

SYNTHESIS AND CHARACTERIZATION OF INJECTABLE BONE CEMENT  
PREPARED FROM BIPHASIC CALCIUM PHOSPHATE EXTRACTED FROM  
LAMB AND BOVINE BONES

USMAN TARIQ

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Science  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

MAY 2019

## **DEDICATION**

Dedicated to my family and friends for their continuous moral support during  
whole of my academic career

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All extols and hymns for ALLAH (SWT) for His countless blessings and guidance and inestimable praises for His messenger MUHAMMAD (SAW) who taught us the way to spend the life.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to my research supervisors Dr. Kashif Tufail Chaudhary and Prof Dr. Jalil Ali for their guidance, and support towards the completion of this work. They provided me invaluable ideas and suggestions to complete this research work. I am also really grateful to my ex-supervisor Prof Dr. Rifaqat Hussain for his assistance and support to improve the quality of the thesis. I would also like to express my deepest appreciations to my dear friend Dr Zuhaib Haider Rizvi for his persistent help and support during my research.

Nobody has been more important to me in the pursuit of this project than the members of my family. I would like to thank my parents; whose love and guidance are with me in whatever I pursue. Most importantly, I wish to thank my loving and supportive wife and my wonderful daughter, who provide unending inspiration. My special thanks to my beloved wife for all her financial support and sacrifices without which it would have been almost impossible for me to carry out my PhD. Furthermore, I have been very providential to have the love and support of my family throughout my academic career. I am extremely grateful for their constant faith in me and for always supporting my efforts.

I am also greatly obliged to University Teknologi Malaysia and Department of Physics, for providing me the research facilities which were necessary in carrying out my research and for providing me financial assistance in the form of international doctoral fellowship (IDF) scholarship.

## ABSTRACT

Dicalcium phosphate (DCP) cements such as dicalcium phosphate dihydrate (DCPD) also known as brushite and dicalcium phosphate anhydrous (DCPA) also known as monetite have received considerable attention of researchers due to their potential applications in dental, maxillofacial and orthopaedic surgery. Quick setting and poor injectability due to liquid–solid phase separation limit the clinical use of brushite and monetite cements. The presence of certain ions (Mg, Zn, Na, Sr, Co, Ag etc.) in the cement during the setting process can influence setting time and the properties of the cement. In this study we report preparation of injectable dicalcium phosphate (DCP) bone cement using biphasic calcium phosphate (BCP) extracted from lamb and bovine femur bones. BCP was extracted by calcinating the defatted lamb and bovine bones at 1450 °C. BCP was extracted from three batches each for lamb and bovine bones. EDX analysis showed the presence of Mg and Na ions as trace elements in extracted BCPs. X-ray diffraction pattern of the prepared cement showed the formation of brushite along with monetite as minor phase along with a small quantity of hydroxyapatite. Monetite phase diminished gradually with the decrease in powder to liquid ratio (PLR). The values of initial and final setting times were observed to be well within the preferable range 3-8 minutes, for initial and less than 15 minutes for final setting time, as recommended for orthopedic applications. Exceptional injectability (>90 %) was achieved for almost all the PLR formulations used for preparation of DCP cement. A decrease in the compressive strength was observed with increasing liquid phase in the cement, which was attributed to the resulting higher degree of porosity in the set cement. Moreover, for the DCP cement prepared from three different batches of BCP extracted from bovine and lamb bones, there were no noticeable variations in the setting time, injectability or compressive strength. Apatite layer formation on the cement surface was studied by immersing cement samples in simulated body fluid (SBF) for up to 7 days. A formation of apatite layer and an increase in the compressive strength from  $2.71 \pm 0.22$  to  $9.68 \pm 0.36$  MPa were observed. These results indicate that bone cement prepared from BCP extracted from lamb and bovine femur bones can be considered for orthopaedic applications as a potential bone substitute for regeneration and repairing of bone defects.

## ABSTRAK

Simen dikalsium fosfat (DCP) seperti dikalsium fosfat dihidrat (DCPD) yang juga dikenali sebagai brusyit dan dikalsium fosfat anhidrat (DCPA) juga dikenali sebagai monetit telah mendapat perhatian para penyelidik kerana aplikasi potensinya dalam pembedahan pergigian, maksilofasial dan ortopedik. Penetapan pantas dan kebolehsuntikan lemah yang disebabkan oleh pemisahan fasa pepejal-cecair membataskan penggunaan klinikal untuk simen brusyit dan monetit. Kehadiran ion tertentu (Mg, Zn, Na, Sr, Co, Ag dll.) dalam simen semasa proses penyuntikan boleh mempengaruhi masa penetapan dan sifat simen tersebut. Dalam kajian ini, kami melaporkan penyediaan suntikan simen tulang dikalsium fosfat (DCP) menggunakan kalsium fosfat dwifasa (BCP) yang diekstrak daripada tulang femur kambing dan lembu. BCP telah diekstrak dengan mengkalsinkan tulang kambing dan lembu nyahlemak pada suhu 1450 °C. Analisis EDX menunjukkan kehadiran ion Mg dan Na sebagai unsur surih dalam BCP yang telah diekstrak. Corak pembelauan sinar-X pada simen yang disediakan menunjukkan pembentukan brusyit dan monetit sebagai fasa minor bersama hidroksiapatit dalam kuantiti yang kecil. Fasa monetit berkurang secara beransur dengan pengurangan nisbah serbuk terhadap cecair (PLR). Nilai masa tetapan awal dan akhir didapati terletak dalam julat yang dikehendaki iaitu 3-8 minit, untuk masa tetapan awal dan kurang daripada 15 minit untuk masa tetapan akhir, seperti yang dicadangkan untuk aplikasi ortopedik. Kebolehsuntikan luar biasa (>90 %) dicapai untuk hampir kesemua formulasi PLR yang digunakan untuk penyediaan simen DCP. Penurunan kekuatan mampatan dengan peningkatan fasa cecair dalam simen, telah dicerap, dan ini disebabkan oleh darjah keliangan lebih tinggi dalam simen yang disediakan. Tambahan pula, bagi simen DCP yang disediakan daripada tiga kelompok berbeza yang diekstrak daripada tulang lembu dan kambing, tidak terdapat variasi ketara dalam masa penetapan, kebolehsuntikan atau kekuatan mampatan. Pembentukan lapisan apatit pada permukaan simen telah dikaji dengan merendamkan sampel simen ke dalam bendalir badan tersimulasi (SBF) sehingga 7 hari. Pembentukan lapisan apatit dan peningkatan kekuatan mampatan daripada  $2.71 \pm 0.22$  kepada  $9.68 \pm 0.36$  MPa telah dicerap. Keputusan ini menunjukkan bahawa simen tulang yang disediakan daripada ekstrak BCP daripada tulang femur kambing dan lembu boleh dipertimbangkan untuk aplikasi ortopedik sebagai tulang gantian yang berupaya untuk pemulihan dan pembaikan kecacatan tulang.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>ii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xi</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xiii</b>
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xviii</b>
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xviii</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Research Background	1
1.2	Problem Statement	3
1.3	Objectives	4
1.4	Scope of Research	4
1.5	Significance of the Study	5
1.6	Thesis Outline	6
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Bone Structure and Composition	7
2.1.1	Classification of Bone	10
2.1.2	The Bone Replacement	10
2.1.3	Bone Healing Process	11
2.1.4	Bone Grafting	12
2.1.4.1	Autografts	13
2.1.4.2	Allografts	13
2.1.4.3	Xenografts	14

2.1.4.3	Alloplasts	15
2.2	Biomaterials and its Types	15
2.2.1	Metallic Biomaterials	16
2.2.2	Polymeric Biomaterials	17
2.2.3	Ceramic Biomaterials	18
2.3	Calcium Phosphate Cements (CPCs)	19
2.3.1	Issues with Calcium Phosphate Cements (CPCs)	20
2.4	$\beta$ -Tricalcium Phosphate ( $\beta$ -TCP)	21
2.5	Hydroxyapatite (HA)	23
2.6	Dicalcium Phosphate (DCP) Cements: Brushite and Monetite	25
2.6.1	Structure and Properties of Brushite and Monetite Cement	24
2.6.2	Setting Time of bone cement	29
2.6.3	Injectability	29
2.6.4	Mechanical Properties	31
2.7	Summary of literature review	32
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>38</b>
3.1	Introduction	38
3.2	Research Procedure Flow Chart	38
3.3	Materials Extraction and Cement Preparation	40
3.3.1	Extraction of BCP from Lamb and Bovine Bone	40
3.3.2	Preparation of Dicalcium Phosphate (DCP) Cement	42
3.4	Setting Time Measurement	43
3.5	Injectability Measurement	44
3.6	Measurement of Mechanical Properties of Bone Cement	46
3.6.1	Porosity	46
3.6.2	Compressive Strength	46
3.7	In Vitro Ion Release Studies	48
3.8	Characterization Techniques	50

<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>52</b>
4.1	Introduction	52
4.2	Biphasic Calcium Phosphate (BCP) Extracted from Bovine Bone	52
4.2.1	Phase Analysis of BCP Extracted from Bovine Bone	53
4.2.2	FTIR Analysis	55
4.2.3	Composition Analysis	57
4.3	Biphasic Calcium Phosphate (BCP) Extracted from Lamb Bone	59
4.3.1	Phase Analysis of BCP Extracted from Lamb Bone	60
4.3.2	FTIR Analysis	62
4.3.3	Composition Analysis	64
4.4	Dicalcium Phosphate (DCP) Cement Prepared from Lamb Extracted BCP	67
4.4.1	Phase Analysis of DCP Cement	67
4.4.2	FTIR Analysis	73
4.4.3	Setting Time and Injectability	78
4.4.4	Porosity and Compressive Strength	85
4.5	Dicalcium Phosphate (DCP) Cement Prepared from Bovine Extracted BCP	89
4.5.1	Phase Analysis of DCP Cement	90
4.5.2	FTIR Analysis	95
4.5.3	Setting Time and Injectability	100
4.5.4	Porosity and Compressive Strength	106
4.6	In Vitro Bioactivity Study	110
4.6.1	Ion Release in SBF	111
4.6.2	Microstructure Analysis	112
4.6.3	Mechanical Properties	114
4.7	Summary	117
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>119</b>
5.1	Findings of the Study	119
5.2	Recommendations for Future Work	120



<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>122</b>
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>138</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Bone cell types	9
Table 2.2	Advantages of and disadvantages of autografts and allografts	14
Table 2.3	Review of literature showing the effect of various additive(s) and parameters on the setting time injectability of DCP cement	33
Table 3.1	Amount of solid and liquid phase materials used in the preparation of cement for different PLRs.	42
Table 3.2	Reagents for preparing SBF	49
Table 4.1	Description of BCP samples extracted from bovine bone	53
Table 4.2	Quantitative analysis of BCP phases in three batches of calcined bovine bones from XRD spectra	55
Table 4.3	Characteristic peak positions of FTIR spectra of BCP extracted from three batches of bovine bones	57
Table 4.4	Elemental composition and Ca/P ratio of BCP samples extracted from bovine bone batches using EDX	59
Table 4.5	Description of BCP samples extracted from bovine bone	60
Table 4.6	Phase composition of Calcium Phosphates extracted from three batches of lamb bones, as computed from XRD spectra	62
Table 4.7	Characteristic peak positions of FTIR spectra of BCP <sub>L1</sub> , BCP <sub>L2</sub> and BCP <sub>L3</sub>	64
Table 4.8	Elemental composition and Ca/P ratio of BCP samples extracted from lamb bone batches using EDX	66
Table 4.9	Lattice parameters and % phase of the DCP cement formed by BCP <sub>L1</sub> , BCP <sub>L2</sub> and BCP <sub>L3</sub> with different PLRs	72
Table 4.10	Characteristic peak positions of FTIR spectra of DCP cement prepared from BCP <sub>L1</sub> with different PLRs	74
Table 4.11	Characteristic peak positions of FTIR spectra of DCP cement prepared from BCP <sub>L2</sub> with different PLRs	76

Table 4.12	Characteristic peak positions of FTIR spectra of DCP cement prepared from BCP <sub>L3</sub> with different PLRs (2.6, 2.8, 3.0, 3.2 and 3.4 g mL <sup>-1</sup> )	78
Table 4.13	Setting time and injectability of DCP cement prepared from different batches of lamb bone for different PLRs	82
Table 4.14	Porosity and compressive strength of DCP cement prepared from different batches of lamb bone with different PLRs	88
Table 4.15	Lattice parameters and phase percentage of the DCP cement formed by BCP <sub>B1</sub> , BCP <sub>B2</sub> , and BCP <sub>B3</sub> with different PLRs	94
Table 4.16	Characteristic peak positions of FTIR spectra of DCP cement prepared from BCP <sub>B1</sub> for different PLRs	96
Table 4.17	Characteristic peak positions of FTIR spectra of DCP cement prepared from BCP <sub>B2</sub> for different PLRs	98
Table 4.18	Characteristic peak positions of FTIR spectra of DCP cement prepared from BCP <sub>B3</sub> for different PLRs	100
Table 4.19	Setting time and injectability of DCP cement prepared from BCP extracted from different batches of bovine bone for different PLRs	104
Table 4.20	Porosity and compressive strength of DCP cement prepared from different batches of bovine bone for different PLRs	109
Table 4.21	Ca and Mg ions concentration in SBF over 7 days at 37 °C	111
Table 4.22	Compressive strength and porosity of DCP cement prepared from BCP <sub>L2</sub> and BCP <sub>B3</sub> after 0, 1, 3 and 7 days of immersion in SBF	117

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Chemical composition of bone	8
Figure 2.2	Stages of secondary bone healing process	12
Figure 2.3	Types of biomaterials	16
Figure 2.4	Crystal structure model of $\beta$ -TCP along the <i>c</i> -axis	23
Figure 2.5	Unit cell of hydroxyapatite	24
Figure 2.6	Projection view of (a) brushite and (b) monetite	26
Figure 2.7	Representative XRD spectra of (a) Brushite (b) Monetite	28
Figure 2.8	Phase separation mechanisms during extrusion of pastes	30
Figure 3.1	Flow chart of research procedure	39
Figure 3.2	Variuos step showing extarction of BCP from bone	41
Figure 3.3	Pictures of cement preparation during different phases (a) solid phase mixing of extracted BCP and MCPM (b) Solid and liquid phase mixing (c) DCP cement paste inside cylindrical mould ( $\emptyset \times l$ ) (d) Cylindrical DCP cement sample ( $\emptyset \times l$ )	43
Figure 3.4	Gilmore needle apparatus for measuring initial and final setting times	44
Figure 3.5	Process of testing the injectability (a) Syringe filled with bone cement ready to be injected (b) Bone cement during injection process (c) Syringe after injection of bone cement (d) Bone cement after injection	45
Figure 3.6	2.5 T Universal Testing machine by INSTRON	47
Figure 3.7	Zoomed image of sample during compression by 2.5 T Universal Testing machine	48
Figure 3.8	(a) Cement sample immersed in 50mL SBF solution (b) Cylindrical sample immersed in 50mL SBF solution kept water bath at 37 °C	50
Figure 4.1	XRD spectra of BCP extracted from three batches of bovine bone	54
Figure 4.2	FTIR spectra of BCP extracted from three batches bovine bone	56

Figure 4.3	EDX spectra of BCP extracted from calcined bovine bone	58
Figure 4.4	XRD spectra of BCP extracted from lamb bone	61
Figure 4.5	FTIR spectra of BCP extracted from lamb bone	63
Figure 4.6	EDX spectra of BCP extracted from lamb bone	65
Figure 4.7	XRD graphs of DCP cement prepared from BCP <sub>L1</sub> for different PLRs	68
Figure 4.8	XRD graphs of DCP cement prepared from BCP <sub>L2</sub> for different PLRs	69
Figure 4.9	XRD graphs of DCP cement prepared from BCP <sub>L3</sub> for different PLRs	70
Figure 4.10	Variation in brushite to monetite ratio (BMR) in DCP cement prepared from BCP extracted from lamb bones for different PLRs	71
Figure 4.11	FTIR spectra of DCP cement prepared from BCP <sub>L1</sub> for different PLRs	73
Figure 4.12	FTIR spectra of DCP cement prepared from BCP <sub>L2</sub> for different PLRs	75
Figure 4.13	FTIR spectra of DCP cement prepared from BCP <sub>L3</sub> for different PLRs	77
Figure 4.14	Setting time and injectability of DCP cement prepared from BCP <sub>L1</sub> using different PLRs	79
Figure 4.15	Setting time and injectability of DCP cement prepared from BCP <sub>L2</sub> for different PLRs	80
Figure 4.16	Setting time and injectability of DCP cement prepared from BCP <sub>L3</sub> for different PLRs	81
Figure 4.17	Pictures of DCP cement after injection for different PLRs prepared from (a) BCP <sub>L1</sub> (b) BCP <sub>L2</sub> (c) BCP <sub>L3</sub>	83
Figure 4.18	Schematic diagram depicting the effect of PLR on setting time and injectability of DCP cement for (a) Low PLR (b) High PLR (c) Intermediate PLR (Small and big spheres in the pictures are representing the cement particles while empty space among them is assumed to contain liquid phase)	85
Figure 4.19	Porosity and compressive strength of DCP cement prepared from BCP <sub>L1</sub> with different PLRs	86
Figure 4.20	Porosity and compressive strength of DCP cement prepared from BCP <sub>L2</sub> for different PLRs	87

Figure 4.21	Porosity and compressive strength of DCP cement prepared from $BCP_{L3}$ for different PLRs	89
Figure 4.22	XRD graphs of DCP cement prepared from $BCP_{B1}$ with different PLRs	90
Figure 4.23	XRD graphs of DCP cement prepared from $BCP_{B2}$ for different PLRs	91
Figure 4.24	XRD graphs of DCP cement prepared from $BCP_{B3}$ for different PLRs	92
Figure 4.25	Variation in brushite to monetite ratio (BMR) in DCP cement prepared from BCP extracted from bovine bones for different PLRs	92
Figure 4.26	FTIR spectra of DCP cement prepared from $BCP_{B1}$ for different PLRs	95
Figure 4.27	FTIR spectra of DCP cement prepared from $BCP_{B2}$ for different PLRs	97
Figure 4.28	FTIR spectra of DCP cement prepared from $BCP_{B3}$ for different PLRs	99
Figure 4.29	Setting time and injectability of DCP cement prepared from $BCP_{B1}$ with different PLRs	101
Figure 4.30	Setting time and injectability of DCP cement prepared from $BCP_{B2}$ with different PLRs	102
Figure 4.31	Setting time and injectability of DCP cement prepared from $BCP_{B3}$ for different PLRs	103
Figure 4.32	Pictures (after injection) of DCP cement prepared from (a) $BCP_{B1}$ (b) $BCP_{B2}$ (c) $BCP_{B3}$ for different PLRs	105
Figure 4.33	Porosity and compressive strength of DCP cement prepared from $BCP_{B1}$ for different PLRs	107
Figure 4.34	Porosity and compressive strength of DCP cement prepared from $BCP_{B2}$ for different PLRs	108
Figure 4.35	Porosity and compressive strength of DCP cement prepared from $BCP_{B3}$ for different PLRs	110
Figure 4.36	FESEM image of DCP cement prepared from $BCP_{L2}$ for PLR $3.0 \text{ g mL}^{-1}$ before and after immersion in SBF (a) before immersion (b) after 1 day of immersion (c) after 3 days of immersion (d) after 7 days of immersion	112
Figure 4.37	FESEM image of DCP cement prepared from $BCP_{B3}$ for PLR $3.0 \text{ g mL}^{-1}$ before and after immersion in SBF (a) before immersion (b) after 1 day of immersion (c) after 3 days of immersion (d) after 7 days of immersion	113

Figure 4.38	Compressive strength and porosity of DCP cement prepared from $BCP_{L2}$ after 0, 1, 3 and 7 days of immersion in SBF	115
Figure 4.39	Compressive strength and porosity of DCP cement prepared from $BCP_{B3}$ after 0, 1, 3 and 7 days of immersion in SBF	116

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

HA	-	Hydroxyapatite
TCP	-	Tricalcium Phosphate
CaP	-	Calcium Phosphate
CPC	-	Calcium Phosphate Cement
CS	-	Compressive Strength
CDHA	-	Calcium Deficient Hydroxyapatite
$\beta$ TCP	-	$\beta$ - Tricalcium Phosphate
SBF	-	Simulated body fluid
BG	-	Bioactive Glasses
BCP	-	Biphasic Calcium Phosphate
MCPM	-	Monocalcium phosphate monohydrate
MCPA	-	Monocalcium phosphate anhydrous
DCPD	-	Dicalcium phosphate dihydrate (Brushite)
DCPA	-	Dicalcium phosphate anhydrous (Monetite)
OCP	-	Octacalcium Phosphate
$\alpha$ TCP	-	$\alpha$ -Tricalcium Phosphate
TTCP	-	Tetra calcium Phosphate
ACP	-	Amorphous calcium phosphate
DDW	-	Doubled distilled water
FTIR	-	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy
XRD	-	X-Ray diffraction
EDX	-	Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy
FESEM	-	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy
ICP-OES	-	Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy
SD	-	Standard deviation
BMR	-	Brushite to monetite ratio
PLR	-	Powder to liquid ratio



## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$\rho_o$	-	Density of water
$\varphi$	-	Porosity
$m_1$	-	Mass of dry sample in air
$m_2$	-	Mass sample submerged in water
$m_3$	-	Mass of wet sample in air
F	-	Force
$W_F$	-	Mass of syringe filled with bone cement before injection
$W_A$	-	Mass of syringe filled with CPC after injection
$W_B$	-	Mass of empty syringe before injection
A	-	Area of cross section
$2\theta$	-	Bragg's diffraction angle

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Research Background

Bone is an essential part of the human body as it provides strength and framework to the body and helps in carrying out metabolic, synthetic and mechanical functions. In recent past, for the damaged or deceased hard tissues, surgeries are performed to remove the damaged part to provide relief to the sufferer. But it is not a preferred strategy now-a-days, as the researchers are focusing on developing such techniques which involve least surgical procedures. In this regard, injectable biomaterials like calcium phosphate cements (CPCs) have become extremely important research field.

Since the discovery of first calcium phosphate cements (CPCs) in 1980s, lot of efforts have been devoted to improve the performance of such orthopedic products. Generally these CPCs are based on a powder-liquid concept, which are mixed prior to use [1]. Mixing of solid and liquid material results in a paste which subsequently sets to give a hard mass [2]. Usually, one or several calcium phosphate compounds serve as a solid phase for CPC, whereas, liquid phase comprises of water or a solution containing calcium or phosphate [3]. Despite the different forms and compositions of CPCs, they are categorized into apatite and dicalcium phosphate (DCP) cements depending upon the final product formulation reactions [4]. Apatite cement can be hydroxyapatite (HA) or calcium-deficient hydroxyapatite (CDHA). While, the DCP cement family has two members; dicalcium phosphate dihydrate (DCPD) often termed as brushite and the dicalcium phosphate anhydrous (DCPA) also referred to as monetite [5].

Dicalcium phosphate (DCP) (one of the members of calcium phosphate family) has become materials of great interest due to their orthopedic and dental surgery applications [6]. DCP cements are effectively prepared using reagents monocalcium phosphate monohydrate, phosphoric acid, sulfuric acid, citric acid, and pyrophosphoric acid. [7–12]. However, monocalcium phosphate monohydrate (MCPM) is the commonly used reagent for brushite formation [7,13–16]. The vicinity of a water particle in monocalcium phosphate monohydrate (MCPM) encourages the setting reaction of the cement by donating one of the two water molecules required by DCP precipitates to form dicalcium phosphate dihydrate (DCPD). Therefore, MCPM is preferred over monocalcium phosphate anhydrous (MCPA) in the preparation of brushite cement. The preparation of brushite involves dissolution-precipitation process [13]. Brushite is preferred over hydroxyapatite among calcium phosphate based cements due to its good biocompatibility and higher resorption under physiological conditions [17].

Since the clinical needs for synthetic bone graft materials are growing so, it has encouraged the researchers to develop injectable self-setting calcium phosphates. Injectability and optimal in situ setting time of DCP cement makes it possible to avoid painful surgeries by decreasing the invasiveness during surgeries. These DCP cement formulations can also be advantageous for patients and the medical system as it aids in reducing the recovery time.

Major issue with the injectability of DCPD (brushite) bone cement is solid-liquid phase separation during injection process. One of the solution to address this issue is to incorporate ions in the cement matrix [13,14]. The incorporation of ions improve the setting time, and paste homogeneity, which reduces the phase separation thereby, improving the injectability [13,18]. The pure brushite has poor mechanical strength ( $\approx 1$ MPa) and requires improvement in the injectability. The compressive strength of the cement is directly related to porosity of the specimen [19]. While mechanical properties of the injectable bone cement can be enhanced by adding substances like pyrophosphates, carboxylic acids, sulfates and ions ( $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $Sr^{2+}$ ,  $Zn^{2+}$  and  $Si^{2+}$ ) [20]. However, incorporation of ions in the brushite cement formulation require extra effort during material preparation.

Beta tricalcium phosphate ( $\beta$ -TCP) is one of the reagents commonly, used in the brushite cement preparation. In common practice, the  $\beta$ -TCP is prepared synthetically but it can also be extracted from biological resources such as mammalian bones. Mostly calcination of mammalian bone at 1200 °C or more results in a biphasic calcium phosphate (BCP) which contains both  $\beta$ -TCP and HA [21,22]. BCP derived from mammalian bone also naturally contain ions like Na, Mg, Zn, Sr, K [22–24] which might be helpful in enhancing the injectability and mechanical properties of the cement without using extra additives during cement preparation.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

The most desirable way to repair damaged bone is to regrow natural, undamaged bone in its place. Unfortunately, if large volume of tissue is removed, the body cannot regrow an entire new piece of bone. In these cases, the need for an artificial substitute is unavoidable [25]. The ideal bone substitute would be a material that forms a secure bond with the tissues by allowing and encouraging new cells to invade. One way to achieve this is to use injectable bone cement. Injectable bone cements involves minimum invasive surgery procedures which is a highly preferred treatment technique of bone defects [26,27]. Injectable calcium phosphate cements are very effective bone replacement materials.

Available bone cements prepared from synthetic routes with no additives, are difficult to inject due to very short setting time (the time in which cement gains enough strength so that it can maintain its shape) and solid-liquid separation during injection. The desirable range of setting time for surgeons is 3 to 8 minutes in order to perform injection of cement comfortably. But the setting time of pure DCP cement is approximately 30 seconds which makes it impossible to be used as an injectable cement to practice minimal invasive surgical procedures. So, the setting time of synthetically prepared bone cement need to be improved by manually addition of ions and polymers during its synthesis making the process more laborious. In addition, their preparation involves the use of toxic chemical like ammonium hydroxide that can never be preferable if a chemical free alternative is available.

Therefore, the present work focuses on the preparation of injectable bone cement using BCP extracted from mammalian (lamb and bovine) bones with potentially adequate setting time and mechanical properties along with good injectability. Bone cements prepared from  $\beta$ -TCP are already being used for bone healing. Since, BCP extracted from natural biological resources also contains  $\beta$ -TCP which helps cement formation and naturally existence of ions in BCP can be helpful in improving the setting time and injectability of the bone cement. Thus, bone cement with potentially better injectability can be prepared using BCP extracted from natural bones. Moreover, the use of toxic chemical like  $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$  can also be avoided as its higher dose can cause bronchitis, severe lung irritation, pulmonary edema etc.

### **1.3 Objectives**

The main objective is to synthesize and characterize injectable dicalcium phosphate bone cement using BCP extracted from lamb and bovine bones.

- i. To extract biphasic calcium phosphate (BCP) from lamb and bovine bones and characterize for chemical properties.
- ii. To synthesize dicalcium phosphate (DCP) bone cement using BCP extracted from lamb and bovine bones and characterize for chemical properties.
- iii. To determine setting time, injectability and mechanical properties of the synthesized dicalcium phosphate bone cement.
- iv. To study the in vitro resorption of selected dicalcium phosphate bone cement samples in Simulated Body Fluid (SBF).

### **1.4 Scope of Research**

This study involves the extraction and physiochemical characterization of BCP derived from two types of bones (bovine and lamb bone) and dicalcium phosphate cement preparation for potential orthopedic application.

The biphasic calcium phosphate (BCP) is extracted from the lamb and bovine bones by calcination process. The injectable dicalcium phosphate bone cement is prepared by mixing together BCP (extracted from bovine and lamb bone) with MCPM in optimized ratio 1:0.8 and then mixing with liquid phase in various powder to liquid ratios (PLR) such as 2.6, 2.8, 3.0, 3.2 and 3.4 g mL<sup>-1</sup>. The repeatability of the procedure is determined by synthesizing the dicalcium phosphate cement using BCP extracted from 3 batches each of bovine and lamb bones acquired from random animals.

BCP extracted from all batches of bovine and lamb bones and corresponding bone cement specimens are characterized using XRD and FTIR for phase analysis and functional groups identification. While only extracted BCP samples are subjected to EDX for elemental identification.

The setting time, injectability, porosity and compressive strength of the prepared bone cement samples all different batches are determined. In vitro ion release of the specific bone cement samples (selected based upon experimental results) is measured after immersion in SBF solution for 1, 3, and 7 days. In addition to ion release, the porosity and compressive strength of the samples is also determined after immersion in SBF over the course of 7 days. Changes in morphology of the specific bone cement samples are also studied using FESEM after 1, 3 and 7 days of immersion in SBF to observe the bone-like apatite formation on the surface of cement samples to confirm the bioactivity of the bone cement.

## **1.5 Significance of the Study**

The proposed method for cement preparation opens new doors in the field of injectable bone cement preparation. This research contributes to explore new combinations for producing injectable bone cements with good injectability. This method of bone cement preparation allows the utilization of bone waste. Extraction procedure of BCP from bones is fairly simple as compared to synthetic preparation procedure of  $\beta$ -TCP. The proposed method allows to avoid the use of toxic chemical like ammonium hydroxide and reduces the cost for surgical procedure.

## **1.6 Thesis Outline**

A novel way of bone cement preparation has been reported in this thesis. This document describes the synthesis and characterization of injectable bone cement prepared from  $\beta$ -TCP derived from bovine and lamb bones.

Chapter 1 briefly discusses the background of the research theme, problem statement of the research and objectives of this project. An overview of the structure of bone, bone healing process, various biomaterials, bone cements and factors affecting their properties are elaborated in chapter 2. Extraction methodology of  $\beta$ TCP from lamb and bovine bones as well as characterizations techniques are described in chapter 3. Chapter 4 consists of six sections related to extraction of BCP and preparation of cement. Section 4.1 deals with the general overview of the chapter while extraction and characterization of BCP from bovine and lamb bone are illustrated in sections 4.2 and 4.3 respectively followed by characterization of prepared cement presented in sections 4.4 and 4.5 respectively. Whereas, in vitro biocompatibility studies of prepared bone cement specimens are presented in section 4.6 and summary of results and discussion chapter is presented in section 4.7. At the end, Chapter 5 includes the conclusion and recommendations for the future work.

## REFERENCES

1. Aberg J, Brisby H, Henriksson HB, Lindahl A, Thomsen P, and Engqvist H. Premixed acidic calcium phosphate cement: Characterization of strength and microstructure. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research - Part B Applied Biomaterials*. 2010. 93 (2): 436–441.
2. Chen F, Ma X, Yu Y, and Liu C. Calcium Phosphate Bone Cements: Their Development and Clinical Applications. In: *Developments and Applications of Calcium Phosphate Bone Cements*. 2018pp. 1–39.
3. Zhang J, Liu W, Schnitzler V, Tancret F, and Bouler J-M. Calcium phosphate cements for bone substitution: Chemistry, handling and mechanical properties. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2014. 10 (3): 1035–1049.
4. Roy M, DeVoe K, Bandyopadhyay A, and Bose S. Mechanical property and in vitro biocompatibility of brushite cement modified by polyethylene glycol. *Materials Science and Engineering: C*. 2012. 32 (8): 2145–2152.
5. Tamimi F, Sheikh Z, and Barralet J. Dicalcium phosphate cements: Brushite and monetite. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2012. 8 (2): 474–487.
6. Zhao J, Liu Y, Sun W, and Yang X. First detection, characterization, and application of amorphous calcium phosphate in dentistry. *Journal of Dental Sciences*. 2012. 7 (4): 316–323.
7. Legrand AP, Sfihi H, Lequeux N, and Lemaître J. 31 P Solid-State NMR study of the chemical setting process of a dual-paste injectable brushite cements. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials*. 2009. 91B (1): 46–54.
8. Mosselmans G, Biesemans M, Willem R, Wastiels J, Leermakers M, Rahier H, Brughmans S, and Van Mele B. Thermal hardening and structure of a phosphorus containing cementitious model material. *Journal of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry*. 2007. 88 (3): 723–729.
9. Grover LM, Gbureck U, Young AM, Wright AJ, and Barralet JE. Temperature dependent setting kinetics and mechanical properties of  $\beta$ -TCP–pyrophosphoric acid bone cement. *Journal of Materials Chemistry*. 2005. 15 (46): 4955.
10. Pina S, Torres PM, Goetz-Neunhoeffler F, Neubauer J, and Ferreira JMF. Newly



- developed Sr-substituted  $\alpha$ -TCP bone cements. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2010. 6 (3): 928–935.
11. Pina S, Torres PMC, and Ferreira JMF. Injectability of brushite-forming Mg-substituted and Sr-substituted  $\alpha$ -TCP bone cements. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine*. 2010. 21 (2): 431–438.
  12. Bohner M, Lemaitre J, and Ring TA. Effects of Sulfate, Pyrophosphate, and Citrate Ions on the Physicochemical Properties of Cements Made of beta-Tricalcium Phosphate-Phosphoric Acid-Water Mixtures. *Journal of the American Ceramic Society*. 1996. 79 (6): 1427–1434.
  13. Taha A, Akram M, Jawad Z, Alshemary AZ, and Hussain R. Strontium doped injectable bone cement for potential drug delivery applications. *Materials Science and Engineering: C*. 2017. 80: 93–101.
  14. Saleh AT, Ling LS, and Hussain R. Injectable magnesium-doped brushite cement for controlled drug release application. *Journal of Materials Science*. 2016. 51 (16): 7427–7439.
  15. Hofmann MP, Nazhat SN, Gbureck U, and Barralet JE. Real-time monitoring of the setting reaction of brushite-forming cement using isothermal differential scanning calorimetry. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials*. 2006. 79B (2): 360–364.
  16. Young AM, Ng PYJ, Gbureck U, Nazhat SN, Barralet JE, and Hofmann MP. Characterization of chlorhexidine-releasing, fast-setting, brushite bone cements. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2008. 4 (4): 1081–1088.
  17. Eliaz N, and Metoki N. Calcium Phosphate Bioceramics: A Review of Their History, Structure, Properties, Coating Technologies and Biomedical Applications. *Materials*. 2017. 10 (4): 334.
  18. O’Neill R, McCarthy HO, Montufar EB, Ginebra M-P, Wilson DI, Lennon A, and Dunne N. Critical review: Injectability of calcium phosphate pastes and cements. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2017. 50: 1–19.
  19. Charrière E, Terrazzoni S, Pittet C, Mordasini P, Dutoit M, Lemaître J, and Zysset P. Mechanical characterization of brushite and hydroxyapatite cements. *Biomaterials*. 2001. 22 (21): 2937–2945.
  20. Lee D, and Kumta PN. Chemical synthesis and stabilization of magnesium substituted brushite. *Materials Science and Engineering: C*. 2010. 30 (7): 934–943.

21. Lin F-H, Liao C-J, Chen K-S, Sun J-S, and Lin C-Y. Preparation of  $\beta$ TCP/HAP biphasic ceramics with natural bone structure by heating bovine cancellous bone with the addition of  $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{HPO}_4$ . *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research*. 2000. 51 (2): 157–163.
22. Ooi CY, Hamdi M, and Ramesh S. Properties of hydroxyapatite produced by annealing of bovine bone. *Ceramics International*. 2007. 33 (7): 1171–1177.
23. Bahrololoom ME, Javidi M, Javadpour S, and Ma J. Characterisation of natural hydroxyapatite extracted from bovine cortical bone ash. *Journal of Ceramic Processing Research*. 2009. 10 (2): 129–138.
24. Akram M, Ahmed R, Shakir I, Ibrahim WAW, and Hussain R. Extracting hydroxyapatite and its precursors from natural resources. *Journal of Materials Science*. 2014. 49 (4): 1461–1475.
25. Bouler JM, Pilet P, Gauthier O, and Verron E. Biphasic calcium phosphate ceramics for bone reconstruction: A review of biological response. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2017. 53: 1–12.
26. Chang KY, and Hsu WK. Spinal Biologics in Minimally Invasive Lumbar Surgery. *Minimally Invasive Surgery*. 2018. 2018: 1–15.
27. Russell TA, and Insley G. Bone Substitute Materials and Minimally Invasive Surgery: A Convergence of Fracture Treatment for Compromised Bone. *Orthopedic Clinics of North America*. 2017. 48 (3): 289–300.
28. Alves Cardoso D, Jansen JA, and G. Leeuwenburgh SC. Synthesis and application of nanostructured calcium phosphate ceramics for bone regeneration. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials*. 2012. 100B (8): 2316–2326.
29. Alvarez K, and Nakajima H. Metallic Scaffolds for Bone Regeneration. *Materials*. 2009. 2 (3): 790–832.
30. Horner JH. Bone structure and calcium metabolism. *Screening*. 2004.
31. Safadi FF, Barbe MF, Abdelmagid SM, Rico MC, Aswad RA, Litvin J, and Popoff SN. Bone Structure, Development and Bone Biology. In: Khurana JS (ed). *Bone Pathology*. Totowa, NJ: Humana Press. 2009pp. 1–50.
32. Bhattacharya P, and Van Lenthe GH. Experimental quantification of bone mechanics. In: *Bone Substitute Biomaterials*. Elsevier. 2014pp. 30–71.
33. Boskey AL. Bone composition: relationship to bone fragility and antiosteoporotic drug effects. *BoneKEy Reports*. 2013. 2 (DECEMBER): 1–11.

34. Katsimbri P. The biology of normal bone remodelling. *European Journal of Cancer Care*. 2017. 26 (6): e12740.
35. Walsh JS. Normal bone physiology, remodelling and its hormonal regulation. *Surgery (Oxford)*. 2015. 33 (1): 1–6.
36. Clarke B. Normal Bone Anatomy and Physiology. *Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*. 2008. 3 (Supplement 3): S131–S139.
37. Delgado Martínez AD. Surgical approaches to hip resurfacing surgery. *Revista Española de Cirugía Ortopédica y Traumatología (English Edition)*. 2009. 53 (6): 398–404.
38. Kadkhodapour J, Montazerian H, Darabi AC, Anaraki AP, Ahmadi SM, Zadpoor AA, and Schmauder S. Failure mechanisms of additively manufactured porous biomaterials: Effects of porosity and type of unit cell. *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials*. 2015. 50: 180–191.
39. Ghiasi MS, Chen J, Vaziri A, Rodriguez EK, and Nazarian A. Bone fracture healing in mechanobiological modeling: A review of principles and methods. *Bone Reports*. 2017. 6: 87–100.
40. Pountos I, and Giannoudis P V. Fracture Healing: Back to Basics and Latest Advances. In: Peter V. Giannoudis (ed). *Fracture Reduction and Fixation Techniques*. Cham: Springer International Publishing. 2018pp. 3–17.
41. Pivonka P, and Dunstan CR. Role of mathematical modeling in bone fracture healing. *BoneKEy Reports*. 2012. 1 (November): 1–10.
42. Einhorn TA, and Gerstenfeld LC. Fracture healing: mechanisms and interventions. *Nature Reviews Rheumatology*. 2015. 11 (1): 45–54.
43. Schindeler A, McDonald MM, Bokko P, and Little DG. Bone remodeling during fracture repair: The cellular picture. *Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology*. 2008. 19 (5): 459–466.
44. Dimitriou R, Jones E, McGonagle D, and Giannoudis P V. Bone regeneration: current concepts and future directions. *BMC Medicine*. 2011. 9 (1): 66.
45. Wang W, and Yeung KWK. Bone grafts and biomaterials substitutes for bone defect repair: A review. *Bioactive Materials*. 2017. 2 (4): 224–247.
46. Campana V, Milano G, Pagano E, Barba M, Cicione C, Salonna G, Lattanzi W, and Logroscino G. Bone substitutes in orthopaedic surgery: from basic science to clinical practice. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine*. 2014.

- 25 (10): 2445–2461.
47. Fillingham Y, and Jacobs J. Bone grafts and their substitutes. *The Bone & Joint Journal*. 2016. 98–B (1\_Supple\_A): 6–9.
  48. McKee MD. Management of segmental bony defects: The role of osteoconductive orthobiologics. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. 2006. 14 (10): S163–7.
  49. Roberts TT, and Rosenbaum AJ. Bone grafts, bone substitutes and orthobiologics. *Organogenesis*. 2012. 8 (4): 114–124.
  50. Sheikh Z, Hamdan N, Ikeda Y, Grynepas M, Ganss B, and Glogauer M. Natural graft tissues and synthetic biomaterials for periodontal and alveolar bone reconstructive applications: a review. *Biomaterials Research*. 2017. 21 (1): 9.
  51. Nazirkar G, Singh S, Dole V, and Nikam A. Effortless effort in bone regeneration: a review. *Journal of international oral health : JIOH*. 2014. 6 (3): 120–124.
  52. Shibuya N, and Jupiter DC. Bone Graft Substitute. *Clinics in Podiatric Medicine and Surgery*. 2015. 32 (1): 21–34.
  53. Amendola A, and Stolley MP. What Do We Really Know About Allografts? *Clinics in Sports Medicine*. 2009. 28 (2): 215–222.
  54. Sheikh Z, Najeeb S, Khurshid Z, Verma V, Rashid H, and Glogauer M. Biodegradable Materials for Bone Repair and Tissue Engineering Applications. *Materials*. 2015. 8 (9): 5744–5794.
  55. Sheikh Z, Geffers M, Christel T, Barralet JE, and Gbureck U. Chelate setting of alkali ion substituted calcium phosphates. *Ceramics International*. 2015. 41 (8): 10010–10017.
  56. Sheikh Z, Abdallah M-N, Hanafi A, Misbahuddin S, Rashid H, and Glogauer M. Mechanisms of in Vivo Degradation and Resorption of Calcium Phosphate Based Biomaterials. *Materials*. 2015. 8 (12): 7913–7925.
  57. Bose S, Banerjee D, and Bandyopadhyay A. Introduction to Biomaterials and Devices for Bone Disorders. In: *Materials for Bone Disorders*. Elsevier. 2017pp. 1–27.
  58. Park J, and Lakes R. *Biomaterials*. 3rd ed. New York, NY: Springer New York. 2007
  59. Chen Q, and Thouas GA. Metallic implant biomaterials. *Materials Science and Engineering R: Reports*. 2015. 87 (January 2015): 1–57.

60. Davis J. *Handbook of Materials for Medical Devices*. 2003
61. Pramanik S, AK A, and KN R. Chronology of total hip joint replacement and materials development. *Trends Biomater Artif Organs*. 2005. 19 (1): 15–26.
62. Adzila S, Murad M, and Sopyan I. Doping Metal into Calcium Phosphate Phase for Better Performance of Bone Implant Materials. *Recent Patents on Materials Sciencee*. 2012. 5 (1): 18–47.
63. Sanosh KP, Chu M-C, Balakrishnan A, Kim TN, and Cho S-J. Sol–gel synthesis of pure nano sized  $\beta$ -tricalcium phosphate crystalline powders. *Current Applied Physics*. 2010. 10 (1): 68–71.
64. Bajammal SS, Zlowodzki M, Lelwica A, Tornetta P, Einhorn TA, Buckley R, Leighton R, Russell TA, Larsson S, and Bhandari M. The Use of Calcium Phosphate Bone Cement in Fracture Treatment. *The Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery-American Volume*. 2008. 90 (6): 1186–1196.
65. Dorozhkin S V. Calcium orthophosphate bioceramics. *Ceramics International*. 2015. 41 (10): 13913–13966.
66. Wang GC, Lu ZF, and Zreiqat H. Bioceramics for skeletal bone regeneration. In: *Bone Substitute Biomaterials*. Elsevier. 2014pp. 180–216.
67. Ishikawa K. Calcium Phosphate Cement. In: Ben-Nissan B (ed). *Advances in Calcium Phosphate Biomaterials*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg. 2014pp. 199–227.
68. Chow LC. Calcium Phosphate Cements. In: *Octacalcium Phosphate*. Basel: KARGER. 2001pp. 148–163.
69. Gremillard L, and Tadier S. Materials for hard tissue applications: An overview. In: *Advances in Ceramic Biomaterials*. Elsevier. 2017pp. 3–20.
70. Bohner M. Design of ceramic-based cements and putties for bone graft substitution. *European Cells and Materials*. 2010. 20: 1–12.
71. Bohner M, and Baroud G. Injectability of calcium phosphate pastes. *Biomaterials*. 2005. 26 (13): 1553–1563.
72. Dorozhkin S V. Calcium Orthophosphate Cements and Concretes. *Materials*. 2009. 2 (1): 221–291.
73. Bohner M, Doebelin N, and Baroud G. Theoretical and experimental approach to test the cohesion of calcium phosphate pastes. *European Cells and Materials*. 2006. 12: 26–35.
74. Habib M, Baroud G, Gitzhofer F, and Bohner M. Mechanisms underlying the

- limited injectability of hydraulic calcium phosphate paste. Part II: Particle separation study. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2010. 6 (1): 250–256.
75. Canal C, and Ginebra MP. Fibre-reinforced calcium phosphate cements: A review. *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials*. 2011. 4 (8): 1658–1671.
  76. Krüger R, and Groll J. Fiber reinforced calcium phosphate cements – On the way to degradable load bearing bone substitutes? *Biomaterials*. 2012. 33 (25): 5887–5900.
  77. Bohner M. Reactivity of calcium phosphate cements. *Journal of Materials Chemistry*. 2007. 17 (38): 3980.
  78. Mohn D, Doebelin N, Tadier S, Bernabei RE, Luechinger NA, Stark WJ, and Bohner M. Reactivity of calcium phosphate nanoparticles prepared by flame spray synthesis as precursors for calcium phosphate cements. *Journal of Materials Chemistry*. 2011. 21 (36): 13963.
  79. Dorozhkin S V. Self-Setting Calcium Orthophosphate Formulations: Cements, Concretes, Pastes and Putties. *International Journal of Materials and Chemistry*. 2012. 1 (1): 1–48.
  80. Boyan BD, Baker MI, Lee CSD, Raines AL, Greenwald AS, Olivares-Navarrete R, and Schwartz Z. Bone Tissue Grafting and Tissue Engineering Concepts. In: *Comprehensive Biomaterials*. Elsevier. 2011pp. 237–255.
  81. Salma-Ancane K, Stipniece L, Putnins A, and Berzina-Cimdina L. Development of Mg-containing porous  $\beta$ -tricalcium phosphate scaffolds for bone repair. *Ceramics International*. 2015. 41 (3): 4996–5004.
  82. Romieu G, Garric X, Munier S, Vert M, and Boudeville P. Calcium–strontium mixed phosphate as novel injectable and radio-opaque hydraulic cement. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2010. 6 (8): 3208–3215.
  83. Kawabata K, Yamamoto T, and Kitada A. Substitution mechanism of Zn ions in  $\beta$ -tricalcium phosphate. *Physica B: Condensed Matter*. 2011. 406 (4): 890–894.
  84. Alshemary AZ, Goh Y-F, Shakir I, and Hussain R. Synthesis, characterization and optical properties of chromium doped  $\beta$ -Tricalcium phosphate. *Ceramics International*. 2015. 41 (1): 1663–1669.
  85. Matsumoto N, Yoshida K, Hashimoto K, and Toda Y. Thermal stability of  $\beta$ -tricalcium phosphate doped with monovalent metal ions. *Materials Research*

- Bulletin*. 2009. 44 (9): 1889–1894.
86. Quillard S, Paris M, Deniard P, Gildenhaar R, Berger G, Obadia L, and Bouler JM. Structural and spectroscopic characterization of a series of potassium- and/or sodium-substituted  $\beta$ -tricalcium phosphate. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2011. 7 (4): 1844–1852.
  87. Matsumoto N, Sato K, Yoshida K, Hashimoto K, and Toda Y. Preparation and characterization of  $\beta$ -tricalcium phosphate co-doped with monovalent and divalent antibacterial metal ions. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2009. 5 (8): 3157–3164.
  88. Walsh WR, Vizesi F, Michael D, Auld J, Langdown A, Oliver R, Yu Y, Irie H, and Bruce W.  $\beta$ -TCP bone graft substitutes in a bilateral rabbit tibial defect model. *Biomaterials*. 2008. 29 (3): 266–271.
  89. Kivrak N, and Taş AC. Synthesis of Calcium Hydroxyapatite-Tricalcium Phosphate (HA-TCP) Composite Bioceramic Powders and Their Sintering Behavior. *Journal of the American Ceramic Society*. 2005. 81 (9): 2245–2252.
  90. Dadhich P, Das B, and Dhara S. Single Step Sintered Calcium Phosphate Fibers from Avian Egg Shell. *International Journal of Modern Physics: Conference Series*. 2013. 22: 305–312.
  91. Lee JH, Ryu MY, Baek H-R, Lee KM, Seo J-H, and Lee H-K. Fabrication and Evaluation of Porous Beta-Tricalcium Phosphate/Hydroxyapatite (60/40) Composite as a Bone Graft Extender Using Rat Calvarial Bone Defect Model. *The Scientific World Journal*. 2013. 2013: 1–9.
  92. Guo D, Xu K, and Han Y. The in situ synthesis of biphasic calcium phosphate scaffolds with controllable compositions, structures, and adjustable properties. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research - Part A*. 2009. 88 (1): 43–52.
  93. Yin X, Stott MJ, and Rubio A.  $\alpha$ - and  $\beta$ -tricalcium phosphate: A density functional study. *Physical Review B*. 2003. 68 (20): 205205.
  94. Du L, Wang YJ, Lu YC, and Luo GS. Preparation of highly purified  $\beta$ -tricalcium phosphate ceramics with a microdispersion process. *Chemical Engineering Journal*. 2013. 221: 55–61.
  95. Grigg AT, Mee M, Mallinson PM, Fong SK, Gan Z, Dupree R, and Holland D. Cation substitution in  $\beta$ -tricalcium phosphate investigated using multi-nuclear, solid-state NMR. *Journal of Solid State Chemistry*. 2014. 212: 227–236.
  96. Yashima M, Sakai A, Kamiyama T, and Hoshikawa A. Crystal structure analysis of  $\beta$ -tricalcium phosphate  $\text{Ca}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$  by neutron powder diffraction.

- Journal of Solid State Chemistry*. 2003. 175 (2): 272–277.
97. Lin K, and Chang J. Structure and properties of hydroxyapatite for biomedical applications. In: *Hydroxyapatite (Hap) for Biomedical Applications*. Elsevier. 2015pp. 3–19.
  98. Dorozhkin S V. Nanosized and nanocrystalline calcium orthophosphates. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2010. 6 (3): 715–734.
  99. Haberko K, Bućko MM, Brzezińska-Miecznik J, Haberko M, Mozgawa W, Panz T, Pyda A, and Zarębski J. Natural hydroxyapatite—its behaviour during heat treatment. *Journal of the European Ceramic Society*. 2006. 26 (4–5): 537–542.
  100. Brzezińska-Miecznik J, Haberko K, Sitarz M, Bućko MM, and Macherzyńska B. Hydroxyapatite from animal bones - Extraction and properties. *Ceramics International*. 2015. 41 (3): 4841–4846.
  101. ChemTube. HA unit cell.
  102. Grimm B, Miles AW, and Turner IG. Optimizing a hydroxyapatite / tricalcium-phosphate ceramic as a bone graft extender for impaction grafting. *Journal of Materials Science Materials in Medicine*. 2001. 2 (1): 929–934.
  103. Sun H, Ye F, Wang J, Shi Y, Tu Z, Bao J, Qin M, Bu H, and Li Y. The Upregulation of Osteoblast Marker Genes in Mesenchymal Stem Cells Prove the Osteoinductivity of Hydroxyapatite/Tricalcium Phosphate Biomaterial. *Transplantation Proceedings*. 2008. 40 (8): 2645–2648.
  104. Lobo SE, and Livingston Arinzeh T. Biphasic Calcium Phosphate Ceramics for Bone Regeneration and Tissue Engineering Applications. *Materials*. 2010. 3 (12): 815–826.
  105. Penel G, Leroy N, Van Landuyt P, Flautre B, Hardouin P, Lemaître J, and Leroy G. Raman microspectrometry studies of brushite cement: In vivo evolution in a sheep model. *Bone*. 1999. 25 (SUPPL. 1): 81–84.
  106. Mirtchi a a, Lemaitre J, and Terao N. Calcium phosphate cements: study of the beta-tricalcium phosphate--monocalcium phosphate system. *Biomaterials*. 1989. 10 (7): 475–480.
  107. Amer W, Abdelouahdi K, Ramanarivo HR, Fihri A, El Achaby M, Zahouily M, Barakat A, Djessas K, Clark J, and Solhy A. Smart designing of new hybrid materials based on brushite-alginate and monetite-alginate microspheres: Bio-inspired for sequential nucleation and growth. *Materials Science and*



- Engineering C*. 2014. 35 (1): 341–346.
108. Barralet JE, Grover LM, and Gbureck U. Ionic modification of calcium phosphate cement viscosity. Part II: Hypodermic injection and strength improvement of brushite cement. *Biomaterials*. 2004. 25 (11): 2197–2203.
  109. Cabrejos-Azama J, Alkhraisat MH, Rueda C, Torres J, Pintado C, Blanco L, and López-Cabarcos E. Magnesium substitution in brushite cements: Efficacy of a new biomaterial loaded with vancomycin for the treatment of *Staphylococcus aureus* infections. *Materials Science and Engineering: C*. 2016. 61: 72–78.
  110. Montazerolghaem M, Karlsson Ott M, Engqvist H, Melhus H, and Rasmusson AJ. Resorption of monetite calcium phosphate cement by mouse bone marrow derived osteoclasts. *Materials Science and Engineering C*. 2015. 52: 212–218.
  111. Torres J, Tamimi I, Cabrejos-Azama J, Tresguerres I, Alkhraisat M, López-Cabarcos E, Hernández G, and Tamimi F. Monetite granules versus particulate autologous bone in bone regeneration. *Annals of Anatomy*. 2015. 200: 126–133.
  112. <http://rruff.info/brushite/display=default/>. 15/04/2019.
  113. [http://database.iem.ac.ru/mincryst/s\\_carta.php?MONETITE+2950](http://database.iem.ac.ru/mincryst/s_carta.php?MONETITE+2950). 14/04/2019.
  114. Sugawara A, Asaoka K, and Ding SJ. Calcium phosphate-based cements: Clinical needs and recent progress. *Journal of Materials Chemistry B*. 2013. 1 (8): 1081–1089.
  115. Bohner M, and Gbureck U. Thermal reactions of brushite cements. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials*. 2008. 84B (2): 375–385.
  116. Nasri K, El Feki H, Sharrock P, Fiallo M, and Nzihou A. Spray-Dried Monocalcium Phosphate Monohydrate for Soluble Phosphate Fertilizer. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*. 2015. 54 (33): 8043–8047.
  117. Bohner M, Van Landuyt P, Merkle HP, and Lemaitre J. Composition effects on the pH of a hydraulic calcium phosphate cement. *Journal of Materials Science Materials in Medicine*. 1997. 8 (11): 675–681.
  118. Perez RA, Shin S-H, Han C-M, and Kim H-W. Bioactive injectables based on calcium phosphates for hard tissues: A recent update. *Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine*. 2015. 12 (3): 143–153.
  119. Hofmann M, Young A, Nazhat SN, Gbureck U, and Barralet J. Setting Kinetics

- Observation of a Brushite Cement by FTIR and DSC. *Key Engineering Materials*. 2006. 309–311: 837–840.
120. Jansen J, Ooms E, Verdonschot N, and Wolke J. Injectable calcium phosphate cement for bone repair and implant fixation. *Orthopedic Clinics of North America*. 2005. 36 (1): 89–95.
  121. Torres PMC, Gouveia S, Olhero S, Kaushal A, and Ferreira JMF. Injectability of calcium phosphate pastes: Effects of particle size and state of aggregation of  $\beta$ -tricalcium phosphate powders. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2015. 21: 204–216.
  122. Huan Z, and Chang J. Novel bioactive composite bone cements based on the  $\beta$ -tricalcium phosphate–monocalcium phosphate monohydrate composite cement system. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2009. 5 (4): 1253–1264.
  123. Burguera EF, Xu HHK, and Sun L. Injectable calcium phosphate cement: Effects of powder-to-liquid ratio and needle size. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials*. 2008. 84B (2): 493–502.
  124. Sheikh Z, Zhang YL, Grover L, Merle GE, Tamimi F, and Barralet J. In vitro degradation and in vivo resorption of dicalcium phosphate cement based grafts. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2015. 26: 338–346.
  125. Tamimi-Mariño F, Mastio J, Rueda C, Blanco L, and López-Cabarcos E. Increase of the final setting time of brushite cements by using chondroitin 4-sulfate and silica gel. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine*. 2007. 18 (6): 1195–1201.
  126. Hofmann MP, Mohammed AR, Perrie Y, Gbureck U, and Barralet JE. High-strength resorbable brushite bone cement with controlled drug-releasing capabilities. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2009. 5 (1): 43–49.
  127. Gbureck U, Barralet JE, Spatz K, Grover LM, and Thull R. Ionic modification of calcium phosphate cement viscosity. Part I: Hypodermic injection and strength improvement of apatite cement. *Biomaterials*. 2004. 25 (11): 2187–2195.
  128. Alkhraisat MH, Cabrejos-Azama J, Rodríguez CR, Jerez LB, and Cabarcos EL. Magnesium substitution in brushite cements. *Materials Science and Engineering: C*. 2013. 33 (1): 475–481.
  129. Alkhraisat MH, Mariño FT, Rodríguez CR, Jerez LB, and Cabarcos EL. Combined effect of strontium and pyrophosphate on the properties of brushite cements. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2008. 4 (3): 664–670.

130. Pina S, Vieira SI, Torres PMC, Goetz-Neunhoeffler F, Neubauer J, da Cruz e Silva OAB, da Cruz e Silva EF, and Ferreira JMF. In vitro performance assessment of new brushite-forming Zn- and ZnSr-substituted  $\beta$ -TCP bone cements. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials*. 2010. 94 (2): n/a-n/a.
131. Schumacher M, and Gelinsky M. Strontium modified calcium phosphate cements – approaches towards targeted stimulation of bone turnover. *Journal of Materials Chemistry B*. 2015. 3 (23): 4626–4640.
132. Klammert U, Reuther T, Blank M, Reske I, Barralet JE, Grover LM, Kübler AC, and Gbureck U. Phase composition, mechanical performance and in vitro biocompatibility of hydraulic setting calcium magnesium phosphate cement. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2010. 6 (4): 1529–1535.
133. Lilley KJ, Gbureck U, Wright AJ, Farrar DF, and Barralet JE. Cement from nanocrystalline hydroxyapatite: Effect of calcium phosphate ratio. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine*. 2005. 16 (12): 1185–1190.
134. Alkhraisat MH, Rueda C, Mariño FT, Torres J, Jerez LB, Gbureck U, and Cabarcos EL. The effect of hyaluronic acid on brushite cement cohesion. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2009. 5 (8): 3150–3156.
135. Barralet JE, Lilley KJ, Grover LM, Farrar DF, Ansell C, and Gbureck U. Cements from nanocrystalline hydroxyapatite. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine*. 2004. 15 (4): 407–411.
136. Yin Y, Ye F, Cai S, Yao K, Cui J, and Song X. Gelatin manipulation of latent macropores formation in brushite cement. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine*. 2003. 14 (3): 255–261.
137. Han B, Ma P-W, Zhang L-L, Yin Y-J, Yao K-D, Zhang F-J, Zhang Y-D, Li X-L, and Nie W.  $\beta$ -TCP/MCPM-based premixed calcium phosphate cements. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2009. 5 (8): 3165–3177.
138. Bohner M, Merkle HP, Van Landuyt P, Trophardly G, and Lemaitre J. Effect of several additives and their admixtures on the physico-chemical properties of a calcium phosphate cement. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine*. 2000. 11 (2): 111–116.
139. Pina S, Olhero SM, Gheduzzi S, Miles AW, and Ferreira JMF. Influence of setting liquid composition and liquid-to-powder ratio on properties of a Mg-substituted calcium phosphate cement. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2009. 5 (4): 1233–

- 1240.
140. Fukuda N, Tsuru K, Mori Y, and Ishikawa K. Fabrication of self-setting  $\beta$ -tricalcium phosphate granular cement. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials*. 2018. 106 (2): 800–807.
  141. Luo J, Engqvist H, and Persson C. A ready-to-use acidic, brushite-forming calcium phosphate cement. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2018. 81: 304–314.
  142. Mendes LS, Saska S, Coelho F, Capote TS de O, Scarel-Caminaga RM, Marchetto R, Carrodeguas RG, Gaspar AMM, and Rodríguez MA. Injectable  $\beta$ -TCP/MCPM cement associated with mesoporous silica for bone regeneration: characterization and toxicity evaluation. *Biomedical materials (Bristol, England)*. 2018. 13 (2): 025023.
  143. Li L, Wang R, Li B, Liang W, Pan H, Cui X, Tang J, and Li B. Lithium doped calcium phosphate cement maintains physical mechanical properties and promotes osteoblast proliferation and differentiation. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials*. 2017. 105 (5): 944–952.
  144. Torres PMC, Marote A, Cerqueira AR, Calado AJ, Abrantes JCC, Olhero S, da Cruz e Silva OAB, Vieira SI, and Ferreira JMF. Injectable MnSr-doped brushite bone cements with improved biological performance. *Journal of Materials Chemistry B*. 2017. 5 (15): 2775–2787.
  145. Engstrand J, Persson C, and Engqvist H. The effect of composition on mechanical properties of brushite cements. *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials*. 2014. 29: 81–90.
  146. Sariibrahimoglu K, Wolke JGC, Leeuwenburgh SCG, Yubao L, and Jansen JA. Injectable biphasic calcium phosphate cements as a potential bone substitute. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials*. 2014. 102 (3): 415–422.
  147. Sanyal V, and Raja CR. Synthesis, characterization and in-vitro studies of strontium-zinc co-substituted fluorohydroxyapatite for biomedical applications. *Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids*. 2016. 445–446: 81–87.
  148. Kokubo T, and Takadama H. How useful is SBF in predicting in vivo bone bioactivity? *Biomaterials*. 2006. 27 (15): 2907–2915.
  149. Dhal J, Bose S, and Bandyopadhyay A. Influence of pentavalent dopant addition to polarization and bioactivity of hydroxyapatite. *Materials Science and Engineering: C*. 2013. 33 (5): 3061–3068.

150. Alshemary AZ, Akram M, Goh Y-F, Tariq U, Butt FK, Abdolahi A, and Hussain R. Synthesis, characterization, in vitro bioactivity and antimicrobial activity of magnesium and nickel doped silicate hydroxyapatite. *Ceramics International*. 2015. 41 (9): 11886–11898.
151. Zhu Q, Ablikim Z, Chen T, Cai Q, Xia J, Jiang D, and Wang S. The preparation and characterization of HA/ $\beta$ -TCP biphasic ceramics from fish bones. *Ceramics International*. 2017. 43 (15): 12213–12220.
152. Tarafder S, Balla VK, Davies NM, Bandyopadhyay A, and Bose S. Microwave-sintered 3D printed tricalcium phosphate scaffolds for bone tissue engineering. *Journal of Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine*. 2013. 7 (8): 631–641.
153. Goto T, and Sasaki K. Effects of trace elements in fish bones on crystal characteristics of hydroxyapatite obtained by calcination. *Ceramics International*. 2014. 40 (7 PART B): 10777–10785.
154. Lafon JP, Champion E, and Bernache-Assollant D. Processing of AB-type carbonated hydroxyapatite  $\text{Ca}_{10-x}(\text{PO}_4)_6-x(\text{CO}_3)_x(\text{OH})_{2-x-2y}(\text{CO}_3)_y$  ceramics with controlled composition. *Journal of the European Ceramic Society*. 2008. 28 (1): 139–147.
155. Sun R, Yang L, Zhang Y, Chu F, Wang G, Lv Y, and Chen K. Novel synthesis of AB-type carbonated hydroxyapatite hierarchical microstructures with sustained drug delivery properties. *CrystEngComm*. 2016. 18 (41): 8030–8037.
156. Ofudje EA, Rajendran A, Adeogun AI, Idowu MA, Kareem SO, and Pattanayak DK. Synthesis of organic derived hydroxyapatite scaffold from pig bone waste for tissue engineering applications. *Advanced Powder Technology*. 2018. 29 (1): 1–8.
157. Yoganand CP, Selvarajan V, Goudouri OM, Paraskevopoulos KM, Wu J, and Xue D. Preparation of bovine hydroxyapatite by transferred arc plasma. *Current Applied Physics*. 2011. 11 (3): 702–709.
158. Boutinguiza M, Pou J, Comesaña R, Lusquiños F, De Carlos A, and León B. Biological hydroxyapatite obtained from fish bones. *Materials Science and Engineering C*. 2012. 32 (3): 478–486.
159. Barakat NAM, Khil MS, Omran AM, Sheikh FA, and Kim HY. Extraction of pure natural hydroxyapatite from the bovine bones bio waste by three different methods. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*. 2009. 209 (7): 3408–

- 3415.
160. Niakan A, Ramesh S, Ganesan P, Tan CY, Purbolaksono J, Chandran H, Ramesh S, and Teng WD. Sintering behaviour of natural porous hydroxyapatite derived from bovine bone. *Ceramics International*. 2015. 41 (2): 3024–3029.
  161. Ebrahimi M, Botelho MG, and Dorozhkin S V. Biphasic calcium phosphates bioceramics (HA/TCP): Concept, physicochemical properties and the impact of standardization of study protocols in biomaterials research. *Materials Science and Engineering: C*. 2017. 71: 1293–1312.
  162. Krishnamurthy G, Murali MR, Hamdi M, Abbas AA, Raghavendran HB, and Kamarul T. Characterization of bovine-derived porous hydroxyapatite scaffold and its potential to support osteogenic differentiation of human bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells. *Ceramics international*. 2014. 40 (1, Part A): 771–777.
  163. Sofronia AM, Baies R, Anghel EM, Marinescu CA, and Tanasescu S. Thermal and structural characterization of synthetic and natural nanocrystalline hydroxyapatite. *Materials Science and Engineering C*. 2014. 43: 153–163.
  164. Joschek S, Nies B, Krotz R, and Göpferich A. Chemical and physicochemical characterization of porous hydroxyapatite ceramics made of natural bone. *Biomaterials*. 2000. 21 (16): 1645–1658.
  165. Van Landuyt P, Li F, Keustermans JP, Streydio JM, Delannay F, and Munting E. The influence of high sintering temperatures on the mechanical properties of hydroxylapatite. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine*. 1995. 6 (1): 8–13.
  166. Hamdan Alkhraisat M, Moseke C, Blanco L, Barralet JE, Lopez-Carbacos E, and Gbureck U. Strontium modified biocements with zero order release kinetics. *Biomaterials*. 2008. 29 (35): 4691–7.
  167. Ishikawa K. Bioactive Ceramics: Cements. In: *Comprehensive Biomaterials II*. Elsevier. 2017pp. 368–391.
  168. Noukrati H, Cazalbou S, Demnati I, Rey C, Barroug A, and Combes C. Injectability, microstructure and release properties of sodium fusidate-loaded apatitic cement as a local drug-delivery system. *Materials Science and Engineering C*. 2016. 59: 177–184.
  169. Montufar EB, Maazouz Y, and Ginebra MP. Relevance of the setting reaction to the injectability of tricalcium phosphate pastes. *Acta Biomaterialia*. 2013. 9

- (4): 6188–6198.
170. Khairoun I, Boltong MG, Driessens FCM, and Planell J a. Some factors controlling the injectability of calcium phosphate bone cements. *Journal of Materials Science Materials in Medicine*. 1998. 9 (8): 425–428.
  171. Alves HLR, dos Santos LA, and Bergmann CP. Injectability evaluation of tricalcium phosphate bone cement. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine*. 2008. 19 (5): 2241–2246.
  172. Maenz S, Kunisch E, Mühlstädt M, Böhm A, Kopsch V, Bossert J, Kinne RW, and Jandt KD. Enhanced mechanical properties of a novel, injectable, fiber-reinforced brushite cement. *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials*. 2014. 39: 328–338.
  173. Lu J, Wei J, Yan Y, Li H, Jia J, Wei S, Guo H, Xiao T, and Liu C. Preparation and preliminary cytocompatibility of magnesium doped apatite cement with degradability for bone regeneration. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine*. 2011. 22 (3): 607–615.

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

### Journal with Impact Factor

1. A.Z. Alshemary, M. Akram, Y.-F. Goh, **U. Tariq**, F.K. Butt, A. Abdolahi, R. Hussain. (2015). Synthesis, characterization, in vitro bioactivity and antimicrobial activity of magnesium and nickel doped silicate hydroxyapatite, *Ceramics International*, 41, 11886–11898.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceramint.2015.06.003>. (**Q1, IF: 2.605**)
2. **Usman Tariq**, Razaqat Hussain, Kashif Tufail, Zuhaib Haider, Rizwan Tariq, Jalil Ali (2019). Injectable Dicalcium Phosphate Bone Cement using Biphasic Calcium Phosphate Extracted from Lamb Bone, *Materials Science and Engineering: C*, (**Q1, IF: 5.08**), (**UNDER REVIEW**)

### Indexed Journal

1. K. Chaudhary, **U. Tariq**, S. Roslan, O. Shude, M.S. Aziz. (2015). OPTICAL EMISSION SPECTROSCOPY OF CARBON ARC DISCHARGE PLASMA, *Jurnal Teknologi*, 74, 91–94. <https://doi.org/10.11113/jt.v74.4735>. (**Indexed by SCOPUS**)

### Non-Indexed Journal

1. **Usman Tariq**, Zuhaib Haider, Kashif Tufail, Razaqat Hussain, Fairuz Diyana, Jalil Ali. (2018). Identification of Trace Elements in Bovine Extracted Hydroxyapatite Using LIBS, *Buletin Optik*. pp. 48–53.  
<http://laser.utm.my/buletinoptik/files/2018/11/201815-8.pdf>



### **Indexed Conference Proceedings**

1. **U. Tariq**, Z. Haider, R. Hussain, K. Tufail, J. Ali. (2017). LIBS analysis of hydroxyapatite extracted from bovine bone for Ca/P ratio measurements. In *International Conference on Plasma Science and Applications (ICPSA 2016)*, American Institute of Physics, p. 030027. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4978845>. **(Indexed by SCOPUS)**
2. **U. Tariq**, Z. Haider, K. Chaudhary, R. Hussain, J. Ali. (2018). Calcium to phosphate ratio measurements in calcium phosphates using LIBS. In *International Laser Technology and Optics Symposium (ILATOS 2017)*, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 1027, 012015. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1027/1/012015>. **(Indexed by SCOPUS)**
3. S.Y. Ching, **U. Tariq**, Z. Haider, K. Tufail, S. Sabri, M. Imran, J. Ali. (2017). Investigations of calcium spectral lines in laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy, In *International Conference on Plasma Science and Applications (ICPSA 2016)*, American Institute of Physics. pp. 030023-1-030023-7. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4978841>. **(Indexed by SCOPUS)**

### **Non-Indexed Conference Proceedings**

1. **Usman Tariq**, Zuhair Haider, Kashif Tufail, Razaqat Hussain, Jalil Ali. (2016). Determination of Calcium to Phosphate Ratio in Hydroxyapatite Extracted from Bovine Bone using LIBS, In *Laser Technology and Optics Symposium (LATOS 2016)*, *Buletin Optik*. pp. 5–8. <http://laser.utm.my/buletinoptik/files/2016/12/2016248-53.pdf>.