

CORRELATION REFLECTED FAST AND SLOW WAVES WITH VARIOUS
CANCELLOUS BONE MODELS USING PULSE-ECHO ULTRASOUND
TECHNIQUE

MUHAMAD AMIN BIN ABD WAHAB

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Electrical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

AUGUST 2020

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my father, who taught me that the best kind of knowledge to have is that which is learned for its own sake. It is also dedicated to my mother, who taught me that even the largest task can be accomplished if it is done one step at a time.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor, Associate Professor Ir. Dr. Rubita Sudirman, for encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship. I am also very thankful to my co-supervisor Dr. Mohd Azhar Abdul Razak for his guidance, advices and motivation. Without their continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

My fellow postgraduate student should also be recognised for their support. Their views and tips are useful indeed. I am also grateful to my mother, Norsimah Che Ismail and my father, Abd Wahab Osman, all my brothers and also to my fiancée, Ruqayyah Othman for their supports and prayer for my success.

ABSTRACT

Attenuation and velocity of an ultrasound wave parameter can be analyzed to estimate the quality of the bone. However, the bone quality evaluation using ultrasound is still not comparable with X-ray densitometry. Considering the parameters of the fast and slow waves perhaps develop the measurement accuracy of the ultrasound. Currently, fast and slow waves measured using through transmission (TT) technique. Nonetheless, this technique applied two transducers, which limited to certain parts of the skeletal structure. Based on pulse-echo (PE) technique which is much easier to use due to single transducer uses and analyse fast and slow waves might be able to solve the problems. Therefore, the objective of this study is to conduct simulation and experiment of the PE technique to study the correlation between fast and slow waves with various porosities and thicknesses of two-dimensional cancellous models and bone phantom (polyurethane (PU) foam) and comparing the result obtained to the result of the TT technique and previous works. The ultrasound wave measurement was done based on TT and PE technique for both simulation and experiment. The measurement also was repeated for every porosity and thickness. The “incident” and “reflected” waves then separated using bandlimited deconvolution method by estimating the time threshold between transfer function of the fast and slow waves. Then, the parameters for mix, fast and slow waves were calculated, plotted against porosity for several thicknesses and compared in terms of their correlation coefficient. There are two types of bone models orientation (parallel and perpendicular) and two types of materials in the simulation (bone and PU – to compare with experiment). The result showed some of the fast and slow waves were in good agreement with previous work in terms of the behaviour of the wave parameters against porosity for every thickness. Moreover, the bone orientations (simulation), frequency spectral content and domination of the wave can influence the behaviour of the fast and slow waves. The thickness factor influences the parameters of fast and slow waves. Nonetheless, the reaction varied depending on the porosity level. Based on the phase velocity parameters, the separation of the fast and slow waves are easier for the thicker samples for PU materials (simulation and experiment) but the same for bone materials. The overall correlation coefficient of the amplitude and signal loss parameters for the reflected wave was slightly lower compared to incident wave due to suffering additional propagation loss. Nevertheless, for the attenuation parameters, most incident and reflected fast and slow waves shows a consistent trends and good correlation coefficient for simulation and experiment (Bone – $R^2_{\beta I/Rfast} = 0.52/0.50_{average}$ and $R^2_{\beta Islow} = 0.67_{average}$) (PU – $R^2_{\beta I/Rfast} = 0.86/0.61_{max}$) (Experiment – $R^2_{\beta Ifast} = 0.88_{max}$ and $R^2_{\beta Rfast} = 0.58_{average}$, $R^2_{\beta Islow} = 0.65_{max}$ and $R^2_{\beta Rslow} = 0.70_{average}$). This indicates that, the reflected fast and slow wave showed similar behaviour as the incident fast and slow wave and feasible to be applied in PE measurement technique. The result from simulation (PU materials) was also in good agreement with the experiment. The overall result shows, considering reflected fast and slow waves especially the attenuation parameter to estimate bone quality, might be able to improve the measurement accuracy for PE technique.

ABSTRAK

Pelemahan dan halaju parameter gelombang ultrabunyi boleh dianalisis untuk menganggar kualiti tulang. Walau bagaimanapun, penilaian kualiti tulang menggunakan ultrabunyi masih tidak setanding dengan densitometri sinar-X. Memandangkan parameter gelombang cepat dan lambat mungkin meningkatkan ketepatan pengukuran ultrabunyi. Pada masa ini, gelombang cepat dan lambat diukur menggunakan teknik penembusan transmisi (TT). Namun, teknik ini menggunakan dua transduser yang terhad untuk sebahagian struktur rangka. Berdasarkan teknik gema nadi (PE) yang lebih mudah digunakan kerana menggunakan transduser tunggal dan analisis gelombang cepat dan lambat mungkin dapat menyelesaikan masalah ini. Oleh itu, objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menjalankan simulasi dan eksperimen menggunakan teknik PE untuk menyiasat korelasi antara gelombang cepat dan lambat dengan pelbagai porositi dan ketebalan model kanselus dua – dimensi dan tulang fantom (busa poliuretana (PU)) dan membandingkan hasil yang diperolehi dengan hasil daripada teknik TT dan hasil kerja sebelumnya. Pengukuran gelombang ultrabunyi dilaksanakan berdasarkan teknik TT dan PE untuk kedua-dua simulasi dan eksperimen. Pengukuran juga diulang untuk setiap keliangan dan ketebalan. Gelombang "tuju" dan "pantulan" telah dipisahkan menggunakan kaedah penyahkonvolusi jalur terhad dengan menganggarkan ambang masa antara fungsi pemindahan gelombang cepat dan lambat. Kemudian, parameter untuk gelombang campuran, cepat dan lambat dikira, diplot terhadap keliangan untuk beberapa ketebalan dan dibandingkan dari segi pekali korelasi mereka. Terdapat dua jenis orientasi model tulang (selari dan serenjang) dan dua jenis bahan dalam simulasi (tulang dan PU – untuk dibandingkan dengan eksperimen). Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa, sesetengah gelombang cepat dan lambat selari dengan kerja sebelum ini dari segi kelakuan parameter gelombang terhadap keliangan untuk setiap ketebalan. Selain itu, orientasi tulang (simulasi), kandungan spektral frekuensi dan penguasaan gelombang dapat mempengaruhi tingkah laku gelombang cepat dan lambat. Faktor ketebalan mempengaruhi parameter gelombang cepat dan lambat. Namun, tindak balas adalah berbeza-beza bergantung kepada tahap keliangan. Berdasarkan parameter halaju fasa, pemisahan gelombang cepat dan lambat adalah lebih mudah bagi sampel yang tebal untuk bahan PU (simulasi dan eksperimen) tetapi sama untuk bahan tulang. Pekali korelasi keseluruhan parameter amplitud dan kehilangan isyarat untuk gelombang pantulan sedikit rendah berbanding gelombang tuju kerana mengalami kehilangan perambatan tambahan. Walaupun begitu, bagi parameter pelemahan, kebanyakan gelombang tuju dan pantulan cepat dan lambat menunjukkan trend yang konsisten dan pekali korelasi yang baik untuk simulasi dan eksperimen. (Tulang – $R^2_{\beta/Rfast} = 0.52/0.50_{average}$ and $R^2_{\beta/Slow} = 0.67_{average}$) (PU – $R^2_{\beta/Rfast} = 0.86/0.61_{max}$) (Eksperimen – $R^2_{\beta/Slow} = 0.88_{max}$ and $R^2_{\beta/Rfast} = 0.58_{average}$, $R^2_{\beta/Slow} = 0.65_{max}$ and $R^2_{\beta/Rslow} = 0.70_{average}$). Ini menunjukkan, gelombang pantulan cepat dan lambat menunjukkan kelakuan yang sama dengan gelombang tuju cepat dan lambat dan boleh diaplikasikan kepada teknik pengukuran PE. Hasil daripada simulasi (bahan PU) juga adalah selari dengan eksperimen. Hasil keseluruhan menunjukkan, mengambil kira gelombang pantulan cepat dan lambat terutamanya parameter pelemahan untuk menganggarkan kualiti tulang mungkin dapat meningkatkan ketepatan pengukuran untuk teknik PE.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxi
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxiii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxiv
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background of the Study	1
1.2	Motivation of the Study	3
1.3	Problem Statement	4
1.4	Hypothesis	5
1.5	Research Question	6
1.6	Objectives of the Study	6
1.7	Scope of the Study	7
1.8	Significant of the Study	8
1.9	Thesis Outline	9
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND	11
2.1	Introduction	11
2.2	Bone	11
2.3	Osteoporosis and Bone Loses	12

2.4	X-Ray Based Bone Densitometry	13
2.4.1	Dual X-Ray Bone Densitometry	14
2.4.2	Quantitative Computed Tomography	14
2.5	Quantitative Ultrasound	14
2.5.1	Through Transmission	16
2.5.1.1	Wave Attenuation Parameter	16
2.5.1.2	Wave Velocity Parameter	17
2.5.2	Pulse-Echo	18
2.6	Two Modes Wave (Fast And Slow Wave)	21
2.7	Previous Work	23
2.7.1	Parameter of Fast and Slow Wave	24
2.7.2	Reflected and Backscattered Wave	29
2.7.3	Fast and Slow Wave in Reflected Wave	30
2.7.4	Method to Separate Fast and Slow Wave	31
2.7.5	Summary of Previous Related Work	33
CHAPTER 3	PROPOSE METHOD – SEPARATION OF REFLECTED FAST AND SLOW WAVE USING BANDLIMITED DECONVOLUTION METHOD	37
3.1	Introduction	37
3.2	Chapter Summary	38
3.3	Theory of Fast and Slow Wave	39
3.4	Proposed Method	41
3.5	Bandlimited Deconvolution Method	42
3.5.1	Bandlimited Deconvolution Method Testing	44
3.6	Samples Cancellous Models for the Simulation and Experiment	44
3.4.1	The Cancellous Bone Models	44
3.4.2	Bone Phantom – Polyurethane Foam	47
3.4.2.1	Justification of the Cellular Rigid Polyurethane Foam	48
CHAPTER 4	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	51
4.1	Introduction	51

4.2	Summary of Data Collection and Processing	52
4.3	Ultrasound Wave Measurement Technique	53
4.3.1	The 2-Dimensional Simulation	53
4.3.1.1	Simulation Setup for the Bandlimited Deconvolution Method	54
4.3.1.2	Simulation Setup for the Thickness Subtraction Method	55
4.3.2	Experiment	56
4.3.2.1	Ultrasound Experiment Apparatus	57
4.3.3	Simulation and Experiment Setup for the Bandlimited Deconvolution Method Testing	59
4.4	Separation of Two Modes Wave – Thickness Subtraction Method	61
4.4.1	Subtraction of Reflected Wave from Two Identical Bone Models with Different Thickness	61
4.4.2	Identification of Fast and Slow Wave from Backscattered Wave	63
4.5	Ultrasound Wave Parameters	64
4.5.1	Wave Amplitude	64
4.5.2	Wave Attenuation	65
4.5.3	Wave Phase Velocity	66
CHAPTER 5	RESULT AND DISCUSSION	69
5.1	Introduction	69
5.2	Separation of Fast and Slow Waves	70
5.2.1	Orientation of Bone Models	70
5.2.2	Thickness Subtraction Method	74
5.2.3	Bandlimited Deconvolution Method	79
5.2.3.1	Bandlimited Deconvolution Method Testing	79
5.2.3.2	Bandlimited Deconvolution Method Examples	87
5.3	Correlation of the Ultrasound Wave Parameter versus Porosity	92

5.3.1	Thickness Subtraction Method – Backscattered Wave	93
5.3.1.1	Result Observation of Thickness Subtraction Method	93
5.3.1.2	Result Discussion of Thickness Subtraction Method	96
5.3.2	Bandlimited Deconvolution Method	97
5.3.2.1	Result Observation of Bandlimited Deconvolution Method	98
5.3.2.2	Result Discussion of Bandlimited Deconvolution Method	120
5.4	Comparison of the Ultrasound Wave Parameters between the Result in this Study and Previous Works	157
5.4.1	Attenuation of Fast and Slow Wave	158
5.4.2	Phase Velocity of Fast and Slow Wave	159
CHAPTER 6	CONCLUSION	163
6.1	Introduction	163
6.2	Conclusion	163
6.4	Research Contribution	167
6.5	Limitation	168
6.6	Recommendation and Future Improvement	169
	REFERENCES	171
	APPENDICES	181
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	191

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	List of literature review	33
Table 3.1	The acoustic and material properties of the 2-D cancellous bone models based on [83]	46
Table 3.2	Specification of Cellular rigid PU foam [84]	48
Table 4.1	Parameters setup for the 2-D simulation	54
Table 4.2	The equipment and software used for the experiment	58
Table 4.3	Testing condition correspond to the number of cases	60
Table 4.4	The estimated ranges of bone models thickness for the backscattered wave, $P4'$ originated based on the subtraction of the overall reflected waves between the thickness D and D'	62
Table 5.1	Percentage of error (%) and RMSE between estimated and original wave for Case 4	82
Table 5.2	Percentage of error (%) and RMSE between estimated and original wave for Case 6	85
Table 5.3	Summary of the test result for the bandlimited deconvolution method	87
Table 5.4	Summary of observation for section 5.3.2.1 (a)	103
Table 5.5	Summary of observation for section 5.3.2.1 (b)	108
Table 5.6	Summary of observation for section 5.3.2.1 (c)	114
Table 5.7	Summary of observation for section 5.3.2.1 (d)	118
Table 5.8	Summary of the discussion in section 5.3.2.2 (a)	128
Table 5.9	Measurement of slope of attenuation of two modes wave for simulation and experiment	137
Table 5.10	Summary of the discussion in section 5.3.2.2 (b)	139
Table 5.11	Measurement of signal loss of two modes wave for simulation and experiment	147

Table 5.12	Summary of the discussion in section 5.3.2.2 (c)	149
Table 5.13	Measurement of phase velocity of two modes wave for simulation and experiment	154
Table 5.14	Summary of the discussion in section 5.3.2.2 (d)	156
Table 5.15	Comparison of parameters (attenuation and phase velocity) between simulation result (PU and bone materials), experiment (PU foam) and previous researches	162

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Cross section of bone consists of cortical and cancellous bone. (a) Normal bone and (b) bone affected by Osteoporosis disease	13
Figure 2.2	Measurement techniques: (a) through transmission (TT), (b) axial transmission (AT) and (c) pulse-echo (PE)	15
Figure 2.3	BUA: (a) Power spectrum comparison between water and bone and (b) graph attenuation versus frequency	16
Figure 2.4	Region of interest from overall reflected wave: (a) reflected wave and (b) backscattered waves	20
Figure 2.5	Examples of observation fast and slow wave in time domain using TT technique [58]	22
Figure 3.1	The types of wave that involved and investigated in this study	38
Figure 3.2	The theory of two modes wave propagation models. The incident (input) wave was divided to fast wave and slow wave in the porous structure. The output wave (mix wave) is the combination of the fast and slow waves	40
Figure 3.3	The ultrasound incident and reflected wave propagation through the cancellous bone models	41
Figure 3.4	The process flow of the bandlimited deconvolution method	43
Figure 3.5	The 2-D cancellous bone models: (a) Parallel and (b) perpendicular orientation with their porosities value. The black arrow is the wave propagation direction	45
Figure 3.6	Example of various thicknesses of the 2-D cancellous bone models in the range from 5 to 10 mm	46
Figure 3.7	Cellular rigid PU foam	47
Figure 4.1	Flow chart of the research activities	51

Figure 4.2	Summary of the overall methodology	52
Figure 4.3	The diagrams of the simulation setup for the bandlimited deconvolution method: (a) Through transmission (TT) and (b) pulse-echo (PE)	55
Figure 4.4	The diagram of the simulation setup for the thickness subtraction method	56
Figure 4.5	The diagram of the experiment setup: (a) Through transmission (TT) and (b) pulse-echo (PE)	57
Figure 4.6	The experiment setup (e.g., PE technique) that was conducted at the Medical Imaging, Instrumentation and Robotic (MIIR), School of Biomedical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), 81310 Johor Bahru, Johor, Malaysia	58
Figure 4.7	The diagrams of the simulation setup for the bandlimited deconvolution method testing: (a) Through transmission (TT) and (b) pulse-echo (PE)	59
Figure 4.8	The diagram of the experiment setup for bandlimited deconvolution method testing: (a) Through transmission (TT) and b) pulse-echo (PE)	60
Figure 4.9	(a) The diagram of overall reflected wave from two different thicknesses, D and D' and (b) Example of time domain waveform correspond to the (a)	61
Figure 4.10	An example of the comparison between backscattered waves, $P4'$ for the perpendicular and parallel cancellous bone models in time domain	63
Figure 4.11	An example of (a) peak amplitude spectrum for the wave amplitude parameter and (b) signal loss value based on the centre frequency of the transducer (f_0) in the frequency domain	65
Figure 5.1	The outline for the overall result and discussion chapters	69
Figure 5.2	An example of the time domain wave that propagates through parallel cancellous bone orientation (top) and perpendicular orientation (bottom) for TT measurement technique. (Porosity value = 0.67, thickness of 10 mm)	71

Figure 5.3	Amplitude (A) spectrum of the fast, slow and mix waves for the parallel model in Figure 5.2	71
Figure 5.4	(a) Slope of attenuation (β), (b) signal loss (SL) and (c) phase velocity (C) of the incident fast, slow and mix waves for the waveform in Figure 5.2	72
Figure 5.5	Reflected wave at the front surface of the solid bone	74
Figure 5.6	Examples of (a) overall reflected waveform from the thickness of 1.5 mm (dash line) and the thickness of 2.5 mm (solid line) and (b) the backscattered waveform, P4' from the bone model's thickness of 1.5 to 2.5 mm	75
Figure 5.7	Example of four (4) backscattered wave, P4' received from various thickness of the cancellous bone models (porosity = 0.67) for (a) parallel and (b) perpendicular orientations	76
Figure 5.8	Backscattered waves that were estimated arrived from the cancellous bone thickness ranges from 2.5 to 3.5 mm and porosity level of 0.67 for (a) parallel and (b) perpendicular orientations of bone models	77
Figure 5.9	(a) Amplitude (A), (b) slope of attenuation (β), and (c) signal loss (SL) of the backscattered fast, slow and mix waves for the waveform in Figure 5.8	78
Figure 5.10	The ultrasound waveform of the reference, PU, jelly and PU + jelly wave in (a) time and (b) frequency domain	80
Figure 5.11	Transfer function $h_{PU}(t)$, $h_{jelly}(t)$ and $h_{total}(t)$	81
Figure 5.12	(a) Time and (b) frequency domain for PU and estimated fast wave, $y_{fast}(t)$. (c) Time and (d) frequency domain for jelly and estimated slow wave, $y_{slow}(t)$	81
Figure 5.13	(a) Wave slope and (b) phase for PU and estimated fast wave, $y_{fast}(t)$. (c) Wave slope and (d) phase for jelly and estimated slow wave, $y_{slow}(t)$	82
Figure 5.14	The ultrasound waveform of reference, PU and jelly wave in (a) time and (b) frequency domain. The ultrasound waveform of PU + jelly waves in (c) time and (d) frequency domain	83
Figure 5.15	Transfer function $h_{PU}(t)$, $h_{jelly}(t)$ and $h_{total}(t)$	84

Figure 5.16	(a) Time and (b) frequency domain for PU and estimated fast wave, $y_{fast}(t)$. (c) Time and (d) frequency domain for jelly and estimated slow wave, $y_{slow}(t)$	84
Figure 5.17	(a) Wave slope and (b) phase for PU and estimated fast wave, $y_{fast}(t)$. (c) Wave slope and (d) phase for jelly and estimated slow wave, $y_{slow}(t)$	85
Figure 5.18	Examples of (a) transfer function and (b) fast and slow wave in time domain for the perpendicular orientation of bone models (porosity = 0.67 and thickness of 10 mm) for the PE measurement technique	88
Figure 5.19	(a) Amplitude (A), (b) slope of attenuation (β), and (c) signal loss (SL) of the reflected fast, slow and mix waves for the waveform in Figure 5.18 (b)	89
Figure 5.20	Examples of (a) transfer function and (b) fast and slow wave in time domain of PU foam (porosity = 0.86 and thickness of 8 mm) for the PE measurement technique	90
Figure 5.21	(a) Amplitude (A), (b) slope of attenuation (β), and (c) signal loss (SL) of the reflected fast, slow and mix waves for the waveform in Figure 5.20 (b)	91
Figure 5.22	Graph of backscattered wave (a) amplitude, V_{pp} [90] and (b) amplitude (A) versus porosity bone models with the thickness ranges from 2.5 to 3.5 mm. ($n = 9$; $R^2 \geq 0.798$, $p \leq 0.01$; $R^2 \geq 0.666$, $p \leq 0.05$; $R^2 \geq 0.348$, $p \leq 0.1$)	93
Figure 5.23	Graph of ultrasound wave attenuation (β) versus porosity with the thickness ranges of bone models from 2.5 to 3.5 mm	94
Figure 5.24	Graph of ultrasound wave signal loss (SL) [90] versus porosity with the thickness ranges of bone models from 2.5 to 3.5 mm	95
Figure 5.25	Average centre frequency for backscattered mix, fast and slow wave. The Reference frequency of the reflected wave in Figure 5.7 is 1.062 MHz	95
Figure 5.26	Correlation coefficient of wave amplitude (A) against various thickness ranges from 5 to 10 mm of bone models. (a) TT – incident wave and (b) PE – reflected wave. Blank triangle indicates negative trends and filled triangle indicate positive trend versus porosity. ($n = 9$; $R^2 \geq 0.798$, $p \leq 0.01$; $R^2 \geq$	99

0.666, $p \leq 0.05$; $R^2 \geq 0.348$, $p \leq 0.1$)

- Figure 5.27 Correlation coefficient of wave amplitude (A) against various thickness ranges from 5 to 10 mm of PU models. (a) TT – incident wave and (b) PE – reflected wave. Blank triangle indicates negative trends and filled triangle indicate positive trend versus porosity. ($n = 9$; $R^2 \geq 0.798$, $p \leq 0.01$; $R^2 \geq 0.666$, $p \leq 0.05$; $R^2 \geq 0.348$, $p \leq 0.1$) 101
- Figure 5.28 Correlation coefficient of wave amplitude (A) against various thickness ranges from 5 to 10 mm of PU foam. (a) TT – incident wave and (b) PE – reflected wave. Blank triangle indicates negative trends and filled triangle indicate positive trend versus porosity. ($n = 5$; $R^2 \geq 0.92$, $p \leq 0.01$; $R^2 \geq 0.75$, $p \leq 0.05$; $R^2 \geq 0.64$, $p \leq 0.1$) 102
- Figure 5.29 Correlation coefficient of wave attenuation (β) against various thickness ranges from 5 to 10 mm of bone models. (a) TT – incident wave and (b) PE – reflected wave. Blank triangle indicates negative trends and filled triangle indicate positive trend versus porosity. ($n = 9$; $R^2 \geq 0.798$, $p \leq 0.01$; $R^2 \geq 0.666$, $p \leq 0.05$; $R^2 \geq 0.348$, $p \leq 0.1$) 105
- Figure 5.30 Correlation coefficient of wave attenuation (β) against various thickness ranges from 5 to 10 mm of PU models. (a) TT – incident wave and (b) PE – reflected wave. Blank triangle indicates negative trends and filled triangle indicate positive trend versus porosity. ($n = 9$; $R^2 \geq 0.798$, $p \leq 0.01$; $R^2 \geq 0.666$, $p \leq 0.05$; $R^2 \geq 0.348$, $p \leq 0.1$) 106
- Figure 5.31 Correlation coefficient of wave attenuation (β) against various thickness ranges from 5 to 10 mm of PU foam. (a) TT – incident wave and (b) PE – reflected wave. Blank triangle indicates negative trends and filled triangle indicate positive trend versus porosity. ($n = 5$; $R^2 \geq 0.92$, $p \leq 0.01$; $R^2 \geq 0.75$, $p \leq 0.05$; $R^2 \geq 0.64$, $p \leq 0.1$) 107
- Figure 5.32 Correlation coefficient of wave signal loss (SL) against various thickness ranges from 5 to 10 mm of bone models. (a) TT – incident wave and (b) PE – reflected wave. Blank triangle indicate negative trend versus porosity and fill triangle indicate positive trend versus porosity. ($n = 9$; $R^2 \geq 0.798$, $p \leq 0.01$; $R^2 \geq 0.666$, $p \leq 0.05$; $R^2 \geq 0.348$, $p \leq 0.1$) 110

- Figure 5.33 Correlation coefficient of wave signal loss (*SL*) against various thickness ranges from 5 to 10 mm of PU models. (a) TT – incident wave and (b) PE – reflected wave. Blank triangle indicate negative trend versus porosity and fill triangle indicate positive trend versus porosity. (n = 9; $R^2 \geq 0.798$, $p \leq 0.01$; $R^2 \geq 0.666$, $p \leq 0.05$; $R^2 \geq 0.348$, $p \leq 0.1$) 112
- Figure 5.34 Correlation coefficient of wave signal loss (*SL*) against various thickness ranges from 5 to 10 mm of PU foam. (a) TT – incident wave and (b) PE – reflected wave. Blank triangle indicates negative trends and filled triangle indicate positive trend versus porosity. (n = 5; $R^2 \geq 0.92$, $p \leq 0.01$; $R^2 \geq 0.75$, $p \leq 0.05$; $R^2 \geq 0.64$, $p \leq 0.1$) 113
- Figure 5.35 Correlation coefficient of wave phase velocity (*C*) against various thickness ranges from 5 to 10 mm for (a) parallel and (b) perpendicular orientation of bone models. Blank triangle indicates negative trends and filled triangle indicate positive trend versus porosity. (n = 9; $R^2 \geq 0.798$, $p \leq 0.01$; $R^2 \geq 0.666$, $p \leq 0.05$; $R^2 \geq 0.348$, $p \leq 0.1$) 115
- Figure 5.36 Correlation coefficient of wave phase velocity (*C*) against various thickness ranges from 5 to 10 mm for (a) parallel and (b) perpendicular orientation of PU models. Blank triangle indicates negative trends and filled triangle indicate positive trend versus porosity. (n = 9; $R^2 \geq 0.798$, $p \leq 0.01$; $R^2 \geq 0.666$, $p \leq 0.05$; $R^2 \geq 0.348$, $p \leq 0.1$) 116
- Figure 5.37 Correlation coefficient of wave phase velocity (*C*) against various thickness ranges from 5 to 10 mm for PU foam. Blank triangle indicates negative trends and filled triangle indicate positive trend versus porosity. (n = 5; $R^2 \geq 0.92$, $p \leq 0.01$; $R^2 \geq 0.75$, $p \leq 0.05$; $R^2 \geq 0.64$, $p \leq 0.1$) 117
- Figure 5.38 Average centre frequency of mix, fast and slow wave for *parallel* (pa) and *perpendicular* (per) orientation of bone models. (a) TT - Incident wave and reference frequency = 1.187 MHz and (b) PE - Reflected wave and reference frequency = 1.041 MHz 118
- Figure 5.39 Average centre frequency for mix, fast and slow wave for *parallel* (pa) and *perpendicular* (per) orientation of PU models. (a) TT - Incident wave and reference frequency = 1.187 MHz and (b) PE - Reflected wave and reference

	frequency = 1.041 MHz	119
Figure 5.40	Average centre frequency for mix, fast and slow wave for parallel PU foam. (a) TT - Incident wave and reference frequency = 0.723 MHz and (b) PE - Reflected wave and reference frequency = 0.704 MHz	119
Figure 5.41	Examples of reflected (a) mix, (b) fast and (c) slow wave attenuation versus thickness for bone materials – <i>parallel</i>	132
Figure 5.42	Examples of reflected (a) mix, (b) fast and (c) slow wave attenuation versus thickness for PU materials – <i>parallel</i>	132
Figure 5.43	Examples of reflected (a) mix, (b) fast and (c) slow wave attenuation versus thickness for PU foam (experiment)	134
Figure 5.44	Separation index for simulation and experiment result based on phase velocity parameters	155

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

TT	-	Through Transmission
PE	-	Pulse-echo
UTM	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
QUS	-	Quantitative Ultrasound
KK	-	Kramers-Kronig
SL	-	Signal Loss
BUA	-	Broadband Ultrasound Attenuation
SOS	-	Speed of Sound
AIB	-	Apparent Integrated Backscattered
IRC	-	Integrated Reflection Coefficient
BUB	-	Broadband Ultrasound Backscattered
BMD	-	Bone Mass Density
QCT	-	Quantitative Computed Tomography
DXA	-	Dual X-Ray Bone Densitometry
SAGE	-	Space Alternating Generalized Expectation Maximization
MLSP + CF	-	Modified Least Squares Prony + Curve Fitting
FDI	-	Frequency Domain Interferometry
FDTD	-	Finite Difference Time Domain
BV/TV	-	Bone Volume Fraction
WHO	-	World Health Organization
2-D	-	2-Dimensional
3-D	-	3-Dimensional
AT	-	Axial Transmission
TOF	-	Time of Flight
FDUA	-	Frequency Dependent Ultrasound Attenuation
AVF	-	Aluminium Volume Fraction
BSC	-	Backscattered Coefficient
PU	-	Polyurethane
SI	-	Separation Index

TASB	-	Time Slope Of Apparent Backscatter
MIIR	-	Medical Imaging, Instrumentation and Robotic
EFIT	-	Elastodynamic Finite Integration Technique
FFT	-	Fast Fourier Transform
DA	-	Degree of Anisotropy
TX	-	Transmitter
RX	-	Receiver
FEM	-	Finite Element Method
USB	-	Universal Serial Bus
MOS	-	Malaysian Osteoporosis Society
PCF	-	Pound per cubic foot

LIST OF SYMBOLS

f	-	Frequency
D, d	-	Thickness
C	-	Phase velocity
β	-	Slope of attenuation
A	-	Amplitude
V	-	Voltage
dB	-	Decibel
s	-	Second
V_{pp}	-	Voltage peak-to-peak
Hz	-	Hertz
cm	-	Centimeter
g/cc	-	Gram per cubic centimetre
μ	-	Micro
π	-	Pi
ω	-	Angular frequency
\parallel	-	Parallel
\perp	-	Perpendicular
C_l	-	Longitudinal Velocity
C_s	-	Shear Velocity
σf	-	Bandwidth
I	-	Incident
R	-	Reflected
<i>fast</i>	-	Fast wave
<i>slow</i>	-	Slow wave
<i>mix</i>	-	Mix wave/Original wave/Unseparated wave

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Average Center Frequency	181
B	Average Parameters Value versus Thickness	182
C	Ultrasound Wave Parameter versus Porosity for Every Thickness	185

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Ultrasound systems are widely used and they can be found in many applications such as in engineering, medicine [1], biology, and other areas [2]. Generally, the ultrasound is a cyclic sound pressure with frequencies greater than 20 kHz, the limit of human hearing [3]. In medical diagnostic, ultrasound frequency used was ranging from 1 to 20 MHz [3]. However, for bone densitometry application, the frequency range for the ultrasound wave is usually around 0.2 to 2 MHz which is lower than the frequency used for conventional ultrasound imaging due to bone highly attenuated nature [4]. There are several modalities of the ultrasound medical application such as A-mode, B-mode, M-mode and Doppler imaging where each modality has its own specific uses [5]. Ultrasound technology was introduced for bone related purposes taking place since 1950 where the ultrasound application was used to monitor fracture healing at the tibia (shin bone) [6]. Ultrasound wave also can be used to determine the geometrical outcome of double co-planar edge cracks on the stress intensity factor in the human femur bone. The results of these findings can be used to suggest appropriate implants to minimize the effects of stress at the bone, thereby speeding up the time taken by the bone to recover from the fracture [7].

Based on the attenuation and velocity, ultrasound parameters were analyzed to assess the quality of bone [8]. The velocity of ultrasound corresponds to density and elasticity of the bone whereas attenuation corresponds to the structure of the bone [8]. From the clinical aspect, the ultrasound possesses invaluable interest due to widely available, low cost, non-ionizing radiation, portable, short examination time, and capability of real-time image display compared to other modalities such as X-ray

based bone densitometry [4]. There are three types of ultrasound measurement techniques, such as through transmission technique (TT), axial transmission (AT) technique and pulse-echo technique (PE). The TT measurement technique was one of the earliest and most common techniques to estimate bone quality. This is due to the technique being easy to implement and analyze since the propagation of wave was straightforward, where one transducer transmitted the wave that passed through the samples and received to the other transducer that act as receivers [4]. Meanwhile, the AT measurement technique usually used to investigate long or cortical bones and the ultrasound probe commonly used was scanner type, where there have several transmitters and receivers in the single probe [4, 9, 10]. For the PE measurement technique, only one transducer used and acted as both transmitter and receiver [4].

Osteoporosis disease is generally characterized by a systemic impairment of bone mass, strength, and microarchitecture, which increases the propensity of fragility fractures. Osteoporosis was also known as a silent disease because the disease is often detected when the fracture has occurred [8, 11]. The cause for the diseases to occur was usually due to low Vitamin D intake (important for bone health), lack of calcium and food fortification consumed [11]. Additionally, there is also lack of sun exposure because of the tendency to remain indoors during the day due to the hot and humid climate as well as the increase in urban living. This problem was happen globally including in Malaysia [11]. Thus, bone quality checks regularly can be one method of disease prevention for osteoporosis before its get worse.

Current measurement techniques available are based on X-ray and ultrasound. However, both techniques have pros and cons in measuring the quality of the bone. For the X-ray based densitometry, Dual X-Ray Absorptiometry (DXA) and Quantitative Computed Tomography (QCT) can estimate bone quality and predict bone fracture more precisely [12]. Even so, both techniques mentioned above involve ionization of radiation wherein could be harmful to the patient's body if it is exposed many times [8]. Moreover, most of the equipment is non-portable and requires a high cost to operate and for maintenance purposes. Because of that, another alternative method is introduced namely Quantitative Ultrasound (QUS). QUS method is much

safer, cheaper, and portable. However, DXA is still an option for most hospitals to evaluate bone despite QUS measurement has been proven to predict hip fractures and all osteoporotic fractures with similar relative risk as other central X-ray based bone density [13]. This is due to QUS only analyzing overall ultrasound waves which cannot provide enough information regarding bone microstructural [13, 14]. Hence, researchers still find the best solution to improve the accuracy of bone density estimation based on ultrasound technology.

The combination of solid and fluid (pore part) of the cancellous bone was found to be supporting two types of wave that corresponds to each solid and fluid in the porous structure of the bone [15]. The two types of wave are known as the fast wave and slow waves. The previous study shows the microstructure and other properties of the cancellous bone correlate more with the fast and slow waves [16-22] and by analyzing ultrasound the fast and slow waves might able to improve the bone quality estimation based on ultrasound. Even so, the observation of fast and slow wave in time domain is affected by the degree of anisotropy of the cancellous bone and these waves can overlapped with each other [15]. Bandlimited deconvolution is created as one of the methods to separate fast and slow waves [23, 24]. This method successfully separates fast and slow waves at least in the TT measurement technique. The discovery of fast and slow wave might useful to increase the precision of bone health using ultrasound.

1.2 Motivation of the Study

The increased the number of old people also one of the reasons to find a new solution regarding bone quality estimation. At the local level, the population of elderly in Malaysia have increased proportionally to the technology advancement and health standard [25]. In 2050, the Malaysian population aged over 50 years projected to increase by 163% in the next 4 decades, rising from 5.3 million in 2013 to 13.9 million [25]. Life expectancy will also rise from 74 years to 81 years and approximately one-third of the total population are those aged over 50 years by 2050 [25].

Large-scale epidemiological fracture studies need to be funded and conducted as there is still a lack of data on fractures due to osteoporosis in Malaysia and hip fracture incidence data in the year of 1996 and 1997 are the most reliable at the moment [25]. New data collection on hip fractures has been conducted by the Malaysian Osteoporosis Society (MOS) for the year of 2012 and will compare it with data in the year of 1996 and 1997. As many as 90 per 100,000 individuals per year suffered hip fracture in the year of 1996 to 1997 that occurred to those over the age of 50. From this report [26], the increase in the elderly population might contributed to the increase in such cases.

In terms of ethnic breakdown, the highest cases of hip fractures occur in the population of Chinese women about 44.8% of the overall case against the Malay and Indian women [25]. The total cost of hospital treatment for hip fracture patients in 1997 is estimated at 6.8 million USD (RM 22 million) and of that amount, the cost of rehabilitation or treatment in a nursing home is not included [25]. The number and cost of hip fractures are expected to increase in line with the increasing population of the elderly [27]. Hence, action is required to tackle the projected burden of osteoporosis as indicates the rising of the elderly population.

1.3 Problem Statement

Emerging of the ultrasound fast and slow waves propagate through cancellous bone shows a very good agreement with bone microstructural, thereby, increasing precision of bone estimation based on QUS. Only Through-transmission (TT) measurement technique successfully applies the concept of two modes ultrasound waves at the wrist for the current two modes ultrasound wave machine [13, 14]. Still, the TT measurement technique is limited to certain parts of the skeletal area whereas fracture risk caused by Osteoporosis disease often occurs not only at the wrist but also occurs at the hip and spine which can increase mortality rate [13, 14].

However, another measurement technique namely Pulse-echo (PE) technique is proposed to solve these problems as the technique only uses one transducer and is capable of measuring at the vital skeletal site. Yet, the accuracy of PE technique is still not powerful compared to TT technique because of the complex behaviour of reflected and backscattered wave relation with inhomogeneity of cancellous bone. Because of that, Hosokowa *et al.* [16, 28-30] demonstrated Finite Difference Time Domain (FDTD) simulation to show that fast and slow waves can be reflected and backscattered too, hence, considering fast and slow wave might improve the PE technique for bone quality estimation. Thus, the PE measurement technique that applies the concept of two modes ultrasound wave is proposed to evaluate bone density with high precision and not limited to certain skeletal sites such as the spine.

Therefore, this research will look into the research of ultrasound PE measurement technique utilizing both simulation and experiment to examine the correlation of fast and slow waves parameters with various porosities of bone models and phantoms. The consistency of the trends and performance of the correlation coefficient for various thicknesses of the bone models and phantoms will also be observed. The recorded reflected wave (original wave or mix wave) will be processed by using the bandlimited deconvolution method in order to decompose into the individual reflected fast and slow waves. Then, the result of the correlation coefficient between the ultrasound parameters of the mix, fast and slow waves will be compared. Previously, there are no attempts by other researchers to decompose the reflected waves obtained from PE technique into individual reflected fast and slow waves using the bandlimited deconvolution method. Hence, the overall result of PE technique will be compared to the TT technique since this technique has been done many times to analyze fast and slow waves.

1.4 Hypothesis

The hypotheses of the study are as follows:

1. When porosity of the cancellous bone increases, slow wave amplitude increase, while fast wave amplitude decreases.
2. Attenuation of the fast wave is directly proportional, while attenuation of slow wave is inversely proportional to the increases of cancellous bone porosity.
3. Reflected fast and slow wave parameters were found to correlate more with the bone microstructure of the bone models compared to the reflected mix wave.

1.5 Research Questions

The research questions of the study are as follows:

1. Is the reflected fast and slow waves obtained from PE measurement technique can be decomposed from mix wave using the bandlimited deconvolution method just like the incident fast and slow wave obtained from TT measurement technique?
2. Is the behaviour of incident fast and slow wave parameters using TT measurement technique is the same as the reflected fast and slow wave parameters using PE measurement technique?
3. What are the ultrasound wave parameters that related most to changes in porosity of the cancellous bone structure?

1.6 Objective (s) of the Study

This study embarks on the following objectives:

1. To prove that the reflected fast and slow waves obtained from pulse-echo technique can be decomposed from mix wave using a bandlimited deconvolution method for both simulation and experiment.
2. To formulate the correlation of the reflected fast and slow wave's parameters with various porosity level and thicknesses of the cancellous bone models
3. To compare the behaviour and performance of parameters of the fast and slow waves for both PE and TT measurement technique in simulation which is based on the Elastodynamic Finite Integration Technique (EFIT) and experiment, where both are based on QUS measurement method.

1.7 Scope of the Study

The scopes of the study are divided according to the objectives described in section 1.6. They are as follows:

1. To prove that the reflected fast and slow waves obtained from pulse-echo technique can be decomposed from mix wave using a bandlimited deconvolution method for both simulation and experiment.
 - (a) The bandlimited deconvolution method will be used to distinguish overlapping incidents and reflected fast and slow waves.
 - (b) Two types of materials will be involved in the simulation, which are bone and PU materials in order to compare with the experiment that uses bone phantom (PU foam).
2. To formulate the correlation of the reflected fast and slow wave's parameters with various porosity level and thicknesses of the cancellous bone models.
 - (a) The ultrasound parameters will be computed to the incident and reflected unseparated wave (mix), fast and slow waves.

- (b) The parameters computation will be done to several thicknesses of bone models/phantom.
3. To compare the behaviour and performance of parameters of the fast and slow waves for both PE and TT measurement technique in simulation which is based on the Elastodynamic Finite Integration Technique (EFIT) and experiment, where both are based on QUS measurement method.
- (a) The ultrasound parameters will be plotted against porosity for several thicknesses and compare in term of their correlation coefficient for both simulation and experiment.
 - (b) The comparison will be done between unseparated (mix), fast and slow waves for TT and PE measurement technique in order to determine which wave has a higher correlation with porosity and is consistent for every thickness.

1.8 Significance of the Study

The significances of the study are as follows:

1. The separation and estimation of reflected fast and slow wave can provide an additional option to improve the current PE measurement technique by considering the two modes wave in their measurement to estimate bone quality.
2. The finding of the study can be useful to other researchers as an example to investigate the correlation of the parameters of reflected fast and slow waves using bandlimited deconvolution method, since there are no attempts previously made to obtain and analyze the reflected fast and slow waves in real experiments.

3. Despite the bone phantom was not a real human bone, but, the microstructure of the PU foam made by Sawbones[®] have biomechanical properties similar to the real human bone and this PU foam was used as a standard material for testing orthopaedic devices and instruments.
4. This study is relevant in bone estimation based on ultrasound wave research, especially for understanding the behaviour of both incidents and reflected fast and slow waves towards various porosities and thicknesses of the porous structure.

1.9 Thesis Outline

The thesis outline consists of an introduction, literature review, proposed method, methodology, result and discussion as well as the conclusion.

Chapter 1. Introduction

This chapter comprised the background, motivation, problem statement, hypothesis, research question, objective and scope as well as the significance of the study.

Chapter 2. Literature review and theoretical background

The literature review cover the information and theory related to the study such as the structure of human cancellous bone, related disease as well as bone losses. Moreover, this chapter also studies the current method to estimate bone quality such as X-ray based measurement. In addition, the current method to estimate bone quality based on ultrasound is also studied. Last but not least, regarding the application of fast and slow wave for the bone quality estimation, as well as previous works, also be studied.

Chapter 3. Proposed method – fast and slow wave

In this chapter, the study was focused on the theory of the fast and slow wave that applied in the mathematical model of the propagation of ultrasound through the porous structure. Moreover, the proposed method that was implemented based on the mathematical models also presented, including the overall picture of the method of the study that were conducted.

Chapter 4. Methodology

The methodology chapter discuss the process of the study, especially in terms of data collection and analysis. The measurement technique of both TT and PE as well as the information about the simulation and experiment was also shown and discussed such as the simulation software, bone models (simulation), bone phantom (experiment), experimental setup, a method to separate fast and slow waves and ultrasound parameter calculation.

Chapter 5. Result and discussion

This chapter discuss the result obtained from the simulation and experiment for both incidents and reflected waves. The results also cover the two types of material, such as bone and PU material. The simulation results based on PU material were compared with the results of the experiments, which also use PU foam. The parameters were calculated and then plotted against porosity for every thickness in order to study the correlation between them. Then, the result was compared between the TT and PE measurement technique for mix and two modes wave to determine which one of these waves are correlated more with various porosities and thicknesses. Last but not least, the result obtained were be verified with previous works.

Chapter 6. Conclusion

The conclusion chapter cover the finding of the overall study, limitation and recommendation as well as the future improvement that can be done in order to pursue the research to the next level.

REFERENCE

- [1] Chijindu V, Udeze C, Ahaneku M, Anoliefo E. Detection of Prostate Cancer Using Radial/Axial Scanning of 2D Trans-rectal Ultrasound Images. *Bulletin of Electrical Engineering and Informatics*. 2018. 7(2): 222-9.
- [2] Toufik Merdjana AC. Study and simulation with VHDL-AMS of the electrical impedance of a piezoelectric ultrasonic transducer. *International Journal of Power Electronics and Drive System (IJPEDS)*. 2019. 10(2): 1064-71.
- [3] Lele PP. Application of ultrasound in medicine. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1972. 286(24): 1317-1318.
- [4] Laugier P. Quantitative ultrasound instrumentation for bone in vivo characterization. *Bone Quantitative Ultrasound*: Springer. 47-71. 2011.
- [5] Hodgskinson R, Njeh CF, Currey JD, Langton CM. The ability of ultrasound velocity to predict the stiffness of cancellous bone in vitro. *Bone*. 1997. 21(2): 183-90.
- [6] Siegel IM, Anast GT, Fields T. The determination of fracture healing by measurement of sound velocity across the fracture site. *Surgery, Gynecology & Obstetrics*. 1958. 107(3): 327-32.
- [7] Zain M, Noor A, Daud R, Muhamad WZ, Basaruddin KS, Bajuri Y, Halim A, Aisyah S, Mansor NN. Variation of stress intensity factor and crack distance length for double edge crack in human femur bone. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*. 2015. 695: 580-583.
- [8] Njeh C, Boivin C, Langton C. The role of ultrasound in the assessment of osteoporosis: a review. *Osteoporosis International*. 1997. 7(1): 7-22.
- [9] Hassan MKA, Nagamune K, Kakutani K, Maeno K, Nishida K, Kurosaka M, Wavelet decomposition processing method: Cortical bone thickness measurement using ultrasound sensor. *IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (SMC)*. 2014. 3941-3945.
- [10] Vallet Q, Minonzio J-G, Chappard C, Talmant M, Laugier P. In vivo measurements of guided waves at the forearm. *IEEE International Ultrasonics Symposium*. 2014. 931-934.

- [11] Rachner TD, Khosla S, Hofbauer LC. *Osteoporosis: now and the future*. Lancet. 2011. 377(9773): 1276-87.
- [12] Samir M, Anburajan M. A Prototype of Ultrasound Forearm Bone Densitometer in Validation with pDXA Bone Densitometer. *Fifth International Conference on Communication Systems and Network Technologies*. 2015. 478-82.
- [13] Laugier, P and Haïat, G. *Bone quantitative ultrasound*: Springer. 2011.
- [14] Kilappa V. *Ultrasound measurements in bone using an array transducer*. Research report/Department of Physics, University of Jyväskylä. 2014.
- [15] Hosokawa A, Nagatani Y, Matsukawa M. The Fast and Slow Wave Propagation in Cancellous Bone: Experiments and Simulations. *Bone Quantitative Ultrasound*: Springer. 291-318. 2011.
- [16] Hosokawa A. Numerical Analysis of Ultrasound Backscattered Waves in Cancellous Bone Using a Finite-Difference Time-Domain Method: Isolation of the Backscattered Waves From Various Ranges of Bone Depths. *IEEE transactions on ultrasonics, ferroelectrics, and frequency control*. 2015. 62(6): 1201-10.
- [17] Nagatani Y, Nguyen V-H, Naili S, Haïat G. The effect of viscoelastic absorption on the fast and slow wave modes in cancellous bone. *6th European Symposium on Ultrasonic Characterization of Bone (ESUCB)*. 2015. 1-2.
- [18] Kawasaki S, Ueda R, Hasegawa A, Fujita A, Mihata T, Matsukawa M, Neo M. Ultrasonic wave properties of human bone marrow in the femur and tibia. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*. 2015. 138(1): EL83-7.
- [19] Otani T. Quantitative estimation of bone density and bone quality using acoustic parameters of cancellous bone for fast and slow waves. *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics*. 2005. 44(6S): 4578.
- [20] Cardoso L, Teboul F, Sedel L, Oddou C, Meunier A. In vitro acoustic waves propagation in human and bovine cancellous bone. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research: the official journal of the American Society for Bone and Mineral Research*. 2003. 18(10): 1803-12.
- [21] Nelson AM, Hoffman JJ, Holland MR, Miller JG. Single mode analysis appears to overestimate the attenuation of human calcaneal bone based on

- Bayesian-derived fast and slow wave mode analysis. *IEEE International Ultrasonics Symposium*. 2012. 1015-1018.
- [22] Nagatani Y, Mizuno K, Matsukawa M. Two-wave behaviour under various conditions of transition area from cancellous bone to cortical bone. *Ultrasonics*. 2014. 54(5): 1245-50.
- [23] Wear KA. Time-domain separation of interfering waves in cancellous bone using bandlimited deconvolution: Simulation and phantom study. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*. 2014. 135(4): 2102-12.
- [24] Wear KA, Nagatani Y, Mizuno K, Matsukawa M. Fast and slow wave detection in bovine cancellous bone in vitro using bandlimited deconvolution and Prony's method. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*. 2014. 136(4): 2015-24.
- [25] 2013 Asia Pacific Audit Malaysia: *International Osteoporosis Foundation*; 2013 [cited 2019 March 07, 2019]. Available from: [https://www.iofbonehealth.org/sites/default/files/media/PDFs/Regional%20Audits/2013-Asia Pacific Audit-Malaysia_0_0.pdf](https://www.iofbonehealth.org/sites/default/files/media/PDFs/Regional%20Audits/2013-Asia%20Pacific%20Audit-Malaysia_0_0.pdf).
- [26] Lee JK, Khir AS. The incidence of hip fracture in Malaysians above 50 years of age: variation in different ethnic groups. *APLAR Journal of Rheumatology*. 2007. 10(4): 300-5.
- [27] Yeap SS, Hew FL, Lee JK, Goh EM, Chee W, Mumtaz M, Damodaran P, Lim HH, Chan SP. The Malaysian Clinical Guidance on the management of postmenopausal osteoporosis, 2012: a summary. *International Journal of Rheumatic Diseases*. 2013. 16(1): 30-40.
- [28] Hosokawa A. Numerical analysis of fast and slow waves backscattered from various depths in cancellous bone. *Ultrasonics Symposium (IUS), IEEE International*. 2015. 1-4.
- [29] Hosokawa A. Variations in reflection properties of fast and slow longitudinal waves in cancellous bone with boundary condition. *Ultrasonics Symposium (IUS), IEEE International*. 2013. 2076-2079.
- [30] Hosokawa A. Numerical investigation of reflection properties of fast and slow longitudinal waves in cancellous bone: Variations with boundary medium. *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics*. 2014. 53(7S): 07KF13.

- [31] Meziere F, Muller M, Bossy E, Derode A. Measurements of ultrasound velocity and attenuation in numerical anisotropic porous media compared to Biot's and multiple scattering models. *Ultrasonics*. 2014. 54(5): 1146-54.
- [32] Zhang C, Le LH, Zheng R, Ta D, Lou E. Measurements of ultrasonic phase velocities and attenuation of slow waves in cellular aluminium foams as cancellous bone-mimicking phantoms. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*. 2011. 129(5): 3317-26.
- [33] Grimes M, Bouhadjera A, Haddad S, Benkedidah T. In vitro estimation of fast and slow wave parameters of thin trabecular bone using space-alternating generalized expectation-maximization algorithm. *Ultrasonics*. 2012. 52(5): 614-21.
- [34] Potsika VT, Grivas KN, Gortsas T, Protopappas VC, Polyzos DK, Raum K, Fotiadis DI. Ultrasound propagation in cortical bone: Axial transmission and backscattering simulations. *37th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC)*. 2015. 1456-1459.
- [35] Tatarinov A, Egorov V, Sarvazyan N, Sarvazyan A. Multi-frequency axial transmission bone ultrasonometer. *Ultrasonics*. 2014. 54(5): 1162-9.
- [36] Karjalainen J, Riekkinen O, Kröger H. Pulse-echo ultrasound method for detection of post-menopausal women with osteoporotic BMD. *Osteoporosis International*. 2018. 29(5): 1-7.
- [37] Padilla F, Jenson F, Bousson V, Peyrin F, Laugier P. Relationships of trabecular bone structure with quantitative ultrasound parameters: in vitro study on human proximal femur using transmission and backscatter measurements. *Bone*. 2008. 42(6): 1193-202.
- [38] Nelson AM, Hoffman JJ, Anderson CC, Holland MR, Nagatani Y, Mizuno K, Matsukawa M, Miller JG. Determining attenuation properties of interfering fast and slow ultrasonic waves in cancellous bone. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*. 2011. 130(4): 2233-40.
- [39] Langton CM, Ali AV, Riggs CM, Evans GP, Bonfield W. A contact method for the assessment of ultrasonic velocity and broadband attenuation in cortical and cancellous bone. *Clinical physics and Physiological Measurement : an official journal of the Hospital Physicists' Association, Deutsche Gesellschaft*

- fur Medizinische Physik and the European Federation of Organisations for Medical Physics*. 1990. 11(3): 243-9.
- [40] Karjalainen JP, Riekkinen O, Töyräs J, Jurvelin JS. Linear acoustics of trabecular bone. *Bone quantitative ultrasound*: Springer. 265-89. 2011.
- [41] De Marco T, Peccarisi M, Conversano F, Greco A, Chiozzi S, De Pascalis F, Casciaro S. A new approach for measuring the trabecular bone density through the echosound backscattering: An ex vivo validation on human femoral heads. *Measurement*. 2016. 87: 51-61.
- [42] Hosokawa A. Finite-difference time-domain analysis of ultrasound backscattering in cancellous bone. *Ultrasonics Symposium (IUS), IEEE International*. 2014. 1328-1331.
- [43] Sadouki M, Fellah M, Fellah Z, Ogam E, Depollier C. Ultrasonic propagation of reflected waves in cancellous bone: Application of Biot theory. *6th European Symposium on Ultrasonic Characterization of Bone (ESUCB)*. 2015. 1-4.
- [44] Zheng Y. *Cancellous Bone Density Evaluation using Ultrasound Backscatter from an Imaging System: Exploring the Possibility for Fetal Bone Density Evaluation*. Master's thesis. University of Sydney; 2017.
- [45] Tang T, Liu C, Xu F, Ta D. Correlation between the combination of apparent integrated backscatter–spectral centroid shift and bone mineral density. *Journal of Medical Ultrasonics*. 2016. 43(2): 167-73.
- [46] Liu C, Xu F, Ta D, Tang T, Jiang Y, Dong J, Wang WP, Liu X, Wang Y, Wang WQ. Measurement of the human calcaneus in vivo using ultrasonic backscatter spectral centroid shift. *Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine*. 2016. 35(10): 2197-208.
- [47] Xu Y, Xu Y, Ding Z, Chen Y, Su B, Ma Z, Sun YN. Correlation between ultrasonic power spectrum and bone density on the heel. *Ultrasonics*. 2017. 73: 77-81.
- [48] Eneh C, Malo M, Karjalainen J, Liukkonen J, Töyräs J, Jurvelin J. Effect of porosity, tissue density, and mechanical properties on radial sound speed in human cortical bone. *Medical physics*. 2016. 43(5): 2030-9.
- [49] Schousboe J, Riekkinen O, Karjalainen J. Prediction of hip osteoporosis by DXA using a novel pulse-echo ultrasound device. *Osteoporosis International*. 2017. 28(1). 85-93.

- [50] Schousboe JT, Riekkinen O, Karjalainen J. Fracture Discrimination Using a Novel Pulse-Echo Ultrasound Device. *Journal of Clinical Densitometry*. 2016. 19(4): 532-3.
- [51] Soini E, Riekkinen O, Kröger H, Mankinen P, Hallinen T, Karjalainen JP. Cost-effectiveness of pulse-echo ultrasonometry in osteoporosis management. *ClinicoEconomics and outcomes research: CEOR*. 2018. 10: 279.
- [52] Wear KA and Garra BS. Assessment of bone density using ultrasonic backscatter. *Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology*. 1998. 24(5): 689-95.
- [53] Wille M-L and Langton CM. Solid volume fraction estimation of bone: marrow replica models using ultrasound transit time spectroscopy. *Ultrasonics*. 2016. 65: 329-37.
- [54] Nagatani Y, Hachiken T, Mano I, Matsukawa M. Simulation of Ultrasound Inside Human Radius-Mimicking Model. *International Conference on the Development of Biomedical Engineering in Vietnam*; Springer. 2017. 205-208.
- [55] Taki H, Nagatani Y, Matsukawa M, Kanai H, Izumi S-I. Fast decomposition of two ultrasound longitudinal waves in cancellous bone using a phase rotation parameter for bone quality assessment: Simulation study. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*. 2017. 142(4): 2322-31.
- [56] Taki H, Nagatani Y, Matsukawa M, Mizuno K, Sato T. Fast characterization of two ultrasound longitudinal waves in cancellous bone using an adaptive beamforming technique. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*. 2015. 137(4): 1683-92.
- [57] Yoon YJ. The effect of charge density on the velocity and attenuation of ultrasound waves in human cancellous bone. *Journal of Biomechanics*. 2018. 79: 54-7.
- [58] Hosokawa A and Otani T. Ultrasonic wave propagation in bovine cancellous bone. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*. 1997. 101(1): 558-62.
- [59] Mézière F, Juskova P, Woittequand J, Muller M, Bossy E, Boistel R, Malaquin L, Derode A. Experimental observation of ultrasound fast and slow waves through three-dimensional printed trabecular bone phantoms. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*. 2016. 139(2): E113-E18.

- [60] Groopman AM, Katz JI, Holland MR, Fujita F, Matsukawa M, Mizuno K, Wear KA, Miller JG. Conventional, Bayesian, and Modified Prony's methods for characterizing fast and slow waves in equine cancellous bone. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*. 2015. 138(2): 594-604.
- [61] Lee KI. Relationships of linear and nonlinear ultrasound parameters with porosity and trabecular spacing in trabecular-bone-mimicking phantoms. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*. 2016. 140(6): EL528-EL33.
- [62] Hosokawa A. Numerical investigation of reflections of fast and slow longitudinal waves at a boundary between cancellous bone and cortical bone. *IEEE International Ultrasonics Symposium*. 2012. 2591-2594.
- [63] Hoffman JJ, Nelson AM, Holland MR, Miller JG. Cancellous bone fast and slow waves obtained with Bayesian probability theory correlate with porosity from computed tomography. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*. 2012. 132(3): 1830-7.
- [64] Anderson CC, Bauer AQ, Marutyan KR, Holland MR, Pakula M, Bretthorst GL, Laugier P, Miller JG. Phase velocity of cancellous bone: Negative dispersion arising from fast and slow waves, interference, diffraction, and phase cancellation at piezoelectric receiving elements. *Bone Quantitative Ultrasound*: Springer. 319-30; 2011.
- [65] Bauer AQ, Marutyan KR, Holland MR, Miller JG. Negative dispersion in bone: the role of interference in measurements of the apparent phase velocity of two temporally overlapping signals. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*. 2008. 123(4): 2407-14.
- [66] Yamashita K, Mizuno K, Matsukawa M. Two wave propagation image for investigating the anisotropic structure of cancellous bone. *IEEE International Ultrasonics Symposium*. 2011. 1040-1043.
- [67] Hosokawa A. Ultrasonic pulse waves in cancellous bone analyzed by finite-difference time-domain methods. *Ultrasonics*. 2006. 44 Suppl 1: e227-31.
- [68] Nguyen VH, Naili S, Sansalone V. Simulation of ultrasonic wave propagation in anisotropic cancellous bone immersed in fluid. *Wave Motion*. 2010. 47(2): 117-29.
- [69] Hosokawa A. Numerical analysis of variability in ultrasound propagation properties induced by trabecular microstructure in cancellous bone. *IEEE*

- transactions on ultrasonics, ferroelectrics, and frequency control*. 2009. 56(4): 738-47.
- [70] Hosokawa A. Effect of Porosity Distribution in the Propagation Direction on Ultrasound Waves Through Cancellous Bone. *IEEE transactions on ultrasonics, ferroelectrics, and frequency control*. 2010. 57(6): 1320-8.
- [71] S Chaffai FP, G Berger, P Laugier. Variation of Human Cancellous Bone Ultrasonic Properties with Density and Micro-Structure. *IEEE Ultrasonics Symposium (IUS)*. 1999. 1303-1306.
- [72] Chaffai S, Peyrin F, Nuzzo S, Porcher R, Berger G, Laugier P. Ultrasonic characterization of human cancellous bone using transmission and backscatter measurements: relationships to density and microstructure. *Bone*. 2002. 30(1): 229-37.
- [73] Hoffmeister BK, Whitten SA, Rho JY. Low-megahertz ultrasonic properties of bovine cancellous bone. *Bone*. 2000. 26(6): 635-42.
- [74] Hoffmeister BK, Wilson AR, Gilbert MJ, Sellers ME. A backscatter difference technique for ultrasonic bone assessment. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*. 2012. 132(6): 4069-76.
- [75] Malo MKH, Toyras J, Karjalainen JP, Isaksson H, Riekkinen O, Jurvelin JS. Ultrasound backscatter measurements of intact human proximal femurs- Relationships of ultrasound parameters with tissue structure and mineral density. *Bone*. 2014. 64: 240-5.
- [76] Nagatani Y, Guipieri S, Nguyen VH, Chappard C, Geiger D, Naili S, Haïat G. Three-dimensional Simulation of Quantitative Ultrasound in Cancellous Bone using the Echographic Response of a Metallic Pin. *Ultrasonic imaging*. 2017. 39(5): 295-312.
- [77] Marutyan KR, Bretthorst GL, Miller JG. Bayesian estimation of the underlying bone properties from mixed fast and slow mode ultrasonic signals. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*. 2007. 121(1): EL8-EL15.
- [78] Anderson CC, Bauer AQ, Holland MR, Pakula M, Laugier P, Bretthorst GL, Miller JG. Inverse problems in cancellous bone: Estimation of the ultrasonic properties of fast and slow waves using Bayesian probability theory. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*. 2010. 128(5): 2940-8.

- [79] Marutyan KR, Holland MR, Miller JG. Anomalous negative dispersion in bone can result from the interference of fast and slow waves. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*. 2006. 120(5): EL55-EL61.
- [80] Lashkari B, Manbachi A, Mandelis A, Cobbold RS. Slow and fast ultrasonic wave detection improvement in human trabecular bones using Golay code modulation. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*. 2012. 132(3): EL222-8.
- [81] Hosokawa A. Ultrasonic pulse waves propagating through cancellous bone phantoms with aligned pore spaces. *Japanese journal of applied physics*. 2006. 45(5S): 4697.
- [82] Gilbert RP, Guyenne P, Li J. Numerical investigation of ultrasonic attenuation through 2D trabecular bone structures reconstructed from CT scans and random realizations. *Computers in biology and medicine*. 2014. 45: 143-56.
- [83] Nagatani Y, Mizuno K, Saeki T, Matsukawa M, Sakaguchi T, Hosoi H. Numerical and experimental study on the wave attenuation in bone—FDTD simulation of ultrasound propagation in cancellous bone. *Ultrasonics*. 2008. 48(6): 607-12.
- [84] Worldwide S. *Biomechanical Test Materials Catalogue*. Vashon, WA.
- [85] Shim V, Boheme J, Josten C, Anderson I. Use of polyurethane foam in orthopaedic biomechanical experimentation and simulation. *Polyurethane: InTech*; 2012. 171-200.
- [86] Molero-Armenta M, Iturrarán-Viveros U, Aparicio S, Hernández M. Optimized OpenCL implementation of the elastodynamic finite integration technique for viscoelastic media. *Computer Physics Communications*. 2014. 185(10): 2683-96.
- [87] Salim M, Ahmmad MI, Rosidi SN, Ariffin B, Ahmad I, Supriyanto AH. E. Measurements of Ultrasound Attenuation for normal and pathological mice breast tissue Using 10MHz Ultrasound Wave. *Proceeding of The 3rd WSEAS International Conference on Visualization, Imaging and Simulation*. 2010. 118-122.
- [88] Litniewski J. Determination of the elasticity coefficient for a single trabecula of a cancellous bone: Scanning Acoustic Microscopy approach. *Ultrasound in medicine & biology*. 2005. 31(10): 1361-6.

- [89] Wear KA. Ultrasonic scattering from cancellous bone: a review. *IEEE transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control*. 2008. 55(7): 1432-41.
- [90] Wahab MAA, Sudirman R, Razak MAA, Khalid PI, Study of Correlation Between Two Modes Backscattered Waves with Bone Porosity Using 2D Simulation. *2nd International Conference on BioSignal Analysis, Processing and Systems (ICBAPS)*. 2018. 154-159.
- [91] Gilbert RP, Guyenne P, Li J. A viscoelastic model for random ultrasound propagation in cancellous bone. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*. 2013. 66(6): 943-64.
- [92] Abderrazek B and Tarek B. Ultrasonic non-destructive characterization of trabecular bone: Experimental and theoretical prediction of the ultrasonic attenuation. *Control, Engineering & Information Technology (CEIT), 3rd International Conference*. 2015: 1-6.
- [93] Pakula M. On the modeling of wave propagation in cancellous bone. *IEEE Ultrasonic Characterization of Bone (ESUCB), 6th European Symposium*; 2015. 1-4.
- [94] Zheng R, Le LH, Sacchi MD, Lou E. Broadband ultrasound attenuation measurement of long bone using peak frequency of the echoes. *IEEE transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control*. 2009. 56(2): 396-9.
- [95] Mohamed MM, Shaat LT, Mahmoud AN. Propagation of ultrasonic waves through demineralized cancellous bone. *IEEE transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control*. 2003. 50(3): 279-88.
- [96] Wear KA, Laib A, Stuber AP, Reynolds JC. Comparison of measurements of phase velocity in human calcaneus to Biot theory. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*. 2005. 117(5): 3319-24.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Indexed Journal

1. Wahab, M. A., Sudirman, R., & Razak, M. A. (2017) 'Simulation Study Correlation of Ultrasound Wave with Two Orientation of Cancellous Bone', *Journal of Telecommunication, Electronic and Computer Engineering (JTEC)*, 9(3-9), 65-69. **(Indexed by SCOPUS)**
2. Wahab, M. A., Sudirman, R., Razak, M. A., Harun, F. K. C., Kadir, N. A. A., & Mahmood, N. H. (2020) 'Incident and Reflected Two Mode Waves Correlation with Cancellous Bone Structure', *Telkomnika*, 18(4), (in press). <http://dx.doi.org/10.12928/telkomnika.v18i4.14828>. **(Indexed by SCOPUS)**
3. Wahab, M. A., Sudirman, R., & Razak, M. A. (2020) 'Comparison Between Incident and Reflected of Two Modes Waves Correlation with Various Porosities and Thicknesses of Bone Phantom', *Malaysian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Sciences (MJFAS)* (in press). **(Indexed by ISI)**

Non-indexed Journal

1. Wahab, M. A., Sudirman, R., & Omar, C. (2017) 'A Review on Quantitative Ultrasound of fast and slow waves', *Journal of Advanced Review on Scientific Research*, 33(1), 13-29.

Indexed Conference Proceedings

1. Wahab, M. A. A., Sudirman, R., Omar, C., & Ariffin, I. (2016) Design of an A-Mode Ultrasound Amplifier for bone porosity detection, *IEEE 2016*

International Symposium on Electronics and Smart Devices (ISESD) (pp. 79-84). **(Index by SCOPUS)**

2. Abd-Wahab, M. A., Sudirman, R., & Razak, M. A. A. (2018) Reflection of backscattered fast and slow waves on bone porosity using 2D simulation, *IEEE 9th International Conference on Information and Communication Systems (ICICS)* (pp. 43-47). **(Index by SCOPUS)**

3. Wahab, M. A. A., Sudirman, R., Razak, M. A. A., & Khalid, P. I. (2018) Study of Correlation between Two Modes Backscattered Waves with Bone Porosity using 2D Simulation, *IEEE 2nd International Conference on BioSignal Analysis, Processing and Systems (ICBAPS)* (pp. 154-159). **(Index by SCOPUS)**

4. Wahab, M. A. A., Sudirman, R., Razak, M. A. A., Harun, F. K. C., & Kadir, N. A. A. (2019) Comparison of Fast and Slow Wave Correlation with Various Porosities between Two Measurement Technique, *IEEE Student Conference on Research and Development (SCOREd)* (pp. 39-44). **(Index by SCOPUS)**