction of Bi₂O₂CO₃/Ti₃C₂ heterojunctions for enhancing the visible-light photocatalytic activity of tetracycline degradation. *J Colloid Interface Sci*. 2021.
190. Zhang R, Xue Z, Qin J, Sawangphruk M, Zhang X, Liu R. NiCo-LDH/Ti₃C₂ MXene hybrid materials for lithium ion battery with high-rate capability and long cycle life. *Journal of Energy Chemistry*. 2020;50:143-53.
191. Ma K, Dong Y, Jiang H, Hu Y, Saha P, Li C. Densified MoS₂/Ti₃C₂ films with balanced porosity for ultrahigh volumetric capacity sodium-ion battery. *Chem Eng J*. 2021;413:127479.
192. Zhang D, Cao J, Zhang X, Insin N, Liu R, Qin J. NiMn layered double hydroxide nanosheets in-situ anchored on Ti₃C₂ MXene via chemical bonds for superior supercapacitors. *ACS Applied Energy Materials*. 2020;3(6):5949-64.
193. Choi J, Kim YJ, Cho SY, Park K, Kang H, Kim SJ, et al. In situ formation of multiple schottky barriers in a Ti₃C₂ MXene film and its application in highly sensitive gas sensors. *Adv Funct Mater*. 2020;30(40):2003998.
194. Yang X, Feng M, Xia J, Zhang F, Wang Z. An electrochemical biosensor based on AuNPs/Ti₃C₂ MXene three-dimensional nanocomposite for microRNA-155 detection by exonuclease III-aided cascade target recycling. *J Electroanal Chem*. 2020;878:114669.
195. Sun Y, Meng X, Dall'Agnese Y, Dall'Agnese C, Duan S, Gao Y, et al. 2D MXenes as Co-catalysts in Photocatalysis: Synthetic Methods. *Nano-Micro Letters*. 2019;11(1):79.

196. Ran J, Gao G, Li F, Ma T, Du A, Qiao S. Ti₃C₂ MXene co-catalyst on metal sulfide photo-absorbers for enhanced visible-light photocatalytic hydrogen production. 2017.
197. Gao G, O'Mullane AP, Du A. 2D MXenes: a new family of promising catalysts for the hydrogen evolution reaction. *Acs Catalysis*. 2017;7(1):494-500.
198. Cheng L, Li X, Zhang H, Xiang Q. Two-dimensional transition metal MXene-based photocatalysts for solar fuel generation. *The journal of physical chemistry letters*. 2019;10(12):3488-94.
199. Alhabeb M, Maleski K, Anasori B, Lelyukh P, Clark L, Sin S, et al. Guidelines for synthesis and processing of two-dimensional titanium carbide (Ti₃C₂T_x MXene). *Chemistry of Materials*. 2017;29(18):7633-44.
200. Munir S, Rasheed A, Rasheed T, Ayman I, Ajmal S, Rehman A, et al. Exploring the Influence of Critical Parameters for the Effective Synthesis of High-Quality 2D MXene. *ACS omega*. 2020;5(41):26845-54.
201. Tang R, Xiong S, Gong D, Deng Y, Wang Y, Su L, et al. Ti₃C₂ 2D MXene: Recent Progress and Perspectives in Photocatalysis. *ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces*. 2020;12(51):56663-80.
202. Sreedhar A, Noh J-S. Recent advances in partially and completely derived 2D Ti₃C₂ MXene based TiO₂ nanocomposites towards photocatalytic applications: A review. *Solar Energy*. 2021;222:48-73.
203. Xiao R, Zhao C, Zou Z, Chen Z, Tian L, Xu H, et al. In situ fabrication of 1D CdS nanorod/2D Ti₃C₂ MXene nanosheet Schottky heterojunction toward enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen evolution. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 2020;268:118382.
204. Li J, Zhao L, Wang S, Li J, Wang G, Wang J. In situ fabrication of 2D/3D g-C₃N₄/Ti₃C₂ (MXene) heterojunction for efficient visible-light photocatalytic hydrogen evolution. *Appl Surf Sci*. 2020;515:145922.
205. Nguyen V-H, Nguyen B-S, Hu C, Nguyen CC, Nguyen DLT, Nguyen Dinh MT, et al. Novel architecture titanium carbide (Ti₃C₂T_x) MXene cocatalysts toward photocatalytic hydrogen production: a mini-review. *Nanomaterials*. 2020;10(4):602.
206. Peng S, Yang Y, Tan J, Gan C, Li Y. In situ loading of Ni₂P on Cd_{0.5}Zn_{0.5}S with red phosphorus for enhanced visible light photocatalytic H₂ evolution. *Appl Surf Sci*. 2018;447:822-8.
207. Qi Y, Xu J, Zhang M, Lin H, Wang L. In situ metal-organic framework-derived c-doped Ni₃S₄/Ni₂P hybrid co-catalysts for photocatalytic H₂ production over g-C₃N₄ via dye sensitization. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2019;44(31):16336-47.
208. Xu S, Du Y, Liu X, Yu X, Teng C, Cheng X, et al. Three-dimensional (3D) hierarchical coral-like Mn-doped Ni₂P-Ni₅P₄/NF catalyst for efficient oxygen evolution. *J Alloys Compd*. 2020;826:154210.
209. Yu T, Si Y, Lv Z, Wang K, Zhang Q, Liu X, et al. Cd_{0.5}Zn_{0.5}S/Ni₂P noble-metal-free photocatalyst for high-efficient photocatalytic hydrogen production: Ni₂P boosting separation of photocarriers. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2019;44(60):31832-40.
210. Wu K, Wu P, Zhu J, Liu C, Dong X, Wu J, et al. Synthesis of hollow core-shell CdS@TiO₂/Ni₂P photocatalyst for enhancing hydrogen evolution and degradation of MB2018.
211. Song R, Luo B, Geng J, Song D, Jing D. Photothermocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution over Ni₂P/TiO₂ for Full-Spectrum Solar Energy Conversion 2018.
212. Jang JS, Kim HG, Lee JS. Heterojunction semiconductors: a strategy to develop efficient photocatalytic materials for visible light water splitting. *Catal Today*. 2012;185(1):270-7.

213. Zhu Y, Wan T, Wen X, Chu D, Jiang Y. Tunable Type I and II heterojunction of CoOx nanoparticles confined in g-C₃N₄ nanotubes for photocatalytic hydrogen production. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 2019;244:814-22.
214. Ng J, Xu S, Zhang X, Yang HY, Sun DD. Hybridized nanowires and cubes: a novel architecture of a heterojunctioned TiO₂/SrTiO₃ thin film for efficient water splitting. *Adv Funct Mater*. 2010;20(24):4287-94.
215. Humayun M, Zada A, Li Z, Xie M, Zhang X, Qu Y, et al. Enhanced visible-light activities of porous BiFeO₃ by coupling with nanocrystalline TiO₂ and mechanism. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 2016;180:219-26.
216. Acharya S, Padhi DK, Parida KM. Visible light driven LaFeO₃ nano sphere/RGO composite photocatalysts for efficient water decomposition reaction. *Catal Today*. 2017.
217. He G-L, Zhong Y-H, Chen M-J, Li X, Fang Y-P, Xu Y-H. One-pot hydrothermal synthesis of SrTiO₃-reduced graphene oxide composites with enhanced photocatalytic activity for hydrogen production. *J Mol Catal A: Chem*. 2016;423:70-6.
218. Nasir SNFM, Ullah H, Ebadi M, Tahir AA, Sagu JS, Mat Teridi MA. New insights into Se/BiVO₄ heterostructure for photoelectrochemical water splitting: a combined experimental and DFT study. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*. 2017;121(11):6218-28.
219. Afroz K, Moniruddin M, Bakranov N, Kudaibergenov S, Nuraje N. A heterojunction strategy to improve the visible light sensitive water splitting performance of photocatalytic materials. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*. 2018;6(44):21696-718.
220. Low J, Yu J, Jaroniec M, Wageh S, Al-Ghamdi AA. Heterojunction photocatalysts. *Adv Mater*. 2017;29(20):1601694.
221. Bard AJ. Photoelectrochemistry and heterogeneous photocatalysis at semiconductors. *Journal of Photochemistry*. 1979;10(1):59-75.
222. Liu J, Tao Z, Xie H, Zhang X, Wang H, Xiao H, et al. Facial construction of defected NiO/TiO₂ with Z-scheme charge transfer for enhanced photocatalytic performance. *Catal Today*. 2019;335:269-77.
223. Meng A, Zhu B, Zhong B, Zhang L, Cheng B. Direct Z-scheme TiO₂/CdS hierarchical photocatalyst for enhanced photocatalytic H₂-production activity. *Appl Surf Sci*. 2017;422:518-27.
224. Yan Y, Yang M, Shi H, Wang C, Fan J, Liu E, et al. CuInS₂ sensitized TiO₂ for enhanced photodegradation and hydrogen production. *Ceram Int*. 2019;45(5):6093-101.
225. Lv T, Wang H, Hong W, Wang P, Jia L. In situ self-assembly synthesis of sandwich-like TiO₂/reduced graphene oxide/LaFeO₃ Z-scheme ternary heterostructure towards enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen production. *Molecular Catalysis*. 2019;475:110497.
226. Maeda K. Z-Scheme Water Splitting Using Two Different Semiconductor Photocatalysts. *ACS Catalysis*. 2013;3(7):1486-503.
227. Li H, Tu W, Zhou Y, Zou Z. Z-Scheme photocatalytic systems for promoting photocatalytic performance: recent progress and future challenges. *Advanced Science*. 2016;3(11):1500389.
228. Li P, Zhou Y, Li H, Xu Q, Meng X, Wang X, et al. All-solid-state Z-scheme system arrays of Fe₂V₄O₁₃/RGO/CdS for visible light-driving photocatalytic CO₂ reduction into renewable hydrocarbon fuel. *Chem Commun*. 2014;51(4):800-3.

229. Li Q, Xia Y, Yang C, Lv K, Lei M, Li M. Building a direct Z-scheme heterojunction photocatalyst by ZnIn₂S₄ nanosheets and TiO₂ hollowspheres for highly-efficient artificial photosynthesis. *Chem Eng J*. 2018;349:287-96.
230. Abe R. Development of a new system for photocatalytic water splitting into H₂ and O₂ under visible light irradiation. *Bull Chem Soc Jpn*. 2011;84(10):1000-30.
231. Ye C, Wang R, Wang H, Jiang F. The high photocatalytic efficiency and stability of LaNiO₃/g-C₃N₄ heterojunction nanocomposites for photocatalytic water splitting to hydrogen. *BMC chemistry*. 2020;14(1):1-13.
232. Wang Q, Hisatomi T, Jia Q, Tokudome H, Zhong M, Wang C, et al. Scalable water splitting on particulate photocatalyst sheets with a solar-to-hydrogen energy conversion efficiency exceeding 1%. *Nature materials*. 2016;15(6):611-5.
233. Yue P. Introduction to the Modelling and Design of Photoreactors. Photoelectrochemistry, Photocatalysis and Photoreactors: Springer; 1985. p. 527-47.
234. Nguyen V-H, Wu JC. Recent developments in the design of photoreactors for solar energy conversion from water splitting and CO₂ reduction. *Applied Catalysis A: General*. 2018;550:122-41.
235. Mukherjee PS, Ray AK. Major challenges in the design of a large-scale photocatalytic reactor for water treatment. *Chemical Engineering and Technology*. 1999;22(3):253.
236. Sato S, Arai T, Morikawa T, Uemura K, Suzuki TM, Tanaka H, et al. Selective CO₂ conversion to formate conjugated with H₂O oxidation utilizing semiconductor/complex hybrid photocatalysts. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*. 2011;133(39):15240-3.
237. Adekoya DO, Tahir M, Amin NAS. g-C₃N₄/(Cu/TiO₂) nanocomposite for enhanced photoreduction of CO₂ to CH₃OH and HCOOH under UV/visible light. *Journal of CO₂ Utilization*. 2017;18:261-74.
238. Zhang Z, Huang Z, Cheng X, Wang Q, Chen Y, Dong P, et al. Product selectivity of visible-light photocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide using titanium dioxide doped by different nitrogen-sources. *Applied Surface Science*. 2015;355:45-51.
239. Sayama K, Arakawa H. Photocatalytic decomposition of water and photocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide over zirconia catalyst. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry*. 1993;97(3):531-3.
240. Asi MA, He C, Su M, Xia D, Lin L, Deng H, et al. Photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to hydrocarbons using AgBr/TiO₂ nanocomposites under visible light. *Catalysis today*. 2011;175(1):256-63.
241. Wang J-C, Yao H-C, Fan Z-Y, Zhang L, Wang J-S, Zang S-Q, et al. Indirect Z-scheme BiOI/g-C₃N₄ photocatalysts with enhanced photoreduction CO₂ activity under visible light irradiation. *ACS applied materials & interfaces*. 2016;8(6):3765-75.
242. Ye Z-G. Handbook of advanced dielectric, piezoelectric and ferroelectric materials: Synthesis, properties and applications: Elsevier; 2008.
243. Noñ T. Photochemical Processes in Continuous-Flow Reactors: From Engineering Principles to Chemical Applications: World Scientific; 2017.
244. Spadoni G, Bandini E, Santarelli F. Scattering effects in photosensitized reactions. *Chemical engineering science*. 1978;33(4):517-24.
245. Cassano A, Alfano O, Orlando M. Design and analysis of homogeneous and heterogeneous photoreactors. *Chemical Engineering Ed Galán, M and del Valle, E John Wiley y Sons, Ltd*. 2005:125-69.

246. Li K, An X, Park KH, Khraisheh M, Tang J. A critical review of CO₂ photoconversion: Catalysts and reactors. *Catal Today*. 2014;224:3-12.
247. Khan AA, Tahir M. Recent advancements in engineering approach towards design of photo-reactors for selective photocatalytic CO₂ reduction to renewable fuels. *Journal of CO₂ Utilization*. 2019;29:205-39.
248. Braham R, Harris A. A complete multi-scale simulation of light absorption within a fluidized bed photoreactor using integrated particle, fluid and photon behaviour models. *PCCP*. 2013;15(29):12373-85.
249. Chen D, Sivakumar M, Ray AK. Heterogeneous photocatalysis in environmental remediation. *Developments in Chemical Engineering and Mineral Processing*. 2000;8(5-6):505-50.
250. Ray AK, Beenackers AA. Development of a new photocatalytic reactor for water purification. *Catal Today*. 1998;40(1):73-83.
251. Matthews RW, McEvoy SR. Photocatalytic degradation of phenol in the presence of near-UV illuminated titanium dioxide. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry*. 1992;64(2):231-46.
252. Chausse V, Llorca J. Photoproduction of hydrogen in microreactors: Catalytic coating or slurry configuration? *Catal Today*. 2020.
253. Priya R, Kanmani S. Batch slurry photocatalytic reactors for the generation of hydrogen from sulfide and sulfite waste streams under solar irradiation. *Solar Energy*. 2009;83(10):1802-5.
254. Tasleem S, Tahir M. Investigating the performance of liquid and gas phase photoreactors for dynamic H₂ production over bimetallic TiO₂ and Ni₂P dispersed MAX Ti₃AlC₂ monolithic nanocomposite under UV and visible light. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*. 2021;9(4):105351.
255. Huang Y, Yan C-F, Guo C-Q, Huang S-L. Enhanced photoreduction activity of carbon dioxide over Co₃O₄/CeO₂ catalysts under visible light irradiation. *International Journal of Photoenergy*. 2015;2015.
256. Alexiadis A, Mazzarino I. Design guidelines for fixed-bed photocatalytic reactors. *Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification*. 2005;44(4):453-9.
257. Bafaqeer A, Tahir M, Amin NAS. Well-designed ZnV₂O₆/g-C₃N₄ 2D/2D nanosheets heterojunction with faster charges separation via pCN as mediator towards enhanced photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to fuels. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 2019;242:312-26.
258. Llorca J. Dynamic photocatalytic hydrogen production from ethanol-water mixtures in an optical fiber honeycomb reactor loaded with Au/TiO₂. 2014.
259. Frost CG, Mutton L. Heterogeneous catalytic synthesis using microreactor technology. *Green Chemistry*. 2010;12(10):1687-703.
260. Tahir M. Photocatalytic carbon dioxide reduction to fuels in continuous flow monolith photoreactor using montmorillonite dispersed Fe/TiO₂ nanocatalyst. *J Cleaner Prod*. 2018;170:242-50.
261. Fajrina N, Tahir M. Monolithic Ag-Mt dispersed Z-scheme pCN-TiO₂ heterojunction for dynamic photocatalytic H₂ evolution using liquid and gas phase photoreactors. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2020;45(7):4355-75.
262. Ola O, Maroto-Valer M, Liu D, Mackintosh S, Lee C-W, Wu JC. Performance comparison of CO₂ conversion in slurry and monolith photoreactors using Pd and Rh-TiO₂ catalyst under ultraviolet irradiation. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 2012;126:172-9.

263. Ye G, Ma L, Li L, Liu J, Yuan S, Huang G. Application of Box–Behnken design and response surface methodology for modeling and optimization of batch flotation of coal. *International Journal of Coal Preparation and Utilization*. 2020;40(2):131-45.
264. Kaith BS, Shanker U, Gupta B, Bhatia JK. RSM-CCD optimized In-air synthesis of photocatalytic nanocomposite: Application in removal-degradation of toxic brilliant blue. *React Funct Polym*. 2018;131:107-22.
265. Sarıkaya M, Güllü A. Taguchi design and response surface methodology based analysis of machining parameters in CNC turning under MQL. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 2014;65:604-16.
266. Umer M, Tahir M, Azam MU, Tasleem S, Abbas T, Muhammad A. Synergistic effects of single/multi-walls carbon nanotubes in TiO₂ and process optimization using response surface methodology for photo-catalytic H₂ evolution. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*. 2019;7(5):103361.
267. Priya R, Kanmani S. Optimization of photocatalytic production of hydrogen from hydrogen sulfide in alkaline solution using response surface methodology. *Desalination*. 2011;276(1):222-7.
268. Vaez M, Zarringhalam Moghaddam A, Alijani S. Optimization and Modeling of Photocatalytic Degradation of Azo Dye Using a Response Surface Methodology (RSM) Based on the Central Composite Design with Immobilized Titania Nanoparticles. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*. 2012;51(11):4199-207.
269. Mousavi M, Soleimani M, Hamzehloo M, Badiei A, Ghasemi JB. Photocatalytic degradation of different pollutants by the novel g-CN-NS/Black-TiO₂ heterojunction photocatalyst under visible light: Introducing a photodegradation model and optimization by response surface methodology (RSM). *Mater Chem Phys*. 2021;258:123912.
270. Li X, Lyu X, Zhao X, Zhang Y, Akanyange SN, Crittenden JC, et al. Enhanced photocatalytic H₂ evolution over In₂S₃ via decoration with GO and Fe₂P co-catalysts. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2021;46(35):18376-90.
271. Chaker H, Attar AE, Djennas M, Fourmentin S. A statistical modeling-optimization approach for efficiency photocatalytic degradation of textile azo dye using cerium-doped mesoporous ZnO: A central composite design in response surface methodology. *Chem Eng Res Des*. 2021;171:198-212.
272. Munusamy TD, Khan MMR, Chin SY. Optimization of process parameters for photoreforming of hydrogen evolution via response surface methodology (RSM): A study using Carbon@ exfoliated g-C₃N₄. *Chem Eng Res Des*. 2021.
273. Priya R, Kanmani S. Optimization of photocatalytic production of hydrogen from hydrogen sulfide in alkaline solution using response surface methodology. *Desalination*. 2011;276(1-3):222-7.
274. Diebold U. The surface science of titanium dioxide. *Surf Sci Rep*. 2003;48(5):53-229.
275. Prats H, Illas F, Sayós R. General concepts, assumptions, drawbacks, and misuses in kinetic Monte Carlo and microkinetic modeling simulations applied to computational heterogeneous catalysis. *Int J Quantum Chem*. 2018;118(9):e25518.
276. Li Y, Wang J, Peng S, Lu G, Li S. Photocatalytic hydrogen generation in the presence of glucose over ZnS-coated ZnIn₂S₄ under visible light irradiation. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2010;35(13):7116-26.
277. Clarizia L, Di Somma I, Onotri L, Andreozzi R, Marotta R. Kinetic modeling of hydrogen generation over nano-Cu (s)/TiO₂ catalyst through photoreforming of alcohols. *Catal Today*. 2017;281:117-23.

278. Bloh JZ. A holistic approach to model the kinetics of photocatalytic reactions. *Frontiers in chemistry*. 2019;7:128.
279. Ebrahimi M, Yousefzadeh S, Samadi M, Dong C, Zhang J, Moshfegh A. Facile preparation of branched hierarchical ZnO nanowire arrays with enhanced photocatalytic activity: a photodegradation kinetic model. *Appl Surf Sci*. 2018;435:108-16.
280. Varshney G, Kanel SR, Kempisty DM, Varshney V, Agrawal A, Sahle-Demessie E, et al. Nanoscale TiO₂ films and their application in remediation of organic pollutants. *Coord Chem Rev*. 2016;306:43-64.
281. Holder CF, Schaak RE. Tutorial on powder X-ray diffraction for characterizing nanoscale materials. ACS Publications; 2019.
282. Bumbrah GS, Sharma RM. Raman spectroscopy—Basic principle, instrumentation and selected applications for the characterization of drugs of abuse. *Egyptian Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 2016;6(3):209-15.
283. Laserna J. An introduction to Raman spectroscopy: introduction and basic principles. *Raman/Infrared Spectroscopy*. 2014.
284. Alyamani A, Lemine O. FE-SEM characterization of some nanomaterial. Scanning electron microscopy: IntechOpen; 2012.
285. Zhang L, Ran J, Qiao S-Z, Jaroniec M. Characterization of semiconductor photocatalysts. *Chem Soc Rev*. 2019;48(20):5184-206.
286. Thomas JM, Midgley PA. High-resolution transmission electron microscopy: the ultimate nanoanalytical technique. *Chem Commun*. 2004(11):1253-67.
287. Seyring M, Simon A, Voigt I, Ritter U, Rettenmayr M. Quantitative crystallographic analysis of individual carbon nanofibers using high resolution transmission electron microscopy and electron diffraction. *Carbon*. 2017;116:347-55.
288. Ayiania M, Smith M, Hensley AJ, Scudiero L, McEwen J-S, Garcia-Perez M. Deconvoluting the XPS spectra for nitrogen-doped chars: An analysis from first principles. *Carbon*. 2020;162:528-44.
289. Stöhr J. NEXAFS spectroscopy: Springer Science & Business Media; 2013.
290. Rocha FS, Gomes AJ, Lunardi CN, Kaliaguine S, Patience GS. Experimental methods in chemical engineering: Ultraviolet visible spectroscopy—UV-Vis. *The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering*. 2018;96(12):2512-7.
291. De Caro C, Haller C. UV/VIS spectrophotometry-fundamentals and applications. *Mettler-Toledo International*. 2015:4-14.
292. Anpo M, Che M. Applications of photoluminescence techniques to the characterization of solid surfaces in relation to adsorption, catalysis, and photocatalysis. *Advances in catalysis*. 1999;44:119-257.
293. Li A, Chang X, Huang Z, Li C, Wei Y, Zhang L, et al. Thin heterojunctions and spatially separated cocatalysts to simultaneously reduce bulk and surface recombination in photocatalysts. *Angew Chem*. 2016;128(44):13938-42.
294. Chen M, Bao C, Cun T, Huang Q. One-pot synthesis of ZnO/oligoaniline nanocomposites with improved removal of organic dyes in water: effect of adsorption on photocatalytic degradation. *Mater Res Bull*. 2017;95:459-67.
295. Khan AA, Tahir M. Well-designed 2D/2D Ti₃C₂TA/R MXene coupled g-C₃N₄ heterojunction with in-situ growth of anatase/rutile TiO₂ nucleates to boost photocatalytic dry-reforming of methane (DRM) for syngas production under visible light. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 2021;285:119777.
296. Iqbal A, Hong J, Ko TY, Koo CM. Improving oxidation stability of 2D MXenes: synthesis, storage media, and conditions. *Nano Convergence*. 2021;8(1):1-22.

297. He J, Wu P, Chen L, Li H, Hua M, Lu L, et al. Dynamically-generated TiO₂ active site on MXene Ti₃C₂: Boosting reactive desulfurization. *Chem Eng J.* 2021;416:129022.
298. Khorasani-Motlagh M, Noroozifar M, Jahani S. Preparation and characterization of nano-sized magnetic particles LaCoO₃ by ultrasonic-assisted coprecipitation method. *Synthesis and Reactivity in Inorganic, Metal-Organic, and Nano-Metal Chemistry.* 2015;45(10):1591-5.
299. Wang Y, Jiang W, Luo W, Chen X, Zhu Y. Ultrathin nanosheets g-C₃N₄@Bi₂WO₆ core-shell structure via low temperature reassembled strategy to promote photocatalytic activity. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental.* 2018;237:633-40.
300. Miranda C, Mansilla H, Yáñez J, Obregón S, Colón G. Improved photocatalytic activity of g-C₃N₄/TiO₂ composites prepared by a simple impregnation method. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry.* 2013;253:16-21.
301. Ganguly P, Harb M, Cao Z, Cavallo L, Breen A, Dervin S, et al. 2D Nanomaterials for Photocatalytic Hydrogen Production. *ACS Energy Lett.* 2019;4(7):1687-709.
302. Pang WK, Low I-M, O'Connor B, Sun Z-M, Prince K. Oxidation characteristics of Ti₃AlC₂ over the temperature range 500-900 degrees Celcius. 2009.
303. Zhang Y-p, Liu H-f, Hu H-l, Xie R-s, Ma G-h, Huo J-c, et al. Orientation-dependent structural and photocatalytic properties of LaCoO₃ epitaxial nano-thin films. *Royal Society open science.* 2018;5(2):171376.
304. Kang S, Jang J, Pawar RC, Ahn S-H, Lee CS. Low temperature fabrication of Fe₂O₃ nanorod film coated with ultra-thin gC₃N₄ for a direct z-scheme exerting photocatalytic activities. *RSC Advances.* 2018;8(59):33600-13.
305. Cao Y, Wu W, Wang S, Peng H, Hu X, Yu Y. Monolayer gC₃N₄ fluorescent sensor for sensitive and selective colorimetric detection of silver ion from aqueous samples. *Journal of fluorescence.* 2016;26(2):739-44.
306. Presser V, Naguib M, Chaput L, Togo A, Hug G, Barsoum MW. First-order Raman scattering of the MAX phases: Ti₂AlN, Ti₂AlC_{0.5}N_{0.5}, Ti₂AlC_{(Ti_{0.5}V_{0.5})₂AlC, V₂AlC, Ti₃AlC₂, and Ti₃GeC₂. *Journal of Raman Spectroscopy.* 2012;43(1):168-72.}
307. Singh M, Goyal M, Devlal K. Size and shape effects on the band gap of semiconductor compound nanomaterials. *Journal of Taibah University for Science.* 2018;12(4):470-5.
308. Mercier F, Chaix-Pluchery O, Ouisse T, Chaussende D. Raman scattering from Ti₃SiC₂ single crystals. *Applied Physics Letters.* 2011;98(8):081912.
309. Zhang H, Wang X, Xiang H, Li Z, Zhou Y. Micro-Raman spectroscopic study of nanolaminated Ti₅Al₂C₃. *Applied Physics Letters.* 2014;104(13):131903.
310. Zhou S, Liu Y, Li J, Wang Y, Jiang G, Zhao Z, et al. Facile in situ synthesis of graphitic carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄)-N-TiO₂ heterojunction as an efficient photocatalyst for the selective photoreduction of CO₂ to CO. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental.* 2014;158:20-9.
311. Yang F, Huang S, Zhang B, Hou L, Ding Y, Bao W, et al. Facile Synthesis of Well-Dispersed Ni₂P on N-Doped Nanomesh Carbon Matrix as a High-Efficiency Electrocatalyst for Alkaline Hydrogen Evolution Reaction. *J Nanomater.* 2019;9(7):1022.
312. He S, He S, Bo X, Wang Q, Zhan F, Wang Q, et al. Porous Ni₂P/C microrods derived from microwave-prepared MOF-74-Ni and its electrocatalysis for hydrogen evolution reaction. *Materials Letters.* 2018;231:94-7.

313. Dong C, Guo L, He Y, Chen C, Qian Y, Chen Y, et al. Sandwich-like Ni₂P nanoarray/nitrogen-doped graphene nanoarchitecture as a high-performance anode for sodium and lithium ion batteries. *Energy Storage Materials*. 2018;15:234-41.
314. Dragan M, Enache S, Varlam M, Petrov K. Perovskite-Type Lanthanum Cobaltite LaCoO₃: Aspects of Processing Route toward Practical Applications. *Cobalt Compounds and Applications: IntechOpen*; 2019.
315. Yang Q, Wang D, Wang C, Li X, Li K, Peng Y, et al. Facile surface improvement method for LaCoO₃ for toluene oxidation. *Catalysis Science & Technology*. 2018;8(12):3166-73.
316. Wang J, Xie T, Deng Q, Wang Y, Zhu Q, Liu S. Three-dimensional interconnected Co(OH)₂ nanosheets on Ti mesh as a highly sensitive electrochemical sensor for hydrazine detection. *New J Chem*. 2019;43(7):3218-25.
317. Ding X, Li Y, Li C, Wang W, Wang L, Feng L, et al. 2D visible-light-driven TiO₂@ Ti₃C₂/gC₃N₄ ternary heterostructure for high photocatalytic activity. *Journal of Materials Science*. 2019;54(13):9385-96.
318. Li L, Li B, Feng L, Zhang X, Zhang Y, Zhao Q, et al. Au Modified F-TiO₂ for Efficient Photocatalytic Synthesis of Hydrogen Peroxide. *Molecules*. 2021;26(13):3844.
319. Tanvir A, Sobolčiak P, Popelka A, Mrlik M, Spitalsky Z, Micusik M, et al. Electrically conductive, transparent polymeric nanocomposites modified by 2D Ti₃C₂Tx (MXene). *Polymers*. 2019;11(8):1272.
320. Sun L, Xie J, Zhang L, Jiang R, Wu J, Fan L, et al. 2D black TiO_{2-x} nanoplate-decorated Ti₃C₂ MXene hybrids for ultrafast and elevated stable lithium storage. *FlatChem*. 2020;20.
321. Huang K, Li C, Meng X. In-situ construction of ternary Ti₃C₂ MXene@TiO₂/ZnIn₂S₄ composites for highly efficient photocatalytic hydrogen evolution. *J Colloid Interface Sci*. 2020;580:669-80.
322. Briggs D. Handbook of X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy CD Wanger, WM Riggs, LE Davis, JF Moulder and GE Muilenberg Perkin-Elmer Corp., Physical Electronics Division, Eden Prairie, Minnesota, USA, 1979. 190 pp. \$195. Wiley Online Library; 1981.
323. Peng B, Xu Y, Liu K, Wang X, Mulder FM. High-Performance and Low-Cost Sodium-Ion Anode Based on a Facile Black Phosphorus–Carbon Nanocomposite. *ChemElectroChem*. 2017;4(9):2140-4.
324. Wen P, Su F, Li H, Sun Y, Liang Z, Liang W, et al. A Ni₂P nanocrystal cocatalyst enhanced TiO₂ photoanode towards highly efficient photoelectrochemical water splitting. *Chem Eng J*. 2020;385.
325. Oliver-Tolentino MA, Cabrera-Sierra R, Lartundo-Rojas L, Lucero-Guerrero J, Sotelo-Boyas R, Manzo-Robledo A. Understanding the surface state and proton adsorption phenomena on ni-based alloys at the hydrogen evolution zone in alkaline medium by EIS-XPS. *J New Mater Electrochem Syst*. 2013;16(3):183-8.
326. Wei W, Mi L, Gao Y, Zheng Z, Chen W, Guan X. Partial ion-exchange of nickel-sulfide-derived electrodes for high performance supercapacitors. *Chem Mater*. 2014;26(11):3418-26.
327. Guo J, Li P, Yang Z. A novel Z-scheme g- C₃N₄/LaCoO₃ heterojunction with enhanced photocatalytic activity in degradation of tetracycline hydrochloride. *Catal Commun*. 2019;122:63-7.
328. Iqbal W, Qiu B, Lei J, Wang L, Zhang J, Anpo M. One-step large-scale highly active gC₃N₄ nanosheets for efficient sunlight-driven photocatalytic hydrogen production. *Dalton Transactions*. 2017;46(32):10678-84.

329. Jin Z, Hu R, Wang H, Hu J, Ren T. One-step impregnation method to prepare direct Z-scheme LaCoO₃/g-C₃N₄ heterojunction photocatalysts for phenol degradation under visible light. *Appl Surf Sci.* 2019;491:432-42.
330. Tahir M. Construction of a Stable Two-Dimensional MAX Supported Protonated Graphitic Carbon Nitride (pg-C₃N₄)/Ti₃AlC₂/TiO₂ Z-Scheme Multiheterojunction System for Efficient Photocatalytic CO₂ Reduction through Dry Reforming of Methanol. *Energy & Fuels.* 2020;34(3):3540-56.
331. Chen Y, Qin Z. General applicability of nanocrystalline Ni₂P as a noble-metal-free cocatalyst to boost photocatalytic hydrogen generation. *Catalysis Science & Technology.* 2016;6(23):8212-21.
332. Mousavi M, Habibi-Yangjeh A, Abitorabi M. Fabrication of novel magnetically separable nanocomposites using graphitic carbon nitride, silver phosphate and silver chloride and their applications in photocatalytic removal of different pollutants using visible-light irradiation. *J Colloid Interface Sci.* 2016;480:218-31.
333. Li B, Song H, Han F, Wei L. Photocatalytic oxidative desulfurization and denitrogenation for fuels in ambient air over Ti₃C₂/g-C₃N₄ composites under visible light irradiation. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental.* 2020;269:118845.
334. Tahir M, Tahir B. In-situ growth of TiO₂ imbedded Ti₃C₂TA nanosheets to construct PCN/Ti₃C₂TA MXenes 2D/3D heterojunction for efficient solar driven photocatalytic CO₂ reduction towards CO and CH₄ production. *J Colloid Interface Sci.* 2021;591:20-37.
335. Li Y, Ding L, Guo Y, Liang Z, Cui H, Tian J. Boosting the Photocatalytic Ability of g-C₃N₄ for Hydrogen Production by Ti₃C₂ MXene Quantum Dots. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces.* 2019;11(44):41440-7.
336. Wen P, Zhao K, Li H, Li J, Li J, Ma Q, et al. In situ decorated Ni₂P nanocrystal co-catalysts on g-C₃N₄ for efficient and stable photocatalytic hydrogen evolution via a facile co-heating method. *J Mater Chem A* 2020;8(6):2995-3004.
337. Gao H, Cao R, Zhang S, Yang H, Xu X. Three-dimensional hierarchical g-C₃N₄ architectures assembled by ultrathin self-doped nanosheets: extremely facile hexamethylenetetramine activation and superior photocatalytic hydrogen evolution. *ACS applied materials & interfaces.* 2018;11(2):2050-9.
338. Dai B, Zhao B, Xie X, Su T, Fan B, Zhang R, et al. Novel two-dimensional Ti₃C₂T_x MXenes/nano-carbon sphere hybrids for high-performance microwave absorption. *Journal of Materials Chemistry C.* 2018;6(21):5690-7.
339. Lotfi R, Naguib M, Yilmaz DE, Nanda J, Van Duin AC. A comparative study on the oxidation of two-dimensional Ti₃C₂ MXene structures in different environments. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A.* 2018;6(26):12733-43.
340. Low J, Zhang L, Tong T, Shen B, Yu J. TiO₂/MXene Ti₃C₂ composite with excellent photocatalytic CO₂ reduction activity. *J Catal.* 2018;361:255-66.
341. Tasleem S, Tahir M, Zakaria ZY. Fabricating structured 2D Ti₃AlC₂ MAX dispersed TiO₂ heterostructure with Ni₂P as a cocatalyst for efficient photocatalytic H₂ production. *J Alloys Compd.* 2020;842:155752.
342. Dong H, Zhang X, Zuo Y, Song N, Xin X, Zheng B, et al. 2D Ti₃C₂ as electron harvester anchors on 2D g-C₃N₄ to create boundary edge active sites for boosting photocatalytic performance. *Applied Catalysis A: General.* 2020;590:117367.
343. Sun J, Yang S, Liang Z, Liu X, Qiu P, Cui H, et al. Two-dimensional/one-dimensional molybdenum sulfide (MoS₂) nanoflake/graphitic carbon nitride (g-C₃N₄) hollow nanotube photocatalyst for enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen production activity. *J Colloid Interface Sci.* 2020;567:300-7.

344. Nguyen N-T, Zheng D-D, Chen S-S, Chang C-T, Ma C-M, Nguyen N-C, et al. Effects of different sacrificial agents and hydrogen production from wastewater by Pt-graphene/TiO₂. *Journal of nanoscience and nanotechnology*. 2018;18(5):3563-70.
345. Wang J, Feng K, Chen B, Li Z-J, Meng Q-Y, Zhang L-P, et al. Polymer-modified hydrophilic graphene: A promotor to photocatalytic hydrogen evolution for in situ formation of core@ shell cobalt nanocomposites. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry*. 2016;331:247-54.
346. Kumaravel V, Imam MD, Badreldin A, Chava RK, Do JY, Kang M, et al. Photocatalytic hydrogen production: role of sacrificial reagents on the activity of oxide, carbon, and sulfide catalysts. *Catalysts*. 2019;9(3):276.
347. Speltini A, Scalabrini A, Maraschi F, Sturini M, Pisanu A, Malavasi L, et al. Improved photocatalytic H₂ production assisted by aqueous glucose biomass by oxidized g-C₃N₄. *Int J Hydrogen Energy*. 2018;43(32):14925-33.
348. Wang Y, Niu B, Zhang X, Lei Y, Zhong P, Ma X. Review—Ti₃C₂T_x MXene: An emerging two-dimensional layered material in water treatment. *ECS J. Solid State Sci Technol*. 2021;10:47002.
349. Ma TY, Cao JL, Jaroniec M, Qiao SZ. Interacting carbon nitride and titanium carbide nanosheets for high-performance oxygen evolution. *Angew Chem*. 2016;128(3):1150-4.
350. Khan MM, Adil SF, Al-Mayouf A. Metal oxides as photocatalysts. *Journal of Saudi Chemical Society*. 2015;19(5):462-4.
351. Braslavsky SE, Braun AM, Cassano AE, Emeline AV, Litter MI, Palmisano L, et al. Glossary of terms used in photocatalysis and radiation catalysis (IUPAC Recommendations 2011). *Pure Appl Chem*. 2011;83(4):931-1014.
352. Tahir M. Enhanced photocatalytic CO₂ reduction to fuels through bireforming of methane over structured 3D MAX Ti₃AlC₂/TiO₂ heterojunction in a monolith photoreactor. *J CO₂ Util*. 2020;38:99-112.
353. Zhang H, Ni S, Mi Y, Xu X. Ruddlesden-Popper compound Sr₂TiO₄ co-doped with La and Fe for efficient photocatalytic hydrogen production. *Journal of Catalysis*. 2018;359:112-21.
354. Tahir B, Tahir M, Amin NAS. Tailoring performance of La-modified TiO₂ nanocatalyst for continuous photocatalytic CO₂ reforming of CH₄ to fuels in the presence of H₂O. *Energy Convers Manage*. 2018;159:284-98.
355. López CR, Melián EP, Ortega Méndez JA, Santiago DE, Doña Rodríguez JM, González Díaz O. Comparative study of alcohols as sacrificial agents in H₂ production by heterogeneous photocatalysis using Pt/TiO₂ catalysts. *J Photochem Photobiol, A*. 2015;312:45-54.
356. Bahruji H, Bowker M, Davies PR, Al-Mazroai LS, Dickinson A, Greaves J, et al. Sustainable H₂ gas production by photocatalysis. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry*. 2010;216(2-3):115-8.
357. Chen W-T, Chan A, Al-Azri ZH, Dosado AG, Nadeem MA, Sun-Waterhouse D, et al. Effect of TiO₂ polymorph and alcohol sacrificial agent on the activity of Au/TiO₂ photocatalysts for H₂ production in alcohol–water mixtures. *Journal of catalysis*. 2015;329:499-513.
358. Khoja AH, Tahir M, Amin NAS. Dry reforming of methane using different dielectric materials and DBD plasma reactor configurations. *Energy Convers Manage*. 2017;144:262-74.
359. Rakibuddin M, Ananthakrishnan R. Fabrication of graphene aerosol hybridized coordination polymer derived CdO/SnO₂ heteronanostructure with

- improved visible light photocatalytic performance. *Sol Energy Mater Sol Cells*. 2017;162:62-71.
360. McCullagh C, Skillen N, Adams M, Robertson PK. Photocatalytic reactors for environmental remediation: a review. *Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology*. 2011;86(8):1002-17.
361. Megatiff L, Dillert R, Bahnemann DW. Determination of the quantum yield of a heterogeneous photocatalytic reaction employing a black body photoreactor. *Catal Today*. 2019.
362. Taboada E, Angurell I, Llorca J. Dynamic photocatalytic hydrogen production from ethanol–water mixtures in an optical fiber honeycomb reactor loaded with Au/TiO₂. *J Catal*. 2014;309:460-7.
363. Kumar SN, James V, James V, Maheswari MA, Sivaraman N, Rao CVSB, et al. New age monolithic design-based visible light responsive and reusable photocatalyst material using iron oxide-modified mesoporous titania framework. *Bull Mater Sci*. 2020;43(1):84.
364. Tahir M, Amin NS. Photocatalytic CO₂ reduction and kinetic study over In/TiO₂ nanoparticles supported microchannel monolith photoreactor. *Applied Catalysis A: General*. 2013;467:483-96.
365. Gopal Manoharan K, Buwa VV. Structure-Resolved CFD Simulations of Different Catalytic Structures in a Packed Bed. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*. 2019;58(49):22363-75.
366. Nursam NM, Wang X, Caruso RA. Macro-/mesoporous titania thin films: analysing the effect of pore architecture on photocatalytic activity using high-throughput screening. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*. 2015;3(48):24557-67.
367. Attinger D, Frankiewicz C, Betz AR, Schutzius TM, Ganguly R, Das A, et al. Surface engineering for phase change heat transfer: A review. *MRS Energy & Sustainability*. 2014;1.
368. Vignolo-González HA, Laha S, Jiménez-Solano A, Oshima T, Duppe V, Schützendübe P, et al. Toward Standardized Photocatalytic Oxygen Evolution Rates Using RuO₂@TiO₂ as a Benchmark. *Matter*. 2020;3(2):464-86.
369. Serpone N, Salinaro A. Terminology, relative photonic efficiencies and quantum yields in heterogeneous photocatalysis. Part I: Suggested protocol. *Pure Appl Chem*. 1999;71(2):303-20.
370. Kisch H. On the problem of comparing rates or apparent quantum yields in heterogeneous photocatalysis. *Angew Chem*. 2010;122(50):9782-3.
371. Bi W, Zhang L, Sun Z, Li X, Jin T, Wu X, et al. Insight into electrocatalysts as co-catalysts in efficient photocatalytic hydrogen evolution. *ACS Catalysis*. 2016;6(7):4253-7.
372. Yu J, Wang S, Cheng B, Lin Z, Huang F. Noble metal-free Ni(OH)₂-g-C₃N₄ composite photocatalyst with enhanced visible-light photocatalytic H₂-production activity. *Catalysis Science & Technology*. 2013;3(7):1782-9.
373. Liu M, Xia P, Zhang L, Cheng B, Yu J. Enhanced Photocatalytic H₂-Production Activity of g-C₃N₄ Nanosheets via Optimal Photodeposition of Pt as Cocatalyst. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering*. 2018;6(8):10472-80.
374. Moon HS, Yong K. Noble-metal free photocatalytic hydrogen generation of CuPc/TiO₂ nanoparticles under visible-light irradiation. *Appl Surf Sci*. 2020;530:147215.
375. Tahir B, Tahir M. Morphological effect of 1D/1D In₂O₃/TiO₂ NRs/NWs heterojunction photo-embedded with Cu-NPs for enhanced photocatalytic H₂ evolution under visible light. *Appl Surf Sci*. 2020;506:145034.

376. Chen J, Zhao D, Diao Z, Wang M, Guo L, Shen S. Bifunctional modification of graphitic carbon nitride with MgFe_2O_4 for enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen generation. *ACS applied materials & interfaces*. 2015;7(33):18843-8.
377. Fontelles-Carceller O, Muñoz-Batista MJ, Conesa JC, Fernández-García M, Kubacka A. UV and visible hydrogen photo-production using Pt promoted Nb-doped TiO_2 photo-catalysts: Interpreting quantum efficiency. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*. 2017;216:133-45.
378. Escobedo S, de Lasa H. Photocatalysis for air treatment processes: Current technologies and future applications for the removal of organic pollutants and viruses. *Catalysts*. 2020;10(9):966.
379. Maudhuit A, Raillard C, Héquet V, Le Coq L, Sablayrolles J, Molins L. Adsorption phenomena in photocatalytic reactions: the case of toluene, acetone and heptane. *Chem Eng J*. 2011;170(2-3):464-70.
380. Chong S, Wang S, Tadó M, Ang HM, Pareek V. Simulations of photodegradation of toluene and formaldehyde in a monolith reactor using computational fluid dynamics. *AIChE J*. 2011;57(3):724-34.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS, PATENTS AND AWARDS

The current research on photocatalytic H₂ generation was conducted after synthesizing and modifying LaCoO₃/PCN/TiO₂@Ti₃C₂, and TiO₂/Ni₂P/Ti₃AlC₂ nanocomposites. They were tested for photocatalytic H₂ generation and TiO₂/Ni₂P/Ti₃AlC₂ nanocomposite was also tested for efficiency analysis of slurry fixed bed and monolith photoreactors. During the research work, the following literature reviewed and experimental data has been published and the remaining is under process:

Publications

- a) Tasleem S, Tahir M. Recent progress in structural development and band engineering of perovskites materials for photocatalytic solar hydrogen production: A review. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2020;45(38):19078-19111 (**I.F 5.8**)
- b) Tasleem S, Tahir M. Current trends in strategies to improve photocatalytic performance of perovskites materials for solar to hydrogen production. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 2020;132:110073. (**I.F 14.9**)
- c) Tahir M, **Tasleem S**, Tahir B. Recent development in band engineering of binary semiconductor materials for solar driven photocatalytic hydrogen production. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2020;45(32):15985-6038. (**I.F 5.8**)
- d) Tasleem S, Tahir M, Zakaria ZY. Fabricating structured 2D Ti₃AlC₂ MAX dispersed TiO₂ heterostructure with Ni₂P as a cocatalyst for efficient photocatalytic H₂ production. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*. 2020;842:155752 (**I.F 5.3**)
- e) Tasleem S, Tahir M. Investigating the performance of liquid and gas phase photoreactors for dynamic H₂ production over bimetallic TiO₂ and Ni₂P dispersed MAX Ti₃AlC₂ monolithic nanocomposite under UV and visible light. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering*. 2021;9(4):105351. (**I.F 5.9**)

- f) Tasleem S, Tahir M. Constructing $\text{La}_x\text{Co}_y\text{O}_3$ Perovskite Anchored 3D g- C_3N_4 Hollow Tube Heterojunction with Proficient Interface Charge Separation for Stimulating Photocatalytic H_2 Production. *Energy & Fuels*. 2021; 35(11):9727-9746 **(I.F 3.6)**
- g) Tasleem S, Tahir M. Synergistically improved charge separation in bimetallic Co–La modified 3D g- C_3N_4 for enhanced photocatalytic H_2 production under UV–visible light. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 2021;46(40):20995-1012. **(I.F 5.8)**

Patent Filing

- (a) Sehar Tasleem, Dr. Muhammad Tahir, Dr. Zaki Yamani Bin Zakaria (2021). A MAX-Phase Nanocomposite Catalyst and a Method or Producing and Using Thereof for the Production of Clean Fuel, National Patent Filing **(PI2021002431)**, Malaysia.

Awards

- (a) 1st place in 21st Industrial Art & Technology Exhibition at State level, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, September 2019, Green 2D MXene photocatalyst for CO_2 Conversion to Solar Methanol, (Gold Award).
- (b) 2nd place in 21st Industrial Art & Technology Exhibition at State level, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, September 2019, Hybrid Solar System for Water Treatment and Hydrogen Production, (Silver Award).
- (c) 3rd place in 22nd Industrial Art & Technology Exhibition at State level, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, December 2020, Perovskite Based Hybrid Scheme for Hydrogen Production and Wastewater Degradation, (Bronze Award).
- (d) 2nd place in 22nd Industrial Art & Technology Exhibition at State level, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, December 2020, Smart 2D Nano-catalysts for Carbon Dioxide Conversion to Green Methanol, (Silver Award).
- (e) 3rd place in 22nd Industrial Art & Technology Exhibition at State level, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, December 2020, Hybrid Nanoclay Photoreactor Solar System for CO_2 Conversion to Methane, (Bronze Award).