

HIGHLY SULFONATED POLYPHENYLSULFONE NANOCOMPOSITE  
MEMBRANES FOR IMPROVING PROTON EXCHANGE MEMBRANE FUEL  
CELL PERFORMANCE

NOR AZUREEN BINTI MOHAMAD NOR

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

HIGHLY SULFONATED POLYPHENYLSULFONE NANOCOMPOSITE  
MEMBRANES FOR IMPROVING PROTON EXCHANGE MEMBRANE FUEL  
CELL PERFORMANCE

NOR AZUREEN BINTI MOHAMAD NOR

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Chemical and Energy Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

NOVEMBER 2020

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

In the Name of Allah, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful. All praise and deepest gratitude to Allah SWT, for His mercy has given me patience, health and strength to accomplish this research study and dissertation. Besides, my deepest appreciation is dedicated to my supportive parents and wonderful siblings whom have been encouraging me to do my best and success in life.

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Juhana Jaafar, for her brilliant ideas, valuable time, financial support, encouragement, advice, motivation, and for tolerating with all my mischievous behaviour. I am also very thankful to Dr. Kim Je Deok for his guidance, advice and motivation for one year attending research fellowship at the National Institute of Materials Science, Japan. Without their continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been same as presented here.

Special thanks to my teammates and friends, Norfazliana, Syafikah Huda, Fadhilatuladha, Faten Ermala, Nur Hashimah, Dr. Sti Munira and Hazlina for their cooperation, knowledge, assistance and friendship during my study. My sincere appreciation also extends to all lecturers, staff and colleagues in Advanced Membrane Technology Research Centre (AMTEC) especially colleagues in Membrane Research Unit (MRU) for their continuous support and assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. Last but not least, I am grateful to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia (MOHE) and Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation Malaysia (MOSTI) for financial support.

## ABSTRACT

Fuel cell has become a rising technology that has widely been explored due to its promising efficient energy conversions. Currently, proton exchange membrane fuel cell (PEMFC) is the most studied fuel cell systems because of the simple structure and wide application range. Recent research has been devoted to develop a proton exchange membrane (PEM) using sulfonated aromatic polymers with high proton conductivity and good durability. The state-of-the-art of PEM-based polyphenylsulfone (PPSU), which has excellent thermal stability and appropriate mechanical strength with high proton conductivity and increases along with the degree of sulfonation have been widely explored. Unfortunately, increasing the degree of sulfonation always results in swelling and physical expansion of the materials leading to mechanical failures. To keep the benefit of having high proton conductivity of high sulfonation degree, hybridblend membranes and applying crosslinking step become alternatives to solve the critical issue of the mechanical failure. Therefore, this research aimed to develop PEM using highly sulfonated polyphenylsulfone (SPPSU) membrane, incorporating different types and structures of inorganic fillers for PEMFC applications. PPSU was directly sulfonated using sulphuric acid and had achieved the desired degree of sulfonation, which was DS~2. This SPPSU was highly soluble in water upon heating at 80 °C. The thermal crosslinking process was applied up to 180 °C to improve the mechanical properties of the SPPSU membrane, and it is suggested that heat promoted the crosslinking between the SPPSU polymer matrix. The proton conductivity achieved about  $1.12 \times 10^{-2}$  S/cm, which is still lower than the requirements for the desired proton conductivity values for PEMFC applications ( $10 \times 10^{-2}$  S/cm). Consequently, in this study, the different types and structures of fillers which were carbon nanodots (CND), sulfonated polyhedral silsesquioxane (SPOSS), and imogolite (Im) were incorporated into the SPPSU polymer matrix. All three fillers exhibited proton conductivity of about 3 to 4 fold higher compared to the SPPSU membrane. SPPSU-2% CND, SPPSU-2% SPOSS, and SPPSU-1% Im nanocomposite membrane were chosen for further performance testing and membrane durability. The SPPSU and composite SPPSU membrane exhibited excellent dimensional stability after prolonged exposure to water for 720 h. At 80 °C under fully hydrated conditions, the maximum power density of the SPPSU membrane was 81.05 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>. SPPSU with fillers showed a significantly improved performance compared to the SPPSU membrane. The highest maximum power density belongs to the SPPSU-2% SPOSS nanocomposite membrane that reached up to 131.53 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>. This is followed by SPPSU-2% CND, Nafion 117 and SPPSU-1% Im each with 118.75 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>, 111.76 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>, and 89.76 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively. Besides, SPPSU and composite SPPSU showed stable potential voltage during the 8 h operation. It is interesting to state that the membrane electrode assembly using the SPPSU-2% SPOSS nanocomposite membrane showed excellent electrochemical properties under operating conditions of 80 °C and 100% relative humidity, which is comparable to commercial Nafion 117. It can be deduced that the incorporation of CND, SPOSS, and Im into SPPSU has improved not only the membrane properties but also the PEMFC performance and membrane durability.

## ABSTRAK

Sel bahan api telah menjadi teknologi yang semakin terkenal dan diterokai secara meluas kerana pertukaran tenaganya yang cekap. Pada masa ini, sel bahan api membran pertukaran proton (PEMFC) merupakan sistem sel bahan api yang paling kerap dikaji disebabkan struktur yang mudah dan aplikasi yang meluas. Penyelidikan kini tertumpu kepada membangunkan membran pertukaran proton (PEM) menggunakan polimer aromatik bersulfona dengan proton konduktiviti dan ketahanan yang tinggi. Keadaan seni PEM yang berdasarkan polifenilsulfona (PPSU), mempunyai kestabilan terma yang sangat baik dan kekuatan mekanikal dengan kekonduksian proton tinggi yang meningkat mengikut tahap sulfonasi telah dikaji dengan meluas. Malangnya, peningkatan tahap sulfonasi mengakibatkan pembengkakan dan pengembangan bahan secara fizikal yang menyebabkan kegagalan mekanikal. Untuk mengekalkan faedah memiliki kekonduksian proton yang tinggi oleh tahap sulfonasi yang tinggi, membran hibrid/campuran dan proses pemaut silang menjadi alternatif untuk menyelesaikan masalah utama kegagalan mekanikal. Oleh itu, penyelidikan ini bertujuan untuk membangunkan PEM menggunakan membran polifenilsulfona dengan tahap sulfonasi yang tinggi (SPPSU) serta menggabungkan pelbagai jenis dan struktur bahan tambahan bukan organik untuk aplikasi PEMFC. PPSU disulfonasi menggunakan asid sulfurik dan mencapai tahap sulfonasi yang diinginkan iaitu DS~2. SPPSU sangat larut dalam air semasa pemanasan pada suhu 80 °C. Proses pemaut silang terma dijalankan hingga 180 °C untuk meningkatkan sifat mekanikal membran SPPSU, dan didapati haba menggalakkan pemaut silang di antara matrik polimer SPPSU. Kekonduksian proton mencapai  $1.12 \times 10^{-2}$  S/m di mana nilainya masih lagi lebih rendah berbanding nilai kekonduksian proton yang diinginkan untuk aplikasi PEMFC ( $10 \times 10^{-2}$  S/cm). Oleh itu, dalam kajian ini, pelbagai jenis dan struktur bahan bukan organik seperti nanopartikel karbon (CND), sulfonasi polihedral silsesquioksana (SPOSS), dan imogolit (Im) diadunkan ke dalam matriks polimer SPPSU. Ketiga-tiga bahan ini menunjukkan kekonduksian proton sekitar 3 hingga 4 kali ganda lebih tinggi berbanding dengan membran SPPSU. Membran komposit SPPSU-2% CND, SPPSU-2% SPOSS, dan SPPSU-1% Im dipilih untuk ujian prestasi dan ketahanan membran selanjutnya. SPPSU dan membran komposit SPPSU mempamerkan kestabilan dimensi yang sangat baik di dalam rendaman air selama 720 jam. Pada 80 °C di dalam keadaan terhidrat sepenuhnya, ketumpatan kuasa maksimum membran SPPSU ialah  $81.05 \text{ mW/cm}^2$ . Membran komposit SPPSU menunjukkan prestasi yang lebih baik berbanding dengan membran SPPSU. Ketumpatan kuasa maksimum tertinggi adalah membran komposit SPPSU-2% SPOSS yang mencapai sehingga  $131.53 \text{ mW/cm}^2$ . Ini diikuti oleh SPPSU-2% CND, Nafion 117 dan SPPSU-1% Im masing-masing dengan  $118.75 \text{ mW/cm}^2$ ,  $111.76 \text{ mW/cm}^2$ , dan  $89.76 \text{ mW/cm}^2$ . Selain itu, membran SPPSU dan membran SPPSU dengan pengisi menunjukkan voltan yang stabil semasa 8 jam operasi. Adalah menarik untuk dinyatakan bahawa pemasangan himpunan elektrod membran menggunakan membran komposit SPPSU-2% SPOSS menunjukkan sifat elektrokimia yang sangat baik pada 80 °C dan 100% kelembapan relatif, setanding dengan membran komersial Nafion 117. Dapat disimpulkan bahawa penggabungan CND, SPOSS, dan Im ke dalam SPPSU telah menambahbaik bukan sahaja sifat-sifat membran malah meningkatkan prestasi PEMFC dan ketahanan membran.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER          | TITLE                                      | PAGE        |
|------------------|--|-------------|
|                  | <b>DECLARATION</b>                         | <b>iii</b>  |
|                  | <b>DEDICATION</b>                          | <b>iv</b>   |
|                  | <b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>                     | <b>v</b>    |
|                  | <b>ABSTRACT</b>                            | <b>vi</b>   |
|                  | <b>ABSTRAK</b>                             | <b>vii</b>  |
|                  | <b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>                   | <b>viii</b> |
|                  | <b>LIST OF TABLES</b>                      | <b>xiii</b> |
|                  | <b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>                     | <b>xiv</b>  |
|                  | <b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>               | <b>xvii</b> |
|                  | <b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>                     | <b>xix</b>  |
|                  | <b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>                  | <b>xx</b>   |
| <b>CHAPTER 1</b> | <b>INTRODUCTION</b>                        | <b>1</b>    |
| 1.1              | Research Background                        | 1           |
| 1.2              | Problem Statement                          | 4           |
| 1.3              | Objective of The Study                     | 6           |
| 1.4              | Scope of The Study                         | 7           |
| 1.5              | Significance of The Study                  | 8           |
| 1.6              | Thesis Organization                        | 9           |
| <b>CHAPTER 2</b> | <b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>                   | <b>11</b>   |
| 2.1              | Fuel Cell Technology                       | 11          |
| 2.1.1            | Type of Fuel Cell                          | 12          |
| 2.1.2            | Fuel Cell Operating Conditions             | 16          |
| 2.1.3            | Issues Related To Fuel Cell                | 18          |
| 2.2              | Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell (PEMFC) | 19          |
| 2.2.1            | Basic Reaction and Structure of PEMFC      | 20          |
| 2.3              | Proton Exchange Membrane                   | 23          |

|                  |  |           |
|------------------|--|-----------|
| 2.3.1            | Fundamental Properties of Proton Exchange Membrane                           | 23        |
| 2.3.1.1          | Water Retention Capacity   | 24        |
| 2.3.1.2          | Proton Conductivity  | 25        |
| 2.3.1.3          | Membrane Stability and Durability  | 26        |
| 2.3.2            | State-of-the-art of Nafion Membrane  | 27        |
| 2.4              | Sulfone Polymer  | 30        |
| 2.4.1            | Polyphenylsulfone (PPSU)   | 31        |
| 2.4.2            | Sulfonation Process  | 33        |
| 2.4.3            | Proton Transportation within SPPSU membrane                                  | 35        |
| 2.4.4            | Effect of Sulfonation Degree on SPPSU Membrane Properties                    | 37        |
| 2.5              | Membrane Modifications   | 37        |
| 2.5.1            | Crosslinking   | 38        |
| 2.5.1.1          | Thermal Crosslinking   | 39        |
| 2.5.1.2          | Chemical crosslinking  | 42        |
| 2.5.1.3          | Effect of Crosslinking Process on Membrane Properties                        | 44        |
| 2.5.2            | Composite Membranes  | 46        |
| 2.5.2.1          | Carbon-Based Material  | 47        |
| 2.5.2.2          | Metal Oxide  | 50        |
| 2.5.2.3          | Silica   | 51        |
| 2.5.2.4          | Inorganic Clay   | 54        |
| 2.6              | Future Direction of SPPSU Nanocomposite Membrane as Proton Exchange Membrane | 59        |
| <b>CHAPTER 3</b> | <b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>  | <b>61</b> |
| 3.1              | Research Design  | 61        |
| 3.2              | Sulfonation of SPPSU   | 64        |
| 3.2.1            | Materials  | 64        |
| 3.2.2            | Sulfonation Reaction Process   | 65        |
| 3.3              | Inorganic Fillers Preparation  | 66        |
| 3.3.1            | Preparation of Carbon Nanodots (CND)   | 66        |

|                  |   |           |
|------------------|---|-----------|
| 3.3.2            | Preparation of Sulfonated Polyhedral Silsesquioxane (SPOSS) | 67        |
| 3.3.3            | Preparation of Imogolite (Im)                               | 68        |
| 3.4              | Preparation of Proton Exchange Membrane (PEM)               | 69        |
| 3.4.1            | Membrane Preparation  | 69        |
| 3.4.2            | Post Treatment Activation                                   | 71        |
| 3.5              | Characterizations   | 72        |
| 3.5.1            | Structural Characterization                                 | 72        |
| 3.5.1.1          | Proton Nuclear Magnetic Resonance ( <sup>1</sup> HNMR)      | 72        |
| 3.5.1.2          | Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC)                         | 73        |
| 3.5.1.3          | Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR)                           | 73        |
| 3.5.2            | Morphological Study   | 73        |
| 3.5.3            | Kinetic Parameters Characterization                         | 74        |
| 3.5.3.1          | Water Uptake (W.U)  | 74        |
| 3.5.3.2          | Swelling Ratio (S.R)  | 74        |
| 3.5.3.3          | Ion Exchange Capacity (IEC)                                 | 75        |
| 3.5.3.4          | Degree of Sulfonation (DS)                                  | 75        |
| 3.5.3.5          | Water Content ( $\lambda$ )                                 | 75        |
| 3.5.3.6          | Proton Conductivity ( $\sigma$ ) Measurement                | 76        |
| 3.5.4            | Membrane Stability  | 77        |
| 3.5.4.1          | Thermal Properties  | 77        |
| 3.5.4.2          | Mechanical Properties                                       | 77        |
| 3.5.4.3          | Oxidative Stability   | 78        |
| 3.5.4.4          | Dimensional Stability                                       | 78        |
| 3.6              | PEM Performance   | 78        |
| 3.6.1            | Preparation of Membrane Electrode Assembly (MEA)            | 79        |
| 3.6.2            | PEMFC Test Performance                                      | 79        |
| 3.6.2.1          | Voltage Stability   | 80        |
| <b>CHAPTER 4</b> | <b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>                               | <b>81</b> |
| 4.1              | Introduction  | 81        |
| 4.2              | Highly Sulfonated Polyphenylsulfone (SPPSU)                 | 81        |

|          |   |     |
|----------|---|-----|
| 4.2.1    | Sulfonation Degree and Molecular Weight<br>of SPPSU Polymer   | 81  |
| 4.2.2    | Crosslink SPPSU Membrane  | 83  |
| 4.2.2.1  | Structural and Kinetic Parameter<br>Characteristics of SPPSU<br>Membrane                            | 83  |
| 4.2.2.2  | Thermal Properties of SPPSU<br>Membranes  | 86  |
| 4.2.2.3  | Proton Conductivity of SPPSU<br>and Nafion 212 Membranes  | 87  |
| 4.3      | SPPSU-CND Nanocomposite Membranes   | 88  |
| 4.3.1    | Structural and Kinetic Parameter<br>Characteristics of CND and SPPSU-CND<br>Nanocomposite Membranes | 89  |
| 4.3.2    | Thermal and Mechanical Properties of<br>SPPSU-CND Nanocomposite Membranes                           | 92  |
| 4.3.3    | Kinetic Parameter Characteristics of the<br>SPPSU-CND Nanocomposite Membranes                       | 95  |
| 4.3.4    | Proton Conductivity of SPPSU-CND<br>Nanocomposite Membranes   | 97  |
| 4.4      | SPPSU-POSS Nanocomposite Membranes  | 99  |
| 4.4.1    | Structural Characterization of Sulfonated<br>POSS   | 99  |
| 4.4.2    | SPPSU-POSS and SPPSU-SPOSS<br>Nanocomposite Membrane  | 102 |
| 4.4.2.1  | Morphological Properties  | 102 |
| 4.4.2.2. | Mechanical Properties   | 103 |
| 4.4.2.3  | Kinetic Parameters Characteristics  | 104 |
| 4.4.2.4  | Proton Conductivity   | 105 |
| 4.4.3    | SPPSU-SPOSS Nanocomposite Membranes   | 108 |
| 4.4.3.1  | Structural Characterization of<br>SPPSU-SPOSS Nanocomposite<br>Membranes                            | 109 |
| 4.4.3.2  | Kinetic Parameters Characteristics<br>of SPPSU-SPOSS Nanocomposite<br>Membranes                     | 110 |
| 4.4.3.3  | Thermal Properties of SPPSU-<br>SPOSS Nanocomposite<br>Membranes                                    | 112 |

|                             |   |            |
|-----------------------------|---|------------|
| 4.4.3.4                     | Mechanical Properties of SPPSU-SPOSS Nanocomposite Membranes                      | 114        |
| 4.4.3.5                     | Proton Conductivity of SPPSU-SPOSS Nanocomposite Membranes                        | 115        |
| 4.5                         | SPPSU-Imogolite Nanocomposite Membranes   | 117        |
| 4.5.1                       | Structural Characterization of Imogolite  | 117        |
| 4.5.2                       | Morphological and Structural Characterization of SPPSU-Im Nanocomposite Membranes | 119        |
| 4.5.3                       | Kinetic Parameter Characterizations of SPPSU-Im Nanocomposite Membranes           | 120        |
| 4.5.4                       | Mechanical Properties of SPPSU-Im Nanocomposite Membranes                         | 122        |
| 4.5.5                       | Proton Conductivity of SPPSU-Im Nanocomposite Membranes                           | 123        |
| 4.6                         | Oxidative and Dimensional Stability of The Optimum Nanocomposite Membrane         | 126        |
| 4.6.1                       | Oxidative Stability in Fenton Reagent   | 126        |
| 4.6.2                       | Dimensional Change and Long Term Stability  | 128        |
| 4.7                         | PEMFC Performance   | 129        |
| 4.7.1                       | Single Cell Performance   | 130        |
| 4.7.2                       | Voltage Stability   | 134        |
| <b>CHAPTER 5</b>            | <b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>  | <b>137</b> |
| 5.1                         | Conclusions   | 137        |
| 5.2                         | Recommendations   | 140        |
| <b>REFERENCES</b>           |   | <b>143</b> |
| <b>APPENDICES</b>           |   | <b>177</b> |
| <b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b> |   | <b>182</b> |

## LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO.  | TITLE  | PAGE |
|------------|--|------|
| Table 2.1  | Fuel Cell Types (U.S Department of Energy, 2016)   | 13   |
| Table 2.2  | Various applications of PPSU membranes   | 32   |
| Table 2.3  | Different type of polymer and crosslinker used as polymer electrolyte membrane                                   | 42   |
| Table 2.4  | SPPSU composite membranes in fuel cell applications  | 57   |
| Table 3.1  | Properties of polyphenylsulfone polymer  | 64   |
| Table 3.2  | Properties of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)  | 69   |
| Table 3.3  | Designation for SPPSU nanocomposite dope solution  | 71   |
| Table 3.4  | Activation step for SPPSU and SPPSU nanocomposite membrane   | 71   |
| Table 4.1  | Comparison of conductivity values between SPPSU membrane and Nafion 212  | 88   |
| Table 4.2  | IEC, W.U, and S.R of the nanocomposite membranes   | 96   |
| Table 4.3  | Conductivity values of SPPSU-CND nanocomposite membranes   | 98   |
| Table 4.4  | Settlement of POSS and SPOSS in different solvent  | 100  |
| Table 4.5  | IEC, W.U, S.R and water content of SPPSU-1% POSS and SPPSU-1% SPOSS membranes                                    | 105  |
| Table 4.6  | Conductivity values of SPPSU-1% POSS and SPPSU-1% SPOSS membranes at different temperature and RH (%) conditions | 108  |
| Table 4.7  | IEC and S.R of SPPSU-SPOSS nanocomposite membranes   | 111  |
| Table 4.8  | T <sub>d5%</sub> and residue weight of SPPSU-SPOSS nanocomposite membranes at T=800 °C                           | 114  |
| Table 4.9  | Conductivity values of SPPSU-SPOSS nanocomposite membranes at different temperature and RH (%)                   | 117  |
| Table 4.10 | IEC, water content and conductivity values for SPPSU-Im nanocomposite membranes                                  | 122  |
| Table 4.11 | The designation, thickness, proton conductivity and conductance of the membrane samples                          | 130  |

## LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO.  | TITLE   | PAGE |
|-------------|---|------|
| Figure 2.1  | Conditions for different type of fuel cell  | 16   |
| Figure 2.2  | Working concept in proton exchange membrane fuel cells  | 21   |
| Figure 2.3  | (a) Nafion molecular structure, (b) model of cluster network and (c) proton transportation model in Nafion (Kreuer, 2001) | 29   |
| Figure 2.4  | Molecular structure and $T_g$ of sulfone polymers (Darvishmanesh <i>et al.</i> , 2011)                                    | 31   |
| Figure 2.5  | Polycondensation route for SPPSU polymer (Urena <i>et al.</i> , 2019)   | 32   |
| Figure 2.6  | Level of sulfonation substitution   | 35   |
| Figure 2.7  | Schematic diagram of the proton transport mechanism in sulfonated polymeric membrane (Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2013a)          | 36   |
| Figure 2.8  | Possible pathways for crosslinking reaction via $\text{SO}_2$ bridges in SPEEK polymers (Maranesi <i>et al.</i> , 2013)   | 40   |
| Figure 2.9  | Possible crosslinking products of SPPSU polymers (Kim and Ghil, 2016)   | 41   |
| Figure 2.10 | Schematic illustrations of different carbon allotropes (de Barros <i>et al.</i> , 2016)                                   | 48   |
| Figure 2.11 | Non-cage and cage molecular structures of POSS (Zhou <i>et al.</i> , 2017)  | 52   |
| Figure 2.12 | Structural illustration of imogolite nanotube (Kato <i>et al.</i> , 2014)   | 55   |
| Figure 3.1  | Research flow work  | 63   |
| Figure 3.2  | Molecular structure of PPSU   | 64   |
| Figure 3.3  | Equipment setup for sulfonation reaction process  | 65   |
| Figure 3.4  | Hydrothermal reactions for CND preparation  | 67   |
| Figure 3.5  | Setup for Sulfonation reaction of POSS  | 68   |
| Figure 3.6  | MTS 740 test system diagram for proton conductivity measurement   | 76   |
| Figure 3.7  | MEA configurations  | 79   |
| Figure 3.8  | PEMFC test cell diagram   | 80   |
| Figure 4.1  | Chemical structures and $^1\text{H}$ NMR of SPPSU at DS~2   | 82   |

|             |   |     |
|-------------|---|-----|
| Figure 4.2  | Photographic image of SPPSU membrane after heating at (a) 80 °C, (b) 180 °C, while (c) surface and (d) cross-sectional SEM images of SPPSU  | 84  |
| Figure 4.3  | (a) FTIR spectra of SPPSU membrane crosslinking at 80 °C and 180 °C, (b) water uptake and thickness swelling ratio at different temperature   | 85  |
| Figure 4.4  | Thermal properties of SPPSU membrane at different crosslinking temperature  | 86  |
| Figure 4.5  | Proton conductivity of the (a) SPPSU and (b) commercial Nafion 212 membrane at various temperatures and RH (%)  | 88  |
| Figure 4.6  | (a) IR spectra of CND, and (b) thermal properties of CND  | 90  |
| Figure 4.7  | (a) Surface and (b) cross-section SEM images for SPPSU-CND membrane, (c) IR spectra of CND, SPPSU and SPPSU-1% CND at 4000-2000 cm <sup>-1</sup> , and d) IR spectra of SPPSU and SPPSU-CND at 1800-1000 cm <sup>-1</sup> | 91  |
| Figure 4.8  | Possible crosslinking mechanism of nanocomposite membrane during thermal treatment  | 92  |
| Figure 4.9  | Thermal properties of SPPSU-CND nanocomposite membranes   | 93  |
| Figure 4.10 | (a) Stress-strain curve and (b) tensile strength and elongation at break of the nanocomposite membranes   | 95  |
| Figure 4.11 | Proton conductivity of the nanocomposite membranes at a) 80 °C and b) 120 °C under different RH (%).  | 98  |
| Figure 4.12 | IR spectra of POSS before and after sulfonation   | 100 |
| Figure 4.13 | (a) Chemical structure of single POSS nanoparticles, (b) chemical structure of SPOSS nanoparticles and (c) <sup>1</sup> HNMR of SPOSS   | 101 |
| Figure 4.14 | Photographic, surface and cross-sectional SEM images of (a) SPPSU 1% POSS and (b) SPPSU-1% SPOSS membrane   | 103 |
| Figure 4.15 | Stress-strain of SPPSU-1% POSS and SPPSU-1% SPOSS nanocomposite membrane  | 104 |
| Figure 4.16 | Temperature dependence of the proton conductivity for SPPSU, SPPSU-1% POSS, and SPPSU-% SPOSS membranes at 90% RH   | 106 |
| Figure 4.17 | Proton conductivity of the SPPSU-1% POSS and SPPSU-1% SPOSS membranes at (a) 80 °C and (b) 120 °C under different RH (%) conditions   | 107 |
| Figure 4.18 | (a) Photographic images of SPPSU-2% SPOSS, and (b) FTIR images of SPPSU-SPOSS with different SPOSS loading  | 109 |

|             |   |     |
|-------------|---|-----|
| Figure 4.19 | Water uptake and water content of the SPPSU-SPOSS nanocomposite membrane  | 111 |
| Figure 4.20 | TGA curves for (a) SPOSS and (b) SPPSU-SPOSS nanocomposite membrane   | 113 |
| Figure 4.21 | Stress-strain of SPPSU-SPOSS nanocomposite membrane with different loading of SPOSS   | 115 |
| Figure 4.22 | Proton conductivity of the SPPSU-POSS nanocomposite membranes at (a) 80 °C and (b) 120 °C under different RH (%) conditions   | 116 |
| Figure 4.23 | (a) FTIR spectra together with chemical structure (Gulmaraes <i>et al.</i> , 2017) and (b) TGA curves for imogolite   | 118 |
| Figure 4.24 | SEM images of SPPSU-2% Im (a) surface and (b) cross-section, IR spectra of SPPSU-Im at (c) 4000 cm <sup>-1</sup> to 550 cm <sup>-1</sup> and (d) 2000 cm <sup>-1</sup> to 550 cm <sup>-1</sup>                              | 120 |
| Figure 4.25 | (a) water uptake and (b) swelling ratio of membrane thickness after immersed in different temperature of water  | 121 |
| Figure 4.26 | (a) Stress-strain curve and (b) tensile strength elongation at break for SPPSU and SPPSU-Im nanocomposite membranes   | 123 |
| Figure 4.27 | (a) Proton conductivity values at 80 °C under different RH (%) conditions and (b) Arrhenius plot under 90% RH at different temperature for the SPPSU, SPPSU-0.5% Im, SPPSU-1% Im and SPPSU-2% Im nanocomposite membranes    | 124 |
| Figure 4.28 | Comparison of proton conductivity values with previous reported study   | 125 |
| Figure 4.29 | Optimize membrane immersed in Fenton Reagent (5 wt.% H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> with 2 ppm Fe <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> ) at 80 °C for 16 h   | 127 |
| Figure 4.30 | Long term stability of optimize membrane in hydrated conditions (a) water uptake and (b) swelling in thickness  | 129 |
| Figure 4.31 | Polarization curves of SPPSU membrane under (a) 60% RH conditions and (b) at 80 °C  | 131 |
| Figure 4.32 | Polarization curves of (a) potential voltage and (b) power density against current density for Nafion 117, SPPSU, SPPSU-2% CND, SPPSU-2% SPOSS and SPPSU-1% Im nanocomposite membrane at °C under fully hydrated conditions | 134 |
| Figure 4.33 | Voltage durability with of SPPSU and SPPSU nanocomposite membrane operate at 80 °C under fully hydration conditions   | 135 |

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

|                                 |  |
|---------------------------------|--|
| A-POSS                          | - Acrylo-Polyhedral Oligomeric Silsesquioxane      |
| CND                             | - Carbon Nanodots                                  |
| DMSO                            | - Dimethyl Sulfoxide                               |
| Fe <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>3</sub> | - Ferrous (II) Sulfate                             |
| GDL                             | - Gas Diffusion Layer                              |
| GO-PSBMA                        | - Graphe Oxide-Poly(Sulfobetaine Methacrylate)     |
| HPEI                            | - Hyperbranched Polyehylenimine                    |
| H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>   | - Hydrogen Peroxide                                |
| Im                              | - Imogolite  |
| KOH                             | - Potassium Hydroxide                              |
| K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>  | - Potassium Carbonate                              |
| MEA                             | - Membrane Electrode Assembly                      |
| MgSO <sub>4</sub>               | - Magnesium Sulfate                                |
| MWCNT                           | - Multi Walled Carbon Nanotube                     |
| Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> | - Sodium Sulfate                                   |
| NMP                             | - N-Methyl Pyrrolidone                             |
| OCV                             | - Open Circuit Voltage                             |
| PAES                            | - Poly(Arylene Ether Sulfone)                      |
| PBI                             | - Polybenzimidazole                                |
| PFSA                            | - Perfluorosulfonic Acid                           |
| PGM                             | - Platinum Group Metal                             |
| POSS                            | - Poly Octahedral Silsesquioxane                   |
| PPO                             | - Poly (Phenylene Oxide)                           |
| PPOs                            | - Poly(2,6-Dimethyl-1,4-Phenylene Oxide)s          |
| PTFE                            | - Polytetrafluoroethylene                          |
| PVdF-co-HFP                     | - Poly(Vinylidene Fluoride-co-Hexafluoropropylene) |
| RH                              | - Relative Humidity                                |
| SiO <sub>2</sub>                | - Silicon Dioxide/Silica                           |
| SPEEK                           | - Sulfonated Poly(Ether Ether Ketone)              |
| SPOSS                           | - Sulfonated Poly Octahedral Silsesquioxane        |

|                 |                                  |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| $\text{SnO}_2$  | - Tin (IV) Oxide                 |
| SS              | - Sulfonated Styrene             |
| $\text{STiO}_2$ | - Sulfonated Titanium Dioxide    |
| $T_g$           | - Glass Transition Temperature   |
| UV              | - Ultraviolet                    |
| ZIF-8           | - Zeolitic Imidazolate Framework |
| $\text{ZnO}$    | - Zinc Oxide                     |
| $\text{ZrO}_2$  | - Zirconium Dioxide/Zirconia     |

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

|                  |  |
|------------------|--|
| c                | - Molar of NaOH solution for IEC titration (mol) |
| DS               | - Sulfonation degree                             |
| F <sub>w</sub>   | - Formula weight                                 |
| f <sub>t</sub>   | - Maximum load (N)                               |
| l <sub>w</sub>   | - Wet length (cm)                                |
| l <sub>d</sub>   | - Dry length (cm)                                |
| M <sub>n</sub>   | - Number average molecular weight                |
| M <sub>w</sub>   | - Average molecular weight (g/mol)               |
| W <sub>w</sub>   | - Wet weight (g)                                 |
| W <sub>d</sub>   | - Dry weight (g)                                 |
| R                | - Membrane resistance ( $\Omega$ )               |
| S                | - Area of electrode (cm <sup>2</sup> )           |
| t                | - Membrane thickness (cm)                        |
| t <sub>w</sub>   | - Wet Thickness ( $\mu\text{m}$ )                |
| t <sub>d</sub>   | - Dry Thickness ( $\mu\text{m}$ )                |
| T <sub>d5%</sub> | - Temperature at 5% weight loss (°C)             |
| v                | - Volume of neutralised NaOH (mL)                |
| w                | - Membrane sample width (mm)                     |
| $\lambda$        | - Water content                                  |
| $\sigma$         | - Proton conductivity (S/cm)                     |
| $\sigma_t$       | - Tensile strength (MPa)                         |

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

| <b>APPENDIX</b> | <b>TITLE</b>                               | <b>PAGE</b> |
|-----------------|--|-------------|
| APPENDIX A      | Example of IEC and DS Calculation          | 177         |
| APPENDIX B      | Example of Proton Conductivity Calculation | 178         |
| APPENDIX C      | Example of Activation Energy Calculation   | 179         |
| APPENDIX D      | Example of Power Density Calculation       | 180         |

# **CHAPTER 1**

## **INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 Research Background**

Access to energy is a crucial pillar for human wellbeing, economic development, and poverty alleviation. Ensuring everyone has sufficient energy access is an ongoing and pressing challenge for global growth. The world consumes about 154,000 terawatt-hours of primary energy in 2017, which is more than 27 times from 1800 (5650 terawatt-hours). Typically, higher energy consumers come from higher country average income (Ritchie and Roser, 2019). Historical and current energy systems are dominated by fossil fuels such as coal, oil, and gas, which produce carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases that become the fundamental driver of global climate change. Nowadays, the world has transitioned from an energy system dominated by fossil fuels to a low-carbon emission system. Renewable energy technologies, including fuel cells, bioenergy, hydropower, the solar, wind, and geothermal energy, are options for replacing fossil fuels.

Nowadays, fuel cells have become a rising technology that has been widely explored due to promising clean and efficient energy conversions. Despite the modern world technology, fuel cells have been known to science for more than 150 years (Coralli *et al.*, 2019). Though generally considered a curiosity in the 1800s, fuel cells became the subject of intense research and development during the 1900s (Kirubakaran *et al.*, 2009). Fuel cells offer several advantages over conventional power sources, including reduced dependence on fossil fuels, long useful life, high efficiency, relatively safe, essential zero toxicity, minimal maintenance costs, and free carbon emission (Giorgi and Lecce, 2013). This cell system also prepared a clean, quiet, and highly efficient process in converting the fuel to energy via an electrochemical process.

The essential purpose of fuel cell applications is to produce an electrical current directed outside the cell to do work, such as powering an electric motor or illuminating a light bulb. The chemical reactions that produce the current are the key to how a fuel cell works (Chaurasia *et al.*, 2003). Proton exchange membrane fuel cell (PEMFC) comprises advantages over other types of fuel cells. PEMFC operates at a lower temperature, light, and compact, making it ideal for applications such as cars (Sethuraman *et al.*, 2009). Proton exchange membrane (PEM) is a heart component of PEMFC that strongly influences the cell performance. PEM is a semipermeable membrane generally made from ionomers and designed to conduct protons through it. The PEM's essential function in the fuel cell system is as the reactant separator and protons transportation medium while blocking an electronic pathway through the membrane (Kyu and Nazir, 2013).

Generally, there are several required properties of the PEM to be compatible with the fuel cell operation. PEM should be chemically stable as it is acting in strongly acidic medium and high durability along with fuel cell operation (Espiritu *et al.*, 2016). Ideally, the proton conductivity of the developed PEM that meets the PEMFC application requirement is about 0.1 S/cm (Sun *et al.*, 2019). Finally, all these properties should remain unchanged at the working temperature to avoid any structural change during the chemical reactions, and be thermally stable (Sahu *et al.*, 2014). The-state-of-the-art of the PEM fuel cells-based perfluorosulfonic acid ionomers such as Nafion, which shows good durability and high proton conductivity, is recognized as the most used PEM in the market. On the contrary, high cost, lower proton conductivity, rising temperature, and water management issues have directed research towards exploring new materials as an alternative to Nafion (Rosli *et al.*, 2017).

There are a growing number of studies emphasize on developing the proton exchange membrane implementing engineering thermoplastic polymers such as poly (ether ether ketone) (Xing *et al.*, 2004; He *et al.*, 2016; Lyu *et al.*, 2018), poly(phenylene) (Jo *et al.*, 2016), poly (phenylene oxide) (Petreanu *et al.*, 2012), polybenzimidazole (Singha *et al.*, 2016; Devrim *et al.*, 2016), and polyethersulfone (Assumma *et al.*, 2014; Muthumeenal *et al.*, 2016). Among them, polysulfone (Kim *et al.*, 2018a) and polyphenylsulfone (Schuster *et al.*, 2009; Park *et al.*, 2016a) with

excellent properties have been widely studied. The main aim of developing the PEM with regards to new membrane materials is to synthesize PEM showing high water uptake, high proton conductivity, high chemical/thermal stability, high chemical resistance, and low cost (Lulianelli and Basile, 2012). Nevertheless, there are still unresolved practical application issues of these membranes due to low proton conductivity under low humidity conditions compared to Nafion.

Sulfonic acid groups are the most common functional groups used for the proton exchange membrane produced by the process of sulfonation using a sulfonating agent (Huang *et al.*, 2016). Many studies have been reported using sulfonic acid as an anionic functional group of the polymer backbone to serve as proton carriers in the proton exchange membrane (Chen *et al.*, 2015). Adding the sulfonic acid group as a charge carrier in the proton exchange membrane enhances the sulfonic acid group density that contributed to the high impact of the membrane's proton conductivity. As the PEM proton conductivity is strongly dependent on the sulfonic acid group's charge carrier density, a higher degree of sulfonation will give better proton conductivity values (Yang *et al.*, 2017).

Various studies in the open literature confirmed that sulfonated polymer membranes show practical application as PEM with good membrane stability and proton conductivity for fuel cell applications (Higashihara *et al.*, 2009; Lee *et al.*, 2019). Nevertheless, depending on the variation of the sulfonation degree, high sulfonation degree results in excellent proton conductivity but mechanical properties could deteriorate progressively. To keep the benefit of having high proton conductivity with a high sulfonation degree, the hybridblend membranes and applying the crosslinking step become an alternative to solve the vital issue of mechanical failure of the membrane (Krishnan *et al.*, 2014). Variant types and structure of inorganic fillers have been explored to develop the hybridblend PEM by means to improve the membrane stability as well as keep maintaining or even enhancing the proton conductivity of the PEM (Beydaghi *et al.*, 2014; Wang *et al.*, 2018). It is beneficial to study the effect of different types and structures of inorganic fillers incorporated into highly sulfonated polymer on the PEM properties.

## 1.2 Problem Statement

The Nafion-based polymer electrolyte membrane by DuPont is the most common commercially available PEM in the market with high hydrolytic and oxidative stability and excellent proton conductivity (Yin *et al.*, 2018). However, Nafion has a significant drawback in terms of high operation temperature ( $>100\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ ), leading to a relative decrease in the conductivity values. Operating temperature below  $80\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  is too low for cogeneration, and that the PEM must be water-saturated (Dodds *et al.*, 2015). As a result, new anhydrous proton conductor membranes that can withstand under this condition are actively studied to develop suitable PEM with excellent cell performance. Recent research has been devoted to developing PEM using engineering thermoplastic materials as an alternative to Nafion, which would be less expensive and counter the drawbacks of perfluorinated membranes (Meemuk and Chirachanchai, 2018).

Polyphenylsulfone (PPSU) represents a sulfone polymer group that has been widely explored as an alternative to proton-conducting membrane instead of expensive perfluorinated membranes. PPSU has excellent thermal stability and appropriate mechanical strength with high proton conductivity, increasing the degree of sulfonation (Kim and Ghil, 2016). Unfortunately, there is a restriction of PPSU membrane to be applied in fuel cell application as the proton conductivity of PPSU polymers is generally lower than perfluoro ionomers based PEM membranes. The interconnected hydrophilic channels of PPSU polymers are not well developed as perfluoro-ionomers PEMs (Park *et al.*, 2016). To have a newly generated PPSU membrane that is comparable or even better than the Nafion membrane, PPSU has been modified for having a high sulfonation degree concerning the second degree of substitution. Unfortunately, increasing the degree of sulfonation always resulting in swelling and the physical expansion of the materials leading to mechanical failures (Yee *et al.*, 2013). It is regrettable to sacrifice the potential to have an excellent proton conductivity due to highly sulfonated polymers that will tremendously reduce the membrane mechanical stability.

The mechanical weaknesses of the highly sulfonated PPSU, abbreviated as SPPSU, have initiated several attempts to prepare a more stable proton-conducting membrane. Various ways have been developed to improve the poor dimensional stability and mechanical properties of the high sulfonated membrane (Liu *et al.*, 2016a; Wu *et al.*, 2019). Recently, the thermal crosslinking process has been studied to improve the poor dimensional stability and mechanical properties of the SPPSU through bridging links to the reactive sulfonic acid functions without deterioration of proton conductivity. Wu *et al.* (2010) claimed that polymer with SO<sub>3</sub>H groups could crosslink with itself during heating without other cross-linkers. After heat treatment, the crosslinking occurs through the sulfonic acid groups, and sulfone is formed to connect two rings. Unfortunately, in the proton exchange membrane, the crosslinking of SPPSU results in reducing the proton conductivity values despite having larger active sulfonic acid groups for proton transportation. Thus efforts have been devoted to developing excellent SPPSU membranes for PEMFC.

The doping or hybridizing of the polymer matrix with inorganic nanomaterials is an efficient approach for improving the proton conductivity of the SPPSU membrane. The incorporation of inorganic nanomaterials influences the organic phase's properties towards proton conductivity, membrane stability, improved water retention capacity, and improved mechanical strength by increasing the transport pathway tortuousness (Chen *et al.*, 2012). The intrinsic properties of the inorganic nanomaterials as a filler, such as size, type, structure, and interactions with the polymer matrix, can significantly affect the resultant matrix (Balasubramaniam and Ramesh, 2018; Liu *et al.*, 2019a). It has been demonstrated that the hydrophilic inorganic fillers within the membrane play an important role because they can promote water retention ability of the composite membrane and facilitate more channels for proton transfer in the SPPSU polymer matrix (Oh *et al.*, 2019). In this study, three different types and structures of inorganic fillers are incorporated into the SPPSU matrix. They are carbon nanoparticle (carbon nanodot, CND), silica nanocage (polyhedral silsesquioxane, POSS), and inorganic clay nanotubular (imogolite, Im). These fillers were chosen based on its different molecular structure to study the effect of incorporating different fillers structure towards facilitating proton transportation within the SPPSU molecular structure and improving the membrane durability.

Therefore, the attempts were made to introduce the SPPSU polymers as a polymer backbone for newly developed PEM as a replacement to the Nafion membrane. The crosslinking effects on the mechanical and thermal properties of the SPPSU membrane were studied. Moreover, the effect of incorporating different types and structure of inorganic fillers in newly developed PEM based crosslink SPPSU membrane was reported. The properties of the SPPSU nanocomposite membrane as PEM towards fuel cell application was also presented. It is believed that the different types and structures of inorganic fillers carried their advantages towards the improvements of the crosslink SPPSU membrane properties for PEMFC application.

### **1.3    Objective of The Study**

This project investigates the efficiency of the PEM using the prepared nanocomposite membrane consisting of SPPSU comprised of different type and structure of inorganic nanofillers for proton exchange membrane fuel cell application (PEMFC). The specific objectives of these studies are:

- 1) To perform SPPSU membrane by applying the thermal crosslinking step and to investigate the effect of thermal crosslinking temperature on the kinetic properties and proton conductivity values of the SPPSU membranes.
- 2) To investigate the effect of different type and structure of inorganic fillers loading on the kinetic properties, mechanical properties, and proton conductivity of the SPPSU nanocomposite membrane.
- 3) To determine the power density and potential voltage performances of the SPPSU nanocomposite membranes using single-cell test PEMFC under different temperatures and relative humidity conditions.
- 4) To evaluate the long term stability behavior of the SPPSU nanocomposite membranes in terms of voltage, oxidative, and dimensional stability as a function of time.

## **1.4 Scope of The Study**

To achieve the above mentioned objective of the research, the following scopes are outlined:

- 1) Preparing the SPPSU polymers by directly sulfonation with H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The degree of sulfonation, ion exchange capacity, and molecular weight of SPPSU polymer were evaluated.
- 2) Studying the effect of heat treatment (80 °C to 180 °C) on the development of SPPSU as a proton exchange membrane. This range was selected based on the preliminary results obtained during the early stages of the study and followed by the previous study reported before (Kim and Ghil, 2016). Morphological structure, mechanical strength, thermal stabilities, and kinetic parameters of the SPPSU membrane were studied using SEM, FTIR, tensile test, TGA, IEC, conductivity, water uptake, and swelling ratio.
- 3) Comparing the proton conductivity of the SPPSU membrane with commercial Nafion 212 membranes under various temperature (40 °C, 60 °C, 80 °C, 100 °C and 120 °C) and relative humidity conditions (40%, 60%, 80%, and 90%).
- 4) Preparing the SPPSU nanocomposite dope solutions by incorporating various loadings from different types and structures of inorganic fillers. 0.5 wt.% to 10 wt.% of carbon nanodots (CND), sulfonated polyhedral silsesquioxane (SPOSS), and imogolite (Im) was blending with SPPSU/DMSO dope solutions.
- 5) Developing the SPPSU nanocomposite membrane by slow evaporation technique. The membrane was subjected to thermal treatment and underwent the post-activation process by immersing in different solutions. The effect of loading was evaluated by characterizing the physicochemical properties of the nanocomposite membrane using SEM, FTIR, TGA, water uptake, swelling ratio, IEC, tensile strength, and proton conductivity.

- 6) Selecting the optimum loading for each filler in the SPPSU nanocomposite membranes. The oxidative stability of the optimum membrane from each filler was compared with the SPPSU membrane by immersing in Fenton Reagent (5 wt.% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> with 2 ppm Fe<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>) at 80 °C for 16 h. The dimensional stability of the optimized membrane was subjected under prolonged time in the water at R.T for 720 h.
- 7) Evaluating the power density and potential voltage by single-cell test performance of SPPSU and SPPSU nanocomposite membrane. The performance of the SPPSU nanocomposite membrane was evaluated using an optimum loading for each filler. The performance was tested under PEMFC conditions by preparing the membrane electrode assembly (MEA). The performance was compared with the commercial Nafion 117.
- 8) Studying the voltage stability of the SPPSU and optimize SPPSU nanocomposite membrane under 0.1 A applied current from 0 h to 8 h.

### **1.5 Significance of The Study**

Fuel cell applications are currently seen as important energy conversion devices with considerable potential in the Malaysian future energy structure. The fuel cell market in Malaysia is still quite small, and substantial growth to the domestic market must be developed to ensure a significant contribution to Malaysia's energy by 2050. Currently, the research towards the development of all parts of the fuel cell, especially the PEM that can provide high proton conductivity and good durability, is actively being studied. This study was expected to better understand the PEM development for fuel cell applications using highly sulfonated PPSU incorporating different types and structures of an inorganic filler membrane as a replacement to the commercially available Nafion membrane. The primary outcome of this study will benefit the scientific community in the sense of filling in the knowledge gap in multiple fields that encompass the SPPSU nanocomposite membrane as PEM. Considering that the proton conductivity and durability of the SPPSU nanocomposite membrane is

comparable to the commercial Nafion membrane, could diversify their potential in fuel cell applications. The resourceful approach, which combined the unique properties of the highly sulfonated polymers with different structures of the inorganic filler is a great potential to replace the commercial Nafion membrane in PEMFC applications.

## **1.6 Thesis Organization**

This thesis consists of 5 chapters. Chapter 1 outlines the research background and problem statements that lead to this study. The research background, problem statement, objective, scope, and significance of this study were highlighted to emphasize this study. Next, chapter 2 described the general information and the previous research that is related to this study. Discussion on the fuel cell working principle, the desired properties of the PEM, the structure of inorganic fillers, and materials used to develop PEM, followed by crosslinking types and techniques, were provided in this chapter. Next, the detailed experimental method and membrane characterizations were discussed in chapter 3. The performance and durability test of the developed membranes were also included in this chapter. Meanwhile, chapter 4 presents all the results and discussion on the membrane properties, single-cell test performance, and membrane stability. Lastly, chapter 5 presents the conclusion and recommendations for future work.

## REFERENCES

- Abdulkareem, A. S., Afolabi, A. S., Idibie, C. A., Iyuke, S. E. and Pienaar, H. C. vZ. (2012). ‘Development of Composite Proton Exchange Membrane from Polystyrene Butadiene Rubber and Carbon Nanoballs for Fuel Cell Application’, *Energy Procedia* 14: 2026-2037.
- Abidin, Z., Matsue, N. and Henmi, T. (2008).’A New Method for Nano Tube Imogolite Synthesis’, *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics* 47(6):5079-5082.
- Ahn, K., Kim, M., Kim, K., Oh, I., Ju, H. and Kim, J. (2015). ‘Low Methanol Permeable Crosslinked Sulfonated Poly (Phenylene Oxide) Membranes with Hollow Glass Microspheres for DMFCs’, *Polymer* 56: 178-188.
- An, D., Wu, B., Zhang, G., Zhang, W. and Wang, Y. (2016). ‘Gradiently Crosslinked Polymer Electrolyte Membranes in Fuel Cells’, *J. of P. Source* 301: 204-209.
- Andujar, J. M. and Segura, F. (2009). ‘Fuel Cells: History and Updating. A walk Along Two Centuries’, *Ren. and Sust. Energy Reviews* 13(9): 2309-2322.
- Anwar, F. and Arthanareeswaran, G. (2019). ‘Silver Nano-Particle Coated Hydroxyapatite Nano-Composite Membrane for The Treatment of Palm Oil Mill Effluent’, *Journal of Water Process Engineering* 31:1-14.
- Arumugham, T., Amimodu, R. G., Kaleekkal, N. J. and Rana, D. (2019) ‘Nano CuO/g-C<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> Sheets-Based Ultrafiltration Membrane with Enhanced Interfacial Affinity, Antifouling and Protein Separation Performances for Water Treatment Application’, *Journal of Environmental Sciences* 82: 57-69.
- Ashar, N. G. and Golwalkar, K. R. (2013). ‘Sulfonating Agents and Derivatives Based on Sulfuric Acid’, A Practical Guide to the Manufacturer of of Sulfuric Acid, Oleums, and Sulfonating Agents, *Springer*.
- Assumma, L., Iojoiu, C., Ari, A., Cointeaux, L. and Sanchez, J. -Y. (2014). ‘Polyethersulfone Containing Sulfonimide Groups as Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells’, *Int. J. of Hydrogen Energy* 39: 2740-2750.
- Authayanun, S., Pothong, W., Ngamsai, K., Patniboon, A. and Arpornwichanop, A. (2014). ‘Effect of Water Transport on The Electrical Performance of PEM Fuel Cell’, *Energy Procedia* 61: 1553-1556.

- Awang, N., Jaafar, J. and Ismail, A. F. (2018) ‘Thermal Stability and Water Content Study of Void Free Electrospun Speek/Cloisite Membrane for Direct Methanol Fuel Cell Application’, *Polymers* 10: 1-16.
- Ayandele, E., Sarkar, B. and Alexandridis, P. (2012). ‘Polyhedral Oligomeric Silsesquioxane (POSS)-Containing Polymer Nanocomposites’, *Nanomaterials* 2(4): 445-475.
- Baglio, V., Lufrao, F., Di Blasi, O., Staiti, P., Antonucci, V. and Arico, A. S. (2011). ‘Electrochemical Behaviour of DMFCs Based on Acidic Silica-Sulfonated Polysulfone Composite Membranes’, *ECS Transactions* 41(1): 2003-2009.
- Bakangura, E., Wu, L., Ge, L., Yang, Z., & Xu, T. (2016). ‘Mixed Matrix Proton Exchange Membranes for Fuel Cells: State of The Art and Perspectives’, *Progress in Polymer Science* 57: 103–152.
- Balasubramanian, K. B. N. and Ramesh, T. (2018). ‘Role, Effect, and Influence of Micro and Nano-Fillers on Various Properties of Polymer Matrix Composites for Microelectronics: A Review’, *Poly. for Adv. Tech.* 29(6): 1-18.
- Banerjee, S. and Curtin, D. E. (2004). ‘Nafion® Perfluorinated Membranes in Fuel Cells’, *Journal of Fluorine Chemistry* 125(8): 1211-1216.
- Belkhiri, Z., Zeroul, M., Moussa, H. B. and Zitouni, B. (2011). ‘Effect of Temperature and Water Content on The Performance of PEM Fuel Cell’, *Revue Des Energies Renouvelables* 14(1): 121-130.
- Bernt, M., Siebel, A. and Gasteiger, H. A. (2018). ‘Analysis of Voltage Losses in PEM Water Electrolyzers with Low Platinum Group Metal Loadings’, *Journal of the Electrochemical Society* 165(5): F305-F314.
- Beydaghi, H., Javanbakht, M. and Badiei, A. (2014). ‘Cross-Linked Poly (Vinyl Alcohol)/Sulfonated Nanoporous Silica Hybrid Membranes for Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell’, *J. of Nanostructure in Chemistry* 4(97):1-9.
- Bhattacharya, K. (2015). ‘Water Flooding in the Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell’, *Directions* 15(1): 24-33.
- Bhavani, P. and Sangeetha, D. ‘Proton Conducting Composite Membranes for Fuel Cell Application’, *Int. J. of Hydrogen Energy* 36(22): 14858-14865.
- Boldrin, P. and Brandon, N. P. (2019). ‘Progress and Outlook for Solid Oxide Fuel Cells for Transportation Applications’, *Nature Catalysis* 2: 571-577.
- Bosio, B., Marra, D. and Arato, E. (2010). ‘Thermal Management of the Molten Carbonate Fuel Cell Plane’, *Journal of Power Sources* 195(15): 4826-5834.

- Bove, R. (2007). ‘Solid Oxide Fuel Cells: Principles, Designs and State-of-the-art in Industries’, *Recent Trends in Fuel Cell Sci. and Tech.*, Springer, New York.
- Braun, R. J., Klein, S. S. and Reindl, D. T. (2005). ‘Evaluation of System Configurations for Solid Oxide Fuel Cell-Based Micro-Combined Heat and Power Generators in Residential Applications’ *Journal of Power Sources* 158(2): 1290-1305.
- Brijmohan, S. B., Swier, S., Weiss, R. A. and Shaw, M. T. (2005). ‘Synthesis and Characterization of Cross-Linked Sulfonated Polystyrene Nanoparticles’, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 44: 8039-8045.
- Castarlenas, S., Tellez, C. and Coronas, J. (2017). ‘Gas Separation with Mixed Matrix Membranes Obtained from MOF UiO-66-Graphite Oxide Hybrids’, *Journal of Membrane Science* 526: 205-211.
- Chaurasia, P. B. L., Ando, Y. and Tanaka, T. (2003). ‘Regenerative Fuel Cell with Chemical Reactions’, *Energy Conversion & Management* 44: 611-628.
- Chen, L., Chai, S., Liu, K., Ning, N., Gao, J., Liu, Q., Chen, F. and Fu, Q. (2012). ‘Enhanced Epoxy/Silica Composites Mechanical Properties by Introducing Graphene Oxide to The Interface’, *App. Mater. & Interfaces* 4(8); 4398-4404.
- Chen, B. K., Wu, T. Y., Wong, J. M., Chang, Y. M., Lee, H. F., Huang, W. Y. and Chen, A. F. (2015). ‘Highly Sulfonated Diamine Synthesized Polyimide and Protic Ionic Liquid Composite Membrane Improve PEM Conductivity’, *Polymers* 7: 1046-1065.
- Coralli, A., Sarruf, B. J. M., de Miranda, P. M., Osmieri, L., Specchia, S. and Minh, N. Q. (2019). ‘Chapter 2-Fuel Cells’, *Science and Engineering of Hydrogen-Based Energy Technologies* 39-122.
- Dahl, M., Liu, Y. and Yin, Y. (2014). ‘Composite Titanium Dioxide Nanomaterial’, *Chemical Reviews* 114: 9853-9889.
- Dai, Z., Ansaloni, L., Ryan, J. J. Spontak, R. J. and Deng, L. (2018). ‘Nafion/IL Hybrid Membranes with Tuned Nanostructure for Enhanced CO<sub>2</sub> Separation: Effects of Ionic Liquid and Water Vapor’, *Green Chemistry* (6): 1391-1404.
- Dai, J., Li, S., Liu, J., He, J., Li, J., Wang, L., Wang, L. and Lei, J. (2019a). ‘Fabrication and Characterization of a Defect-Free Mixed Matrix Membrane by Facile Mixing PPSU with ZIF-8 Core-Shell Microspheres for Solvent-Resistant Nanofiltration’ *Journal of Membrane Science*, 589:1-11.

- Dai, Y. D., Wang, J., Tao, P. and He, R. (2019b). ‘Various Hydrophilic Carbon Dots Doped High Temperature PEMs Based on Polyvinylpyrrolidone and Polytetrahydrofuran’, *J. of Coll. and Interf. Sci.* 553: 503-511.
- Dang, A. S. and Jannasch, P. (2016). ‘Alkali-Stable and Highly Anion Conducting Poly(Phenylene Oxide)s Carrying Quaternary Piperidinium Cations’, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* 4(30): 11924-11938.
- Darvishmanesh, S., Jansen, J. C., Tasselli, F., Tocci, E., Luis, P., Degreve, J., Drioli, E. and Van der Bruggen, B. (2011) ‘Novel Polyphenylsulfone Membrane for Potential Use in Solvent Nanofiltration’ *J. of Memb. Sci.* 379(1-2), pp. 60-68.
- Das, I., Das, S., Dixit, R. and Ghargrekar, M. M. (2020). ‘Geothite Supplemented Natural Clay Ceramics as an Alternative Proton Exchange Membrane and Its Application in Microbial Fuel Cell’, *Ionics* 26: 3061-3072.
- De Barros, S. D. T., Senra, J. D., Lachter, E. R. and Malta, L. F. B. (2016). ‘Metal-Catalyzed Cross-Coupling Reactions with Supported Nanoparticles: Recent Developments and Future Directions’, *Catalysis Reviews* 1-58.
- Decker, B., Hartmann-Thompson, C., Carver, P. I., Keinath, S. and Santurri, P. R. (2009). ‘Multilayer Sulfonated Polyhedral Oligosilsesquioxane (S-POSS)-Sulfonated Polyphenylsulfone (S-PPSU) Composite Proton Exchange Membranes’, *Chemistry of Materials* 22(3): 942-948.
- Dekel, R. D. (2018). ‘Review of Cell Performance in Anion Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells’, *Journal of Power Sources* 375: 158-169.
- Deng, R., Han, W. and Yeung, K. L. (2019). ‘Confined PFSA/MOF Composite Membranes in Fuel Cells for Promoted Water Management and Performance’, *Catalysis Today* 331: 12-17.
- Devrim, Y., Erkan, S., Bac, N. and Eroglu, I. (2009). ‘Preparation and Characterization of Sulfonated Polysulfone/Titanium Dioxide Composite Membranes for Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells’, *Int. J. of Hydrogen Energy* 34(8): 3467-3475.
- Devrim, Y., Devrim, H. and Eroglu, I. (2016). ‘Polybenzimidazole/SiO<sub>2</sub> Hybrid Membranes for High Temperature Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells’, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 41: 10044-10052.
- Dey, T., Deshpande, J., Singdeo, D. and Ghosh, P. C. (2019). ‘Study of PEM Fuel Cell End Plate Design by Structural Analysis Based on Contact Pressure’, *Journal of Energy*, Hindawi Article ID: 3821082.

- Dhanapal, D., Xiao, M., Wang, S. and Meng, Y. (2019). ‘A Review on Sulfonated Polymer Composite/Organic-Inorganic Hybrid Membranes to Address Methanol Barrier Issue for Methanol Fuel Cells’, *Nanomaterials* 9:668(1-35).
- Di Noto, V., Piga, M., Negro, E., Giffin, G. A., Polizzi, S. and Zawodzinski, T. A. (2013). ‘New Nanocomposite Proton Conducting Membranes Based on A Core-Shell Nanofiller for Low Relative Humidity Fuel Cells’, *RSC Advances* 3(41): 18960-18970.
- Di Vona, M., Sgreccia, E., Licoccia, S., Alberti, G., Tortet, L. and Knauth, P. (2009). ‘Analysis of Temperature-Promoted and Solvent-Assisted Cross-Linking in Sulfonated Poly (Ether Ether Ketone) (SPEEK) Proton-Conducting Membranes’, *Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 113: 7505-7512.
- Di Vona, M. L., Narducci, R., Pasquini, L., Pelzer, K. and Knauth, P. (2014) ‘Anion-Conducting Ionomers: Study of Type of Functionalizing Amine and Macromolecular Cross-Linking’, *Int. J. of Hyd. Energy* 39(26) 14039-14049.
- Dodds, P. E., Staffell, L., Hawkes, A. D., Li, F., Grunewald, P., McDowall, W., and Ekins, P. 2015. Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Technologies for Heating: A Review. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 40(5): 2065-2083.
- Dong, H., Zhao, L., Zhang, L., Chen, H., Gao, C. and Ho, W. S. W. (2015). ‘High-Flux Reverse Osmosis Membranes Incorporated NaY Zeolite Nanoparticles for Brackish Water Desalination’, *J. of Memb. Sci.* 476: 373-383.
- Dyck, A., Fritsch, D. and Nunes, S. P. (2002). ‘Proton-Conductive Membranes of Sulfonated Polyphenylsulfone’, *J. of App. Poly. Sci.* 86: 2820-2827.
- Edwards, R. L. and Demuren, A. (2018). ‘Interface Model of PEMFC Membrane Steady-State Behavior’, *Int. J. of Ener. and Environm. Eng.* 10: 85-106.
- Espiritu, R., Mamlouk, M. and Scott, K. (2016). ‘Study on the Effect of the Degree of Grafting on The Performance of Polyethylene-Based Anion Exchange Membrane for Fuel Cell Application’, *Int. J. of Hyd. Energy* 41(2): 1120-1133.
- El-Kharouf, A., Chandan, A., Hattenberger, M. and Pollet, B. G. (2012). ‘Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell Degradation and Testing: Review’, *Journal of Energy Institute* 85(4): 188-200.
- Fang, X., Li, J., Li, X., Pan, S., Zhang, X., Sun, X., Shen, J., Han, W. and Wang, L. (2017). ‘Internal Pore Decoration with Polydopamine Nanoparticle on Polymeric Ultrafiltration Membrane for Enhanced Heavy Metal Removal’, *Chemical Engineering Journal* 314: 38-49.

- Fang, J. H. (2018). ‘Chapter 7-Polyimide Proton Exchange Membranes’, *Advanced Polyimide Materials: Synthesis, Characterization and Applications* 323-383.
- Feng, S., Shang, Y., Wang, Y., Liu, G., Xie, X., Dong, W., Xu, J. and Mathur, V. K. (2010). ‘Synthesis and Crosslinking of Hydroxyl-Functionalized Sulfonated Poly (Ether Ether Ketone) Copolymer as Candidates for Proton Exchange Membranes’, *Journal of Membrane Science* 352: 14-21.
- Flimban, S. G. A., Hassan, S. H. A., Rahman, M. M. and Oh, S. E. (2020). ‘The Effect of Nafion Membrane Fouling on the Power Generation of a Microbial Fuel Cell’, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 45(25): 13643-13651.
- Francia, C., Ijeri, V. S., Specchia, S. and Spinelli, P. (2011) ‘Estimation of Hydrogen Crossover Through Nafion Membranes in PEMFCs’, *Journal of Power Sources* 196: 1833-1839
- Fu, S., Sun, Z., Huang, P., Li, Y. and Hu, N. (2019). ‘Some Basic Aspects of Polymer Nanocomposites: A Critical Review’, *Nano Materials Science* 1:2-30.
- Gagliardi, G. G., Ibrahim, A., Borello, D. and El-kharouf, A. (2020). ‘Composite Polymers Development and Application for Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Technologies-A Review’, *Molecules* 25: -44.
- Gahlot, S. and Kulshrestha, V. (2018). ‘White Graphen Based Composite Proton Exchahange Membrane: Improved Durability and Proton Conductivity’, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 43(47): 21683-21689.
- Gandhimathi, S., Krishnan, H. and Paradesi, D. (2019). ‘New Series f Organic-Inorganic Polymer Nanocomposite Membranes for Fuel Cell Applications’, *High Performance Polymers* doi: 10.1177/0954008319860886.
- Gao, C., Chen, J., Zhang, B. and Wang, L. (2020). ‘Efect of Chemical Structure and Degree of Branching on the Stability of Proton Exchange Membranes Based on Sulfonated Polynaphthylimides’, *Polymers* 12: 1-15.
- Gashoul, F., Parnian, M. and Rowshanzamir, S. (2017). ‘A New Study on Improving the Physicochemical Properties of SPEEK Nanocomposite Membranes for Medium Temperature Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells using Different Loading of Zirconium Oxide Nanoparticles’, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 42(1): 590-602.
- Giffin, G., Galbiati, S., Walter, M., Aniol, K., Ellwein, C., Kerres, J. and Zeis, R. (2017). ‘Interplay Between Structure and Properties in Acid-Base Blend PBI Base Membranes for HT-PEM Fuel Cells’, *J. of Mem. Sci.* 535: 122-131.

- Giorgi, L. and Leccese, F. (2013). ‘Fuel cells: Technology and Applications’, *The Open Fuel Cells Journal* 6: 1-20.
- Gouda, M. H., Elnouby, M., Aziz, A. N., Youssef, M. E., Santos, D. M. F. and Elessawy, N. A. (2020). ‘Green and Low-Cost Membrane Electrode Assembly for Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells: Effect of Double-Layer Electrodes and Gas Diffusion Layer’, *Frontier Materials* 6: 337.
- Gulmaraes, L., Enyashin, A. N., Frenzel, J., Helne, T., Duarte, H. A. and Seifert, G. (2007). ‘Imogolite Nanotubes: Stability, Electronic, and Mechanical Properties’, *ACS Nano* 1: 362-368.
- Gulzow, E. (2004). ‘Alkaline Fuel Cells’, *Fuel Cells* 4(4): 251-155.
- Gulzow, E. and Schulze, M. (2008). ‘3-Alkaline Fuel Cells’, *Materials for Fuel Cell* 64-100.
- Gunday, S. T., Cevik, E., Yusuf, A. and Bozkurt, A. (2020). ‘Synthesis, Characterization and Supercapacitor Application of Ionic Liquid Incorporated Nanocomposite Based on SPSU/Silicon Dioxide’, *Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids* 137: 109209 (1-8).
- Gupta, D., Madhukar, A. and Choudhary, V. (2013). ‘Effect of Functionality of Polyhedral Oligomeric Silsesquioxane (POSS) on The Properties of Sulfonated Poly (Ether Ether Ketone) (SPEEK) Based Hybrid Nanocomposite Proton Exchange Membranes for Fuel Cell Applications’, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 38: 12817-12829.
- Hamedani, M., P. and Ataei, S., M. (2016). ‘Effect of Sulfonation Degree on Molecular Weight, Thermal Stability, and Proton Conductivity of Poly (Arylene Ether Sulfone)s Membrane’, *Designed Monomers and Polymers* 20(1): 54-65.
- Hamilton, P. J. and Pollet, B. G. (2010). ‘Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cell (PEMFC) Flow Field Plate: Design, Materials and Characterisation’, *Fuel Cells* 10(4): 489-509.
- Harilal, Nayak, R., Ghosh, P. C. and Jana, T. (2020). ‘Cross-Linked PBI Membrane for PEMFC’, *ACS App. Poly. Mat.* 2(8): 3161-3170.
- Hartmann-Thompson, C., Merrington, A., Carver, P. I., Keeley, D. L., Rousseau, J. L., Hucul, D., Bruza, K. J., Thomas, L. S., Keinath, S. E., Nowak, R. M., Katona, D. M. and Santurri, P. R. (2008). ‘Proton-Conducting Polyhedral Oligosilsesquioxane Nanoadditives for SPPSU Hydrogen Fuel Cell Proton Exchange Membranes’, *Journal of Applied Polymer Science* 110(2): 958-974.

- He, C., Mighri, F., Guiver, M. D. and Kaliaguine, S. (2016). ‘Tuning Surface Hydrophilicity/Hydrophobicity of Hydrocarbon Proton Exchange Membranes (PEMs)’, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science* 466: 168-177.
- Higashihari, T., Matsumoto, K. and Ueda, M. (2009). ‘Sulfonated Aromatic Hydrocarbon Polymers as Proton Exchange Membrane for Fuel Cells’, *Polymer* 50(23): 5341-5357.
- Hink, S., Duong, N. M., Henkensmeier, D., Kim, J. Y., Jang, J., Kim, H. J., Han, J. and Nam, S. W. (2015). ‘Radel-Based Membranes with Pyridine in Imidazole Side Groups for High Temperature Polymer Electrolyte Fuel Cells’, *Solid State Ionics*, 275: 80-85.
- Holton, O. T. and Stevenson, J. W. (2013). ‘The Role of Platinum in Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells’, *Platinum Metals Review* 57(4): 259-271.
- Hosseini, S. E. and Wahid, M. A. (2016). ‘Hydrogen Production from Renewable and Sustainable Energy Resources: Promising Green Energy Carrier for Clean Development’, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 57: 850-866.
- Hou, H., D Vona, M. L. and Knauth, P. (2012). ‘Building Bridges: Crosslinking of Sulfonated Aromatic Polymers-A Review’, *J. of Memb. Sci.* 423-424: 113-127.
- Hu, Y., Yan, L. and Yue, B. (2020). ‘Sulfonation Mechanism of Polysulfone in Concentrated Sulfuric Acid for Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell Applications’, *ACS Omega* 5(22): 13219-13223.
- Huang, S. Y., Ganesan, P. and Popov, B. N. (2011). ‘Titania Supported Platinum Catalyst with High Electrocatalytic Activity and Stability for Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cell’, *App. Cat. B: Environ.* 102(1-2): 71-77.
- Huang, Y. C., Tai, R. H., Lee, H. F., Wang, P. H., Gopal, R., Lee, C. C., Chang, M. Y. and Huang, W. Y. (2016). ‘Synthesis of Highly Sulfonated Poly (Arylene Ether) Containing Multiphenyl for Proton Exchange Membrane Materials’, *International Journal of Polymer Science Article ID* 6545362: 1-8.
- Hunger, K., Schmeling, N., Jeazet, H. B. T., Daniak, C., Staudt, C. and Kleinermanns, K. (2012). ‘Investigation of Cross-Linked and Additive Containing Polymer Materials for Membranes with Improved Performance in Pervaporation and Gas Separation’, *Membranes* 2(4): 727-763.
- Ishimoto, T. and Koyama, M. (2012). ‘A Review of Molecular-Level Mechanism of Membrane Degradation in the Polymer Electrolyte Fuel Cell’, *Membranes (Basel)* 2(3): 395-414.

- Isloor, A. M., Nayak, M C., Inamuddin, Prabhu, B., Ismail, N., Ismail, A. F. and Asiri, A. M. (2019). ‘Novel Polyphenylsulfone (PPSU)/Nano Tin Oxide ( $\text{SnO}_2$ ) Mixed Matrix Ultrafiltration Hollow Fiber Membranes: Fabrication, Characterization and Toxic Dyes Removal from Aqueous Solutions’, *Reactive and Functional Polymers* 139: 170-180.
- Jaafar J., Ismail, A. F., Matsuura, T. and Nagai, K. (2011). ‘Performance of SPEEK Based Polymer-Nanoclay Inorganic Membrane for DMFC’, *Journal of Membrane Science* 382: 202-211.
- Janeta, M. and Szafert, S. (2017). ‘Synthesis, Characterization and Thermal Properties of  $T_8$  Type Amido-POSS with p-Halophenyl End-Group’, *Journal of Organometallic Chemistry* 847: 173-183.
- Jang, H., Sutradhar, S. C., Yoo, J., Ha, J., Pyo, J., Lee, C., Ryu, T. and Kim, W. (2016). ‘Synthesis and Characterization of Sulfonated Poly(Phenylene) Containing a Non-Planar Structure and Dibenzoyl Groups’, *Energies* 9: 1-11.
- Jang, S., Yoon, Y. G., Lee, Y. S. and Choi, Y. W. (2018). ‘One-Step Farication and Characterization of Reinforced Microcomposite Membranes for Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cells’*J. of Membrane Science* 563:896-9092.
- Javed, M., Saqib, A. N. S., Rehman, A., Ali, B., Faizan, M., Anang, D. A., Iqbal, Z. and Abbas, S. M. (2019). ‘Carbon Quantum Dots from Glucose Oxidation as A Highly Competent Anode Material for Lithium and Sodium-Ion Batteries’, *Electrochimica Acta* 297: 250-257.
- Jeon, I. Y. and Baek, J. B. (2010). ‘Nanocomposites Derived from Polymers and Inorganic Nanoparticles’, *Materials* 3(6): 3654-3674.
- Jia, W., Tang, B. and Wu, P. (2018). ‘Carbon Dots with Multi-Functional Groups and The Application in Proton Exchange Membranes’, *Electrochimica Acta* 260: 92-100.
- Jin, X., Li, L., Xu, R., Liu, Q., Ding, L., Pan, Y., Wang, C., Hung, W., Lee, K. and Wang, T. (2018). ‘Effects of Thermal Cross-Linking on the Structure and Property of Asymmetric Membrane Prepared from the Polyacrylonitrile’, *Polymers* 10(5): 1-16.
- Jo, S. G., Kim, T. H., Yoon, S. J., Oh, S. G., Cha, M. S., Shin, H. Y., Ahn, J. M., Lee, J. Y. and Hong, Y. T. (2016). ‘Synthesis and Investigation of Random-Structured Ionomers with Highly Sulfonated Multi-Phenyl Pendants for Electrochemical Applications’, *Journal of Membrane Science* 510: 326-337.

- Joghee, P., Malik, J. N., Pylypenko, S. and O’Hayre, R. (2015). ‘A Review on Direct Methanol Fuel Cells-In the Perspective of Energy and Sustainability’, *MRS Energy & Sustainability* 2:1-31.
- Jomekian, A., Behnahani, R. M., Mohammadi, T. and Kargari, A. (2016). ‘Innovative Layer by Layer and Continous Growth Methods for Synthesis of ZIF-8 Membrane on Porous Polymeric Support Using Poly(Ether-Block-Amide) as Structure Directing Agent for Gas Separation’, *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials* 234: 43-54.
- Joseph, D., Krishnan, N. N., Henkensmeier, D., Jang, J. H., Choi, S. H., Kim, H. J., Han, J. and Nam, S. (2017). ‘Thermal Crosslinking of PBI/Sulfonated Polysulfone Based Blend Membranes’ *J. of Materials Chemistry A* 5: 409-417.
- Jullock, N., Hooghten, R. V., Luis, P., Volodin, A., Haesendonck, C. V., Vermant, J., and der Bruggen, B. V. (2016). ‘Effect of Silica Nanoparticles in Mixed Matrix Membranes for Pervaporation Dehydration of Acetic Acid Aqueous Solution: Plat-Inspired Dewatering Systems’, *J o u r n a l o f C l i e n t P r o d u c t s* 4889.
- Jung, M. S., Kim, T. H., Yoon, Y. J., Kang, C. G., Yu, D. M., Lee, J. Y., Kim, H. J. and Hong, Y. T. (2014). ‘Sulfonated Poly (Arylene Sulfone) Copolymers for Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells’, *J. of Membrane Science* 459: 72-85.
- Jung, M., Lee, W., Krishnan, N. N., Kim, S., Gupta, G., Komsiyska, L., Harms, C., Kwon, Y. and Henkensmeier, D. (2018). ‘Porous-Nafion/PBI Composite Membranes and Nafion/PBI Blend Membranes for Vanadium Redox Flow Batteries’, *Applied Surface Science* 450: 301-311.
- Kaffel, A. (2018). ‘On Modelling Water Transport in Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cell’, *Open Acc. Biostat Bioinform* 1: 1-8.
- Kalkan, N. (2014). ‘Performance Evaluation of Fuel Cell’, *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology* 3(3): 1825-1828.
- Kaneko, Y., Toyodome, H., Mizumo, T., Shikinaka, K. and Iyi, N. (2014). ‘Preparation of a Sulfo-Group-Containing Rod-Like Polysilsesquioxane with a Hexagonally Stacked Structure and Its Proton Conductivity’, *Chemistry A European Journal* 20: 9394-9399.
- Kato, K., Inukai, K., Fujikura, K. and Kasuga, T. (2014). ‘Effective Encapsulation of Laccase in an Aluminium Silicate Nanotube Hydrogel’, *New Journal of Chemistry* 38: 3591-3599.

- Khabibullin, A, Minteer, S. D. and Zharov, I. (2014) ‘The Effect of Sulfonic Acid Group Content in Pore-Filled Silica Colloidal Membranes On Their Proton Conductivity and Direct Methanol Fuel Cell Performance’, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* 2: 12761-12769.
- Khodami, S., Babanzadeh, S. and Mehdipour-Ataei, S. (2020). ‘Improving The Performance of Novel Polysulfone-based Membrane via Sulfonation Method: Application to Water Desalination’, *J. of App. Polym. Sci.* 137: 48568 (1-10).
- Kickelbick, G. (2013). ‘Silsesquioxanes’, *Func. Mol. Silicon Compounds* 1: 1-28.
- Kienitz, B., Kolde, J., Priester, S., Baczkowski, C. and Crum, M. (2011). ‘Ultra-Thin Reinforced Ionomer Membranes to Meet Next Generation Fuel Cell Targets’, *ECS Transactions* 41(1): 1521-1530.
- Kienitz, B. (2020). ‘Optimizing Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Thickness to Maximize Fuel Cell Vehicle Range’, *Int. J. of Hyd. Energy* Article in Press.
- Kim, Y. S. and Pivovar, B. S. (2010). ‘Moving Beyond Mass-Based Parameters for Conductivity Analysis of Sulfonated Polymers’, *Annu. Rev. Chem. Biomol. Eng.* 1: 123-148.
- Kim, D. J., Hwang, H. Y. and Nam, S. Y. (2013). ‘Characterization of Sulfonated Poly (Arylene Ether Sulfone) (SPAES)/Silica-Phosphate Sol-Gel Composite Membrane: Effects of The Sol-Gel Composition’, *Macromolecular Research* 21(11): 1194-1200.
- Kim, J. –D., Donnadio, A., Jun, M. S. and Di Vona, M L. (2013b). ‘Crosslinked SPES-SPPSU Membranes for High Temperature PEMFCs’, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 38: 1517-1523.
- Kim, D. J., Jo, M. J. and Nam, S. Y. (2015). ‘A Review of Polymer – Nanocomposite Electrolyte Membranes for Fuel Cell Application’, *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry* 21: 36–52.
- Kim, Y. S. and Lee, K. S. (2015). ‘Fuel Cell Membrane Characterizations’, *Polymer Reviews* 55(2): 330-370.
- Kim, J. D. and Ghil, L. J. (2016). ‘Annealing Effect of Highly Sulfonated Polyphneylsulfone Polymer’, *Int. J. of Hydrogen Energy* 41: 11794-11800.
- Kim, J., Chung, K., Lee, H., Bae, B. and Cho, E. B. (2016). ‘Mesoporous Ceria-silica/Poly (Arylene Ether Sulfone) Composite Membranes for Durability of Fuel Cell Electrolyte Membrane’, *Microp. and Mesop. Materials* 236: 292-300.

- Kim, K., Bae, J., Lim, M. Y., Heo, P., Choi, W., Kwon, K. and Lee, J. C. (2017). ‘Enhanced Physical Stability and Chemical Durability of Sulfonated Poly (Arylene Ether Sulfone) Composite Membranes Having Antioxidant Grafted Graphene Oxide for PEMFC Applications’, *J. of Memb. Sci.* 525: 125-134.
- Kim, K., Heo, P., Hwang, W., Baik, J. H., Sung, Y. E. and Lee, J. C. (2018a) ‘Cross-Linked Sulfonated PAES Containing A Flexible and Hydrophobic Bishydroxy Perfluoropolyether Cross-Linker for High-Performance Proton Exchange Membrane’, *App. Mat. & Inter.* 10: 21788-21793.
- Kim, K., Heo, P., Han, J., Kim, J. and Lee, J. C. (2018b). ‘End-Group Cross-Linked Sulfonated Poly (Arylene Ether Sulfone) Via Thiol-Ene Click Reaction for High-Performance Proton Exchange Membrane’, *J. of P. Sources* 401: 20-28.
- Kim, S. -W., Choi, S. -Y. and Rhee, H. -W. (2018c). ‘Sulfonated Poly(Etheretherketone) Based Nanocomposite Membranes Containing POSS-SA for Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cells (PEMFC)’, *Journal of Membrane Science* 566: 69-76.
- Kim, J. D., Ohira, A. and Nakao, H. (2020a). ‘Chemically Crosslinked Sulfonated Polyphenylsulfone Membranes for PEM Fuel Cells’, *Membranes* 10: 1-14.
- Kim, J. H., Vinothkannan, M., Kim, A. R. and Yoo, D. J. (2020b). ‘Anion Exchange Membranes Obtained from Poly (Arylene Ether Sulfone) Block Copolymers Comprising Hydrophilic and Hydrophobic Segments’, *Polymers* 12: (1-12).
- King, J. M. and Kunz, H. R. (2010). ‘Phosphoric Acid Electrolyte Fuel Cells. Fundamentals and Survey of Systems, Fuel Cell Principles, System and Applicatons. Handbook of Fuel Cells: Fundamentals Technology and Applications’, *John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.*
- Kirubakaran, A., Jain, S. and Nema, R. K. (2009). ‘A Review on Fuel Cell Technologies and Power Electronic Interface’, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* 13: 2430-2440.
- Knauth, P. and Di Vona, M. L. (2014). ‘Hydration and Proton Conductivity of Ionomers: The Model Case of Sulfonated Aromatic Polymers’, *Frontier Energy Research* 2(50): 1-6.
- Ko, T., Kim, K., Lim, M. Y., Nam, S. Y., Kim T. H., Kim, S. K. and Lee, J. C. (2015a). ‘Sulfonated Poly (Arylene Ether Sulfone) Composite Membranes Having Poly (2,5-Benzimidazole-Grafted Graphene Oxide for Fuel Cell Applications’, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* 3(41): 20595-20606.

- Ko, T., Kim, K., Kim, S. K. and Lee, J. C. (2015b). ‘Organic-Inorganic Composite Membranes Comprising Sulfonated PAES and Core-Shell Silica Particles Having Acidic and Basic Polymer Shells’, *Polymer* 71: 70-81.
- Kondo, Y., Nakanishi, R. and Wada, S. (2003). ‘Properties of Hydroxyaluminium Silicate Ions Formed by Instantaneous Mixing of Sodium Orthosilicate and Aluminium Chloride Solutions’, *Clay Science* 12: 81-84.
- Kordesch, K. and Cifrain, M. (2010). ‘A Comparison Between the Alkaline Fuel Cell (AFC) and the Polymer Electrolyte Membrane (PEM) Fuel Cell’, *Handbook of Fuel Cells* 1-5.
- Koroneos, C., Dompros, A., Roumbas, G. and Moussiopoulos, N. (2004). ‘Life Cycle Assessment of Hydrogen Fuel Production Processes’, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 29(14): 1443-1450.
- Kreuer, K D. (2001). ‘The Development of Proton Conducting Polymer Membranes for Hydrogen and Methanol Fuel Cells’, *J. of Memb. Sci.* 185: 29-39.
- Kucernak, A. R. and Toyoda, E. (2018). ‘Studying the Oxygen Reduction and Hydrogen Oxidation Reactions under Realistic Fuel Cell Conditions’, *Electrochemistry Communications* 10(11): 1728-1732.
- Kulasekaran, P., Mahimai, B. M. and Deivanayagam, P. (2020). ‘Novel Cross-Linked Poly (Vinyl Alcohol)-Based Electrolyte Membranes for Fuel Cell Applications’, *RSC Advances* 44(10): 26521-26527.
- Kumar, V., Kumar, P., Nandy, A. and Kundu, P. (2015). ‘Crosslinked Inter Penetrating Network of Sulfonated Styrene and Sulfonated PVDF-co-HFP as Electrolytic Membrane in a Single Chamber Microbial Fuel Cell’, *RSC Advances* 5(39): 30758-30767.
- Kumar, M., Rao, T., S., Isloor, A. M., Ibrahim, G. P. S., Inamuddin, Ismail, N., Ismail, A. F. and Asiri, A. M. (2019). ‘Use of Cellulose Acetate/Polyphenylsulfone Derivatives to Fabricate Ultrafiltration Hollow Fiber Membranes for The Removal of Arsenic from Drinking Water’, *Int. J. of Biol. Macr.* 129: 715-727.
- Kumari, M., Sodaye, H. S., Sen, D. and Bindal, R. C. (2018a). ‘Properties and Morphology Studies of Proton Exchange Membranes Based On Cross-Linked Sulfonated Poly (Ether Ether Ketone) for Electrochemical Application: Effect of Cross-Linker Chain Length’, *Solid State Ionics* 316: 75-84.

- Kumari, M., Sodaye, H. S. and Bindal, R. C. (2018b). ‘Cross-Linked SPEEK-Poly Ethylene Glycol/Silica Organic-Inorganic Nanocomposite Membrane for Fuel Cell Application’, *J. of P. Sources* 398: 137-148).
- Krasilin, A. A., Khrapova, E. K. and Maslennikova, T. P. (2020). ‘Cation Doping Approach for Nanotubular Hydrosilicates Curvature Control and Related Applications’, *Crystals* 10(8): 1-41.
- Krishnan, N. N., Henkensmeier, D., Jang, J. H. and Kim, H. J. (2014). ‘Nanocomposite Membranes for Polymer Electrolyte Fuel Cells’, *Macromolecular Materials and Engineering* 299: 1031-1041.
- Krishnan, N. N., Konovalova, A., Aili, D., Li, Q., Park, H. S., Jang, J. H., Kim, H. J. and Henskensmeier, D. (2019). ‘Thermally Crosslinked Sulfonated Polybenzimidazole Membranes and Their Performance in High Temperature Polymer Electrolyte Fuel Cells’, *Journal of Membrane Science* 588: 1-8.
- Kyu, T. and Nazir, N. A. (2013). ‘Supramolecules Impregnated Proton Electrolyte Membranes’, *Current Opinion in Chemical Engineering* 2(1): 132-138.
- Lai, G. S., Lau, W. J., Goh, P. S., Ismail, A. F., Yusof, N. and Tan, Y. H. (2016). ‘Graphene Oxide Incorporated Thin Film Nanocomposite Nanofiltration Membrane for Enhanced Salt Removal Performance’ *Desalination* 387:14-4.
- Lai, Y. H., Fly, G. W. and Clapham, S. (2015). ‘In-Situ Membrane Hydration Measurement of Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells’, *Journal of Power Sources* 274: 324-337.
- Lee, C. H., Park, H. B., Lee, Y. M. and Lee, R. D. (2005) ‘Importance of Proton Conductivity Measurement in Polymer Electrolyte Membrane for Fuel Cell Application’, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 44: 7617-7626.
- Lee, H. S., Roy, A., Lane, O., Dunn, S. and McGrath, J. E. (2008). ‘Hydrophilic-Hydrophobic Copolymers based on Poly (Arylene Ether Sulfone) via Low Temperature Coupling for Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells’, *Polymer* 49(3): 715-723.
- Lee, P. H. and Hwang, S. S. (2009). ‘Performance Characteristics of a PEM Fuel Cell with Parallel Flow Channels at Different Cathode Relative Humidity Levels’, *Sensors* 9: 9104-9121.
- Lee, H. S., Lane, O. and McGrath, J. E. (2010). ‘Development of Multiblock Copolymers with Novel Hydroquinone-Based Hydrophilic Blocks for Proton Exchange Mmebrane (PEM) Applications’, *J. of P. Sources* 195: 1772-1778.

- Lee, H., Han, J., Kim, K., Kim, J., Kim, E., Shin, H. and Lee, J. C. (2019). ‘Highly Sulfonated Polymer Grafted Graphene Oxide Composite Membranes for Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells’, *J. Ind. and Eng. Chem.* 74: 223-232.
- Lemoine-Nava, R., Hanke-Rauschenbanch, R., Mangold, M. and Sundmacher, K. (2011). ‘The Gas Diffusion Layer in Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cells: A Process Model of the Two-Phase Flow’, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 36(2): 1637-1653.
- Li, K., Ye, G., Pan, J., Zhang, H. and Pan, M. (2010). ‘Self-Assembled Nafion®/Metal Oxide Nanoparticles Hybrid Proton Exchange Membranes’, *Journal of Membrane Science* 347: 26-31.
- Li, Z. and Yang, R. (2015). ‘Film Retardancy, Thermal and Mechanical Properties of Sulfonate-Containing Polyhedral Oligomeric Silsesquioxane (S-POSS)/Polycarbonate Composites’, *Poly. Degrad. and Stability* 116: 81-87.
- Li, X., Tao, J., Nie, G., Wang, L., Li, L. and Liao, S. (2015). ‘Cross-Linked Multiblock Copoly (Arylene Ether Sulfone Ionomer/Nano-ZrO<sub>2</sub> Composite Anion Exchange Membranes for Alkaline Fuel Cells’, *RSC Adv.* 40: 41398-41410.
- Li, Y. and Lv, H. (2018). ‘The Combined Effects of Water Transport on Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell Performance’, *Chemical Engineering Transactions* 65: 691-696.
- Li, Y., Liang, L., Liu, C., Li, Y., Xing, W. and Sun, J. (2018). ‘Self-Healing Proton-Exchange Membranes Composed of Nafion-Poly (Vinyl Alcohol) Complexes for Durable Direct Methanol Fuel Cells’, *Adv. Mat.* 30(25): 1707146.
- Liang, Z., Chen, W., Liu, J., Wang, S., Zhou, Z., Li, W., Sun, G. and Xin, Q. (2004). ‘FT-IR Study of the Microstructure of Nafion Membrane’, *Journal of Membrane Science* 233: 39-44.
- Lim, M. Y. and Kim, K. (2018). ‘Sulfoanted PolyArylene Ether Sulfone) and Perfluorosulfonic Acid Composite Containing erfluoropolyether Grafted Graphene Oxide for Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cell Applications’, *Polymers* 10(6): 569 (1-14).
- Liu, Y. L., Su, Y. H., Chang, C. M., Suryani, Wang, D. M. and Lai, J. Y. (2010). ‘Preparation and Applications of Nafion-Functionalized Multiwalled Carbon Nanotubes for Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells’, *Journal of Materials Chemistry* 20(21): 4409-4416.

- Liu, F., Yi, B., Xing, D., Yu, J. and Zhang, H. (2004). ‘Nafion/PTFE Composite Membranes for Fuel Cell Applications’, *J. of Memb. Sci.* 212(1): 213-223.
- Liu, Y., Yue, X., Zhang, S., Ren, J., Yang, L., Wang, Q. and Wang, G. (2012). ‘Synthesis of Sulfoanted Polyphneylsulfone as Candidates for Antifouling Ultrafiltration Membrane’, *Sep. and Pur. Technology* 98: 298-307.
- Liu, C.P., Dai, C. A., Chao, C. Y. and Chang, S. J. (2014). ‘Novel Proton Exchange Membrane Based on Crosslinked Poly (Vinyl Alcohol) for Direct Methanol Fuel Cell’, *Journal of Power Sources* 294: 285-298.
- Liu, D., Tanaka, M. and Kawakami, H. (2015). ‘Preparation and Characterization of Phosphoric Acid-Doped Blend Membrane Composed of Sulfonated Poly (Arylene Ether Sulfone) and Polybenzimidazole for Fuel Cell Applications ’, *Journal of Photopolyme Science and Technology* 28(2): 181-186.
- Liu, D., Tao, D., Ni, J., Xiang, X., Wang, L. and Xi, J. (2016a). ‘Synthesis and Properties of Highly Branched Sulfonated Poly (Arylene Ether)s with Flexible Alkylsulfonated Side Chains as Proton Exchange Membranes’, *Journal of Materials Chemistry C* 6(4): 1326-1335.
- Liu, J., Zheng, P., Feng, M. and Liu, X. (2016b). ‘Preparation and Properties of Crosslinked Hybrid PEM Based on Sulfonated Poly (Arylene Ether Nitrile) with Improved Selectivity for Fuel Cell Aplications’, *Ionics* 23(3): 671-679.
- Liu, Q., Sun, Q., Ni, N., Luo, F., Zhang, R., Hu, S., Bao, X., Zhang, F., Zhao, F. and Li, X. (2016c). ‘Novel Octopus Shaped Organic-Inorganic Composite Membranes for PEMFCs’, *Int. J. of Hydrogen Energy* 41(36): 16160-16166.
- Liu, Y., Huo, P., Ren, J. and Wang, G. (2017a). ‘Organic-Inorganic Hybrid Proton Conducting Electrolyte Membranes Based on Sulfonated Poly (Arylene Ether Sulfone) and SiO<sub>2</sub>-SO<sub>3</sub>H Network for Fuel Cells’, *High Performance Polymers* 29(9): 1037-1048.
- Liu, X., Liu, J., Zheng, B., Yan, L., Dai, J., Zhuang, Z., Du, J., Guo, Y. and Xiao, D. (2017b). ‘N-Doped Carbon Dots: Green and Efficient Synthesis On a Large-Scale and Their Application in Fluorescent pH Sensing’, *New J. Chem.* 41: 10607-10612.
- Liu, J. J., Li, D., Zhang, K., Yang, M., Sun, H. and Yang, B. (2018a). ‘One-Step Hydrothermal Synthesis of Nitrogen-Doped Conjugated Carbonized Polymer Dots with 31% Efficient Red Emission for in Vivo Imaging’, *Small* 14(15):1703919(1-10).

- Liu, C., Wu, Z., Xu, Y., Zhang, S., Gong, C., Tang, Y., Sun, D., Wei, H. and Shen, C. (2018b). ‘Facile One-Step Fabrication of Sulfonated Polyhedral Oligomeric Silsesquioxane Cross-Linked Poly (Ether Ether Ketone) For Proton Exchange Membranes’, *Polymer Chemistry* 9: 3624-3632.
- Liu, W., Ullah, B., Kuo, C. C. and Cai, X. (2019a). ‘Fabrication and Energy Storage Applications’, *Advances in Polymer Technology* 4294306: 1-15.
- Liu, Y., Hu, T., Zhao, J., Lu, L., Muhammad, Y., Lan, P., He, R., Zou, Y. and Tong, Z. (2019b). ‘Synthesis and Application of PDMS/OP-POSS Membrane for The Pervaporative Recovery of n-Butyl Acetate and Ethyl Acetate from Aqueous Media’, *Journal of Membrane Science* 591: 117324 (1-16).
- Luduena, G. A., Kuhne, T. D. and Sebastiani, D. (2011). ‘Mixed Grothuss and Vehicle Transport Mechanism in Proton Conducting Polymers from Ab initio Molecular Dynamics Simulations’, *Chemistry of Materials* 23(6): 1424-1429.
- Lilianelli, A. and Basile, A. (2012). ‘Sulfonated PEEK-Based Polymers in PEMFC and DMFC Applications: A Review’, *Int. J. of Hyd. Ener.* 37: 15241-15255.
- Lyu, K., Peng, Y., Xiao, L., Lu, J. and Zhuang, L. (2018). ‘Communication: Water Induced Phase Segregation in Hydrocarbon Proton Exchange Membranes’, *Journal of Energy Chemistry* 27: 1517-1520.
- Ma, W., Yah, W. O., Otsuka, H. and Takahara, A. (2012). ‘Surface Functionalization of Aluminosilicate Nanotubes with Organic Molecules’, *Beilstein Journal of Nanotechnology* 3: 82-100.
- Mabrouk, W., Ogier, L., Vidal, S., Sollogoub, C., Matoussi, F. and Fauvarque, F. (2014). ‘Ion Exchange Membranes Based Upon Crosslinked Sulfonated Polyethersulfone for Electrochemical Applications’, *Journal of Membrane Science* 452: 263-270.
- Manioudakis, J., Victoria, F., Thompson, C. A., Brown, L., Movsum, M., Lucifero, R. and Naccache, R. (2019). ‘Effects of Nitrogen-Doping On the Photophysical Properties of Carbon Dots’, *Journal of Materials Chemistry C* 7: 853-862.
- Manoharan, Y., Hosseini, S. E., Butler, B., Alzahrani, H., Senior, B. T. F., Ashuri, T. and Krohn, J. (2019). ‘Hydrogen Fuel Cell Vehicles; Current Status and Future Prospect’, *Applied Science* 9: 1-17.
- Mao, Q. X., Wang, W. J., Hai, X., Shu, Y., Chen, X. W. and Wang, J. H. (2-15). ‘The Regulation of Hydrophilicity and Hydrophobicity of Carbon Dots via A One-Pot Approach’, *Journal of Materials Chemistry B* 3: 6013-6018.

- Maranesi, B., Hou, H., Polini, R., Sgressia, E., Alberti, G., Narducci, R., Knauth, P. and Di Vona, M. L. (2013). ‘Cross-Linking of Sulfonated Poly (Ether Ether Ketone) by Thermal Treatment: How Does the Reaction Occur?’, *Fuel Cells* 13: 107-117.
- Martinez, A. F. and Michot, L. J. (2016). Chapter 9-Physicochemical Properties of Imogolite’, *Developments in Clay Science* 7: 202-222.
- Matsushita, S. and Kim, J. D. (2018) ‘Organic Solvent-Free Preparation of Electrolyte Membranes with High Proton Conductivity Using Aromatic Hydrocarbon Polymers and Small Cross-Linker Molecules’, *Solid State Ionics* 316: 102-109.
- Mazzapioda, L., Panero, S. and Navarra, M. A. (2019) ‘Polymer Electrolyte Membranes Based On Nafion and A Superacidic Inorganic Additive for Fuel Cell Application’, *Polymers* 11: 914 (1-10).
- McLean, G. F., Niet, T., Prince-Richard, S. and Djilali, N. (2002). ‘An Assesment of Alkaline Fuel Cell Technology’, *Int. J. of Hydrogen Energy* 27(5): 507-526.
- Meemuk, C. and Chirachanchai, S. (2018). ‘Stable and Effective Proton Exchange Membrane Formation Via Cross-Linking the Polymeric Proton Donor and Proton Acceptor in Layer-By-Layer Structure’, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 43(13): 6701-6710.
- Meenakshi, S., Sahu, A. K., Bhat, S. D., Sridhar, P., Pitchumani, S. and Shukla, A. K. (2013). ‘Mesostructured-Aluminosilicate-Nafion Hybrid Membranes for Direct Methanol Fuel Cells’, *Electrochimica Acta* 89:35-44.
- Merle, G., Hosseiny, S. S., Wessling, M. and Nijmeijer, K. (2012). ‘New Cross-Linked PVA Based Polymer Electrolyte Membranes for Alkaline Fuel Cells’, *Journal of Membrane Science* 409-410: 191-199.
- Mikhailenko, S. D., Wang, K., Kaliaguine, S., Xing, P., Robertson, G. P. and Guiver, M. D. (2004). ‘Proton Conducting Membranes Based On Cross-Linked Sulfonated Poly (Ether-Ether Ketone) (SPEEK)’, *J. of Memb. Sci.* 233:93-99.
- Mishra, A. K., Bose, S., Kuila, T., Kim, N. H. and Lee, J. H. (2012). ‘Silicate-Based Polymer-Nanocomposite Membranes for Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cells’, *Progress in Polymer Science* 37(6): 842-869.
- Miyake, J., Taki, R., Mochizuki, T., Shimizu, R., Akiyama, R., Uchida, M. and Miyatake, K. (2017). ‘Design of Flexible Polyphenylene Proton Conducting Membrane for Next Generation Fuel Cells’, *Science Advances* 3(10): 1-8.

- Moideen K, I., Isloor, A. M., Ismail, A. F., Obaid, A. and Fun, H. -K. (2016). ‘Fabrication and Characterization of New PSF/PPSU UF Blend Membrane for Heavy Metal Rejection’, *Des. and Water Treatment* 57(42):19810-19819.
- Mokhtaruddin, S. R., Mohamad, A. B., Loh, K. S. and Kadhum, A. A. H. (2016) ‘Thermal Properties and Conductivity of Nafion-Zirconia Composite Membrane’, *Malaysian Journal of Analytical Sciences* 20: 670-677.
- Monem, A. A. A. E., Azmy, A. M. and Mahmoud, S. A. (2014). ‘Effect of Process Parameters on the Dynamic Behaviour of Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cells for Electric Vehicle Applications’, *Ain Shams Eng. Journal* 5(1): 75-84.
- Morfopoulou, C. I., Andreopoulou, A. K., Daletou, M. K., Neophytides, S. G. and Kallitsis, J. K. (2013). ‘Cross-Linked High Temperature Polymer Electrolytes Through Oxadiazole Bond Formation and Their Applications in HT PEM Fuel Cells’, *J. Mater. Chem. A*. 1: 1613-1622.
- Motealleh, B., Huang, F., Largier, T. D., Khan, W. and Cornelius, C. J. (2019). ‘Solution-Blended Sulfonated Polyphenylene and Branched Poly (Arylene Ether Sulfone): Synthesis, State of Water, Surface Energy, Proton Transport, and Fuel Cell Performance’, *Polymer* 160: 148-161.
- Mubin, A. N. A., Bahrom, M. H., Azri, M., Ibrahim, Z., Rahim, N. A. and Raihan, S. R. S. (2017). ‘Analysis Performance of Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel ECII (PEMFC)’, *International Technical Postgraduate Conference* 210: 1-9.
- Muller, F., Ferreira, C. A., Azambuja, D. S., Aleman, C. and Armelin, E. (2014). ‘Measuring The Proton Conductivity of Ion-Exchange Membranes Using Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy and Through-Plane Cell’, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* 118: 1102-1112.
- Muthumeenal, A., Rethinam, A. J. and Nagendran, A. (2016). ‘Sulfonated Polyethersulfone Based Composite Membranes Containing Heteropolyacids Laminated with Polypyrrole for Electrochemical Energy’, *Solid State Ionics* 296: 106-113.
- Na, Y., Zenith, F. and Krewer, U. (2015). ‘Increasing Fuel Efficiency of Direct Methanol Fuel Cell Systems with Feed Forward Control of the Operating Concentration’, *Energies* 8: 10409-10429.
- Naderi, A., Chung, T. S., Weber, M. and Maletzko, C. (2019). ‘High Performance Dual-Layer Hollow Fiber Membrane of SPPSU/Polybenzimidazole for Hydrogen Purification’ *Journal of Membrane Science* 591, pp. 1-10.

- Narducci, R., Di Vona, M., Marrocchi, A. and Baldinelli, G. (2018). ‘Stabilized SPEEK Membranes with A High Degree of Sulfonation for Entalphy Heat Exchangers’, *Coatings* 8(5): 1-16.
- Nayak, M. C., Isloor, A. M., Moslehyani, A. and Ismail. A. F. (2017). ‘Preparation and Characterization of SPPSU Membranes with BiOCl Nanowafers Loaded on Activated Charcoal for Oil in Water Separation’, *Journal of The Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers* 77: 293-301.
- Neelakandan, S., Kanagraj, P., Sabarathinam, R. M., Muthumeenal, A. and Nagendran, A. (2015). ‘SPEES/PEI-based highly Selective Polymer Electrolyte Membranes for DMFC Application’, *Journal of Solid State Electrochemistry* 19(6): 1-10.
- Neethu, B., Bhowmick, G. D. and Ghangrekar, M. M. (2019). ‘A Novel Proton Exchange Membrane Developed from Clay and Activated Carbon Derived from Coconut Shell for Application in Microbial Fuel Cell’, *Biochemical Engineering Journal* 148: 170-177.
- Niya, S. M. R. and Hoorfar, M. (2014). Process Modelling of the Ohmic Loss in Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells’, *Electrochimica Acta* 120: 193-203.
- Nolte, R., Ledjeff, K., Bauer, M. and Mulhaupt, R. (1993). ‘Partially Sulfonated Poly (Arylene Ether Sulfone)-A Versatile Proton Conducting Membrane Material for Modern Energy Conversion Technologies’, *J. of Memb. Sci.* 83: 211-220.
- Nomnqa, M., Omoregbe, D. I., & Rabiu, A. (2016). Parametric Analysis of a High Temperature PEM Fuel Cell Based Microcogeneration System. *International Journal of Chemical Engineering*, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Article ID: 4596251, 14 pages.
- Nor, N. A. M., Nakao, H., Jaafar, J. and Kim, J. D. (2020). ‘Crosslinked Carbon Nanodots with Highly Sulfonated Polyphenylsulfone as Proton Exchange Membrane for Applications’, *Int. J. of Hydrogen Energy* 45(16): 9979-988.
- Norddin, N. A. H. M., Ismail, A. F., Mustafa, A., Murali, R. S. and Matsuura, T. (2015). ‘Utilizing Low ZIF-8 Loading for an Asymmetric PSf/ZIF-8 Mixed Matrix Membrane for CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub> Separation’, *RSC Advances* 5: 30206-30215.
- Notarianni, M., Liu, J, Vernon, K. and Motta, N. (2016). ‘Synthesis and Applications of Carbon Nanomaterials for Energy Generation and Storage’, *Beilstein Journal of Nanotechnology* 7: 149-196.

- Oh, K., Kwon, O., Son, B., Lee, D. H. and Shanmugam, S. (2019). ‘Nafion-Sulfonated Silica Composite Membrane for Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells under Operating Low Humidity Condition’, *J. of Membrane Science* 583: 103-109.
- O’Hayre, R., Cha, S., Colella, W. and Prinz, F. B. (2009) ‘Fuel Cell Fundamentals’, Second Ed., John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Paci, M., Filippi, S. and Magagnini, P. (2010) ‘Nanostructure Development in Nylon 6-Cloisite?? 30B Composites. Effects of The Preparation Conditions’, *European Polymer Journal* 46, 838–853.
- Paineau, E. (2018). ‘Imogolite Nanotubes: A Flexible Nanoplatform with Multipurpose Applications’, *Applied Science* 8(20): 1-21.
- Papadimitrou, K. D., Paloukis, F., Neophytides, S. G. and Kallitsis, J. K. (2011). ‘Cross-Linking of Side Chain Unsaturated Aromatic Polyethers for High Temperature Polymer Elelctrolyte Membrane Fuel Cell Applications’, *Macromolecules* 44: 4942-4951.
- Park, S., Lee, J. W. and Popov, B. N. (2012). ‘A Review of Gas Diffusion Layer in PEM Fuel Cells: Materials and Designs’, *Int. J. of Hyd. Ener.* 37: 5850-5865.
- Park, J. W., Wycisk, R., Pintauro, P. N., Yarlagadda, V. and Nguyen, T. V. (2016a). ‘Electrospun Nafion®/Polyphenylsulfone Composite Membranes for Regenerative Hydrogen Bromine Fuel Cells’, *Materials* 9: 1-15.
- Park, J., Pasaogullari, U. and Bonville, L. J. (2016b). ‘A New Membrane Electrode Assembly Structure with Novel Flow Fields for Polymer Electrolyte Fuel Cells’, *Adv. Solid State and Electrochemical Sci. and Tech.* 75(14): 55-62.
- Parreno, Jr, R. P., Liu, Y. L., Beltran, A. B. and Carandang, M. B. (2020). ‘Effect of A Direct Sulfonation Reaction On the Functional Properties of Thermally-Crosslinked Electrospun Polybenzoxazine (PBz) Nanofibers’, *RSC Advances* 10: 14198-14207.
- Paul, D. K., McCreery, R. and Karan, K. (2014). ‘Proton Transport Property in Supported Nafion Nanothin Films by Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy’, *Journal of The Electrochemical Society* 161(14): F1395-F1402.
- Pei, P., Wu, Z., Li, Y., Jia, X., Chen, D. and Huang, S. (2018). ‘Improved Methods to Measure Hydrogen Crossover Current in Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell’, *Applied Energy* 215: 338-347.

- Peighambarioust, S. J., Rowshanzamir, S. and Amjadi, M. (2010). ‘Review of the Proton Exchange Membranes for Fuel Cell Applications’, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 35(17): 9349-9384.
- Peng, J., Gao, W., Gupta, B. K., Liu, Z., Romero-Aburto, R., Ge, L., Song, L., Alemany, L. B., Zhan, X., Gao, G., Vithayathil, S. A., Kaipperattu, B. A., Marti, A. A., Hayashi, T., Zhu, J. J. and Ajayan, P. M. (2012). ‘Graphene Quantum Dots Derived from Carbon Fibers’, *Nano Letters* 12: 844-849.
- Pereira, V. R., Isloor, A. M., Bhat, U. K., Ismail, A. F., Obaid, A. and Fun, H. -K. (2015). ‘Preparation and Performance Studies of Polysulfone-Sulfonated Nano-Titania (S-TiO<sub>2</sub>) Nanofiltration Membranes for Dye Removal’, *RSC Advances* 5: 53874-53885.
- Peteanu, I., Ebrasu, D., Sisu, C. and Varlam, M. (2012). ‘Thermal Analysis of Sulfonated Polymers Tested as Polymer Electrolyte Membrane for PEM Fuel Cells’, *J. Therm Anal Calorim.* 110: 335-339.
- Pinar, F. J., Canizers, P., Rodrigo, M. A., Ubeda, D. and Lobato, J. (2012). ‘Titanium Composite PBI-Based Membranes for High Temperature Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cells.’, *RSC Advances* 2: 1547-1556.
- Plackett, D., Siu, A., Li, Q., Pan, C., Jensen, J. O., Nielsen, S. F., Permyakova, A. A. and Bjerrum, N. J. (2011). ‘High-Temperature Proton Exchange Membranes Based On Polybenzimidazole and Clay Composites for Fuel Cells’, *Journal of Membrane Science* 383(1-2): 78-87.
- Qin, C., Wang, J., Yang, D., Li, B. and Zhang, C. (2016). ‘Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell Reversal: A Review’, *Catalysts* 6: 1-21.
- Rahsepar, M., Pakshir, M., Nikolaev, P., Piao, Y. and Kim, H. (2014). ‘A Combined Physicochemical and Electrocatalytic Study of Microwave Synthesized Tungsten Mono-Carbide Nanoparticles on Multiwalled Carbon Nanotubes as A Co-Catalyst for A Proton-Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell’, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 39(28): 15706-15717.
- Ramani, V., Kunz, H. R. and Fenton, J. M. (2005). ‘Effect of Particle Size Reduction on The Conductivity of Nafion®/Phototungstic Acid Composite Membranes’, *Journal of Membrane Science* 266(1-2): 110-114.
- Rambabu, G., Bhat, S. D. and Figueiredo, F. M. L. (2019). ‘Carbon Nanocomposite Membrane Electrolytes for Direct Methanol Fuel Cells-A Concise Review’, *Nanomaterials* 9(9): 1-30.

- Ramly, N. N., ini, N. A., Sahli, N., Aminuddin, S. F., Yahya, M. Z. A. and Ali, A. M. M. (2017). ‘Dielectric Behavior of UV-Crosslinked Sulfonated Poly (Ether Ether Ketone) with Methyl Cellulose (SPEEK-MC) as Proton Exchange Membrane’, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 42(14): 9284-9292.
- Rangel-Cardenas, A. L. and Koper, G. J. M. (2017). ‘Transport in Proton Exchange Membranes for Fuel Cell Applications—A Systematic Non-Equilibrium Approach’, *Materials (Basel)* 10(6): 1-18.
- Remis, T. and Kadlec, J. (2018). ‘Influence of Silicon Oxide ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ) and Sulfosuccinic Acid (SSA) Loading on Properties of Poly (Vinyl Alcohol) (PVA) Derived Composite Membranes’, *Journal of Physic: Conference Series* 1045: 012035.
- Rao, Z., Feng, K., Tang, B. and Wu, P. (2017). ‘Surface Decoration of Amino-Functionalized Metal-Organic Framework/Graphene Oxide Composite onto Polydopamine-Coated Membrane Substrate for Highly Efficient Heavy Metal Removal’, *ACS Applied Materials and Interfaces* 9(3): 2594-2605.
- Rhee, C. H., Kim, H. K., Chang, H. and Lee, J. S. (2005). ‘Nafion/Sulfonated Montmorillonite Composite: A New Concept Electrolyte Membrane for Direct Methanol Fuel Cells’, *Chem. Mater.* 17: 1691-1697.
- Rhim, J. W., Park, B., Lee, C. S., Jun, J. H., Kim, D. S. and Lee, Y. M. (2014). ‘Crosslinked Poly (Vinyl Alcohol) Membranes Containing Sulfonic Acid Group: Proton and Methanol Transport Through Membranes’, *Journal of Membrane Science* 238: 143-151.
- Rikukawa, M. and Sanui, K. (2000). ‘Proton-Conducting Polymer Electrolyte Membranes Based Hydrocarbon Polymers’, *Prog.in Poly. Sci.* 25: 1463-1502.
- Rosli, R. E., Sulong, A. B., Daud, W. R. W., Zulkifley, M. A., Husaini, T., Rosli, M. I., Majlan, E. H. and Haque, M. A. (2017). ‘A Review of High-Temperature Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell (HT-PEMFC) System’, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 42: 9293-9314.
- Roy, A., Dadhich, P., Dhara, S. and De, S. (2015). ‘In Vitro Cytocompatibility and Blood Compatibility of Polysulfone Blend, Surface-Modified Polysulfone and Polyacrylonitrile Membranes for Hemodialysis’, *RSC Advances* 5: 7023-7034.
- Rudra, R., Kumar, V. and Kundu, P. P. (2015). ‘Acid Catalyzed Cross-Linking of Poly Vinyl Alcohol (PVA) by Glutaraldehyde: Effect of Crosslink Density on The Characteristics of PVA Membranes Used in Single Chambered Microbial Fuel Cells’, *RSC Advances* 5(101): 83436-83447.

- Sacca, A., Carbone, A., Gatto, I., Pedicini, R., Freni, A., Patti, A. and Passalacqua, E. (2016). ‘Composites Nafion-Titania Membranes for Polymer Electrolyte Fuel Cell (PEFC) Applications at Low Relative Humidity Levels: Chemical Physical Properties and Electrochemical Performance’, *Poly. Test.* 56: 10-18.
- Sadrabadi, M. M. H., Ghaffarian, S. R. and Renaud, P. (2013). ‘Nafion/benzotriazole Functionalized Montmorillonite Nanocomposites: Novel High-Performance Proton Exchange Membranes’, *RSC Advances* 42(3): 19357-19365.
- Sahu, I. P., Krishna, G., Biswas, M. and Das, M. K. (2014). ‘Performance Study of PEMFC under Different Loading Conditions’, *Ener. Proced.* 54: 468-478.
- Sahu, A. K., Ketpang, K., Shanmugam, S., Kwon, O., Lee S. and Kim, H. (2016). ‘Sulfonated Graphene-Nafion Composite Membranes for Polymer Electrolyte Fuel Cells Operating Under Reduced Relative Humidity’, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* 120(29): 15855-15866.
- Salarizadeh, P., Javanbakht, M. and Pourmahdian, S. (2017) ‘Enhancing The Performance of Speek Polymer Electrolyte Membranes Using Functionalized TiO<sub>2</sub> Nanoparticles with Proton Hopping Sites’, *RSC Advances* 7: 8303-8313.
- Salleh, M. T., Jaafar, J., Mohamaed, M. A., Norddin, M. N. A. M., Ismail, A. F., Othman, M. H. D., A Rahman, M., Yusof, N., Aziz, F., and Salleh, W. N. W. (2017). ‘Stability of SPEEK/Cloisite/TAP Nanocomposite Membrane Under Fenton Reagent Condition for Direct Methanol Fuel Cell Application’, *Polymer Degradation and Stability* 137: 83-99.
- Sammes, N., Bove, R. and Stahl, K. (2004). ‘Phosphoric Acid Fuel Cells: Fundamentals and Applications’, *Curr. Op. in Solid State and Material Science* 8: 372-378.
- Sani, N. A. A., Lau, W. J. and Ismail, A. F. (2015). ‘Polyphenylsulfone-Based Solvent Resistant Nanofiltration (SRNF) Membrane Incorporated with Copper-1,3,5-Benzenetricarboxylate (Cu-BTC) Nanoparticles for Methanol Separation’, *RSC Advances* 5(17): 13000-13010.
- Saranya, R., Kumar, M., Tamilarasan, R., Ismail, A. F. and Arthanareeswaran, G. (2015). ‘Functionalised Activated Carbon Modified Polyphenylsulfone Composite Membranes for Adsorption Enhanced Phenol Filtration’, *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology* 91(2): 748-761.

- Saranya, R., Arthanareeswaran, G., Ismail, A. F., Reddy, N. L., Shankar, M. V. and Kweon, J. (2017). ‘Efficient Rejection of Organic Compounds Using Functionalized ZSM-5 Incorporated PPSU Mixed Matrix Membrane’, *RSC Advances* 7: 15536-15552.
- Schechter, A. and Savinell, R. F. (2002). ‘Imidazole and 1-methyl Imidazole in Phosphoric Acid Doped Polybenzimidazole, Elelctrolyte for Fuel Cells’, *Solid State Ionics* 147: 181-187.
- Scherer, G. G. (2012). ‘Fule Cell Types and Their Electrochemistry’, *Encyclopedia of Sustainability Sci. and Tech.* DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-0851-3>.
- Schuster, M., Araujo, C. C. D., Atanasov, V., Andersen, H. T., Kreuer, K. D. and Maler, D. (2009). ‘Highly Sulfonated Poly (Phenylene Sulfone): Preparation and Stability Issues’, *Macromolecules* 42: 3129-3137.
- Scipioni, R., Gazzoli, D., Teocoli, F., Palumbo, O., Paolone, A., Ibris, N., Brutti, S. and Navarra, M. A. (2014). ‘Preparation and Characterization of Nanocomposite Polymer Membranes Containing Functionalized SnO<sub>2</sub> Additives’, *Membranes* 4(1): 123-142.
- Sealy, C. (2008). ‘The Problem with Platinum’, *Materials Today* 11(12): 65-68.
- Sengupta, S. and Lyulin, A. V. (2018). ‘Molecular Dynamics Simulations of Substrate Hydrophilicity and Confinement Effects in Capped Nafion Films’, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* 122: 6107-6119.
- Seo, S. J., Woo, J. J., Yun, S. H., Lee, H. J., Park, J. S., Xu, T., Yang, T. H., Lee, J. and Moon, S. H. (2010). ‘Analyses of Interfacial Resistances in A Membrane-Electrodeassembly for PEMFC Using Symmetrical Impedance Spectroscopy’, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phy.* 46: 15291-15300.
- Seselj, N., Engelbrekt, C. and Zhang, J. (2015). ‘Graphene-Supported Platinum Catalysts for Fuel Cells’, *Science Bulletin* 60(9): 864-876.
- Sethuraman, V. A., Weber, A. Z. and Weidner, J. W. (2009). ‘Fuel Cells-Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells|Cells’, *Encyclopedia of Electrochemical Power Sources* 817-827.
- Sharaf, O. Z. and Orhan, M. F. (2014). ‘An Overview of Fuel Cell Technology: Fundamentals and Applications’, *Ren. and Sust. Energy Reviews* 32: 810.-853.
- Shen, J., Lin, X., Liu, J. and Li, X. (2018). ‘Effects of Cross-Link Density and Distribution on Static and Dynamic Properties of Chemically Cross-Linked Polymers’, *Macromolecules* 52: 121-134.

- Shen, Y., Liu, T., Shi, Y., Zhuang, F., Lu, J., Zhu, Q. and Ding, F. (2019). ‘Bisphenol A Analogs in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease and Dialysis Therapy’, *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 185: 109684 (1-7).
- Shi, S., Chen, G., Wang, Z. and Chen, X. (2013) ‘Mechanical Properties of Nafion 212 PEM Subjected to Hygrothermal Aging’, *J. of Power Sources* 238: 318-323.
- Shi, M., Liu, L., Tong, Y., Huang, L., Li, W. and Xing, W. (2019). ‘Advanced Porous Polyphenylsulfone Membrane with Ultrahigh Chemical Stability and Selectivity for Vanadium Flow Batteries’, *J. of App. Poly. Science* 47752:1-9.
- Shin, D. W., Kang, N. R., Lee, K. H., Cho, D. H., Kim, J. H., Lee, W. H. and Lee, Y. M. (2014). ‘Proton Conducting, Composite Sulfonated Polymer Membrane for Medium Temperature and Low Relative Humidity Fuel Cells’, *Journal of Power Sources* 262: 162-168.
- Shukla, A. K., Alam, J., Alhoshan, M., Dass, L. A. and Muthumareeswaran, M. R. (2017). ‘Development of a Nanocomposite Ultrafiltration Membrane Based on Polyphenylsulfone Blended with Graphene Oxide’, *Sci. Rep.* 7: 41976 (1-12).
- Shukla, A., Alam, J., Alhoshan, M., Dass, L. A., Ali, F. A. A., Muthumareeswaran, M. R., Mishra, U. and Ansari, M. A. (2018). ‘Removal of Heavy Metal Ions Using Carboxylated Graphene Oxide-Incorporated Polyphenylsulfone Nanofiltration Membrane’, *Envir. Sci. Water Res. & Tech.* 4: 438-448.
- Singh, B., Duong, N. M. H., Henskensmeier, D., Jang, J. H., Kim, H. J., Han, J. and Nam, S. W. (2017). ‘Influence of Different Side-Groups and Cross-Links on Phosphoric Acid Doped Radel-Based Polysulfone Membranes for High Temperature Polymer Electrolyte Fuel Cells’, *Electro. Acta* 224:306-313.
- Singha, S., Jana T., Modesta, J. A., Kumar, A. N. and Mohan, S. V. (2016). ‘Highly Efficient Sulfonated Polybenzimidazole as Proton Exchange Membrane for Microbial Fuel Cells’, *Journal of Power Sources* 317: 143-152.
- Smitha, B., Sridhar, S. and Khan, A. A. (2005). ‘Solid Polymer Electrolyte Membranes for Fuel Cell Applications-A Review’, *J. of Membrane Science* 259: 10-26.
- Sommer, E. M., Martins, L. S., Vargans, J. V. C., Gardolinski, J. E. F. C., Ordonez, J. C. and Marino, C. E. B. (2012). ‘Alkaline Membrane Fuel Cell (AEMFC) Modelling and Experimental Validation’, *J. of Power Sources* 213: 16-30.
- Staffel, I., Scamman, D., Abad, A. V., Balcombe, P., Dodds, P. E., Ekins, P., Shah, N. and Ward, K. R. (2019). ‘The Role of Hydrogen and Fuel Cells in The Global Energy System’, *Energy & Environmental Science* 2(12): 463-491.

- Stephen, A. J., Rees, N. V., Mikheenko, I. and Macaskie, L. E. (2019). ‘Platinum and Palladium Bio-Synthesized Nanoparticles as Sustainable Fuel Cell Catalysts’, *Frontier Energy Research* 7:66.
- Subianto, S., Mistry, M. K., Choudhury, N. T., Dutta, N. K. and Knott, R. (2009). ‘Composite Polymer Electrolyte Containing Ionic Liquid and Functionalized Polyhedral Oligomeric Silsesquioxanes for Anhydrous PEM Applications’, *Applied Materials & Interfaces* 1(6): 1173-1182.
- Suganthi, S, Mohanapriya, S. and Raj, V. (2016). ‘Biocomposite Proton-Exchange Membrane Electrolytes for Direct Methanol Fuel Cells’, *Journal of Applied Polymer Science* 13(25): 43514 (1-10).
- Sui, S., Wang, X., Zhou, X., Su, Y., Riffat, S. and Liu, C. J. (2017). ‘A Comprehensive Review of Pt Electrocatalysts for The Oxygen Reduction Reaction: Nanostructure, Activity, Mechanism and Carbon Support in PEM Fuel Cells’, *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* 5: 1808-1825.
- Sun, H., Yu, M., Li, Z. and Almheiri, S. (2015). ‘A Molecular Dynamic Simulation of Hydrated Proton Transfer in Perfluorosulfonate Ionomer Membranes (Nafion 117)’, *Journal of Chemistry Article ID*: 169680.
- Sun, C., Zlotorowicz, A., Nawn, G., Negro, E., Bertasi, F., Pagot, G., Vezzu, K., Pace, G., Guarnieri, M. and Di Noto, V. (2018). ‘[Nafion/(WO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>x</sub>] Hybrid Membrane for Vanadium Redox Flow Batteries’, *Solid State Ionics* 319 110-116.
- Sun, X., Simonsen, S. C., Norby, T. and Chatzitakis, A. (2019). ‘Composite Membranes for High Temperature PEM Fuel Cells and Electrolysers: A Critical Review’, *Membranes* 9(7): 83 (1-46).
- Sun, F., Qin, L. L., Zhou, J., Wang, Y. K., Rong, J. Q., Chen, Y. J., Ayaz, S., Hai-Yin, Y. U. and Liu, L. (2020). ‘Friedel-Crafts Self-Crosslinking of Sulfonated Poly(Etheretherketone) Composite Proton Exchange Membrane Doped with Phosphotungstic Acid and Carbon-Based Nanomaterials for Fuel Cell Applications’, *Journal of Membrane Science* 611(118381): 1-9.
- Syampurwadi, A., Onggo, H., Indriyati, and Yudianti, R. (2017). Performance of PEM Fuel Cells Stack as Affected by Number of Cell and Gas Flow Rate. *IOP Conf. Ser: Earth. Environ. Sci.* 60: 012029 (1-7).
- Tang, H. L., Pan, M. and Wang, F. (2008). ‘A Mechanical Durability Comparison of Various Perfluorocarbon Proton Exchange Membranes’, *Journal of Applied Polymer Science* 109(4): 2671-2678.

- Tashvigh, A. A., Luo, L., Chung, T. S., Weber, M. and Maletzko, C. (2018). ‘A Novel Ionically Cross-Linked Sulfonated Polyphneylsulfone (sPPSU) Membrane for Organic Solvent Nanofiltration (OSN)’, *J. of Membrane Science* 545: 221-228.
- Thompson, T., N. and Arnett, Y. (2019). ‘Effect of Phosphonated Triazine Monomer Additiv in Disulfonated Poly (Arylene Ether Sulfone) Composite Membranes for Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells’, *Polymer* 171: 34-44.
- Titvinidze, G., Kreuer, K. D., Schuster, M., de Araujo, C. C., Melchior, J. P. and Meyer, W. H. (2012). ‘Proton Conducting Phase Separated Multiblock Copolymers with Sulfonated Poly (Phenylene Sulfone) Blocks for Electrochemical Applications: Preparation, Morphology, Hydration Behaviour, and Transport’, *Adv. Functional Materials* 22(21): 4456-4470.
- Tolle, P., Kohler, C., Marschall, R., Sharifi, M., Wark, M. and Frauenheim, T. (2012). ‘Poton Transport in Functionalised Additives for PEMFC: Contributions from Atomistic Simulations’, *Chemical Society Reviews* 15(41): 5143-5159.
- Travlou, N. A., Giannakoudakis, D. A., Algarra, M., Labella, A. M., Rodriguez-Castellon, E. and Bandosz, T. J. (2018). ‘S- and N-Doped Carbon Quantum Dots: Surface Chemistry Dependant Antibacterial Activity’, *Carbon* 135: 104-111.
- Urena, N., Perez-Prior, M. T., del Rio, C., Varez, A., Sanchez, J. Y., Iojoiu, C. and Levenfield, B. (2019). ‘Multiblock Copolymers of Sulfonated PSU/PPSU Polyether Sulfone) s as Solid Electrolytes for Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells’, *Electrochimica Acta* 302: 428-220.
- U.S Department of Energy, Fuel Cell System Cost-2015, Available from: [https://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/pdfs/15015\\_fuel\\_cell\\_system\\_cost\\_2015.pdf](https://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/pdfs/15015_fuel_cell_system_cost_2015.pdf). 2015.
- U.S Department of Energy, Comparisons of Fuel Cell Technologies, Available from:[https://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2016/06/f32/fcto\\_fuel\\_cells\\_comparison\\_chart\\_apr2016.pdf](https://energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2016/06/f32/fcto_fuel_cells_comparison_chart_apr2016.pdf). 2016.
- Vani, R., Ramaprabhu, S. and Haridoss, P. (2020). ‘Mechanically Stable and Economically Viable Polyvinyl Alcohol-Based Membranes with Sulfonated Carbon Nanotubes for PEMFC’, *Sustainable Energy & Fuels* 3(4): 1372-1382.
- Vinothkannan, M., Kim, A. R., Kumar, G. G. and Yoo, D. J. (2018). ‘Sulfonated Graphene Oxide/Nafion Composite Membranes for High Temperature and Low Humidity PEMFC’, *RSC Advances* 8(14): 7494-7508.

- Vuppala, R. K. S. S., Chedir, B. A., Jiang, L., Chen, L., Aziz, M. and Sasmito, A. P. (2019). ‘Optimization of Membrane Electrode Assembly of PEM Fuel Cell by Response Surface Method’, *Molecules* 24: 1-25.
- Wang, K., McDermid, S., Li, J., Kremlakova, N., Kozak, P., Song, C., Tang, Y., Zhang, J. and Zhang, J. (2008). ‘Preparation and Performance of Nano Silica/Nafion Composite Membrane for Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells’, *Journal of Power Sources* 184(1): 99-103.
- Wang, Z., Tang, H., Li, J., Jin, A., Wang, Z., Zhang, H. and Pan, M. (2013a). ‘Balancing Dimensional Stability and Performance of PEM Using Hydrophilic Nanofibers as the Supports’ *Int. J. of Hydrogen Energy* 38(11): 4725-4733.
- Wang, L., Advani, S. G. and Prasad, A. K. (2013b). ‘Membrane Electrode Assembly with Enhanced Membrane/Electrode Interface for PEMFCs’, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry* 117(2): 945-948.
- Wang, Y. and Hu, A. (2014). ‘Carbon Quantum Dots: Synthesis, Properties and Applications’, *Journal of Materials Chemistry C* 2: 6921-6939.
- Wang, J., Bai, H., Zhang, H., Zhao, L., Chen, H. and Li, Y. (2015). ‘Anhydrous Proton Exchange Membrane of Sulfonated Poly (Ether Ether Ketone) Enabled by Polydopamine-Modified Silica Nanoparticles’, *Electro. Acta* 152: 443-355.
- Wang, X., Naderikalali, E. and Wang, D. –Y. (2016). ‘Two-Dimensional Inorganic Nanomaterials: A Solution to Flame Retardant Polymers’, *Nano Advances* 1(1): 1-16.
- Wang, W., Wang, B., Embrechts, H., Damm, C., Cadrel, A., Strauss, V., Distaso, M., Hinterberger, V., Guldi, D. M. and Peukert, W. (2017a). ‘Shedding Light On the Effective Fluorophore Structure of High Fluorescence Quantum Yield Carbon Nanodots’, *RSC Advances* 40(7): 24771-24780.
- Wang, Y. Q., Xue, Y. N., Li, S. R., Zhang, X. H., Fei, H. X., Wu, X. G., Sang, S. B., Li, X. N., Wei, M. and Chen, W. Y. (2017b). ‘Nanocomposite Carbon Dots/PAM Fluorescent Hydrogels and Their Mechanical Properties’, *J. Polymer Research* 24: 1-7.
- Wang, M., Liu, G., Cui, X., Feng, Y., Zhang, H., Wang, G., Zhong, S. and Luo, Y. (2018). ‘Self-Crosslinked Organic-Inorganic Nanocomposite Membranes with Good Methanol Barrier for DMFC Applications’, *Sol. State Ionics* 315: 71-76.

- Wang, R., Liu, S., Wang, L., Li, M. and Gao, C. (2019). ‘Understanding of Nanophase Separation and Hydrophilic Morphology in Nafion and SPEEK Membranes: A Combined Experimental and Theoretical Studies’, *Nanomaterials* 9: 1-13.
- Wang, J., Gong, C., Wen, S., Liu, H., Qin, C., Xiong, C. and Dong, L. (2019b). ‘A Facile Approach of Fabricating Proton Exchange Membranes by Incorporating Polydopamine-Functionalized Carbon Nanotubes into Chitosan’, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 44(13): 6909-6918.
- Watanabe, T. (2012). ‘Molten Carbonate Fuel Cells’, *Handbook of Climate Change Mitigation*, Springer, New York, NY.
- Won, M., Kwon, S. and Kim, T. H. (2015). ‘High Performance Blend Membranes Based On Sulfonated Poly (Arylene Ether Sulfone) and Poly(p-Benzimidazole) for PEMFC Applications’, *J. of Ind. and Eng. Chemistry* 29: 104-111.
- Wong, C. Y., Wong, W. Y., Loh, K. S., Daud, W. R. W., Lim, K. L., Khalid, M. and Walvekar, R. (2019). ‘Development of Poly (Vinyl Alcohol)-Based Polymers as Proton Exchange Membranes and Challenges in Fuel Cell Application: A Review’, *Polymer Reviews*: 1-13.
- Wu, G. M., Lin, S. J. and Yang, C. C. (2006). ‘Preparation and Characterization of PVA/PAA Membranes for Solid Polymer Electrolytes’, *J. of Membrane Science* 275(1-2): 127-133.
- Wu, D., Wu, L., Woo, J J., Yun, S. H., Seo, S. J., Xu, T. and Moon, S. H. (2010). ‘A Simple Heat Treatment to Prepare Covalently Crosslinked Membranes from Sulfonated Poly (2,6-Dimethyl-1,4-Phenylene Oxide) for Application in Fuel Cells’, *Journal of Membrane Science* 348(1-2): 167-173.
- Wu, Y., Li, L., Feng, S. and Liu, H. (2013) ‘Hybrid Nanocomposite Based On Novolac Resin and Octa(Phenyl) Polyhedral Oligomeric Silsesquioxanes (POSS): Miscibility, Specific Interactions, And Thermomechanical Properties’, *Polymer Bulletin* 70: 3261-3277.
- Wu, Z., Tang, Y., Sun, D., Zhang, S., Xu, Y., Wei, H. and Gong, C. (2017). ‘Multi-Sulfoanted Polyhedral Oligosilsesquioxane (POSS) Grafted Poly (Arylene Ether Sulfone)s for Proton Conductive Membranes’, *Polymer* 123: 21-29.
- Wu, G., Lin, S. J., Hsu, I. C., Su, J. Y. and Chen, D. W. (2019). ‘Study of High Performance Sulfonated Polyether Ether Ketone Composite Electrolyte Membranes’, *Polymers* 11(7): 1-13.

- Xiang, Z., Zha, X., Ge, J., Ma, S., Zhang, Y. and Na, H. (2016). ‘Effect of Sulfonation Degree On Performance of Proton Exchange Membranes for Direct Methanol Fuel Cells’, *Chem. Res. Chin. Univ.*, 32(2): 291-295.
- Xiao, L., Zhang, H., Jana, T., Scanlon, E., Chen, R., Choe, R. W., Ramanathan, L. S., Yu, S. and Benicewicz, B. C. (2004). ‘Synthesis and Characterization of Pyridine-Based Polybenzimidazoles for High Temperature Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cell Applications’, *Fuel Cells* 5: 287-295.
- Xiao, L., Chen, X., Xu, J., Chen, K. and Fang, J. (2019). ‘Synthesis and Properties of Novel Side-Chain Sulfonated Poly (Arylene Ether Sulfone)s for Proton Exchange Membranes’, *Journal of Polymer Science* 57(23): 2304-2313.
- Xing, P., Robertson, G. P., Guiver, M. D., Mikhailenko, S. D., Wang, K. and Kaliaguine, S. (2004). ‘Synthesis and Characterization of SPEEK For Proton Exchange Membranes’, *J.of Memb. Sci.* 229: 95-106.
- Xing, D. and Kerres, J. (2006). ‘Improved Performance of Sulfonated Polyarylene Ethers for Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells’, *Polymer for Advanced Technologies* 17: 591-597.
- Xu, C., Cao, Y., Kumar, R., Wu, X., Wang, X. and Scott, K. A. (2011). ‘Polybenzimidazole/Sulfonated Graphite Oxide Composite Membrane for High Temperature Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cells’, *Journal of Materials Chemistry* 21: 11359.
- Yah, W. O., Yamamoto, K., Jiravanichanun, N., Otsuka, H. and Takahara, A. (2010). ‘Imogolite Reinforced Nanocomposites: Multifaceted Green Materials’, *Materials* 3(3): 1709-1745.
- Yamamoto, K., Otsuka, H., Wada, S. I., Sohn, D. and Takahara, A. (2005). ‘Preparation and Properties of [Poly (Methyl Methacrylate)/Imogolite] Hybrid via Surface Modification using Phosphoric Acid Ester’, *Polymer* 46(26): 12386-12392.
- Yamamoto, K., Otsuka, H. and Takahara, A. (2007). ‘Preparation of Novel Polymer Hybrids from Imogolite Nanofiber’, *Polymer Journal* 39(1): 1-15.
- Yameen, B., Kaltbeitzel, A., Glasser, G., Langner, A., Muller, F., Gosele, U., Knoll, W. and Azzaroni, O. (2010). ‘Hybrid Polymer-Silicon Proton Conducting Membranes via A Pore-Filling Surface-Initiated Polymerization Approach’, *ACS Applied Materials Interfaces* 2(1): 279-287.

- Yang, F., Xu, G., Dou, Y., Wang, B., Zhang, H., Wu, H., Zhou, W., Li, J. R. and Chen, B. (2017). ‘A Flexible Metal-Organic Framework with a High Density of Sulfonic Acid Sites for Proton Conduction’, *Nature Energy* 2: 877-883.
- Yang, J., Jiang, H., Gao, L., Wang, J., Xu, Y. and He, R. (2018). ‘Fabrication of Crosslinked Polybenzimidazole Membranes by Trifunctional Crosslinkers for High Temperature PEMFC’, *Int. J. of Hydrogen Energy* 43(6): 3299-3307.
- Ye, Y. S., Rick, J. and Hwang, B. –J. (2012). ‘Water Soluble Polymers as Proton Exchange Membranes for Fuel Cells’, *Polymers* 4: 913-963.
- Yee, R. S. L., Zhang, K. and Ladewig, B. P. (2013). ‘The Effects of SPEEK Ion Exchange Preparation Conditions on Membrane Properties’, *Membranes* 3: 189-195.
- Yen, Y. C., Ye, Y. S., Cheng, C. C., Lu, C. H., Tsai, L. D., Huang, J. M. and Chang, F. C. (2010). ‘The Effect of Sulfonic Acid Groups within a Polyhedral Oligomeric Silsesquioxane Containing Cross-Linked Proton Exchange Membrane’, *Polymer* 51: 84-91.
- Yin, C., Li, J., Zhou, Y., Zhang, H., Fang, P. and He, C. (2018). “Enhancement in Proton Conductivity and Thermal Stability in Nafion Membranes Induced by Incorporation of Sulfonated Carbon Nanotubes”, *ACS App. Mat. and Int.* 10(16): 14026-14035.
- Yu, S. and Jung, D. (2008). ‘Thermal Management Startegy for a PEMFC System with a Large Active Cell Area’, *Renewable Energy* 33(12): 2540-2548.
- Zarshenas, K., Raisi, A. and Aroujalian, A. (2016). ‘Mixed Matrix Membrane of Nano-Zeolite NaX/Poly (Ether-Block-Amide) for Gas Separation Applications’, *Journal of Membrane Science* 51: 270-283.
- Zhai, S., Dai, W., Lin, J., He, S., Zhang, B. and Chen, L. (2019). ‘Enhanced Proton Conductivity in Sulfonated Poly (Ether Ether Ketone) Membranes by Incorporating Sodium Dodecyl Benzene Sulfonate’, *Polymers* 11(203): 1-11.
- Zhang, H., Chen, Y., Liang, M., Xu, L., Qi, S., Chen, H. and Chen, X. (2014a). ‘Solid-Phase Synthesis of Highly Fluorescent Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Dots for Sensitive and Selective Probing Ferric Ions in Living Cells’, *Analytical Chemistry* 86: 9846-9852.

- Zhang, Y., Yue, B., Shuaiyuan, H. and Yan, L. (2014b). ‘Synergetic Proton Conducting Effect in Acid–Base Composite of Phosphonic Acid Functionalized Polystyrene and Triazolyl Functionalized Polystyrene’, *RSC Advances* 4(64): 33702-33712.
- Zhang, J., Chen, F., Ma, X., Guan, X., Chen, D. and Hickner, M. A. (2015). ‘Sulfonated Polymers Containing Polyhedral Oligomeric Silsesquioxane (POSS) Core for High Performance Proton Exchange Membranes’, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy* 40(22): 7135-7143.
- Zhang, Y., Kim, J. D. and Miyatake, K. (2016). ‘Effect of Thermal Crosslinking on The Properties of Sulfonated Poly (Phenylene Sulfone)s As Proton Conductive Membranes’, *Journal of Applied Polymer Science* 133: 44218-44225.
- Zhang, P., Li, W., Wang, L., Gong, C., Ding, J., Huang, C., Zhang, X., Zhang, S., Wang, L. and Bu, W. (2020a). ‘Polydopamine-Modified Sulfonated Polyhedral Oligomeric Silsesquioxan: An Appealing Nanofiller to Address the Trade-Off Between Conductivity and Stabilities for Proton Exchange Membrane’, *Journal of Membrane Science* 596: 117734 (1-10).
- Zhang, X., Xia, Y., Gong, X., Geng, P., Gao, Z. and Wang, Y. (2020b). ‘Preparation of Sulfonated Polysulfone/Sulfonated Titanium Dioxide Hybrid Membranes for MFC Applications’, *Journal of Applied Polymer Science* 137: 48939(1-12).
- Zhao, H. and Burke, A. F. (2009). ‘Optimization of Fuel Cell System Operating Conditions for Fuel Cell Vehicles’, *Journal of Power Sources* 186(2): 408-416.
- Zhao, D. L. and Chung, T. S. (2018). ‘Applications of Carbon Quantum Dots (CQDs) in Membrane Technologies: A Review’, *Water Research* 147: 43-49.
- Zhbanov, A. I., Pogorelov, E. G. and Chang, Y. C. (2010). ‘Van der Waals Interaction between Two Crossed Carbon Nanotubes’, *ACS Nano* 4(10): 5937-5945.
- Zheng, Y., Ash, U., Pandey, R. P., Ozioko, A. G., Ponce-Gonzalez, J., Handl, M., Weissbach, T., Varcoe, J. R., Holdcraft, S., Liberatore, M. W., Hiesgen, R. and Dekel, D. R. (2018) ‘Water Uptake Study of Anion Exchange Membranes’, *Macromolecules* 51: 3264-3278.
- Zheng, P., Liu, Q., Li, Z., Wang, D. and Liu, X. (2019). ‘Effect of Crosslinking Degree on Sulfonated Poly (Aryl Ether Nitrile) as Candidates for PEM’, *Polymers* 11: 1-15.
- Zhou, H., Ye, Q. and Xu, J. (2017). ‘Polyhedral Oligomeric Silsesquioxane-Based Hybrid Materials and Their Applications’, *Mat. Chem. Frontiers* 1: 212-230.

- Zhu, J., Tian, M., Hou, J., Wang, J., Lin, J., Zhang, Y., Liu, J. and der Bruggen, B. V. (2016). ‘Surface Zwitterionic Functionalized Graphene Oxide for A Novel Loose Nanofiltration Membrane’, *J. of Mat. Chemistry A* 4(5): 1980-1990.
- Zhu, X., Huang, J., Jin, C., Zhang, S., Li, S. and Jiang, B. (2018). ‘Highly Proton Conductive Sulfonated Poly (Phthalazinone Ether Ketone)/Sulfonated Organosilane Graphene Oxide Composite Membranes for PEMFC’, *Polymer Bulletin* 75: 3739-3751.
- Zielke, L., Vierrath, S., Moroni, R., Mondon, A., Zengerle, R. and Thiele, S. (2016). ‘Three-Dimesional Morphology of the Interface Between Micro Porous Layer and Catalyst Layer in A PEMFC’, *RSC Advances* 84: 80700-80705.
- Zinadini, S., Rostami, S., Vatanpour, V. and Jalilian, E. (2017). ‘Preparation of Antibiofouling Polyethersulfone Mixed Matrix NF Membrane Using Photocatalytic Activity of ZnO/MWCNTs Nanocomposite’, *Journal of Membrane Science* 529:133-141.
- Zuo, Z., Fu, Y. and Manthiram, A. (2012). ‘Novel Blend Membranes Based on Acid-Base Interactions for Fuel Cells’, *Polymers* 4(4): 1627-1644.