

BASIC DETECTION OF X BAND ELECTRON SPIN RESONANCE SIGNAL
USING STRIPLINE RESONATOR

CHIU WEI LUN

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Science

Faculty of Science
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

NOVEMBER 2020

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my father, who taught me that the best kind of knowledge to have is that which is learned for its own sake. It is also dedicated to my mother, who taught me that even the largest task can be accomplished if it is done one step at a time.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my deep thanks and appreciation to my supervisors Dr Yap Yung Szen and Dr Nor Ezzaty Binti Ahmad because of their acceptance, advising, and patience through my Master Dissertation titled Basic Detection of X Band Electron Spin Resonance Signal using Stripline Resonator. During the past two years, Dr Yap and Dr Ezzaty had contributed most of their time in guiding me as well as my group members, Eow Wei Siang and Aslam Hashim to embark us and giving us supporting ideas and help me from nothing. Working under Dr Yap and Dr Ezzaty had given me a valuable experience. Special thanks to all the lecturers and technicians of the instrumentation laboratory who had, directly and indirectly, helped me to carry out the research. I am also grateful to the Faculty of Science, Wireless Communication Centre and Department of Digital Services, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia for providing us with laboratory facilities and software support. Last but not least, appreciation is also dedicated to my family and friends for their grateful support and encouragement.

ABSTRACT

In this work, the basic detection of electron spin resonance (ESR) signal by using stripline resonator was demonstrated. The signal was detected by using a basic homemade ESR setup, stripline resonator and a sample known as 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH). Two types of stripline resonators were designed, simulated and fabricated to detect ESR signals by varying externally applied static magnetic field strength or the microwave frequency. These respective designs were called as straight and U-shape design. The techniques used to fabricate the stripline resonators were ultraviolet (UV) lithography and milling by computer numerical controlled (CNC) machine. These resonators were named as UV, M and U resonator, UV and M resonator have straight design while U resonator is a U-shape design. UV resonator has unloaded resonance frequency at 9.12 GHz and Q-factor is 88. M resonator has unloaded resonance frequency at 9.70 GHz and Q-factor is 70. U resonator has unloaded resonance frequency at 9.70GHz and Q-factor is 121. Using these resonators, the ESR signal was successfully detected from the DPPH. By fitting using Lorentzian equation to the ESR signal, the experimental g -factor was determined. U-shape resonator had the highest accuracy with g -factor of 2.017 or 0.66% difference with the theoretical value. Simulation and experimental results conclude that resonator with the higher microwave magnetic field gives a stronger signal.

ABSTRAK

Untuk penyelidikan ini, pengesanan isyarat resonans spin elektron dengan resonator jenis garis strip telah digajikan. Isyarat tersebut dikesan dengan spektrometer ESR buatan sendiri, alat resonans dan sampel 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH). Dua alat resonans jenis garis strip telah direkabentuk, disimulasi dan dibangunkan untuk mengesan isyarat ESR. Teknik fabrikasi yang digunakan adalah litografi ultraviolet (UV) dan pengilangan dengan mesin kawalan berangka komputer (CNC). Tiga resonator telah difabrikasi dan bagi nama sebagai UV, M dan U, frekuensi resonans yang diperolehi adalah 9.079 GHz, 9.652 GHz dan 9.126 GHz manakala faktor Q adalah 88, 70 dan 121. Dengan menggunakan resonator ini, isyarat ESR telah dikesan dari DPPH. Dari data uji kaji, faktor g ditentukan dengan menggunakan kaedah pemadanan dan taburan Lorentzian. Berdasarkan nilai-nilai faktor-g yang diperolehi, resonator berbentuk U mempunyai ketepatan tertinggi dengan nilai sebanyak 2.017 iaitu perbezaan sebanyak 0.66% berbanding dengan nilai teori. Keputusan simulasi dan eksperimen membuktikan bahawa resonator yang mempunyai medan magnet teraruh yang lebih kuat daripada gelombang mikro mampu menghasilkan isyarat ESR dengan lebih kuat.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvi
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Objective of Study	4
	1.4 Scope of Study	4
	1.5 Significant of Study	4
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	5
	2.1 Electron Spin Resonance	5
	2.1.1 Continuous Wave Electron Spin Resonance	6
	2.1.2 Pulsed Electron Spin Resonance	8
	2.2 Spectrometer Setup	9
	2.2.1 Microwave source	9
	2.2.2 Electromagnet	10
	2.3 Resonator	10
	2.3.1 Cavity Resonator	12
	2.3.2 Stripline Resonator	13

2.3.3	Microstrip Resonator	15
2.3.4	Dielectric Resonator	15
2.3.5	Fabrication Technique for Planer Resonators	17
2.4	S-parameter	19
2.5	Dielectric loss	21
2.6	Sample	23
2.7	ESR Signal	24
CHAPTER 3	METHODOLOGY	25
3.1	Research Flow	25
3.2	Resonator Design and Material	25
3.3	Simulation of Resonator	27
3.3.1	Analysis Setup	27
3.3.2	Excitation	28
3.3.3	Radiation Boundary	29
3.3.4	Mesh	29
3.4	Fabrication	30
3.4.1	Milling by CNC Machine	30
3.4.2	UV Lithography	32
3.4.3	Cleaning Substrate	33
3.4.4	Apply Photoresist	33
3.4.5	Exposure and Etching	34
3.5	Experimental setup	35
3.6	Experimental Flow	37
3.7	Static Magnetic Field Strength Measurement	38
3.8	Coupling	40
3.9	Number of Spin	40
CHAPTER 4	RESULT AND DISCUSSION	41
4.1	Design and Simulation of Resonator	41
4.2	Fabricated Resonators	46
4.3	ESR Signal Detection	49

CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION	59
5.1	Research Outcomes	59
5.2	Future Works and Suggestion	60
REFERENCES		61

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Summary of the previous research and their excitation source.	10
Table 2.2	Type of resonators used by previous researches and their performance.	13
Table 2.3	Definition of Couplings.	21
Table 3.1	Properties of the substrates used in this work.	27
Table 3.2	Summary of resonators and the fabrication technique used.	30
Table 4.1	Summary of simulation results.	43
Table 4.2	Performance of fabricated resonator.	46
Table 4.3	Comparison between the design sizes and the actual sizes.	47
Table 4.4	Summary of experimental results.	56

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Spins of an electron without static magnetic field applied.	5
Figure 2.2	Electron spin splitting due to the Zeeman effect.	6
Figure 2.3	Basic ESR spectrometer setup with reflection resonator.	7
Figure 2.4	Example of CW ESR spectroscopy.	7
Figure 2.5	A simple Hahn Echo.	8
Figure 2.6	S-parameter and bandwidth of signal.	11
Figure 2.7	Rectangular cavity resonator and the electromagnetic field inside.	13
Figure 2.8	Structure of a stripline resonator and the electromagnetic field inside.	14
Figure 2.9	Structure of a microstrip resonator and the electromagnetic field inside.	15
Figure 2.10	Example of a dielectric resonator.	16
Figure 2.11	Whispering gallery mode travel in the dielectric resonator.	17
Figure 2.12	The chemical reaction of photoresist.	18
Figure 2.13	Example of a 2-port circuit.	19
Figure 2.14	Coupling definition in linear S-parameter.	20
Figure 2.15	Frequency respond to the dielectric constant and loss of solid.	22
Figure 2.16	Dielectric loss of FR4 from 0 - 16 GHz.	22
Figure 2.17	Structural formula of DPPH.	23
Figure 3.1	Flow chart of research.	25
Figure 3.2	Resonator with a movable resonant strip.	26
Figure 3.3	Ports in the HFSS model.	29
Figure 3.4	Bungurd CNC CCD 2.	31
Figure 3.5	All the drill bit used in fabrication.	31
Figure 3.6	Steps of UV lithography.	32
Figure 3.7	Type of exposure technique in UV lithography.	35
Figure 3.8	Schematic diagram of proposed homemade ESR setup.	36

Figure 3.9	The output of FSL-0010 microwave synthesiser without an isolator.	37
Figure 3.10	Experimental flow.	38
Figure 3.11	Graph of the static magnetic field against current with a linear fit.	39
Figure 4.1	Resonator dimension in millimetre.	41
Figure 4.2	The U-shape resonator dimension in millimetre.	42
Figure 4.3	S-parameter of resonators in linear.	43
Figure 4.4	Microwave magnetic field distribution of resonant strip in HFSS.	44
Figure 4.5	Microwave magnetic field distribution of U-shape resonator in HFSS.	45
Figure 4.6	Graph of microwave magnetic field strength in percentage length.	45
Figure 4.7	Fabricated straight resonators.	46
Figure 4.8	Size of fabricated U-shape resonant strip.	47
Figure 4.9	The U-shape resonator S-parameter measurements.	48
Figure 4.10	The 3D absorption spectrum of UV resonator.	50
Figure 4.11	Frequency-absorption graph of UV resonator with a Lorentzian fitting.	50
Figure 4.12	Static magnetic field-absorption graph of UV resonator at 9.079 GHz.	51
Figure 4.13	The 3D absorption spectrum of M resonator.	52
Figure 4.14	Frequency-absorption graph of M resonator with a Lorentzian fitting.	52
Figure 4.15	Static magnetic field-absorption graph of M resonator at 9.652 GHz.	53
Figure 4.16	The 3D absorption spectrum of U resonator.	54
Figure 4.17	Frequency-absorption graph of U resonator with a Lorentzian fitting.	54
Figure 4.18	Static magnetic field-absorption graph of U resonator at 9.126 GHz.	55
Figure 4.19	First derivative of ESR absorption signal from U resonator.	57

Figure 4.20 Comparison of theoretical and fabricated microwave magnetic field strength in percentage length.

58

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

2D	-	2-dimension
3D	-	3-dimension
AWG	-	Arbitrary wave generator
BDPA	-	1,3-biphenylene-2-phenylallyl
CNC	-	Computer numerical control
CCD	-	Computer controlled drilling
CW	-	Continuous wave
dB	-	Decibel
DC	-	Direct current
DPPH	-	2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl
EDMR	-	Electrically detected magnetic resonance
EM	-	Electromagnetic
ENDOR	-	Electron nuclear double resonance
EPR	-	Electron paramagnetic resonance
ESR	-	Electron spin resonance
FWHM	-	Full-width half-maximum
HFSS	-	High-frequency simulation software
HWHM	-	Half-width half-maximum
HCl	-	Hydrochloric acid
FeCl ₃	-	Ferric (III) chloride
NMR	-	Nuclear magnetic resonance
PCB	-	Printed circuit board
RF	-	Radio frequency
SNR	-	Signal-to-noise ratio
TEM	-	Transverse electromagnetic
UV	-	Ultraviolet

LIST OF SYMBOLS

δ	-	Loss tangent
ϵ	-	Permittivity
ϵ_r	-	Dielectric constant
ϵ'	-	Real dielectric constant
ϵ''	-	Imaginary dielectric constant
λ	-	Wavelength
μ	-	Permeability
μ_B	-	Bohr magneton
γ	-	Scale parameter
ω	-	Spinner rotational speed in rpm/1000
a	-	X-coordinate of the peak in Lorentzian distribution
A	-	Radius of the dielectric resonator
b	-	Coefficients produced by the fit
B	-	Magnetic field
BW	-	Bandwidth
c	-	Speed of light
C	-	Confidence bounds
e	-	Tube gap size
f	-	Frequency
f_r	-	Resonance frequency
g	-	g -factor
g_c	-	Coupling gap size
G	-	Mask-photoresist gap size
h	-	Planck constant
H	-	Dielectric height
k	-	Boltzmann Constant

K	-	Spinner constant
l	-	Length of resonance strip
Δl	-	Fringing field at both ends
n	-	Wavenumber
n_i	-	Integer number
p	-	Viscosity of photoresist
Q	-	Quality factor
Q_c	-	Q-factor of coupling
Q_i	-	Internal Q-factor
R_s	-	Smallest resolution
s	-	Vector of the diagonal elements
S	-	S-parameter
t	-	Thickness
t_{cu}	-	Copper thickness
t_{ph}	-	Photoresist thickness
T	-	Confidence level
w	-	Trace width
X	-	Current supply in Ampere
Y	-	Static magnetic field strength in mT
Z	-	Impedance

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) or electron spin resonance (ESR) spectroscopy is a technique that mainly applied in the study of unpaired electrons in the materials. In quantum mechanic, 'resonance' refers to the phenomena that transmission of molecular spin energy levels caused by the externally applied microwave radiation [1]. It is widely used to study the unpaired electron and free radical. These are normally found in metal complex and an organic compound. ESR had been used in many science branches such as biology, physics and food science. The basic principle of ESR known as Zeeman effect and was discovered by Soviet physicist Yevgeny Zavoisky in 1944 at Kazan State University. Another technique is known as nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) shared the same working principle but the atom nuclei spins are excited in NMR instead of electron spin in ESR [2].

There are 2 types of basic ESR spectrometer which is a continuous wave (CW) and pulsed ESR [3]. The difference is the excitation source used in the spectrometer. In CW ESR, continuous electromagnetic (EM) wave act as the excitation source while pulsed ESR uses nanoseconds-long pulse instead. Pulsed ESR can excite the targeted sample while CW ESR is the most basic experiment to perform absorption of microwave wave by the paramagnetic electron. In the varying static magnetic field, CW ESR spectroscopy can show the presence of unpaired electron in material and its resonance field at specified energy. From the result of CW ESR, the presence of an unpaired electron can be detected easily because it absorbs electromagnetic (EM) wave energy. Due to the continuous nature of the excitation source, CW ESR is limited in lack of time resolution. To overcome this limitation, pulses are used to replace CW as an excitation source whereby a pulse can be represented as several frequencies superposed with each other at specific phases and amplitudes [4]. Compared to CW ESR, pulsed

ESR spectroscopy is used to excite the material by using a sequence of pulses to differentiate the electron spin interaction and also obtain information in the time domain [5]. This can be further developed to investigate the interaction between electron spin and surrounding nuclear spins [6].

In physics, ESR has been used to provide a theoretical basis for studying modification and detection of electronic structure by the surrounding atom. The electron directional spin can be controlled by using pulses, for example for pulse spectroscopy and quantum computing applications [7]. In chemistry, ESR is used to study the electronic structure and chemical where the free radicals can be determined the free radicals form and the process of the chemical reaction can be observed [8]. Furthermore, by differentiating the g -factor, the oxidation state of the transition metal can be determined [9]. In biology, ESR spectrometer can be used to detect specific chemicals in the human body. Yamato et al. used X band frequency ESR spectroscopy to detect the generation of reactive oxygen species in the brain [10], where the formation and redox reaction of nitroxyl radicals were observed and detected using ESR. Similar to NMR imaging, ESR can also be used in imaging which is based on the same principle as its NMR counterpart. It can provide information that is complementary to NMR imaging. One such example is the detection of oxygenation level of a mouse undergoing CW ESR at 300 MHz [11].

Nowadays, ESR has been widely used in industry such as ESR imaging [11], medical [10] and semiconductor [12]. It can be further developed by adding more components and modifying excitation signal or even combined with NMR into electron-nuclear double resonance (ENDOR). It is a technique that can identify the molecular and electronic structure of the paramagnetic sample. The nuclear transition can be detected by ENDOR by measuring the intensity changes of irradiated ESR transition [13]. Another technique that involves ESR principle is electrically detected magnetic resonance (EDMR). It is used to detect impurities in the semiconductor. When the sample undergoes the ESR test, the donor electron spin orientation can be turned by a pulse at its resonance frequency. The flip can decay the donor to acceptor energy level and recombine with the hole in the valence band. The energy level difference can be detected and its accuracy can down to a few hundreds of atoms [12].

All the advance device were developed from basic CW ESR spectrometer. In this research, a basic homemade setup will be built as a preliminary stage to build a more advanced pulsed spectrometer.

1.2 Problem Statement

To build an advanced pulsed ESR in the future, the resonator is a part of the whole ESR spectrometer that is essential to excite the electron spins and to reciprocally detect the ESR signal [14]. One particular design, known as a stripline resonator, has not been tested at X band frequencies. To test it, an ESR setup was needed. The other resonators such as cavity and dielectric resonator can also operate in X band but its Q-factor is too high (up to 10000) and the bandwidth is too small compared to stripline resonator [15, 16]. The low Q-factor resonator such as microstrip resonator can also operate in X band but the open structure causes field leakage and sensitive to the surrounding [17]. In stripline resonator, the resonant strip is surrounded by copper ground plate to prevent field leakage and reduce noise from the surrounding. These type of stripline resonators were successfully built and used to detect ESR signal at S band (3 GHz) and K_u band (17 GHz) [7, 18, 19].

While advanced and sensitive X band ESR spectrometers are commercially available, such as JES-X3 series from JEOL [20] and micro ESR from Bruker [21] such instruments are costly. These commercial units are not well understood and the applications are limited. Nowadays, commercial EPR spectrometer in Malaysia is only available in UPM (JES-FA200 from JEOL) [22] and an older system in CSNano in UTM (JES-FA100 from JEOL) [23]. Compared to developed countries, a lot of ESR spectrometers for physics experiments are homemade [4, 5, 24]. Some of the researchers even built custom made ESR to be used in quantum computing [7]. In Malaysia, there has been a similar attempt to build a homemade ESR spectrometer [25]. Hence, this research aims to build a basic homemade ESR setup and use it to detect ESR signal at room temperature.

1.3 Objective of Study

There are three objectives for this research:

1. To design and fabricate the stripline resonators for X band ESR spectrometer.
2. To investigate the performance of stripline resonators by simulation and actual fabrication.
3. To detect ESR signal at X band using a basic, homemade CW ESR setup.

The ESR setup is not a full spectrometer but is instead a minimal setup, capable of detecting such weak signals. Due to this reason, it is important to enhance the ESR signal as much as possible. Therefore, sensitive resonator has to be prepared first.

1.4 Scope of Study

In this research, a homemade ESR setup will be built. The continuous wave (CW) source is a commercial microwave synthesizer with X band (8 - 10 GHz) frequency. The resonators used is transmission type stripline resonator fabricated from Roger Duroid 6035 HTC and Arlon DiClad 880. The resonators were fabricated by computer numerical controlled (CNC) milling machine and ultraviolet (UV) lithography. The sample used to detect CW ESR signal is 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) powder. During the experiment, the resonator was placed in an electromagnet with a magnetic field strength of 0.3 - 0.4 T at room temperature.

1.5 Significant of Study

The resonator size and its resonance frequency can be designed and estimated by using simulation software. This will increase the ESR signal strength and help to detect the ESR signal easily. By preparing resonator in different shape, the suitability of resonator can be determined in different purpose. It is a preliminary stage to build a complex homemade pulsed ESR spectrometer.

REFERENCES

1. Hagen, W. R. Broadband Transmission EPR Spectroscopy. *PLoS One*, 2013. 8(3): e59874.
2. Ismail, N. F. *Basic Demonstration of a Continuous Wave Electron Spin Resonance System*. Bachelor of science. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. 2018.
3. Rohrer, M., Brüggmann, O., Kinzer, B. and Prisner, T. F. High-field, High-frequency EPR Spectrometer Operating in Pulsed and Continuous-Wave Mode at 180 GHz. *Applied Magnetic Resonance*, 2001. 21: 257–274.
4. Kaufmann, T., Keller, T. J., Franck, J. M., Barnes, R. P., Glaser, S. J., Martinis, J. M. and Han, S. DAC-board Based X Band EPR Spectrometer with Arbitrary Waveform Control. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance*, 2013. 235: 95–108.
5. Hofbauer, W., Earle, K. A., Dunnam, C. R., Moscicki, J. K. and Freeda, J. H. High-power 95 GHz Pulsed Electron Spin Resonance Spectrometer. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 2004. 75(5): 1194–1208.
6. Meyer, A., Dechert, S., Dey, S., Hobartner, C. and Bennati, M. Measurement of Angstrom to Nanometer Molecular Distances with ¹⁹F Nuclear Spins by EPR/ENDOR Spectroscopy. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 2019. 59: 373–379.
7. Yap, Y. S., Tabuchi, Y., Negoro, M., Kagawa, A. and Kitagawa, M. A Ku Band Pulsed Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Spectrometer using an Arbitrary Waveform Generator for Quantum Control Experiments at Millikelvin Temperatures. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 2015. 86(063110): 1–7.
8. Suzen, S., Orhan, H. G. and Saso, L. Detection of Reactive Oxygen and Nitrogen Species by Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) Technique. *Molecules*, 2017. 22(181): 1–9.
9. Baca, M. and Millet, J. M. M. Bulk Oxidation State of the Different Cationic Elements in the MoVTe(Sb)NbO Catalysts for Oxidation or Ammoxidation of Propane. *Applied Catalysis A: General*, 2005. 279: 67–77.

10. Yamato, M., Egashira, T. and Utsumi, H. Application of in vivo ESR Spectroscopy to Measurement of Cerebrovascular ROS Generation in Stroke. *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, 2003. 35(12): 1619–1631.
11. Subramanian, S., Matsumoto, K., Mitchell, J. B. and Krishna, M. C. Radio Frequency Continuous-wave and Time-domain EPR Imaging and Overhauser-enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Small Animals: Instrumental Developments and Comparison of Relative Merits for Functional Imaging. *NMR Biomed*, 2004. 17(17): 263–294.
12. Rong, F., Poindexter, E. H., Harmatz, M. and Buchwald, W. R. Electrically Detected Magnetic Resonance in P-N Junction Diodes. *Solid State Communications*, 1990. 76(8): 1083–1086.
13. Jeschke, G. and Schweiger, A. Time Domain Chirp Electron Nuclear Double Resonance Spectroscopy in One and Two Dimensions. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 1995. 103(119): 8329–8337.
14. Hoult, D. I. The Principle of Reciprocity. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance*, 2011. 213: 344–346.
15. Thompson, M. C., Freethy, E. F. and Waters, D. M. Fabrication Techniques for Ceramic X Band Cavity Resonators. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 1958. 29(10): 865–868.
16. Colligianit, A., Guillon, P., Longo, I., Martinelli, M. and Pardi, L. A X-band ESR Apparatus using a Whispering Gallery Mode Dielectric Resonator. *Applied Magnetic Resonance*, 1992. 2: 827–840.
17. Ghirri, A., Bonizzoni, C., Righi, M., Fedele, F., Timco, G., Winpenny, R. and Affronte, M. Microstrip Resonators and Broadband Lines for X-band EPR Spectroscopy of Molecular Nanomagnets. *Applied Magnetic Resonance*, 2015. 10(1007): 1–8.
18. Yap, Y. S., Negoro, M., Kuno, M., Sakamoto, Y., Kagawa, A. and Kitagawa, M. Low Power, Fast and Broadband ESR Quantum Control using a Stripline Resonator. *Chemical Physics*, 2019. 1911(2): 1–7.

19. Johansson, B., Haraldson, S., Pettersson, L. and Beckman, O. A Stripline Resonator for ESR. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 1974. 45(11): 1445–1447.
20. JES-X3 series, 2020. URL: <https://www.jeolusa.com/>.
21. MicroESR, 2020. URL: <https://www.bruker.com/>.
22. Electron Spin Resonance Spectrometer (ESR), 2020. URL: <http://science.upm.edu.my>.
23. Energy Spinning Resonance Spectrometer (ESR), 2020. URL: <http://csnano.utm.my>.
24. Blok, H., Disselhorst, J. A. J. M., Orlinskii, S. B. and Schmidt, J. A Continuous-wave and Pulsed Electron Spin Resonance Spectrometer Operating at 275 GHz. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance*, 2004. 166: 92–99.
25. University Grant, 2020. URL: <http://www.medic.usm.my/>.
26. Catto, A. C., Silva, L. F., Bernardi, M. I. B., Maximo, S. L., Longo, E., Lisboa-Filho, P. N., Nascimento, O. R. and Mastelaro, V. R. An Investigation into the Influence of Zinc Precursor on the Microstructural, Photoluminescence, and Gas-sensing Properties of ZnO Nanoparticles. *Journal of Nanoparticle Research*, 2014. 16(2760): 1–9.
27. Deville, G., Bernier, M. and Delrieux, J. M. NMR Multiple Echoes Observed in Solid He. *Physical Review*, 1979. 19(11): 5666–5689.
28. Bain, A. D., Anand, C. K. and Nie, Z. H. Exact Solution to the Bloch Equations and Application to the Hahn Echo. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance*, 2010. 206: 227–240.
29. Ardelean, I. and Kimmich, R. Demagnetizing Field Effects on the Hahn Echo. *Chemical Physics Letters*, 2000. 320(2000): 81–86.
30. Wikipedia contributors. Spin Echo, 2019. URL: <https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php>. [Online; accessed 7-August-2020].
31. Zyl, R. V., Perold, W. and Botha, R. The Gunn-diode: Fundamentals and Fabrication. *IEEE Xplore*, 1998. 1(1): 407–412.

32. Simon, F. and Muranyi, F. ESR Spectrometer with a Loop-gap Resonator for CW and Time Resolved Studies in a Superconducting Magnet. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance*, 2005. 173(2): 288–295.
33. McPeak, J. E., Quine, R. W., Eaton, S. S. and Eaton, G. R. An X Band Continuous Wave Saturation Recovery Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Spectrometer Based on an Arbitrary Waveform Generator. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 2019. 90(024102): 1–11.
34. Gabbasov, B., Gafurov, M., Starshova, A., Shurtakova, D., Murzakhanov, F., Mamin, G. and Orlinskii, S. Conventional, Pulsed and High-field Electron Paramagnetic Resonance for Studying Metal Impurities in Calcium Phosphates of Biogenic and Synthetic Origins. *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, 2019. 470(8): 109–117.
35. Annino, G., Cassettari, M., Fittipaldi, M., Longo, I., Martinelli, M., Massa, C. A. and Pardi, L. A. High-field, Multifrequency EPR Spectroscopy using Whispering Gallery Dielectric Resonators. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance*, 2000. 143(2): 88–94.
36. Annino, G., Cassettari, M., Longo, I. and Martinelli, M. Dielectric Resonators in ESR: Overview, Comments and Perspectives. *Applied Magnetic Resonance*, 1999. 16(1): 45–62.
37. Sotgiut, A. and Gualtieri, G. Cavity Resonator for in vivo ESR Spectroscopy. *Journal Physics E: Scientific Instruments*, 1985. 18: 899–901.
38. Yoshikawa, H. and Nakayama, A. Measurements of Complex Permittivity at Millimeter-wave Frequencies with an End-loaded Cavity Resonator. *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques*, 2008. 56(8): 2001–2007.
39. Sienkiewicz, A., Qu, K. and Scholes, C. P. Dielectric Resonator Based Stopped Flow Electron Paramagnetic Resonance. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 1994. 65(1): 68–74.
40. Okaya, A. and Barash, L. The Dielectric Microwave Resonator. *Proceeding of the IRE*, 1962. 50(10): 2081–2092.
41. Yap, Y. S., Yamamoto, H., Tabuchi, Y., Negoro, M., Kagawa, A. and Kitagawa, M. Strongly Driven Electron Spins using a Ku Band Stripline Electron

- Paramagnetic Resonance Resonator. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance*, 2013. 232: 62–67.
42. Roy, S., Saha, S., Sarkar, J. and Mitra, C. Development of Planar Microstrip Resonators for Electron Spin Resonance Spectroscopy. *European Physical Journal Applied Physics*, 2020. 90(3): 1–7.
 43. Cebulka, R. and Barco, E. D. Sub-Kelvin (100 mK) Time Resolved Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Spectroscopy for Studies of Quantum Dynamics of Low-dimensional Spin Systems at Low Frequencies and Magnetic field. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 2019. 90(085106): 1–7.
 44. Quddusi, H. M., Ramsey, C. M. and Gonzalez-Pons, J. C. On-chip Integration of High-frequency Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Spectroscopy and Hall-effect Magnetometry. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 2008. 79(7): 1–17.
 45. Oates, D. E., Anderson, A. C., Sheen, D. M. and Ali, S. M. Stripline Resonator Measurements of Z versus H in YBaCO Thin Films. *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory And Techniques*, 1991. 39(9): 1522–1529.
 46. Ramo, S., Whinnery, J. and Duzer, T. V. *Fields and Waves in Communication Electronics*. Third Edition. Hoboken, New Jersey. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. 1994.
 47. Balanis, C. A. *Antenna Theory*. Third Edition. Hoboken, New Jersey. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. 2005.
 48. Ismail, K., Baba, N. H., Awang, Z. and Esa, M. Microwave Characterization of Silicon Wafer using Rectangular Dielectric Waveguide. *IEEE Xplore*, 2006. 1(1): 411–415.
 49. Rosenbaum, F. J. Dielectric Cavity Resonator for ESR Experiments. *The Review of Scientific Instruments*, 1964. 35(11): 1550–1554.
 50. Carter, D. L. and Okaya, A. Electron Paramagnetic Resonance of Fe³⁺ in Rutile. *Physical Review*, 1960. 118(6): 1485–1490.
 51. Zoopfl, D. *Characterisation of Stripline Resonators in a Waveguide*. Master of science. University of Innsbruck. 2017.
 52. Hussain, A. A. and Hussain, W. A. Dielectric Properties of Epoxy BaTiO₃ Composites. *Journal of Basrah Researches (Sciences)*, 2010. 36(3): 1–8.

53. Ohring, M. *Engineering Material Science*. San Diego, California. Academic Press Inc. 1995.
54. Midya, M., Bhattacharjee, S. and Mitra, M. Compact CPW-Fed Circularly Polarized Antenna for WLAN Application. *Progress in Electromagnetics Research M*, 2018. 67: 65–73.
55. Kiers, C. T., Boer, J. L., Olthof, R. and Spek, A. L. The Crystal Structure of a 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) Modification. *Acta Crystallographica*, 1976. 32(8): 2297–2305.
56. DPPH, 2020. URL: <https://www.sigmaaldrich.com/>.
57. Ueda, H., Kuri, Z. and Shida, S. Electron Spin Resonance Studies of DPPH Solutions. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 1965. 36(6): 1676–1678.
58. Garcia, E. J., Oldoni, T. L. C., Alencar, S. M., Reis, A., Loguercio, A. D. and Grande, R. H. M. Antioxidant Activity by DPPH Assay of Potential Solutions to be Applied on Bleached Teeth. *Brazilian Dental Journal*, 2012. 23(1): 22–27.
59. Ahmad, U. G., Shukur, S. and Ridwan, A. I. Study and Determination of Lande g-Factor of DPPH using Electron Spin Resonance Spectrometer. *International Journal of Engineering Science and Computing*, 2016. 6(4): 3811–3814.
60. Misra, S. K. *Multifrequency Electron Paramagnetic Resonance: Data and Technique*. Weinheim, Germany. Wiley-VCH. 2011.
61. Curve Fitting Toolbox User Guide, 2020. URL: <https://www.mathworks.com/>.
62. Kuno, M. *Precise Control of Quantum Electron Spin by Using Stripine Resonator in Ku Band*. Master of science. Osaka University. 2017.
63. RT/duroid 6035HTC Fabrication Guideline, 2020. URL: <http://www.rogerscorp.com>.
64. Microwave and RF Materials Guide, 2020. URL: <https://www.arlonemd.com/>.
65. Smith, B. W. and Suzuki, K. *Microlithography Science and Technology*. Second Edition. Florida, USA. CRC Press. 2007.
66. EE-527 Microfabrication, Photolithography, 2013. URL: <https://http://www.ifsc.usp.br/>.

67. Twig, Y., Suhovoy, E. and Blank, A. Sensitive Surface Loop-gap Microresonators for Electron Spin Resonance. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 2010. 81(104703): 1–11.
68. Hurshkainen, A., Nikulin, A., Georget, E. and Larrat, B. A Novel Metamaterial Inspired RF-coil for Preclinical Dual Nuclei MRI. *Scientific Reports*, 2018. 8(9190): 1–13.
69. Technical Information, 2020. URL: <https://www.rfsworld.com>.
70. Zilic, D. and Dalal, B. R. N. S. Study of the Local Field Distribution on a Single-molecule Magnet–by a Single Paramagnetic Crystal; a DPPH Crystal on the Surface of an Mn¹²-Acetate Crystal. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 2011. 110(093909): 1–6.
71. Boero, G., Bouterfas, M., Massin, C., Vincent, F., Besse, P. A. and Popovic, R. S. Electron-spin Resonance Probe Based on a 100 um Planar Microcoil. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 2003. 74(11): 4794–4798.
72. Trommer, H., Bottcher, R., Pooppl, A., Hoentsch, J., Wartewig, S. and Neubert, R. H. H. Role of Ascorbic Acid in Stratum Corneum Lipid Models Exposed to UV Irradiation. *Pharmaceutical Research*, 2002. 19(7): 982–990.
73. Ramos, P. and Pilawa, B. Effect of UV irradiation on Echinaceae Purpureae Interactions with Free Radicals Examined by an X-band (9.3 GHz) EPR Spectroscopy. *Medicinal Chemistry Research*, 2014. 24: 645–651.
74. Morton, J. J. L. and Bertet, P. Storing Quantum Information in Spins and High-sensitivity ESR. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance*, 2018. 287(1): 128–139.