

COMBINED FORECAST MODEL INVOLVING WAVELET-GROUP METHODS
OF DATA HANDLING FOR DROUGHT FORECASTING

ALFA MOHAMMED SALISU

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Science
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

AUGUST 2021

DEDICATION

To my parents, may their souls rest in peace and make them inherit Al-Janatul
Firdausi and my family members

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of **Allah**, the most beneficent and the most merciful, my special appreciation and praises are due to Allah (SWT) for the opportunity and protection to undertake this Ph.D. Programme. May His Mighty name be glorified always and may the peace and blessings of Allah continue to be on the seal of prophets, **Prophet Muhammad (SAW)**.

I hereby express my heartfelt gratitude to my Supervisor, **Associate Professor Dr. Ani Bin Shabri** for his support, guidance and patience which made it possible for me to begin and complete this work in record time. I also thank him for introducing me to a new area of research in the field of Statistics, which is the Group Methods of Data Handling (GMDH) models in time series forecasting. It is my prayers that Allah will bless and guide him in this world and in the hereafter.

Special thanks go to Prof. Dr. Hj Zhuaimy Bin Hj Ismail who gave me support and encouragement during my program. I appreciate the chairperson, the internal and external examiners including other members of the panel of examiners during my Viva Voce. I equally appreciate and thank Madam Shalifah and Siti Amirah in the PG office of faculty of Science (TO5) for their assistance and guidance always during my Programme. Other faculty staff, both academic and non-academic members are also appreciated. I value and recognize the role played by my fellow postgraduate students in UTM for their assistance during the course of my research.

To **my parents**, may their souls rest in perfect peace and I thank the members of my family for their encouragement, patience, perseverance, and prayers. I also thank my colleagues both in Federal polytechnic, Bida, Nigeria and Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for their assistance and support.

My appreciation also goes to my employer, the Federal Polytechnic Bida, for releasing me to undertake this Programme. I am greatly indebted to the Federal government of Nigeria special intervention Programme, the **TETFUND for the full sponsorship of this Ph.D. Programme**. Long life Nigeria and long life Malaysia.

ABSTRACT

Vigorous efforts to improve the effectiveness of drought forecasting models has yet to yield accurate result. The situation gives room on the use of robust forecasting methods that could effectively improve existing methods. The complex nature of time series data does not enable one single method that is suitable in all situations. Thus, a combined model that will provide a better result is then proposed. This study introduces a wavelet and group methods of data handling (GMDH) by integrating discrete wavelet transform (DWT) and GMDH with transfer functions such as sigmoid and radial basis function (RBF) to form three wavelet-GMDH models known as modified W-GMDH (MW-GMDH), sigmoid W-GMDH (SW-GMDH) and RBF W-GMDH. To assess the effectiveness of this approach, these models were applied to rainfall data at four study stations namely Arau and Kuala Krai in Malaysia as well as Badeggi and Duku-Lade in Nigeria. These data were transformed into four Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) known as SPI3, SPI6, SPI9 and SPI12. The result shows that the integration of DWT improved the performance of the conventional GMDH model. The combination of these models further improved the performance of each model. The proposed model provides efficient, simple, and reliable accuracy when compared with other models. The incorporation of wavelet to the study results in improving performance for all four stations with the Combined W-GMDH (CW-GMDH) and Combined Regression W-GMDH (CRW-GMDH) models. The results show that Duku-Lade station produced the lowest value of 0.0239 and 0.0211 for RMSE and MAE and highest value of 0.9858 for R respectively. In addition, CRW-GMDH model produce the lowest value of 0.0168 and 0.0117, and the highest value of 0.9870 for RMSE MAE, and R respectively. On the percentage improvement, Duku-Lade station shows improvement over other models with the reductions in RMSE and MAE by 42.3% and 80.3% respectively. This indicates that the model is most suitable for the drought forecasting in this station. The results of the comparison among the four stations indicate that the CW-GMDH and CRW-GMDH models are more accurate and perform better than MW-GMDH, SW-GMDH and RBFW-GMDH models. However, the overall performance of the CRW-GMDH model outweigh that of the CW-GMDH model. In conclusion, CRW-GMDH model performs better than other models for drought forecasting and capable of providing a promising alternative to drought forecasting technique.

ABSTRAK

Pelbagai usaha untuk meningkatkan keberkesanan model peramalan kemarau masih belum memberikan hasil yang tepat. Situasi ini memberi ruang kepada kaedah ramalan teguh yang dapat meningkatkan keberkesanan kaedah sedia ada. Sifat data siri masa yang kompleks tidak memungkinkan penggunaan satu kaedah tunggal sesuai dalam semua keadaan. Oleh itu, model gabungan yang akan memberikan hasil yang lebih baik telah dicadangkan. Kajian ini memperkenalkan wavelet dan kaedah kumpulan mengendalikan data (GMDH) dengan mengintegrasikan gelombang kecil diskrit (DWT) dan GMDH dengan fungsi pemindahan seperti sigmoid dan fungsi radial basis (RBF), untuk membentuk tiga model gelombang kecil GMDH yang dikenali sebagai W-GMDH terubah suai (MW-GMDH), sigmoid W-GMDH (SW-GMDH) dan RBF W-GMDH. Untuk menilai keberkesanan pendekatan ini, model-model tersebut telah digunakan pada data hujan di empat stesen kajian iaitu Arau dan Kuala Krai di Malaysia, serta Badeggi dan Duku-Lade di Nigeria. Data tersebut telah diubah menjadi empat Indeks Pemendakan piawai (SPI) dikenali sebagai SPI3, SPI6, SPI9 dan SPI12. Hasil menunjukkan gabungan DWT telah meningkatkan prestasi model GMDH konvensional. Kombinasi model ini meningkatkan lagi prestasi setiap model. Model yang dicadangkan telah memberikan ketepatan yang cecap, sederhana dan boleh dipercayai apabila dibandingkan dengan model lain. Penggabungan gelombang kecil dalam kajian ini telah menghasilkan prestasi yang lebih baik untuk keempat-empat stesen dengan model W-GMDH tergabung (CW-GMDH) dan regresi tergabung W-GMDH (CRW-GMDH). Hasil kajian menunjukkan stesen Duku-Lade menghasilkan nilai terendah 0.0239 dan 0.0211 untuk RMSE dan MAE, serta nilai tertinggi 0.9858 untuk R. Tambahan lagi, model CRW-GMDH menghasilkan nilai terendah 0.0168 dan 0.0117, serta nilai tertinggi 0.9870, masing-masing untuk RMSE, MAE dan R. Mengenai peningkatan peratusan, stesen Duku-Lade menunjukkan peningkatan berbanding model lain dengan pengurangan RMSE dan MAE masing-masing sebanyak 42.3% dan 80.3%. Ini menunjukkan model ini sangat sesuai untuk ramalan musim kemarau di stesen ini. Hasil perbandingan di antara empat stesen menunjukkan model CW-GMDH dan CRW-GMDH adalah lebih tepat dan mempunyai prestasi yang lebih baik daripada model MW-GMDH, SW-GMDH dan RBFW-GMDH. Walau bagaimanapun, prestasi keseluruhan model CRW-GMDH mengatasi prestasi model CW-GMDH. Kesimpulannya, model CRW-GMDH adalah berprestasi lebih baik daripada model peramalan kemarau yang lain dan mampu memberikan alternatif yang menjanjikan kepada teknik ramalan kemarau.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------------|---|--------------|
| | DECLARATION | iii |
| | DEDICATION | iv |
| | ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | v |
| | ABSTRACT | vi |
| | ABSTRAK | vii |
| | TABLE OF CONTENTS | viii |
| | LIST OF TABLES | xiii |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | xvii |
| | LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xviii |
| CHAPTER 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 Introduction | 1 |
| | 1.2 Background of the Study | 3 |
| | 1.3 Challenges of Drought Forecasting | 6 |
| | 1.4 Challenges of GMDH Model in Time Series Forecasting | 7 |
| | 1.5 Problem Statement | 8 |
| | 1.6 Research Questions | 11 |
| | 1.7 Research Objectives | 12 |
| | 1.8 The Scope of the Study | 12 |
| | 1.9 Significance of the Study | 13 |
| | 1.10 Organization of Thesis | 13 |
| CHAPTER 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 15 |
| | 2.1 Introduction | 15 |
| | 2.2 Time Series Analysis | 15 |
| | 2.3 Drought and Drought Forecasting | 16 |
| | 2.4 Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) | 19 |
| | 2.4.1 Advantages of SPI | 19 |

| | | |
|------------------|--|-----------|
| 2.4.2 | Disadvantages of SPI | 20 |
| 2.5 | Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) model | 23 |
| 2.5.1 | Combine Models | 26 |
| 2.6 | Wavelets and Wavelet Analysis | 32 |
| 2.6.1 | Individual Models with Wavelets | 35 |
| 2.6.2 | Theory of Wavelets | 36 |
| 2.6.3 | Wavelet Transform | 39 |
| 2.7 | Combination of Wavelet and forecasting models | 41 |
| 2.8 | Group Method of Data Handling (GMDH) Model | 43 |
| 2.8.1 | Development and Application of Group Method of Data Handling Model (GMDH) | 46 |
| 2.8.2 | Constraint of Group Methods of Data Handling (GMDH) model | 54 |
| 2.8.3 | The Combine Forecast Models | 55 |
| 2.9 | Summary | 58 |
| CHAPTER 3 | RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 59 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 59 |
| 3.2 | Research Framework | 60 |
| 3.3 | Data Collection and Data Used in the Study | 60 |
| 3.3.1 | Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) | 62 |
| 3.3.2 | Calculation Procedure for the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) | 63 |
| 3.3.3 | Interpretation of Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) | 68 |
| 3.4 | Development of Individual Model | 69 |
| 3.4.1 | Determination of Model Input | 69 |
| 3.4.2 | Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) model | 72 |
| 3.4.2.1 | Autocorrelation Function (ACF) and Partial Autocorrelation Function (PACF) | 75 |
| 3.4.3 | Estimation and Diagnostic Checking | 77 |
| 3.4.3.1 | The Box-Jenkins Methodology | 78 |

| | | |
|------------------|--|------------|
| 3.4.4 | Group Method of Data Handling (GMDH) Model | 80 |
| 3.5 | General GMDH Model Development | 81 |
| 3.5.1 | Time Series Data Structure in GMDH | 81 |
| 3.5.2 | Conventional GMDH Model | 85 |
| 3.5.3 | Modified Group Method of Data Handling (MGMDH) Model | 89 |
| 3.6 | Development of Combine Model | 93 |
| 3.6.1 | Discrete Wavelet Transform | 94 |
| 3.6.2 | Decomposition of Input Data Using a Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) | 96 |
| 3.6.3 | The Combination of the Forecast Models | 99 |
| 3.6.4 | Wavelet-ARIMA (W-ARIMA) Model | 100 |
| 3.6.5 | Wavelet and GMDH (W-GMDH) Model | 103 |
| 3.6.6 | Sigmoid Function Neuron | 110 |
| 3.7 | Formulation of the Proposed Combination Models | 112 |
| 3.8 | Measures of Performance Evaluation Criteria of Accuracy | 118 |
| 3.9 | Summary | 120 |
| CHAPTER 4 | DATA COLLECTION AND RESULTS | 121 |
| 4.1 | Introduction | 121 |
| 4.2 | Study Stations | 121 |
| 4.2.1 | Arau Study Station | 123 |
| 4.2.2 | Kuala Krai Study Station | 125 |
| 4.2.3 | Badeggi Study Station | 126 |
| 4.2.4 | Duku-Lade Study Area | 128 |
| 4.3 | Results of the Analysis | 130 |
| 4.3.1 | ARIMA Model Results | 131 |
| 4.3.2 | W-ARIMA Model Results | 133 |
| 4.3.3 | GMDH Model Results | 135 |
| 4.3.4 | W-GMDH Model Results | 139 |
| 4.3.5 | MGMDH Model Results | 141 |
| 4.3.6 | MW-GMDH Model Results | 144 |
| 4.3.7 | Sigmoid GMDH Model Results | 147 |

| | | |
|------------------|---|------------|
| 4.3.8 | Sigmoid W-GMDH Model Results | 150 |
| 4.3.9 | RBF GMDH Model Results | 153 |
| 4.3.10 | RBF W-GMDH Model Results | 156 |
| 4.4 | Summary of the Best Selected Model Results for All the Models Based on SPI Data for Four Study Stations | 159 |
| 4.4.1 | Results of Each Model for Arau Study Station | 159 |
| 4.4.2 | Results of Each Model for Badeggi Study Station | 161 |
| 4.4.3 | Results of Each Model for Duku-Lade Study Station | 163 |
| 4.4.4 | Results of each Model for Kuala krai Study Station | 165 |
| 4.5 | Summary of the Best Selected Wavelet-GMDH Models Obtained in Terms of their Forecasting Performance Using RMSE, MAE and R | 167 |
| 4.5.1 | Combine Model Results for Arau Study Station | 167 |
| 4.5.2 | Combine Model Results for Badeggi Study Station | 169 |
| 4.5.3 | Combine Model Results for Duku-Lade Study Station | 171 |
| 4.5.4 | Combine Model Results for Kuala Krai Study Station | 172 |
| 4.5.5 | Summary of Proposed Model Results among the Study Stations for all the SPI | 174 |
| 4.6 | Summary | 176 |
| CHAPTER 5 | COMPARISON AND DISCUSSION OF RESULTS | 177 |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 177 |
| 5.2 | Comparison | 177 |
| 5.2.1 | Comparison of Model Results at the Arau Study Station | 178 |
| 5.2.1.1 | Comparison of Combine Model Results. | 179 |
| 5.2.1.2 | The Comparison of the Proposed (CW-GMDH and CRW-GMDH) Models | 180 |
| 5.2.2 | Comparison of Model Results for Badeggi Study Station | 180 |
| 5.2.2.1 | Comparison of Combine Model Results | 181 |
| 5.2.2.2 | The Comparison of the Proposed (CW-GMDH and CRW-GMDH) Models | 182 |

| | | |
|------------------|---|------------|
| 5.2.3 | Comparison of Model Results for Duku-Lade Study Station | 182 |
| 5.2.3.1 | Comparison of Combine Model Results | 183 |
| 5.2.3.2 | The Comparison of Proposed (CW-GMDH and CRW-GMDH) Models | 183 |
| 5.2.4 | Comparison of Combined Model Results for the Kuala Krai Study Station | 184 |
| 5.2.4.1 | Comparison of Combine Model Results | 184 |
| 5.2.4.2 | The Comparison of the Proposed (CW-GMDH and CRW-GMDH) Models | 185 |
| 5.2.4.3 | Comparison of Forecasting Performances of the Best Models and Proposed Models among the Stations. | 185 |
| 5.2.5 | Percentage Improvement of the Models for the SPI Data for Each Study Station | 186 |
| 5.3 | Discussions | 188 |
| 5.3.1 | Discussion on CW-GMDH and CRW-GMDH models | 189 |
| 5.4 | Summary | 192 |
| CHAPTER 6 | CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 193 |
| 6.1 | Introduction | 193 |
| 6.2 | Conclusions | 193 |
| 6.2.1 | First Objective | 194 |
| 6.2.2 | Second Objective | 194 |
| 6.2.3 | Third Objective | 194 |
| 6.3 | Contribution | 197 |
| 6.4 | Recommendation for Future Work | 198 |
| | REFERENCES | 199 |
| | LIST OF PUBLICATIONS | 225 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------------|--|-------------|
| Table 2. 1 | The different SPI indexes | 21 |
| Table 2. 2 | Previous work using the benchmark models for drought forecasting | 31 |
| Table 2. 3 | Previous Work Using GMDH and W-GMDH Models for Time Series Forecasting | 50 |
| Table 3. 1 | The SPI classification | 67 |
| Table 3. 2 | The input variables for Arau, Kuala kerai, Badeggi and Duku-Lade stations | 70 |
| Table 3. 3 | The behaviour of ACF and PACF for determining the ARIMA model | 74 |
| Table 3. 4 | The architecture of Transfer function | 93 |
| Table 4. 1 | Summary of the Study Stations | 130 |
| Table 4. 2 | ARIMA Results for Arau, Kuala krai, Badeggi and Duku-Lade study stations for the four SPI data sets | 132 |
| Table 4. 3 | W-ARIMA Results for Arau, Kuala Krai, Badeggi And Duku-Lade Study Stations for The Four SPI Data Sets | 133 |
| Table 4. 4 | GMDH Results for Arau, Kuala Krai, Badeggi And Duku-Lade Study Stations for The Four SPI Data Sets | 136 |
| Table 4. 5 | W-GMDH Results for Arau, Kuala Krai, Badeggi And Duku-Lade Study Stations for The Four SPI Data Sets | 139 |
| Table 4. 6 | MGMDH Results for Arau, Kuala Krai, Badeggi and Duku-Lade Study Stations for the Four SPI Data Sets | 142 |
| Table 4. 7 | MW-GMDH Results for Arau, Kuala Krai, Badeggi And Duku-Lade Study Stations for The Four SPI Data Sets | 145 |
| Table 4. 8 | Sigmoid GMDH Results for Arau, Kuala krai, Badeggi and Duku-Lade Study Stations for the Four SPI Data Sets | 147 |
| Table 4. 9 | Sigmoid W-GMDH Results for Arau, Kuala krai, Badeggi and Duku-Lade Study Stations for the Four SPI Data Sets | 150 |
| Table 4. 10 | RBF GMDH Results for Arau, Kuala krai, Badeggi and Duku-Lade Study Stations for the Four SPI Data Sets | 153 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Table 4. 11 | RBF W-GMDH Results for Arau, Kuala krai, Badeggi and Duku-Lade Study stations for the Four SPI Data Sets | 156 |
| Table 4. 12 | Summary of all the Best Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI3 | 159 |
| Table 4. 13 | Summary of all the Best Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI6 | 160 |
| Table 4. 14 | Summary of all the Best model Results of Training and Testing for SPI9 | 160 |
| Table 4. 15 | Summary of all the Best Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI12 | 161 |
| Table 4. 16 | Summary of all the Best Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI3 | 161 |
| Table 4. 17 | Summary of all the Best Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI6 | 162 |
| Table 4. 18 | Summary of all the Best Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI9 | 162 |
| Table 4. 19 | Summary of all the Best Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI12 | 163 |
| Table 4. 20 | Summary of all the Best Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI3 | 163 |
| Table 4. 21 | Summary of all the Best Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI6 | 164 |
| Table 4. 22 | Summary of all the Best Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI9 | 164 |
| Table 4. 23 | Summary of all the Best Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI12 | 165 |
| Table 4. 24 | Summary of all the Best Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI3 | 165 |
| Table 4. 25 | Summary of all the Best Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI6 | 166 |
| Table 4. 26 | Summary of all the Best Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI9 | 166 |
| Table 4. 27 | Summary of all the Best Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI12 | 167 |
| Table 4. 28 | Summary of all the Combine Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI3 | 168 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Table 4. 29 | Summary of all the Combined Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI6 | 168 |
| Table 4. 30 | Summary of all the Combined Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI9 | 168 |
| Table 4. 31 | Summary of all the Combined Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI12 | 169 |
| Table 4. 32 | Summary of all the Combined Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI3 | 169 |
| Table 4. 33 | Summary of all the Combined Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI6 | 170 |
| Table 4. 34 | Summary of all the Combined Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI9 | 170 |
| Table 4. 35 | Summary of all the Combined Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI12 | 170 |
| Table 4. 36 | Summary of all the Combined Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI3 | 171 |
| Table 4. 37 | Summary of all the Combined Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI6 | 171 |
| Table 4. 38 | Summary of all the Combined Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI9 | 172 |
| Table 4. 39 | Summary of all the Combined Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI12 | 172 |
| Table 4. 40 | Summary of all the Combined Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI3 | 173 |
| Table 4. 41 | Summary of all the Combined Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI6 | 173 |
| Table 4. 42 | Summary of all the Combined Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI9 | 173 |
| Table 4. 43 | Summary of all the Combined Model Results of Training and Testing for SPI12 | 173 |
| Table 4. 44 | Summary of the Proposed Combined Model Results among all the Study Stations for SPI3 | 174 |
| Table 4. 45 | Summary of the Proposed Combined Model Results among all the Study Stations for SPI6 | 175 |
| Table 4. 46 | Summary of the Proposed Combined Model Results among all the Study Stations for SPI9 | 175 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Table 4. 47 | Summary of the Proposed Combined Model Results among all the Study Stations for SPI12 | 176 |
| Table 5. 1 | Comparative Performance of All Models for SPI Data for the Arau Study Station | 179 |
| Table 5. 2 | Comparison of all the Best Models and the Proposed Model of Training and Testing | 180 |
| Table 5. 3 | Comparison of the Proposed Models' Results for Training and Testing | 180 |
| Table 5. 4 | Comparative Performance of All Models for SPI Data for the Badeggi Study Station | 181 |
| Table 5. 5 | Comparison of All the Best Models and the Proposed Model of Training and Testing | 181 |
| Table 5. 6 | Comparison of the Proposed Model Results of Training and Testing | 182 |
| Table 5. 7 | Comparative Performance of All Models for SPI Data for the Duku-Lade Study Station | 182 |
| Table 5. 8 | Comparison of All the Best Models and the Proposed Model of Training and Testing | 183 |
| Table 5. 9 | Comparison of the Proposed Models of Training and Testing | 183 |
| Table 5. 10 | Comparative Performance of All Models for SPI Data for the Kuala Krai Study Station | 184 |
| Table 5. 11 | Comparison of All the Best Models and the Proposed Model of Training and Testing for SPI12 | 185 |
| Table 5. 12 | Comparison of the Proposed Models of Training and Testing for SPI12 | 185 |
| Table 5. 13 | The Comparison of the Best Models and the Proposed Models Among all the Study Stations | 186 |
| Table 5. 14 | The Percentage Improvement of the Models for SPI Data for Arau | 187 |
| Table 5. 15 | The Percentage Improvement of the Models for SPI Data for Badeggi | 187 |
| Table 5. 16 | The Percentage Improvement of the Models for SPI Data for Duku-Lade | 187 |
| Table 5. 17 | The Percentage Improvement of the Models for SPI Data for Kuala kra | 187 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|-------------------|---|-------------|
| Figure 2. 1 | Showing the Diagram of Basic Neuron | 46 |
| Figure 3. 1 | Research framework | 60 |
| Figure 3. 2 | PACF and ACF of SPI data | 76 |
| Figure 3. 3 | Structure of GMDH model | 88 |
| Figure 3. 4 | The Wavelet-ARIMA modelling | 102 |
| Figure 3. 5 | Wavelet-GMDH Modelling | 106 |
| Figure 4. 1 | The map of Malaysia displaying all the states | 123 |
| Figure 4. 2 | The map of Malaysia showing the Northern and Southern parts | 124 |
| Figure 4. 3 | The map of Arau in Malaysia | 124 |
| Figure 4. 4 | Monthly Rainfall Data for Arau Station | 125 |
| Figure 4. 5 | The map of Kelantan displaying the study area | 125 |
| Figure 4. 6 | Monthly Rainfall data for Kuala Krai Station | 126 |
| Figure 4. 7 | Location map of the study area Badeggi | 127 |
| Figure 4. 8 | Map of Nigeria indicating all the states in Nigeria and Niger state | 127 |
| Figure 4. 9 | Monthly Rainfall data for Badeggi Station | 128 |
| Figure 4. 10 | Map of Nigeria indicating all the states in Nigeria and Kwara state | 129 |
| Figure 4. 11 | Location map of the study area Duku-Lade | 129 |
| Figure 4. 12 | Monthly Rainfall data for Duku-Lade station | 130 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|---------|--|
| ACF | Autocorrelation Function |
| ADF | Augmented Dickey Fuller |
| AIC | Akaike Information Criterion |
| ANFIS | Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference Systems |
| ANN | Artificial Neural Networks |
| ARMA | Autoregressive Moving Average |
| ARIMA | Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average |
| BIC | Bayesian Information Criterion |
| CWT | Continuous Wavelet Transform |
| CW-GMDH | Combine Wavelet-GMDH |
| DWT | Discrete Wavelet Transform |
| GMDH | Group Method of Data Handling |
| FT | Fourier Transform |
| LR | linear regression |
| MAE | Mean Absolute Error |
| MSE | Mean Squared Errors |
| NGMDH | New Group Method of Data Handling |
| PD | Partial Descriptions |
| RMSE | Root Mean Square Error |
| RW-GMDH | Regression Wavelet-GMDH |
| PACF | Partial Autocorrelation Function |
| KS | Kolmogorov Smirnov |
| R | Correlation Coefficient |
| RBF | Radial Basis Functions |
| SPI | Standardized Precipitation Index |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Time series forecasting can be defined as a process in which statements are made about the actual outcome of events which are not yet observed. It is a decision-making tool or planning tool used to help the management or many businesses in its attempt to handle the uncertainties of the future, which relies mainly on the data obtained from the present and past. Shijin, (2012) described forecasting which feature as one of the vital research areas in the investigation of the hydrological time series. Similarly, Raicharoen and Lursinsap, (2005) stated that time series forecasting can be known as the act of forecasting the future when the past is understood. Time series forecasting generally applied in many research has become a significant method to drought forecasting (Han et al., 2012).

Group method of data handling (GMDH) which remains the focus of this study stands as a kind of inductive systems for computer-based mathematical modeling of multi-parametric datasets that features entirely on automatic structural and parametric optimization of models. The GMDH method which is also known as the polynomial neural network was initially articulated to solve for complex order regression polynomials principally to solve the modeling and classification problem (Ostertagová, 2014). In modeling techniques, the algorithm of GMDH operates and structured as a computer-oriented and heuristic technique which is capable of learning the relationship between the variables. GMDH is a system of developing nonlinear structures which uses several input variables. The GMDH system was originally discovered and offered by an Ukrainian scientist, Ivakhnenko and his Colleagues in 1968 which bring about mathematical models of complex systems to handle data samples with observations (Ivakhnenko, 1971). The intention was to develop a new way of obtaining another stochastic approximation. GMDH is described as a method

which can resist the issue of overfitting. It is widely used in route planning, large data analysis, traffic flow prediction and recently in time series forecasting. Many studies conducted with the application of GMDH have proved its importance in the area of time series forecasting. Some of the results use the combined algorithm the GMDH model to improve the forecasting accuracy of the models (Najafzadeh and Barani, 2013).

Drought forecasting is an essential tool used to implement appropriate moderation actions to reduce undesirable impacts on the socioeconomic events of man in a location. The presence of drought forecasting indices for a particular site or a particular area is capable of assisting in improving the decision-making course for drought mitigation because the appropriate actions can be chosen which can be based on the danger connected with the likely evaluation of existing drought conditions. Drought is a most damaging among all the natural hazards (Pulwarty and Sivakumar, 2014) and it is the least understood of the natural disasters. The negative effect of drought becomes noticeable through its effect on a region (Wilhite et al., 2000). The actual end of the drought is difficult to predict (Payus et al., 2020) and what made up of drought differs from one region to another (Sherval and Mcguirk, 2014). With the drought forecasting, the likelihood of drought occurring can be predicted using scientific models by using precipitation indices like the standardized precipitation index (SPI) data series. The drought can create significant economic and social problems in the areas of its occurrence. Insufficient rain can lead to a loss in the crops, diseases in the land and even unemployment due to the declines on human production.

If there is a reduction of water in rivers and lakes, this can lead to the problems on the side of users such as man and animals. The problem of drought on the environment is also an issue on the inhabitants which includes plants other vegetations and human beings. When drought takes place their means of survival on food supply will reduce which can equally lead to the damage of their habitat. Among the negative effects of drought are anxiety, economic losses, conflicts when there is an absence of enough water and loss of human life. Generally, the drought forecasting has not been given the desired attention it deserves in order give room for drought preparedness and the timely notice as mentioned earlier. As a result of this, the emphasis on crisis

management, various people have generally moved from one tragedy to another tragedy without any drop in risk which they are likely to encounter (Hayes et al, 1996).

1.2 Background of the Study

Generally, forecasting methods widely used in time series applications can be categorized into two. These are statistical methods and Artificial Intelligence (AI) methods. Statistical methods include simple moving average (SMA), exponential smoothing (ES) and auto-regressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) while AI techniques are Artificial neural networks (ANN), support vector machine (SVM), fuzzy logic, among others. Statistical methods have been used successfully and extensively in time series forecasting for many years in the past (Deb et. al, 2017). These methods are simple and easy to interpret, but not without its limitations. One of the major limitations of this method is its merely described as a linear. It is desirable to fit the data with the available data and the prior knowledge about the relations between the inputs and outputs before modeling process is determined.

The linear modeling which uses the univariate time series modeling approach is based on extracting and by means of information which is implicitly contained in the past data without directly taken into consideration the external factors are becoming increasingly popular because of their rapid development in times together with little requirement of information (Adamowski, 2008; Pai *et al.*, 2010; Chen *et al.*, 2013; Chau and Wu, 2010 and Nourani *et al.*, 2011). Of recent the application of data-driven models is proved to provide accurate prediction with little knowledge of the behavior and criteria of the geographical, hydrological, and physical process (Moosavi et al., 2013).

Nonetheless, the use of only the past time series data having the same variable is analyzed to develop a model. The development of the underlining relationship can reduce the data dimensionality for a given problem being modeled which improves the generalization and forecasting performance. This modeling approach is useful when little knowledge is available on the data generating process or when satisfactory

explanatory model which is related to the prediction variable to obtain the explanatory variables are not available. The goal of forecasting time series data is to obtain information about the data to be able to predict future values. Over the years, many efforts have been devoted to the development and improvement of univariate time series forecasting models. One of the popular and extensively used forecasting model is the auto-regressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) model. The popularity of the ARIMA model is due to its statistical properties and the famous Box-Jenkins methodology in the model building process. (Saleh, 2018) and (Al-Douri et al, 2018).

In addition, ARIMA model provides a comprehensive statistical modeling methodology for the input and output processes. It covers a wide range of patterns, which ranges from stationary to nonstationary time series, and has been used widely in the past work (Shabri and Samsudin, 2014b) which has been adopted successfully in many fields such as sciences, engineering, methodology, hydrology and financial studies. ARIMA models originated from the auto-regressive models (AR), the moving average (MA) which gives the combination as ARMA models. However, integrated (I) is added and it becomes ARIMA models. ARIMA model has been highly successful in both areas of academic research and numerous areas of applications during the past four decades. It assumes that the future values of a time series have a linear relationship with the current and past values, hence, approximations by the model may not be adequate for complex nonlinear real-world problems. This is because real-world systems are often nonlinear (Guoqiang et al., 1998), therefore, it may be unreasonable to assume a realization of a given time series is generated by a linear process.

To address the drawbacks of this linear models, Artificial neural networks (ANN) model is one of the nonlinear models which is often considered in many researches. ANN models have received a global attention in the fields of science and engineering. It represents a class of nonlinear models which is capable of learning from the data itself. It has been used in many areas where statistical methods such as ARIMA are traditionally employed. They have been applied in areas such as pattern recognition, classification, forecast and process control. ANN is being applied in the areas of forecast and classification, where regression and other related statistical methods have been conventionally used (Gunn, 1998). Forecasting, in time series is a

common issue. Using statistical approach, Box and Jenkins,(Saleh, 2018)have developed ARIMA methodology for fitting a class of linear time series models.

ANN models have received a global attention in the fields of science and engineering. It represents a class of nonlinear models which is capable of learning from the data itself. It has been used in many areas where statistical methods such as ARIMA are traditionally employed. They have been applied in areas such as pattern recognition, classification, forecast and process control. ANN is being applied in the areas of forecast and classification, where regression and other related statistical methods have been conventionally used (Cheng, 2015). Forecasting, in time series is a common issue. Using statistical approach of Box and Jenkins (1994), (Green, 2011) have developed ARIMA methodology for fitting a class of linear time series models.

Among the studies that has been undertaken using artificial intelligent techniques to improve the accuracy of the time series forecasting problems like Artificial Neural Network (ANN) are contained in the works of(Wei et al., 2016); (Zhang, 2003) and(Chen and Zhu, 2007), GMDH (Kordnaeij et al., 2015); (Kondo et al., 2013) and(Onwubolu et al., 2008).

One sub-model neural networks (NN) are a group method of data handling (GMDH) algorithm which were initially developed by (Ivakhnenko, 1971)for modeling and identification of complex systems. The GMDH model is known as a self-organizing heuristic (experimental) modeling technique. The goal of GMDH is to build an analytical function in a feed-forward network based on a quadratic node transfer function whose coefficients are obtained by using a regression method. The GMDH has the ability of self-selecting the number of layers and self-selecting useful input variables (Yen, 2016).The method offers the advantages of improved performance of forecasting (Adhikari *et al.*, 2013) and (Misra et al., 2009). This model has been successfully used to deal with uncertainty, linear and nonlinear in different disciplines most especially in engineering, science, medical applications, signal processing and control systems (Vosset *et al.*, 1999); (Onwubolu et al., 2008); (Kondo et al., 2013) and(Ivakhnenko and Ivakhnenko, 2000).

Most application of GMDH model only implements a second order polynomial since it is a nonlinear model. Such polynomial is referred to as a partial decision (PD) of the GMDH algorithm (Teng et al., 2017a). The PD describes a nonlinear system where it is the transfer function for the GMDH model which consists of only two variables. In 2002, Zadeh et al., presented three different approaches of structural identification of GMDH model for modeling. In the study by Zadeh et al., (2002) indicated that GMDH model with the error driven approach is better than other existing methods. In error driven approach, the number of layers and the number of neurons in each layer is determined according to a threshold value before the GMDH process started and the best performing neuron is combined with previous input variable for the new layer.

To alleviate the problems associated with the GMDH model, many modified methods have been undertaken such as work of (Kondo et al., 2013) modified GMDH model by the introduction of various types of neuron or transfer functions such as sigmoid, RBF function, the high order polynomial, and the linear function. Since these modifications can still be improved upon, the combined forecasting models were considered to further resolve these problems. In achieving this, various models were considered. Among these models, the ones that produces results in terms of measures of performances were combined and compared with the individual models in which the combined models are expected to improve the previous results.

1.3 Challenges of Drought Forecasting

There has been considerable research on modelling various aspects of drought such as identification and prediction or forecasting of its duration and severity. The term severity has various connotations in drought literature such as in hydrological drought, where it is defined as the cumulative shortage or the deficit sum with reference to a pre-specified truncation level. In meteorological drought, the severity has rather been defined in the form of indices such as the Palmer drought severity index. There exist a variety of techniques and methods to analyse the duration and severity of meteorological and hydrological droughts through probability

characterization of low flows, time series methods, synthetic data generation, theory of runs, multiple regression, group theory, pattern recognition and neural network methods. Agricultural droughts are analyzed based on soil moisture modelling concepts with crop yield considerations and using multiple linear regression techniques. The prediction or forecasting aspects of drought duration are developed better than the drought severity aspects. Drought means scarcity of water, which adversely affects various sectors of human society, e.g., agriculture, hydropower generation, water supply, industry (Panu and Sharma, 2002).

A useful index for drought forecasting, based only on monthly precipitation, is the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI); By applying an appropriate forecast method to the precipitation time series and then computing the SPI, it is possible to forecast future drought occurrences (Bordi et al., 2005 and Bordi et al., 2000). On the time scales of droughts, the most commonly used time scale in drought analysis is the year followed by the month (Bonacci, 2018). A major challenge of drought research is to develop suitable methods and techniques for forecasting the onset and termination points of droughts. An equally challenging task is the dissemination of drought research results for practical usage and wider applications.

1.4 Challenges of GMDH Model in Time Series Forecasting

The major goal of time series forecasting is to achieve the best accuracy to be able to make a good decision for any organization. There are limitations to the GMDH model, in cases where it tends to produce a complex polynomial network despite having a reasonably simple input data for the network (Onwubolu et al., 2008). Park *et al.*, (2004) points out whether there sufficiently large number of input variables and data points, GMDH model tends to produce more complex neurons. The complexity of GMDH model increases at each training stage and a selection of a new layer, because of the addition of new input variables. Furthermore, the GMDH model just employ the same quadratic polynomial in each layer.(Park et al., 2004) also introduced a modified GMDH algorithm referred to as self-organizing polynomial neural network (SOPNN) model. The architectures of this model are like feed-forward NN whose

neurons are replaced by polynomial nodes. Many types of high-order polynomial called partial decisions (PDs) such as linear quadratic and modified quadratic of variables were used in SOPNN structure. Although the SPNN is structured by a systematic design procedure, it has some drawbacks to be solved. With the availability of small number of input variables, SOPNN does not give good performance (Park et al., 2004).

Having discussed various challenges of drought forecasting and GMDH methods and their drawbacks particularly GMDH model, this research, therefore, focuses on efforts to improve the forecasting accuracy of GMDH model by proposing a Combine GMDH models with wavelet method and further combine GMDH model with regression and compare the results with the existing GMDH and Wavelet-GMDH models for drought forecasting. There are two types of wavelet methods, namely, discrete wavelet transform (DWT) and continues wavelet transform (CWT), the former is simple and easy to compute while the latter is difficult and complex (Heil and Walnut, 1989). This study will focus on the use of DWT.

1.5 Problem Statement

Various researchers have used different methods for drought forecasting such as Mokhtarzad, (2017) used ANN, ANFIS, and SVM. The researchers that used ARIMA for drought forecasting includes Karavitis et al., (2015); Bazrafshan et al., (2015); Mossad and Alazba, (2015); (Han et al., (2012) and Durdu (2010). Those that used hybrid methods such as ANFIS, ARIMA, and wavelet includes Shabri, (2014); Deo, et al., (2016); Belayneh, et al, (2013). So far and to the best knowledge of the researcher, there seems to be no research carried out aimed at using combine wavelet-GMDH model in drought forecasting. However, various works have been done using GMDH in areas such as forecasting rice yields (Ruhaidah et al., 2010); flood forecasting (Badyalina and Shabri, 2015); crude palm oil price (Belayneh et al., 2014) and (Basheer and Khamis, 2017); Runoff forecasting (Moosavi et al., 2017); China's energy consumption forecasting (Liang and Liang, 2017); streamflow forecasting

(Badyalina, 2014), river flow forecasting (Samsudin et al., 2011) time series forecasting (Zhang et al., 2012) and (Shabri and Samsudin, 2014b).

The problems associated with these models that are visible in the literatures include the fact that they could not delve into a large volume of standardized precipitation index (SPI) data which can be overcome by the combined wavelet-GMDH in addressing drought forecasting. Therefore, this current effort is expected to address the issues which are associated SPI which is usually used for drought forecasting. In this aspect previous forecasting models could not address this, hence, the focus on combined wavelet-GMDH model since no study has used the combined wavelet-GMDH model for drought forecasting using SPI. Consequently, the combined wavelet-GMDH forecasting model is expected to address these issues and produce a better result which will improve the forecasting performance when compared with the individual models. Combining forecast models from two or more forecasting models is capable of serving as an alternative to using an individual model (Winkler, 1983).

The strength of combine forecasting model involves its capability to address the pitfalls of individual models since it considered multiple models for its result while as the individual model only considers single model. Robert and Clemen (1989) said whatever method are used, combined forecasting models produce more accurate result compared with individual model. therefore, forecasts accuracy can be improved substantially through the combination of two or more single forecasting models. among the strength of the combined models include its reliability since it involves more forecasting models. if the best model results are selected for combination, it produces are more accurate result and the involvement of multiple models make it a good representation. A combined forecasting model is capable of minimizing the shortcomings of each individual models and allow them to complement each other.

GMDH has shown an improvement when combined with other models. Zadeh et al (2002) combined GMDH model with individual value decomposition and it indicates significant improvement over GMDH model alone. Ruhaidah et al., (2009) proposed combined model with LSSVM and obtained a significantly improved result in the forecast. Of recent wavelet transform has gained popularity since it can produce

an encouraging result in the time series. Although GMDH is useful as a statistical tool in many fields but not often in hydrology particularly in drought forecasting. Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) has been widely used to improve the forecasting performance for time series models (Wang and Ding, 2003; Kisi and Jala 2010; Kisi and Cimen, 2011 and Salahaldeen *et al.*, 2019).The DWT has several levels of decomposition. There are still lack of methods to determine which decomposition level is suitable for a specific data. GMDH similarly show a significant improvement when combine with genetic algorithm and fuzzy logic (Park *et al.*, 2004 and Ahmadi *et al.*, 2015).

Improving the forecasting accuracy is fundamental and yet it is one of the more difficult tasks faced by the decision-makers in many areas. However, using combine models have become a common practice to improve the forecasting accuracy. Many studies have shown that the combine models can be an effective means to the improvement of the forecasting accuracy when compared with the individual models(Qin *et al.*, 2017 and Wei *et al.*, 2016). The combined method of modeling has improved the performance of traditional models. (Shiri and Kisi, 2010) has proposed the combination of the wavelet transform and linear regression since it is easier to interpret for monthly stream flow forecasting.

The combined model is expected to improve the individual models which is as a result of involvement of more than one model. Panopoulou and Vrontos (2015) in applying combined model is of the opinion that it outperformed the individual forecasting model. The combined forecasting models can reduce errors arising from faulty assumption, bias or mistakes made in the data (Armstrong, 2001). One of the drawbacks of the individual forecasting model is its limitation to only one single model as opposed to the consideration of more models in the combined model.

Accurate and reliable forecasts are extremely important in diversity of applications in any organization in the area of planning and management. The best way to achieve this goal is in the area of selecting the forecasting methods that suites the situation. Having studied the various methods adopted by the different researchers, one of which is the use of the conventional GMDH, the present effort is aimed

proposing a more accurate and reliable combine wavelet-GMDH model as a tool for the drought forecasting. This is expected to improve the forecasting potential of the existing models.

Consequently, this study attempts to investigate the accuracy of combining discrete wavelet transform (DWT) and GMDH model and Combine Regression with wavelet-GMDH using the SPI data set. The combination of wavelet and GMDH is to enhance the forecasting accuracy of wavelet-GMDH model. This approach is expected to improve the forecasting ability of the existing GMDH and Wavelet-GMDH models and to reduce the errors.

1.6 Research Questions

This study is driven by three research questions as stated below:

- (a) How can the Wavelet-GMDH model enhance drought forecasting?
- (b) Can the Combined wavelet-GMDH model contribute to the improvement of drought forecasting?
- (c) What is the strength and role of the combined wavelet-GMDH model in relation to the individual models?

The study, therefore, propose a Combined Wavelet-GMDH forecasting modeling procedure with the SPI data series in forecasting drought. The outcome is expected to improve the power of drought forecasting with better performance accuracy.

1.7 Research Objectives

This study is aimed at proposing a Combine Wavelet-GMDH for drought forecasting with traditional ARIMA and conventional GMDH models as benchmark. Specifically, the objectives of the study are:

- (a) To develop various Wavelet-GMDH models for drought forecasting
- (b) To propose the combination of the Wavelet-GMDH models developed which combines decomposition, data pre-processing and forecasting techniques and its application for drought forecasting with SPI datasets.
- (c) To compare the performance of various individual forecasting models with the proposed Combined Wavelet-GMDH forecasting models as a potential application for drought forecasting.

1.8 The Scope of the Study

In this study, the data used were obtained from four distinct irrigation stations in Malaysian and in Nigeria. The stations in Malaysia are Arau and Kuala Krai from Kelantan and Perlis states respectively. From Arau station, 624 datasets for a period of January 1956 and December 2008 were collected. From Kuala Krai station, 384 datasets for a period of January 1975 and December 2008 were collected. Stations in Nigeria are Badeggi and Duku-Lade from Niger and Kwara states respectively. From Badeggi station, 600 observations for a period of January 1968 and December 2018 were collected and from Duku-Lade station, 580 observations were obtained for a period of January 1992 and December 2016, were collected. The study used these data which are mainly from the rainfall in mm and converted to standardized precipitation index (SPI) data series used to build the models and used as a tool for the drought forecasting at the four stations.

In this thesis, the wavelet GMDH model is based on the traditional GMDH model which is combined with the wavelet. The comparison models are ARIMA, W-ARIMA, GMDH, W-GMDH, Modify GMDH, Modify W-GMDH, Sigmoid GMDH, Sigmoid W-GMDH, RBF GMDH and RBF W-GMDH. Lastly, the combination of the best three models (MW-GMDH, Sigmoid W-GMDH and RBF W-GMDH) was done to produce the combined model. Further to that a regression was carried out to obtain the overall best model.

1.9 Significance of the Study

Although several studies have been conducted on drought forecasting, but so far to the best knowledge of the researcher, very few has worked using the combine wavelet-GMDH and Combine Regression Wavelet-GMDH model for drought forecasting using SPI data. Therefore, the present effort attempts to develop various wavelet-GMDH models with their transfer functions obtained as a tool for drought forecasting. To achieve this, the various SPI data series will be used in building the combined wavelet-GMDH forecasting models. In the final analysis, three best models were combined to obtain the Combined forecasting model using SPI data sets. The results obtained are expected to demonstrate a higher accuracy and improvement when compared with the individual models. The combined wavelet-GMDH forecasting model is more effective in drought forecasting because of the involvement of multiple individual models which makes it a good representation to obtain the proposed combined model.

1.10 Organization of Thesis

The thesis is made up of six chapters which were discussed accordingly, followed by references and then appendices.

Chapter 1 defines the background, challenges, problems, research questions, research objectives, the scope, the significance and lastly, thesis organization.

Chapter 2 reviews the main subjects of the study, these include the forecasting models, time series forecasting, SPI data and drought forecasting.

Chapter 3 discusses the research methodology used in the study. These include the design of the computational techniques that support the objectives of the study. Other areas such as performance evaluation measures, source of data and instrumentation are discussed.

Chapter 4 gave the description of the study areas and the collected data both in Malaysia and Nigeria.

Chapter 5 contains the analysis and comparison of the model results for the four study stations involving SPI datasets. The results are compared based on models and the stations.

Chapter 6 presents the summary, conclusion, contribution, and recommendation which includes the suggestions for future work with regards to the continuation of the research in the area of this Group Method of Data Handling (GMDH) methodology. And finally, the references and appendix of the thesis.

REFERENCES

- Ã, V. N., Alami, M. T., & Aminfar, M. H. (2009). Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence A combined neural-wavelet model for prediction of Ligvanchai watershed precipitation, 22, 466–472. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2008.09.003>
- Abcdef, M. S., Abcdef, J. A., Abcdef, A. F., Abcdef, Y. D., Abcdef, K. A., & Dalwadi. (2016). A wavelet-SARIMA-ANN hybrid model for precipitation forecasting. *Journal of Water and Land Development*, 28(1), 27–36. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jwld-2016-0003>
- Abubakar, H. B., & Newete, S. W. (2020). Drought Characterization and Trend Detection Using the Reconnaissance Drought Index for Setsoto Municipality of the Free State Province of South Africa and the Impact on Maize Yield.
- Adamowski, J. F. (2008). Development of a short-term river flood forecasting method for snowmelt driven floods based on wavelet and cross-wavelet analysis, 247–266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2008.02.013>
- Adnan, S., Ullah, K., & Gao, S. (2015). Characterization of drought and its assessment over Sindh, Pakistan during 1951-2010. *Journal of Meteorological Research*, 29(5), 837–857. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13351-015-4113-z>
- Agba, D., Nwanji, T., Yusuf, M., & Ojipkong, C. (2018). Reducing Small Scale Farmers Poverty through Credit access in Kwara State Nigeria. *Developing Country Studies*, 8(2), 7-19–19.
- Ahmadi, M. H., Ahmadi, M., & Mehrpooya, M. (2015). Using GMDH Neural Networks to Model the Power and Torque of a Stirling Engine, 2243–2255. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su7022243>
- Ahmed, R. A., & Shabri, A. Bin. (2014). Daily crude oil price forecasting model using arima, generalized autoregressive conditional heteroscedastic and Support Vector Machines. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*, 11(3), 425–432. <https://doi.org/10.3844/ajassp.2014.425.432>
- Ajala, A. S., & Gana, A. (2015). Analysis of Challenges Facing Rice Processing in Nigeria. *Journal of Food Processing*, 2015, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/893673>

- Akambi, U. O., Omotesho, O. A., & Ayinde, O. E. (2011). Analysis of Technical Efficiency of Rice Farms in Duku Irrigation Scheme Kwara State, Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Agriculture, Food and Environment*, 7(3), 65–72.
- Al-Douri, Y. K., Hamodi, H., & Lundberg, J. (2018). Time series forecasting using a two-level multi-objective genetic algorithm: A case study of maintenance cost data for tunnel fans. *Algorithms*, 11(8). <https://doi.org/10.3390/a11080123>
- Almasarweh, M., & Wadi, S. A. L. (2018). ARIMA Model in Predicting Banking Stock Market Data, 12(11), 309–312. <https://doi.org/10.5539/mas.v12n11p309>
- Almedeij, J. (2014). Drought analysis for kuwait using standardized precipitation index. *Scientific World Journal*, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/451841>
- Alsaedi, Y., Tularam, G. A., & Wong, V. (2019). Application of Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average Modelling for the Forecasting of Solar , Wind , Spot and Options Electricity Prices : The Australian National Electricity Market, 9(4), 263–272.
- Altaher, A. M., & Ismail, M. T. (2010). A Comparison of Some Thresholding Selection Methods for Wavelet Regression, 4(2), 209–215.
- Ampaw, E. M., & Polytechnic, K. (2020). Time Series Modelling of Rainfall in New Juaben Municipality of the Eastern Region of Ghana Time Series Modelling of Rainfall in New Juaben Municipality of the Eastern Region of Ghana, (May).
- Anastasakis, L., Mort, N., Anastasakis, L., & Mort, N. (2001). THE DEVELOPMENT OF SELF-ORGANIZATION TECHNIQUES IN MODELLING : A REVIEW OF THE GROUP METHOD OF DATA HANDLING (GMDH) October 2001 MODELLING : A REVIEW OF THE GROUP METHOD OF DATA, (813).
- Anderson, J., & Imadera, K. (2017). Monthly streamflow forecasting with autoregressive integrated moving average Monthly streamflow forecasting with autoregressive integrated moving average.
- Armstrong, J. S. (2001). Selecting Forecasting Methods, 365–386. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-306-47630-3_16
- Badyalina, B. (2014). Streamflow Estimation at Ungauged Site Using Wavelet Group Method of Data Handling in Peninsular Malaysia, 8(11), 513–524.
- Badyalina, B., & Shabri, A. (2015). Flood Frequency Analysis at Ungauged Site Using Group Method of Data Flood Frequency Analysis at Ungauged Site Using Group Method of Data Handling and Canonical Correlation Analysis, (May 2016). <https://doi.org/10.5539/mas.v9n6p48>

- Baig, Z. A., Sait, S. M., & Shaheen, A. (2013). Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence GMDH-based networks for intelligent intrusion detection. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 26(7), 1731–1740. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2013.03.008>
- Barker, L. J., Hannaford, J., Chiverton, A., & Svensson, C. (2016). From meteorological to hydrological drought using standardised indicators. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 20(6), 2483–2505. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-20-2483-2016>
- Basheer, H., & Khamis, A. (2017). Forecasting of crude palm oil price using hybridizing wavelet and group method of data handling model, 13(4), 642–648.
- Basheer, H., & Khamis, A. Bin. (2016). A HYBRID GROUP METHOD OF DATA HANDLING (GMDH) WITH THE WAVELET DECOMPOSITION FOR TIME SERIES FORECASTING : A REVIEW, 11(18), 10792–10800.
- Bazrafshan, O., Salajegheh, A., Bazrafshan, J., Marj, A. F., & Mahdavi, M. (2015). *Journal of Applied Hydrology*, (2), 1–19.
- Belayneh, A., Adamowski, J., Khalil, B., & Ozga-zielinski, B. (2014). Long-term SPI drought forecasting in the Awash River Basin in Ethiopia using wavelet neural network and wavelet support vector regression models. *JOURNAL OF HYDROLOGY*, 508, 418–429. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.10.052>
- Bhuiyan, C. (2014). Various drought indices for monitoring drought condition in Aravalli terrain of India, (June).
- Bonacci, O. (2018). Hydrological identification of drought, (July 1993). <https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.3360070303>
- Bonaccorso, B., Cancelliere, A., & Rossi, G. (2015). Probabilistic forecasting of drought class transitions in Sicily (Italy) using Standardized Precipitation Index and North Atlantic Oscillation Index. *Journal of Hydrology*, 526, 136–150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.01.070>
- Bordi, I., Fraedrich, K., Petitta, M., & Sutera, A. (2005). METHODS FOR PREDICTING DROUGHT, (January).
- Bordi, I., Speranza, A., & Sutera, A. (2000). The analysis of the Standardized Precipitation Index in the Mediterranean area : Large-scale patterns, (November). <https://doi.org/10.4401/ag-3550>
- Bowden, G. J., Dandy, G. C., & Maier, H. R. (2005). Input determination for neural network models in water resources applications. Part 1 - Background and

- methodology. *Journal of Hydrology*, 301(1–4), 75–92.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2004.06.021>
- Boyns, T., & Edwards, J. R. (1997). British Cost and Management Accounting Theory, 452–462.
- Camara, A., Feixing, W., & Xiuqin, L. (2016). Energy Consumption Forecasting Using Seasonal ARIMA with Artificial Neural Networks Models, 11(5).
<https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v11n5p231>
- Cannas, B., Fanni, A., Sias, G., Tronci, S., & Zedda, M. K. (2005). River flow forecasting using neural networks and wavelet analysis. *Geophysical Research Abstracts*, 7(January 2005).
- Carrão, H., Russo, S., Sepulcre-Canto, G., & Barbosa, P. (2016). An empirical standardized soil moisture index for agricultural drought assessment from remotely sensed data. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 48, 74–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2015.06.011>
- Castello, A., Mancuso, B., & Werner, L. (2013). (ijm&p), (June), 248–277.
<https://doi.org/10.14807/ijmp.v4i1.59>
- Cayir, B., Faruk, O., & Zaim, S. (2016). Model estimation of ARMA using genetic algorithms : A case study of forecasting natural gas consumption. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 235(October), 537–545.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.11.066>
- Chang, F. J., Chiang, Y. M., Tsai, M. J., Shieh, M. C., Hsu, K. L., & Sorooshian, S. (2014). Watershed rainfall forecasting using neuro-fuzzy networks with the assimilation of multi-sensor information. *Journal of Hydrology*, 508, 374–384.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.11.011>
- Chau, K. W., & Wu, C. L. (2010). A hybrid model coupled with singular spectrum analysis for daily rainfall prediction, 458–473.
<https://doi.org/10.2166/hydro.2010.032>
- Chen, K., & Wang, C. (2007). A hybrid SARIMA and support vector machines in forecasting the production values of the machinery industry in Taiwan, 32, 254–264. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2005.11.027>
- Chen, S. M., Wang, Y. M., & Tsou, I. (2013). Using artificial neural network approach for modelling rainfall – runoff due to typhoon, (2), 399–405.
- Chen, S., & Zhu, H. Y. (2007). Wavelet Transform for Processing Power Quality Disturbances, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2007/47695>

- Cheng, B. (2015). Titterington, D. M. : Neural Networks : A Review from a Statistical Perspective ., 9(February 1994). <https://doi.org/10.1214/ss/1177010638>
- Cheval, S. (2016). The Standardized Precipitation Index – an overview, (December 2015).
- Chou, C. ming. (2007). Efficient nonlinear modeling of rainfall-runoff process using wavelet compression. *Journal of Hydrology*, 332(3–4), 442–455. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2006.07.015>
- Christopoulou, E. B., Skodras, A. N., & Georgakilas, A. A. (2002). The “atrous” wavelet transform versus classical methods for the improvement of solar images. *International Conference on Digital Signal Processing, DSP*, 2(February 2002), 885–888. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDSP.2002.1028232>
- Cohen, A. (1996). athenatical Backgro, 84(4).
- Computing, N., Adhikari, R., Analytics, F., & Agrawal, R. K. (2013). A linear hybrid methodology for improving accuracy of time series forecasting, (May 2014). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-013-1480-1>
- Contreras, S., & Hunink, J. E. (2015). Drought effects on rainfed agriculture using standardized indices : A case study in SE Spain, 65–70.
- Cordeiro, C., & Neves, M. (2009). Forecasting time series with Boot. EXPOS procedure. *Revstat*, 7(2), 135–149.
- Coulibaly, P., Anctil, F., Aravena, R., & Bobée, B. (2001). Artificial neural network modeling of water table depth fluctuations. *Water Resources Research*, 37(4), 885–896. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000WR900368>
- Dai, A. (2013). Increasing drought under global warming in observations and models. *Nature Climate Change*, 3(1), 52–58. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1633>
- Dalwadi. (2016). The Wavelet Tutorial. *Internet Resources Httpengineering Rowan Edu Polikar WAVELETSWTutorial Html*, 1–67. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8113/44/8/085201>
- Dalwadi, Khalil, A. B. J. A. B., Belayneh, A., Adamowski, J., & Khalil, B. (2016). Short-term SPI drought forecasting in the Awash River Basin in Ethiopia using wavelet transforms and machine learning methods. *Sustainable Water Resources Management*, 2(1), 87–101. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40899-015-0040-5>
- Danandeh Mehr, A., Kahya, E., & O'zger, M. (2014). A gene-wavelet model for long lead time drought forecasting. *Journal of Hydrology*, 517, 691–699. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.06.012>

- Dawson, C. W., Abrahart, R. J., & See, L. M. (2007). HydroTest: A web-based toolbox of evaluation metrics for the standardised assessment of hydrological forecasts. *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 22(7), 1034–1052. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2006.06.008>
- Dean, A., Dean, A., Voss, D., & Voss, D. (1999). Design and Analysis of Experiments. *Nature Biotechnology*, 26(12), 740. <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.113.008565>
- Deb, C., Zhang, F., Yang, J., Lee, S. E., & Shah, K. W. (2017a). A review on time series forecasting techniques for building energy consumption. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 74(February), 902–924. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.02.085>
- Deb, C., Zhang, F., Yang, J., Lee, S. E., & Shah, K. W. (2017b). A review on time series forecasting techniques for building energy consumption. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 74(November), 902–924. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.02.085>
- Deka, P. C., Haque, L., & Banhatti, A. G. (2012). Discrete wavelet-Ann approach in time series flow forecasting-a case study of Brahmaputra river. *International Journal of Earth Sciences and Engineering*, 5(4), 673–685.
- Deo, R. C., Tiwari, M. K., Adamowski, J. F., Quilty, J. M., Ann, W., Adamowski, F., & Quilty, J. M. (2016). Forecasting effective drought index using a wavelet extreme learning machine (W-ELM) model. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00477-016-1265-z>
- Deo, R. C., Wen, X., & Qi, F. (2016). A wavelet-coupled support vector machine model for forecasting global incident solar radiation using limited meteorological dataset. *Applied Energy*, 168, 568–593. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.01.130>
- Di, C., Yang, X., & Wang, X. (2014). A Four-Stage Hybrid Model for Hydrological Time Series Forecasting, 9(8). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0104663>
- Dong, B., Mao, Y., Dinov, I. D., Tu, Z., & Shi, Y. (2009). Wavelet-Based Representation of Biological Shapes Wavelet-Based Representation of Biological, (June 2014). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-10331-5>
- Doulkeridis, C., Vlachou, A., Kotidis, Y., & Vazirgiannis, M. (2007). Wavelets Made Easy. *Proceedings of the 33rd International Conference on Very Large Databases*, 986–997. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614->
- Du, J., Fang, J., Xu, W., & Shi, P. (2012). Analysis of dry / wet conditions using the

- standardized precipitation index and its potential usefulness for drought / flood monitoring in Hunan Province , China, (Green 2010).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00477-012-0589-6>
- Dutra, E., Giuseppe, F. Di, Wetterhall, F., & Pappenberger, F. (2013). Seasonal forecasts of droughts in African basins using the Standardized Precipitation Index, 2359–2373. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-17-2359-2013>
- Ebtehaj, I., Bonakdari, H., Shamsirband, S., & Mohammadi, K. (2016). A combined support vector machine-wavelet transform model for prediction of sediment transport in sewer. *Flow Measurement and Instrumentation*, 47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flowmeasinst.2015.11.002>
- Eng, F., & Tay, H. (2014). Improved financial time series forecasting by combining Support Vector Machines with self-organizing feature map, (November 2001). <https://doi.org/10.3233/IDA-2001-5405>
- Eni, D., & Adeyeye, F. J. (2015). Seasonal ARIMA Modeling and Forecasting of Rainfall in Warri Town , Nigeria, (August), 91–98.
- Eroğlu, B., & Soybilgen, B. (2018). On the Performance of Wavelet Based Unit Root Tests. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 11(3), 47. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm11030047>
- Fallahi, S., Shaverdi, M., & Bashiri, V. (2011). Applying GMDH-Type Neural Network and Genetic Algorithm for Stock Price Prediction of Iranian Cement Sector, 6(2), 572–591.
- Farhath, Z. A., Arputhamary, B., & Arockiam, L. (2016). a Survey on Arima Forecasting Using Time Series Model, 5(8), 104–109.
- Farlow, S. (2016). The GMDH algorithm of Ivakhnenko, (May). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.1981.10479358>
- Faulina, R. (2013). Hybrid ARIMA-ANFIS for Rainfall Prediction in Indonesia, 2(2), 159–162.
- Fernández, F. H. (2010). GMDH ALGORITHM IMPLEMENTED IN THE INTELLIGENT IDENTIFICATION OF A BIOPROCESS, 4, 278–287.
- Gençay, R., Selçuk, F., & Whitcher, B. (2003). Systematic risk and timescales. *Quantitative Finance*, 3(2), 108–116. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1469-7688/3/2/305>
- González, M., Coenders, G., & Casas, F. (2008). Using non-linear models for a complexity approach to psychological well-being. *Quality and Quantity*, 42(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-006-9032-8>

- Green, S. (2011). Digital Commons @ Georgia Southern Time Series Analysis of Stock Prices Using the Box- Jenkins Approach.
- Gunn, S. R. (1998). Support Vector Machines for Classification and Regression by, (May).
- Guoqiang Zhang, B., & Eddy Patuwo, M. Y. H. (1998). Full-Text. *International Journal of Forecasting*, 14, 35–62. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-2070\(97\)00044-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-2070(97)00044-7)
- Hadi, S., Shahid, S., & Chung, E. (2016). A hybrid model for statistical downscaling of daily rainfall. *Procedia Engineering*, 154, 1424–1430. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2016.07.514>
- Hamdan, M. N., Jubran, B. A., Shabaneh, N. H., & Abu-Samak, M. (1996). Comparison of various basic wavelets for the analysis of flow-induced vibration of a cylinder in cross flow. *Journal of Fluids and Structures*, 10(6), 633–651. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jfls.1996.0042>
- Hamilton, D. C., & Watts, D. G. (1978). Interpreting partial autocorrelation functions of seasonal time series models. *Biometrika*, 65(1), 135–140. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/65.1.135>
- Hamrita, M. E., & Trifi, A. (2011). The relationship between interest rate, exchange rate and stock price: A wavelet analysis. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 1(4), 220–228.
- Han, P., Wang, P., Tian, M., Zhang, S., & Liu, J. (2012). Application of the ARIMA Models in Drought Forecasting Using the Standardized Precipitation Index.
- Han, P., Wang, P., Tian, M., Zhang, S., Liu, J., Zhu, D., ... Liu, J. (2016). Application of the ARIMA Models in Drought Forecasting Using the Standardized Precipitation Index To cite this version : HAL Id : hal-01348118 Application of the ARIMA Models in Drought Forecasting Using the Standardized Precipitation Index.
- Han, P., Xin, P., Yu, S., & Zhu, D. H. (2010). Drought forecasting based on the remote sensing data using ARIMA models. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 51(11–12), 1398–1403. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcm.2009.10.031>
- Hayes, M. J., & Svoboda, M. D. (2000). Chapter 12 Monitoring Drought Using the Standardized Precipitation Index.
- Hayes, M. J., Svoboda, M. D., Wilhite, D. A., & Vanyarkho, O. V. (1996). Monitoring the 1996 Drought Using the Standardized Precipitation Index, 429–438.

- He, X., Guan, H., & Qin, J. (2015). A hybrid wavelet neural network model with mutual information and particle swarm optimization for forecasting monthly rainfall. *Journal of Hydrology*, 527, 88–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.04.047>
- Heil, C. E., & Walnut, D. F. (1989). Continuous and discrete wavelet transforms. *SIAM Review*, 31(4), 628–666. <https://doi.org/10.1137/1031129>
- Hou, S., Zhou, Y., Liu, H., & Zhu, N. (2017). Wavelet support vector machine algorithm in power analysis attacks. *Radioengineering*, 26(3), 890–902. <https://doi.org/10.13164/re.2017.0890>
- Hussein, A. F., Hashim, S. J., Aziz, A. F. A., Rokhani, F. Z., & Adnan, W. A. W. (2018). Performance Evaluation of Time-Frequency Distributions for ECG Signal Analysis. *Journal of Medical Systems*, 42(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10916-017-0871-8>
- Hyndman, L. R. J. (2014). *Forecasting : Principles & Practice*, (September).
- Ikoku, A. E., & Okany, C. T. (2017). Improving Accuracy with Forecast Combination : the Case of Inflation and Currency in Circulation in Nigeria, 8(1), 49–69.
- Ismail, S., Shabri, A., & Samsudin, R. (2012). Sciences A hybrid model of self organizing maps and least square support vector machine for river flow forecasting, 4417–4433. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-16-4417-2012>
- Ismail, Shuhaida, Shabri, A., & Samsudin, R. (2011). A hybrid model of self-organizing maps (SOM) and least square support vector machine (LSSVM) for time-series forecasting. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 38(8), 10574–10578. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2011.02.107>
- Ismaila, U., Gana, A. S., Tswanya, N. M., & Dogara, D. (2010). Cereals production in Nigeria: Problems, constraints and opportunities for betterment. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 5(12), 1341–1350. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR09.407>
- Ivakhnenko, A. G. (1971). Polynomial Theory of Complex Systems Polynomial Theory of Complex Systems, (4), 364–378.
- Ivakhnenko, A. G., & Ivakhnenko, G. A. (2000). Problems of Further Development of the Group Method of Data Handling Algorithms . Part I, 10(2), 187–194.
- Jebb, A. T., Tay, L., Wang, W., Huang, Q., & Croudace, T. J. (2015). Time series analysis for psychological research : examining and forecasting change, 6(June), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00727>

- Jia, C., Wei, L., Wang, H., & Yang, J. (2015). A Hybrid Model Based on Wavelet Decomposition-Reconstruction in Track Irregularity State Forecasting, *2015*.
- Jirina, M. (1994). THE MODIFIED GMDH : SIGMOIDAL AND POLYNOMIAL NEURAL NET. *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*, 27(8), 611–613. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-6670\(17\)47776-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-6670(17)47776-7)
- Kalavrouziotis, I. K., Vissikirsky, V. A., Stepashko, V. S., & Koukoulakis, P. H. (2010). APPLICATION OF QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS TECHNIQUES TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL MODELING OF PLANT SPECIES CULTIVATION, *12*(2), 161–174.
- Karavitis, C A, Vasilakou, C. G., Tsesmelis, D. E., Oikonomou, P. D., Skondras, N. A., Stamatakos, D., ... Alexandris, S. (2015). Short-term drought forecasting combining stochastic and geo-statistical approaches, 43–63.
- Karavitis, Christos A, Alexandris, S., Tsesmelis, D. E., & Athanasopoulos, G. (2011a). Application of the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI), 787–805. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w3030787>
- Karavitis, Christos A, Alexandris, S., Tsesmelis, D. E., & Athanasopoulos, G. (2011b). Application of the Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI), (August). <https://doi.org/10.3390/w3030787>
- Khandelwal, I., Adhikari, R., & Verma, G. (2015a). Time series forecasting using hybrid arima and ann models based on DWT Decomposition. *Procedia Computer Science*, 48(C), 173–179. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2015.04.167>
- Khandelwal, I., Adhikari, R., & Verma, G. (2015b). Time Series Forecasting using Hybrid ARIMA and ANN Models based on DWT Decomposition. *Procedia - Procedia Computer Science*, 48(Iccc), 173–179. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2015.04.167>
- Khashei, Mehdi, Hajrahimi, Z. (2003). performance evaluation of series and parallel strategies for finacila time series forecasting.
- Khashei, M., & Bijari, M. (2011). A New Hybrid Methodology for Nonlinear Time Series Forecasting, *2011*. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/379121>
- Kim, S., Rachev, S. T., Bianchi, L., Mitov, I., & Fabozzi, F. J. (2010). Time series analysis for financial market meltdowns, (2).
- Kim, T., Mechanics, E., & Mechanics, E. (2003). A N ONLINEAR M ODEL FOR D ROUGHT F ORECASTING B ASED ON C ONJUNCTION OF W AVELET T RANSFORMS, *0072*.

- Kisi, O. (2010). Wavelet regression model for short-term streamflow forecasting. *Journal of Hydrology*, 389(3–4), 344–353. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.06.013>
- Kisi, O. (2011). Wavelet Regression Model as an Alternative to Neural Networks for River Stage Forecasting, 579–600. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-010-9715-8>
- Kisi, O., & Cimen, M. (2011). A wavelet-support vector machine conjunction model for monthly streamflow forecasting. *Journal of Hydrology*, 399(1–2), 132–140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.12.041>
- Kondo, C., Kondo, T., & Ueno, J. (2009). Three-dimensional medical image analysis of the heart by the revised GMDH-type neural network self-selecting optimum neural network architecture. *Artificial Life and Robotics*, 14(2), 123–128. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10015-009-0641-x>
- Kondo, T., Ueno, J., & Takao, S. (2013). Hybrid Multi-layered GMDH-type Neural Network Using Principal Component Regression Analysis and Its Application to Medical Image Diagnosis of Liver Cancer. *Procedia - Procedia Computer Science*, 22, 172–181. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2013.09.093>
- Kordnaeij, A., Kalantary, F., Kordtabar, B., & Mola-abasi, H. (2015). Prediction of recompression index using GMDH-type neural network based on geotechnical soil properties. *Soils and Foundations*, 55(6), 1335–1345. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sandf.2015.10.001>
- Kumar, D. N., Reddy, M. J., & Maity, R. (2007). Regional Rainfall Forecasting using Large Scale Climate Teleconnections and Artificial Intelligence Techniques, 16(4), 307–322.
- Labat, D., Ronchail, J., Callede, J., Guyot, J. L., De Oliveira, E., & Guimaraes, W. (2004). Wavelet analysis of Amazon hydrological regime variability. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 31(2), 2–6. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL018741>
- Labeledzki, L. (2017). Categorical Forecast of Precipitation Anomaly Using the Standardized Precipitation Index SPI, (January). <https://doi.org/10.3390/w9010008>
- Le Fevre, M., Boxall, P., & Macky, K. (2015). Which workers are more vulnerable to work intensification? An analysis of two national surveys. *International Journal of Manpower*, 36(6), 966–983. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJM-01-2014-0035>
- Lee, H., Seo, D., & Jin, S. (2016). A weakly-constrained data assimilation approach to

- address rainfall-runoff model structural inadequacy in streamflow prediction. *Journal of Hydrology*, 542, 373–391. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2016.09.009>
- Lemaire, V., & Clérot, F. (2004). An input variable importance definition based on empirical data probability and its use in variable selection. *IEEE International Conference on Neural Networks - Conference Proceedings*, 2(August), 1375–1380. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IJCNN.2004.1380149>
- Li, S., Kuo, S., Cheng, Y., & Chen, C. (2010). Deterministic vector long-term forecasting for fuzzy time series. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 161(13), 1852–1870. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2009.10.028>
- Li, S., Kuo, S., Cheng, Y., & Chen, C. (2011). A vector forecasting model for fuzzy time series. *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, 11(3), 3125–3134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2010.12.015>
- Liang, J., & Liang, Y. (2017). Analysis and Modeling for China's Electricity Demand Forecasting Based on a New Mathematical Hybrid Method. <https://doi.org/10.3390/info8010033>
- Liu, L., Hsu, H., & Grafarend, E. W. (2007). Normal Morlet wavelet transform and its application to the Earth's polar motion. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 112(8), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JB004895>
- Liu, X., Xu, X., Yu, M., & Lu, J. (2016). Hydrological drought forecasting and assessment based on the standardized stream index in the Southwest China. *Procedia Engineering*, 154, 733–737. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2016.07.576>
- Liu, Zhenchen, Lu, G., He, H., Wu, Z., & He, J. (2017). A conceptual prediction model of seasonal drought processes using atmospheric and oceanic Standardized Anomalies : application in four recent severe drought events in China, 25(March), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-2017-136>
- Liu, Zhiyong, Zhou, P., Chen, G., & Guo, L. (2014). Evaluating a coupled discrete wavelet transform and support vector regression for daily and monthly streamflow forecasting. *Journal of Hydrology*, 519(PD), 2822–2831. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.06.050>
- Luther, J., Hainsworth, A., Tang, X., Harding, J., Torres, J., & Fanchiotti, M. (2017). World Meteorological Organization (WMO) — Concerted International Efforts for Advancing Multi-hazard Early Warning Systems.

- <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-59469-9>
- Maheswaran, R., & Khosa, R. (2012). Wavelet-Volterra coupled model for monthly stream flow forecasting. *Journal of Hydrology*, 450–451, 320–335. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.04.017>
- Makridakis, S. (2015). The Combination of Forecasts, (January). <https://doi.org/10.2307/2982011>
- Mallat, S. G. (1989). A Theory for Multiresolution Signal Decomposition: The Wavelet Representation. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 11(7), 674–693. <https://doi.org/10.1109/34.192463>
- Malvoni, M., Giorgi, M. G. De, & Congedo, P. M. (2016). Data in Brief Data on Support Vector Machines (SVM) model to forecast photovoltaic power. *Data in Brief*, 9, 13–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2016.08.024>
- Mancuso, A. C. B., & Werner, L. (2019). A comparative study on combinations of forecasts and their individual forecasts by means of simulated series. *Acta Scientiarum - Technology*, 41(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.4025/actascitechnol.v41i1.41452>
- Martinez-villalobos, C. (2020). Why Do Precipitation Intensities Tend to Follow Gamma Distributions ? Why Do Precipitation Intensities Tend to Follow Gamma Distributions ?, (November 2019). <https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-18-0343.1>
- Martins, O. Y., Sadeeq, M. A., & Ahaneku, I. E. (2011). ARMA Modelling of Benue River Flow Dynamics : Comparative Study of PAR Model, 2011(July), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojmh.2011.11001>
- Maslova, I., & Ticlavilca, A. M. (2015). Wavelet-Multivariate Relevance Vector Machine Hybrid Model for Forecasting Daily Evapotranspiration. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00477-015-1039-z>
- McKee, S. P. I. D., Index, S. P., Regional, W., Drought, N., & States, U. (1993). 3.0 METHODOLOGY 3.1 SPI Defined McKee. *Methodology*, 1–10.
- Menezes, L. M. De, Bunn, D. W., & Taylor, J. W. (2000). Review of Guidelines for the Use of Combined Forecasts Lilian M. de Menezes. *Business*.
- Merh, N., Saxena, V. P., & Pardasani, K. R. (2010). A Comparison Between Hybrid Approaches of Ann and Arima for Indian Stock Trend Forecasting. *Business Intelligence Journal*, 3(2), 23–43.
- Meteorological, W., & Wmo, O. (2015). WMO Statement on the Status of the Global Climate in 2015, (1167).

- Ming, W., Bao, Y., Hu, Z., & Xiong, T. (2014). Multistep-ahead air passengers traffic prediction with hybrid ARIMA-SVMs models. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/567246>
- Mishra, A. K., & Singh, V. P. (2011). Drought modeling - A review. *Journal of Hydrology*, 403(1–2), 157–175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.03.049>
- Misra, D., Oommen, T., Agarwal, A., Mishra, S. K., & Thompson, A. M. (2009). Application and analysis of support vector machine based simulation for runoff and sediment yield. *Biosystems Engineering*, 103(4), 527–535. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2009.04.017>
- Missiakoulis, S., Vasiliou, D., & Eriotis, N. (2010). Arithmetic mean: a bellwether for unbiased forecasting of portfolio performance. *Managerial Finance*, 36(11), 958–968. <https://doi.org/10.1108/03074351011081277>
- Mokhtarzad, M. (2017). Drought forecasting by ANN , ANFIS , and SVM and comparison of the models. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 76(21), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-017-7064-0>
- Mokhtarzad, M., Eskandari, F., Vanjani, N. J., & Arabasadi, A. (2017). Drought forecasting by ANN , ANFIS , and SVM and comparison of the models Drought forecasting by ANN , ANFIS , and SVM and comparison of the models. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, (November), 0–10. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-017-7064-0>
- Mombeini, H. (2014). Developing a new approach for forecasting the trends of oil price Abdolreza Yazdani-Chamzini, 4(3), 120–132.
- Mondal, P., Shit, L., & Goswami, S. (2014). S TUDY OF E FFECTIVENESS OF T IME S ERIES M ODELING (ARIMA) IN F ORECASTING S TOCK, 4(2), 13–29.
- Moosavi, V., Talebi, A., & Hadian, M. R. (2017). Development of a Hybrid Wavelet Packet- Group Method of Data Handling (WPGMDH) Model for Runoff Forecasting. *Water Resources Management*, 43–59. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-016-1507-3>
- Moosavi, V., Vafakhah, M., Shirmohammadi, B., & Behnia, N. (2013). A Wavelet-ANFIS Hybrid Model for Groundwater Level Forecasting for Different Prediction Periods. *Water Resources Management*, 27(5), 1301–1321. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-012-0239-2>
- Morid, S., Smakhtin, V., & Bagherzadeh, K. (2007). Drought forecasting using

- artificial neural networks and, *2111*(April), 2103–2111.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/joc>
- Moroz, O. (2016). Using Hybrid Algorithms Based on GMDH-Type Neural Networks for Solving Economic Problems GMDH as a Specific Type of Neural Network.
- Mossad, A., & Alazba, A. A. (2015). Drought Forecasting Using Stochastic Models in a Hyper-Arid Climate, *2*, 410–430. <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos6040410>
- Murat, M., Malinowska, I., Hoffmann, H., & Baranowski, P. (2016). Statistical modelling of agrometeorological time series by exponential smoothing. *International Agrophysics*, *30*(1), 57–65. <https://doi.org/10.1515/intag-2015-0076>
- Nagaraj, N., & Dey, S. (2013). A new complexity measure for time series analysis and classification, (July). <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjst/e2013-01888-9>
- Najafzadeh, M., & Barani, G. (2013). Sharif University of Technology Group method of data handling to predict scour depth around vertical piles under regular waves. *Scientia Iranica*, *20*(3), 406–413. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scient.2013.04.005>
- Napiah, M., & Kamaruddin, I. (2009). Arima models for bus travel time prediction, 49–58.
- Ngui, W. K., Leong, M. S., Hee, L. M., & Abdelrhman, A. M. (2013). Wavelet analysis: Mother wavelet selection methods. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, *393*(September), 953–958.
<https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.393.953>
- Nie, H., Liu, G., Liu, X., & Wang, Y. (2012). Energy Procedia Hybrid of ARIMA and SVMs for Short-Term Load Forecasting, *16*(2011), 1455–1460.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2012.01.229>
- Nielson-Gammon, J. W. (2012). The 2011 Texas drought. *Texas Water Journal*, *3*(1), 59–95. <https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784412312.246>
- Nourani, V., Alami, M. T., & Vousoughi, F. D. (2015). Wavelet-entropy data pre-processing approach for ANN-based groundwater level modeling. *Journal of Hydrology*, *524*, 255–269. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.02.048>
- Nourani, V., Kisi, Ö., & Komasi, M. (2011). Two hybrid Artificial Intelligence approaches for modeling rainfall-runoff process. *Journal of Hydrology*, *402*(1–2), 41–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.03.002>
- Nury, A. H., Hasan, K., & Alam, J. Bin. (2017). Comparative study of wavelet-ARIMA and wavelet-ANN models for temperature time series data in

- northeastern Bangladesh. *JOURNAL OF KING SAUD UNIVERSITY - SCIENCE*, (January). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2015.12.002>
- Okafor, C., Shaibu, P. D. I., & Ph, D. (2013). Application of Arima Models to Nigerian Inflation Dynamics, *4*(3), 138–151.
- Olabode, A. D. (2011). Determining rice productivity level for sustainable agricultural development in Patigi Local Government Area (LGA) of Kwara State, Nigeria. *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa*, *13*(5), 125–135.
- Onwubolu, G. C., Sharma, A., & Dayal, A. (2008). Hybrid Particle Swarm Optimization and Group Method of Data Handling for Inductive Modeling, (July 2014).
- Ostertagová, E. (2014). Modelling Using Polynomial Regression, (May). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2012.09.545>
- Pai, P. F., & Lin, C. S. (2005). A hybrid ARIMA and support vector machines model in stock price forecasting. *Omega*, *33*(6), 497–505. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2004.07.024>
- Pai, P., Lin, K., Lin, C., & Chang, P. (2010). Expert Systems with Applications Time series forecasting by a seasonal support vector regression model. *Expert Systems With Applications*, *37*(6), 4261–4265. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2009.11.076>
- Pandhiani, S. M., & Shabri, A. Bin. (2013). Time Series Forecasting Using Wavelet-Least Squares Support Vector Machines and Wavelet Regression Models for Monthly Stream Flow Data. *Open Journal of Statistics*, *03*(03), 183–194. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojs.2013.33021>
- Panu, U. S., & Sharma, T. C. (2002). Challenges in drought research : some perspectives and future directions, *47*(August).
- Paper, R., & Kandananond, K. (2012). International Journal of Engineering Business Management A Comparison of Various Forecasting Methods for Autocorrelated Time Series Regular Paper, *4*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.5772/51088>
- Park, H., Park, B., Kim, H., & Oh, S. (2004). Self-Organizing Polynomial Neural Networks Based on Genetically Optimized Multi-Layer Perceptron Architecture.
- Park, J. H., Inam, E., Abdullah, M. H., Agustiyani, D., Duan, L., Hoang, T. T., ... Wirojanagud, W. (2011). Implications of rainfall variability for seasonality and climate-induced risks concerning surface water quality in East Asia. *Journal of Hydrology*, *400*(3–4), 323–332. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.01.050>

- Pascal, G. K., & Mung, J. K. (2017). Forecasting Drought in Rwanda Using Time Series Approach Case Study: Bugesera District, 6(10), 913–918. <https://doi.org/10.21275/ART20177501>
- Paulo, A. A., & Pereira, L. S. (2007). Prediction of SPI drought class transitions using Markov chains. *Water Resources Management*, 21(10), 1813–1827. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-006-9129-9>
- Payus, C., Huey, L. A., Adnan, F., Rimba, A. B., Mohan, G., Chapagain, S. K., ... Fukushi, K. (2020). Impact of Extreme Drought Climate on Water Security in North Borneo : Case Study of Sabah, 1–19.
- Propper, C., & Wilson, D. (2003). The use and usefulness of performance measures in the public sector. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 19(2), 250–267. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/19.2.250>
- Pulwarty, R. S., & Sivakumar, M. V. K. (2014). Information systems in a changing climate : Early warnings and drought risk management Information systems in a changing climate : Early warnings and drought risk management. *Weather and Climate Extremes*, 3(June), 14–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2014.03.005>
- Qin, M., Li, Z., & Du, Z. (2017). Red tide time series forecasting by combining ARIMA and deep belief network. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 125, 39–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2017.03.027>
- Rabie, H. M., El-Beltagy, M., Tharwat, A., & Hassan, S. (2008). Exploring input selection for time series forecasting. *Proceedings of the 2008 International Conference on Data Mining, DMIN 2008*, (January), 228–232.
- Raicharoen, T., & Lursinsap, C. (2005). A divide-and-conquer approach to the pairwise opposite class-nearest neighbor (POC-NN) algorithm. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 26(10), 1554–1567. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.patrec.2005.01.003>
- Rajae, T. (2011). Wavelet and ANN combination model for prediction of daily suspended sediment load in rivers. *Science of the Total Environment*, 409(15), 2917–2928. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.11.028>
- Ramsey, J. B. (2002). Wavelets in economics and finance: Past and future. *Studies in Nonlinear Dynamics and Econometrics*, 6(3). <https://doi.org/10.2202/1558-3708.1090>
- Rangamati, R., & Ahmed, F. (2018). Application of ARIMA Models in Forecasting Monthly Total Application of ARIMA Models in Forecasting Monthly Total

- Rainfall of Rangamati , Bangladesh, (September).
- Rathinasamy, M., Agarwal, A., Parmar, V., Khosa, R., & Bairwa, A. (2017). Partial wavelet coherence analysis for understanding the standalone relationship between indian precipitation and teleconnection patterns. *ArXiv*, 1–42.
- Ravansalar, M., Rajaei, T., & Kisi, O. (2017). Wavelet-linear genetic programming : A new approach for modeling monthly streamflow. *Journal of Hydrology*, 549, 461–475. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2017.04.018>
- Rodriguez, N., & Barba, L. (2015). Bi-variate Wavelet Autoregressive Model for Multi-step-ahead Forecasting of Fish Catches, (52), 43–49.
- Rommers, J., Graphics, M., Martins, N., & Cepel, E. (2008). Computing Transfer Function Dominant Poles of Large-Scale Second-Order Dynamical Systems, (May). <https://doi.org/10.1137/070684562>
- Rowe, A. C. H., & Abbott, P. C. (1995). Daubechies wavelets and Mathematica. *Computers in Physics*, 9(6), 635. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.168556>
- Sahay, R. R., & Sehgal, V. (2013). Wavelet regression models for predicting flood stages in rivers: A case study in Eastern India. *Journal of Flood Risk Management*, 6(2), 146–155. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1753-318X.2012.01163.x>
- Salahaldeen, J., Alneamy, M., Alnaish, Z. A. H., Hashim, S. Z. M., & Alnaish, R. A. H. (2019). Utilizing hybrid functional fuzzy wavelet neural networks with a teaching learning-based optimization algorithm for medical disease diagnosis. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, (November), 103348. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2019.103348>
- Saleh, L. A. M. (2018). Best Arima Models for Forecasting, (August).
- Samsudin, R., Saad, P., & Shabri, A. (2011). River flow time series using least squares support vector machines. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 15(6), 1835–1852. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-15-1835-2011>
- Samsudin, R. (2011). A HYBRID GMDH AND LEAST SQUARES SUPPORT VECTOR MACHINES IN TIME Individual Forecasting Models, 251–268.
- Samsudin, Ruhaidah. (2009). Combination of Forecasting Using Modified GMDH and Genetic Algorithm Ani Shabri Puteh Saad Department of Mathematic Faculty of Computer Science and Faculty of Computer Science and, 1, 170–176.
- Samsudin, Ruhaidah, Saad, P., & Shabri, A. (2010). HYBRIDIZING GMDH AND LEAST SQUARES SVM SUPPORT VECTOR MACHINE FOR FORECASTING TOURISM DEMAND, 3(June), 274–279.

- Santos, Celso A G, Freire, P. K. M. M., Silva, G. B. L., & Silva, R. M. (2014). Discrete wavelet transform coupled with ANN for daily discharge forecasting into Três Marias reservoir, 2014(June), 100–105. <https://doi.org/10.5194/piahs-364-100-2014>
- Santos, Celso Augusto G, Morais, B. S., & Silva, G. B. L. (2010). Drought forecast using an artificial neural network for three hydrological zones in San Francisco River basin , Brazil, (September), 2009.
- Selvaraj, P., Weckman, G. R., & Snow, A. P. (2016). Group Method of Data Handling : How does it measure up ?, (c), 108–113.
- Seo, Y., Kim, S., Kisi, O., & Singh, V. P. (2015). Daily water level forecasting using wavelet decomposition and artificial intelligence techniques. *Journal of Hydrology*, 520, 224–243. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.11.050>
- Shabani, S., Yousefi, P., & Naser, G. (2017). Support vector machines in urban water demand forecasting using phase space reconstruction. *Procedia Engineering*, 186, 537–543. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2017.03.267>
- Shabri, A. (2014). A hybrid wavelet analysis and adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system for drought forecasting. *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 8(139), 6909–6918. <https://doi.org/10.12988/ams.2014.48263>
- Shabri, A., & Samsudin, R. (2014a). A hybrid GMDH and Box-Jenkins models in time series forecasting. *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 8(62), 3051–3062. <https://doi.org/10.12988/ams.2014.44270>
- Shabri, A., & Samsudin, R. (2014b). Daily Crude Oil Price Forecasting Using Hybridizing Wavelet and Artificial Neural Network Model, 2014.
- Shabri, A., & Samsudin, R. (2015). Fishery Landing Forecasting Using Wavelet-Based Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average Models. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/969450>
- Shabri, A., & Suhartono. (2012). Streamflow forecasting using least-squares support vector machines. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 57(7), 1275–1293. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2012.714468>
- Shah, Ravi, Bharadiya, Nitin, Manekar, V. (2010). Drought index computation using standardized precipitation index (spi) method for surat district Gujarat. *Journal of Hydrology*, 391(1–2), 202–216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.07.012>
- Shah, R., Bharadiya, N., & Manekar, V. (2015). Drought Index Computation Using Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI) Method For Surat District, Gujarat.

- Aquatic Procedia*, 4(Icwrcoe), 1243–1249.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aqpro.2015.02.162>
- Shahabi, S. (2016). Significant Wave Height Forecasting using GMDH Model, *133*(16), 13–16.
- Sharda, R., & Patil, R. B. (1992). Connectionist approach to time series prediction: an empirical test. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, 3(5), 317–323.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01577272>
- Sharma, N., & Om, H. (2015). GMDH polynomial and RBF neural network for oral cancer classification. *Network Modeling Analysis in Health Informatics and Bioinformatics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13721-015-0085-2>
- Shcherbakov, M. V., & Brebels, A. (2013). A Survey of Forecast Error Measures, *24*(4), 171–176. <https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.wasj.2013.24.itmies.80032>
- Sherval, M., & Mcguirk, P. (2014). “Manifestations of Drought,” (November).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-0753-5>
- Shijin, L. I. (2012). *Procedia Engineering. Applied Mathematical Sciences*.
- Shijin, L. I., Lingling, J., Yuelong, Z. H. U., & Ping, B. O. (2012). *Procedia Engineering 2012 International Conference on Modern Hydraulic Engineering A hybrid Forecasting Model of Discharges based on Support Vector Machine*, *28*(2011), 136–141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeng.2012.01.695>
- Shiri, J., & Kisi, O. (2010). Short-term and long-term streamflow forecasting using a wavelet and neuro-fuzzy conjunction model. *Journal of Hydrology*, *394*(3–4), 486–493. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.10.008>
- Singh, H., Gupta, M. M., Meitzler, T., Hou, Z. G., Garg, K. K., Solo, A. M. G., & Zadeh, L. A. (2013). Real-life applications of fuzzy logic. *Advances in Fuzzy Systems, 2013*. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/581879>
- Sirdas, S., & Sen, Z. (2000). Application of the standardized precipitation index (SPI) to the Marmara region , Turkey, (2).
- Srinivasan, S. (2013). On improved degree lower bounds for polynomial approximation. *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics, LIPIcs*, *24*(Fsttcs), 201–212. <https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPIcs.FSTTCS.2013.201>
- Study, A. C., Bengal, W., Palchaudhuri, M., & Biswas, S. (2013). Analysis of Meteorological Drought Using Standardized Precipitation Index –, *7*(3), 167–174.
- Svoboda, M. D., & Hayes, M. J. (2010). Appropriate Application of the Standardized

- Precipitation Index in Arid Locations and Dry Seasons.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1371>. Copyright
- Tan, Y., Member, S., Wang, J., & Member, S. (2004). A Support Vector Machine with a Hybrid Kernel and Minimal Vapnik-Chervonenkis Dimension, *16*(4), 385–395.
- Tashman, L. (2016). ARIMA : The Models of Box and Jenkins ARIMA : The Models of Box and Jenkins, (May).
- Tayyab, M., Zhou, J., Adnan, R., & Zeng, X. (2017). Application of Artificial Intelligence Method Coupled with Discrete Wavelet Transform Method. *Procedia - Procedia Computer Science*, *107*(Icict), 212–217.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2017.03.081>
- Teng, G., Xiao, J., He, Y., Zheng, T., & He, C. (2017a). Use of group method of data handling for transport energy demand modeling. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ese3.176>
- Teng, G., Xiao, J., He, Y., Zheng, T., & He, C. (2017b). Use of group method of data handling for transport energy demand modeling, 1–16.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/ese3.176>
- Tian, Z. (2009). An artificial neural network method for remaining useful life.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10845-009-0356-9>
- Tiwari, M. K., & Chatterjee, C. (2010). Development of an accurate and reliable hourly flood forecasting model using wavelet-bootstrap-ANN (WBANN) hybrid approach. *Journal of Hydrology*, *394*(3–4), 458–470.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.10.001>
- Valipour, M., Banihabib, M. E., & Behbahani, S. M. R. (2013). Comparison of the ARMA, ARIMA, and the autoregressive artificial neural network models in forecasting the monthly inflow of Dez dam reservoir. *Journal of Hydrology*, *476*, 433–441. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.11.017>
- Van Loon, A. F., & Laaha, G. (2015). Hydrological drought severity explained by climate and catchment characteristics. *Journal of Hydrology*, *526*, 3–14.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.10.059>
- Varahrami, V. (2015). International Journal of Economics Forecasting Iron Price by Hybrid Intelligent System, *1*(1), 6–12.
- Wabomba, M. S., Mutwiri, M. P., & Fredrick, M. (2016). Modeling and Forecasting Kenyan GDP Using Autoregressive Integrated Modeling and Forecasting Kenyan GDP Using Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) Models, (June). <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.sjams.20160402.18>

- Wadi, S. Al, & Tahir, M. (2011). Selecting Wavelet Transforms Model in Forecasting Financial Time Series Data Based on ARIMA Model. *Performance Evaluation*, 5(7), 315–326.
- Wang, B., Hao, W.-N., Chen, G., He, D.-C., & Feng, B. (2013). A wavelet neural network forecasting model based on ARIMA. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 347–350, 3013–3018. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.347-350.3013>
- Wang, S. (2011). Evaluating and Comparing Forecasting Models, (March 2018). <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470400531.eorms0307>
- Wang, Wen, Gelder, P. H. A. J. M. Van, Vrijling, J. K., & Ma, J. (2006). Forecasting daily streamflow using hybrid ANN models. *Journal of Hydrology*, 324(1–4), 383–399. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2005.09.032>
- Wang, Wensheng, & Ding, J. (2003). Wavelet Network Model and Its Application to the Prediction of Hydrology, 1(1).
- Wang, Yi, Wang, Y., Guo, L., & Zhao, Y. (2013). A Wavelet Neural Network Hybrid Model for Monthly Ammonia Forecasting in River Water, 6(2), 345–348.
- Wang, Yun, Guo, S., Chen, H., & Zhou, Y. (2014). Comparative study of monthly inflow prediction methods for the Three Gorges Reservoir. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, 28(3), 555–570. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00477-013-0772-4>
- Wei, W., Jiang, J., Liang, H., Gao, L., Liang, B., Huang, J., ... Chen, H. (2016). Application of a combined model with autoregressive integrated moving average (arima) and generalized regression neural network (grnn) in forecasting hepatitis incidence in heng county, China. *PLoS ONE*, 11(6), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0156768>
- Wilhite, D. a., Hayes, M. J., Knutson, C., & Smith, K. H. (2000). Planning for Drought: Moving From Crisis To Risk Management. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 36(4), 697–710. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-1688.2000.tb04299.x>
- Wilhite, D. A., Svoboda, M. D., & Hayes, M. J. (2007). Understanding the Complex Impacts of Drought : A Key to Enhancing Drought Mitigation and Preparedness to enhancing drought mitigation and preparedness *, (May 2014). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-006-9076-5>
- Williams, B. M., & Hoel, L. A. (2003). Modeling and Forecasting Vehicular Traffic

- Flow as a Seasonal ARIMA Process: Theoretical Basis and Empirical Results. *Journal of Transportation Engineering*, 129(6), 664–672. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-947X\(2003\)129:6\(664\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-947X(2003)129:6(664))
- Willmott, C. J., Matsuura, K., & Robeson, S. M. (2009). Ambiguities inherent in sums-of-squares-based error statistics. *Atmospheric Environment*, 43(3), 749–752. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.10.005>
- Winkler, R. L., & Makridakis, S. (1983). The Combination of Forecasts. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series A (General)*, 146(2), 150. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2982011>
- Wongseree, W., Chaiyaratana, N., & Vichittumaros, K. (2007). Thalassaemia classification by neural networks and genetic programming, 177, 2006–2008. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2006.07.009>
- Woschnagg, E., & Cipan, J. (2004). Evaluating Forecast Accuracy, 17.
- Wu, H., Hayes, M. J., Weiss, A., & Hu, Q. (2001). An evolution of the standardized precipitation index, the China-Z index and the statistical Z-score. *International Journal of Climatology*, 21(6), 745–758. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.658>
- Wu, Q. (2010). Product demand forecasts using wavelet kernel support vector machine and particle swarm optimization in manufacture system. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 233(10), 2481–2491. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2009.10.030>
- Xie, Y., & Lou, Y. (n.d.). Hydrological Time Series Prediction by ARIMA-SVR Combined Model based on Wavelet Transform, (2), 243–247.
- Xu, D., Zhang, Q., Ding, Y., & Huang, H. (2020). Application of a hybrid arima–svr model based on the spi for the forecast of drought—A case study in Henan province, China. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, 59(7), 1239–1259. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-19-0270.1>
- Yadav, B., & Eliza, K. (2017). A hybrid wavelet-support vector machine model for prediction of Lake water level fluctuations using hydro-meteorological data. *Measurement*, 103, 294–301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2017.03.003>
- Yahya, N. A., Samsudin, R., Darmawan, I., & Kasim, S. (2018). Group Method of Data Handling with Artificial Bee Colony in Combining Forecasts, 10, 31–36.
- Yalamova, R. (2006). Wavelet test of multifractality of asia-pacific index price series. *Asian Academy of Management Journal of Accounting and Finance*, 2(1), 63–83.

- Yang, L., Yang, H., & Liu, H. (2018). GMDH-Based Semi-Supervised Feature Selection for Electricity Load Classification Forecasting, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10010217>
- Yaziz, S. R., Azizan, N. A., Zakaria, R., & Ahmad, M. H. (2013). The performance of hybrid ARIMA-GARCH modeling in forecasting gold price. *Proceedings - 20th International Congress on Modelling and Simulation, MODSIM 2013*, 1201–1207. <https://doi.org/10.36334/modsim.2013.f2.yaziz>
- Yen, T. T. P. (2016). GMDH algorithms applied to turbidity forecasting. *Applied Water Science*, (1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-016-0458-4>
- Yen, T. T. P. (2017). GMDH algorithms applied to turbidity forecasting. *Applied Water Science*, 7(3), 1151–1160. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-016-0458-4>
- Yousif, A. A. (2016). Performance of ARIMA model and Modified Thomas- Fiering Model for Predicting the Monthly Rainfall Data for Tallafar Station Performance of ARIMA model and Modified Thomas- Fiering Model for Predicting the Monthly Rainfall Data for Tallafar Station Abstrac, (February).
- Yu, L., Wang, S., Lai, K., & Nakamori, Y. (2005). Time series forecasting with multiple candidate models: selecting or combining. *Journal of Systems Science and Complexity*, 18(1), 1–18.
- Yuan, S., Quiring, S. M., & Patil, S. (2016). SPATIAL AND TEMPORAL VARIATIONS IN THE ACCURACY, 42(1), 167–183. <https://doi.org/10.18172/cig.2916>
- Yüreki, K., Kurunç, A., & Öztürk, F. (2005). Testing the residuals of an ARIMA model on the Çekerek Stream watershed in Turkey. *Turkish Journal of Engineering and Environmental Sciences*, 29(2), 61–74.
- Yusof, F., Hui-mean, F., & Suhaila, J. (2013). Rainfall characterisation by application of standardised precipitation index (SPI) in Peninsular Malaysia, (May). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-013-0918-9>
- Zhang. (2013). A review of drought concepts. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40854-017-0074-9>
- Zhang, G. P. (2003). Time series forecasting using a hybrid ARIMA and neural network model, 50, 159–175.
- Zhang, M., He, C., & Liatsis, P. (2012). Expert Systems with Applications A D-GMDH model for time series forecasting. *Expert Systems With Applications*, 39(5), 5711–5716. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2011.11.100>

- Zhao, L., Mbachu, J., Liu, Z., & Zhang, H. (2019). Transfer Function Analysis : Modelling Residential Building Costs in New Zealand by Including the Influences of House Price and Work Volume.
- Zhou, H., & Liu, Y. (2016). SPI based meteorological drought assessment over a humid basin: Effects of processing schemes. *Water (Switzerland)*, 8(9), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w8090373>
- Zou, H., & Yang, Y. (2004). Combining time series models for forecasting, 20, 69–84. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-2070\(03\)00004-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-2070(03)00004-9)

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journal Publications

1. Mohammed Salisu Alfa, Ani Bin Shabri, Muhammad Akram Shaari (2019). A Wavelet-ARIMA Model for Drought Forecasting using SPI Data. International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering Website: www.ijetae.com (ISSN 2250-2459, ISO 9001:2008 Certified Journal, Volume 9, Issue 10).
2. Mohammed Salisu Alfa, Ani Bin Shabri, Muhammad Akram Shaari (2019). Drought Forecasting using Wavelet-GMDH Model with Standardized Precipitation Index International. Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE) ISSN: 2277-3878, Volume-8 Issue-4.
3. Mohammed Salisu Alfa and Ani Bin Shabri, (2019). Forecasting Drought with ARIMA model and Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI). Science Proceedings Series (SPS) www.readersinsight.net/SPS
4. Mohammed Salisu Alfa and Ani Bin Shabri, (2020). A Hybrid Wavelet-ARIMA Model for Standardized Precipitation Index Drought Forecasting. MATEMATIKA: MJIAM, Volume 36, Number 2, 141–156 c Penerbit UTM Press. All rights reserved