

COMPARISON OF BACTERIAL DIVERSITY FROM GOAT FARM AND
SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT EFFLUENT USING METAGENOMIC
ANALYSIS

KAYVERNE A/P SANTHANASAMY

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Philosophy

Faculty of Science
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

AUGUST 2022

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my parents and husband who have supported me in every way for the entire study years. To my parents Santhanasamy and Anthonyachi, for encouraging me through all the times I needed motivation the most. For the encouragement to finish what I started. To my husband Yogarajan, for understanding the time I needed to spend on my studies, adapted to my schedule and overcome the adversity and preserved becoming both physically and emotionally stronger with me.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In preparing this thesis, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor, Dr Saleha binti Shahar, for the encouragement, guidance, critics and counsel. I am truly thankful to having her for the advices and motivations. Without her continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been completely presented.

I am also indebted to the owner of Osman Goat Farm, located in Kangkar Tebrau for allowing me to collect samples for the purpose of this study. He deserves a special thanks for his assistance in supplying the need materials for testing.

My fellow postgraduate students should also be acknowledged for their support with or without the knowledge during my research time in the laboratory. My sincere appreciation to all who provided assistance at various occasions.

ABSTRACT

The need for clean water is challenging when the effectiveness of monitoring the source of pollution is still in question. Water pollution due to fecal contamination has been linked with the entry of sewage from animal husbandry through non-point sources. Due to the inherent limitations of conventional fecal indicator bacteria, microbial source tracking is more appropriate to resolve fecal pollution from non-point sources. Microbial community analysis is the current interest of microbial source tracking. Thus, this project aimed to study the metagenomic microbial community of goat farm effluent to determine its unique microbe for source tracking of goat fecal pollution. Effluents from Osman Goat Farm and sewage treatment plant (STP) in UTM were collected. The STP sample functioned as a reference for comparison. Total DNA from both samples was extracted using the phenol-chloroform method. 16 S rDNA sequencing analysis was done to determine their microbial community. Sequencing results showed 316 *Operational Taxonomic Units* (OTU) represented the goat farm effluent sample, yielding 93 bacterial genera whereas 523 OTUs were in the STP and assigned to 295 bacterial genera. Twenty four genera from the STP effluent sample were associated with human microbes. *Proteobacteria* such as *Alphaproteobacteria* and *Gammaproteobacteria* were observed as dominant in the STP (44.3%) and associated with the human gut. In the goat farm effluent sample, *Bacteroidetes* (28.6%) and *Firmicutes* (49.5%) phyla were dominant. Comparing both samples at the genus level found *Saccharofermentans* uncultured rumen bacterium and *Bacteroidales RF16* uncultured bacterium were genera specific to and differentiate goat farm effluent from the human STP effluent, although both genera were common in other ruminants. In conclusion, 16S rDNA metagenomic sequencing successfully distinguished goat farm effluent from human STP effluent. Suggestions were proposed that the genera be best identified to species level for better association with the goat farm. Their persistence in withstanding artificial external environments must be confirmed before they can be used as goat farm-specific microbial community markers.

ABSTRAK

Keperluan air bersih menjadi cabaran apabila keberkesanan pemantauan sumber pencemaran masih menimbulkan persoalan. Pencemaran air akibat pencemaran tinja telah dikaitkan dengan kemasukan kumbahan daripada penternakan haiwan dari sumber bukan punca. Disebabkan kelemahan hakiki bakteria penanda tinja konvensional, mikrob penjejak sumber adalah lebih sesuai bagi menyelesaikan pencemaran tinja dari sumber tanpa punca. Analisis komuniti mikrob adalah tarikan terkini penjejukan mikrob sumber. Susulan itu, projek ini bertujuan mengkaji metagenomik komuniti mikrob efluen ladang kambing untuk menentukan ahli-ahli unik bagi menjejak pencemaran tinja kambing. Efluen dari Ladang Kambing Osman dan dari loji rawatan kumbahan (STP) di UTM telah diambil. Sampel STP berfungsi sebagai rujukan untuk perbandingan. DNA keseluruhan daripada kedua-dua sampel telah diekstrak menggunakan kaedah fenol-klorofom. Analisis penjujukan 16S rDNA telah dilakukan untuk menentukan komuniti mikrob sampel. Hasil penjujukan mendapati, sampel efluen ladang kambing diwakili 316 *Operational Taxonomic Units* (OTU) yang menghasilkan 93 genera bakteria manakala 523 OTU dijumpai di dalam STP yang disusur kepada 295 genera bakteria. Dua puluh empat genera daripada sampel efluen STP dikaitkan dengan mikrob manusia. *Proteobacteria* seperti *Alphaproteobacteria* dan *Gammaproteobacteria* didapati dominan dalam loji rawatan kumbahan (44.3%) dan dikaitkan dengan usus manusia. Dalam sampel efluen ladang kambing, filum *Bacteroidetes* (28.6%) dan *Firmicutes* (49.5%) adalah dominan. Apabila kedua-dua sampel dibandingkan pada peringkat genus, didapati bakteria rumen tidak terkultur *Saccharofermentans* dan bakteria tidak terkultur *Bacteroidales RF16* adalah genera khusus dan membezakan efluen ladang kambing daripada efluen STP manusia, walaupun kedua-dua genera ini biasa dijumpai di dalam ruminan lain. Kesimpulannya, metagenomik penjujukan 16S rDNA berjaya membezakan efluen ladang kambing daripada efluen STP manusia. Cadangan telah diusulkan adalah lebih berpatutan genera dikenal pasti ke peringkat spesies untuk penyekutuan terbaik dengan ladang kambing. Kebolehan bakteria berterusan tahan dalam persekitaran di luar usus kambing perlu dipastikan sebelum ia boleh digunakan sebagai penanda khusus komuniti mikrob ladang kambing.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Statement of the Problem	3
1.3	Hypothesis	4
1.4	Objectives of the Study	5
1.5	Scope of the Study	5
1.6	Significance of the Study	6
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1	Rivers Pollution in Malaysia	9
2.1.1	Water Pollution level in Malaysia	9
2.1.2	Types of River Pollution	12
2.1.2.1	Point Source Pollution	12
2.1.2.2	Non-Point Source Pollution	14
2.2	Local Provision of Regulation of Water Quality	15
2.3	Fecal Indicator Bacteria	18
2.3.1	Bacteria for Microbial Source Tracking	20

2.4	Metagenomics and the Gut Microbiota	22
2.5	Goat Gut Microbiome and DNA Fingerprinting	23
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	27
3.1	Research Design	27
3.2	Sampling Sites	28
3.3	Phenol-Chloroform DNA Extraction	31
3.4	Extracted DNA Quality Validation	32
3.5	Metagenomic Library of Extracted Genomic DNA	33
	3.5.1 Genomic DNA Quality Verification	33
	3.5.2 Library construction	34
3.6	Metagenomics Library Construction	37
3.7	16S rDNA Amplicon Taxonomy and Statistical Analysis	38
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS	43
4.1	Overview	43
4.2	Genomic DNA extracted from goat farm and sewage treatment plant effluent samples	43
4.3	Quality of sequences	44
4.4	Taxonomic Distribution of Samples	48
	4.4.1 Kingdom archaea	50
	4.4.2 Kingdom prokaryotae (bacteria)	52
	4.4.2.1 Phyla and classes	52
	4.4.2.2 Order	57
	4.4.2.3 Families and genera	58
	4.4.3 Summary and Genera Associated to Human and Goat	60
CHAPTER 5	DISCUSSION	63
CHAPTER 6	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	71
6.1	Conclusion	71
6.2	Recommendation	71

REFERENCES

73

APPENDICES

91

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Malaysian Marine Water Quality Standards (DOE, 2021)	17
Table 3.1	Conditions of Samples Collected	31
Table 3.2	PCR mix protocol	32
Table 3.3	Cycling and running parameter for 16S DNA PCR	33
Table 3.4	Primers used for amplification 16S rDNA V3-V4 region	34
Table 3.5	Primers with Illumina adapter (sequence in underline) used for amplification of V3-V4 region for library construction	35
Table 3.6	Sequence of P5 and P7 (sequence in bold), i7 and i5 indices position, and Illumina sequencing adapters (sequence in underline) used to amplify DNA (Illumina, 2020)	36
Table 3.7	8 bases dual index sequence (i7 and i5) (Illumina, 2014)	37
Table 3.8	Description for alpha diversity measures	38
Table 3.9	Alpha diversity matrices calculation equation	39
Table 4.1	Characteristics of Total DNA from STP-K09 and Osman Goat Farm Effluent Sample	44
Table 5.1	Genera Associated with Human	65
Table 5.2	Genera from <i>Firmicutes</i> and <i>Bacteroidetes</i> Phylum Associated with Goat	67

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Water Quality Trend in Malaysia (Afroz, 2016)	10
Figure 2.2	Water Quality Index Classification (Lee, 2020)	10
Figure 3.1	Research design frame for analysis of effluent samples	27
Figure 3.2	Real time conditions at final effluent clarifier tank before release point at STP – K09 UTM (Coordinates : 1.559772, 103.650238)	29
Figure 3.3	Real time conditions at final release point at Osman Goat Farm (Coordinates : 1.531202, 103.755573 – 1 km from Sungai Tebrau)	30
Figure 3.4	First Step PCR to amplify regions of interest (Illumina, 2011)	35
Figure 3.5	Second Step PCR to add index and adapter sequences to DNA (Illumina, 2011)	36
Figure 3.6	A standard sample processing and metagenomics analysis of genomic DNA sample by Apical Scientific Sdn. Bhd.	41
Figure 4.1	Sample 1 represents STP-K09 UTM effluent and Sample 2 represents Osman Goat Farm effluent. No bands in negative control shows no contamination. (M = Marker , -ve = negative, +ve = positive, 1 and 2 = sample)	44
Figure 4.2	Graph shows alpha diversity measures of genomic DNA from STP effluent sample and goat farm effluent sample. Graph computed by Apical Scientific Sdn. Bhd.	47
Figure 4.3	Relationship between STP effluent sample and goat farm effluent sample. Venn diagram computed.	48
Figure 4.4	(a) Taxonomic distribution of community in STP effluent sample and (b) in goat farm effluent sample	49
Figure 4.5	Taxonomic distribution of archaea community in (a) STP effluent sample, and (b) goat farm effluent sample. Refer to the larger image in Appendix A	51
Figure 4.6	Taxonomic distribution of bacteria community in (a) STP effluent sample, and (b) goat farm effluent sample. Refer to the larger image in Appendix B.	53

Figure 4.7	Top ten phyla represented by both goat farm effluent (a) and STP effluent (b).	54
Figure 4.8	(a) Top ten class represented by both goat farm effluent and (b).STP effluent	56
Figure 4.91	Classification of unique bacteria from phylum to genus in the goat farm effluent sample.	61

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BOD	-	Biological Oxygen Demand
DOE	-	Department of Environment
EPA	-	Environmental Protection Agency
EQA	-	Environmental Quality Act 1974
FIB	-	Fecal Indicator Bacteria
gDNA	-	Genomic DNA
LDM	-	Library-independent method
LIM	-	Library-dependent method
MST	-	Microbial source tracking
OTU	-	Operational Taxonomic Unit
PCR	-	Polymerase Chain Reaction
STP	-	Sewage Treatment Plant
WHO	-	World Health Organization

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Archaeal in STP	91
Appendix B	Bacteria in STP	93
Appendix C	STP Genera	94

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The Malaysian Government has targeted 99 percent of the population will have clean water in their homes by the year 2020. For this purpose, Malaysia relies on approximately 150 rivers as natural water reservoirs. But due to climate change globally and the low ranking in the quality of water the river produces, Malaysia is facing water shortage threat (Feng, 2017).

One of the reasons for degraded of water quality is due to the uncontrollable pollution of water bodies (Povera, 2017). 53% of the river's water quality in Malaysia was categorized as slightly polluted or polluted. High levels of pollution of river waters have occurred in states with large numbers of industrial areas and factories, such as in Selangor, Johor, Penang and Perak (Lee, 2020). Following statistics released by the Department of Environment (DoE) in 2018, the main pollution loads were from 5 main sources, namely manufacturing industries, agricultural-based industries, sewage treatment plant, piggery and wet market. A total of 653 tonnes/day of Biochemical Oxygen Demand pollution load, 835 tonnes/day of Suspended Solids Load pollution load and 205.3 tonnes/day of Ammoniacal Nitrogen Load were released in 2018 (Lee, 2020), rendering dilution effect by receiving river not effective.

Fecal indicator bacteria (FIB) such as total coliforms, fecal coliforms, *E. coli*, fecal streptococci, and enterococci have been used to detect sewage contamination in water. Although use of FIB has improved public health protection, the fecal indicator coliform is common to all warm-blooded animals and not specific to host. Thus, they are unable to track source of fecal pollution especially those that enters through non-point sources (Li, 2021). In order to inform total maximum daily load (TMDL) calculations that does not exceed rivers pollution dilution capacity, every source of fecal contamination must be identified. However, unlike sewage from point sources such as sewage treatment plants which are regulated, sewage entry from non-point sources escape regulation. And since, FIBs are designed for the extra-intestinal environment and do not reveal the source(s) of contamination, it could not identify fecal pollution entry from non-point sources such as small farms and animal grazing lands.

Due to this limitation, microbial source tracing (MST) methods were developed to make it possible to identify specific sources of fecal pollution (Tatsuya, 2018). DNA sequencing have been proposed for community-based MST taking inspiration from the increasing usage of next-generation sequencing (NGS) of amplicons from different hypervariable regions of the 16S rRNA gene (i.e., V4, V5, or V6) to define bacterial communities. Therefore, tracking fecal contamination sources by identifying the overlap in community composition between the bacteria in the water and the feces of suspected animal sources. Numerous fecal bacterial species can be classified using OTUs without the necessity for culturing.

Malaysia is encouraging use of plantation lands for animal grazing in order to boost food production (Azhar, 2021). Unfortunately, this caused introduction of untreated fecal contamination from grazing animals through land run-off. Such non-point source fecal pollution remains an unsolved problem in Malaysia (Moriken, 2019). Thus, studying the microbial community using metagenomics analysis of effluent from animal farm representing grazing animals that can contaminate plantation lands and comparing with local sewage effluents would be fundamental towards identifying grazing animal-specific fecal contaminant indicator.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Fecal pollutants that cause water quality issues can come from both point and non-point sources. Point source pollution refers to fecal pollution events caused by a single identifiable source, such as a waste water treatment plant discharge or a septic tank leak. Non-point source pollution, on the other hand, is caused by diffuse sources such as runoff from residential areas and farmlands (Li et. al, 2021). Husbandry livestock feces has been associated with being one of the sources of water pollution (Lee, 2020) with its entry from run-off or non-point source remain uncontrolled. The current challenge of preventing non-point source fecal pollution is that traditional microbiological methods using the fecal indicator bacteria (FIB) could not identify the source of fecal contamination. This is because, common FIB are found in large quantities in the feces of birds, mammals, and humans. Although this provides a reliable method of detecting fecal pollution, its lack of host specificity prevents determining the specific source of fecal pollution. Additionally, fecal waste from diffuse sources not only act as a cryptic input, but because it can be a mixture of fresh and aged fecal wastes, it is persistent in the environment, thus necessitating more sophisticated detection beyond indication of presence by FIB (Li et. al, 2021).

Due to the inability of traditional FIB to distinguish fecal sources, host-associated fecal microbes have become the subject of extensive research for source-attributable fecal pollution indicator, a method known as microbial source tracking (MST) (Holcomb, 2020). In general, potential sources of fecal bacteria are generally classified into three categories: humans, livestock, and wildlife (Andrew, 2018). Most MST methods are population- or species-based and target microbes that have an ecological or evolutionary relationship with the host animal of interest. Population-based MST methods are frequently library-dependent, requiring prior creation of a library of features or fingerprints associated with a specific source from scratch. Creating a library entails extensive phenotypic or genotypic patterns characterization of a specific population associated with different source of interest. Often, this include labor intensive and costly activities of classifying hundreds to thousands of isolates from each source of interest (Unno et. al, 2018). To use this fingerprint library, indicator bacteria fingerprints from polluted water query are

compared to those in the library and finally assigned to the probable source category (Andrew et. al, 2018).

Another possible way to find source-specific fecal bacteria is to compare bacterial community in fecal contamination from different sources or hosts where the fecal originate. This is because, the intestinal environments provide selective pressures to bacteria community which differ from host to host. Subsequently, each host will produce distinct strains of fecal bacteria. Thus, comparison of bacteria community would lead to strains unique to each source. Computational methods for analyzing the large amount of data generated from metagenomic sequencing had helped MST studies and emerged as a standard community-assessment method in microbial ecology. By this, determining the overlap in community composition between bacteria in water versus feces from suspected animal sources have, at least qualitatively, track fecal contamination sources. The concept took advantage of similarities in community composition at the species or operational taxonomic unit (OTU) level for a more discrete assignment of source contamination in waters. Additionally, dual indexing allowed pooling of hundreds of samples and sequenced in a single run, making the generation of fecal taxon libraries (FTLs) from various animal sources relatively simple (Unno et. al, 2018).

1.3 Hypothesis

Fecal indicator bacteria (FIB) is a good fecal indicator but not host-specific. In this case, when sewage enters a river through run-off instead of point sources, FIB could detect the presence of fecal pollution but failed to identify the source. Known is microbial community from goat feces is different from human feces (Kho, 2018 & Ellis, 2013). It is possible that microbial community from untreated effluent from goat farm remained different from human sewage treatment plant effluent, despite both being exposed to the environment.

16S rRNA metagenome sequencing is a powerful tool expected able to identify the complete microbial community and the differences in community of fecal or sewage effluents that's are exposed to the environment. Overall assessment of microbial community, instead of specific bacteria such as FIB in a polluted river sample, gives a big picture pattern able to identify the host or source of fecal pollution. Microbial community analysis of sources of fecal pollutions such as goat farm effluent and human sewage treatment plant effluent gave the expected pattern of host-specific microbial community pattern of sewage pollution that enters the river through run-off.

1.4 Objectives of the Study

Followings are the objectives proposed for this study:

1. To identify bacterial community of goat fecal microbiome and human fecal microbiome from effluents of goat farm and effluents of local sewage using 16S rRNA metagenome sequencing.
2. To compare data from goat fecal microbiome with human fecal microbiome obtained through metagenomics analysis and identify bacterial population acting as fingerprint for each source of fecal pollution.

1.5 Scope of the Study

Current Environmental Quality Act (EQA) only regulates pollution in sewage effluent from point sources. Even so, it only applies for sewage effluent from PE > 150. Smaller human settlements producing < 150 PE is not regulated (Ariffin, 2015). Similarly, effluent from farms due to its high oxygen demand from its organic content, is characterized as non-toxic and polluting and less damaging than industrial chemical effluents, thus excluded from regulation. However, these sewage sources that escaped regulations are significant contributor to ammoniacal nitrogen load that enters the rivers (Roslan, 2019).

For ease of access, this study selected a goat pen located among the community considered as small livestock rearing and its sewage is not regulated under EQA. Study also used effluent from sewage treatment plant to represent effluent of human sewage after treatment. Both are common fecal-related wastewater released into rivers in Malaysia, as allowed by the EQA. Although a higher number of replicates per sites were desired, only two samples were collected from each source for replicates due to time constraint and budgeting limits.

Metagenomics analysis was used to study the genetic materials recovered directly from the effluents of a goat farm and effluents of a sewage treatment plant. Following that, individual bacteria present in the goat farm effluent sample were compared with the bacteria in the effluents of the sewage treatment plant. Both metagenomics results of the effluent samples were compared based on kingdom, phyla, class, order and genus because this is the limit of identification when using 16S rDNA sequencing using the V3-V4 gene region. 16S rDNA sequencing was selected since the desire is for the study to be adaptable to routine water quality activity. 16S rDNA sequencing is more affordable for this intention.

Bacteria identified only in the goat farm effluent sample when compared to the sewage treatment plant effluent were individually analyzed against prior literature on the goat gut microbiome, thus able to identify specific bacterial population that may act as a goat stool indicator.

1.6 Significance of the Study

Water contamination has been linked to livestock husbandry as one of the sources. Human exposure to these pollutants can result in serious illness like enteritis. The effectiveness of monitoring non-point source of pollution is the present problem in preventing water pollution from sewage matter. In this study, metagenomics analysis was used to identify complete genetic materials from effluent samples of a goat farm and sewage treatment plant. Associating bacterial population specific to the goat microbiome is challenging as the bacteria needs to be exclusive and only found in

the goat farm effluent. The results of this study would enable the development of a fecal contaminant indicator that could distinguish between sewage from goat farm and human sewage as the sources of contamination.

REFERENCES

- A.R.Agrawal, Karim, S. A., Kumar, R., A.Sahoo, & John, P. J. (2014). Sheep and goat production: basic differences, impact on climate and molecular tools for rumen microbiome study. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 3(1), 684-706.
- Abdelmegeid, M. K., Elolimy, A. A., Zhou, Z., Lopreiato, V., McCann, J. C., & Loor, J. J. (2018). Rumen-protected methionine during the peripartal period in dairy cows and its effects on abundance of major species of ruminal bacteria. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*, 9(17). doi:10.1186/s40104-018-0230-8
- Adomako, L.A.B.; Yirenya-Tawiah, D.; Nukpezah, D.; Abrahamya, A.; Labi, A.-K.; Grigoryan, R.; Ahmed, H.; Owusu-Danquah, J.; Annang, T.Y.; Banu, R.A.; et al. Reduced Bacterial Counts from a Sewage Treatment Plant but Increased Counts and Antibiotic Resistance in the Recipient Stream in Accra, Ghana—A Cross-Sectional Study. *Trop. Med. Infect. Dis.* 2021, 6, 79. <https://doi.org/10.3390/tropicalmed6020079>
- Afroz, R. (2016). *Health Impact of River Water Pollution in Malaysia*. International Islamic University Malaysia,
- Ahmed, W., Hughes, B., & Harwood, V. J. (2016). Current Status of Marker Genes of Bacteroides and Related Taxa for Identifying Sewage Pollution in Environmental Waters. *Water MDPI*, 8(231). doi:10.3390/w8060231
- Anastasi, E. M., Matthews, B., Stratton, H. M., & Katoulia, M. (2012). Pathogenic Escherichia coli Found in Sewage Treatment Plants and Environmental Waters. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 78(16). doi:10.1128/AEM.00657-12
- Andrew C., C. H. (2018). Bacterial Source Tracking. In M. T. Branch, Wastewater Technology Fact Sheet (p. 12). United States Environmental Protection Agency.
- Anita C. Wright, P. (2020). *ESCHERICHIA COLI & SHIGELLA*. Retrieved from Emerging Pathogens Institute University of Florida: <https://www.epi.ufl.edu/pathogens/human-pathogens/escherichia-coli-shigella/>
- Antwis, R. (2018). Clustering using Usearch 10 – A beginner’s guide. Retrieved

from <https://microbiomemethods.wordpress.com/2018/09/19/clustering-using-usearch-10-a-beginners-guide/>

- Ariffin, M., & Sulaiman, S. N. M. (2015). Regulating sewage pollution of Malaysian rivers and its challenges. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*(30), 168 - 173.
- Avedesian, J. V. (2020). *Bacteroides genetic markers for microbial source tracking fecal pollution in Ontario waters*. (Master of Science), The University of Guelph,
- Azhar B, Tohiran KA, Nobilly F, Zulkifli R, Syakir MI, Ishak Z, Razi N, Oon A, Shahdan A and Maxwell TMR (2021) Time to Revisit Oil Palm-Livestock Integration in the Wake of United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* 5:640285. doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2021.640285
- Azwad. (2017). Threats to rivers. *Conserving River Basins*. Retrieved from http://www.wwf.org.my/about_wwf/what_we_do/freshwater_main/freshwater_conse_rving_river_basins/threats_to_rivers/
- Bai, H., Lv, H., Deng, A., Jiang, X., Li, X., & Wen, T. (2020). *Lysobacter oculi* sp. nov., isolated from human Meibomian gland secretions. *Pubmed*, 113(1), 13-20. doi:10.1007/s10482-019-01289-1
- Balamurugan, R., Chittaranjan, S. P., Chandragunasekaran, A. M., & Ramakrishna, B. S. (2009). Molecular detection of the ruminal bacterium, *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens*, in feces from rural residents of southern India. *Microbial Ecology in Health and Disease*, 21, 38-43. doi:10.1080/08910600802636265
- Balestrini, M. Diversity index - Fisher's alpha parameter. Retrieved from http://groundvegetationdb-web.com/ground_veg/home/diversity_index
- Barandouzi, Z. A., Starkweather, A. R., Henderson, W. A., Gyamfi, A., & Cong, X. S. (2020). Altered Composition of Gut Microbiota in Depression: A Systematic Review. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 11(541). doi:10.3389/fpsy.2020.00541
- Bayer-Santos, E., Ceseti, L. d. M., Farah, C. S., & Alvarez-Martinez, C. E. (2019). Distribution, Function and Regulation of Type 6 Secretion Systems of Xanthomonadales. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01635>
- Bernama. (2019). Public apathy, illegal factories main causes of river pollution.

- The Sun Daily*. Retrieved from <https://www.thesundaily.my/local/public-apathy-illegal-factories-main-causes-of-river-pollution-CX707271>
- Bernama. (2020). Integrated approach needed to fight raw water contamination. *New Straits Times*. Retrieved from <https://www.nst.com.my/news/nation/2020/02/562529/integrated-approach-needed-fight-raw-water-contamination>
- Bilal, S. M. A. (2019). *DENTIFICATION OF HUMAN FECAL SOURCE TRAKING BACTERIA FROM SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT EFFLUENT*. (Master of Science), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Faculty of Science.
- Brown, T. (2019). Point Source and Nonpoint Sources of Pollution. In *National Geographic*. Resource Library.
- Cabral, J. P. S. (2010). Water Microbiology. Bacterial Pathogens and Water. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*(7), 3657-3703. doi:10.3390
- Carballo, O. C. (2019). *The Effect Of Early Life Nutrition On Rumen Microbial Community Development And Impact On Lifetime Performance In Ruminants*. (Doctor of Philosophy), Massey University,
- Carrero-Colon, M., Wickham, G. S., & Turco, R. F. (2011). Taxonomy, Phylogeny, and Physiology of Fecal Indicator Bacteria. In A. S. o. Microbiology (Ed.), *The Fecal Bacteria*. USA: ASM Press.
- Castillo, D. J., Rifkin, R. F., Cowan, D. A., & Potgieter, M. (2019). The Healthy Human Blood Microbiome: Fact or Fiction? *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*, 9(148). doi:10.3389/fcimb.2019.00148
- Chen, J., Zhao, J., Cao, Y., Zhang, G., Chen, Y., Zhong, J., . . . Wu, P. (2019). Relationship between alterations of urinary microbiota and cultured negative lower urinary tract symptoms in female type 2 diabetes patients. *BMC Urology*, 19(78).
- Chen, S. (2017). Saccharofermentans† (Publication no. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118960608.gbm01450>). from John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Chen, Y.-L., Lee, C.-C., Lin, Y.-L., Yin, K.-M., Ho, C.-L., & Liu, T. (2015). Obtaining long 16S rDNA sequences using multiple primers and its application on dioxincontaining samples. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 16.

- Cremonesi, P., Conte, G., Severgnini, M., F. Turri, A. M., E. Capra, L. R., Colombini, S., . . .
- Castiglioni, B. (2018). Evaluation of the effects of different diets on microbiome diversity and fatty acid composition of rumen liquor in dairy goat. *Animal : An International Journal of Animal Bioscience*, *12*(9), 1856-1866. doi:10.1017/S1751731117003433
- Daniel PR Herlemann, M. L., Klaus Ju`rgens, Joanna J Waniek, Stefan Bertilsson and Anders F Andersson. (2011). Transitions in bacterial communities along the 2000km salinity gradient of the Baltic Sea. *International Society for Microbial Ecology*, *5*, 1571–1579.
- Davis, C. L. (2013). *Metagenomic approaches to microbial source tracking*. (Master of Science in Cellular and Molecular Biology), University of Canterbury,
- De, R., Mukhopadhyay, A. K., & Dutta, S. (2020). Metagenomic analysis of gut microbiome and resistome of diarrheal fecal samples from Kolkata, India, reveals the core and variable microbiota including signatures of microbial dark matter. *Gut Pathogens*, *12*(32). doi:10.1186/s13099-020-00371-8
- DeGood, K. (2020). *A Call to Action on Combating Nonpoint Source and Stormwater Pollution*. USA: CAP.
- Denman, S. E., Fernandez, G. M., TakumiShinkai, MakotoMitsumori, & McSweeney, C. S. (2015). Metagenomic analysis of the rumen microbial community following inhibition of methane formation by a halogenated methane analog. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *6*(1087). doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.01087
- Do, T. H., Dao, T. K., Nguyen, K. H. V., Le, N. G., Nguyen, T. M. P., Le, T. L., Truong,
- N. H. (2018). Metagenomic analysis of bacterial community structure and diversity of lignocellulolytic bacteria in Vietnamese native goat rumen. *Asian-Australas J Anim Sci*, *31*(5), 738-747. doi:https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.17.0174
- DOE. (1974). *Environmental Quality Act 1974*. Laws of Malaysia ACT 127: Percetakan Nasional Malaysia Berhad.
- DOE. (2017). Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment - Core Services. Retrieved from <https://www.doe.gov.my/portalv1/en/tentang->

- DOE . (2021). *Malaysian Marine Water Quality Standards and Index*. Malaysia.
- Domingo, J. W. S., Lu, J., Shanks, O., Lamendella, R., Kelty, C., & Oerther, D. (2007). Development of host-specific metagenomic markers for microbial source tracking using a novel metagenomic approach. *Proceedings of the Water Environment Federation, 2007*(1). doi:10.2175/193864707787932496
- Domingo, J. W. S., & T.A.Edge. (2010). *Identification of primary sources of fecal pollution*. In K. P. G. Rees, D. Kay, J. Bartram and J. Santo Domingo (Ed.), (pp. 40).
- Elena V. KULAGINA, Boris A. EFIMOV, Phillipp Y. MAXIMOV, Lyudmila I. KAFARSKAIA, Andrei V. CHAPLIN & Andrei N. SHKOPOROV (2012) Species Composition of Bacteroidales Order Bacteria in the Feces of Healthy People of Various Ages, *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry*, 76:1, 169-171, DOI: 10.1271/bbb.110434
- Elizabeth Wheeler, D. A. S., Daniel B. Oerther, Niels Larsen and Lutgarde Raskin. (1996). The Oligonucleotide Probe Database. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 62(10), 3557–3559.
- Ellis, R. J., Bruce, K. D., Jenkins, C., Stothard, J. R., Ajarova, L., Mugisha, L., & Viney, M.
- E. (2013). Comparison of the Distal Gut Microbiota from People and Animals in Africa. *PLOS one*, 8(1). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054783
- Environmental Protection Administration Executive. (2020, May 28). *River Pollution Index*. Retrieved from Environmental Water Quality Information: https://wq.epa.gov.tw/EWQP/en/Encyclopedia/NounDefinition/Pedia_37.aspx
- Enzor, L. (2013). Species Richness: Definition & Determining Factors.
- EPA. (2012). Fecal Bacteria. Retrieved from <https://archive.epa.gov/water/archive/web/html/vms511.html>
- EPA. (2018). Basic Information about Nonpoint Source (NPS) Pollution. Retrieved from <https://www.epa.gov/nps/basic-information-about-nonpoint-source-nps-pollution>
- Expósito, R. G., Postma, J., Raaijmakers, J. M., & Bruijn, I. D. (2015). Diversity and Activity of Lysobacter Species from Disease Suppressive Soils. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 6(1243). doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.01243

- Feng, S. S. (2017, 25/05/2017). PM says targeting construction of 77 sewage treatment plants by 2040. *The Malay Mail Online*. Retrieved from <http://www.themalaymailonline.com/malaysia/article/pm-says-targeting-construction-of-77-sewage-treatment-plants-by-2040#AtPVa1hUWxDIo0UT.97>
- Fisher, J. C., Eren, A. M., Green, H. C., Shanks, O. C., Morrison, H. G., Vineis, J. H., . . . McLellan, S. L. (2015). Comparison of Sewage and Animal Fecal Microbiomes by Using Oligotyping Reveals Potential Human Fecal Indicators in Multiple Taxonomic Groups. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, *81*, 7023-7033. doi:10.1128/AEM.01524-15.
- Fisher, S., Tagliaferri, T., & Kephart, C. (2019). Assessment of fecal contamination sources to Alley Creek, Queens County, New York. Retrieved from <https://www.usgs.gov/centers/ny-water/science/assessment-fecal-contamination-sources-alley-creek-queens-county-new-york>
- FMT. (2019). Regard water pollution as a national security threat, urges Bar. *FMT*. Retrieved from <https://www.freemalaysiatoday.com/category/nation/2019/06/16/regard-water-pollution-a-national-security-threat-urges-bar/>
- FoodPrint. (2019, September 18). *How Industrial Agriculture Affects Our Water*. Retrieved from FoodPrint Issue: <https://foodprint.org/issues/how-industrial-agriculture-affects-our-water/>
- Fujio-Vejar, S., Vasquez, Y., Morales, P., Magne, F., Vera-Wolf, P., Ugalde, J. A., . . . Gotteland, M. (2017). The Gut Microbiota of Healthy Chilean Subjects Reveals a High Abundance of the Phylum Verrucomicrobia *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *8*(1221). doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.01221
- Giger-Reverdin, S., Domange, C., Broudiscou, L. P., Sauvart, D., & Berthelot, V. (2020). Rumen function in goats, an example of adaptive capacity. *Journal of Dairy Research*, *87*, 45-51. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022029920000060>
- Giri, S., & Qiu, Z. (2016). Understanding the relationship of land uses and water quality in Twenty First Century: A review. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *173*. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2016.02.029>
- Lee Goi C. The river water quality before and during the Movement Control Order (MCO) in Malaysia. Case Studies in Chemical and Environmental

- Engineering. 2020 Sep;2:100027. doi: 10.1016/j.cscee.2020.100027. Epub 2020 Aug 13. PMID: PMC7425716.
- Guindo, C. O., Davoust, B., Drancourt, M., & Grine, G. (2021). Diversity of Methanogens in Animals' Gut. *Microorganisms*, 9(13). doi:10.3390/microorganisms9010 013
- Guo, F., Ju, F., Cai, L., & Zhang, T. (2013). Taxonomic Precision of Different Hypervariable Regions of 16S rRNA Gene and Annotation Methods for Functional Bacterial Groups in Biological Wastewater Treatment. *PLOS one*, 8(10). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0076185
- Hagerty, S. L., Hutchison, K. E., Lowry, C. A., & Bryan, A. D. (2020). An empirically derived method for measuring human gut microbiome alpha diversity: Demonstrated utility in predicting healthrelated outcomes among a human clinical sample. *PLOS one*, 15(3). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0229204
- Han, X., Yang, Y., Yan, H., Wang, X., Qu, L., & Chen, Y. (2015). Rumen Bacterial Diversity of 80 to 110-Day-Old Goats Using 16S rRNA Sequencing. *PLOS one*, 2(10), 1 - 12. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0117811
- Hans Bruyninckx. (2021, may 11). *Clean water is life, health, food, leisure, energy...* Retrieved from European Environment Agency: <https://www.eea.europa.eu/signals/signals-2018-content-list/articles/clean-water-is-life-health>
- HANAFIAH M. M., H. R. (2021). WATER QUALITY OF THE KLANG RIVER, SELANGOR,. *APPLIED ECOLOGY AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH*, 19(5), 3655-3677. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.15666/aeer/1905_36553677
- Harwood, V. J., Staley, C., Badgley, B. D., Borges, K., & Korajkic, A. (2014). Microbial source tracking markers for detection of fecal contamination in environmental waters: relationships between pathogens and human health outcomes. *FEMS Microbiol Rev*, 38, 1-40.
- Hendricks, R., & Pool, E. J. (2012). The effectiveness of sewage treatment processes to remove fecal pathogens and antibiotic residues. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health*, 47, 289–297. doi:10.1080/10934529.2012.637432
- Holcomb, D.A., Stewart, J.R. Microbial Indicators of Fecal Pollution: Recent Progress and Challenges in Assessing Water Quality. *Curr Envir Health*

- Rpt* 7, 311–324 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40572-020-00278-1>
- Holman, D. B., Brunelle, B. W., Trachsel, J., & Allen, H. K. (2017). Meta-analysis To Define a Core Microbiota in the Swine Gut. *mSystems*. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00004-17>.
- Holman, D. B., & Gzyl, K. E. (2019). A meta-analysis of the bovine gastrointestinal tract microbiota. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 95. doi:10.1093/femsec/fiz072
- Hughes, B., Beale, D. J., Dennis, P. G., Cook, S., & Ahmed, W. (2017). Cross-Comparison of Human Wastewater-Associated Molecular Markers in Relation to Fecal Indicator Bacteria and Enteric Viruses in Recreational Beach Waters. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 83(8). doi:10.1128/AEM.00028-17
- Hussain, S. K. A., Srivastava, A., Kumar, A., Kumar, S., Tyagi, A., Panwar, S., . . . Tyagi, A.K. (2016). Characterization of CLA-producing *Butyrivibrio* spp. reveals strain-specific variations. *Springer Link*, 6(90). doi: 10.1007/s13205-016-0401-2
- I. I. Shabana, N. N. Albakri & N. A. Bouqellah (2021) Metagenomic investigation of faecal microbiota in sheep and goats of the same ages, Journal of Taibah University for Science, 15:1, 1-9, DOI: 10.1080/16583655.2020.1864930
- Iancu,R.(2015).The Influence of Microbiological Quality of Water Resources in Dairy Goat Farms. *Acta Universitatis Cibiniensis. Series E: Food Technology*,19(1) 73-80. <https://doi.org/10.1515/aucft-2015-0007>
- Illumina. (2011). Overview of tiled amplicon sequencing approach with MiSeq.
- Illumina. (2014). 16S Metagenomic Sequencing Library Preparation - Preparing 16S Ribosomal RNA Gene Amplicons for the Illumina MiSeq System. In *Instructions for preparing samples using the 16S Sample Preparation protocol. (15044223)*.
- Illumina (Producer). (2020). Illumina Adapter Sequences.
- J.Harwood, V., Ryu, H., & Domingo, J. S. (2011). Microbial Source Tracking. In M. j. sadowsky (Ed.), *The Fecal Bacteria* (pp. 189 - 216).
- Jean-Pierre Furet, Olivier Firmesse, Michèle Gourmelon, Chantal Bridonneau, Julien Tap, Stanislas Mondot, Joël Doré, Gérard Corthier, Comparative assessment of human and farm animal fecal microbiota using real-time quantitative PCR, *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, Volume 68, Issue 3, June 2009, Pages

351–362, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2009.00671.x>

- Jesús-Laboy, K. M. D., Godoy-Vitorino, F., Piceno, Y. M., Tom, L. M., Pantoja-Feliciano, I. G., Rivera-Rivera, M. J., . . . Domínguez-Bello, M. G. (2012). Comparison of the Fecal Microbiota in Feral and Domestic Goats. *Genes*, 3, 1-18. doi:10.3390/genes3010001
- Jiang, S., Huo, D., You, Z., Peng, Q., Ma, C., Chang, H., . . . Zhang, J. (2020). The distal intestinal microbiome of hybrids of Hainan black goats and Saanen goats. *PLOS one*, 15(1). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0228496
- Jones, S. (2009). Microbial contamination and shellfish safety. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*.
- Kaizhen L, Xu Q, Wang L, Wang J, Guo W, Zhou M. The impact of diet on the composition and relative abundance of rumen microbes in goat. *Asian-Australas J Anim Sci*. 2017 Apr;30(4):531-537. doi: 10.5713/ajas.16.0353. Epub 2016 Aug 10. PMID: 27507180; PMCID: PMC5394839.
- Karlsson, F. H., Ussery, D. W., Nielsen, J., & Nookaew, I. (2011). A closer look at bacteroides: phylogenetic relationship and genomic implications of a life in the human gut. *Microbial Ecology*, 61(3), 473-485. doi:10.1007/s00248-010-9796-1
- Kayo Bianco, Rodolpho Mattos Albano, Samara Sant'Anna de Oliveira, Ana Paula Alves Nascimento, Thaís dos Santos, Maysa Mandetta Clementino; Possible health impacts due to animal and human fecal pollution in water intended for drinking water supply of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Journal of Water Supply: Research and Technology-Aqua* 1 February 2020; 69 (1): 70–84. doi: <https://doi.org/10.2166/aqua.2019.061>
- Keikha, M. (2018). Williamsia spp. are emerging opportunistic bacteria. *New Microbe and New Infect*, 21.
- Kho Zhi Y., L. S. (2018). The Human Gut Microbiome – A Potential Controller of Wellness and Disease. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.01835
- Kiernan, D. (2020). 10.1: Introduction, Simpson's Index and Shannon-Weiner Index. In LibreTexts (Ed.), NATURAL RESOURCES BIOMETRICS. SUNY College of Environmental Science and Forestry.
- Kim, B.-R., Shin, J., Guevarra, R. B., Lee, J. H., Kim, D. W., Seol, K.-H., . . . Isaacson, R. E. (2017). Deciphering Diversity Indices for a Better

- Understanding of Microbial Communities. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 27(12), 2089-2093. doi:10.4014/jmb.1709.09027
- Ko, A. I., Goarant, C., & Picardeau, M. (2009). Leptospira: the dawn of the molecular genetics era for an emerging zoonotic pathogen. *Nature Review*, 7. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2208
- Kongprajug Akechai, D. T. (2021). Human Fecal Pollution Monitoring and Microbial Risk Assessment for Water Reuse Potential in a Coastal Industrial–Residential Mixed-Use Watershed. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2021.647602
- Kongprajug, A., Chyerochana, N., Somnark, P., Kampaengthong, P. L., Mongkolsuk, S., & Sirikanchana, K. (2019). Human and animal microbial source tracking in a tropical river with multiple land use activities. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*, 222(4), 645-654. doi:10.1016/j.ijheh.2019.01.005
- Lee, D.-G., Trujillo, M. E., Kang, S., Nam, J.-J., & Kim, Y.-J. (2018). Epidermidibacterium keratini gen. nov., sp. nov., a member of the family Sporichthyaceae, isolated from keratin epidermis. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, 68. doi:10.1099/ijsem.0.002579
- Lei, Z., Zhang, K., Li, C., Jiao, T., Wu, J., Wei, Y., . . . Wang, J. (2019). Ruminal metagenomic analyses of goat data reveals potential functional microbiota by supplementation with essential oilcobalt complexes. *BMC Microbiology*, 19(30). doi:10.1186/s12866-019-1400-3
- Leight, A. K., Crump, B. C., & Hood, R. R. (2018). Assessment of Fecal Indicator Bacteria and Potential Pathogen Co-Occurrence at a Shellfish Growing Area. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9(384). doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.00384
- Li B, Zhang K, Li C, Wang X, Chen Y and Yang Y (2019) Characterization and Comparison of Microbiota in the Gastrointestinal Tracts of the Goat (*Capra hircus*) During Prewaning Development. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:2125. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.02125
- Li, E.; Saleem, F.; Edge, T.A.; Schellhorn, H.E. Biological Indicators for Fecal Pollution Detection and Source Tracking: A Review. *Processes* 2021, 9, 2058. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr9112058>
- Lifetech, N., & Base, s. (2020). NGS10564 - Overview on amplicon sequence analysis - 16S rRNA / 18S rRNA and Fungi ITS. file:///C:/Users/Sony/Desktop/utm/New%20folder/NGS10564%20-

%20BI%20Report/BI%20Report/Report.html

- Lionetto, M.G.; Caricato, R.; Giordano, M.E. Pollution Biomarkers in the Framework of Marine Biodiversity Conservation: State of Art and Perspectives. *Water* **2021**, *13*, 1847 <https://doi.org/10.3390/w13131847>
- Liu, K., Xu, Q., Wang, L., Wang, J., Guo, W., & Zhou, M. (2017). The impact of diet on the composition and relative abundance of rumen microbes in goat. *Asian-Australas J Anim Sci*, *30*(4), 531-537. doi:10.5713/ajas.16.0353
- Loannou, P., Mavrikaki, V., & Kofteridis, D. P. (2020). Roseomonas species infections in humans: a systematic review. *Journal of Chemotherapy*, *32*(5), 226-236. doi:10.1080/1120009X.2020.1785742
- M.Kate. (2017). How is species diversity measured? Retrieved from <https://socratic.org/questions/how-is-species-diversity-measured>
- M.M.Shahabudin, & S.Musa. (2017). *Occurrence of Surface Water Contaminations : An Overview*. Paper presented at the Earth and Environmental Science.
- Maidin, A. J. B. (2005). Challenges in implementing and enforcing environmental protection measures in Malaysia. Retrieved from Makuwa S, Tlou M, Fosso-Kankeu E, Green E. Evaluation of Fecal Coliform Prevalence and Physicochemical Indicators in the Effluent from a Wastewater Treatment Plant in the North-West Province, South Africa. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020 Sep 2;*17*(17):6381. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17176381. PMID: 32887228; PMCID: PMC7503972.
- Mandal, R. S., Saha, S., & Das, S. (2015). Metagenomic Surveys of Gut Microbiota. *Genomics Proteomics Bioinformatics*, *13*, 148 - 158. doi:10.1016/j.gpb.2015.02.005 McLellan, S. L., Sauer, E. P., Corsi, S. R., Bootsma, M. J., Boehm, A., Spencer, S. K., &
- Mazni Saad, T. P. (2013). Use of Rapid Microbial Kits for Regular Monitoring of Food-contact Surfaces towards Hygiene Practices. *Elsevier*. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.11.029>
- Borchardt, M. A. (2018). Sewage loading and microbial risk in urban waters of the Great Lakes. *Elementa Science of the Anthropocene*, *6*(46). doi:10.1525/elementa.301
- Meaysa, C. L., Broersmab, K., Nordina, R., & Mazumdera, A. (2004). Source

- tracking fecal bacteria in water: a critical review of current methods. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 73, 71-79. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2004.06.001
- Moh, J. (2016). Natural Resources and Environment Ministry flexing muscles to protect water sources. *New Straits Times*. Retrieved from <https://www.nst.com.my/news/2016/11/186380/natural-resources-and-environment-ministry-flexing-muscles-protect-water-sources>
- Mohammad, D. N. (2011). Environmental Law and Policy Practices in Malaysia: An empirical study. *Australian Journal of Basic And Applied Sciences*, 5(9), 1248-1260.
- Moissl-Eichinger, C., Probst, A. J., Birarda, G., Auerbach, A., Koskinen, K., Wolf, P., & Holman, H.-Y. N. (2017). Human age and skin physiology shape diversity and abundance of Archaea on skin. *Nature Scientific Reports*, 7(4039). doi:10.1038/s41598-017-04197-4
- Moriken Camara, N. R. (2019). Impact of land uses on water quality in Malaysia: a review. *Ecological Processes*, 8(1). doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13717-019-0164-x
- Nappier, S. (2015). *REVIEW OF COLIPHAGES AS POSSIBLE INDICATORS OF FECAL CONTAMINATION FOR AMBIENT WATER QUALITY*. (820-R-15-098). U.S. EPA Headquarters
- Nathalie We'ry, C. M., Anne-Marie Pourcher, Jean-Jacques Godon (2010). Human-specific fecal bacteria in wastewater treatment plant effluents. *Elsevier Water Research*, 44, 1873-1883. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2009.11.027
- Nebra, Y., Bonjoch, X., & Blanch, A. R. (2003). Use of Bifidobacterium dentium as an Indicator of the Origin of Fecal Water Pollution. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 69(5). doi:10.1128/AEM.69.5.2651-2656.2003
- Nguyen, K. H., Senay, C., Young, S., Nayak, B., Lobos, A., Conrad, J., & Harwood, V. J. (2018). Determination of wild animal sources of fecal indicator bacteria by microbial source tracking (MST) influences regulatory decisions. *Water Research*, 1(144). doi:10.1016/j.watres.2018.07.034
- Olds, H. T., Corsi, S. R., Dila, D. K., Halmo, K. M., Bootsma, M. J., & McLellan, S. L. (2018). High levels of sewage contamination released from urban areas after storm events: A quantitative survey with sewage specific bacterial indicators. *PLoS Medicine*, 15(7).

doi:<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002614>

- Othman, F., Chowdhury, M. S., & Sakai, N. (2014). Assessment of microorganism pollution of Selangor River, Malaysia. *Int'l Journal of Advances in Agricultural & Environmental Engg. (IJAAEE)*, 1(2), 203 - 207.
- Palevich, N., Kelly, W. J., Leahy, S. C., Altermann, E., Rakonjac, J., & Attwood, G. T. (2017). The complete genome sequence of the rumen bacterium *Butyrivibrio hungatei* MB2003. *Standards in Genomic Sciences*, 12(72). doi:10.1186/s40793-017-0285-8
- Park, D., Kim, H., & Yoon, S. (2017). Nitrous Oxide Reduction by an Obligate Aerobic Bacterium, *Gemmatimonas aurantiaca* Strain T-27. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00502-17>
- Paruch, L., Paruch, A.M., Eiken, H.G. *et al.* Fecal pollution affects abundance and diversity of aquatic microbial community in anthropo-zoogenically influenced lotic ecosystems. *Sci Rep* 9, 19469 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-56058-x>
- Perea, K., Perz, K., Olivo, S. K., Williams, A., Lachman, M., Ishaq, S. L., . . . Yeoman, C. J. (2017). Feed efficiency phenotypes in lambs involve changes in ruminal, colonic, and small-intestine-located microbiota. *J. Anim. Sci*, 95, 2585–2592. doi:10.2527/jas2016.1222
- Pike, L. J., & Forster, S. C. (2018). A new piece in the microbiome puzzle. *Macmillan Publishers Limited*, 16.
- Povera, A. (2017, 07/09/2017). Many rivers in West Malaysia considered 'dead'. *New Straits Times*. Retrieved from <https://www.nst.com.my/news/nation/2017/09/277284/many-rivers-west-malaysia-considered-dead>
- Purnell, S. E. (2012). *Bacteriophage of Enterococcus species for microbial source tracking*. University of Brighton,
- Qian Y, Yang X, Xu S, et al. Detection of Microbial 16S rRNA Gene in the Blood of Patients With Parkinson's Disease. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*. 2018 ;10:156. DOI: 10.3389/fnagi.2018.00156. PMID: 29881345; PMCID: PMC5976788.
- Rajilić-Stojanović, M., & Vos, W. M. d. (2014). The first 1000 cultured species of the human gastrointestinal microbiota. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 38(5),

996-1047. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6976.12075>

- Rizzatti, G., Lopetuso, L. R., G. Gibiino, C. B., & Gasbarrini, A. (2017). Proteobacteria: A Common Factor in Human Diseases. *Hindawi Biomed Research International*, 2017. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/9351507>
- Rocha, U. N. d., Elsas, J. D. v., & Overbeek, L. S. v. (2011). Verrucomicrobiasubdivision1strains displayadiference in the colonizationofthe leek (Alliumporrum)rhizosphere. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2011.01156.x
- Rochelle-Newall, E., Nguyen, T. M. H., Le, T. P. Q., Sengtaheuanghoung, O., & Ribolzi, O. (2015). A short review of fecal indicator bacteria in tropical aquatic ecosystems: knowledge gaps and future directions. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 6(308). doi:<https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00308>
- Rossau, R., Landschoot, A. V., Gillis, M., & Ley, J. D. (1991). Taxonomy of Moraxellaceae fam. nov., a New Bacterial Family To Accommodate the Genera Moraxella, Acinetobacter, and Psychrobacter and Related Organisms. *International Journal Of Systematic Bacteriology*, 41(2), 310-319.
- Rossmann, S. N., Wilson, P. H., Hicks, J., Carter, B., Cron, S. G., Simon, C., . . . Kline, M.W. (1998). Isolation of Lautropia mirabilis from Oral Cavities of Human Immunodeficiency Virus-Infected Children. *Journal of CLinical Microbiology*, 36(6), 1756-1760.
- Sandle, T. (2011, June 7). *Identifying Microbial Contamination*. Retrieved from Pharmaceutical Online: <https://www.pharmaceuticalonline.com/doc/identifying-microbial-contamination-0001>Schloss, P. D., Gevers, D., & Westcott, S. L. (2011). Reducing the Effects of PCR Amplification and Sequencing Artifacts on 16S rRNA-Based Studies. *PLOS one*, 6(12). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0027310
- Scott, T. M., Rose, J. B., Jenkins, T. M., Samuel R. Farrah, & Lukasik, J. (2002). Microbial Source Tracking: Current Methodology and Future Directions. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 68(12), 5796-5803.
- Shah, J. A., & Pandit, A. K. (2013). Application of diversity indices to crustacean community of Wular Lake, Kashmir Himalaya. *International Journal of Biodiversity and Conservation*, 5(6). doi:10.5897/IJBC2013.0567

- Shahperi, R., Din, M. F. M., Ismail, Z., & Chelliapan, S. (2011). Prediction on Water Quality of Point-Source Pollution for Lunchoo River, Malaysia. *Environment and Natural Resources Research*, *1*(1). doi:doi:10.5539/enrr.v1n1p130
- Roslan M.Y, D. M. (2019, January). Characterisation of Wastewater Quality from A Local Ruminant Abattoir in banting, Selangor, Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Veterinary Research*, *10*(1), 78-86.
- Sinton, L. W., Finlay, R. K., & Hannah, D. J. (1998). Distinguishing human from animal fecal contamination in water: A review. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research*, *32*, 323-348. doi:https://doi.org/10.1080/00288330.1998.9516828
- Stearns, J. C., Lynch, M. D. J., Senadheera, D. B., Tenenbaum, H. C., Goldberg, M. B., Cvitkovitch, D. G., . . . Neufeld, J. D. (2011). Bacterial biogeography of the human digestive tract. *Scientific Reports*, *1*(170). doi:10.1038/srep00170
- Tabatabaei, M., Zakaria, M. R., Rahim, R. A., Abdullah, N., Wright, a. G., Shirai, Y., . . . Hassan, M. A. (2010). Comparative study of methods for extraction and purification of environmental DNA from high-strength wastewater sludge. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, *9*(31), 4926-4937.
- Tallon, P., Magajna, B., Lofranco, C., & Leung, K. T. (2005). Microbial Indicators Of Fecal Contamination In Water: A Current Perspective. *Water, Air and Soil Pollution*, *166*, 139-166. doi:10.1007/s11270-005-7905-4
- Tao, X., Guo, F., Zhou, Q., Hu, F., Xiang, H., Xiao, G. G., & Shang, D. (2019). Bacterial community mapping of the intestinal tract in acute pancreatitis rats based on 16S rDNA gene sequence analysis. *The Royal Society of Chemistry*, *9*, 5025–5036. doi:10.1039/c8ra09547g
- Tatsuya Unno, C. S.-G. (2018). Fecal pollution: new trends and challenges in microbial source tracking using next-generation sequencing. *Environmental Microbiology*. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.14281
- Toit, A. D. (2019). Risk and protection in the gut. *Nature Review*, *17*.
- Unno, T., Staley, C., Brown, C. M., Han, D., Sadowsky, M. J., & Hur, H. G. (2018). Fecal pollution: new trends and challenges in microbial. *Environmental Microbiology*, *20*(9), 3132 - 3140. doi:10.1111/1462-2920.14281
- USGS. (2017, Jan 4). *Fecal Indicator Bacteria and Sanitary Water Quality*. Retrieved

from Michigan Water Science Center:
<https://mi.water.usgs.gov/h2oqual/BactHOWeb.html>

- Wang, L., Liu, K., Wang, Z., Bai, X., Peng, Q., & Jin, L. (2019). Bacterial Community Diversity Associated With Different Utilization Efficiencies of Nitrogen in the Gastrointestinal Tract of Goats. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *10*(239). doi:<https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00239>
- Wang, L., Xu, Q., Kong, F., Yang, Y., DeWu, Mishra, S., & Li, Y. (2016). Exploring the Goat Rumen Microbiome from Seven Days to Two Years. *PLOS one*, *5*(11), 1 - 13. doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0154354](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0154354)
- Wang, W.-L., Xu, S.-Y., Ren, Z.-G., Tao, L., Jiang, J.-W., & Zheng, S.-S. (2015). Application of metagenomics in the human gut microbiome. *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, *21*(3). doi:[10.3748/wjg.v21.i3.803](https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v21.i3.803)
- Weiss, S., Xu, Z. Z., Peddada, S., Amir, A., Bittinger, K., Gonzalez, A., . . . Knight, R. (2017). Normalization and microbial differential abundance strategies depend upon data characteristics. *Microbiome*, *5*(27). doi:[10.1186/s40168-017-0237-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-017-0237-y)
- WHO. (2006). Fecal pollution and water quality. In W. H. Organization (Ed.), *Guidelines for safe recreational water environments*.
- Willis, A. D. (2019). Rarefaction, Alpha Diversity, and Statistics. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *10*(2407). doi:[10.3389/fmicb.2019.02407](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02407)
- Yegnasubramanian, S. (2013). Preparation of Fragment Libraries for Next-Generation Sequencing on the Applied Biosystems SOLiD Platform. *NIH Public Health*, *529*, 185-200. doi:[10.1016/B978-0-12-418687-3.00015-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-418687-3.00015-X).
- Yokoyama, Y., Kino, J., Okazaki, K., & Yamamoto, Y. (1994). Mycobacteria in the human intestine. *BMJ Journal*, *35*(5).
- Yolken, R., Prandovszky, E., G. Severance, E., Hatfield, G., & Faith Dickerson. (2020). The oropharyngeal microbiome is altered in individuals with schizophrenia and mania. *The oropharyngeal microbiome is altered in individuals with schizophrenia and mania*. Retrieved from doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2020.03.010>
- Yu, J., Cai, L., Zhang, J., Yang, A., Wang, Y., Zhang, L., . . . Qi, D. (2020). Effects of Thymol Supplementation on Goat Rumen Fermentation and Rumen Microbiota In Vitro. *MDPI Microorganisms*, *8*. doi:[10.3390/microorganisms8081160](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8081160)

- Zaheer, R., Lakin, S. M., Polo, R. O., Cook, S. R., Larney, F. J., Morley, P. S., . . . McAllister, T. A. (2019). Comparative diversity of microbiomes and Resistomes in beef feedlots, downstream environments and urban sewage influent. *BMC Microbiology*, *19*(197). doi:10.1186/s12866-019-1548-x
- Zhu, Z., Hang, S., Mao, S., & Zhu, W. (2014). Diversity of Butyrivibrio Group Bacteria in the Rumen of Goats and Its Response to the Supplementation of Garlic Oil. *Asian Australas. J. Anim. Sci.*, *27*(2). doi:10.5713/ajas.2013.13373
- Zolfo, M., Asnicar, F., Manghi, P., Pasolli, E., Tett, A., & Segata, N. (2018). Profiling microbial strains in urban environments using metagenomic sequencing data. *Biology Direct*, *13*(9). doi:10.1186/s13062-018-0211-z