

COMPARISON BETWEEN FIBER BRAGG GRATINGS AND  
CONVENTIONAL PRESSURE SENSOR IN SURFACE PRESSURE ANALYSIS  
OF GENERIC TRANSPORT AIRCRAFT

MUHAMMAD IZUAN BIN MISKON

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

COMPARISON BETWEEN FIBER BRAGG GRATINGS AND  
CONVENTIONAL PRESSURE SENSOR IN SURFACE PRESSURE ANALYSIS  
OF GENERIC TRANSPORT AIRCRAFT

MUHAMMAD IZUAN BIN MISKON

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Philosophy

Faculty of Science  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2020

## **DEDICATION**

This thesis is wholeheartedly dedicated to my beloved father and mother, who has been my source of inspiration and strength, who continually provide their moral, spiritual, emotional, and financial support.

To my brothers and sisters, who shared their words of advice and encouragement to finish this thesis.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor, Dr Raja Kamarulzaman Raja Ibrahim, for encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship. I am also very thankful to my co-supervisor Dr Shabudin Mat and Dr Asrul Izam Bin Azmi for their guidance, advices and motivation. Without their continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

I am also indebted to lab assistance, En Mahathir from Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, and En Sauffie from Laser Center Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), for their assistance in experimental preparation, materials supply, and advices to improvise the outcome of this study.

My fellow postgraduate student should also be recognised for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed.

Last but not least, I am grateful for the research funding received from Fundamental Research Grant Scheme awarded by Ministry of Education Malaysia (VOT number 4F869), and Research University Grant awarded by Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (VOT number 13H67).

## ABSTRACT

UTM-LST model is a semi span model of aircraft wing which is scaled as 9% of generic transport. This model is important in investigating aircraft wing aerodynamic performance such as lift, drag and shear forces acting on the wing in various conditions. These forces affect an important aircraft flight parameter which is pressure coefficient,  $C_p$  and flight performance due to air vortex formation. The established technique for measuring  $C_p$  is using conventional static pressure sensors embedded into the wing model. This work focuses on measurement of  $C_p$  and locating air vortex formation on the UTM-LST half model surface. Two techniques, namely, fiber Bragg gratings (FBGs) strain sensor and static pressure sensors were employed for these purposes and measurement were performed inside a low speed wind tunnel. The FBGs strain sensor was placed as close as possible to the pressure sensor taps to allow more accurate comparison of  $C_p$  measurement between these two techniques. The wind tunnel test was conducted at the wind speed,  $v$  in the range of  $20 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  to  $40 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  and the angle of attack,  $\alpha$  in the range of  $0^\circ$  to  $20^\circ$ . The results revealed that FBGs sensors are capable in measuring  $C_p$  and to locate the air vortex formation on the wing. The  $C_p$  values obtained using FBGs were well in agreement with  $C_p$  values obtained using conventional static pressure sensors except the  $C_p$  obtained at separation region. The  $C_p$  measured using FBGs experiences strain due to air pressure from all directions is not only from wind flow but from vortices as well. The  $C_p$  obtained by pressure sensor is only affected by pressure difference. Thus,  $C_p$  measured using FBGs is higher than the one measured by pressure sensors. The formation of air vortex using FBGs can then be determined based on the location on wing that has a higher  $C_p$  from FBGs sensor compared to the one measured using conventional pressure sensors. In conclusion, the FBG strain sensor can be used as an alternative technique to determine  $C_p$  and to locate air vortex formation.

## ABSTRAK

Model UTM-LST adalah model separuh panjang sayap pesawat yang berskala 9% daripada pesawat komersial. Model ini penting untuk mengkaji prestasi aerodinamik sayap pesawat seperti daya angkat, daya seretan dan daya ricihan yang bertindak pada sayap dalam pelbagai keadaan. Daya ini mempengaruhi parameter penerbangan pesawat yang penting iaitu pekali tekanan,  $C_p$  dan prestasi penerbangan yang disebabkan oleh pembentukan vorteks udara. Teknik yang digunakan untuk mengukur  $C_p$  adalah sensor tekanan statik konvensional yang telah terbina di dalam model sayap. Kerja ini memberi tumpuan kepada pengukuran  $C_p$  dan untuk mencari lokasi pembentukan vorteks udara pada permukaan model sayap UTM-LST. Dua teknik iaitu sensor terikan parutan Bragg gentian (FBG) dan sensor tekanan statik telah digunakan untuk tujuan tersebut dan ukuran ini telah dilakukan di dalam terowong angin kelajuan rendah. Sensor terikan FBGs diletakkan sedekat mungkin dengan paip sensor tekanan untuk membolehkan perbandingan lebih tepat bagi pengukuran  $C_p$  menggunakan kedua-dua teknik ini. Ujian terowong angin dilakukan pada kelajuan angin,  $v$  dalam julat  $20 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  sehingga  $40 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  dan sudut serang,  $\alpha$  dalam julat  $0^\circ$  sehingga  $20^\circ$ . Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa sensor FBG mampu mengukur  $C_p$  dan mencari pembentukan vorteks udara pada sayap. Nilai  $C_p$  yang diperoleh menggunakan FBG hampir sama dengan nilai  $C_p$  yang diperoleh menggunakan sensor tekanan statik konvensional kecuali  $C_p$  yang diperoleh di kawasan pemisahan aliran. Nilai  $C_p$  yang diukur dengan menggunakan FBG adalah disebabkan tekanan udara yang bertindak dari semua arah dan bukan hanya disebabkan aliran angin, malah juga dari vorteks. Nilai  $C_p$  yang diperoleh sensor tekanan hanya dipengaruhi oleh perbezaan tekanan. Oleh itu, nilai  $C_p$  yang diukur menggunakan FBG menjadi lebih tinggi daripada bacaan sensor tekanan. Pembentukan vorteks udara menggunakan FBG boleh ditentukan berdasarkan lokasi pada sayap yang mempunyai nilai  $C_p$  yang lebih tinggi berbanding dengan  $C_p$  yang diukur menggunakan sensor tekanan konvensional. Kesimpulannya, sensor FBG boleh digunakan sebagai kaedah alternatif untuk menentukan  $C_p$  dan untuk mencari pembentukan vorteks udara.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>viii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>x</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xi</b>
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xv</b>
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xvi</b>
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Background of the study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Research Objectives	4
	1.4 Scope of the Study	5
	1.5 Significance of the Study	5
	1.6 Thesis Outlines	6
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>9</b>
	2.1 Introduction	9
	2.2 Aerodynamic Characteristics of Airfoil	9
	2.3 Flow Separation	12
	2.4 Pressure Coefficient, $C_p$	15
	2.5 Conventional Pressure Sensors	17
	2.5.1 Pressure-Sensitive Paint, (PSP)	17
	2.5.2 Static Pressure Sensors	23
	2.6 Fiber Bragg Gratings (FBGs) Strain Sensors	29

2.7	UTM-Low Speed Tunnel and Half Model	36
2.8	Instrumentation	40
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>43</b>
3.1	Introduction	43
3.2	Flow Chart of the Study	43
3.3	Fiber Bragg Gratings Strain Sensor	46
3.3.1	Fiber Bragg Gratings Array Design	46
3.3.2	Fiber Bragg Gratings Array Calibration	49
3.4	Experimental Set up	51
3.5	Pressure Coefficient, $C_p$ Measurement	52
3.5.1	Pressure Measuring Module	52
3.5.2	FBGs Array and Interrogator	53
3.6	Pressure Distribution and Flow Separation Location	54
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>59</b>
4.1	Introduction	59
4.2	Pressure Coefficient, $C_p$ Measurement	59
4.3	Pressure Coefficient Comparison Along the Chord	63
4.4	Point Analysis within Separation Region	70
4.5	Summary	76
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	<b>79</b>
5.1	Introduction	79
5.2	Conclusion	79
5.3	Recommendations	80
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>83</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>89</b>
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>93</b>



## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Specification of UTM-LST half model	37
3.1	Wind tunnel test configurations	45
3.2	Fiber Bragg Grating array specifications	47
3.3	Example of data and pressure coefficient at $v = 20 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ , $\alpha = 0^\circ$ for FBGs	54

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Forces acting on flying airplane (Illman, P. E. and Gailey, 2000)	10
2.2	Center of pressure and forces acting on taking off airplane wing (Anderson, 1999)	11
2.3	Free stagnation flow with separation (Prandtl, 1935)	12
2.4	The Separation Point on Wall of an Object (Bakker, 2006)	13
2.5	Flow boundary layer and velocity profile near the separation points (White, 2015)	13
2.6	Contours of laminar separation bubbles at $\alpha = 4^\circ$ , $Re = 60,000$ (Bernal <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	14
2.7	Contours of laminar separation bubbles at $\alpha = 8^\circ$ , $Re = 60,000$ (Bernal <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	14
2.8	Contours of laminar separation bubbles at $\alpha = 11^\circ$ , $Re = 60,000$ (Bernal <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	15
2.9	Pressure coefficient distribution around an airfoil (Dala, 2012)	17
2.10	Excitation and Luminescence PSP sensor (Liu <i>et al.</i> , 1997)	18
2.11	Typical PSP Absorption and Emission Spectra (McLachlan and Bell, 1995)	18
2.12	Generic CCD camera system for PSP (Liu, T., Sullivan, 2005)	20
2.13	Results on the delta wing showing comparison between PSP and pressure port data (Raju <i>et al.</i> , 2006)	21
2.14	JAXA PSP measurement set up (Nakakita <i>et al.</i> , 2004)	22
2.15	PSP and CFD comparison result (Nakakita <i>et al.</i> , 2004)	22

2.16	Semiconductor distortion gauge construction (OMRON Corporations, 2018)	24
2.17	General set up for conventional static pressure sensor (Ghosh <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	24
2.18	Pressure taps sequences (Ghosh <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	25
2.19	Pressure distribution for IIHR at different angle of attacks: (a) $\alpha = 0^\circ$ , (b) $\alpha = 8^\circ$ , and (c) $\alpha = 16^\circ$ (Ghosh <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	26
2.20	Segments and dimension of; (a) Curved leading edge, (b) rectangular Planform (Haque <i>et al.</i> , 2015)	27
2.21	Surface pressure coefficient at $\alpha = 0^\circ$ (Haque <i>et al.</i> , 2015)	27
2.22	Surface pressure coefficient at $\alpha = 12^\circ$ (Haque <i>et al.</i> , 2015)	28
2.23	Elements of FBGs (Libish, 2015)	29
2.24	Working principle of FBGs (Libish, 2015)	30
2.25	Bragg wavelength transmission profile of different grating lengths (Ando <i>et al.</i> , 2004)	31
2.26	Fiber grating pressure sensor using metal tube packaging (Liu <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	32
2.27	Reflection wavelength shift of FBGs pressure sensor (Liu <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	32
2.28	Film-type FBGs pressure sensor experiment set up (Nakajima <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	33
2.29	Measured and calculated pressure coefficient on wing surface at $\alpha = 4^\circ$ (Nakajima <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	34
2.30	Measured and calculated pressure coefficient on wing surface at $\alpha = 12^\circ$ (Nakajima <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	34
2.31	FBGs sensor embedded on the wing model using epoxy tube (Chehura <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	35
2.32	The static pressure measurement at the $\alpha = 12^\circ$ (Chehura <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	35

2.33	The static pressure measurement at the $\alpha = 16^\circ$ (Chehura <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	36
2.34	UTM-LST wind tunnel components (Mansor, 2008)	37
2.35	UTM-LST half model dimension (Ujang, 2016)	38
2.36	Mounted UTM-LST Half Model on wind tunnel balance	39
2.37	Installation of UTM-LST Half Model with semi-span balance in UTM-LST wind tunnel (Mansor, 2008)	39
2.38	Smart Fibers 16-80 Modular Scanner FBG interrogator	40
2.39	FKPS 30DP Pressure Measuring Module	41
3.1	Flow chart of the study	44
3.2	Fiber Bragg Gratings array design	46
3.3	FBGs array spectrum	48
3.4	FBGs strain sensors attached on UTM-LST half model	48
3.5	Graph of pressure and wavelength shift against wind speed	50
3.6	Strain relation between pressure and Bragg wavelength shift	50
3.7	Experimental Set up	51
3.8	Example of comparison between $C_p$ against $x/c$ for FBGs strain sensor and pressure sensor	55
3.9	Example of flow separation location and the strain effect on the FBGs strain sensor at $v = 30 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ and $\alpha =$ $3^\circ$	56
3.10	$C_p$ comparison at different angle of attack at $x/c =$ $0.3894$ , $v = 20 \text{ m s}^{-1}$	57
4.1	Wavelength shift and pressure at $v = 20 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ , $\alpha = 0^\circ$	60
4.2	Wavelength shift and pressure at $v = 30 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ , $\alpha = 3^\circ$	61
4.3	Example of $C_p$ against $x/c$ graph at: (a) $v = 20 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ at $\alpha = 0^\circ$ , (b) $v = 30 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ at $\alpha = 3^\circ$	62

4.4	Sample of pressure coefficient graph of an airfoil (Hu and Yang, 2008)	63
4.5	$C_p$ against $x/c$ graph for 30DP FKPS at $v = 20 \text{ m s}^{-1}$	65
4.6	$C_p$ against $x/c$ graph for FBGs sensor at $v = 20 \text{ m s}^{-1}$	66
4.7	$C_p$ against $x/c$ graph for 30DP FKPS at $v = 30 \text{ m s}^{-1}$	67
4.8	$C_p$ against $x/c$ graph for FBGs sensor at $v = 30 \text{ m s}^{-1}$	68
4.9	$C_p$ against $x/c$ graph for 30DP FKPS at $v = 40 \text{ m s}^{-1}$	69
4.10	$C_p$ against $x/c$ graph for FBGs sensor at $v = 40 \text{ m s}^{-1}$	69
4.11	The forces acting on FBGs strain sensor and conventional pressure sensor at $\alpha = 0^\circ$	71
4.12	The forces acting on FBGs strain sensor and conventional pressure sensor at $\alpha > 0^\circ$	72
4.13	$C_p$ comparison at different angle of attack at $x/c = 0.3894$ , $v = 20 \text{ m s}^{-1}$	73
4.14	$C_p$ comparison at different angle of attack at $x/c = 0.4602$ , $v = 20 \text{ m s}^{-1}$	73
4.15	$C_p$ comparison at different angle of attack at $x/c = 0.3245$ , $v = 30 \text{ m s}^{-1}$	74
4.16	$C_p$ comparison at different angle of attack at $x/c = 0.3894$ , $v = 30 \text{ m s}^{-1}$	75
4.17	$C_p$ comparison at different angle of attack at $x/c = 0.4602$ , $v = 30 \text{ m s}^{-1}$	76

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

PSP	-	Pressure-sensitive paint
DBD	-	Dielectric barrier discharge
FBGs	-	Fiber Bragg Gratings
UTM-LST	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia Low Speed Wind Tunnel
CCD	-	Charge-coupled device
PIV	-	Particle Image Velocimetry
UV	-	Ultraviolet
LED	-	Light-emitting diode
PMT	-	Photomultiplier tubes
PD	-	Photodiodes
JAXA	-	Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency
NASA	-	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
TWT1	-	Transonic wind tunnel
PtTFPP	-	Platinum(II) mesotetra(pentafluorophenyl) porphine
TSP	-	Temperature-sensitive paint
CFD	-	Computational Fluid Dynamics
USA	-	United States of America

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$C_p$	-	Pressure coefficient
$v$	-	Free stream velocity
$\alpha$	-	Angle of attack
$L$	-	Lift
$D$	-	Drag
$R$	-	Resultant force
$W$	-	Weight
$M$	-	Moment
$m$	-	Moment coefficient
$S$	-	Surface area
$\mu^\infty$	-	Speed constant
$Re$	-	Reynold number
$P$	-	Static pressure at point of interest
$P_o$	-	Static pressure in free stream
$\tau$	-	Lifetime
$I$	-	Intensity
$K_{SV}$	-	Stern-Volmer constant
$Q$	-	Quencher or partial pressure of oxygen
$\lambda_B$	-	Bragg wavelength
$n$	-	Refractive index
$\Lambda$	-	Grating period
$\Delta\lambda$	-	Wavelength shift
$\lambda_o$	-	Initial wavelength
$p_e$	-	Strain-optic coefficient
$\varepsilon$	-	Strain experienced by the grating
$\alpha_\Lambda$	-	Thermal expansion coefficient
$\alpha_n$	-	Thermo-optic coefficient
$\Delta T$	-	Temperature change
$x/c$	-	Ratio of point of measurement and chord length

## LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A(i)	Specifications of UTM-LST wind tunnel	89
A(ii)	Flow qualities of the UTM-LST wind tunnels compared to other wind tunnels in Malaysia	90
B	Sensitivity of each FBGs grating array	91
C	Specification of Modular SmartScan FBGs Interrogator	92





# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background of Study

Pressure coefficient,  $C_p$  is widely used in industry and scientific research to describe the relative pressure of a model. Every point in a fluid flow field has its own unique  $C_p$  which makes multipoint pressure measurement very important to get the best picture for the flow separation. In aerodynamic studies, analyzing the  $C_p$  on surface flow measurement helps the researcher and manufacturer to locate the flow separation formation on the aircraft and automotive models. It is very important to improve the designs for optimum flight and cruising performance. In aircraft manufacturing, the surface pressure measurement is crucial for effective and safe handling. The  $C_p$  can show the pressure distribution along the surface of the model with the help of the pressure sensors.

In aircrafts pressure measurement study, the  $C_p$  is affected by the wind speed ( $v$ ), angle of attack ( $\alpha$ ), and the density of fluid ( $\rho$ ) (Corda, 2017). The change in these factors will change the aerodynamic flow of the wind around the airfoil which will lead to the change in pressure due to the force exerted by the wind on the surface area of the airfoil. Therefore, the pressure sensor is a crucial instrument in aircraft transportation as it provides information such as the lift force produced when it is moving at certain speed,  $v$  at different angle of attack,  $\alpha$  during take-off and changing the altitude. The density of fluid is changing as the aircrafts moving at different altitude and climate.

The failure of this sensors can cause fatal crash such happened to Ethiopia Airlines crash on 10th March 2019 and Lion Air 737 on 29th October 2018 (AFP, 2018). The Ethiopia Airlines crash was caused by the anti-stall system activated automatically before the plane crashed near Bishoftu, Ethiopia which killed all 157

people on board (Reuters, 2019). The Lion Air 737 erroneous input from pitching angle sensors caused the plane crashed into the sea of Jakarta and sacrificed 188 life of passengers and crew (AFP, 2018). These incidents caused all airlines grounding the Boeing 737 MAX 8 flight operation. This has risen the awareness to the manufacturers to prioritise the development of sensors before the aerodynamics of the aircraft.

A few sensors such as static pressure sensors and pressure-sensitive paint, (PSP) have been studied in the past to investigate the aerodynamic drag in order to optimize in-flight conditions and improve the flight safety (Corke, Enloe and Wilkinson, 2010). The static pressure sensor is the most common method used in surfaces pressure measurement study because it gives the most accurate measurement (Gregory *et al.*, 2008). On the other hand, PSP method is less precise since it uses intensity of luminescence emitted by excited oxygen molecules (Liu *et al.*, 1997).

For active control mechanism, dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) plasma actuators has been used to reduce the skin friction drag around the model (Segawa *et al.*, 2013). DBD plasma actuator consists of two conductors separated by dielectric materials and use high-voltage power supply to generate plasma. The generated plasma can reduce the net flow separation on a model. The application of the plasma actuators in actual flight is yet to be identified because of the ability to withstand high pressure in various real flight condition.

The use of optical strain sensors using Fiber Bragg Gratings (FBGs) since the beginning of 20<sup>th</sup> century gains attention among researchers to investigate the feasibility of FBGs in the surface pressure measurement. This is because FBGs can simply be attached to desired location on the model, without modifying the structure of the model. Besides, FBGs is very thin and lightweight making it suitable to be used in surface pressure measurement as it would not disturb the aerodynamics of the flow. Other advantages of FBGs is that they have very high sensitivity, stable spectrum reflectivity, and is not affected by electromagnetic field (Kreuzer, 2006). The air pressure is determined by calculating the Bragg wavelength shift caused by strain exerted on the gratings

This work is carried out to design an array of FBGs strain sensor and investigate the performance of FBGs in measuring  $C_p$  and to locate the flow separation on aeroplane wing model known as generic Universiti Teknologi Malaysia Low Speed Tunnel (UTM-LST) half-model (Mansor, 2008). The results obtained by FBGs strain sensors are compared to the  $C_p$  obtained by static pressure sensors known as FKPS 30DP. The outcome of this project can provide an alternative way for  $C_p$  measurement which is more compatible and convenient.

## 1.2 Problem Statement

The study of surface control and its effects on aircraft flow separation has been an interesting field for many researchers. The pressure coefficient,  $C_p$  is the desired parameter being measured in this study. This parameter provides a very clear visual of air pressure distribution on the wing model. Hence, the air separation location can be determined by analyzing the air pressure coefficient. It is necessary to locate the position of the air vortices formation to improve the design of the existing aircraft to enhance its performance.

There are two conventional pressure sensors commonly used in this field, called as static pressure sensor and pressure-sensitive paint. However, the conventional static pressure sensors require a custom-made model which has holes on the body surface to install the pressure tubes to measure the pressure distribution. This means the design of the model must consider the sensor installation. Once the model is made, the position of the hole is fixed, thus pressure measurement is recorded on that position. If the measurement at some other points are required then, it cannot be performed on the same model. This is one of the disadvantages of using static pressure sensor.

Other disadvantage of this sensor is the clogged problem either at the holes or inside the tubes. This problem is identified as icing in aviation where ice is formed around the aircraft surface and leading edge of the wing due to atmospheric condition

at high altitude (SKYbrary, 2016). The ice can block the sensor holes and give error pressure reading.

Meanwhile, the pressure-sensitive paint has very complicated and long procedures before the model can be tested as it needs time to paint the model and let it completely dry. This method is limited to a scaled model in order to get accurate pressure distribution photograph (Nakajima *et al.*, 2008). This is due to the reason that illumination light and charge coupled device, (CCD) camera can only covered a small area of model.

As an alternative technique, FBGs strain sensors can be used to measure the pressure on the Generic UTM Half-model. This sensor can be installed and removed without having to embed the sensors permanently as the static pressure sensor technique. The FBGs strain sensor is thin and light thus it can be easily attached on the desired surface without disturbing the aerodynamic of the system.

### **1.3 Research Objectives**

The objectives of this project are:

- i. To determine the pressure distribution coefficient,  $C_p$  of UTM-LST half model at various wind speed and angles using FBGs strain sensor.
- ii. To determine the location of the flow separation on the UTM-LST half model based on pressure distribution coefficient,  $C_p$  using FBGs strain sensor.
- iii. To evaluate the performance of FBGs strain sensors in determining  $C_p$  and to locate flow separation on UTM-LST half-model and compare with static pressure sensors.

## 1.4 Scope of Study

Two arrays of FBGs with five central wavelengths of 1535.0 nm, 1540.0 nm, 1545.0 nm, 1550.0 nm, and 1555.0 nm are attached on the surface of aircraft model called UTM-LST Half model. The gratings are attached in perpendicular position to the direction of wind to enhance the strain effect on the sensors. The model is placed in Universiti Teknologi Malaysia Low Speed Wind Tunnel (UTM-LST) for testing at the wind speed,  $v = 20 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ,  $30 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ , and  $40 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  with the angles of attack,  $\alpha = 0^\circ, 3^\circ, 6^\circ, 9^\circ, 12^\circ, 15^\circ, 18^\circ$  and  $20^\circ$ .

The pressure distribution is measured simultaneously using static pressure sensor and the FBGs strain sensors. The spectral response is recorded using interrogator to calculate the Bragg wavelength shift. The data is then compared to the pressure distribution obtained using FKPS 30DP electronic pressure scanner to calculate the pressure coefficient distribution on the aircraft model.

There is a limitation that needed improvement to get a more reliable data for the develop FBGs strain sensor. The first one is the pre-strain of the FBGs grating sensors when attached on the model. Applying pre-strain can increase the sensitivity of the FBGs by supressing the pressure induced by mechanical contraction of the fiber. The second constrain was the invisible tape used to attach the sensors needed to be properly pasted to the model or it will be detached due to high wind speed produced by the turbine.

## 1.5 Significant of Study

The pressure measurement study is very important in manufacturing and improvising the design of aircraft models. This study can provide an alternative technique in  $C_p$  measurement which is more convenient and compatible. The  $C_p$  obtained can be used to determine the flow separation location thus provides useful information to improvise the design of the wing model for optimum fight condition

and increase the load of the flight. FBGs strain sensor can be easily used at any model without any modification that can affect the aerodynamics of the system. Hence, the design of automotive vehicles especially aircraft can be improved without a new model. This method can overcome the clogged tube problem in static pressure technique due to the formation of ice at higher altitude (SKYbrary, 2016).

## 1.6 Thesis Outlines

Chapter 2 gives an overview on the aerodynamics characteristics of an airfoil during flight, flow separation, and pressure coefficient in terms of definition and the factors that affect them. The conventional pressure sensors were also presented in this chapter to show the working principle, advantages and disadvantages of each pressure sensor. An overview of the fiber Bragg grating sensors in term of structure, working principle, and applications were mentioned. The properties of wing model and wind tunnel test section were described in this chapter.

Chapter 3 is the research methodology of this study. A new design of FBGs strain sensors and the properties of the sensors were presented. All the components and structure of the strain sensors were reported in detail. The experimental setup such as angle of attack and wind speed to achieve the objectives of this study to locate air vortex formation and to evaluate the performance of the FBGs strain sensors were clearly demonstrated. Also included in this chapter the calibration of the FBGs sensors and the calculation of  $C_p$  for the sensor.

Chapter 4 reveals the experimental results of all the tests conducted in chapter 3. The  $C_p$  measured by the FBGs strain sensor did not differ much from the  $C_p$  measured by the conventional pressure sensor. However, at low angle, the FBGs strain sensor will experienced more drag force at the leading edge of the model. The FBGs strain sensor measured very high  $C_p$  at certain point and angle of attack along the separation region compared to the pressure sensor. The performance of FBGs strain sensor for surface pressure measurement and locating air vortex formation was discussed.

Chapter 5 concluded that the objectives of this study are achieved. Future work to improve the FBGs strain sensors in term of sensitivity and reliability for surface pressure measurement is suggested. Two suggestions were given for the areas can be further investigated to improve the performance of the sensors.



## REFERENCES

- Aaron, K. (2017) *Negative Pressure Coefficient*, Quora. Caltech: Quora. Available at: <https://www.quora.com/Why-is-the-wind-pressure-coefficient-less-than-0> (Accessed: 23 April 2018).
- AFP (2018a) 'Boeing: Pilots given wrong info by faulty parts', *The Star Online*, November. Available at: <https://www.thestar.com.my/news/regional/2018/11/08/bulletin-out-on-planes-sensors-boeing-pilots-given-wrong-info-by-faulty-parts/> (Accessed: 9 November 2018).
- AFP (2018b) 'Plane crashes into sea off Jakarta', *The Star Online*. Available at: <https://www.thestar.com.my/news/regional/2018/10/30/plane-crashes-into-sea-off-jakarta-the-brand-new-indonesian-lion-air-jet-was-carrying-189-passengers/> (Accessed: 4 November 2018).
- Al-Kayiem, H. H., Kartigesh, A. K. and Chelven, A. L. K. (2011) 'Investigation on the Aerodynamic Characteristics of 2-D Airfoil in Ground Collision', *Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, 6(3), pp. 369–381.
- Allan, M. R. *et al.* (2005) 'Wind-Tunnel Interference Effects on Delta Wing Aerodynamics Computational Fluid Dynamics Investigation', *Journal of Aircraft*, 42(1), pp. 189–198. doi: 10.2514/1.5324.
- Ameen, O. F. (2016) *High Sensitive Liquid Level Sensor Using Fiber Bragg Grating and Graphene Diaphragm*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Anderson, J. D. (1999) *Aircraft performance and design*. TATA McGRA. New York. Available at: <https://soaneemrana.org/onewebmedia/AIRCRAFT PERFORMANCE AND DESIGN1.pdf>.
- Ando, M. *et al.* (2004) 'Dependence of Fiber Bragg Grating Characteristics on Its Length', *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics, Part 1: Regular Papers and Short Notes and Review Papers*, 43(7 A), pp. 4234–4235. doi: 10.1143/JJAP.43.4234.
- Bakker, A. (2006) 'Boundary Layers and Separation'. Applied Computational Fluid

Dynamics, February. Available at:

<https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0378113515300481>.

Bernal, L. *et al.* (2009) 'Unsteady Force Measurements in Pitching-Plunging Airfoils', in *39th AIAA Fluid Dynamics Conference*. Reston, Virginia: American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, pp. 1–13. doi: 10.2514/6.2009-4031.

Chehura, E. *et al.* (2009) 'Pressure Measurements on Aircraft Wing using Phase-shifted Fibre Bragg Grating Sensors', *20th International Conference on Optical Fibre Sensors*, 7503, p. 750334. doi: 10.1117/12.835469.

Corda, S. (2017) *Introduction to Aerospace Engineering with a Flight Test Perspective*. Chichester, Sussex, United Kingdom: John Wiley & Sons.

Corke, T. C., Enloe, C. L. and Wilkinson, S. P. (2010) 'Dielectric Barrier Discharge Plasma Actuators for Flow Control', *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, 42(1), pp. 505–529. doi: 10.1146/annurev-fluid-121108-145550.

Dala, L. (2012) 'Aerodynamics Pressure Coefficients'. England: scribd, pp. 1–3.

Available at:

<http://www.aerostudents.com/files/introductionToAerospaceEngineering/AerodynamicsPressureCoefficients.pdf>.

Doig, G. C. *et al.* (2008) 'Methods for investigating supersonic ground effect in a blowdown wind tunnel', *Shock Waves*, 18(2), pp. 155–159. doi: 10.1007/s00193-008-0144-z.

Fago, B., Lindner, H. and Mahrenholtz, O. (1991) 'The Effect of Ground Simulation on the Flow Around Vehicles in Wind Tunnel testing', *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics*, 38(1), pp. 47–57. doi: 10.1016/0167-6105(91)90026-S.

Ghosh, S. *et al.* (2012) *Measurement of Pressure Distribution and Forces Acting on an Airfoil*.

Goldstein, R. J. *et al.* (1969) 'Laminar Separation, Reattachment, and Transition of the Flow Over a Downstream-Facing Step', *The American Society of Mechanical Engineers*, pp. 732–739.

Gregory, J. W. *et al.* (2008) 'A Review of Pressure-Sensitive Paint for High-Speed

and Unsteady Aerodynamics’, *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part G: Journal of Aerospace Engineering*, 222(2), pp. 249–290. doi: 10.1243/09544100JAERO243.

Hall, N. (2015) *Induced Drag Coefficient*, NASA. Available at: <https://www.grc.nasa.gov/www/k-12/airplane/induced.html> (Accessed: 15 May 2017).

Haque, M. N., Ali, M. and Ara, I. (2015) ‘Experimental Investigation on the Performance of NACA 4412 Aerofoil with Curved Leading Edge Planform’, *Procedia Engineering*. Elsevier B.V., 105(ICTE 2014), pp. 232–240. doi: 10.1016/j.proeng.2015.05.099.

Hu, H. and Yang, Z. (2008) ‘An Experimental Study of the Laminar Flow Separation on a Low-Reynolds-Number Airfoil’, *Journal of Fluids Engineering, Transactions of the ASME*, 130(5), pp. 0511011–05110111. doi: 10.1115/1.2907416.

Illman, P. E. and Gailey, E. G. (2000) ‘Forces Acting on the Aircraft Aerodynamics of Flight’, in *Pilot’s Handbook of Aeronautical Knowledge*. McGraw-Hill, pp. 2–50. Available at: [https://www.faa.gov/regulations\\_policies/handbooks\\_manuals/aviation/phak/media/07\\_phak\\_ch5.pdf](https://www.faa.gov/regulations_policies/handbooks_manuals/aviation/phak/media/07_phak_ch5.pdf).

Jackson, D. (1999) *Pressure Sensitive Paint*, *aerospaceweb.org*. Available at: <http://www.aerospaceweb.org/design/psp/main.shtml> (Accessed: 13 May 2016).

Kreuzer, M. (2006) ‘Strain Measurement with Fiber Bragg Grating Sensors’, *HBM, Darmstadt, S2338-1.0 e*, pp. 1–9. doi: 10.1097/ACO.0b013e3282fe6e70.

Lachendro, N., Crafton, J., Guille, M., Sullivan, J. P. (1998) ‘In Flight Pressure Measurements of a Transonic Wing Using A Pressure Sensitive Paint Based Laser Scanning System’. Seattle, WA: PSP Workshop.

Lawson, N. J. *et al.* (2016) ‘Development and Application of Optical Fibre Strain and Pressure Sensors for In-flight Measurements’, *Measurement Science and Technology*. IOP Publishing, 27(10), pp. 1–17. doi: 10.1088/0957-0233/27/10/104001.

Libish, T. (2015) ‘Fiber Gratings : Basic Theory and Sensing Principle’, in *Fiber*

*Gratings*, pp. 21–79.

Liu, T., Sullivan, J. (2005) *Pressure and Temperature Sensitive Paints*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.

Liu, L. *et al.* (2007) ‘Temperature-Independent FBG Pressure Sensor with High Sensitivity’, *Optical Fiber Technology*, 13(1), pp. 78–80. doi: 10.1016/j.yofte.2006.09.001.

Liu, T. *et al.* (1997) ‘Temperature- and Pressure-Sensitive Luminescent Paints in Aerodynamics’, *Applied Mechanics Reviews*, 50(4), pp. 227–246. doi: 10.1115/1.3101703.

Mansor, S. (2008) *Low Speed Wind Tunnel Universiti Teknologi Malaysia*. Johor Bahru.

McLachlan, B. G. and Bell, J. H. (1995) ‘Pressure-sensitive paint in aerodynamic testing’, *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science*. Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science.

Michael, V. O. (2010) *Unsteady Low Reynolds Number Aerodynamics for Micro Air Vehicles (MAVs)*. United States. Available at: <http://www.dtic.mil>.

Mihailov, S. J. (2012) ‘Fiber Bragg Grating Sensors for Harsh Environments’, *Sensors*, 12(2), pp. 1898–1918. doi: 10.3390/s120201898.

MIT.edu (2016) ‘Marine Hydrodynamics - Lifting Surfaces’. Available at: [http://web.mit.edu/13.021/13021\\_2003/Lifting\\_surfaces/lectureA.pdf](http://web.mit.edu/13.021/13021_2003/Lifting_surfaces/lectureA.pdf).

Nakajima, M. *et al.* (2008) ‘Development of a Film-Type Pressure Sensor using Optical Fiber with Multiple Fiber Bragg Gratings’, in *26th AIAA Aerodynamic Measurement Technology and Ground Testing Conference*. Reston, Virginia: American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, pp. 1–6. doi: 10.2514/6.2008-3949.

Nakakita, K. *et al.* (2004) ‘Development of the Pressure-Sensitive Paint Measurement for Large Wind Tunnels at Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency’, *24th International Congress of the Aeronautical Science*, 3(2), pp. 1–11. Available at: <http://www.icas-proceedings.net/ICAS2004/PAPERS/452.PDF>.

Navarra, K. R. *et al.* (2001) ‘The Application of Pressure and Temperature-Sensitive Paints to an Advanced Compressor’, *Journal of Turbomachinery*, 123(4), pp. 823–829. doi: 10.1115/1.1400116.

OMRON Corporations (2018) ‘Technical Explanation for Proximity Sensors’, pp. 1–5.

Prandtl, L. (1935) *The Mechanics of Viscous Fluids*. 3rd edn.

Raju, L. C., Venkatakrishnan, L. and Viswanath, P. R. (2006) ‘Pressure-Sensitive Paint Measurements on a Delta-wing in Supersonic Flow’, *Aeronautical Journal*, 110(1113), pp. 767–771. doi: 10.1017/S0001924000001639.

Reuters (2019) ‘Investigators “believe Ethiopian 737 Max’s anti-stall system activated”’, *The Guardian*, 29 March. Available at: <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2019/mar/29/investigators-believe-anti-stall-activated-in-ethiopian-737-max-report-us-regulators-lawsuit-boeing> (Accessed: 7 May 2019).

Sabri, N. *et al.* (2015) *Recent Trends in Physics of Material Science and Technology*. Edited by F. L. Gaol, K. Shrivastava, and J. Akhtar. Singapore: Springer Singapore (Springer Series in Materials Science). doi: 10.1007/978-981-287-128-2.

Sarpkaya, T. (1974) ‘Effect of the Adverse Pressure Gradient on Vortex Breakdown’, *AIAA Journal*, 12(5), pp. 602–607. doi: 10.2514/3.49305.

Schetz, J. A. and Allen, E. F. (1999) *Fundamentals of Fluid Mechanics*. United States of America: John Wiley & Sons.

Schlichting, H. (1960) *McGraw-Hill Series in Mechanical Engineering: Boundary Layer Theory*. Seventh. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Segawa, T. *et al.* (2013) ‘Feedback Control of Flow Separation on NACA0024 Airfoil Under Periodic Wall Oscillation by means of DBD Plasma Actuator and FBG sensor’, *51st AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting including the New Horizons Forum and Aerospace Exposition 2013*, (January), pp. 1–12. doi: 10.2514/6.2013-1117.

Shah, I. *et al.* (2003) ‘Experimental and Computation Fluid Dynamic (CFD) Studies on Half-Model Transport Aircraft’, in Iskandar Shah Bin Ishak, Shabudin Bin Mat, T. B. M. L. and M. Z. B. A. (ed.) *International Conference on Mechanical*

*Engineering*. Dhaka, Bangladesh.

SKYbrary (2016) *Ice Formation on Aircraft*, SKYbrary. Available at: [https://www.skybrary.aero/index.php/Ice\\_Formation\\_on\\_Aircraft#](https://www.skybrary.aero/index.php/Ice_Formation_on_Aircraft#) (Accessed: 24 December 2018).

Smith, A. M. O. (1975) 'High-Lift Aerodynamics', *Journal of Aircraft*, 12(6), pp. 501–530. doi: 10.2514/3.59830.

Sturm, H. *et al.* (2012) 'Boundary Layer Separation and Reattachment Detection on Airfoils by Thermal Flow Sensors', *Sensors (Switzerland)*, 12(11), pp. 14292–14306. doi: 10.3390/s121114292.

Tietjens, O. G., Prandtl, L. and Den Hartog, J. P. (1934) *Applied hydro- and aeromechanics*. First, *Engineering societies monographs*. First. New York: McGraw-Hill. Available at: physical ecology.

Ujang, M. I. (2016) *Experimental Study of UTM Half Model Transport Aircraft at Several Flap and Control Surfaces Deflection*. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

Vieira, A. *et al.* (2009) 'Effect of the Recoating and the Length on Fiber Bragg Grating Sensors Embedded in Polymer Composites', *Materials and Design*. Elsevier Ltd, 30(5), pp. 1818–1821. doi: 10.1016/j.matdes.2008.08.005.

White, F. M. (2015) *Fluid Mechanics*. 8th edn. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Yang, Z. *et al.* (2008) 'An experimental investigation on aerodynamic hysteresis of a low-Reynolds number airfoil', *46th AIAA Aerospace Sciences Meeting and Exhibit*, (January), pp. 1–11. doi: 10.2514/6.2008-315.

Yu, M. (2008) 'Fiber-optic sensor technology', *IMAC XXVI, Orlando, FL*. Edited by M. Yu. Maryland.

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Muhammad Izuan Bin Miskon, Raja Kamarul Zaman Raja Ibrahim, Asrul Izam Bin Azmi b, Shabudin Bin Mat, (2017) “*Air Pressure Sensor using Fiber Bragg Grating*”, Malaysian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Sciences Vol. 13, No. 4 (2017) 581-583