

STRUCTURE AND ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY OF POLYMER BASED
GRAPHENE-CARBON NANOTUBES COMPOSITE

NUR FARHANA HASMUNI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Philosophy

School of Mechanical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

NOVEMBER 2019

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my parents for the blessing and doa’.

It is also dedicated to my family and friends.

I would not have made it this far without your love, support, and guidance.

May this knowledge be useful to others.

May Allah grant you Jannah.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

During preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many researchers, academicians, practitioners and people. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation, respect and deepest gratitude to my main supervisor, Dr. Mohd Zamri Mohd Yusop, for encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship. Without their continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here. I am also very thankful to my co-supervisor Prof. Dr. Izman Sudin and Prof. Dr. Madzlan Aziz, for their guidance, advice and motivation. Their guidance and generosity with her time, knowledge, insights and wisdom have been invaluable to the completion of this thesis

I am also indebted to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for funding my study and approved my study leave. Pusat Pengurusan Makmal Universiti (PPMU) and their staff members also deserve special thanks and acknowledgement for their technical support along the journey to complete this research.

Last but not least, to my fellow postgraduate student should also be recognised for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance, friendship and every kind of support along the way at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful, indeed.

ABSTRACT

The enhancement of electrical conductivity of silicone rubber polymer has been reported when graphene and carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are added as separate carbon nanofillers into a polymer matrix. The concept of synergy through combining various types of carbon nanofillers is seen as one of the successful approaches to obtain desired properties of new materials. However, the electrical conductivity properties of graphene and CNTs combination as a single carbon nanofillers into polymer matrix are still unknown and has not been reported elsewhere. This study aims to synthesize polymer composites and compare their electrical conductivity and physical properties. The solution mixing technique was used to synthesize these polymer composites. The nanofillers' weight percentage (wt%) were varied, and the electrical conductivity test was performed using the Two-Point Probe system. Three sets of samples were prepared; (i) graphene-polymer composites, (ii) CNTs-polymer composites and (iii) graphene-CNTs-polymer composites. The highest electrical conductivity value recorded was 1.94×10^{-1} S/m when an applied voltage of 10 V was subjected to the 2 wt% of graphene mixed with 25 wt% of CNTs in the polymer matrix. The increment of electrical conductivity recorded by the Two-Point Probe system was supported by the field emission scanning electron microscope and high resolution transmission electron microscope structural images. The microstructural observation on the prepared conductive polymer composites samples indicated that graphene and CNTs were distributed homogeneously in the polymer matrix. The results also showed that graphene and CNTs created a conductive network in a polymer matrix, which greatly increased the electrical conductivity of polymer composites. Thermogravimetric analysis revealed that the thermal stability of graphene-CNTs-polymer composites was higher than the other prepared polymer composites and elastomer. At 400 °C, only 3.39% of weight loss for graphene-CNTs-polymer composites compared to elastomer where their weight loss was approximately 3.97%. This result shows that incorporation of graphene and CNTs in a polymer matrix enhanced the thermal properties of polymer composites.

ABSTRAK

Peningkatan keberaliran elektrik polimer getah silikon telah dilaporkan apabila grafin dan tiub nano karbon (CNTs) ditambah sebagai pengisi nano karbon secara berasingan di dalam matriks polimer. Konsep sinergi dengan menggabungkan pelbagai jenis pengisi karbon dilihat sebagai salah satu pendekatan yang berjaya untuk memperoleh sifat-sifat yang dikehendaki daripada bahan baharu. Walau bagaimanapun, sifat beraliran elektrik gabungan grafin dan CNTs sebagai pengisi nano karbon tunggal di dalam matriks polimer masih belum diketahui dan tidak dilaporkan dalam literatur. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mensintesis komposit polimer dan membandingkan sifat keberaliran elektrik dan sifat fizikalnya. Teknik pencampuran larutan telah digunakan untuk mensintesis komposit polimer. Peratusan berat (wt%) pengisi nano diubah dan ujian keberaliran elektrik dijalankan menggunakan sistem Kuar Dua-Titik. Tiga set sampel telah disediakan; (i) komposit polimer-grafin, (ii) komposit polimer-CNTs dan (iii) komposit polimer-grafin-CNTs. Nilai keberaliran elektrik tertinggi yang direkodkan ialah 1.94×10^{-1} S/m apabila voltan 10 V dikenakan kepada matriks polimer dengan campuran 2 wt% grafin dengan 25 wt% CNTs. Peningkatan keberaliran elektrik yang dicatatkan oleh sistem Kuar Dua-Titik telah disokong dengan imej struktur mikroskop imbasan elektron pancaran medan dan mikroskop penghantaran elektron beresolusi tinggi. Pemerhatian mikrostruktur pada sampel komposit polimer beraliran yang disediakan telah menunjukkan bahawa grafin dan CNTs adalah teragih secara seragam dalam matriks polimer. Hasil juga menunjukkan bahawa grafin dan CNTs telah mewujudkan rangkaian beraliran dalam matriks polimer yang mana meningkatkan sifat keberaliran elektrik komposit polimer tersebut. Analisis termogravimetrik telah mendedahkan bahawa kestabilan haba komposit polimer grafin-CNTs adalah lebih tinggi berbanding dengan komposit polimer lain dan elastomer. Pada suhu 400°C, komposit polimer-grafin-CNTs kehilangan berat hanya sebanyak 3.39% berbanding dengan elastomer yang mana kehilangan berat kira-kira 3.97%. Keputusan ini menunjukkan bahawa gabungan grafin dan CNTs di dalam matriks polimer telah meningkatkan sifat haba komposit polimer.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Research Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	4
	1.3 Research Objectives	6
	1.4 Research Scope	6
	1.5 Significance of This Study	7
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	9
	2.1 Introduction	9
	2.2 Nanofillers	10
	2.2.1 Graphene as Nanofillers	11
	2.2.2 Carbon Nanotubes (CNTs) as Nanofillers	14
	2.3 Silicone Rubber Polymer as Elastomer	16
	2.4 Conductive Graphene/CNTs-Polymer Composites	18
	2.4.1 Synthesis Method for Conductive Polymer Composites	20

2.4.2	Conductivity Mechanism	23
2.5	Summary	26
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	27
3.1	Experimental Design	27
3.2	Materials	28
3.3	Preparation of Graphene and CNT Solution/Thin Film	30
3.4	Nanofillers Mixing Condition	30
3.5	Synthesis Method of Graphene/CNTs-polymer Composites	31
3.6	Conductivity Measurement	33
3.6.1	Electrical Conductivity	33
3.6.2	Electrical Resistivity	35
3.6.3	Electrical Conductivity at Flexible Condition	37
3.7	Characterisation	39
3.7.1	Raman Spectroscopy	39
3.7.2	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM)	40
3.7.3	High Resolution-Transmission Electron Microscopy (HR-TEM)	40
3.7.3.1	Sample Preparation using Ultramicrotome	41
3.7.4	Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)	42
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	43
4.1	Materials Characterisation	43
4.1.1	High Resolution-Transmission Electron Microscopy (HR-TEM)	43
4.1.2	Raman Spectroscopy	51
4.2	Conductivity Properties	52
4.2.1	Electrical Conductivity Measurement	52
4.2.2	Electrical Resistivity Measurement	59
4.2.3	Electrical Conductivity Measurement at Flexible Condition	61

4.3	Morphology Analysis of Conductive Polymer Composites	63
4.3.1	The Shape of Polymer Composites	63
4.3.2	Morphological observation using Field Emission Electron Microscopy (FESEM)	67
4.3.3	Structural observation using High Resolution-Transmission Electron Microscopy (HR-TEM)	70
4.4	Physical Properties	72
4.4.1	Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)	72
4.5	Summary	74
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	77
5.1	Conclusion	77
5.2	Recommendations	79
	REFERENCES	81
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	93

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Materials of nanofillers	10
Table 2.2	The electrical conductivities of conductive polymers composites with selected dopants	19
Table 2.3	The differences in synthesis methods	22
Table 3.1	List of materials	29
Table 3.2	Wt% of graphene, CNTs and graphene/CNTs in a polymer matrix	30
Table 4.1	The actual electrical conductivity properties of conductive polymer composites	60
Table 4.2	The theoretical electrical conductivity properties of conductive polymer composites	61
Table 4.3	Thermal stability analysis	74

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Schematic illustration of carbon-carbon bond in graphene structure. The carbon-carbon bond length is 0.142 nm	12
Figure 2.2	Images showing different types of CNTs; (a) SWCNT, (b) DWCNT, and (c) MWCNT	15
Figure 2.3	Repeated unit of silicone rubber formed by siloxane backbone	16
Figure 2.4	The solution mixing method	21
Figure 2.5	Schematic illustration mechanism of solution mixing	22
Figure 2.6	Polymer composite (a) polymer matrix without nanofillers and (b) polymer matrix with nanofillers	23
Figure 2.7	Schematic diagram of electrical conductivity versus wt% of nanofillers for conductive polymer composites	24
Figure 2.8	Process involves in conductive polymers composites, (a) at below fractional (wt%) of nanofillers, (b) formation of conductive networks, and (c) at high fractional (wt%) of nanofillers	25
Figure 3.1	Experimental Flow Chart	28
Figure 3.2	(a) Silicone Elastomer (b) Curing Agent	31
Figure 3.3	Process flow for the synthesis of polymer composite	32
Figure 3.4	TPP experiment setup	33
Figure 3.5	Schematic illustration for locations where readings were taken	34
Figure 3.6	The general conductivity range of materials	37
Figure 3.7	(a) The angles for flexibility test of polymer composites (b) side view (c) top view	38
Figure 3.8	Raman spectra (a) graphene without defects and (b) CNTs	40
Figure 3.9	Ultramicrotomy process (a) embedded resin, (b) trimming section and (c) cutting section using the diamond knife	41

Figure 4.1	Graphene observed under HR-TEM. (a)-(d) HR-TEM images of distribution graphene flakes at accelerating voltage 80 kV with different magnification	44
Figure 4.2	HR-TEM images of graphene, (a) Graphene sheet, (b) the high resolution observation of the area (a), (c) the higher resolution observation of the area (b) and (d) the highest resolution observation of the area (c)	45
Figure 4.3	HRTEM image of graphene at 2 million magnification. (a) Lattice structure, (b) Profile distance of graphene atomic structure	46
Figure 4.4	Defect areas (a) before filtration and (b) after filtration using IFFT	47
Figure 4.5	(a) Defect area of graphene and (b) Profile distance of defect area	48
Figure 4.6	HR-TEM images of CNTs at (a) low magnification of distribution of CNTs, (b) low magnification single MWCNTs, (c) high magnification of MWCNTs, and (d) lattice image of MWCNT of boxed area of (c)	50
Figure 4.7	Image of CNTs. (a) MWCNTs structure, (b) Profile spectrum of CNTs	51
Figure 4.8	Raman spectra of graphene (blue) and CNTs (red)	52
Figure 4.9	The electrical conductivity versus weight percentage (wt%) of graphene in graphene-polymer composites	54
Figure 4.10	The electrical conductivity versus weight percentage (wt%) of CNTs in CNTs-polymer composites	55
Figure 4.11	The electrical conductivity versus weight percentage (wt%) of graphene and CNTs in graphene/CNTs-polymer composites	56
Figure 4.12	The electrical conductivity properties of graphene/CNTs-polymer composites	57
Figure 4.13	Photos of the polymer composites were wrapped on (a) at 5 wt% of nanofillers and (b) at 25 wt% of nanofillers	61
Figure 4.14	The effect of bending on the electrical conductivity of conductive polymer composites at 45°, 90°, and 135°	63
Figure 4.15	Prepared of graphene-polymer nanocomposites at (a) 5 wt% of graphene, (b) 10 wt% of graphene, (c) 15 wt% of graphene, (d) 20 wt% of graphene, and (e) 25 wt% of graphene	64

Figure 4.16	Prepared of CNTs-polymer nanocomposites at (a) 5 wt% of CNTs, (b) 10 wt% of CNTs, (c) 15 wt% of CNTs, (d) 20 wt% of CNTs, and (e) 25 wt% of CNTs	65
Figure 4.17	Prepared of 1 wt% graphene-polymer nanocomposites with CNTs nanofillers at (a) 5 wt% of CNTs, (b) 10 wt% of CNTs, (c) 15 wt% of CNTs, (d) 20 wt% of CNTs, and (e) 25 wt% of CNTs	65
Figure 4.18	Prepared of 1.5 wt% graphene-polymer nanocomposites with CNTs nanofillers at (a) 5 wt% of CNTs, (b) 10 wt% of CNTs, (c) 15 wt% of CNTs, (d) 20 wt% of CNTs, and (e) 25 wt% of CNTs	66
Figure 4.19	Prepared of 2 wt% graphene-polymer nanocomposites with CNTs nanofillers at (a) 5 wt% of CNTs, (b) 10 wt% of CNTs, (c) 15 wt% of CNTs, (d) 20 wt% of CNT, and (e) 25 wt% of CNT	66
Figure 4.20	FESEM images of (a) polymer matrix (b) graphene-polymer composites, (c) CNTs-polymer composites and (d) graphene/CNTs-polymer composites	69
Figure 4.21	HR-TEM images of (a) graphene/CNTs-polymer composites, (b) high magnification image of graphene structure and (c) high magnification image of CNTs structure	71
Figure 4.22	The graph of weight loss (%) versus temperature of thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)	73

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABS	-	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene
CNTs	-	Carbon nanotubes
CPs	-	Conductive polymers
FESEM	-	Field Emission Electron Microscopy
FPP	-	Four Point Probe
Gra	-	Graphene
HR-TEM	-	High Resolution-Transmission Electron Microscopy
LiPo	-	Lithium-ion polymer battery
PLA	-	Poly(lactic acid)
TGA	-	Thermogravimetric Analysis
TPP	-	Two Point Probe
DCM	-	Dichloromethane
wt%	-	Weight percentage
v%	-	Volume percentage
SWCNTs	-	Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes
MWCNTs	-	Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes
CVD	-	Chemical Vapour Deposition
Ppy	-	Polypyrrole
EEG	-	Electroencephalography
Ag/AgCl	-	Silver/silver chloride
MRI	-	Magnetic resonance imaging
PEDOT:PSS	-	Poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene):poly(styrene sulfonate)
PLA	-	Poly(lactic acid)
PETI	-	Phenylethynyl terminated imides

LIST OF SYMBOLS

δ	-	Conductivity
$^{\circ}\text{C}$	-	Degree Celsius
ρ	-	Resistivity
Ω	-	Ohm
S/m	-	Siemens per metre
S/cm	-	Siemens per centimetre

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	The graph of graphene-polymer composites	87
Appendix B	The graph of CNTs-polymer composites	88
Appendix C	The graph of 1 wt% of graphene/CNTs-polymer composites	89
Appendix D	The graph of 1.5 wt% of graphene/CNTs-polymer composites	90
Appendix E	The graph of 2 wt% of graphene/CNTs-polymer composites	91
Appendix F	The graph of Elastomer (Silicone Rubber)	92

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Electrode is an electrical conductor used as a medium to make contact and allow current flow to a non-metallic part of the circuit. Electrodes are typically good electric conductors, but not necessarily metals materials. It can be a semiconductor, an electrolyte, vacuum or air. Electrodes are used in various application such as medical purposes, energy storage, electroplating, arc welding, grounding, and chemical analysis.

There are several types of present metal electrodes such as tin plated, pure tin, silver, silver/silver chloride (Ag/AgCl) and gold plated that are used for medical purposes, especially in electroencephalography (EEG) for recording brain activity. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) compatible EEG electrodes commonly used gold plated or Ag/AgCl. The problems of present metal based electrodes are it involves a complex casting process, requires high temperature, high cost of the manufacturing process, limited electrodes shapes and sizes, low surface contact, and poor flexibility. To enhance its electrical conductivity, the procedure of metal electrodes requires using hydrogel or conductive paste for skin preparation. This will lead to irritation, discomfort, skin abrasion to the patients, and leads to stress environment.

Nowadays, there are many hospitals using polymer electrodes. As Schultz (2012) wrote in his survey report in The Neurodiagnostic Journal, they get positive feedback from patients at the EEG centre based on survey responses. From 54 surveys returned, 17 hospitals utilised conductive polymer electrodes at the workplace. Polymer base electrodes have proven improved patient safety and care due to less electrode fixing and maintenance, less skin deterioration, and lessen patient exposure to irritation and irritants. (Salvo *et al.*, 2012). Hydrogel or conductive paste are not

required anymore. The flexibility of polymer electrodes further enhanced the surface contact and the electrical conductivity.

Conductive polymers are described as organic polymers which can conduct electricity or allow current to flow. Researchers have dedicated enormous attention to study about conductive polymers because of their capability to conduct electric similar to metals and semiconductors, but at the same time, retaining polymers properties. As properties of conductive polymers are lightweight, high flexibility, easy to manufacture and inexpensive, conductive polymers have become the most preferred alternative in materials research field nowadays. Since 1980, various conductive polymers have been investigated, for example, polypyrrole (PPy), polyaniline (PANI), polyacetylene (PA) (Kumar and Sharma, 1998; Singh *et al.*, 2012), and poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene):poly(styrene sulfonate) (PEDOT:PSS) for intrinsically conductive polymer and for extrinsically conductive polymers such as graphene-polymer composites, CNTs-polymer composites, PLA/graphene nanocomposites, and polypyrrole/graphene nanocomposites.

Materials which are made from at least two different materials to obtain desired properties are called composites materials. A polymer composite material is formed from a combination of different materials which reinforcing nanofillers are integrated with a polymer matrix (Dantas de Oliveira and Augusto Gonçalves Beatrice, 2019). Each material has its own characteristic with significantly different physical or chemical properties. When combining the positive qualities of both materials, composites materials will produce a material that has the characteristics different from the individual materials (Balint *et al.*, 2014). Synthesis of composite materials enhance the characteristics of materials itself. The materials will become stronger, lighter, stable, high conductivity, high thermal stability, high flexibility, and less expensive.

Carbon based materials such as carbon black, fullerenes, graphite fibres, graphene, and carbon nanotubes (CNTs) act as conductive nanofillers for the synthesis of conductive polymers composite materials (Nambiar and Yeow, 2011). Usually, conductive polymer composites consist of one or more non-conducting polymers and the conductive nanofillers materials which are dispersed throughout the polymer

matrix using several techniques. The introduction of nanofillers into conventional polymer composites can significantly enhance the electrical conductivity properties of polymer composites (Barkoula *et al.*, 2008). Therefore, the combination of graphene, CNTs and polymer matrix gives significant effect to enhance electrical conductivity properties (Bose *et al.*, 2010; Soldano *et al.*, 2010; Potts *et al.*, 2011).

Conductive polymers draw a vast interest to improve the mechanical (Spanos *et al.*, 2015), electrical (Bose *et al.*, 2010), and chemical (Potts *et al.*, 2011) properties of polymeric materials. It is applied for various application such as photovoltaic devices (Kim *et al.*, 2010; Huang *et al.*, 2012), thermal interphase materials (Potts *et al.*, 2011; Hu *et al.*, 2014), energy storage or power delivery materials (Singh *et al.*, 2012; Zhang *et al.*, 2015), and biomedical materials (Nambiar and Yeow, 2011; Balint *et al.*, 2014).

Nowadays, the concept of synergy through combining the various types of nanomaterials is seen as the most successful approach to obtain desired properties of selected new materials. Therefore, it has become the current trend to incorporate nanofillers (graphene and CNTs) into elastomer polymers (silicone rubber) to produce conductive polymers composites with high electrical conductivity and high flexibility as compared to neat polymers. Also, the incorporation of graphene and CNTs into a polymer matrix can dramatically enhance the electrical conductivity and physical properties of polymer composites even with a small wt% of nanofillers (Galpaya *et al.*, 2012). On the other hand, conductive polymers composites are the most widely utilise classes of new materials due to their incredible variety of physical structures and properties, together with their relatively low cost (Khan *et al.*, 2016; Phiri *et al.*, 2017), easy processing (Balint *et al.*, 2014), and high potential for recycling and serve as sustainable materials (Zhong *et al.*, 2017) which make them more favourable in the industries.

1.2 Problem Statement

To date, the synthesis of graphene/CNTs-polymer composites with enhanced electrical conductivity and physical properties are still limited in commercial success. This limitation is mainly due to the inability to control the desired microstructures, economically or practically. Generally, research efforts are addressing the following fundamental questions. How do polymers assemble at the nanofillers-matrix interface? What is the interphase structure of prepared polymer composites? The answers to at least some of these questions will build an understanding and investigative approach for studying the fundamental interactions of the intermediate-scale structures of polymer composites.

Polymer has played an important role in various application, however, it is a non-conductive material. To further improve their electrical conductivity and expand their applications, nanofillers such as CNTs are often incorporated to synthesise CNTs-polymer composites. CNTs have been widely studied as nanofillers due to its electrical conductivity properties. After the discovery of graphene, a lot of effort has been recently attracted to synthesise graphene-polymer composites to produce high-performance conductive polymer composites. Between graphene-polymer composites and CNTs-polymer composites, the former has more disadvantages over the latter. The electrical conductivity of CNTs-polymer composites is much higher as compared to graphene-polymer composites at the same wt% of nanofillers (Sun *et al.*, 2013). As an individual material, graphene shows an electrical conductivity of 10^6 S/cm, higher than 10^5 S/cm of the CNTs (Sun *et al.*, 2013). However, both nanofillers are still the most effective nanofillers materials to enhance electrical conductivity and physical properties of the polymer matrix. This raises a new thought on the development of polymer composites materials. Why do graphene and CNTs are not being incorporated into polymers to synthesise new polymer composite materials? Although either graphene-polymer composites or CNTs-polymer composites have been widely investigated, the simultaneous incorporation of graphene and CNTs into polymers is still limited.

HR-TEM has recently arose as an advance structural characterisation tool. HR-TEM covers a range of low magnification imaging as well as atomic-scale details. Based on literature review, there is no accurate detailed study of the interphase structural of graphene and CNTs in the polymer matrix of graphene/CNTs-polymer composites observed directly using high resolution-transmission electron microscope (HR-TEM). Graphene is one of the most promising materials in nanotechnology. The electronic and physical properties of graphene samples with high perfection of the atomic lattice are outstanding, but the structural defects, which may appear during growth or processing, deteriorate the performance of graphene-based devices. However, deviations from perfection can be useful in some applications, as they make it possible to achieve new functionalities of graphene (Banhart *et al.*, 2010; Liu *et al.*, 2015). Current HR-TEM is capable of observing the defect in graphene which quite interesting to study. Therefore, by using suitable methods for the preparation of sample, it is possible to observe the atomic structure of graphene defects and the atomic interaction under HR-TEM.

Even though conductive polymer composites have been widely reported (Kim *et al.*, 2010; Potts *et al.*, 2011; Hu *et al.*, 2014), there is still lack of data in terms of the electrical conductivity of graphene/CNTs-polymer composites that are synthesised in this study. Through a combination of graphene and CNT at various wt%, it may significantly enhance the electrical conductivity of polymer composites. It is expected that the incorporation of nanofillers (graphene and CNTs) and polymer matrix would enhance the electrical conductivity properties of the graphene/CNTs-polymer composites based on the formation of conductive networks.

By introducing electrical conductivity properties in polymer, it will be useful in various applications. For example, the advancement of 3D printer technology signifies that polymer-based electrodes have great adaptable potential as an alternative electrode with an unlimited design for substituting the metal-based electrodes (Salvo *et al.*, 2012). There is a commercially available polymer such as silicone rubber, which is generally non-conductive. Therefore, it will be embedded with graphene and CNTs to enhance its conductivity properties. This graphene/CNTs-polymer composites could be used as conductive electrode materials (Sun *et al.*, 2013).

The development of materials which incorporate graphene and CNTs into polymer matrix (elastomer) is believed could maximise the benefits which can be applied as polymer based electrodes. Polymer based electrodes are the promising alternative electrodes with outstanding properties in flexibility, lightweight and high electrical conductivity (Lee *et al.*, 2013; Hu *et al.*, 2014; Sadasivuni *et al.*, 2014) to substitute the conventional metal based electrodes.

1.3 Research Objectives

Based on the problem statement, a lot of efforts are still needed to turn graphene/CNTs-polymer composites into practical applications. Thus, this study aims to investigate the detailed analysis of the electrical conductivity and physical properties of graphene/CNTs-polymer composites that will be synthesised using a new combination of graphene, CNTs and elastomer (silicone rubber).

Therefore, this study has two main objectives, which are:

- (a) To synthesise the graphene/CNTs-polymer composites and characterise its structural properties;
- (b) To investigate the electrical conductivity and physical properties of the electrolytic graphene/CNTs-polymer composites at various weight percentage (wt%) composition.

1.4 Research Scope

The objectives of this study can be obtained through the research scopes outlined as follow:

1. To achieve homogeneous dispersed graphene and CNTs in a polymer matrix, simple solution mixing technique has been carried out for the synthesis of graphene/CNTs-polymer composites proposed by Zhang *et al.*, (2015) and Phiri *et al.*, (2017). This technique is a well-known strategy to prevent graphene/CNTs agglomerates or stacking in the polymer matrix.
2. This research was focusing on employing HR-TEM to characterise in detail the interphase structure of prepared graphene/CNTs-polymer composites.
3. Parameter of the mixture graphene and CNTs were varied to optimise the electrical conductivity. The range of wt% of graphene nanofillers is 0.5-2.5 wt% (Saravanan *et al.*, 2014; Bafana *et al.*, 2017) and for CNTs are 5-25 wt% (Ghose *et al.*, 2015) and the mixture were mixed with elastomer (silicone rubber) as a based substrate.
4. Electrical conductivity test was conducted by using Two Point Probe (TPP) systems to investigate the effect of varying composition of graphene and CNTs. Other instruments that were used are RAMAN spectroscopy, FESEM, and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA).

Finally, the most significant results of the outstanding electrical conductivity and physical properties of graphene and CNTs-polymer composites, and some fundamental properties will be discussed in chapter 5; results and discussion.

1.5 Significance of This Study

This study was a challenge to develop desirable properties of conducting polymer composites such as high electrical conductivity, high flexibility, high physical properties, lightweight, easy to manufacture and retaining polymer properties by combining graphene, CNTs and elastomer. The findings of this research will contribute to the benefit of medical application.

REFERENCES

- Alexandre, M. and Dubois, P. (2000) 'Polymer-layered silicate nanocomposites: Preparation, properties and uses of a new class of materials', *Materials Science and Engineering R: Reports*, 28(1), pp. 1–63.
- Aqel, A., El-Nour, K. M. M. A., Ammar, R. A. A. and Al-Warthan, A. (2012) 'Carbon nanotubes, science and technology part (I) structure, synthesis and characterisation', *Arabian Journal of Chemistry*, 5(1), pp. 1–23.
- Araby, S., Meng, Q., Zhang, L., Kang, H., Majewski, P., Tang, Y. and Ma, J. (2014) 'Electrically and thermally conductive elastomer/graphene nanocomposites by solution mixing', *Polymer (United Kingdom)*. Elsevier Ltd, 55(1), pp. 201–210.
- Avouris, P. and Dimitrakopoulos, C. (2012) 'Graphene: Synthesis and applications', *Materials Today*. Elsevier Ltd, 15(3), pp. 86–97.
- Bafana, A. P., Yan, X., Wei, X., Patel, M., Guo, Z., Wei, S. and Wujcik, E. K. (2017) 'Polypropylene nanocomposites reinforced with low weight percent graphene nanoplatelets', *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 109, pp. 101–107.
- Balint, R., Cassidy, N. J. and Cartmell, S. H. (2014) 'Conductive polymers: Towards a smart biomaterial for tissue engineering', *Acta Biomaterialia*. Acta Materialia Inc., 10(6), pp. 2341–2353.
- Banhart, F., Kotakoski, J. and Krasheninnikov, A. V (2010) 'Structural Defects in Graphene', *American Chemical Society*, 5(1).
- Bao, C., Song, L., Xing, W., Yuan, B., Wilkie, C. A., Huang, J., Guo, Y. and Hu, Y. (2012) 'Preparation of graphene by pressurized oxidation and multiplex reduction and its polymer nanocomposites by masterbatch-based melt blending', *Journal of Materials Chemistry*, 22(13), p. 6088.
- Barkoula, N. M., Alcock, B., Cabrera, N. O. and Peijs, T. (2008) 'Fatigue properties of highly oriented polypropylene tapes and all-polypropylene composites', *Polymers and Polymer Composites*, 16(2), pp. 101–113.
- Bokobza, L. and Zhang, J. (2012) 'Raman spectroscopic characterization of multiwall

- carbon nanotubes and of composites', *Express Polymer Letters*, 6(7), pp. 601–608.
- Bose, S., Kuila, T., Uddin, M. E., Kim, N. H., Lau, A. K. T. and Lee, J. H. (2010) 'In-situ synthesis and characterization of electrically conductive polypyrrole/graphene nanocomposites', *Polymer*, 51(25), pp. 5921–5928.
- Czajka, M., Shanks, R. A. and Kong, I. (2015) 'Preparation of graphene and inclusion in composites with poly(styrene-b-butadiene-b-styrene)', *Science and Engineering of Composite Materials*, 22(1), pp. 7–16.
- Dantas de Oliveira, A. and Augusto Gonçalves Beatrice, C. (2019) 'Polymer Nanocomposites with Different Types of Nanofiller', in *Nanocomposites - Recent Evolutions*.
- Galindo, B., Benedito, A., Gimenez, E. and Compañ, V. (2016) 'Comparative study between the microwave heating efficiency of carbon nanotubes versus multilayer graphene in polypropylene nanocomposites', *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 98, pp. 330–338.
- Galpaya, D., Wang, M., Liu, M., Motta, N., Waclawik, E. and Yan, C. (2012) 'Recent Advances in Fabrication and Characterization of Graphene-Polymer Nanocomposites', *Graphene*, 01(02), pp. 30–49.
- Ghosh, D., Ghosh, P., Tanemura, M., Haysahi, A., Hayashi, Y., Shinji, K., Miura, N., Zamri Yusop, M. and Asaka, T. (2011) 'Highly transparent and flexible field emission devices based on single-walled carbon nanotube films', *Chem. Commun. Chem. Commun*, 47(47), pp. 4980–4982.
- Gómez, H., Ram, M. K., Alvi, F., Villalba, P., Lee, E. and Kumar, A. (2011) 'Graphene-conducting polymer nanocomposite as novel electrode for supercapacitors', 196, pp. 4102–4108.
- Hu, K., Kulkarni, D. D., Choi, I. and Tsukruk, V. V. (2014) 'Graphene-polymer Nanocomposites for Structural and Functional Applications', *Progress in Polymer Science*. Elsevier Ltd, 39(11), pp. 1934–1972.
- Huang, X., Qi, X., Boey, F. and Zhang, H. (2012) 'Graphene-based Composites', *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 41(2), pp. 666–686.
- Huang, X., Yin, Z., Wu, S., Qi, X., He, Q., Zhang, Q., Yan, Q., Boey, F. and Zhang, H. (2011) 'Graphene-based Materials: Synthesis, Characterization, Properties, and Applications', *Small*, 7(14), pp. 1876–1902.
- Huang, Y., Liang, J. and Chen, Y. (2012) 'An Overview of the Applications of

- Graphene-Based Materials in Supercapacitors', (12), pp. 1805–1834.
- Jariwala, D., Sangwan, V. K., Lauhon, L. J., Marks, T. J. and Hersam, M. C. (2013) 'Carbon nanomaterials for electronics, optoelectronics, photovoltaics, and sensing', *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 42(7), pp. 2824–2860.
- Jia, K., Su, Y., Chen, Y., Luo, J., Yang, J., Lv, P. and Zhang, Z. (2015) 'Effects of defects and thermal treatment on the properties of graphene', *Vacuum*. Elsevier Ltd, 116, pp. 90–95.
- K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang Zhang, Y. Zhang, S. V. Dubonos, I. V. Grigorieva, A. A. F. (2004) 'Electric Field Effect in Atomically Thin Carbon Films Electric Field Effect in Atomically Thin Carbon Films', *Science*, 306.
- Kaur, G., Adhikari, R., Cass, P., Bown, M. and Gunatillake, P. (2015) 'Electrically conductive polymers and composites for biomedical applications', *RSC Advances*, pp. 37553–37567.
- Khan, Z. U., Kausar, A., Ullah, H., Badshah, A. and Khan, W. U. (2016) 'A review of graphene oxide, graphene buckypaper, and polymer/graphene composites: Properties and fabrication techniques', *Journal of Plastic Film & Sheeting*, 32(4), pp. 336–379.
- Khanam, P. N., Ponnamma, D. and AL-Madeed, M. A. (2015) 'Electrical properties of graphene polymer nanocomposites', *Springer Series on Polymer and Composite Materials*, pp. 25–47.
- Kim, H., Abdala, A. A. and MacOsco, C. W. (2010) 'Graphene/polymer Nanocomposites', *Macromolecules*, 43(16), pp. 6515–6530.
- Kuilla, T., Bhadra, S., Yao, D., Hoon, N., Bose, S. and Hee, J. (2010) 'Recent Advances in Graphene Based Polymer Composites', *Progress in Polymer Science*. Elsevier Ltd, 35(11), pp. 1350–1375.
- Kumar, D. and Sharma, R. C. (1998) 'Advances in conductive polymers', *European Polymer Journal*, 34(8), pp. 1053–1060.
- Le, T.-H., Kim, Y. and Yoon, H. (2017) 'Electrical and Electrochemical Properties of Conducting Polymers', *Polymers*, 9(4), p. 150.
- Lee, M. S., Lee, K., Kim, S. Y., Lee, H., Park, J., Choi, K. H., Kim, H. K., Kim, D. G., Lee, D. Y., Nam, S. and Park, J. U. (2013) 'High-performance, transparent, and stretchable electrodes using graphene-metal nanowire hybrid structures', *Nano Letters*, 13(6), pp. 2814–2821.

- Li, C. and Shi, G. (2011) 'Synthesis and electrochemical applications of the composites of conducting polymers and chemically converted graphene', *Electrochimica Acta*. Elsevier Ltd, 56(28), pp. 10737–10747.
- Liu, L., Qing, M., Wang, Y. and Chen, S. (2015) 'Defects in Graphene : Generation , Healing , and Their Effects on the Properties of Graphene : A Review', *Journal of Materials Science & Technology*. Elsevier Ltd, 31(6), pp. 599–606.
- Liu, Y., Wang, H., Zhou, J., Bian, L., Zhu, E., Hai, J., Tang, J. and Tang, W. (2013a) 'Graphene/polypyrrole intercalating nanocomposites as supercapacitors electrode', *Electrochimica Acta*. Elsevier Ltd, 112, pp. 44–52.
- Lu, X., Chao, D., Zheng, J., Chen, J. and Zhang, W. (2006) 'Preparation and characterization of polydiphenylamine / multi-walled carbon nanotube composites', 950(December 2005), pp. 945–950.
- Marinkovic, S. N. (2008) 'Carbon nanotubes', *Journal of the Serbian Chemical Society*, 73(8–9), pp. 891–913.
- Maron, G. K., NoreMBERG, B. S., Alano, J. H., Pereira, F. R., Deon, V. G., Santos, R. C. R., Freire, V. N., Valentini, A. and Carreno, N. L. V. (2018) 'Carbon fiber/epoxy composites: Effect of zinc sulphide coated carbon nanotube on thermal and mechanical properties', *Polymer Bulletin*, 75(4), pp. 1619–1633.
- Müller, K., Bugnicourt, E., Latorre, M., Jorda, M., Sanz, Y. E., Lagaron, J. M., Miesbauer, O., Bianchin, A., Hankin, S., Bölz, U., Pérez, G., Jedsinski, M., Lindner, M., Scheuerer, Z., Castelló, S. and Schmid, M. (2017) 'Review on the processing and properties of polymer nanocomposites and nanocoatings and their applications in the packaging, automotive and solar energy fields', *Nanomaterials*.
- Nambiar, S. and Yeow, J. T. W. (2011) 'Conductive polymer-based sensors for biomedical applications', *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*. Elsevier B.V., 26(5), pp. 1825–1832.
- Novoselov, K. S., Fal, V. I., Colombo, L., Gellert, P. R., Schwab, M. G. and Kim, K. (2012) 'A Roadmap for Graphene', *Nature*. Nature Publishing Group, 490(7419), pp. 192–200.
- Oliveira, A. D., Beatrice, C. A. G., Passador, F. R. and Pessan, L. A. (2016) 'Polyetherimide-based nanocomposites materials for hydrogen storage', in *AIP Conference Proceedings*.
- Oliveira, A. D., Larocca, N. M., Paul, D. R. and Pessan, L. A. (2012) 'Effects of mixing

- protocol on the performance of nanocomposites based on polyamide 6/acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene blends', *Polymer Engineering and Science*, 52(9), pp. 1909–1919.
- Phiri, J., Gane, P. and Maloney, T. C. (2017) 'General overview of graphene : Production , properties and application in polymer composites', *Materials Science & Engineering B*. Elsevier B.V., 215, pp. 9–28.
- Potts, J. R., Dreyer, D. R., Bielawski, C. W. and Ruoff, R. S. (2011) 'Graphene-based polymer nanocomposites', *Polymer*. Elsevier Ltd, 52(1), pp. 5–25.
- Ghose, S., Kent A. Watson, Dennis C. Working, Jim M. Criss, Emilie J. Siochi, J. W. C. (2005) 'Preparation And Characterization Of Peti- 330/Multiwalled Carbon Nanotube', in *5th World Congress: Enabling Technologies and New Markets, Nanocomposites*.
- Sabzi, M., Jiang, L., Liu, F., Ghasemi, I. and Atai, M. (2013) 'Graphene nanoplatelets as poly(lactic acid) modifier: linear rheological behavior and electrical conductivity', *Journal of Materials Chemistry A*, 1(28), p. 8253.
- Sadasivuni, K. K., Ponnamma, D., Thomas, S. and Grohens, Y. (2014) 'Evolution from graphite to graphene elastomer composites', *Progress in Polymer Science*, 39(4), pp. 749–780.
- Salavagione, H. J., Martínez, G. and Ellis, G. (2011) 'Recent Advances in the Covalent Modification of Graphene With Polymers', *Macromolecular Rapid Communications*, 32, pp. 1771–1789.
- Salvo, P., Raedt, R., Carrette, E., Schaubroeck, D., Vanfleteren, J. and Cardon, L. (2012) 'A 3D printed dry electrode for ECG/EEG recording', *Sensors and Actuators, A: Physical*. Elsevier B.V., 174(1), pp. 96–102.
- Saravanan, N., Rajasekar, R., Mahalakshmi, S., Sathishkumar, T., Sasikumar, K. and Sahoo, S. (2014) 'Graphene and modified graphene-based polymer nanocomposites – A review', *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*, 33(12), pp. 1158–1170.
- Singh, K., Ohlan, A. and Dhawan, S. K. (2012) 'Polymer-Graphene Nanocomposites : Preparation , Characterization , Properties , and Applications'.
- Soldano, C., Mahmood, A. and Dujardin, E. (2010) 'Production , Properties and Potential of Graphene', *Carbon*. Elsevier Ltd, 48(8), pp. 2127–2150.
- Spanos, K. N., Georgantzinou, S. K. and Anifantis, N. K. (2015) 'Mechanical properties of graphene nanocomposites: A multiscale finite element

- prediction', *Composite Structure*. Elsevier Ltd, 132, pp. 536–544.
- Sun, X., Sun, H., Li, H. and Peng, H. (2013) 'Developing Polymer Composite Materials : Carbon Nanotubes or Graphene?', *Advanced Materials*, 25, pp. 5153–5176.
- Terrones, M., Martín, O., González, M., Pozuelo, J., Serrano, B., Cabanelas, J. C., Vega-Díaz, S. M. and Baselga, J. (2011) 'Interphases in Graphene Polymer-based Nanocomposites: Achievements and Challenges', *Advanced Materials*, 23(44), pp. 5302–5310.
- Uddin, F. (2008) 'Clays, nanoclays, and montmorillonite minerals', *Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A: Physical Metallurgy and Materials Science*, 39(12), pp. 2804–2814.
- Wang, W., Guo, S., Ruiz, I., Ozkan, M. and Ozkan, C. S. (2012) 'Three dimensional graphene-cnts foam architectures for electrochemical capacitors', in *ECS Transactions*, pp. 37–44.
- Yu, Y.-H., Lin, Y.-Y., Lin, C.-H., Chan, C.-C. and Huang, Y.-C. (2014) 'High-performance polystyrene/graphene-based nanocomposites with excellent anti-corrosion properties', *Polym. Chem.*, 5(2), pp. 535–550.
- Zhang, M., Li, Y., Su, Z. and Wei, G. (2015) 'Recent Advances in The Synthesis and Applications of Graphene–polymer Nanocomposites', *Polymer Chemistry*, 6, pp. 6107–6124.
- Zhang, W., Dehghani-Sanij, A. A. and Blackburn, R. S. (2007) 'Carbon based conductive polymer composites', in *Journal of Materials Science*, pp. 3408–3418.
- Zhong, Y., Zhen, Z. and Zhu, H. (2017) 'Graphene : Fundamental Research and Potential Applications', *FlatChem*. Elsevier B.V., 4, pp. 20–32.
- Zhu, B. Y., Murali, S., Cai, W., Li, X., Suk, J. W., Potts, J. R. and Ruoff, R. S. (2010) 'Graphene and Graphene Oxide : Synthesis , Properties , and Applications', pp. 3906–3924.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journal with Impact Factor

1. **Nur Farhana Hasmuni**, Aini Zuhra Abdul Kadir, Izman Sudin, Madzlan Aziz, Tanemura Masaki, & Mohd Zamri Mohd Yusop (2018). Structural and Electrical Properties of Graphene/CNTs-Polymer Composites Observed Under Transmission Electron Microscope. *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics (JJAP)*. (Q3, IF:1.452)-Submitted

Indexed Journal

1. **Nur Farhana Hasmuni**, Suhaili Ismail, Aini Zuhra Abdul Kadir, Izman Sudin, Madzlan Aziz & Mohd Zamri Mohd Yusop (2018). Fabrication of Polymer-Based Graphene Composites as Highly Conductive Polymer Electrode. *American Institute of Physics (AIP)*. (Indexed by SCOPUS)-Accepted

Non-Indexed Conference Proceeding

1. **Nur Farhana Hasmuni**, Suhaili Ismail, Aini Zuhra Abdul Kadir, Izman Sudin, Madzlan Aziz & Mohd Zamri Mohd Yusop (2018). Fabrication of Polymer-Based Graphene Composites as Highly Conductive Polymer Electrode. In *2018 International Conference on X-Rays & Related Techniques in Research & Industry 2018 (ICXRI 2018)*. (pp. 102-103).