

IN SILICO MODELLING AND MOLECULAR INTERACTION OF  
ELONGATION FACTOR RECEPTOR WITH PATHOGENIC ELONGATION  
FACTOR TU 18

SHIVARANJINI A/P GUNASEKARAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Philosophy

Faculty of Science  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2019

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Hereby, I would like to take this golden opportunity to thank God for the wisdom and perseverance that He has been bestowed upon me to complete this Project Research, and indeed throughout my life. The completion of the research would not have been possible without the guidance and the help of several individuals who contributed and extended their valuable assistance during the research.

First and foremost, I would like to take this chance to express my deepest gratitude to Supervisor, Prof Madya Dr. Salehuddin bin Hamdan in the School of Biomedical Engineering and Health Sciences, for continuously inspire, guiding and keeping me on the right track.. Dr. Salehuddin always been a mentor in giving valuable advices on my research towards the problem I faced during these four semesters. My sincere appreciation to Dr Salehuddin for all the experiences and the advices shared. I place on record, my sincere thanks to Puan Linda, Lab Assistant of Bioinformatics Lab, for providing me with all necessary facilities for the project.

I owe my deepest gratitude to my lovely parents, Mr Gunasekaran Poongan and Mrs. Devi Kannaiah and my brother, Shivaneswar Gunasekaran who have given me their unequivocal support throughout everything, as always for which my mere expression of thanks likewise does not suffice. My sincere thanks to my late Uncle, Mr Pachiyapan Kannaiah for his continuous encouragement and unfailing support to me all the time and for being my strongest pillar in my years of study from matriculation level, although he is not together during my completion of my research.

I want to specially thank my friend, Sujay Balakrishnan, my senior sisters Amirah and Sayang binti Baba, my postgraduate friends, Zurfarahanim and Intan for giving me valuable suggestions, guidance and constant motivation to keep me going throughout the research journey.

## ABSTRACT

Plants depend entirely on innate immunity system to protect them from various pathogenic bacteria, fungi, and viruses. The first layer defense mechanism is named as Pattern-triggered immunity (PTI) system. It is activated by Pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP) of the host plant by Pattern recognition receptor (PRR) with the aid of co-receptor. Elongation factor receptor (EFR), which is also known as PRR, is one of the most recognized receptor used to protect against disease in Brassica species. Although research on transgenic approach and wet labs experiments have been carried out to analyse the EFR model, but the full ectodomain interactions of EFR with PAMP elf18 protein and co-receptor Brassinosteroid Insensitive 1-associated receptor kinases (BAK1) protein through *in silico* has not been accomplished yet. The purpose of this study was to determine the interaction of EFR protein with elf18 protein through *in silico* analysis approach. In this study, PRR EFR protein and PAMP elf18 protein was constructed by homology modelling using HHpred Modeller, followed by docking and molecular dynamics (MD) simulation of EFR protein and elf18 protein with co-receptor BAK1 protein (PDB:3UIM) and apo BAK1 (PDB ID:3ULZ) as model for mutant protein using ZDOCK 3.0.2 server and GROMACS 5.0.4 respectively. Finally, superimposition was done between EFR-elf18-BAK1 complex with existed FLS2-flg22-BAK1 crystal structure. Modelling results showed that multiple template modelling (MTM) generated best models compared to single template modelling (STM) due to their best quality of the protein structure obtained by HHpred Modeller generate best validation results of 71.123 ERRAT, 95.67% Verify3D and 92.8% in favoured region of Ramachandran Plot. Docking results showed that the complex interaction of BAK1 protein and elf18 protein binds at the concave surface of Leucine-Rich-Repeat (LRR) EFR, compatible with the existed FLS2 complex binding interactions. For the EFR-elf18-BAK1 (normal) complex, about 20 hydrogen bonds were sustained which is higher compared to EFR-elf18-BAK1 (mutated) complex that only sustained 16 hydrogen bonds, proved that the mutated protein have less interaction after simulation. After 50ns MD Simulation, the results showed that all the docked complexes has significant reduction of H-bonds. For EFR-elf18-BAK1 docked complex, H-bond between EFR protein and BAK1 protein reduced from 45 to 22, and H-bond between elf18 protein and BAK1 protein were reduced from 9 to 0 which caused by the conformational changes of the proteins during simulation. This study helps to understand the Brassica disease in detail and contribute significantly to early event of Pattern Triggered Immunity mechanism of EFR-elf18-BAK1 protein complex.

## ABSTRAK

Tumbuhan bergantung sepenuhnya pada sistem keimunan inat untuk mempertahankan diri dari serangan bakteria, kulat dan virus. Lapisan pertahanan pertama adalah dikenali sebagai sistem imuniti cetusan corak (PTI). Sistem tersebut diaktifkan melalui mikroorganisma tanggapan relatif patogen (PAMP) oleh reseptor pengesanan corak (PRR) tumbuhan perumah dan protin ko-reseptor. Reseptor faktor pemanjangan (EFR) adalah antara reseptor pengesanan corak (PRR) yang merupakan reseptor yang paling dikenali untuk melindungi daripada penyakit dalam spesies *Brassica*. Walaupun beberapa kajian melalui pendekatan transgenik dan eksperimen makmal telah dijalankan untuk menganalisis model EFR, namun interaksi penuh antara EFR dengan protin elf18 dan protin ko-reseptor BAK1 dalam kajian *in silico* masih belum dilakukan. Tujuan kajian ini dijalankan adalah untuk mengkaji hubungan interaksi di antara protin EFR dengan protin elf18 melalui pendekatan secara *in silico*. Dalam kajian ini, (PRR) protin EFR dan PAMP protin elf18 telah dibina melalui pemodelan homologi menggunakan HHpred Modeller diikuti proses mengedok menggunakan perisian Z-dock dan simulasi dinamik, GROMACS bersama protin ko-reseptor BAK1 (PDB: 3UIM) dan mutannya yang dikenali sebagai apo (PDB: 3ULZ). Akhir sekali, hasil keputusan dok iaitu kompleks EFR-elf18-BAK1 dibandingkan dengan struktur kristal yang telah sedia ada iaitu FLS2-flg22-BAK1. Keputusan pemodelan menunjukkan bahawa templat tunggal tidak mampu memperoleh struktur model yang berkualiti dan hanya perisian Modeller yang berhubung dengan HHpred mampu memberi keputusan model yang terbaik iaitu sebanyak 71.123 ERRAT, 95.67% nilai Verify 3D and 92.8% asid amino di dalam kawasan yang dibenarkan dalam plot Ramachandran. Keputusan analisis menunjukkan mekanisma pelekatan oleh dok dengan protin BAK1 dan protin elf18 menghasilkan bentuk permukaan cekung mengikat di sebelah sisi EFR LRR yang lebih serasi dengan kompleks yang sedia ada iaitu FLS2. Bilangan ikatan hidrogen adalah tinggi (20) bagi kompleks EFR-elf18-BAK1 (normal) berbanding kompleks EFR-elf18-BAK1 (bermutasi) (16) di mana ini membuktikan kesan mutasi yang memberi interaksi yang kurang selepas proses simulasi. Keputusan simulasi dinamik pada 50ns menunjukkan kehilangan ikatan hidrogen pada kesemua struktur yang telah didok. Bagi dok kompleks EFR-elf18-BAK1, ikatan hidrogen antara protin EFR dan protin BAK1 berkurang daripada 45 kepada 22, dan ikatan hidrogen antara protin elf18 dan protin BAK1 berkurang daripada 9 kepada 0 yang mungkin berpunca daripada perubahan konformasi protin semasa proses simulasi dinamik. Hasil kajian ini membantu untuk memahami penyakit dalam tumbuhan *Brassica* dengan terperinci dan mungkin menyumbang kepada pemahaman peristiwa awal PTI oleh kompleks protin EFR-elf18-BAK1.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>ii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>x</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xii</b>
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xv</b>
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xvii</b>
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Background of the Study	1
1.2	Problem statement	2
1.3	Research Aim and Objectives	3
1.4	Scope and Limitations of the Study	4
1.5	Significance of study	5
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Arabidopsis Plant Disease	7
2.1.1	Cause of the disease	7
2.1.2	Symptoms of the disease	10
2.2	Plant Immunity Systems	11
2.2.1	Pattern triggered Immunity (PTI)	12
2.2.2	Effector Triggered Immunity (ETI)	14
2.3	Role of EFR in Pattern Triggered Immunity	15

2.3.1	Activation of EFR mediated immunity by PAMP elf18	17
2.3.2	Regulation of EFR Complex in downstream activities	18
2.3.3	Co-receptor BAK1 facilitates the EFR mediated immunity	19
2.3.4	Transgenic expression of EFR and different Protein Recognition Receptor	22
2.4	Other in silico complex approach	23
2.5	Bioinformatics Approach in Protein 3D Structure Prediction	27
2.5.1	Homology Modelling	28
2.5.2	Ab-Initio Modelling	29
2.5.3	Protein Fold Recognition or Threading	30
2.6	Multiple template modelling approach (MTM)	30
2.7	Protein Structure Validation	31
2.8	Molecular Interaction between Proteins (Protein-Protein Docking)	32
2.9	Molecular Dynamics Simulation of proteins	32
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>35</b>
3.1	Sequence based analysis of EFR protein	36
3.2	Modeling of Pattern Recognition Receptor EFR protein	36
3.2.1	Single template modelling	36
3.2.2	Multiple template modelling	38
3.3	Protein Structure Validation	41
3.4	Structure refinement of EFR and elf18	42
3.5	Molecular Interaction (Protein-protein Docking) of EFR, PAMP elf18 and co-receptor BAK1	43
3.6	Comparative analysis of FLS2-flg22-BAK1 crystal structure and EFR-elf18-BAK1 protein complex	44
3.7	Molecular dynamics simulation of docked complexes	45
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>47</b>
4.1	Sequence based analysis and description of each domain of EFR protein.	47

4.2	Single template modelling	56
4.3	Multiple template modelling	59
4.4	Detail analysis and comparison of multiple template modelling and single template modelling method.	77
4.5	Structure validation	79
4.6	Molecular dynamics simulations of EFR protein and elf18 protein	83
4.7	Molecular Interaction of EFR with PAMP elf18 and co-receptor BAK1	90
4.7.1	Molecular interaction of EFR with PAMP elf18	90
4.7.2	Molecular interaction of EFR with co-receptor BAK1	93
4.7.3	Molecular interaction of EFR with co-receptor mutated BAK1	96
4.7.4	Molecular interaction of EFR with elf18 and co-receptor BAK1	100
4.7.5	Molecular interaction of EFR with elf18 and co-receptor mutated BAK1	104
4.7.6	Comparative analysis of FLS2-flg22-BAK1 crystal structure and EFR-elf18-BAK1 protein complex	109
4.8	Molecular dynamics simulation of docked complexes	114
4.8.1	Molecular Dynamics Simulation of EFR with PAMP elf18	114
4.8.2	Molecular Dynamics Simulation of EFR with BAK1 complexes	116
4.8.3	Molecular Dynamics Simulation EFR with PAMP elf18 and co-receptor BAK1 complexes	119
4.9	Summary	122
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>123</b>
5.1	Conclusion	123
5.2	Recommendations for Future Study	123
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>125</b>
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>180</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Table 3.1	Single template modelling approach for EFR protein	37
Table 4.1	NCBI blastp analysis result of different domain in EFR protein	70
Table 4.2	HHpred analysis for TM domain in EFR protein	72
Table 4.3	Validation results of models of EFR protein by different tools for single template modelling	80
Table 4.4	Validation results of model PAMP elf18 protein by different validation tools	81
Table 4.5	Validation results of models of EFR protein by different tools for multiple template modelling	83
Table 4.6	Polar contact measurements of EFR with PAMP elf18 through PyMOL tool	91
Table 4.7	Polar contact measurements of EFR with co-receptor BAK1 through PyMOL tool	94
Table 4.8	Polar contact measurements of EFR with co-receptor mutated BAK1 through PyMOL tool	97
Table 4.9	Polar contact measurements of EFR with elf18 and co-receptor BAK1 through PyMOL tool	101
Table 4.10	Polar contact measurements of EFR with elf18 and co-receptor mutated BAK1 through PyMOL tool	105
Table 4.11	Summary of interaction among EFR protein, PAMP elf18 and co-receptor BAK1/mutated BAK1	113



## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Pest infestation during stages of cabbage growth in Cameron Highlands (Mazlan & Mumford, 2005)	9
Figure 2.2	Symptoms of (A) leaf rot and (B) leaf ring spot caused by <i>Rhizoctonia solani</i> on <i>Brassica</i> species on Chinese Cabbage (Shim <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	10
Figure 2.3	Plant immunity system represented as zigzag model (Alizadeh & Askari, 2014)	12
Figure 2.4	Recognition of PAMP in bacteria by different plant pattern-recognition receptor (PRR) (Zipfel, 2014)	13
Figure 2.5	Immune output variations in Pattern Triggered Immunity (PTI) and Effector Triggered Immunity (ETI) (Cui <i>et al.</i> , 2015)	15
Figure 2.6	Detailed structures of EFR and its domain; $\alpha$ -helix (shaded grey); conserved amino acids (green); $\beta$ -strand (red brackets); N-glycosylation sites (blue) (Boller & Felix, 2009)	16
Figure 2.7	Testing of EF-Tu N-terminal active peptides through alkalization-inducing activity (G. U. Kunze, 2005)	18
Figure 2.8	The predicted amino acid sequence and domains of BAK1 (Nam & Li, 2002)	20
Figure 2.9	Crystal structure of the SERK3/BAK1 kinase domain (PDB ID: 3UIM) (Yan <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	21
Figure 2.10	Detail structural domains of FLS2 protein (Robatzek & Wirthmueller, 2013)	24
Figure 2.11	Cartoon structure of FLS2LRR (blue)-flg22 (pink)-BAK1LRR (green) (Sun <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	25
Figure 2.12	Molecular mechanism of PAMP flg22 (pink) by PRR FLS2 (blue); (A), Interaction of the N-terminal portion of flg22 with FLS2LRR; (B), Interaction of the C-terminal portion of flg22 with FLS2LRR. (Sun <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	26
Figure 2.13	Molecular mechanism of Bak1LRR (green) by FLS2LRR (blue) (Sun <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	27
Figure 2.14	Brief flow of Homology modelling (Beer, 2008)	29

Figure 3.1	Detailed flowchart of the research	35
Figure 3.2	Detailed flowchart of template selection for multiple template modelling of EFR protein	39
Figure 3.3	Detailed flowchart of modelling method for multiple template modelling of EFR protein	40
Figure 4.1	The secondary structure of EFR protein predicted by SOPMA server	48
Figure 4.2	Conserved region prediction of EFR protein generated by ConSurf tool	50
Figure 4.3	The domain architecture analysis of EFR protein generated by (a) SMART tool and (b) Inter Pro tool	52
Figure 4.4	LRR domain analysis of EFR protein by (a) UniProt and (b) Lrrfinder.com	54
Figure 4.5	Transmembrane region prediction of EFR protein by (a) TMHMM and (b) SOSUI	55
Figure 4.6	Single template modelling approach of EFR protein by different modelling tools	58
Figure 4.7	(a) MSA of full EFR protein with respective 5 selected template	61
Figure 4.7	(b) MSA of LRR domain with respective 5 selected template	64
Figure 4.7	(c) MSA of kinase domain with respective 5 selected template	67
Figure 4.8	Multiple template modelling approach of EFR protein by different modelling tools; (a) LRR domain EFRlrr5 (1OGQ_A); (b) TM domain, EFRtm1(2MOM_A); (c) Kinase domain EFRk5(6BFN_A); (d) HHpred Modeller; (e) AIDA; (f) Phyre2; (g) Raptor-X	75
Figure 4.9	(a) Template structure used to model EFR protein by HHpred modeller; (b) Model of repetitive LRR region in detail; (c) LRR repeated structure of alpha helix (pink), extended helix (purple), beta strand (yellow), and coil (white)	76
Figure 4.10	The detailed analysis of EFR protein modelling (a) Template alignment by SWISS-MODEL; (b) EFR model by SWISS-MODEL indicating the gaps, i,ii,iii,iv,v,vi between EFR sequence and template 4MN8_A ; (c) Detail image of LRR region of EFR protein by HHpred Modeller	78

Figure 4.11	RMSD analysis of EFR protein model from different modelling tool	85
Figure 4.12	RMSF analysis of EFR protein model from different modelling tool	86
Figure 4.13	Radius of gyration analysis of EFR protein model from different modelling tool	87
Figure 4.14	RMSD analysis of elf18 protein modelled by HHpred Modeller over 50ns period of time	88
Figure 4.15	RMSF analysis of elf18 protein modelled by HHpred Modeller over 50ns period of time	89
Figure 4.16	Rg analysis of elf18 protein modelled by HHpred Modeller over 50ns period of time	89
Figure 4.17	(a-f) Detail illustration of polar contact measurements of EFR (green) with PAMP elf18 (blue) through PyMOL tool	92
Figure 4.18	Cartoon representation of the complex of EFR (green), PAMP elf18 (blue) (g) before simulation and (h) after simulation	92
Figure 4.19	(a-f) Detail illustration of polar contact measurements of EFR (green) with co-receptor BAK1 (blue) through PyMOL tool	95
Figure 4.20	Cartoon representation of the complex of EFR (green), co-receptor BAK1 (blue) (g) before simulation and (h) after simulation	95
Figure 4.21	(a-n) Detail illustration of polar contact measurements of EFR (green) with mutated co-receptor BAK1 (blue) through PyMOL tool	98
Figure 4.22	Cartoon representation of the complex of EFR (green), mutated co-receptor BAK1 (blue) (o) before simulation and (p) after simulation	99
Figure 4.23	(a-o) Detail illustration of polar contact measurements of EFR (green), PAMP elf18 (blue) and co-receptor BAK1 (pink) through PyMOL tool	102
Figure 4.24	Cartoon representation of the complex of EFR (green), PAMP elf18 (blue) and co-receptor BAK1 (pink) (p) before simulation and (q) after simulation	104
Figure 4.25	(a-r) Detail illustration of polar contact measurements of EFR (green), PAMP elf18 (blue) and mutated co-receptor BAK1 (pink) through PyMOL tool	107

Figure 4.26	Cartoon representation of the complex of EFR (green), PAMP elf18 (blue) and mutated co-receptor BAK1 (pink) (s) before simulation and (t) after simulation	108
Figure 4.27	(a) Superimposition of EFRLRR (Green)-elf18 (Blue)-BAKLRR (Pink) as model protein complex and FLS2LRR (Yellow)-flg22 (Red)-BAK1LRR (Orange) as template protein complex ; (b) The overall superimposition results of QH, RMSD and Percent Identity for FLS2-flg22-BAK1 complex and EFR-elf18-BAK1 crystal structure	110
Figure 4.28	Binding mode of (a) FLS2 complex as template protein and (b) EFR complex as model protein, (a: i) Binding of FLS2 LRR (blue) with BAK1 (green), (a: ii), Binding of FLS2 LRR (blue) with flg22 (pink), (a: iii), Binding of flg22 (pink) with BAK1 (green); (b: i) Binding of EFR LRR (green) with elf18 (blue), (b: ii) Binding of elf18 (blue) with BAK1 (pink), (b: iii) Binding of EFR LRR (green) with BAK1 (pink)	112
Figure 4.29	(a) RMSD ; (b) RMS fluctuation ; (c) Radius of gyration ; (d-f) Hydrogen Bonds formed over simulation period between protein-protein, protein-water and water-water for EFR and elf18 docked complexes respectively. Black curves shows the results for EFR and elf18 complex	115
Figure 4.30	(a) RMSD; (b) RMS fluctuation; (c) Radius of gyration; (d-f) Hydrogen Bonds formed over simulation period between protein-protein, protein-water and water-water for EFR and BAK1 docked complexes respectively. Black curves shows the results for EFR and BAK1 docked complex where the red curves shows the results for EFR and mutated BAK1 docked complex	118
Figure 4.31	(a) RMSD; (b) RMSF; (c) Rg; (d-f) Hydrogen Bonds formed over simulation between protein-protein, protein-water and water-water for EFR, elf18 and BAK1 docked complex. Black curves shows the results for EFR, elf18 and BAK1 docked complex where the red curves shows the results for EFR, elf18 and mutated BAK1 docked complex	121

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BAK1	-	BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE 1-associated receptor kinase 1
EFR	-	Elongation Factor Receptor
EF-Tu	-	Elongation Factor Thermo unstable
ETI	-	Effector Triggered Immunity
GROMACS	-	Groningen Machine for Chemical Simulations
H-bond	-	Hydrogen Bond
JM	-	Juxtamembrane Domain
LRR-RK	-	Leucine Rich Repeat Receptor Kinases
MD	-	Molecular Dynamics
PAMP	-	Pathogen Associated Molecular Pattern
PDB	-	Protein Data Bank
PRR	-	Pattern Recognition Receptor
PTI	-	Pattern Triggered Immunity
R <sub>g</sub>	-	Radius of Gyration
RMSD	-	Root Means Square Deviation
RMSF	-	Root Means Square Fluctuations
SERK	-	Somatic Embryogenesis Receptor Kinase
TM	-	Transmembrane Domain

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

%	-	Percentage
Å	-	Angstrom
$\alpha$	-	Alpha
$\beta$	-	Beta
ns	-	Nano second
ps	-	Pico second
nm	-	Nano meter

## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Appendix A	Multiple template modelling for EFR protein with different domains and its selected template.	134
Appendix B	Table I Multiple template combination for LRR domain	138
Appendix B	Table II Multiple template combination for TM domain	139
Appendix B	Table III Multiple template combination for Kinase domain	139
Appendix C	Amino acid sequence of target protein EFR from UniProt tool	141
Appendix D	List of predicted Leucine rich repeat (LRR) domains in EFR protein by different tools together with predicted LRR domain reference	142
Appendix E	Validation of models from different domains in EFR protein	143
Appendix F	Ramachandran Plot summary from RAMPAGE of final model EFR (multiple template modelling) by HHpred server	146
Appendix G	Table I Molecular interaction of EFR with PAMP elf18	147
Appendix G	Table II Molecular interaction of EFR with co-receptor BAK1	150
Appendix G	Table III Molecular interaction of EFR with mutated co-receptor BAK1	158
Appendix G	Table IV Molecular interaction of EFR with elf18 and co-receptor BAK1.	166
Appendix G	Table V Molecular interaction of EFR with elf18 and mutated co-receptor BAK1.	173

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background of study

*Arabidopsis* plant consists of various disease resistances majorly in *Brassica* species. *Brassica* plant species such as Cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata* L.) is one of the main vegetables consumed in Malaysia as fresh market vegetable and also eaten fresh in food consumption such as coleslaw, sauerkraut and cabbage roll. It has contributed to greatest production in many parts of Malaysia, especially in Cameron Highland with 92% of total cabbage production annually. However, it also causes 11% of crop loss each year since 1925 due to pest infestation (Mazlan & Mumford, 2005). The *Brassica* species mainly affected by diseases such as *Xanthomonas campestris* and *Plamodiospora brassicae* causes by the most prominent insect pest, *Plutella xylostella*. There is a lot of research has been already done to control the disease such as transgenic crops as well as the usage of the biological insecticide and insect-resistant genetically modified crop which is ineffective due to some of the factors such as short-lived of plant species, non-environmental friendly and highly cost (Bravo Alejandro & Gill Sarjeet, 2008).

Many research recently focused on in silico analysis study where all data of biological experiments are being carried out entirely using computer. Pattern recognition receptor (PRR) plays an important role in in silico study for rapid detection of potential danger caused by pests and microbes by pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP). *Arabidopsis thaliana* is currently used to analyse the microbial-plant interaction through in silico analysis (Bigeard *et al.*, 2015; Kunze, 2004). From *Arabidopsis* plant, many PRR have their own specific binding with PAMP. Flagellin Sensitive2 (FLS2) and Elongation factor receptor (EFR) are the most-characterized membrane protein used for in silico study. However, the overall structure of protein complex FLS2LRR-flg22-BAK1LRR has already been analyzed



through in silico binding mechanism (Sun *et al.*, 2013), in which LRR indicated as leucine rich repeat domain.

The perception of bacterial elongation factor Tu (EF-Tu) by Elongation factor receptor (EFR) protein explains the well-studied PAMP/PRR pair specifically for *Arabidopsis* plant disease (Roux *et al.*, 2011; Sato *et al.*, 2000). Elongation factor Tu (EF-Tu) is the most abundant bacterial protein that acts as a pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP). *Arabidopsis* plants recognize the N terminus of the protein comprises the first 18 amino acids of elf18 as it is fully active in defence responses (Albert *et al.*, 2010). Additionally, the co-receptor such as Brassinosteroid Insensitive 1-associated receptor kinases (BAK1) protein and related somatic embryogenesis receptor kinase (SERK) protein helps to regulate and activate the immune response (Newman, Sundelin, Nielsen, & Erbs, 2013).

Previous research on transgenic expressions of LRR-RK EFR protein with different receptor protein of Flagellin Sensitive2 (FLS2) has been carried out through experiments such as binding assay, co-immunoprecipitation, conservation mapping and others. Although most of PRR/PAMP have already been identified, however the full ectodomain analysis of EFR protein and its interaction with PAMP has not been carried out yet. Therefore, this current study attempts to interact LRR domain of EFR protein with elf18 protein and co-receptor BAK1 protein. This study significantly helps to analyse the brassica disease in detail and the interaction between EFR and elf18 in PTI system. Consequently, through the interaction, the similarity and differences with the existed complexes of FLS2-flg22-BAK1 is analyse through in silico analysis using bioinformatics approach.

## **1.2 Problem statement**

The potential danger caused by insect pest, *Plutella xylostella* trigger the *Arabidopsis* plant disease and crop loss in *Brassica* species especially in cabbage. EFR is the most-characterized membrane protein, that has specific ectodomain

binding towards elf18 protein is used for in silico study to control the disease. When the pathogen attacked the plasma membrane, EFR protein will recognise the conserved PAMP and undergo downstream activities (Bigeard *et al.*, 2015; Che, 2017). Recent research has been done in many wet lab experiments on transpecies transfer of LRR-RK EFR protein and different PRR to test the effectiveness of different plant species. Previous research has demonstrated co-immunoprecipitation experiments through *in-vivo* to test the ability of transgenic expressions of EFR protein and Flagellin Sensitive2 (FLS2) receptor with somatic embryogenesis receptor kinase (SERK) co-receptor in tobacco and tomato (Helft *et al.*, 2011; Roux *et al.*, 2011). Recent research also proved through conservation mapping method to predict suitable functional sites where it has been demonstrated through LRR domain of EFR protein and FLS2 receptor to test the resistance on bacteria *Ralstonia solanacearum* and *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *Vesicatoria* (Helft *et al.*, 2011). However, the transgenic plants expressing EFR protein causes wilting symptoms. Moreover, chimeric receptor of FLS2 substitute with parts of EFR, which tested for functionality of ligand-binding-elf18 tobacco and tomato. Nevertheless, this research causes incompatible at the swap site and exchange of the LRR subdomain. Thus, due to the factors such as short-lived of plants because of high pressure of Bt Cry toxin, high cost and incompatible of swap site of protein domains, the previous research is considered ineffective. Thus, the current study proposed to investigate the random interaction of PRR of full EFR protein domain, without transpecies transfer of different receptor with elf18 and co-receptor BAK1 protein through in silico analysis approach by using various bioinformatics tool. This study concurrently helps to broaden the scope and increase the performance of the plant rather than experimenting using the same mainstream wet lab experiments.

### **1.3 Research Aim and Objectives**

The overall aim of this research project is to understand the pattern-triggered plant immunity system to control disease in *Brassica* species mediated by EFR protein as pattern recognition receptor through in silico analysis study. The objectives of this research project are:

1. To evaluate structure reliability of single template models and multiple template models of PRR EFR protein and PAMP elf18 protein through structure validation analysis.
2. To determine random molecular interaction of complex of plant PRR EFR protein with PAMP elf18 protein through docking using ZDOCK 3.0.2 server.
3. To determine molecular interaction of complexes of plant PRR EFR protein and PAMP elf18 protein with co-receptor BAK1 protein through docking.
4. To determine structure stability interaction of docked complexes between PRR EFR protein and PAMP elf18 protein, together with the co-receptor BAK1 protein through molecular dynamics simulation.

#### **1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Study**

In the beginning of this study, initially the PRR EFR protein and PAMP elf18 protein was modelled using different tools, in which the amino acid sequence based analysis of EFR through multiple sequence alignment was done for EFR protein with its different template proteins. The homology modelling have been constructed to model the 3D structure of EFR protein and the final structure selected based on structure validation percentage using ERRAT, Verify3D and Ramachandran Plot. Following that, the random molecular interaction of PRR EFR protein, PAMP elf18 protein and co-receptor BAK1 protein was done by using ZDOCK 3.0.2 server. Then, molecular dynamics simulation was done to analyse the conformational changes of the proteins and stability interactions using GROMACS 5.0.4, which generated results in root means square deviation (RMSD), root means square fluctuations (RMSF) and radius of gyration (Rg) graphs. According to these measurement and other binding analysis measurements provided in methodology section, the results were assessed and discussed. For the binding interaction analysis, only LRR domain of EFR protein was taken into consideration since the mechanism happens outside membrane (R. Gupta & Bent, 2011).

The significant limitation for this study was that there is no research previously done for the in silico analysis study of EFR protein and interaction with its PAMP. Although EFR protein has been model previously, there is no reference on such interaction to PAMP elf18 protein as well for md simulation of pattern triggered immunity approach. The reference structure was only limited to FLS2 crystal structure having PDB ID of 4m8A, FLS2 complex as PRR with its PAMP flg22 and BAK1 as co-receptor which share similar co-receptor with EFR protein complex (Koller & Bent, 2014).

Another limitation of this study is that since this project mainly use online servers to accomplish work in order to model a protein, for the interaction and for simulation of protein. Moreover, not many free online modelling server could able to model full EFR protein together with all domains, thus separation of each domain has been done and has been model individually (Buena Vista *et al.*, 2012). As well for free docking tools, ZDOCK 3.0.2 was the most suitable to generate interaction between two or more different proteins compared to AutoDock 4.2.

## **1.5 Significance of study**

The study of pattern-triggered immunity (PTI) system is important in order to understand the stages in plant defense mechanism in detail. Besides, PRR EFR is an important protein receptor in controlling major disease in *Brassica* species especially cabbage. Therefore, in silico study of EFR protein interact with PAMP elf18 protein and co-receptor BAK1 protein through proper modelling and molecular dynamics approach will significantly contributes knowledge to understand the plant defense mechanism.

## REFERENCES

- A. Biegert, J. S. (2008). Sequence analysis De novo identification of highly diverged protein repeats by probabilistic consistency, *Bioinformatics*, 24(6), 807-814.
- Abeln, S., Heringa, J., & Feenstra, K. A. (2017). Strategies for protein structure model generation. *Journal of Plant Molecular Breeding (JPMB)*, 2(4), 1-15.
- Adam H., Josep Ramon Goñi, M. O., & Gelpí, J. L. (2015). Molecular dynamics simulations: advances and applications. *Advances and Applications in Bioinformatics and Chemistry*, 37-47.
- Afzal, A. J., Wood, A. J., & Lightfoot, D. A. (2008). Plant Receptor-Like Serine Threonine Kinases: Roles in Signaling, *The American Phytopathological Society*, (June 2014).
- Agronets, T. (2015). Disease Severity on Cabbage Protected from Arthropod Pests with Insecticide Treated Agronets in Kenya, *American Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, 9(5), 1-10.
- Akansha Saxena, R. (2013). Fundamentals of Homology Modeling Steps and Comparison among Important Bioinformatics Tools: An Overview. *Science International*, 1(7): 237-252.
- Albert, M. (2013). Peptides as triggers of plant defence. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 64(17), 5269-5279.
- Albert, M., & Felix, G. (2010). Chimeric receptors of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* pattern recognition receptors EFR and FLS2. *Plant Signaling & Behavior*, 5(11), 1430-1432.
- Albert, M., Jehle, A. K., Mueller, K., Eisele, C., Lipschis, M., & Felix, G. (2010). *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Biochemistry*, 2(12), 1-15.
- Albert, M., Jehle, A. K., Mueller, K., Eisele, C., Lipschis, M., & Felix, G. (2010). *Arabidopsis thaliana* pattern recognition receptors for bacterial elongation factor tu and flagellin can be combined to form functional chimeric receptors. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 285(25), 19035-19042.
- Alizadeh, M., & Askari, H. (2014). The role of microRNAs and phytohormones in plant immune system. *Journal of Plant Molecular Breeding (JPMB)*, 2(2), 1-17.
- Apweiler, R., Bairoch, A., Wu, C. H., Barker, W. C., Boeckmann, B., Ferro, S., Yeh, L. L. (2004). UniProt: the Universal Protein knowledgebase, *Nucleic Acids Research*, 32-36.
- Armon, A., Graur, D., Ben-tal, N., & Aviv, R. (2001). ConSurf: An Algorithmic Tool for the Identification of Functional Regions in Proteins by Surface Mapping of Phylogenetic Information. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 307, 447-463.
- Astuti, A. D., Refianti, R., & Mutiara, A. B. (2011). Molecular Dynamics Simulation on Protein Using Gromacs. *Journal of Computer Science*, 9(2), 16-20.
- Bautor, J., Parker, J. E., & Ackerveken, G. Van Den. (2014). Functional Analysis of *Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis* RXLR Effectors, *PLoS ONE*, 9(11).
- Beer, T. A. P. De. (2008). Protein homology modelling and its use in South Africa 2, (February), *South African Journal of Science*, 2-6.
- Bertonati, C., & Punta, M. (2010). In silico Protein Function Prediction. *Journal of*

- Molecular Graphics*, 1–9.
- Bigeard, J., Colcombet, J., & Hirt, H. (2015). Signaling mechanisms in pattern-triggered immunity (PTI). *Molecular Plant*, 8(4), 521–539.
- Boller, T., & Felix, G. (2009). A Renaissance of Elicitors: Perception of Microbe-Associated Molecular Patterns and Danger Signals by Pattern-Recognition Receptors. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 60(1), 379–406.
- Bravo Alejandro, Gill Sarjeet, S. M. (2008). Their Potential for Insect Control. *National Institutes Access*, 49(4), 423–435.
- Brutus, A., Sicilia, F., Macone, A., Cervone, F., & De Lorenzo, G. (2010). A domain swap approach reveals a role of the plant wall-associated kinase 1 (WAK1) as a receptor of oligogalacturonides. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(20), 9452–9457.
- Buenavista, M. T., Roche, D. B., & McGuffin, L. J. (2012). Improvement of 3D protein models using multiple templates guided by single-template model quality assessment. *Bioinformatics*, 28(14), 1851–1857.
- Cafilisch, A., & Paci, E. (2005). Molecular Dynamics Simulations to Study Protein Folding and Unfolding, 5(0), *Structural Bioinformatics*, 1143–1169.
- Chakravarty, S., Godbole, S., Zhang, B., Berger, S., & Sanchez, R. (2008). Systematic analysis of the effect of multiple templates on the accuracy of comparative models of protein structure, *Bioinformatics*, 13–17.
- Che, F. (2017). Two distinct EF-Tu epitopes induce immune responses in rice and Arabidopsis., *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 10–11.
- Chen, R., Li, L., & Weng, Z. (2003). ZDOCK: An Initial-stage Protein Docking Algorithm. *Proteins*, 1, 80–87.
- Cheng, J. (2008). A multi-template combination algorithm for protein comparative modeling. *BMC Structural Biology*, 8(2), 1–13.
- Chi, H., & City, M. (2012). Homology Modeling Tutorial, *Journal of Computer Science*, 67(3), 87–121.
- Chinchilla, D., Zipfel, C., Robatzek, S., Kemmerling, B., Nürnberger, T., Jones, J. D. G., Boller, T. (2007). A flagellin-induced complex of the receptor FLS2 and BAK1 initiates plant defence. *Nature*, 448(7152), 497–500.
- Couto, D., & Zipfel, C. (2016). Regulation of pattern recognition receptor signalling in plants. *Nature Reviews Immunology*, 16(9), 537–552.
- Cui, H., Tsuda, K., & Parker, J. E. (2015). Effector-Triggered Immunity: From Pathogen Perception to Robust Defense. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 66(1), 487–511.
- DeLano, W. (2002). Pymol: An open-source molecular graphics tool. *CCP4 Newsletter On Protein Crystallography*, 700.
- Doig, A. J., Andrew, C. D., Duncan, A. E., Stapley, B. J., Clarke, D. T., & Jones, G. R. (2001). Structure, Stability and Folding of the  $\alpha$ -Helix, *Annual Review of Physical Chemistry*, 57–83.
- Dunning, F. M., Sun, W., Jansen, K. L., Helft, L., & Bent, A. F. (2007). Identification and Mutational Analysis of *Arabidopsis* FLS2 Leucine-Rich Repeat Domain Residues That Contribute to Flagellin Perception. *The Plant Cell*, 19(10), 3297–3313.
- Eisenberg, D., Lüthy, R., & Bowie, J. U. (1997). VERIFY3D: Assessment of protein models with three-dimensional profiles. *Methods in Enzymology*, 277 (February 1997), 396–406.
- Errami, M., Geourjon, C., & Del, G. (2003). Detection of unrelated proteins in sequences multiple alignments by using predicted secondary structures, *Journal*

- of Biological Chemistry*, 19(4), 506–512.
- Eswar, N., Webb, B., Marti-Renom, Madhusudhan, M. S., Eramian, D., Shen, M., Sali, A. (2006). Comparative protein structure modeling using MODELLER. *Current Protocols in Bioinformatics*, 61-72.
- Fiorucci, S., & Zacharias, M. (2010). Prediction of protein-protein interaction sites using electrostatic desolvation profiles. *Biophysical Journal*, 98(9), 1921–1930.
- Fiser, A. (2004). Protein structure modeling in the proteomics era. *Expert Review of Proteomics*, 1(1), 97–110.
- Ghorbani, M., Ghorbani, F., & Karimi, H. (2016). Bioinformatics Tools for Protein Analysis, *Structural Bioinformatics*, 33-57.
- Gómez-Gómez, L., & Boller, T. (2000). FLS2: An LRR Receptor-like Kinase Involved in the Perception of the Bacterial Elicitor Flagellin in Arabidopsis. *Molecular Cell*, 5(6), 1003–1011.
- González, M. A. (2011). Force fields and molecular dynamics simulations. *Collection SFN*, 12, 169–200.
- Goswami, A. M. (2015). Structural modeling and in silico analysis of non-synonymous single nucleotide polymorphisms of human 3 $\beta$ -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 2. *Meta Gene*, 5, 162–172.
- Gou, X., He, K., Yang, H., Yuan, T., Lin, H., Clouse, S. D., & Li, J. (2010). Genome-wide cloning and sequence analysis of leucine-rich repeat receptor-like protein kinase genes in Arabidopsis thaliana. *BMC Genomics*, 11, 19.
- Goudar, G., & Alagawadi, A. R. (1988). Bioefficacy of Bacillus thuringiensis isolates crude protein against Plutella xylostella L., *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 5(1), 208–213.
- Gupta, A., Pallavi, M., & Bhatnagar, S. (2017). Methods and Algorithms for Molecular Docking- Based Drug Design and Discovery, *Journal of Computer Science & Systems Biology*, (February 2018).
- Gupta, R., & Bent, A. (2011). LRR Conservation Mapping to Predict Functional Sites within Protein Leucine-Rich Repeat Domains, *Journal of Molecular Biology* 6(7).
- Helft, Reddy, Chen, Koller, T. Federici, L.Fernández-Recio, J.Bent, (2011). LRR conservation mapping to predict functional sites within protein leucine-rich repeat domains. *PLoS ONE*, 6(7).
- Hohmann, Lau, & Hothorn (2017). The Structural Basis of Ligand Perception and Signal Activation by Receptor Kinases. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 68(1), 109–137.
- Hurley, Subramaniam, Guttman, D. S.Hurley, B.Subramaniam, R., Guttman, D. S., & Desveaux, D. (2014). Proteomics of effector-triggered immunity (ETI) in plants. *Virulence*, 5(7), 752–760.
- Imkampe, Halter, Huang, Schulze, Mazzotta, S., Schmidt, Wang, X. (2017). The Arabidopsis Leucine-Rich Repeat Receptor Kinase BIR3 Negatively Regulates BAK1 Receptor Complex Formation and Stabilizes BAK1, *The Plant Cell*, 29(September), 2285–2303.
- Jakob, Goss, Araki, H., Van, Kreitman, M., & Bergelson, J. (2002). Pseudomonas viridiflava and P. syringae — Natural Pathogens of Arabidopsis thaliana, *The American Phytopathological Society*, 15(12), 1195–1203.
- Jambon, M., Imberty, A., Dele, G., & Geourjon, C. (2003). A New Bioinformatic Approach to Detect Common 3D Sites in Protein Structures, *Proteins*, 145(November 2002), 137–145.
- Jian Peng and Jinbo Xu. (2013). A multiple-template approach to protein threading,

- Proteins, *31*(9), 1713–1723.
- Joshi, Y. N., Chegu, P. S., Bolabattin, N. N., & Godale, C. (2016). In- silico Structural & Molecular characterization of Pectin methylesterase from *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *European Journal of Biotechnology and Bioscience*, *4*(10), 21–24.
- Källberg, Margaryan, Wang, Ma, & Xu, J. (2014). RaptorX server : A Resource for Template-Based, *Journal of Computer Science*, 215-234.
- Källberg, Wang, Wang, Peng, Wang, Lu, H., & Xu, J. (2012). Template-based protein structure modeling using the RaptorX web server. *Nature Protocols*, *7*(8), 1511–1522.
- Karplus, M., & Kuriyan, J. (2005). Molecular dynamics and protein function, *Journal of Computer Science & Systems Biology*, *102*(19).
- Kelly, L. A., Mezulis, Yates, Wass, M., & Sternberg, M. (2015). The Phyre2 web portal for protein modelling, prediction, and analysis. *Nature Protocols*, *10*(6), 845–858.
- Kihara, D., Chen, H., & Yang, Y. D. (2009). Quality assessment of protein structure models. *Current Protein & Peptide Science*, *10*(3), 216–228.
- Kim, B. H., Kim, S. Y., & Nam, K. H. (2013). Assessing the diverse functions of BAK1 and its homologs in arabidopsis, beyond BR signaling and PTI responses. *Molecules and Cells*, *35*(1), 7–16.
- Kleywegt, G. J. (2000). Validation of protein crystal structures, *Biological Crystallography*, 249–265.
- Koç, F. &. (2014). In silico sequence analysis and homology modeling of predicted beta-amylase 7-like protein in *Brachypodium distachyon* L., *Journal of Bioscience*, *3*(1), 61–67.
- Koller, T., & Bent, A. F. (2014). FLS2-BAK1 extracellular domain interaction sites required for defense signaling activation. *PLoS ONE*, *9*(10).
- Krieger, E., Nabuurs, S. B., & Vriend, G. (2003). Homology Modeling. *Structural Bioinformatics*, *857*, 507–508.
- Kumar, S., & Srivastava, G. (2012). PRALINE , A Protein Multiple Sequence Alignment Tool : User perspective analysis, *PLoS ONE*, *3*(December), 28–29.
- Kunze, G. (2004). The N Terminus of Bacterial Elongation Factor Tu Elicits Innate Immunity in Arabidopsis Plants. *The Plant Cell Online*, *16*(12), 3496–3507.
- Kunze, G. U. (2005). Characterization of a novel bacterial PAMP–Elongation Factor Tu–and its role in Arabidopsis thaliana defense and immunity, *Journal of Plant Pathology*, *45*(8), 60–65.
- Lacombe, Rougon-cardoso, Sherwood, Peeters, Dahlbeck, & Esse, H. P. Van. (2017). Interfamily transfer of a plant pattern-recognition receptor confers broad-spectrum bacterial resistance, *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 1–7.
- Lee, Freddolino, P. L., & Zhang, Y. (2017). From Protein Structure to Function with Bioinformatics, *PLoS ONE* *7*(8).
- Li, J., Zhao-Hui, C., Batoux, M., Nekrasov, V., Roux, M., Chinchilla, Jones, J. D. G. (2009). Specific ER quality control components required for biogenesis of the plant innate immune receptor EFR. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *106*(37), 15973–15978.
- Lindahl, E. (2007). Introduction to Molecular Dynamics with GROMACS Molecular Modeling Course 2007 Simulation of Lysozyme in Water, *Journal of Computer-Aided Molecular Design*, *11*(5), 3–10.
- Margreitter, C., & Oostenbrink, C. (2017). MDplot: Visualise Molecular Dynamics, *Journal of Surveying, Construction & Property*, *9*(1), 164–186.



- Mazlan, N., & Mumford, J. (2005). Insecticide use in cabbage pest management in the Cameron Highlands, Malaysia. *Crop Protection*, 24(1), 31–39.
- Mazzotta, S., & Kemmerling, B. (2011). Pattern recognition in plant innate immunity. *Journal of Plant Pathology*, 93(1), 7–17.
- McAndrew, R., Pruitt, R. N., Kamita, S. G., Pereira, J. H., Majumdar, D., Hammock, Ronald, P. C. (2014). Structure of the OsSERK2 leucine-rich repeat extracellular domain. *Acta Crystallographica Section D: Biological Crystallography*, 70(11), 3080–3086.
- Mcguffin, L. J. (2008). Computational Structural Biology - Methods and Applications, *Journal of Proteomics & Bioinformatics*, 22(3), 126–129.
- Messaoudi, A., Belguith, H., & Hamida, J. Ben. (2011). Three-dimensional structure of Arabidopsis thaliana lipase predicted by homology modeling method. *Evolutionary Bioinformatics*, 2011(7), 99–105.
- Mishra, S. K., Demo, G., Koča, J., & Wimmerová, M. (2012). In Silico Engineering of Proteins That Recognize Small Molecules. *Protein Engineering*, (February), 307–345.
- MNV Prasad Gajula, Anuj Kumar, and J. I. (2016). Protocol for Molecular Dynamics Simulations of Proteins, *Journal of Computer-Aided Molecular Design*, (April 2018), 236-244.
- Moffett, A. S., Bender, K. W., Huber, S. C., & Shukla, D. (2017). Molecular dynamics simulations reveal the conformational dynamics of Arabidopsis thaliana BRI1 and BAK1 receptor-like kinases. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 292(30), 12643–12652.
- Morteza Khabiri. (2011). Computational Investigations of Biomolecular Systems and Comparison with Experiments in Various Environmental Conditions. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 120(5), 223–229.
- Mubassir, M. H. M., Naser, M. A., Abdul-wahab, M. F., & Hamdan, S. (2017). In-Silico Structural Modeling and Molecular Dynamics Simulation of Pathogen-Associated Molecular Pattern RAXX21, 10(1), 121–126.
- Nam, K. H., & Li, J. (2002). BRI1/BAK1, a receptor kinase pair mediating brassinosteroid signaling. *Cell*, 110(2), 203–212.
- Nekrasov, V., Li, J., Batoux, M., Roux, M., Chu, Z.-H., Lacombe, S., Zipfel, C. (2009). Control of the pattern-recognition receptor EFR by an ER protein complex in plant immunity. *The EMBO Journal*, 28(21), 3428–3438.
- Newman, M.-A., Sundelin, T., Nielsen, J. T., & Erbs, G. (2013). MAMP (microbe-associated molecular pattern) triggered immunity in plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 4(May), 1–14.
- Nicaise, V., Roux, M., & Zipfel, C. (2009). Recent Advances in PAMP-Triggered Immunity against Bacteria: Pattern Recognition Receptors Watch over and Raise the Alarm. *Plant Physiology*, 150(4), 1638–1647.
- Nomura, K., Mecey, C., Lee, Y.-N., Imboden, L. A., Chang, J. H., & He, S. Y. (2011). Effector-triggered immunity blocks pathogen degradation of an immunity-associated vesicle traffic regulator in Arabidopsis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(26), 10774–10779.
- Notredame, C. (2014). Recent progress in multiple sequence alignment : a survey, *Pharmacogenomics*, (February 2002), 3 (1), 131-144.
- Nowicki, M., Nowakowska, M., Niezgodna, A., & Kozik, E. (2012). Alternaria black spot of crucifers: Symptoms, importance of disease, and perspectives of resistance breeding. *Vegetable Crops Research Bulletin*, 76(1), 5–19.
- Ong, Nguyen, P., Thao, N. P., & Le, L. (2015). Bioinformatics Approach in Plant

- Genomic Research, *Journal of Proteomics & Bioinformatics*, (September), 13(1), 122–1268.
- Pace, C. N., Fu, H., Fryar, K. L., Landua, J., Trevino, S. R., Schell, D. Grimsley, G. R. (2014). Contribution of hydrogen bonds to protein stability, Pace et al. *Protein Science*, 23, 652–661.
- Pan, L., & Aller, S. G. (2018). Tools and Procedures for Visualization of Proteins and Other Biomolecules, *Advances and Applications in Bioinformatics and Chemistry*, 25(22), 3422–3437.
- Park, C.-J., Caddell, D. F., & Ronald, P. C. (2012). Protein phosphorylation in plant immunity: insights into the regulation of pattern recognition receptor-mediated signaling. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 3(August), 1–9.
- Perilla, J. R., Goh, B. C., Cassidy, C. K., Liu, B., Bernardi, R. C., Rudack, T. Schulten, K. (2015). ScienceDirect Molecular dynamics simulations of large macromolecular complexes. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, 31, 64–74.
- Petrov, D., Margreitter, C., Grandits, M., Oostenbrink, C., & Zagrovic, B. (2013). A Systematic Framework for Molecular Dynamics Simulations of Protein Post-Translational Modifications. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 9(7).
- Pfeilmeier, S., George, J., Morel, A., Roy, S., Smoker, M., Stransfeld, L., Zipfel, C. (2018). Expression of the Arabidopsis thaliana immune receptor EFR in Medicago truncatula reduces infection by a root pathogenic bacterium. *Cell*, 1–11.
- Pierce, B. G., Wiehe, K., Hwang, H., Kim, B. H., Vreven, T., & Weng, Z. (2014). ZDOCK server: Interactive docking prediction of protein-protein complexes and symmetric multimers. *Bioinformatics*, 30(12), 1771–1773.
- Pietrokovski, S. (1996). Searching databases of conserved sequence regions by aligning protein multiple-alignments, *Bioinformatics*, 24(19), 3836–3845.
- Piquerez, S. J. M., Harvey, S. E., Beynon, J. L., & Ntoukakis, V. (2014). Improving crop disease resistance: lessons from research on Arabidopsis and tomato. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 5(December), 1–13.
- Prajapat, R., Marwal, A., & Gaur, R. K. (2014). Recognition of Errors in the Refinement and Validation of Three-Dimensional Structures of AC1 Proteins of Begomovirus Strains by Using ProSA-Web, *Biophysical Journal*, 34-49.
- Punta, M., & Ofran, Y. (2008). The rough guide to in silico function prediction, or how to use sequence and structure information to predict protein function. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 4(10).
- Ramachandran, S., Dokholyan, N. V., & Need, H. M. (2012). Homology Modeling: Generating Structural Models to Understand Protein Function and Mechanism. *Computational Modeling of Biological Systems*, 97–116.
- Rapley, R. (2009). Basic Techniques in Molecular Biology. *Molecular Biology and Biotechnology*, (October 2007), 1–19.
- Reid, C., Lindley, P. F., & Thornton, J. M. (1985). Sulphur-aromatic interactions in proteins, *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 190(2).
- Rips, S., Koiwa, H., Salomon, S., Saijo, Chinchilla, D., Robatzek, S., & Schawen, A. Von. (2010). Pattern Recognition Receptors Require N -Glycosylation to Mediate Plant Immunity, *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology*, 285(7), 4629–4636.
- Robatzek, S., & Wirthmueller, L. (2013). Mapping FLS2 function to structure: LRRs, kinase and its working bits. *Protoplasma*, 250(3), 671–681.
- Roberts et al. (2018). Comparing Structures and Sequences with MultiSeq Structure Alignment with MultiSeq. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 7:382, 1–9.

- Rougon-cardoso, A. (2014). A New Approach to Confer Plant Broad-Spectrum Disease Resistance. *ISB NEWS REPORT*, (June 2010), 16–19.
- Roux, M., Schwessinger, B., Albrecht, C., Chinchilla, D., Jones, A., Holton, N., Zipfel, C. (2011). The *Arabidopsis* Leucine-Rich Repeat Receptor–Like Kinases BAK1/SERK3 and BKK1/SERK4 Are Required for Innate Immunity to Hemibiotrophic and Biotrophic Pathogens. *The Plant Cell*, 23(6), 2440–2455.
- Roy, A., Kucukural, A., & Zhang, Y. (2010). I-TASSER : a unified platform for automated protein structure and function prediction, *Biological Crystallography*, 5(4), 725–738.
- Saeed, F., & Khokhar, (2009). An Overview of Multiple Sequence Alignment Systems, *Computational Modeling of Biological Systems*, 23-39.
- Saijo, Y., Loo, E. P., & Yasuda, S. (2018). Pattern recognition receptors and signaling in plant–microbe interactions, *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 592–613.
- Sangrador-vegas, A., Mitchell, A. L., Chang, H., Yong, S., & Finn, R. D. (2016). GO annotation in InterPro : why stability does not indicate accuracy in a sea of changing annotations, *PLoS Computational Biology* (12), 1–8.
- Sateesh, P., Journal, I., Science, E., Vol, T., Rao, A. A., Sangeeta, S. K., Kakinada, J. (2010). Homology Modelling and Sequence Analysis. *International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, 2(5), 1125–1130.
- Sato, S., Nakamura, Y., Kaneko, T., Katoh, T., Asamizu, E., & Tabata, S. (2000). Structural analysis of *Arabidopsis thaliana* chromosome 3. I. Sequence features of the regions of 4,504,864 bp covered by sixty P1 and TAC clones. *DNA Research : An International Journal for Rapid Publication of Reports on Genes and Genomes*, 7(2), 131–5.
- Scheraga, H. A., Khalili, M., & Liwo, A. (2007). Protein-Folding Dynamics : Overview of Molecular Simulation Techniques, *PLoS ONE*, 6(7).
- Schoonbeek, H., Wang, H., Stefanato, F. L., Craze, M., Bowden, S., Wallington, E., Ridout, C. J. (2015). Rapid report *Arabidopsis* EF-Tu receptor enhances bacterial disease resistance in transgenic wheat, *Plant Physiology*, 606–613.
- Schultz, J. (2000). SMART: a web-based tool for the study of genetically mobile domains. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 28(1), 231–234.
- Schwessinger, B., Bahar, O., Thomas, N., Holton, N., Nekrasov, V., Ruan, Ronald, P. C. (2015). Transgenic Expression of the Dicotyledonous Pattern Recognition Receptor EFR in Rice Leads to Ligand-Dependent Activation of Defense Responses. *PLoS Pathogens*, 11(3), 1–34.
- Shim, C., Kim, M., Kim, Y., Jee, H., & Hong, S. (2013). Leaf Rot and Leaf Ring Spot Caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* in Chinese Cabbage, 19(4), 300–307.
- Shrivastava, D., Nain, V., Sahi, S., Verma, A., Sharma, P., Sharma, P. C., & Kumar, P. A. (2011). Insights from molecular modeling and dynamics simulation of pathogen resistance (R) protein from brinjal. *Bioinformatics*, 5(8), 326–30.
- Smialowski, P., Martin-galiano, A. J., Mikolajka, A., Girschick, T., Holak, T. A., & Å, D. F. (2007). Sequence analysis Protein solubility: sequence based prediction and experimental verification, *PLoS Computational Biology*, 23(19), 2536–2542.
- Smith, J. M., Salamango, D. J., Leslie, M. E., Collins, C. A., & Heese, A. (2014). Sensitivity to Flg22 Is Modulated by Ligand-Induced Degradation and de Novo Synthesis of the Endogenous Flagellin-Receptor FLAGELLIN-SENSING2. *Plant Physiology*, 164(1), 440–454.
- Smith, R., Barber, A., & County, M. (2004). Brassica Cover Crops : Impacts on Plant Nutrition and Pest Management, *The Plant Cell*, (831), (831), 11–18.

- Soding, J. (2007). Quick guide to HHsearch, *Proteins*, 233(4), 11–180.
- Söding, J., Biegert, A., & Lupas, A. N. (2005). The HHpred interactive server for protein homology detection and structure prediction. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 33(SUPPL. 2), 244–248.
- Sun, W., Cao, Y., Jansen Labby, K., Bittel, P., Boller, T., & Bent, A. F. (2012). Probing the Arabidopsis Flagellin Receptor: FLS2-FLS2 Association and the Contributions of Specific Domains to Signaling Function. *The Plant Cell*, 24(3), 1096–1113.
- Sun, Y., Han, Z., Tang, J., Hu, Z., Chai, C., Zhou, B., & Chai, J. (2013). Structure reveals that BAK1 as a co-receptor recognizes the BRI1-bound brassinolide. *Cell Research*, 23(11), 1326–1329.
- Sun, Y., Li, L., Macho, A. P., Han, Z., Hu, Z., Zipfel, C., Chai, J. (2013). Structural Basis for flg22-Induced Activation of the Arabidopsis FLS2-BAK1 Immune Complex. *Science*, 342(6158), 624–628.
- Sun, Y., Li, L., Macho, A. P., Han, Z., Hu, Z., Zipfel, C., Chai, J. (2013). Structural Basis for flg22-Induced Activation of the Arabidopsis FLS2-BAK1 Immune Complex. *Science*, 342(6158), 624–628.
- Tabata, S., Kaneko, T., Nakamura, Y., Kotani, H., Kato, T., Asamizu, E., Fransz, P. (2000). Sequence and analysis of chromosome 5 of the plant Arabidopsis thaliana. *Nature*, 408(6814), 823–826.
- Tischner, R., Koltermann, M., Hesse, H., & Plath, M. (2010). Early responses of Arabidopsis thaliana to infection by Verticillium longisporum. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology*, 74(5–6), 419–427.
- Tytik, D. (1999). Hydrogen bonds in computer-simulated water, *PLoS ONE*, 7322(March 2018), 26–38.
- Uline, M. J., Corti, D. S., & Lafayette, W. (2013). Molecular Dynamics at Constant Pressure: Allowing the System to Control Volume Fluctuations via a “Shell” Particle, *Science*, 3941–3969.
- Ulrich Hohmanna , Joël Nicoleta , Andrea Morettia , Ludwig A. Hothornb, Michael Hothorna, Structural. (2018). Mechanistic analysis of the SERK3 elongated allele defines a role for BIR ectodomains in brassinosteroid signaling, *Protein Science*, 15, 900–913.
- Wallner, B., & Elofsson, A. (2006). Identification of correct regions in protein models using structural, alignment, and consensus information. *Protein Science*, 15, 900–913.
- Wang, Z., Eickholt, J., & Cheng, J. (2010). MULTICOM: A Multi-Level Combination Approach to Protein Structure Prediction and its Assessments in CASP8, *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 1–7.
- Warner, W. A., Sanchez, R., Dawoodian, A., Li, E., & Momand, J. (2013). Ab Initio Protein Structure Assembly Using Continuous Structure Fragments and Optimized Knowledge-based Force Field, *Proteins*, 80(4), 631–637.
- Wiederstein, M., & Sippl, M. J. (2007). ProSA-web: Interactive web service for the recognition of errors in three-dimensional structures of proteins. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 35(SUPPL.2), 407–410.
- Willmann, R., Lajunen, H. M., Erbs, G., Newman, M., Kolb, D., & Tsuda, K. (2011). Arabidopsis lysin-motif proteins LYM1 LYM3 CERK1 mediate bacterial peptidoglycan sensing and immunity to bacterial infection, 342-359.
- Wong, Y. (2002). Identification and characterization of EFR- interacting proteins, *Science*, 44(3–6), 411–422.
- Wu, J., Zhao, F., Bai, J., Deng, G., Qin, S., & Bao, Q. (2007). Adaptive Evolution of

- cry Genes in *Bacillus thuringiensis*: Implications for Their Specificity Determination Phylogenetic analysis. *Genomics, Proteomics & Bioinformatics*, 5(2), 102–110.
- Wu, S., & Zhang, Y. (2007). LOMETS: A local meta-threading-server for protein structure prediction, 35(10), 3375–3382. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm251>
- Xiang, Z. (2006). Advances in Homology Protein Structure Modeling. *Current Protein & Peptide Science*, 7(3), 217–227.
- Xu, D., Jaroszewski, L., Li, Z., & Godzik, A. (2014). AIDA: Ab initio domain assembly server. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 42(W1), 308–313.
- Yan, L., Ma, Y., Liu, D., Wei, X., Sun, Y., Chen, X., Lou, Z. (2012). Structural basis for the impact of phosphorylation on the activation of plant receptor-like kinase BAK1. *Cell Research*, 22(8), 1304–1308.
- Yang, X., Deng, F., & Ramonell, K. M. (2012). Receptor-like kinases and receptor-like proteins: keys to pathogen recognition and defense signaling in plant innate immunity, *Frontiers Biology*, 7(2): 155–166 .
- Yuan, S. (2017). Using PyMOL as a platform for computational drug design, *Bioinformatics*, 674-783.
- Zhang, Y. (2013). Interplay of I-TASSER and QUARK for template-based and ab initio protein structure prediction in CASP10, *Proteins*, (June), 175–187.
- Zipfel, C. (2014). Plant pattern-recognition receptors. *Trends in Immunology*, 35(7), 345–351.
- Zipfel, C., Kunze, G., Chinchilla, D., Caniard, A., Jones, J. D. G., Boller, T., & Felix, G. (2006). Perception of the Bacterial PAMP EF-Tu by the Receptor EFR Restricts Agrobacterium-Mediated Transformation. *Cell*, 125(4), 749–760.

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

1. Shivaranjini, G., Danian, A.F.A., & Hamdan, S. (2019). In-Silico Modelling of Pattern Recognition Receptor EFR and Molecular Interaction with Pathogen Associated Molecular Pattern elf18. *Proceedings of the 3<sup>rd</sup> International Conference On Social Science, Humanities and Technology (ICSHT 2019)*. 26-27 January 2019. Bayview Beach Resort, Penang. ISBN: 978-9672245-07-0.