

BIOMECHANICAL EVALUATION OF INSOLE SUBJECTED TO SINGLE-LEG
LANDING

AMIR MUSTAKIM BIN AB RASHID

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Philosophy

School of Biomedical Engineering & Health Sciences
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

NOVEMBER 2021

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my mother (SITI RUHANI BINTI MAT DERIS), my father (AB RASHID BIN OMAR), my wife (NUR FATIN KAMILA BINTI ZANALABIDIN), my siblings, my small family of Forecast 19 in UTM who endlessly supporting me in ups and downs. May Allah grant the best for your journey and bless your life.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My greatest gratitude goes out to my supervisor, Ts. Dr. Muhammad Hanif Bin Ramlee, my co-supervisors, Prof. Dr. Kahar Bin Osman and En Norazmein Bin Abdul Raman for the precious and priceless advice, guidance and support that has been given to me throughout the progress of this project. Without his patience and commitment, this thesis would not be the same as presented here.

I would like to express the deepest appreciation to my dearest wife, Nur Fatin Kamila who always support me and help me in completing this thesis. Also, I want to take this opportunity to thank my family and friends for the support and advice that has been given to me.

In addition, I would like to appreciate my family who always support me and encourage me to do the thesis. I am able to pursue this degree wholeheartedly thanks to their sacrifice and understanding.

Finally, my deepest gratitude and appreciation for all those who are involved directly or indirectly in helping me to complete this thesis.

ABSTRACT

Badminton games are based on footwork techniques. Among badminton players, 92% of leg injuries have been recorded. In preventing the injuries, insoles are widely used in sports where insole's wall height, heel cup, arch-support height, thickness, and material properties will influence the stress, displacement, and rotation angle value of foot. Furthermore, the insoles are used to treat misalignment of foot and diabetes ulcers. However, there are still lacking in the analysis on insole during single-leg landing. This study was conducted with the main aims to establish a static analysis on three different insoles of badminton athletes during single-leg landing and to modify the material of pre-fabricated insoles for better performance in terms of shock absorption during single-leg landing. Three-dimensional (3D) finite element models of ankle-foot complex consisted of skin, talus, calcaneus, navicular, three cuneiform, cuboid, five metatarsals, and five phalanges were segmented from computed tomography (CT) data. The midsole and outsole were designed using 3-Matic software and three pre-fabricated insoles; insole 1 (Yonex Active Pro Truactive), insole 2 (Li-Ning L6200LA) and insole 3 (Victor VT-XD 8) were 3D scanned. In completing the ankle joint, a total of 21 ligaments were modelled. The single-leg landing was simulated with 2.95° of ankle plantar-flexion. On the superior surface of the skin, the load of 2.57 times bodyweight was applied, and the inferior surface of the outsole was fixed. The results showed the insole 3 is the most optimum in portraying the lowest peak stress on the metatarsals (3.807 MPa). Besides, the insole 3 recorded the least displacement value (10.81 mm) and acceptable bone rotation angle (3.29°). The insole 3 with ethylene-vinyl acetate medium density (EVA MD) material perform better compared to polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and ethylene-vinyl acetate low density (EVA LD) the lowest metatarsals' peak stress (3.554 MPa), displacement (13.08 mm), and bones rotation angle (2.93°) were recorded. Further design of the custom insole based on insole 3 and EVA MD material produced the lowest peak metatarsal stress (3.210 MPa) and displacement (8.99 mm), and bones rotation angle of 1.80° . This study contributes to the better understanding on biomechanics during single-leg landing hence lead to better insole development.

ABSTRAK

Permainan badminton adalah berdasarkan teknik gerak kaki. Dalam kalangan pemain badminton, 92% kecederaan kaki telah direkodkan. Bagi mencegah kecederaan, lapik dalam (LD) digunakan secara meluas dalam bidang sukan di mana ketinggian dinding LD, lengkungan tumit, ketinggian sokongan lengkung, ketebalan dan sifat bahan akan mempengaruhi tekanan, anjakan dan nilai sudut putaran kaki. Tambahan pula, LD digunakan untuk merawat salah jajaran kaki dan ulser kencing manis. Namun begitu, masih terdapat kekurangan dalam analisis ke atas LD semasa pendaratan satu kaki (PSK). Kajian ini dilakukan dengan tujuan utama untuk mewujudkan analisis statik pada tiga LD berbeza atlet badminton semasa PSK dan mengubah suai bahan LD pasang siap bagi menghasilkan prestasi yang lebih baik dalam menyerap hentakan semasa PSK. Model elemen terhingga tiga dimensi (3D) bagi kompleks pergelangan kaki yang terdiri daripada kulit, talus, tumit, navikular, tiga kuneiform, kuboid, lima metatarsal dan lima jari telah disegmen daripada data Tomografi Komputeran (CT). Lapik tengah dan luar direka menggunakan perisian 3-Matic dan tiga LD pasang siap; LD 1 (Yonex Active Pro Truactive), LD 2 (Li-Ning L6200LA) dan LD 3 (Victor VT-XD 8) telah diimbis secara 3D. Dalam melengkapkan sendi buku lali, sejumlah 21 ligamen telah dimodelkan. PSK disimulasikan dengan kaki dibengkokkan secara fleksi-plantar sebanyak 2.95° . Pada permukaan atas kulit, beban sebanyak 2.57 kali berat badan telah dikenakan, dan permukaan bawah lapik luar telah ditahan. Keputusan menunjukkan LD 3 adalah yang paling optimum dalam menunjukkan tekanan puncak terendah pada metatarsal (3.807 MPa). Disamping itu, LD 3 menunjukkan nilai anjakan paling sedikit (10.81 mm) dan sudut putaran tulang yang boleh diterima (3.29°). LD 3 dengan bahan EVA MD mempunyai prestasi yang lebih baik berbanding PVC dan EVA LD di mana tekanan puncak metatarsal (3.554 MPa), anjakan (13.08 mm), dan sudut putaran (2.93°) telah direkodkan. Rekaan LD seterusnya berasaskan reka bentuk LD 3 dan bahan EVA MD menghasilkan tekanan metatarsal puncak (3.210 MPa), anjakan (8.99 mm) terendah, dan sudut putaran tulang (1.80°) terendah. Kajian ini menyumbang kepada pemahaman yang lebih baik dalam biomekanik semasa PSK justeru mengarah kepada rekaan LD yang lebih baik.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	i
	DEDICATION	ii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
	ABSTRACT	iv
	ABSTRAK	iv
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
	LIST OF TABLES	ix
	LIST OF FIGURES	x
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background of Study	1
1.2	Problem Statement	2
1.3	Objectives	4
1.4	Scope of the Study	4
1.5	Significance of the Study	4
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.0	Chapter Overview	7
2.1	Overview of Foot Structure	7
2.1.1	Anatomy of Foot	7
2.1.2	Foot Ligaments	8
2.1.3	Types of Foot Arch	10
2.2	Type of Insole	10
2.2.1	General-Purpose Insole	10
2.2.2	Sports Insole	12

	2.2.3	Therapeutic Insole	14
2.3		Sports-Related Injuries	16
	2.3.1	Ligament Injuries	17
	2.3.2	Metatarsal Injuries	18
2.4		Badminton	21
	2.4.1	Badminton footwear	27
2.5		Landing Mechanics	29
2.6		Finite Element Analysis	31
	2.6.1	Principal Stress	34
	2.6.2	Mises Yield Criterion	35
	2.6.3	Mesh Size	35
	2.6.4	Previous FE Analysis Study	37
2.7		Summary	41
CHAPTER 3		METHODOLOGY	43
3.0		Chapter Overview	43
3.1		Flowchart	43
3.2		Model Development	45
	3.2.1	Bone and Skin	45
	3.2.2	Insole Designs	47
	3.2.3	Meshing	52
	3.2.4	Convergence Studies	52
	3.2.4.1	Bone Convergence Studies	52
	3.2.4.2	Skin Convergence Studies	57
	3.2.4.3	Insole Convergence Studies	62
3.3		Assigning Material Properties	67
3.4		Verification of FE Models	69
3.5		FE Analysis of Three Different Design Insoles	71
3.6		Insole Modification	73
	3.6.1	Effect of Different Insole Materials	73
	3.6.2	Effect of Custom Insole	73
3.7		Chapter Summary	75

CHAPTER 4	RESULTS & DISCUSSION	77
4.0	Chapter Overview	77
4.1	Effect of three insoles design	77
4.1.1	Stress Distribution	77
4.1.2	Displacement and Rotation Angle	80
4.1.3	Discussion of The Stress Distribution, Displacement and Rotation Angle	83
4.2	Insole Modification	85
4.2.1	Effects of Different Insole Material	85
4.2.1.1	Stress Distribution	85
4.2.1.2	Displacement and Rotation Angle	87
4.2.1.3	Discussion of The Stress Distribution, Displacement and Rotation Angle	91
4.2.2	Effect of Custom Insole	93
4.2.2.1	Stress Distribution	93
4.2.2.2	Displacement and Rotation Angle	95
4.2.2.3	Discussion of The Stress Distribution, Displacement and Rotation Angle	96
4.3	Chapter Summary	98
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION	101
5.1	Conclusion	101
5.2	Research Contribution	101
5.3	Future Recommendation	102
	REFERENCES	105
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	119

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Insoles Specifications [76].	15
Table 3.1	Details of five models of the bones for convergence study.	54
Table 3.2	Percentage Difference of VMS with Different Mesh Sizes	55
Table 3.3	Details of five models of the skin for convergence study.	59
Table 3.4	Percentage Difference of VMS Values with Different Mesh Sizes	59
Table 3.5	Details of five models of the insole for convergence study.	64
Table 3.6	Percentage Difference of VMS with Different Mesh Sizes	64
Table 3.7	Stiffness of ligaments.	68
Table 3.8	Material properties of the insoles.	73
Table 4.1	Peak Stress Values at Metatarsals	92
Table 4.2	Percentage Difference of Peak Stress	93
Table 4.3	Percentage Difference of Peak Stress	97

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Foot Bones [51].	8
Figure 2.2	Lateral view of foot joints [55].	9
Figure 2.3	Medial view of foot joints [55].	9
Figure 2.4	Flat (a) and custom-moulded (b) insoles stress graph [65].	11
Figure 2.5	Components of footwear that was coupled with the foot. (Bottom) Coupled model of foot and footwear [67].	13
Figure 2.6	FE analysis Configuration with simplified metatarsals (green) [77].	16
Figure 2.7	(a) Grade I ankle sprain, (b) Grade II ankle sprain, (c) Grade III ankle sprain [83].	17
Figure 2.8	Dorsiflexion cast [85].	18
Figure 2.9	Shaft fracture of metatarsal [93].	19
Figure 2.10	Reduction method in treatment metatarsal fracture [93].	20
Figure 2.11	Pressure mapping during take-off [20]	22
Figure 2.12	Pressure during touch-down [20]	22
Figure 2.13	Detailed action diagram of manoeuvre conducted [3].	23
Figure 2.14	Contact area in cm ² unit of professional players(a) and amateur players(b) [3].	24
Figure 2.15	The sequence of single-leg landing after an overhead stroke: (A) a player takes an overhead stroke, (B and C) and lands with the body weight on the opposite side to racket-hand leg [108].	25
Figure 2.16	(a) Right-forward lunge, (b) Left-forward lunge, (c) Right-backward lunge and (d) Left-backward lunge. The open foot marks represent the foot placements of the right foot, whereas the solid foot marks represent the foot placements of the left foot. The numbers represent the step sequences [10]	26
Figure 2.17	Alteration of the stiffness of shoes [23].	27

Figure 2.18	Badminton shoe conditions. (a) The original Li Ning badminton shoe model (b) Rounded heel shoe (c) Standard heel shoe (d) Flat heel shoe [121].	28
Figure 2.19	Peak pressure comparison between insole and barefoot [122].	29
Figure 2.20	Discretisation of 3D model [138].	31
Figure 2.21	Polynomial Approximation in One Dimension [139]	32
Figure 2.22	Pascal Triangle [139]	33
Figure 2.23	Pascal Tetrahedron [139]	34
Figure 2.24	VMS acting from all directions [143].	36
Figure 2.25	Refinement of mesh sizes [147].	37
Figure 2.26	FE models developed. (A) Skeleton + fascia. (B) Skeleton + muscle/tendons. (C) Skeleton + soft tissue + ground [149]	39
Figure 2.27	The FE models constructed using magnetic resonance image data [150]	40
Figure 2.28	VMS distribution on different lattice structures	41
Figure 3.1	Flowchart of the study.	44
Figure 3.2	The FE models of bones, skin, and ligaments	46
Figure 3.3	The steps to reconstruct FE models of skin and bones.	48
Figure 3.4	Three insoles that were chosen. From left; 1) Yonex TruActive, 2) LiNing L6200LA, 3) Victor VT-XD 8.	49
Figure 3.5	Boundary condition of the FEA.	53
Figure 3.6	Five FE models with different meshes sizes; (a) 4.5 mm (Pink), (b) 4.0mm (Red), (c) 3.5 mm (Green), (d) 3.0 mm (Purple), (e) 2.5 mm (Yellow).	54
Figure 3.7	Location of five nodes at the skin for observation of VMS. There are also the example of different models with meshes sizes of 4.0 mm, 3.5 mm, and 3.0 mm.	55
Figure 3.8	Nodal magnitude of VMS at selected nodes.	56
Figure 3.9	Boundary condition of the FEA.	58
Figure 3.10	Five meshes sizes of FE models; (a) 7 mm (Pink), (b) 6 mm (Red), (c) 5 mm (Green), (d) 4 mm (Purple), (e) 3 mm (Yellow).	58

Figure 3.11	Location of five nodes at the skin for observation of VMS. There are also the example of different models with meshes sizes of 5 mm, 4 mm, and 3 mm.	60
Figure 3.12	Nodal magnitude of VMS at selected nodes.	61
Figure 3.13	Boundary condition of the FEA.	63
Figure 3.14	Five meshes sizes of FE models; (a) 7 mm (Pink), (b) 6 mm (Red), (c) 5 mm (Green), (d) 4 mm (Purple), (e) 3 mm (Yellow).	63
Figure 3.15	Location of five nodes at the skin for observation of VMS. There are also the example of different models with mesh sizes of 5 mm, 4 mm and 3 mm.	65
Figure 3.16	Nodal magnitude of VMS at selected nodes.	66
Figure 3.17	FE models of human foot bones with structure and location of ligaments.	67
Figure 3.18	Fixed Displacement (Pink) and Load Direction (Red).	69
Figure 3.19	Comparison of peak plantar pressure.	70
Figure 3.20	Fixed Displacement (Pink) and Load Direction (Red).	72
Figure 3.21	Custom-fit insole development stages.	74
Figure 3.22	Prefabricated insole (Top) and custom insole (Bottom).	74
Figure 4.1	VMS distribution of insole 1, 2 and 3.	78
Figure 4.2	VMS distribution of (a) metatarsals and (b) calcaneus for insole 1, 2 and 3.	79
Figure 4.3	Displacement contour of bones on insole 1, 2 and 3.	81
Figure 4.4	Rotation angles of the bones for insole 1, 2 and 3.	82
Figure 4.5	VMS distribution of insole with three materials; EVA LD, PVC, and EVA MD.	86
Figure 4.6	VMS distribution of (a) metatarsals and (b) calcaneus for an insole with three materials; EVA LD, PVC, and EVA MD.	87
Figure 4.7	Displacements contour of bones of the insole with three materials; EVA LD, PVC, and EVA MD.	89
Figure 4.8	Rotation angles of the bones for an insole with three materials; EVA LD, PVC, and EVA MD.	90
Figure 4.9	VMS distribution of two types of insoles; Prefabricated Insole and Custom Insole.	94

Figure 4.10	VMS distribution of (a) metatarsals and (b) calcaneus for both insoles; Prefabricated Insole and Custom Insole.	94
Figure 4.11	Displacement contour of bones of two types of insoles; Prefabricated Insole and Custom Insole.	95
Figure 4.12	Rotation angles of the bones for two types of insoles; Prefabricated Insole and Custom Insole.	96

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Three-Dimensional	-	3D
Computed Tomography	-	CT
Finite Element	-	FE
Two-Dimensional	-	2D
Left Front-Court Lunge Steps	-	LFLS
Right Front-Court Lunge Steps	-	RFLS
Rear-court Revolve to Jump	-	RRJ
Range of Motion	-	ROM
von Mises stress	-	VMS
Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine	-	DICOM
Computer-Aided Design	-	CAD
Polyvinyl Chloride	-	PVC
EVA Low Density	-	EVA LD
EVA Medium Density		EVA MD

LIST OF SYMBOLS

mm	-	Millimetre
MPa	-	Megapascal
kPa	-	Kilopascal
F	-	Force
k	-	Spring Constant
x	-	Displacement
σ	-	Stress
E	-	Young's Modulus
ε	-	Strain

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Contact table for contact modelling of FE models	118

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Badminton games require a player to make various movements because of rapid changes in direction that have been made [1, 2]. The badminton games also include a fundamental of arm movement which is forehand or overhead stroke. The strokes can be divided into three types of movements which are, drop, clear and smash, and net play [3, 4]. All these movements involved jumping and landing, which had put the lower limbs of players' bodies under heavy loads [2, 5, 6]. These movements will be made within 40 m² court [7]. The games are based on a basic technique called footwork [8, 9]. The correct footwork of badminton player enable them to minimize the workload hence can reduce the time to reach the shuttlecock [10]. There is fundamental and critical footwork that needs to be applied by a badminton player, which is right-forward, left-forward, right-backward, and left-backward [10].

Footwear is one of the crucial accessories for athletes. Foot orthosis or insole is a device that allows the optimum functionality of a foot [11]. The insole is also a device that acts as a preventive and/or managing a wide range of lower limb injuries in sports [12, 13]. In the case of the development of calluses undersides head of second to the fourth metatarsals, insoles are expected to evenly distribute the pressure to reduce pain [14]. Insoles were also used for varus-type and valgus-type osteoarthritis treatment [15], where athletes or former athletes lower limb tend to bend towards medial or lateral from body midline. Development of wedge on the anterior and lateral or medial side of insoles provides better load distribution [16]. Bordelon et al. divided insole into three types of insoles; 1) Device to reduce cushion and impact [17], 2) Pressure-relieving device, 3) Device to correct the foot alignment [18]. Footwear consists of the upper part, insole, midsole, and outsole [19]. Insole is a part of the

footwear, which have direct contact with the foot and became the first medium acting on pressure distribution.

There are previous studies that had been conducted which investigate on plantar pressure of badminton players during jumping in toe-off and touchdown phase [3, 20]. It was found that plantar pressure seems to be related to foot injuries [10, 21, 22]. In conjunction with that, Zhao and Li suggested that footwear performance in terms of resistance needs to be improved at central and lateral sides, especially at the first metatarsal and forefoot area [3]. Fu reported toe-off phase during jumping recorded the highest plantar pressure at the first metatarsal area, which supported the suggestion of developing an outsole with suitable materials [20]. A study regarding the relationship between the stiffness of the shoe sole stiffness and the kinematics of lower limb during movements in badminton game reported that changes in the stiffness of the sole of the footwear might contribute to alteration in agilities of player, game strategies, and also kinematics of lower limb joints [23].

1.2 Problem Statement

It was recorded about 92% of injuries that occurred in badminton games involving lower extremities [24]. Metatarsal fractures occurrence were recorded at 35% out of all foot fractures and 6% out of all skeletal injuries. Based on a study conducted by Fu, during touch-down movements by badminton players after conducting a stroke showed that the peak of plantar pressure was recorded at the forefoot [20]. In conjunction with that, consuming inappropriate footwear was found as one of the factors that contributed to fatigue overstress injuries [25-27]. A study had been conducted by Yong et al. [28] on the effect of different footwear on the metatarsophalangeal joint which resulting in badminton designated footwear is more beneficial for the metatarsophalangeal joint.

Moreover, landing from doing jumping smash will exert more load on the body as the landing is conducted using single-leg landing [29]. Insoles, part of the shoe, are widely used in sports to increase athlete's performance and stabilization and to reduce the risk

of injury [30, 31]. Since single-leg landing is a stiffer landing technique compared to double-leg landing, the angle of ankle plantarflexion and hip flexion had reduced causing the decrease of the lower extremity's capability to attenuate shock [32]. Furthermore, it was reported that off-the-shelf insoles equipped with medial-arch support tend to increase the peak inversion angle of the ankle during landing. It had caused the elevation of the pressure on the fifth metatarsal which is the most common fractures experienced by young athletes [33]. Thus, a better insole design needs to be introduced in preventing metatarsals fracture occurrence among athletes.

Many studies have been conducted on jumping movements in various activities such as basketball, walking, and running [9, 10, 34-36]. However, there are still lacking FE studies that involve jumping movements in badminton [9, 10]. Badminton also had received minimal attention in developing sports medical equipment for players [10, 37]. Jumping smash is one of lethal movements in badminton as it will help players to obtain points and playing with offensive gameplay. Jumping smash is also a very popular technique in badminton [38]. Hence, there is a need to conduct FE studies for badminton players to identify the localization of pressure exerted on the foot.

Insoles with different material and density can also affect the shock absorption characteristics [39, 40]. Footwear with an additional cushion is also used to reduce shock [40]. Lam et al. [41] conducted a study on the effect of shoe design on badminton players. The study focused on the design of heel parts of the footwear since the manoeuvre conducted by the subject is the forward lunge, which involves a heel strike. However, the studies on insole design for single-leg landing movements in badminton athletes are still limited. In short, the problem statement of this study could be summarized as below:

1. How does different types of insoles from different manufacturers affect pressure distribution on the insole, bones and skin?
2. How does custom-fit insole and different insole material affect the pressure distribution on the insole, bones and skin?

1.3 Objectives

- 1) To compute a static analysis on three different insoles of badminton athletes during single-leg landing.
- 2) To modify the prefabricated insoles for better performance in terms of shock absorption during single-leg landing.

1.4 Scope of the Study

A dataset of Computed Tomography (CT) of a healthy 27-year-old man with 169 cm height and 75kg weight was used to reconstruct bones. Three-Dimensional (3D) bones were developed from CT Scan data using the segmentation method through Mimics software. The first part of the project involved only three types of market-ready insoles from three different models and brands: 1) Yonex Active Pro Truactive, 2) Li-Ning L6200LA, 3) Victor VT-XD 8. The badminton insoles were 3D scanned using the Sense 3D Scanner. The 3-Matic (Materialise, Leuven, Belgium) software was used to conduct the post-processing of the insole. The region of interest involving 17-foot bones which are, 5 phalanges, 5 metatarsals, 3 cuneiforms, cuboid, navicular, talus, and calcaneus. This study focused on analysing the pressure distribution on the insoles, skin, and bones during ground contact in the landing phase after a badminton player doing a stroke. Static finite element (FE) analysis using Marc. Mentat (MSC. Software, Santa Ana, CA) will be conducted on the insoles involving insoles, skin, and bones and von Misses Stress, displacement and rotation angle will be observed on the insoles and bones.

1.5 Significance of the Study

In badminton, most of the movements had been done using forefoot as reported by previous research [20]. Hence, developing a feature that can reduce the plantar

pressure in the forefoot area especially metatarsals heads is crucial. As reported by Lorentson, better badminton footwear in terms of shock absorption can be a medium in injury prevention [42]. An insole is crucial for sports footwear as it can increase the time of impact [43], hence reducing the impact force [44]. As badminton involves many braking and sudden changes of direction during the game [43], alternatives for reducing the risk of injury need to be developed [31]. The output of this study also can help further the development of sports medical equipment, especially in badminton. Shoes comfort is another level of important characteristics of badminton shoes.

Since insole is part of badminton footwear, the development of better insole can also provide a higher level of comfort to the user [45, 46]. FE analysis is a common approach in determining the yield strength and pressure distribution of a structure. Through the FE analysis approach, local pressure distribution on bones, insole, and skin can also be beneficial for further biomechanical alteration [47]. Localization of pressure on the insole and the skin will contribute mainly to modification stages of insoles. FE analysis also benefits in providing internal stress of the ankle-foot complex [48].

REFERENCES

1. Lees, A., *Science and the major racket sports: a review*. Journal of Sports Sciences, 2003. **21**(9): p. 707-732.
2. Boesen, A.P., et al., *Evidence of accumulated stress in Achilles and anterior knee tendons in elite badminton players*. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy, 2011. **19**(1): p. 30-37.
3. Zhao, X. and S. Li, *A Biomechanical Analysis of Lower Limb Movement on the Backcourt Forehand Clear Stroke among Badminton Players of Different Levels*. Applied Bionics and Biomechanics, 2019. **2019**: p. 8.
4. Brahms, B.V., *Badminton Handbook: Training, Tactics, Competition*. 2010: Meyer & Meyer Sport.
5. Lees, A. and C. Hurley, *29 Forces in a badminton lunge movement*. Science and racket sports, 1995: p. 186.
6. Phomsoupha, M. and G. Laffaye, *The science of badminton: game characteristics, anthropometry, physiology, visual fitness and biomechanics*. Sports medicine, 2015. **45**(4): p. 473-495.
7. Manrique, D.C. and J. Gonzalez-Badillo, *Analysis of the characteristics of competitive badminton*. British journal of sports medicine, 2003. **37**(1): p. 62-66.
8. Kuntze, G., N. Mansfield, and W. Sellers, *A biomechanical analysis of common lunge tasks in badminton*. Vol. 28. 2010. 183-91.
9. Hu, X., et al., *Characteristics of Plantar Loads in Maximum Forward Lunge Tasks in Badminton*. PloS one, 2015. **10**(9): p. e0137558-e0137558.
10. Hong, Y., et al., *Kinetics of Badminton Lunges in Four Directions*. Vol. 30. 2013.
11. Finestone, A., et al., *Prevention of Stress Fractures Using Custom Biomechanical Shoe Orthoses*. Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research®, 1999. **360**: p. 182-190.
12. Tanner, C. and P. D'Hooghe, *Foot Orthotic Advances for the Athlete*, in *Sports Injuries of the Foot and Ankle: A Focus on Advanced Surgical Techniques*, G.L. Canata, et al., Editors. 2019, Springer Berlin Heidelberg: Berlin, Heidelberg. p. 407-416.
13. Quirk, R., *Common foot and ankle injuries in dance*. The Orthopedic Clinics of North America, 1994. **25**(1): p. 123-133.
14. Tanaka, Y., *Hallux Valgus for Athletes*, in *Sports Injuries of the Foot and Ankle: A Focus on Advanced Surgical Techniques*, G.L. Canata, et al., Editors. 2019, Springer Berlin Heidelberg: Berlin, Heidelberg. p. 265-271.
15. Tanaka, Y., *Current Concepts in the Treatment of Osteoarthritis of the Ankle*, in *Sports Injuries of the Foot and Ankle: A Focus on Advanced Surgical Techniques*, G.L. Canata, et al., Editors. 2019, Springer Berlin Heidelberg: Berlin, Heidelberg. p. 237-248.
16. Hayashi, K., et al., *Correlation of compensatory alignment of the subtalar joint to the progression of primary osteoarthritis of the ankle*. Foot & ankle international, 2008. **29**(4): p. 400-406.

17. Popovich, R.M., et al., *Effect of rest from running on overuse injuries in army basic training*. American journal of preventive medicine, 2000. **18**(3): p. 147-155.
18. Bordelon, R., *Orthotics, shoes, and braces*. The Orthopedic clinics of North America, 1989. **20**(4): p. 751-757.
19. Li, Y., K. Leong, and Y. Gu, *Construction and finite element analysis of a coupled finite element model of foot and barefoot running footwear*. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part P: Journal of Sports Engineering and Technology, 2019. **233**(1): p. 101-109.
20. Fu, W.J. *The role of footwear on plantar pressure performance during badminton movements*. in *Applied Mechanics and Materials*. 2011. Trans Tech Publ.
21. Dowling, A.V., et al., *Shoe-surface friction influences movement strategies during a sidestep cutting task: implications for anterior cruciate ligament injury risk*. The American journal of sports medicine, 2010. **38**(3): p. 478-485.
22. Orlin, M.N. and T.G. McPoil, *Plantar pressure assessment*. Physical therapy, 2000. **80**(4): p. 399-409.
23. Park, S.-K., et al., *Effect of forefoot bending stiffness of badminton shoe sole on lower leg kinematics during match-like situations*. Footwear Science, 2013. **5**(sup1): p. S133-S135.
24. Reeves, J., et al., *A retrospective review from 2006 to 2011 of lower extremity injuries in badminton in New Zealand*. Sports, 2015. **3**(2): p. 77-86.
25. Lake, M.J., *Determining the protective function of sports footwear*. Ergonomics, 2000. **43**(10): p. 1610-1621.
26. Riemann, B.L. and S.M. Lephart, *The sensorimotor system, part I: the physiologic basis of functional joint stability*. Journal of athletic training, 2002. **37**(1): p. 71.
27. Kong, P.W., N.G. Candelaria, and D.R. Smith, *Running in new and worn shoes: a comparison of three types of cushioning footwear*. British journal of sports medicine, 2009. **43**(10): p. 745-749.
28. Wei, Y., et al., *Effects of different footwear on the metatarsophalangeal joint during push-off in critical badminton footwork*. J Med Biol Eng, 2009. **29**(4): p. 172-6.
29. Rambely, A.S. and W.W. Abas. *A model of impact forces during landing from a jumping smash activity*. in *3rd Kuala Lumpur International Conference on Biomedical Engineering 2006*. 2007. Springer.
30. Lund, J.N., et al., *The effect of insole hardness distribution on calf muscle loading and energy return during a forward badminton lunge*. Footwear Science, 2017. **9**: p. S136-S137.
31. Wang, L., et al., *Changes in heel cushioning characteristics of running shoes with running mileage*. Footwear Science, 2010. **2**(3): p. 141-147.
32. Zhang, S.-N., B.T. Bates, and J.S. Dufek, *Contributions of lower extremity joints to energy dissipation during landings*. Medicine and science in sports and exercise, 2000. **32**(4): p. 812-819.
33. Yu, B., et al., *Effects of wearing foot orthosis with medial arch support on the fifth metatarsal loading and ankle inversion angle in selected basketball tasks*. journal of orthopaedic & sports physical therapy, 2007. **37**(12): p. 186-191.

34. Kersting, U.G. and G.-P. Brüggemann, *Midssole material-related force control during heel-toe running*. Research in sports medicine, 2006. **14**(1): p. 1-17.
35. Nigg, B.M., et al., *Shoe midsole hardness, sex and age effects on lower extremity kinematics during running*. Journal of biomechanics, 2012. **45**(9): p. 1692-1697.
36. Zhang, S., et al., *Effects of various midsole densities of basketball shoes on impact attenuation during landing activities*. Journal of applied biomechanics, 2005. **21**(1): p. 3-17.
37. Jørgensen, U. and S. Winge, *Injuries in badminton*. Sports Medicine, 1990. **10**(1): p. 59-64.
38. Rambely, A.S., W.A.B.W. Abas, and M.S. Yusof. *The analysis of the jumping smash in the game of badminton*. in *ISBS-Conference Proceedings Archive*. 2005.
39. Alirezaei Noghondar, F. and E. Bressel, *Effect of shoe insole density on impact characteristics and performance during a jump-landing task*. Footwear Science, 2017. **9**(2): p. 95-101.
40. Chiu, H.-T. and T.-Y. Shiang, *Effects of insoles and additional shock absorption foam on the cushioning properties of sport shoes*. Journal of applied biomechanics, 2007. **23**(2): p. 119-127.
41. Lam, W.-K., et al., *Does shoe heel design influence ground reaction forces and knee moments during maximum lunges in elite and intermediate badminton players?* PloS one, 2017. **12**(3): p. e0174604.
42. Lorentzon, R., C. Johansson, and U. Björnstig, *Fotbollen orsakar flest skador men badmintonskadan är dyrast*. Läkartidningen, 1984. **81**: p. 340-343.
43. Kuntze, G., N. Mansfield, and W. Sellers, *A biomechanical analysis of common lunge tasks in badminton*. Journal of sports sciences, 2010. **28**(2): p. 183-191.
44. Bowyer, G.W., *Adult Foot and Ankle Problems*. InnovAiT, 2010. **3**(11): p. 657-670.
45. Cho, J.-R., et al., *Landing impact analysis of sports shoes using 3-D coupled foot-shoe finite element model*. Journal of mechanical science and technology, 2009. **23**(10): p. 2583.
46. Lam, W.K., T. Sterzing, and J.T.-M. Cheung, *Influence of protocol complexity on fit perception of basketball footwear*. Footwear Science, 2013. **5**(3): p. 155-163.
47. Mac Donald, B.J., *Practical stress analysis with finite elements*. 2007: Glasnevin publishing.
48. Cheung, J.T.-M., et al., *Three-dimensional finite element analysis of the foot during standing—a material sensitivity study*. Journal of biomechanics, 2005. **38**(5): p. 1045-1054.
49. Goldman, L. and D.A. Ausiello, *Cecil medicine*. 2008: Saunders Elsevier Philadelphia.
50. Canale, S. and J.H. Beaty, *Campbell's Operative Orthopaedics.: Mosby*. An Imprint of Elsevier, 2007.
51. Hansen, J.T., F.H. Netter, and C.A.G. Machado, *Netter's clinical anatomy*. 2019.
52. Weintraub, W., S.f.t.S.o.N. Arts, and Sciences, *Tendon and Ligament Healing: A New Approach Through Manual Therapy*. 1999: North Atlantic Books.

53. Tortora, G.J. and B.H. Derrickson, *Principles of Anatomy and Physiology*. 2015: Wiley.
54. Weiss, J.A., J.C. Gardiner, and C. Bonifasi-Lista, *Ligament material behavior is nonlinear, viscoelastic and rate-independent under shear loading*. Journal of biomechanics, 2002. **35**(7): p. 943-950.
55. Netter, F.H., *Atlas of Human Anatomy E-Book*. 2017: Elsevier Health Sciences.
56. Wegener, C., et al., *In-shoe multi-segment foot kinematics of children during the propulsive phase of walking and running*. Human movement science, 2015. **39**: p. 200-211.
57. Zhang, X., et al., *An optimized design of in-shoe heel lifts reduces plantar pressure of healthy males*. Gait & Posture, 2016. **47**: p. 43-47.
58. Ker, R., et al., *The spring in the arch of the human foot*. Nature, 1987. **325**(6100): p. 147.
59. Cheung, J.T. and M. Zhang, *A 3-dimensional finite element model of the human foot and ankle for insole design*. Arch Phys Med Rehabil, 2005. **86**(2): p. 353-8.
60. Actis, R.L., et al., *Multi-plug insole design to reduce peak plantar pressure on the diabetic foot during walking*. Medical & biological engineering & computing, 2008. **46**(4): p. 363-371.
61. Kogler, G., S. Solomonidis, and J. Paul, *Biomechanics of longitudinal arch support mechanisms in foot orthoses and their effect on plantar aponeurosis strain*. Clinical Biomechanics, 1996. **11**(5): p. 243-252.
62. Kogler, G.F., et al., *The effect of heel elevation on strain within the plantar aponeurosis: in vitro study*. Foot & ankle international, 2001. **22**(5): p. 433-439.
63. Landorf, K.B., A.-M. Keenan, and R.D. Herbert, *Effectiveness of foot orthoses to treat plantar fasciitis: a randomized trial*. Archives of internal medicine, 2006. **166**(12): p. 1305-1310.
64. Cheung, J.T.-M. and M. Zhang, *A 3-dimensional finite element model of the human foot and ankle for insole design*. Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, 2005. **86**(2): p. 353-358.
65. Sarikhani, A., et al., *Studying maximum plantar stress per insole design using foot CT-Scan images of hyperelastic soft tissues*. Applied bionics and biomechanics, 2016. **2016**.
66. Liu, X. and M. Zhang, *Redistribution of knee stress using laterally wedged insole intervention: Finite element analysis of knee–ankle–foot complex*. Clinical Biomechanics, 2013. **28**(1): p. 61-67.
67. Chen, T.L.-W., et al., *Foot arch deformation and plantar fascia loading during running with rearfoot strike and forefoot strike: A dynamic finite element analysis*. Journal of Biomechanics, 2019. **83**: p. 260-272.
68. Rosas Flores, A. and I. Miguel Andrés, *Numerical Simulation by Finite Elements for Redistribution of Plantar Pressure in Sport Insoles*. Memorias del Congreso Nacional de Ingeniería Biomédica; Vol. 7 Núm. 1 (2020): Memorias del XLII Congreso Nacional de Ingeniería Biomédica, 2020.
69. Tang, L., et al., *Functional gradient structural design of customized diabetic insoles*. Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials, 2019.
70. Hsu, Y.-C., et al., *Using an optimization approach to design an insole for lowering plantar fascia stress—a finite element study*. Annals of biomedical engineering, 2008. **36**(8): p. 1345.

71. Holewski, J.J., et al., *Prevalence of foot pathology and lower extremity complications in a diabetic outpatient clinic*. J Rehabil Res Dev, 1989. **26**(3): p. 35-44.
72. Hodge, M.C., T.M. Bach, and G.M. Carter, *Orthotic management of plantar pressure and pain in rheumatoid arthritis*. Clinical biomechanics, 1999. **14**(8): p. 567-575.
73. Kato, H., et al., *The reduction and redistribution of plantar pressures using foot orthoses in diabetic patients*. Diabetes research and clinical practice, 1996. **31**(1-3): p. 115-118.
74. Novick, A., et al., *Reduction of plantar pressure with the rigid relief orthosis*. Journal of the American Podiatric Medical Association, 1993. **83**(3): p. 115-122.
75. Raspovic, A., et al., *Effect of customized insoles on vertical plantar pressures in sites of previous neuropathic ulceration in the diabetic foot*. The Foot, 2000. **10**(3): p. 133-138.
76. Lo, W., et al., *Numerical simulation of orthotic insole deformation for diabetic foot*. Journal of fiber bioengineering and informatics, 2015. **8**(3): p. 401-411.
77. Spirka, T.A., et al., *Simple finite element models for use in the design of therapeutic footwear*. Journal of biomechanics, 2014. **47**(12): p. 2948-2955.
78. CHANG, C., *Research on the Biomechanics Analysis of Technical Movement in Fatigue Period for Badminton Athletes*. International Journal of Simulation--Systems, Science & Technology, 2015. **16**.
79. Van den Bekerom, M.P., et al., *Management of acute lateral ankle ligament injury in the athlete*. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy, 2013. **21**(6): p. 1390-1395.
80. Bonnel, F., et al., *Chronic ankle instability: biomechanics and pathomechanics of ligaments injury and associated lesions*. Orthopaedics & traumatology: Surgery & research, 2010. **96**(4): p. 424-432.
81. Lynch, S.A. and P.A. Renström, *Treatment of acute lateral ankle ligament rupture in the athlete*. Sports Medicine, 1999. **27**(1): p. 61-71.
82. Kobayashi, T. and K. Gamada, *Lateral ankle sprain and chronic ankle instability: a critical review*. Foot & ankle specialist, 2014. **7**(4): p. 298-326.
83. Nitz, A.J., J.J. Dobner, and D. Kersey, *Nerve injury and grades II and III ankle sprains*. The American journal of sports medicine, 1985. **13**(3): p. 177-182.
84. White, W.J., G.A. McCollum, and J.D. Calder, *Return to sport following acute lateral ligament repair of the ankle in professional athletes*. Knee Surgery, Sports Traumatology, Arthroscopy, 2016. **24**(4): p. 1124-1129.
85. Smith, R.W. and S.F. Reischl, *Treatment of ankle sprains in young athletes*. The American journal of sports medicine, 1986. **14**(6): p. 465-471.
86. Zhang, Y., et al., *Effects of severe hallux valgus on metatarsal stress and the metatarsophalangeal loading during balanced standing: A finite element analysis*. Computers in Biology and Medicine, 2018. **97**: p. 1-7.
87. Saxena, A., T. Krisdakumtorn, and S. Erickson, *Proximal fourth metatarsal injuries in athletes: similarity to proximal fifth metatarsal injury*. Foot & Ankle International, 2001. **22**(7): p. 603-608.
88. Hatch, R.L., J. Alsobrook, and J.R. Clugston, *Diagnosis and management of metatarsal fractures*. American family physician, 2007. **76**(6): p. 817-826.

89. Asal, W., *Überlastungsschäden am Knochensystem bei Soldaten*. Arch Klin Chir, 1936. **186**: p. 511-522.
90. Ekrol, I. and C. Court-Brown, *Fractures of the base of the 5th metatarsal*. The Foot, 2004. **14**(2): p. 96-98.
91. Popovic, N., et al., *Proximal fifth metatarsal diaphyseal stress fracture in football players*. Foot and ankle surgery, 2005. **11**(3): p. 135-141.
92. Shereff, M.J., *Fractures of the forefoot*. Instructional course lectures, 1990. **39**: p. 133-140.
93. Rammelt, S., J. Heineck, and H. Zwipp, *Metatarsal fractures*. Injury, 2004. **35**: p. SB77.
94. Gu, Y., et al., *Computer simulation of stress distribution in the metatarsals at different inversion landing angles using the finite element method*. International orthopaedics, 2010. **34**(5): p. 669-676.
95. Wirth, C.-J., *Orthopädie und orthopädische Chirurgie*. 2002: Thieme.
96. Anderson, L.D., *Injuries of the Forefoot*. Clinical orthopaedics and related research, 1977(122): p. 18-27.
97. Dameron Jr, T.B., *Fractures and anatomical variations of the proximal portion of the fifth metatarsal*. JBJS, 1975. **57**(6): p. 788-792.
98. Quill Jr, G.E., *Fractures of the proximal fifth metatarsal*. Orthopedic Clinics of North America, 1995. **26**(2): p. 353-362.
99. O'Malley, M.J., et al., *Stress fractures at the base of the second metatarsal in ballet dancers*. Foot & ankle international, 1996. **17**(2): p. 89-94.
100. Warren, M.P., et al., *Scoliosis and fractures in young ballet dancers*. New England Journal of Medicine, 1986. **314**(21): p. 1348-1353.
101. Johnson, V., *Treatment of fractures of the forefoot in industry*. Foot science, 1976: p. 257.
102. Rambely, A.S., W.A.B.W. Abas, and M.S. Yusof. *The analysis of the jumping smash in the game of badminton*. in *ISBS-Conference Proceedings Archive*. 2008.
103. Morlock, M. and B. Nigg, *Theoretical considerations and practical results on the influence of the representation of the foot for the estimation of internal forces with models*. Clinical biomechanics, 1991. **6**(1): p. 3-13.
104. Neptune, R.R., I. Wright, and A.J. Van den Bogert, *Muscle coordination and function during cutting movements*. Medicine and science in sports and exercise, 1999. **31**: p. 294-302.
105. Fong, D.T., et al., *Understanding acute ankle ligamentous sprain injury in sports*. BMC Sports Science, Medicine and Rehabilitation, 2009. **1**(1): p. 14.
106. FUGL-MEYER, A.R., M. SJÖSTRÖM, and L. WÄHLBY, *Human plantar flexion strength and structure*. Acta Physiologica Scandinavica, 1979. **107**(1): p. 47-56.
107. *[New orthopedic insole]*. Med Tech (Stuttg), 1953. **73**(2): p. 34.
108. Kimura, Y., et al., *Increased knee valgus alignment and moment during single-leg landing after overhead stroke as a potential risk factor of anterior cruciate ligament injury in badminton*. Br J Sports Med, 2012. **46**(3): p. 207-213.
109. Krøner, K., et al., *Badminton injuries*. British journal of sports medicine, 1990. **24**(3): p. 169-172.
110. Yung, P.S.-H., et al., *Epidemiology of injuries in Hong Kong elite badminton athletes*. Research in Sports Medicine, 2007. **15**(2): p. 133-146.

111. Kimura, Y., et al., *Mechanisms for anterior cruciate ligament injuries in badminton*. British journal of sports medicine, 2010. **44**(15): p. 1124-1127.
112. Grice, T., *Badminton: Steps to Success*. 2008.
113. Hensley, L. and D. Paup, *A survey of badminton injuries*. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 1979. **13**(4): p. 156-160.
114. Shariff, A., J. George, and A. Ramlan, *Musculoskeletal injuries among Malaysian badminton players*. Singapore medical journal, 2009. **50**(11): p. 1095.
115. Robinson, G. and P. O'Donoghue, *A movement classification for the investigation of agility demands and injury risk in sport*. International Journal of Performance Analysis in Sport, 2008. **8**(1): p. 127-144.
116. Li, S., L. Wu, and Y. Hong, *The impact of new badminton shoes on the front stride steps*.
117. Liang, Z.-q. and J.-s. Li, *Progresses of the Badminton equipment relate to exercise: Some training aspects*. Some training aspects. J Sports Med Ther, 2018. **3**: p. 001-009.
118. McPoil, T.G., *Athletic footwear: design, performance and selection issues*. Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, 2000. **3**(3): p. 260-267.
119. Stefanyshyn, D.J. and B.M. Nigg, *Influence of midsole bending stiffness on joint energy and jump height performance*. Medicine and science in sports and exercise, 2000. **32**(2): p. 471-476.
120. Lin, Y.-J., et al. *Do thicker midsoles increase shock attenuation and do thin midsoles facilitate propulsion during lunge maneuvers? Footwear design for racket-sport industry*. in *2016 IEEE International Conference on Industrial Technology (ICIT)*. 2016. IEEE.
121. Ryue, J., et al., *Effect of shoe heel modifications on shock attenuation and joint loading during extreme lunge movement in elite badminton players*. Footwear Science, 2013. **5**(sup1): p. S72-S73.
122. Qiu, Q. and Y. Gu. *Biomechanical effect of footwear intervene of forefoot loading during landing movement*. in *2011 Defense Science Research Conference and Expo (DSR)*. 2011. IEEE.
123. Hrysomallis, C., *Relationship between balance ability, training and sports injury risk*. Sports medicine, 2007. **37**(6): p. 547-556.
124. McKay, G.D., et al., *Ankle injuries in basketball: injury rate and risk factors*. British journal of sports medicine, 2001. **35**(2): p. 103-108.
125. Harringe, M., P. Renström, and S. Werner, *Injury incidence, mechanism and diagnosis in top-level teamgym: a prospective study conducted over one season*. Scandinavian journal of medicine & science in sports, 2007. **17**(2): p. 115-119.
126. Coventry, E., et al., *The effect of lower extremity fatigue on shock attenuation during single-leg landing*. Clinical Biomechanics, 2006. **21**(10): p. 1090-1097.
127. Yeow, C.H., et al., *Anterior cruciate ligament failure and cartilage damage during knee joint compression: a preliminary study based on the porcine model*. The American journal of sports medicine, 2008. **36**(5): p. 934-942.
128. Sanders, T.G., et al., *Bone contusion patterns of the knee at MR imaging: footprint of the mechanism of injury*. Radiographics, 2000. **20**(suppl_1): p. S135-S151.

129. Meyer, E.G. and R.C. Haut, *Excessive compression of the human tibio-femoral joint causes ACL rupture*. Journal of biomechanics, 2005. **38**(11): p. 2311-2316.
130. Frobell, R.B., et al., *The acutely ACL injured knee assessed by MRI: are large volume traumatic bone marrow lesions a sign of severe compression injury?* Osteoarthritis and Cartilage, 2008. **16**(7): p. 829-836.
131. Chen, E., N. Maffulli, and K.M. Chan, *Knee injuries produced by recreational sports follow a different pattern than casual injuries*. Bulletin (Hospital for Joint Diseases (New York, NY)), 1998. **57**(2): p. 74-79.
132. Michaelidis, M. and G.A. Koumantakis, *Effects of knee injury primary prevention programs on anterior cruciate ligament injury rates in female athletes in different sports: a systematic review*. Physical Therapy in Sport, 2014. **15**(3): p. 200-210.
133. Olsen, O.-E., et al., *Injury mechanisms for anterior cruciate ligament injuries in team handball: a systematic video analysis*. The American journal of sports medicine, 2004. **32**(4): p. 1002-1012.
134. Sun, D., et al., *Effect of heel heights on female postural control during standing on a dynamic support surface with sinusoidal oscillations*. Journal of motor behavior, 2017. **49**(3): p. 281-287.
135. Mizrahi, J. and Z. Susak, *Analysis of parameters affecting impact force attenuation during landing in human vertical free fall*. Engineering in Medicine, 1982. **11**(3): p. 141-147.
136. Pratt, D., *Mechanisms of shock attenuation via the lower extremity during running*. Clinical Biomechanics, 1989. **4**(1): p. 51-57.
137. Xu, D., et al., *Single-leg landings following a volleyball spike may increase the risk of anterior cruciate ligament injury more than landing on both-legs*. Applied Sciences, 2021. **11**(1): p. 130.
138. Koutromanos, I., *Fundamentals of Finite Element Analysis: Linear Finite Element Analysis*. 2018: John Wiley & Sons.
139. Rao, S.S., *The finite element method in engineering*. 2017: Butterworth-Heinemann.
140. Niu, W., et al., *An in vitro and finite element study of load redistribution in the midfoot*. Science China Life Sciences, 2014. **57**(12): p. 1191-1196.
141. Wong, D.W.-C., et al., *Functional restoration and risk of non-union of the first metatarsocuneiform arthrodesis for hallux valgus: a finite element approach*. Journal of biomechanics, 2015. **48**(12): p. 3142-3148.
142. McKinsey, K., *Investigating the likelihood of pediatric femur fracture due to falls through finite element analysis*. 2018.
143. Patel, R., et al., *A Transdisciplinary Approach for Analyzing Stress Flow Patterns in Biostructures*. Mathematical and Computational Applications, 2019. **24**: p. 47.
144. Tadepalli, S.C., A. Erdemir, and P.R. Cavanagh, *Comparison of hexahedral and tetrahedral elements in finite element analysis of the foot and footwear*. Journal of biomechanics, 2011. **44**(12): p. 2337-2343.
145. Anggoro, P., et al., *A 3-dimensional finite element analysis of the insole shoe orthotic for foot deformities*. International Journal of Applied Engineering Research, 2017. **12**(15): p. 5254-5260.
146. Ramos, A. and J. Simoes, *Tetrahedral versus hexahedral finite elements in numerical modelling of the proximal femur*. Medical engineering & physics, 2006. **28**(9): p. 916-924.

147. Zhu, K., et al., *Finite Element Analysis of Rail-End Bolt Hole and Fillet Stress on Bolted Rail Joints*. Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 2017. **2607**: p. 33-42.
148. Cheung, J.T.-M. and B.M. Nigg, *Clinical applications of computational simulation of foot and ankle*. Sport-Orthopädie-Sport-Traumatologie-Sports Orthopaedics and Traumatology, 2008. **23**(4): p. 264-271.
149. Morales-Orcajo, E., et al., *Non-linear finite element model to assess the effect of tendon forces on the foot-ankle complex*. Medical engineering & physics, 2017. **49**: p. 71-78.
150. Peng, Y., et al., *Influence of arch support heights on the internal foot mechanics of flatfoot during walking: A muscle-driven finite element analysis*. Computers in Biology and Medicine, 2021. **132**: p. 104355.
151. Dong, G., D. Tessier, and Y.F. Zhao. *Design of shoe soles using lattice structures fabricated by additive manufacturing*. in *Proceedings of the Design Society: International Conference on Engineering Design*. 2019. Cambridge University Press.
152. Levent, A., et al., *Comparison of Fixation Techniques in Oblique and Biplanar Chevron Medial Malleolar Osteotomies; a Finite Element Analysis*. The Journal of Foot and Ankle Surgery, 2021.
153. Zhao, X. and Y. Gu, *Single leg landing movement differences between male and female badminton players after overhead stroke in the backhand-side court*. Human movement science, 2019. **66**: p. 142-148.
154. Abd Aziz, A.U., et al. *Development and Verification of Three-Dimensional Model of Femoral Bone: Finite Element Analysis*. in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*. 2019. IOP Publishing.
155. A Shahlan, M.A.M.A., A Anuar, Abd Razak Nasrul, A Rafiq, Abdul Kadir Mohammed, A Hadi, Manap. *Development of 3-Dimensional Model of Femur Bone Considering Cortical and Cancellous Structures*. 2017.
156. Regensburg, N.I., et al., *A new and validated CT-based method for the calculation of orbital soft tissue volumes*. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science, 2008. **49**(5): p. 1758-1762.
157. Zhang, H., et al., *Biomechanical analysis of minimally invasive crossing screw fixation for calcaneal fractures: Implications to early weight-bearing rehabilitation*. Clinical Biomechanics, 2020. **80**: p. 105143.
158. Morales-Orcajo, E., et al., *Non-linear finite element model to assess the effect of tendon forces on the foot-ankle complex*. Medical Engineering & Physics, 2017. **49**: p. 71-78.
159. Smolen, C. and C.E. Quenneville, *A finite element model of the foot/ankle to evaluate injury risk in various postures*. Annals of biomedical engineering, 2017. **45**(8): p. 1993-2008.
160. Zhu, M., et al., *Initial stability and stress distribution of ankle arthroscopic arthrodesis with three kinds of 2-screw configuration fixation: a finite element analysis*. Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery and Research, 2018. **13**(1): p. 263.
161. Piperi, E., et al. *From 3D foot scans to footwear designing and production*. in *6th INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE OF TEXTILE*. 2014.
162. Systems, D., *Sense™ 3D Scanner User Guide*. 2014: p. 18.
163. Telfer, S. and J. Woodburn, *The use of 3D surface scanning for the measurement and assessment of the human foot*. Journal of foot and ankle research, 2010. **3**(1): p. 19.

164. Cheung, J.T.-M., M. Zhang, and K.-N. An, *Effects of plantar fascia stiffness on the biomechanical responses of the ankle-foot complex*. Clinical Biomechanics, 2004. **19**(8): p. 839-846.
165. Morag, E., D. Johnson, and M. Amos, *The effect of heel cupping on heel pressure during soccer activities*. Clinical Biomechanics, 2003. **18**(7).
166. Aminian, G., et al., *The effect of prefabricated and proprioceptive foot orthoses on plantar pressure distribution in patients with flexible flatfoot during walking*. Prosthetics and orthotics international, 2013. **37**(3): p. 227-232.
167. Bus, S.A., J.S. Ulbrecht, and P.R. Cavanagh, *Pressure relief and load redistribution by custom-made insoles in diabetic patients with neuropathy and foot deformity*. Clinical Biomechanics, 2004. **19**(6): p. 629-638.
168. Cheung, J.T.-M. and M. Zhang, *Parametric design of pressure-relieving foot orthosis using statistics-based finite element method*. Medical Engineering & Physics, 2008. **30**(3): p. 269-277.
169. Pfeffer, G., et al., *Comparison of custom and prefabricated orthoses in the initial treatment of proximal plantar fasciitis*. Foot & Ankle International, 1999. **20**(4): p. 214-221.
170. Balaşa, M.-C., et al., *Using 3D scanning techniques in orthopedic systems modeling*. Scientific Bulletin of Valahia University-Materials and Mechanics, 2017. **15**(13): p. 41-47.
171. Haleem, A., et al., *3D scanning of a carburetor body using COMET 3D scanner supported by COLIN 3D software: Issues and solutions*. Materials Today: Proceedings, 2021. **39**: p. 331-337.
172. Covill, D., et al., *Development of thermal models of footwear using finite element analysis*. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part H: Journal of Engineering in Medicine, 2011. **225**(3): p. 268-281.
173. Erdemir, A., et al., *Local plantar pressure relief in therapeutic footwear: design guidelines from finite element models*. Journal of biomechanics, 2005. **38**(9): p. 1798-1806.
174. Biosciences, M.H.R.F.o., et al., *Biomechanical evaluation and new improvement on ankle external fixator*. 2015.
175. Abdul Wahab, A.H., et al., *Analysis on stress and micromotion on various peg fixation at glenoid implant*. Tribology-Materials, Surfaces & Interfaces, 2016. **10**(1): p. 26-32.
176. Martinelli, N., et al., *Contact stresses, pressure and area in a fixed-bearing total ankle replacement: a finite element analysis*. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders, 2017. **18**(1): p. 493.
177. Tsubone, T., et al., *Prediction of fracture lines of the calcaneus using a three-dimensional finite element model*. Journal of Orthopaedic Research®, 2019. **37**(2): p. 483-489.
178. Chen, W.-M., S.-J. Lee, and P.V.S. Lee, *The in vivo plantar soft tissue mechanical property under the metatarsal head: implications of tissues' joint-angle dependent response in foot finite element modeling*. Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials, 2014. **40**: p. 264-274.
179. Pastina, M., A. Mihai, and N. Bilalis, *Finite Element Analysis for Insole-Sole Prototypes*. 2012, ICAMS.
180. Abdul-Kadir, M.R., *Interface micromotion in cementless hip prostheses*. 2005, University of London.

181. Porrua, A.R., et al., *The effect of diameter, length and elastic modulus of a dental implant on stress and strain levels in peri-implant bone: a 3D finite element analysis*. Bio-Medical Materials and Engineering, 2020(Preprint): p. 1-18.
182. Ma, Z., et al., *Design and 3D printing of adjustable modulus porous structures for customized diabetic foot insoles*. International Journal of Lightweight Materials and Manufacture, 2019. **2**(1): p. 57-63.
183. Ab Rashid, A.M., M. Abdul Kadir, and M.H. Ramlee, *Biomechanical Evaluation of Insole for Badminton Players: A Preliminary Study*. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 2020. **884**: p. 012006.
184. Ishii, H., Y. Sakurai, and T. Maruyama, *Effect of soccer shoe upper on ball behaviour in curve kicks*. Scientific reports, 2014. **4**: p. 6067.
185. Gíslason, M.K., B. Stansfield, and D.H. Nash, *Finite element model creation and stability considerations of complex biological articulation: the human wrist joint*. Medical engineering & physics, 2010. **32**(5): p. 523-531.
186. Bajuri, M., et al., *Mechanical and functional assessment of the wrist affected by rheumatoid arthritis: a finite element analysis*. Medical engineering & physics, 2012. **34**(9): p. 1294-1302.
187. Pfaeffle, H.J., et al., *Tensile properties of the interosseous membrane of the human forearm*. Journal of Orthopaedic Research, 1996. **14**(5): p. 842-845.
188. Liacouras, P.C. and J.S. Wayne, *Computational modeling to predict mechanical function of joints: application to the lower leg with simulation of two cadaver studies*. Journal of biomechanical engineering, 2007. **129**(6): p. 811-817.
189. Siegler, S., J. Block, and C.D. Schneck, *The mechanical characteristics of the collateral ligaments of the human ankle joint*. Foot & ankle, 1988. **8**(5): p. 234-242.
190. Solan, M.C., et al., *Ligamentous restraints of the second tarsometatarsal joint: a biomechanical evaluation*. Foot & ankle international, 2001. **22**(8): p. 637-641.
191. Hofstede, D.J., M.J. Ritt, and K.E. Bos, *Tarsal autografts for reconstruction of the scapholunate interosseous ligament: a biomechanical study*. The Journal of hand surgery, 1999. **24**(5): p. 968-976.
192. Iaquinto, J.M. and J.S. Wayne, *Computational model of the lower leg and foot/ankle complex: application to arch stability*. Journal of biomechanical engineering, 2010. **132**(2): p. 021009.
193. Kura, H., et al., *Mechanical behavior of the Lisfranc and dorsal cuneometatarsal ligaments: in vitro biomechanical study*. Journal of orthopaedic trauma, 2001. **15**(2): p. 107-110.
194. Wang, Y., et al., *Finite element analysis of biomechanical effects of total ankle arthroplasty on the foot*. Journal of Orthopaedic Translation, 2018. **12**: p. 55-65.
195. Sun, P.-C., et al., *Biomechanical analysis of foot with different foot arch heights: a finite element analysis*. Computer methods in biomechanics and biomedical engineering, 2012. **15**(6): p. 563-569.
196. Tao, K., et al., *An in vivo experimental validation of a computational model of human foot*. Journal of Bionic Engineering, 2009. **6**(4): p. 387-397.
197. Qiu, T.-X., et al., *Finite element modeling of a 3D coupled foot–boot model*. Medical Engineering & Physics, 2011. **33**(10): p. 1228-1233.

198. Bettamer, A., et al., *Using visual image measurements to validate a novel finite element model of crack propagation and fracture patterns of proximal femur*. Computer methods in biomechanics and biomedical engineering: imaging & visualization, 2017. **5**(4): p. 251-262.
199. Joodaki, H. and M.B. Panzer, *Skin mechanical properties and modeling: A review*. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part H: Journal of Engineering in Medicine, 2018. **232**(4): p. 323-343.
200. Abidin, N.A.Z., M.R.A. Kadir, and M.H. Ramlee. *Three Dimensional Finite Element Modelling and Analysis of Human Knee Joint-Model Verification*. in *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*. 2019. IOP Publishing.
201. Ramlee, M.H., et al., *Development of Ankle and Foot Bone Model for Finite Element Simulation*. 2018.
202. Wong, D.W.-C., et al., *Finite element analysis of foot and ankle impact injury: risk evaluation of calcaneus and talus fracture*. PloS one, 2016. **11**(4): p. e0154435.
203. Fontanella, C.G., et al., *Investigation on the load-displacement curves of a human healthy heel pad: In vivo compression data compared to numerical results*. Medical Engineering & Physics, 2012. **34**(9): p. 1253-1259.
204. Abidin, N.A.Z., M.R.A. Kadir, and M.H. Ramlee, *BIOMECHANICAL EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT LENGTHS OF CROSS-PINS IN ANTERIOR CRUCIATE LIGAMENT RECONSTRUCTION: A FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS*. Journal of Mechanics in Medicine and Biology, 2020. **20**(07): p. 2050047.
205. Cho, J., et al., *Mesh generation considering detailed tread blocks for reliable 3D tire analysis*. Advances in engineering software, 2004. **35**(2): p. 105-113.
206. Kim, S., *Evaluation of landing impact characteristics of court sports shoes by finite element method*. Master's Thesis, Pusan National University, Korea, 2005.
207. Su, S., et al., *The Effect of Arch Height and Material Hardness of Personalized Insole on Correction and Tissues of Flatfoot*. J Healthc Eng, 2017. **2017**: p. 8614341.
208. Mousavi, E., et al., *Investigating the effect of custom-made insoles and exercises on lower limb and back discomfort in assembly-line workers in a rubber tire factory: A randomized controlled trial*. Human Factors and Ergonomics in Manufacturing & Service Industries, 2019. **29**(6): p. 478-484.
209. Taha, Z., et al., *A Finite Element Analysis of a Human Foot Model to Simulate Neutral Standing on Ground*. Procedia Engineering, 2016. **147**: p. 240-245.
210. Niu, J., et al., *Are arch-conforming insoles a good fit for diabetic foot? Insole customized design by using finite element analysis*. Human Factors and Ergonomics in Manufacturing & Service Industries, 2020. **30**(4): p. 303-310.
211. Cheung, J.T.-M. and M. Zhang. *Finite element modeling of the human foot and footwear*. in *ABAQUS users' conference*. 2006.
212. Koshino, Y., et al., *Toe-in landing increases the ankle inversion angle and moment during single-leg landing: implications in the prevention of lateral ankle sprains*. Journal of sport rehabilitation, 2017. **26**(6): p. 530-535.
213. Zwitser, E.W. and R.S. Breederveld, *Fractures of the fifth metatarsal; diagnosis and treatment*. Injury, 2010. **41**(6): p. 555-562.
214. Raikin, S.M., N. Slenker, and B. Ratigan, *The association of a varus hindfoot and fracture of the fifth metatarsal metaphyseal-diaphyseal junction: the*

- Jones fracture*. The American journal of sports medicine, 2008. **36**(7): p. 1367-1372.
215. Brockett, C.L. and G.J. Chapman, *Biomechanics of the ankle*. Orthopaedics and trauma, 2016. **30**(3): p. 232-238.
 216. Hung, C.-L., et al., *Influences of Lateral Jump Smash Actions in Different Situations on the Lower Extremity Load of Badminton Players*. Journal of sports science & medicine, 2020. **19**(2): p. 264-270.
 217. Khan, S., R. Warkhedkar, and A. Shyam, *Human bone strength evaluation through different mechanical tests*. International Journal of Current Engineering and Technology, 2014. **2**: p. 539-543.
 218. Abd Aziz, A.U., et al., *A finite element study: Finding the best configuration between unilateral, hybrid, and ilizarov in terms of biomechanical point of view*. Injury, 2020.
 219. Anderson, J., A.E. Williams, and C. Nester, *Development and evaluation of a dual density insole for people standing for long periods of time at work*. Journal of foot and ankle research, 2020. **13**(1): p. 42-42.
 220. Goske, S., et al., *Reduction of plantar heel pressures: Insole design using finite element analysis*. J Biomech, 2006. **39**(13): p. 2363-70.
 221. Shariatmadari, M.R., R. English, and G. Rothwell, *Finite Element Study into the effect of footwear temperature on the Forces transmitted to the foot during quasi- static compression loading*. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 2010. **10**: p. 012126.
 222. Sarpong, N.O., et al., *Metatarsal fractures*. Foot & Ankle Orthopaedics, 2018. **3**(3): p. 2473011418775094.
 223. Salles, A.S. and D.E. Gyi, *An evaluation of personalised insoles developed using additive manufacturing*. Journal of Sports Sciences, 2013. **31**(4): p. 442-450.
 224. Ahmed, S., et al., *Footwear and insole design features that reduce neuropathic plantar forefoot ulcer risk in people with diabetes: a systematic literature review*. Journal of foot and ankle research, 2020. **13**: p. 1-13.
 225. Verdejo, R. and N.J. Mills, *Heel–shoe interactions and the durability of EVA foam running-shoe midsoles*. Journal of Biomechanics, 2004. **37**(9): p. 1379-1386.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

1. Ab Rashid, A.M., M. Abdul Kadir, and M.H. Ramlee, Biomechanical Evaluation of Insole for Badminton Players: A Preliminary Study. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 2020. 884: p. 012006.
2. Rashid, A.M.A., et al. Development and Verification of Three-Dimensional Model of Human Foot for Badminton Insole analysis: A Finite Element Study. in 2020 4th International Symposium on Multidisciplinary Studies and Innovative Technologies (ISMSIT). 2020.