

GAP PROFILE MODEL OF ELECTRICAL DISCHARGE MACHINING
PROCESS TO PREDICT MATERIAL REMOVAL RATE

DANA DEHGHANI

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

GAP PROFILE MODEL OF ELECTRICAL DISCHARGE MACHINING
PROCESS TO PREDICT MATERIAL REMOVAL RATE

DANA DEGHANI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Electrical Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JULY 2021

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, professors, specialists and friends, they have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts.

First, I would like to thank my family for encouraging me in all of my pursuits and inspiring me to follow my dreams. I am especially grateful to my parents, who supported me emotionally and financially. This journey would not have been possible without their support.

In particular, I am very thankful to my project supervisor Dr. Azli Bin Yahya for all his invaluable direction, expertise and kindness as well as encouragement to write papers and complete my thesis.

A big thanks goes out to my good friend and colleague, Liyana for all her kindness and helps in different ways.

I also wish to appreciate rest of my colleagues in Bio-automation Laboratory: Azhar, Kartiko, Beta and Ade for many worthy discussions, their listening and the fun times in the completion of this study.

Last but not least, my humble gratitude and deepest appreciation goes to Dr. Shahraray and Dr. Ashtari for their consult and encouragement either directly or indirectly.

ABSTRACT

Electrical discharge machining (EDM) is a non-traditional material removal technique using an electrical spark-erosion process in the presence of dielectric liquid where electrode and workpiece are not in physical contact. Although EDM is widely implemented in the manufacturing industry, knowledge about the process is still at an early stage, which poses many challenges for further development. Experimental analysis is time-consuming and costly due to the highly stochastic and complex nature of the process. Thus, research efforts are directed toward process modeling to study EDM behaviour by eliminating experimental difficulties. Developed models are mostly centred on only one sparking phase, especially the discharge or ignition phase. In order to achieve a complete understanding of machining behaviour, it is essential to consider all sparking phases. This research presents a mathematical model of EDM gap profile by introducing an equivalent circuit of gap spark. This is to reach precise insight into the interactive behaviour of the machining process regarding ignition, discharge and recovery phases. The equivalent circuit model is designed based on the sparking phases and pulse power generator. Buck converter and transistor-based switching circuits are used to provide suitable pulsed voltage. Spark circuit is employed to obtain mathematical equations of gap profile for studying the time-varying behaviour of the EDM process through Matlab simulation. In order to validate the model, simulated data are first compared with previous experimental data and then with data from the EDM operation manual, both in term of Material Removal Rate (MRR). It is shown that the simulated model can predict the dynamic behavior of the EDM process with an average simulated error of about 8.27% for steel workpiece and copper electrode and about 7.93% for steel workpiece and graphite electrode. Comparison with MRR from the EDM manual also showed an average error of 10.10%, which is acceptable to standardize the validation process. Besides, the consistency range of the model is confirmed at noise power $n_p \leq 10^{-5}J$ with an average error of 11.15% for steel workpiece and copper electrode. Then, a parametric study of simulated MRR is carried out to investigate the effect of pulse on-time and peak gap current on MRR. Research conducted shows that the MRR increased by increasing pulse on-time and peak gap current up to peak value of pulse on-time for each peak discharge current. Finally, based on the EDM discharge self-sustaining condition, gap discharge closed-loop structure is formed via discharge model to evaluate the discharge stability. The Influence of peak discharge current on the response time of the system is analyzed using frequency response method. It is found that increasing peak discharge current results in slower system time response and improves the discharge stability. This study can be helpful to reveal the mechanism of EDM, predict the machining time, maintain the discharge stability, and select the process parameters.

ABSTRAK

Pemesinan nyahcas elektrik (EDM) adalah teknik bukan konvensional yang digunakan untuk menyingkirkan bahan menggunakan proses percikan-hakisan dengan kehadiran cecair dielektrik, di mana elektrod dan bahan kerja tidak bersentuhan secara fizikal. Walaupun EDM digunakan secara meluas dalam industri pembuatan, pengetahuan dalam pemesinan ini masih berada di tahap awal dan masih mempunyai banyak cabaran dalam perkembangan seterusnya. Analisis eksperimental memakan masa dan melibatkan kos yang mahal kerana sifat proses yang sangat stokastik dan kompleks. Oleh itu, usaha penyelidikan dilakukan dalam bentuk pemodelan proses untuk mengkaji tingkah laku proses EDM dengan mengelakkan kesukaran melakukan eksperimen. Model yang telah dibangunkan sebelum ini kebanyakannya hanya berfokus pada satu fasa percikan, terutamanya fasa nyahcas atau pencucuhan. Untuk mendapatkan pemahaman yang menyeluruh mengenai tingkah laku pemesinan, adalah penting untuk mempertimbangkan semua fasa percikan. Penyelidikan ini mempersembahkan model matematik profil jurang EDM dengan memperkenalkan litar pencucuhan jurang yang setara. Ini bagi mendapatkan gambaran dan kefahaman yang lebih jelas dan tepat mengenai tingkah laku interaktif dalam proses pemesinan berkaitan fasa pencucuhan, menyahcas dan pemulihan. Model litar setara direka berdasarkan fasafasa percikan dan penjana kuasa nadi. Litar pensuisan Buck converter dan transistor digunakan untuk memberikan denyut voltan yang sesuai. Litar percikan digunakan untuk mendapatkan persamaan matematik daripada profil jurang bagi mengkaji karektor perubahan masa proses EDM melalui simulasi Matlab. Untuk mengesahkan model, data yang disimulasikan terlebih dahulu dibandingkan dengan data eksperimen yang diperolehi dari kajian sebelum ini dan kemudian dibandingkan dengan data dari manual operasi EDM, kedua-duanya dari segi kadar hakisan bahan (MRR). Model simulasi menunjukkan tingkah laku dinamik proses EDM dapat diramal dengan ralat simulasi 8.27% bagi bahan kerja keluli dan elektrod tembaga dan 7.93% bagi bahan kerja keluli dan elektrod grafit. Perbandingan dengan MRR dari manual EDM juga menunjukkan ralat 10.10% di mana ianya dapat diterima untuk menyeragamkan proses pengesanan. Selain itu, julat konsistensi model pada kuasa gangguan, $n_p \leq 10^{-5} J$ telah disahkan dengan ralat 11.15% bagi bahan kerja keluli dan elektrod tembaga. Kemudian, kajian parametrik simulasi dijalankan untuk mengkaji kesan denyut nadi tepat waktu dan arus jurang puncak ke atas MRR. Penyelidikan yang dijalankan menunjukkan bahawa MRR meningkat dengan meningkatnya denyut nadi tepat waktu dan arus jurang puncak hingga nilai puncak denyut tepat waktu untuk setiap arus nyahcas puncak. Akhirnya, berdasarkan kelestarian nyahcas EDM, struktur gelung tertutup nyahcas jurang dibentuk melalui model penyahcas untuk menilai kestabilan cas. Pengaruh arus nyahcas puncak pada masa tindak balas sistem dianalisis menggunakan kaedah sambutan frekuensi. Didapati bahawa peningkatan arus nyahcas puncak menghasilkan sambutan masa sistem yang lebih perlahan dan meningkatkan kestabilan nyahcas. Kajian ini dapat membantu untuk mendedahkan mekanisma EDM, meramalkan masa pemesinan, menjaga kestabilan nyahcas dan memilih parameter proses.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvii
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Objectives	4
	1.4 Scope of Research	4
	1.5 Research Novelty	5
	1.6 Limitation	6
	1.7 Significance of Study	6
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	8
	2.1 Introduction	8
	2.2 Electrical Discharge Machining System	8
	2.3 Types of EDM	11
	2.3.1 Die-sinking EDM	12
	2.3.2 Wire-cut EDM	12
	2.4 Pulse Power Generator	13
	2.4.1 Power Supply	14

2.4.2	Pulse Generator	15
2.5	EDM Process Parameters and Performance Measures	18
2.6	Theoretical Model of Electrical Discharge Machining	20
2.6.1	Theoretical Model Based on the Heat Source Power	21
2.6.2	Theoretical Model Based on the Configuration of a Single-Spark Cavity Formed	23
2.6.3	Theoretical model Based on the Delay Time	25
2.6.4	Mathematical Model Based on the Field Emission Theory	27
2.6.5	Theoretical Model Based on the Artificial Neural Network	30
2.6.6	Theoretical Model Based on the Parasitic Parameters	31
2.6.7	Theoretical Model Based on the Transistor Resistor Pulse Generator	33
2.6.8	Theoretical Model Based on the Gap Equivalent Resistant	34
2.7	Significance of Process Parameters Affected the MRR	39
2.8	Maintaining EDM Discharge Self-Sustaining Condition	41
2.9	Summary	44
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	45
3.1	Introduction	45
3.2	Research Steps and Flowchart	45
3.3	Mathematical Modeling of EDM Process	48
3.3.1	Circuit Design Procedure	48
3.3.2	Mathematical Equation	51
3.3.3	Model Validation	52
3.4	System Analysis	55
3.5	Summary	56
CHAPTER 4	MODELING AND SIMULATION OF EDM PROCESS	58
4.1	Introduction	58
4.2	Description of Model for EDM Process	58
4.2.1	Circuit Operation of Pulse Power Generator Part	59

4.2.2	Circuit Operation of EDM Spark Part	61
4.2.2.1	Ignition Phase	62
4.2.2.2	Discharge Phase	64
4.2.2.3	Recovery Phase	68
4.3	Simulation of the Mathematical Model	71
4.4	Summary	78
CHAPTER 5	RESULT AND DISCUSSION	79
5.1	Introduction	79
5.2	Verification the Model of the EDM Process	79
5.2.1	Assess the Comparability of the Experimental Data	80
5.2.2	Collection of Experimental Data	80
5.2.3	Prediction of MRR	81
5.2.4	Model Validation using Steel Workpiece and Copper Electrode	81
5.2.5	Model Validation using Steel Workpiece and Graphite Electrode	89
5.2.6	Model Standardization of the Validation Process using Anotronic EDM manual	94
5.3	Peak Discharge Current against MRR	96
5.4	Pulse On-Time against MRR	97
5.5	Analysis of Maintaining Peak Discharge Current through Gap Discharge Closed-Loop Structure	97
5.5.1	Simplification of the EDM Model during Discharge Phase	98
5.5.2	Gap Discharge Closed-Loop Model	99
5.5.3	Evaluation the Effect of Peak Discharge Current on Stability of Maintaining Discharge Current	102
5.6	Summary	107
CHAPTER 6	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS	109
6.1	Conclusion	109
6.2	Suggestion for Future Research	110
REFERENCES		111

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Summary of theoretical models	38
Table 3.1	Values of κ as a function of peak discharge current at maximal erosion rate for $\alpha = 2.1 \times 10^{-12} \text{ m}^3\text{J}^{-1}$ [66]	54
Table 3.2	(a) Values of κ for process 3 at $V_{dis}= 22.7\text{V}$ and $I_{dis} = 18 \text{ A}$, (b) Average values of κ (κ_{avg}) [66]	54
Table 4.1	Simulation parameters	73
Table 5.1	Comparison between experimental [22] and simulated (predicted) MRR without and with noise consideration for steel workpiece and copper electrode at $I_{dis}= 4\text{A}$	82
Table 5.2	Comparison between experimental [22] and simulated (predicted) MRR without and with noise consideration for steel workpiece and copper electrode at $I_{dis}= 6\text{A}$	83
Table 5.3	Comparison between experimental [22] and simulated (predicted) MRR without and with noise consideration for steel workpiece and copper electrode at $I_{dis}= 8.5\text{A}$	83
Table 5.4	Comparison between experimental [22] and simulated (predicted) MRR without and with noise consideration for steel workpiece and copper electrode at $I_{dis}=12.5\text{A}$	84
Table 5.5	Comparison between experimental [22] and simulated (predicted) MRR without and with noise consideration for steel workpiece and copper electrode at $I_{dis}=18\text{A}$	84
Table 5.6	Comparison between experimental [22] and simulated (predicted) MRR without and with noise consideration for steel workpiece and copper electrode at $I_{dis}=25\text{A}$	85
Table 5.7	Comparison between experimental [22] and simulated (predicted) MRR without and with noise consideration for steel workpiece and copper electrode at $I_{dis}=36\text{A}$	85
Table 5.8	Comparison between experimental [22] and simulated (predicted) MRR without and with noise consideration for steel workpiece and copper electrode at $I_{dis}=50\text{A}$	86
Table 5.9	Comparison between experimental [22] and simulated (predicted) MRR for steel workpiece and graphite electrode at $I_{dis}=6\text{A}$	89

Table 5.10	Comparison between experimental [22] and simulated (predicted) MRR for steel workpiece and graphite electrode at $I_{dis}=8A$	90
Table 5.11	Comparison between experimental [22] and simulated (predicted) MRR for steel workpiece and graphite electrode at $I_{dis}=12.5A$	90
Table 5.12	Comparison between experimental [22] and simulated (predicted) MRR for steel workpiece and graphite electrode at $I_{dis}=18A$	91
Table 5.13	Comparison between experimental [22] and simulated (predicted) MRR for steel workpiece and graphite electrode at $I_{dis}=25A$	91
Table 5.14	Comparison between experimental [22] and simulated (predicted) MRR for steel workpiece and graphite electrode at $I_{dis}=37.5A$	92
Table 5.15	Comparison between experimental [22] and simulated (predicted) MRR for steel workpiece and graphite electrode at $I_{dis}=50A$	92
Table 5.16	Comparison between MRR in EDM Anotronic manufacturing manual [23] and simulated (predicted) MRR for steel workpiece and copper electrode at $V_{dis}=40V$	95
Table 5.17	Comparison between MRR in EDM Anotronic manufacturing manual [23] and simulated (predicted) MRR for steel workpiece and copper electrode at $V_{dis}=50V$	96
Table 5.18	Routh's array for the closed-loop model	103
Table 5.19	Stability margins and their corresponding values for different peak discharge currents	107

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Basic EDM system [22]	9
Figure 2.2	Gap states in EDM process during ignition phase [36]	10
Figure 2.3	Gap states in EDM process during discharge phase [36]	11
Figure 2.4	Gap states in EDM process during recovery phase [36]	11
Figure 2.5	Die-sinking EDM [11]	12
Figure 2.6	Wire-cut EDM [37]	13
Figure 2.7	Diagram of the EDM power supply utilizing a full-bridge series-parallel resonant converter [44]	14
Figure 2.8	The topology of the power supply [45]	15
Figure 2.9	RC-type EDM pulse generator [51]	16
Figure 2.10	Gap voltage and current profile of RC-type EDM pulse generator [53]	16
Figure 2.11	Transistor-type EDM pulse generator [51]	17
Figure 2.12	Ideal gap voltage and current waveforms of transistor-type EDM generator [58]	18
Figure 2.13	EDM Process parameters	19
Figure 2.14	EDM spark profile [51]	20
Figure 2.15	Point heat-source model of EDM [9]	22
Figure 2.16	Normal distribution [80]	24
Figure 2.17	Evaluation of discharge delay time using Laue plot [8].	26
Figure 2.18	Circuit of discharge for through-hole EDM process [7]	28
Figure 2.19	Plate capacitor model for through-hole EDM process [7]	28
Figure 2.20	Structure of the Neural Network model [3]	30
Figure 2.21	Schematics of the electrical circuit model based using RC-type generator [19]	31
Figure 2.22	Simplified electrical model of the discharge process [19]	32
Figure 2.23	Simulation circuit of spark discharge gap model [19]	33

Figure 2.24	Simulated waveforms of gap voltage and gap current [19]	34
Figure 2.25	Waveforms of gap voltage and gap current [6]	35
Figure 2.26	Equivalent resistor based EDM discharge circuit [6]	36
Figure 2.27	Petro chart [99]	40
Figure 2.28	Typical waveforms of gap voltage and gap current during experimental observation [106]	42
Figure 2.29	Main discharge circuit diagram of pulse power [20]	43
Figure 2.30	Analysis diagram of formation process of maintaining EDM discharge [20]	44
Figure 3.1	Flowchart of study	47
Figure 3.2	Block diagram of EDM process model	48
Figure 3.3	Configuration of AC-DC buck converter [112]	49
Figure 3.4	EDM system utilizing transistorized switching circuit in the role of the pulse generator [114]	50
Figure 3.5	Spark profile of gap voltage V_{gap} and gap current I_{gap} during one spark machining cycle t_{mach} over time [22]	51
Figure 4.1	Equivalent electric circuit model for EDM process	59
Figure 4.2	Circuit model of the EDM spark in ignition phase	62
Figure 4.3	Electrode and workpiece in (a) ignition phase, (b) discharge phase.	64
Figure 4.4	Circuit model of the EDM spark in discharge phase	65
Figure 4.5	Circuit model of the EDM spark in recovery phase	68
Figure 4.6	Time divisions for S_2 , S_3 , V_{gap} and I_{gap} during one spark cycle	70
Figure 4.7	Matlab design of the EDM process using AC to DC buck converter	74
Figure 4.8	Simulation results included V_{gap} and I_{gap} for (a) $I_{dis}=50$ A, $F_s=4.41$ KHz, (b) $I_{dis}=25$ A, $F_s=8.77$ KHz, (c) $I_{dis}=12.5$ A, $F_s=17.24$ KHz, (d) $I_{dis}=4$ A, $F_s=83.33$ KHz	75
Figure 4.9	Simulation results after inserting noise included V_{gap} and I_{gap} for (a) $I_{dis}=50$ A, $F_s=4.41$ KHz, (b) $I_{dis}=25$ A, $F_s=8.77$ KHz	77
Figure 5.1	Material removal rate without noise insertion in term of pulse on-time for simulation and experiment using steel workpiece and copper electrode	88

Figure 5.2	Material removal rate in term of pulse on-time for simulation and experiment using steel workpiece and graphite electrode	93
Figure 5.3	Electric circuit block of the EDM model considering V_{rms} as the input voltage	98
Figure 5.4	Complex frequency domain circuit of the simplified EDM discharge model	100
Figure 5.5	Block diagrams of EDM gap discharge model	101
Figure 5.6	Reduced block diagram of EDM gap discharge closed-loop model	101
Figure 5.7	Open-loop bode plots for (a) $I_{dis}=4$ A, (b) $I_{dis}=25$ A, (c) $I_{dis}=50$ A	105

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

EDM	-	Electrical Discharge Machining
WEDM	-	Wire Electrical Discharge Machining
PMEDM	-	Powder Mixed Electrical Discharge Machining
MRR	-	Material Removal Rate
TWR	-	Tool Wear Rate
SQ	-	Surface Quality
SR	-	Surface Roughness
DC	-	Direct Current
AC	-	Alternating Current
AGIE	-	Australian Government Indigenous Expenditure
HAZ	-	Heat Affected Zone
ANN	-	Artificial Neural Network
FFANN	-	Feed Forward Artificial Neural Network
SMA	-	Shape Memory Alloy
MMC	-	Metal Matrix Composites
PH	-	Precipitation Hardening
ERFC	-	Complementary Error Function
CCM	-	Continuous Conduction Mode
DCM	-	Discontinuous Conduction Mode
BCM	-	Boundary Conduction Mode
MOSFET	-	Metal Oxide Silicon Field Effect Transistor
RMS	-	Root Mean Square
EMI	-	Electromagnetic Interference

LIST OF SYMBOLS

V_{gap}	- Gap voltage
V_{dis}	- Gap discharge voltage
V_{oc}	- Highest gap voltage
V_{rms}	- RMS value of rectified input voltage
V_{R_s}	- Voltage across current limiting resistor
V_m	- Maximum AC voltage
V_L	- Voltage across inductor
V_{in}	- Pulsed input voltage
V_{Int}	- Voltage of intermediate node
V_t	- Inter-electrode voltage at t second
V	- Rectified utility voltage
V_{pp}	- Minimum ripple of DC voltage
v_s	- AC input voltage
U	- Output voltage of buck converter
u_{Ce}	- discharge capacitor voltage
U_{sum}	- Sum of the gap voltage, the workpiece voltage, and the sampling resistor voltage.
u_{ch}	- Equivalent voltage of plasma channel
u_e	- Spark maintaining voltage
u_{R_x}	- Voltage across the equivalent resistance of workpiece
u_{R_s}	- Voltage across the sampling resistor
I_{gap}	- Gap current
I_{dis}	- Peak discharge current
$I_{R_{ig}}$	- Current through equivalent ignition resistor
$I_{R_{dis}}$	- Current through equivalent discharge resistor
i_e	- Peak current
I_L	- Inductor current
T_s	- Period of Switch S

T_b	- Boiling temperature
T_0	- Ambient temperature
T	- Temperature distribution
t	- Time
t_{on}	- Pulse on-time
t_{off}	- Pulse off-time
t_{on_s}	- Switch S on-time duration
t_{off_s}	- Switch S off-time duration
t_{opt}	- Optimum pulse on-time
t_e	- Pulse duration
t_d	- Delay time
$t_{d,ave}$	- Average delay time
t_{mach}	- Spark machining cycle
F_s	- Spark frequency
f_s	- Frequency of the utility supply
f_{s_1}	- Switching frequency of buck converter
$f(x)$	- Probability density function
x_a	- Value of vertical height
$area$	- machining area
a_w	- Thermal diffusivity
W_e	- Pulse energy
W_{pc}	- Phase cut-off frequency
W_{gc}	- Gain cut-off frequency
Gm	- Gain margin
Pm	- Phase margin
N	- Number of single pulse discharge
n	- Number of discharges which did not occur
n_p	- Noise power
R	- Resistor
R_{ch}	- Equivalent resistance of plasma channel
R_g	- Gap equivalent resistor
R_p	- Cable resistor

R_g	-	Gap equivalent resistor
R_s	-	Current limiting-resistor
R_{d1}	-	Wire-distributed resistance
R_{ig}	-	Equivalent ignition resistor
R_{dis}	-	Equivalent discharge resistor
R_{ci}	-	Resistance of discharging circuit
R_{melt}	-	Radius of the eroded cavity
R_{Pp}	-	Resistance of positive pole
R_{Np}	-	Resistance of negative pole
r_c	-	Radial coordinate
r	-	Debris particles diameter
RC	-	Resistor-capacitor
$conc$	-	concentration of debris particles
C	-	Capacitor
C_e	-	Discharge capacitor
C_g	-	Gap equivalent capacitor
C_t	-	Heat capacity
C_p	-	Sum of the parasitic capacitances
C_d	-	Distributed capacitance
S_{Np}	-	Surface area of the discharge plate
d	-	Gap width
D	-	Diode
D_s	-	Duty cycle of MOSFET switch S
D_{t_0}	-	Diameter of the electrode
D_{peak}	-	Number of surface peak
S	-	MOSFET switch
ρ	-	Density of the workpiece material
P_w	-	Control signal applied to the switch S
P_{curr}	-	Average height of peak
ΔP_{anode}	-	Power toward anode
Q	-	Heat flux

L	- Inductor
L_g	- Gap equivalent inductor
L_m	- Latent heats of melting
L_P	- Cable inductor
L_d	- Distributed inductance
L_v	- Latent heats of vaporization
L_{dis}	- Equivalent discharge inductor
L_g	- Gap of discharging
Q	- Transistor switch
E	- Electric field intensity
E_t	- Inter-electrode electric-field strength at the time t
$E_{t_{ch}}$	- Electric-field intensity at the moment that discharge channel formed
ε_p	- Medium dielectric constant before field emission
ε_0	- Vacuum dielectric constant
λ_v	- Effective machining time ratio
κ_t	- Thermal conductivity
κ	- Dimensionless constant
κ_{avg}	- Average value of κ
α	- Material properties factor
σ	- Standard deviation
∞	- Infinity

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Electrical Discharge Machining (EDM) is the most extensively used method for hole making among other known manufacturing processes with applications on the production floor . Non-contact character of EDM makes it a valuable technique for variety of hole manufacturing approaches, for example, in medical, aerospace, automotive and chemical industries as well as manufacturing of hard material devices [1]. In fact, for certain machining operations, the EDM procedure may be the only possible method to meet complex hole requirements.

Although a large number of EDM devices are sold every year, current knowledge about the process is still insufficient for its more development. Nonlinear and stochastic characteristics of EDM process vary the machining situations throughout the entire machining process [2]. It is mostly a phenomenon that the machining goes to an unstable degenerate machining condition and makes it difficult to experimentally study the effects of process parameters on the different performance measures of EDM. So, modeling is required to provide a deep understanding of the EDM process by removing experimental challenges. Numerous models have been presented in order to study different aspects of EDM performance. Models are designed to predict the material removal rate [3, 4] and surface quality [5, 6] , to describe and analyze the discharge process in pulse time [7], to determine the discharge location [8] as well as to identify the erodibility and optimal value of pulse time [9]. It has been seen that there is a lack of a model to describe the EDM process throughout all machining phases of spark. EDM process is mainly composed of three phases, including ignition, discharge and recovery. Although these phases are physically different, they affect each other significantly which influences the machining performance [10].

Material Removal Rate (MRR), Tool Wear Rate (TWR) and Surface Quality (SQ) are some of the EDM performance measurement. In this study, Material Removal Rate (MRR) considered as the dominant performance measurement, since it directly affects the cost of production even though it is not the only indicator to measure the EDM performance. MRR is achieved due to the thermal action of electrical discharges in the gap between the electrode and the workpiece [11]. The process depends on the various machining parameters such as gap current, pulse on-time, gap voltage, dielectric and electrode materials [12]. However, the stochastic nature of the EDM process is an obstacle to understanding the influence of these parameters on MRR.

Material removal only takes place in discharge phase. In this phase, the thermal behavior is affected by a discharge channel produced as the dielectric gap breaks down [13]. The breakdown is occurred by an electric field which is higher than the dielectric breakdown field strength [14]. After breakdown, the whole discharge process occurs in the gas environment [15]. Based on the gas break down theory of townsend [16], strength of the electric field is positively correlated with maintaining discharge stability. Gap current plays an important rule to maintain the electric field strength during discharge. Thus, study the influence of the gap current on the stability of maintaining discharge is necessary to improve the process efficiency.

This study seeks to focus on the mathematical modeling and simulation of the EDM process via spark generator design. Mathematical model is developed on the basis of the sparking phases and pulse power generator. Pulse power generator is used to provide required DC voltage for EDM spark utilizing buck converter and transistor based switching circuit. Spark circuit also designed to provide gap profile based on the ignition, discharge and recovery phases. The mathematical model first without noise and then with the maximum noise power, which still results in the consistent model, is implemented using Matlab software. Model validation is conducted by comparing simulation results with the experimental results carried out by the previous scholar and standard data from Antronic EDM manual in term of MRR. The Simulated model is then used to analyze the effect of pulse on-time and gap current as two important process parameters on MRR in the absence of environmental noise. Since concern on machining stability has been rising, this study also investigated the effect of peak

discharge current on discharge stability employing the frequency analysis method. This research is important to reveal EDM mechanism and to select optimal process parameters by reducing the cost and time related to the experimental operations.

1.2 Problem Statement

Study the EDM process is necessary to improve its performance. Although material removal occurs in discharge phase, a good description of EDM process requires knowledge of time and spatial condition existed in gap profile including ignition, discharge and recovery phases [17, 18]. However, EDM process has dynamic and highly stochastic nature. So, during machining, the gap size changes randomly cause abnormal discharges including open circuit and short circuit pulses which effect on gap profile. Therefore, during experimental trail, it is necessary to set up the optimum conditions for EDM operation. But, this setting procedure is an iterative and time consuming process.

So, modeling is an alternative way to understand the mechanism underlying the EDM process by removing difficulties related to the real machining experience. Researchers have proposed various models with the aim of predicting EDM behaviour in different forms. Most of the models are suggested based on the discharge process to investigate machining characteristics [6, 19, 20]. Studies of ignition mechanism have also been conducted to give more insight on chaotic nature of EDM process [21]. From previous studies it can be concluded that existing models are focused on the prediction of EDM behaviour only during one sparking phase and less attention are given on the model to study EDM process regarding all of its sparking phases. The shortcoming of these models is that they are not able to explain the dynamic behaviour of the process thoroughly. Therefore, due to the lack of such model, this thesis proposes a mathematical model of the EDM process according to its sparking phases for investigation on the machining mechanism. **Matlab's simulated model is then used to study the effects of pulse on-time and gap current on MRR. Also, influence of peak discharge current on maintaining stability of discharge is analyzed.**

1.3 Objectives

This research will require to complete the following objectives:

- (a) To determine time domain mathematical model of EDM process during its sparking phases including ignition, discharge and recovery.
- (b) To analyze the impact of pulse on-time and peak discharge current on Material Removal Rate (MRR) through simulated model of EDM process.
- (c) To characterize the effect of peak discharge current on the system response and discharge stability via frequency response analysis of the EDM discharge model.

1.4 Scope of Research

The objectives of this study can be met conforming to the research scope as follows:

- (a) A critical literature review of the EDM system and its working principle. The review covered on pulse power generator, process parameters and machining performance measures, several theoretical models in predicting different aspects of EDM behaviour, effect of process parameters on machining performance, and different attempts to maintain discharge self-sustaining condition.
- (b) Mathematical modeling and simulation of the EDM process by considering sparking phases and pulse power generator. As a preliminary aim of the model is to investigate dynamic behaviour of the process, in this thesis, the environmental noise is not considered. However, besides the simulation without noise, simulation is also conducted with maximum noise intensity that the system remains stable. The reason for simulation by applying the noise source is to show the stability range of the model.

- (c) A comparative study between simulated and experimental data [22] in order to determine validity of the simulated model in the form of Material Removal Rate (MRR). Although EDM process has stochastic nature, as mentioned in part (b), the proposed model in this study did not consider the noise. So, conduct the experimental test would not result in fair comparison between simulated and experimental data.

Therefore, the experimental data extracted from previously published work is applied to validate the model. The experimental system used gap control method to maintain an optimal gap distance during erosion process which is essential to recover from unstable machining condition. Robustness of the gap control method to overcome disturbances caused the comparability of the experimental data with simulated one in this thesis. Furthermore, adjustment have been done to reach constant value of delay time for achieving normal spark which makes the experimental data qualified for validation purpose in this thesis. Predicted MRR in present of noise is also compared with same experimental data using steel workpiece and copper electrode.

- (d) Confirmation of model validation process by comparing simulated data with dataset from [23] in term of MRR.
- (e) Analyze the effect of pulse on-time and peak discharge current on MRR based on the parametric study through the simulated model of the EDM process.
- (f) Study the influence of peak discharge current on stability of discharge via simulated discharge model of EDM process.

1.5 Research Novelty

This work has main approaches in the following aspects:

- (a) For the first time, an equivalent gap state model is introduced by considering all sparking phases to provide great contribution for studying EDM behaviour by removing experimental challenges.

- (b) Typically, discharge phase is considered to analyze effect of two main process parameters on MRR and to analyze effect of peak discharge current on its stability as well.

1.6 Limitation

The limitations of this thesis are as follows:

- (a) Validation of the model is limited according to the experimental data of previous researchers and data from operation manual.
- (b) In design the EDM model, output of power supply is limited to the almost similar value of highest gap voltage.
- (c) Modeling and simulation of the EDM process is limited to the noise-free environment.

1.7 Significance of Study

Electrical Discharge Machining (EDM) system is widely used in hole manufacturing, thus study on dynamic behaviour of EDM process is important to design better system in the future. Modeling is an effective way to understand the machining procedure as well as to saving time and cost related to experimental work. Study of EDM phases including ignition, discharge and recovery are significant to comprehend EDM performance. Simulated model is helpful to predict dynamic behaviour of the EDM process through its sparking phases.

MRR is main EDM performance attribute which is strongly affected by process parameters. Pulse on-time and peak discharge current are most important parameters effected on MRR. So, the study on their influence on MRR adds knowledge to improve machining performance. This thesis also aims to study influence of peak discharge current on stability of discharge self-sustaining stage through designed EDM model

during discharge. This investigation is essential to select peak discharge current in order to maintain discharge stability and improve the process efficiency.

REFERENCES

1. Tanjilul, M., Ahmed, A., Kumar, A.S. and Rahman, M. A study on EDM debris particle size and flushing mechanism for efficient debris removal in EDM-drilling of Inconel 718. *J Materials Processing Technology*, 2018. 255:263-274.
2. Dehghani, D., Yahya, A. and Khamis, N. Dynamic behaviour of EDM system through mathematical model. *Journal of physics: Conference Series (IOP publishing)*. 2020. 052001.
3. Andromeda, T. *Integrated control mechanism of electrical discharge machining system for higher material removal rate*. Ph.D. Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Johor. 2015.
4. Xin, B., Gao, M., Li, S. and Feng, B. Modeling of Interelectrode Gap in Electric Discharge Machining and Minimum Variance Self-Tuning Control of Interelectrode Gap. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2020.
5. Krishna, K. and Joshi, S. Modeling of surface roughness and the role of debris in micro-EDM. *Journal of Manufacturing Science Engineering*, 2007. 129(2):265-273.
6. Xin, B., Gao, M., Li, S. and Feng, B. Modeling of Interelectrode Gap in Electric Discharge Machining and Minimum Variance Self-Tuning Control of Interelectrode Gap. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2020. 2020.
7. Liu, S., Huang, Y. and Li, Y. A plate capacitor model of the EDM process based on the field emission theory. *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, 2011. 51(7-8):653-659.
8. Morimoto, K. and Kunieda, M. Sinking EDM simulation by determining discharge locations based on discharge delay time. *CIRP annals*, 2009. 58(1):221-224.
9. DiBitonto, D.D., Eubank, P.T., Patel, M.R. and Barrufet, M.A. Theoretical models of the electrical discharge machining process. I. A simple cathode erosion model. *Journal of applied physics*, 1989. 66(9):4095-4103.

10. Erden, A. Effect of materials on the mechanism of electric discharge machining (EDM). *Journal of Engineering Materials and Technology* 1983. 105:132–138.
11. Czelusniak, T.Higa, C.F.Torres, R.D.Laurindo, C.A.H.de Paiva Júnior, J.M.F.Lohrengel, A. and Amorim, F.L. Materials used for sinking EDM electrodes: a review. *Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering*, 2019. 41(1):14.
12. Soni, J. and Chakraverti, G. Experimental investigation on migration of material during EDM of die steel (T215 Cr12). *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 1996. 56(1-4):439-451.
13. Katz, Z. and Tibbles, C. Analysis of micro-scale EDM process. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2005. 25:923-928.
14. Matharou, G.S. A review on influence of different flushing methods on Material Removal Rate using EDM. *SAE*, 2019.
15. Shen, Y., Chen, J., Yang, Y., Zhang, K., Li, Y. and Zhu, B. Study on the characteristics of plasma channel based on multi-spark pulse discharge machining effect. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2018. 97(5-8):1745-1752.
16. Xiao, D. Fundamental theory of townsend discharge. *Energy and Environment Research in China Gas Discharge and Gas Insulation*, 2016. 6:47-88.
17. Yang, F., Bellotti, M., Hua, H., Yang, J., Qian, J. and Reynaerts, D. Experimental analysis of normal spark discharge voltage and current with a RC-type generator in micro-EDM. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2018. 96(5):2963-2972.
18. Martínez-Alvarado, R., Granda-Gutiérrez, E.E., Hernández-Rodríguez, A. and Praga-Alejo, R.J. Pulse Classification for an Electrochemical Discharge Machining Process Based on Fuzzy Logic Approach. *International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing*, 2020. 21(10):1807-1820.
19. Hua, H., Yang, F., Yang, J., Cao, Y., Li, C. and Peng, F. Reanalysis of discharge voltage of RC-type generator in Micro-EDM. *Procedia CIRP*, 2018. 68:625-630.

20. Fan, Y.-S. and Bai, J.-C. Study on volt-ampere characteristics of spark discharge for transistor resistor pulse power of EDM. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2018. 96(9):3019-3031.
21. Gatto, A., Sofroniou, M., Spaletta, G. and Bassoli, E. On the chaotic nature of electro-discharge machining. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2015. 79(5):985-996.
22. Yahya, A. *Digital control of an electro discharge machining (EDM) system*. Ph.D Thesis. Loughborough university, Leicestershire. 2005.
23. White, N. PC-Based EDM System CNC Operation Manual, June 2005. [Available from: <http://www.antronic.com>].
24. Zhu, Y., Liang, T., Gu, L. and Zhao, W. Precision machining of high aspect-ratio rotational part with wire electro discharge machining. *Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, 2017. 31(3):1391-1399.
25. Bilal, A., Jahan, M.P., Talamona, D. and Perveen, A. Electro-discharge machining of ceramics: A review. *Micromachines*, 2019. 10(1):10.
26. Li, C., Li, Y., Tong, H., Zhao, L., Kong, Q. and Wang, Z. An EDM pulse power generator and its feasible experiments for drilling film cooling holes. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2016. 87(5-8):1813-1821.
27. Zheng, J., Lai, X., Zhou, X., Chen, A. and Zheng, W. Non-pulsed energy modeling based on energy consumption subunits in wire electrical discharge machining (WEDM) process. *International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing*, 2019. 20(5):853-862.
28. Equbal, A., Equbal, M.I., Equbal, M.A. and Sood, A.K. An Insight on Current and Imminent Research Issues in EDM. *In: Non-Conventional Machining in Modern Manufacturing Systems*, IGI Global, 2019. 33-54.
29. Davim, J.P. Nontraditional machining processes. *Manufacturing process selection handbook*, 2013.205-226.
30. Mahmud, N., Yahya, A. and Andromeda, T. Electrical Discharge Machining Flyback Converter using UC3842 Current Mode PWM Controller. *Proceeding of the Electrical Engineering Computer Science and Informatics*, 2014. 1(1):311-314.

31. Minhat, A.E.B., Hj, N.H.B., Yahya, A.B., Andromeda, T. and Nugroho, K. Model of pulsed electrical discharge machining (EDM) using RL circuit. *International Journal of Power Electronics and Drive Systems*, 2014. 5(2):252.
32. Odulio, C.M.F., Sison, L.G. and Escoto, M.T. Energy-saving flyback converter for EDM applications. *IEEE Region 10 Annual International Conference Proceedings*. 2007. 1-6.
33. Aich, U. and Banerjee, S. Modeling of EDM responses by support vector machine regression with parameters selected by particle swarm optimization. *Applied Mathematical Modelling*, 2014. 38(11-12):2800-2818.
34. Muthuramalingam, T. and Mohan, B. Performance analysis of iso current pulse generator on machining characteristics in EDM process. *Archives of Civil and Mechanical engineering*, 2014. 14:383-390.
35. Dehghani, D., Yahya, A., Khamis, N.H. and Alzaidi, A.I. Dynamic Mathematical Model for Low Power Electrical Discharge Machining Applications. *Journal of Low Power Electronics*, 2019. 15(1):11-18.
36. Fan, Y.-S. and Bai, J.-C. Study on volt-ampere characteristics of spark discharge for transistor resistor pulse power of EDM. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2018. 96(9-12):3019-3031.
37. Choudhary, S.K. and Jadoun, R. Current advanced research development of electric discharge machining (EDM): a review. *International Journal of Research in Advent Technology*, 2014. 2(3):273-297.
38. Oberg, E. Machinery's Handbook 29th Edition-Full Book, *Industrial Press*, 2012.
39. Mwangi, J.W., Bui, V.D., Thüsing, K., Hahn, S., Wagner, M.F.-X. and Schubert, A. Characterization of the arcing phenomenon in micro-EDM and its effect on key mechanical properties of medical-grade Nitinol. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 2020. 275:116334.
40. Shah, A., Prajapati, V., Pavan Patel, A.P. and Be-iv, A.S. Development of pulsed power DC supply for micro EDM. *UGC National Conference on Advances in Computer Integrated Manufacturing*. 2007.
41. Eliezer, O.E., Feygin, G. and Mehta, J. High efficiency digital transmitter incorporating switching power supply and linear power amplifier. *Google Patents*, 2009.

42. Amanci, A.Z., Ruda, H.E. and Dawson, F.P. Load–source matching with dielectric isolation in high-frequency switch-mode power supplies. *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, 2015. 31(10):7123-7130.
43. Brown, M.C. Practical switching power supply design, *Elsevier*, 2012.
44. Casanueva, R., Azcondo, F.J. and Bracho, S. Series–parallel resonant converter for an EDM power supply. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 2004. 149(1-3):172-177.
45. Yang, F., Yang, J., Yao, K. and Hua, H. Adaptive Voltage Position Control for Pulse Power Supply in Electrical Discharge Machining. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 2018. 66(8):5895-5906.
46. Aparna, S. and Kasirathi, N. Series parallel resonant converter for Electrical Discharge Machining power supply. *1st International Conference on Electrical Energy Systems*. 2011. 28-33.
47. Lin, R.-L., Hsu, C.-C. and Changchien, S.-K. Interleaved four-phase buck-based current source with center-tapped energy-recovery scheme for electrical discharge machining. *IEEE transactions on power electronics*, 2010. 26(1):110-118.
48. Looser, A., Linares, L., Zwyssig, C. and Kolar, J.W. Novel power supply topology for large working gap dry EDM. *International Power Electronics Conference-ECCE ASIA-*. 2010. 306-310.
49. Yang, F., Qian, J., Wang, J. and Reynaerts, D. Simulation and experimental analysis of alternating-current phenomenon in micro-EDM with a RC-type generator. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 2018. 255:865-875.
50. Lazarenko, B. and Lazarenko, N. About the inversion of metal erosion and methods to fight ravage of electric contacts. *WEI-Institute, Moscow in Russian*, 1943.
51. Sheu, D.-Y. High-speed micro electrode tool fabrication by a twin-wire EDM system. *Journal of Micromechanics and Microengineering*, 2008. 18(10):2067-2070.
52. Sen, B., Kiyawat, N., Singh, P., Mitra, S., Ye, J. and Purkait, P. Developments in electric power supply configurations for electrical-discharge-machining (EDM). *Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Power Electronics and Drive Systems (PEDS)*. 2003. 1659-664.

53. Shin, H.S., Park, M.S. and Chu, C.N. Machining characteristics of micro EDM in water using high frequency bipolar pulse. *International Journal of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing*, 2011. 12(2):195-201.
54. Kunieda, M. Challenges to miniaturization in micro EDM. *Proceeding of the twenty-third annual meeting of the American Society for Precision Engineering and the twelfth ICPE Portland, Oregon*. 2008.
55. Singh, S. and Bhardwaj, A. Review to EDM by using water and powder-mixed dielectric fluid. *Journal of Minerals and Materials Characterization and Engineering*, 2011. 10(02):199.
56. Han, F., Chen, L., Yu, D. and Zhou, X. Basic study on pulse generator for micro-EDM. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2007. 33(5-6):474-479.
57. Han, F., Wachi, S. and Kunieda, M. Improvement of machining characteristics of micro-EDM using transistor type isopulse generator and servo feed control. *Precision Engineering*, 2004. 28(4):378-385.
58. Salonitis, K., Stournaras, A., Stavropoulos, P. and Chryssolouris, G. Thermal modeling of the material removal rate and surface roughness for die-sinking EDM. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2009. 40(3-4):316-323.
59. Newton, T.R., Melkote, S.N., Watkins, T.R., Trejo, R.M. and Reister, L. Investigation of the effect of process parameters on the formation and characteristics of recast layer in wire-EDM of Inconel 718. *Materials Science and Engineering: A*, 2009. 513:208-215.
60. Garg, R., Singh, K., Sachdeva, A., Sharma, V.S., Ojha, K. and Singh, S. Review of research work in sinking EDM and WEDM on metal matrix composite materials. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2010. 50(5-8):611-624.
61. Al-Amin, M., Abdul Rani, A.M., Abdu Aliyu, A.A., Abdul Razak, M.A.H., Hastuty, S. and Bryant, M.G. Powder mixed-EDM for potential biomedical applications: A critical review. *Materials and Manufacturing Processes*, 2020. 35(16):1789-1811.
62. Yeo, S., Kurnia, W. and Tan, P. Critical assessment and numerical comparison of electro-thermal models in EDM. *Journal of materials processing technology*, 2008. 203(1-3):241-251.

63. Jegan, T.C., Anand, M.D. and Ravindran, D. Determination of electro discharge machining parameters in AISI202 stainless steel using grey relational analysis. *Procedia engineering*, 2012. 38:4005-4012.
64. Lajis, M.A., Mohd Radzi, H. and Nurul Amin, A. The implementation of Taguchi method on EDM process of tungsten carbide. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 2009. 26(4):611-619.
65. Singh, H. and Garg, R. Effects of process parameters on material removal rate in WEDM. *Journal of Achievements in Materials and Manufacturing Engineering*, 2009. 32(1):70-74.
66. Yahya, A. and Manning, C. Determination of material removal rate of an electro-discharge machine using dimensional analysis. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, 2004. 37(10):1467.
67. Jangra, K., Grover, S., Chan, F.T. and Aggarwal, A. Digraph and matrix method to evaluate the machinability of tungsten carbide composite with wire EDM. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2011. 56(9-12):959-974.
68. Rajeswari, R. and Shunmugam, M. Investigations into process mechanics of rough and finish die sinking EDM using pulse train analysis. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2019. 100(5):1945-1964.
69. Mohanty, S., Mahapatra, S. and Mohanty, R. PCA based hybrid Taguchi philosophy for optimization of multiple responses in EDM. *Sādhanā*, 2019. 44(1):2.
70. Marafona, J. and Wykes, C. A new method of optimising material removal rate using EDM with copper–tungsten electrodes. *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, 2000. 40(2):153-164.
71. Mohri, N., Suzuki, M., Furuya, M., Saito, N. and Kobayashi, A. Electrode wear process in electrical discharge machinings. *CIRP annals*, 1995. 44(1):165-168.
72. Staelens, F. and Kruth, J.-P. A computer integrated machining strategy for planetary EDM. *CIRP Annals*, 1989. 38(1):187-190.
73. Schumacher, B. EDM technology for precision workpieces with excellent surface quality. *Proceedings of the ISEM-7*, 1983.124-135.
74. Balamurugan, G. and Sivasubramanian, R. Prediction and analysis of electric discharge machining (EDM) die sinking machining of PH 15-5 stainless steel by using taguchi approach. *Metalurgija*, 2020. 59(1):67-70.

75. Tiwary, A., Pradhan, B. and Bhattacharyya, B. Application of multi-criteria decision making methods for selection of micro-EDM process parameters. *Advances in Manufacturing*, 2014. 2(3):251-258.
76. Van Dijck, F. *Physico-mathematical analysis of the electro discharge machining process* Ph.D. Thesis. Catholic University of Leuven, Belgium. 1973.
77. Zhang, Y.a., Liu, Y., Shen, Y., Li, Z., Ji, R. and Wang, F. A new method of investigation the characteristic of the heat flux of EDM plasma. *Procedia CIRP*, 2013. 6:450-455.
78. Dhanik, S. and Joshi, S.S. Modeling of a single resistance capacitance pulse discharge in micro-electro discharge machining. *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering, Transactions of the ASME*, 2005. 127(4):759-767.
79. Jilani, S.T. and Pandey, P. Analysis and modelling of EDM parameters. *Precision Engineering*, 1982. 4(4):215-221.
80. Kiran, K.M. and Joshi, S.S. Modeling of surface roughness and the role of debris in micro-EDM. *The Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering* 2006. 129(2):265-273.
81. Hinduja, S. and Kunieda, M. Modelling of ECM and EDM processes. *CIRP Annals*, 2013. 62(2):775-797.
82. Araie, I., Sano, S. and Kunieda, M. Influence of Electrode Surface Profile on Discharge Delay Time in Electrical Discharge Machining. *International journal of electrical machining*, 2008. (13):21-27.
83. Bommeli, B., Frei, C. and Ratajski, A. On the influence of mechanical perturbation on the breakdown of a liquid dielectric. *Journal of electrostatics*, 1979. 7:123-144.
84. Mood, A.M., Graybill, F.A. and Boes, D.C. Special parametric families of univariate distributions. *Introduction to the theory of statistics, third edition McGraw-Hill, New York*, 1974.119-120.
85. Zhang, C.Ai, H.Yan, Z.Jiang, X.Cheng, P.Hu, Y. and Tian, H. Cathode optimization and multi-physics simulation of pulse electrochemical machining for small inner-walled ring grooves. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2020. 106(1-2):401-416.
86. Dyke, W. and Dolan, W. Field emission. *Advances in electronics and electron physics*, 1956. 8:89-157.

87. Moghaddam, M.A. and Kolahan, F. Modeling and optimization of the electrical discharge machining process based on a combined artificial neural network and particle swarm optimization algorithm. *Scientia Iranica Transaction B, Mechanical Engineering*, 2020. 27(3):1206-1217.
88. Wang, F., Zhang, Y., Liu, G. and Wang, Q. Improvement of processing quality based on VHF resonant micro-EDM pulse generator. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2019. 104(9):3663-3677.
89. Bisaria, H. and Shandilya, P. Study on crater depth during material removal in WEDC of Ni-rich nickel–titanium shape memory alloy. *Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering*, 2019. 41(3):1-11.
90. Singh, P.N., Raghukandan, K., Rathinasabapathi, M. and Pai, B. Electric discharge machining of Al–10% SiCP as-cast metal matrix composites. *Journal of materials processing technology*, 2004. 155:1653-1657.
91. Syed, K.H. and Palaniyandi, K. Performance of electrical discharge machining using aluminium powder suspended distilled water. *Turkish Journal of Engineering and Environmental Sciences*, 2012. 36(3):195-207.
92. Al-Amin, M.Abdul-Rani, A.M.Danish, M.Thompson, H.M.Aliyu, A.A.A.Hastuty, S.Zohura, F.T.Bryant, M.G.Rubaiee, S. and Rao, T. Assessment of PM-EDM cycle factors influence on machining responses and surface properties of biomaterials: A comprehensive review. *Precision Engineering*, 2020. 66:531-549.
93. Dev, A., Patel, K., Pandey, P.M. and Aravindan, S. Machining characteristics and optimisation of process parameters in micro-EDM of SiCp-Al composites. *International Journal of Manufacturing Research*, 2009. 4(4):458-480.
94. Wang, J., Han, F., Cheng, G. and Zhao, F. Debris and bubble movements during electrical discharge machining. *International Journal of Machine Tools and Manufacture*, 2012. 58:11-18.
95. Ravi Kumar, K. and Sreebalaji, V. Modeling and analysis on the influence of reinforcement particle size during EDM of aluminum (Al/3.25 Cu/8.5 Si)/fly ash composites. *Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Systems*, 2016. 15(04):189-207.
96. Singh, B., Kumar, J. and Kumar, S. Influences of process parameters on MRR improvement in simple and powder-mixed EDM of AA6061/10% SiC composite. *Materials and Manufacturing Processes*, 2015. 30(3):303-312.

97. Ahmed, A. Deposition and analysis of composite coating on aluminum using Ti-B4C powder metallurgy tools in EDM. *Materials and Manufacturing Processes*, 2016. 31(4):467-474.
98. Talla, G., Gangopadhyay, S. and Biswas, C. State of the art in powder-mixed electric discharge machining: A review. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part B: Journal of Engineering Manufacture*, 2017. 231(14):2511-2526.
99. Niamat, M., Sarfraz, S., Ahmad, W., Shehab, E. and Salonitis, K. Parametric modelling and multi-objective optimization of electro discharge machining process parameters for sustainable production. *Energies*, 2020. 13(1):38.
100. Sarosh, M., Jahanzaib, M., Mumtaz, J. and Sarfraz, S. Investigation of electric discharge machining parameters to minimize surface roughness *Pakistan Journal of Science*, 2016. 68(3):315-325.
101. Gostimirovic, M., Kovac, P., Skoric, B. and Sekulic, M. Effect of electrical pulse parameters on the machining performance in EDM. *Indian journal of Engineering and Material Science*, 2012. 18:411-415.
102. Muthuramalingam, T. and Mohan, B. A review on influence of electrical process parameters in EDM process. *Archives of Civil and Mechanical Engineering*, 2015. 15(1):87-94.
103. Chandramouli, S. and Eswaraiah, K. Experimental investigation of EDM process parameters in machining of 17-4 PH Steel using taguchi method. *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 2018. 5(2):5058-5067.
104. Patel, K., Pandey, P.M. and Rao, P.V. Surface integrity and material removal mechanisms associated with the EDM of Al₂O₃ ceramic composite. *International Journal of Refractory metals and Hard materials*, 2009. 27(5):892-899.
105. Ahmad, S. and Lajis, M.A. Electrical discharge machining (EDM) of Inconel 718 by using copper electrode at higher peak current and pulse duration. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*. 2013. 50(1). 012062.
106. Hsue, A.W.-J., Hab, T.-J. and Lin, T.-M. Pulse efficiency and gap status of rotary ultrasonic assisted electrical discharge machining and EDM milling. *Procedia CIRP*, 2018. 68:783-788.
107. Jia, Z., Song, Y. and Xie, Z. Research on volt-ampere characteristics of discharge gap of WEDM. *Electromachining & Mould*, 2003. (6):16-19.

108. Kai, E. and Katsumi, M. EDM at Low Open-Circuit Voltage. *International Journal of Electrical Machining*, 2005. 10:5-21.
109. Li, W., Yan, G., Cai, B. and Zhao, W. The research on sparkle maintaining voltage of RC pulse power supply. *Electromachining & Mould*, 2005. (4):10-14.
110. Sun, S. *Research on micro-EDM characteristics of main loop and pulse power*. Ph.D. Thesis. Harbin Institute of Technology, China. 2013.
111. Biswas, M., Majhi, S. and Nemade, H. Performance of a coupled inductor for interleaved buck converter with improved step-down conversion ratio. *IET Power Electronics*, 2021. 14(2):239-256.
112. Martinovich, M.V., Zaev, I.V., Khoroshev, M.A., Sidorov, V.E., Belova, L.A. and Skolota, V.A. Buck DC-DC converter with neural network sawtooth-similar carrier signal generator. *Int Conf on Micro/Nanotechnologies and Electron Devices (EDM)*. 2018. 1-6.
113. Chern, T.-L., Huang, T.-M., Wu, W.-Y., Lin, W.-M. and Hwang, G.-S. Design of LED driver circuits with single-stage PFC in CCM and DCM. *6th IEEE Conference on Industrial Electronics and Applications*. 2011. 2358-2363.
114. Smith, G. Progress in Spark-Erosion Machining. *Process of the Conference on Electrical Methods of Machining and Forming*. 1967. 38: 119-124.
115. Haidekker, M.A. Linear feedback controls: the essentials, *Elsevier*, 2020.
116. Priyadarshini, M. and Pal, K. Multi-objective optimisation of EDM process using hybrid Taguchi-based methodologies for Ti-6Al-4V alloy. *International Journal of Manufacturing Research*, 2016. 11(2):144-166.
117. Hernández-Guzmán, V.M. and Silva-Ortigoza, R. Automatic Control with Experiments, *Springer*, 2018.
118. Sohani, M., Gaitonde, V., Siddeswarappa, B. and Deshpande, A. Investigations into the effect of tool shapes with size factor consideration in sink electrical discharge machining (EDM) process. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2009. 45(11-12):1131-1145.
119. Timm, M. *Elektronische Stromquellen für das funkenerosive Schneiden von elektrisch schlecht leitfähigen Werkstoffen*. Ph.D. Thesis. Universität Magdeburg, Germany. 1995.

120. Galili, I., Kaplan, D. and Lehavi, Y. Teaching Faraday's law of electromagnetic induction in an introductory physics course. *American journal of physics*, 2006. 74(4):337-343.
121. Abbas, N.M. and Kunieda, M. Improving discharge energy in micro-EDM with electrostatic induction feeding by controlled pulse train method. *International Journal of Electrical Machining*, 2015. 20:45-51.
122. Li, Z. and Bai, J. Impulse discharge method to investigate the influence of gap width on discharge characteristics in micro-EDM. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 2017. 90(5):1769-1777.
123. De Bruyn, H. and Pekelharing, A. Has the «Delay Time» Influence on the EDM-Process? . *CIRP Annals*, 1982. 31(1):103-106.
124. Raja, M., Murugasen, P.K. and Periannapillai, H. Investigation of Cryogenic Cooling of Micro EDM Drilling Process on AISI 304 Stainless Steel. *International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition (ASME)*. 2016. V002T002A009-V002T002A009.
125. Hsu, W.-H. and Chien, W.-T. Effect of Electrical Discharge Machining on Stress Concentration in Titanium Alloy Holes. *Materials*, 2016. 9(12):957.
126. Zhao, W.S., Fang, Y., Wang, Z.L. and Li, L. A surface modification method by EDM and its application to cutting tools. *Materials Science Forum*. 2004. 471:750-754.
127. Poole, I. Capacitor Smoothing Circuits & Calculations. *Adrio Communications Ltd*, 2016.
128. Huang, C.-C., Liu, Y.-C., Lin, C.-C., Ni, C.-Y. and Chiu, H.-J. Stacked Buck Converter: Current Ripple Elimination Effect and Transient Response. *Energies*, 2021. 14(1):64.
129. Chen, J., Han, Q., Han, W. and Xin, Z. Current Ripple Prediction and DPWM Based Variable Switching Frequency Control for Full ZVS Range Two Parallel Interleaved Three-Phase Inverters. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 2021.
130. Ydreskog, L. A method for EDM spark location detection. *Proc of Int Symp for Electro-Machining (ISEM-9)*. 1989. 297.
131. Mahardika, M., Mitsui, K. and Taha, Z. Acoustic emission signals in the micro-EDM of PCD. *Advanced Materials Research*. 2008. 33:1181-1186.

132. Xi, X.-C., Chen, M. and Zhao, W.-S. Improving electrical discharging machining efficiency by using a Kalman filter for estimating gap voltages. *Precision Engineering*, 2017. 47:182-190.
133. Altpeter, F. and Tricarico, C. Modelling for EDM gap control in die sinking. *International Symposium for Electromachining*. 2001.
134. Goyal, A., Rahman, H.U. and Ghani, S. Experimental investigation & optimisation of wire electrical discharge machining process parameters for Ni49Ti51 shape memory alloy. *Journal of King Saud University-Engineering Sciences*, 2021. 33(2):129-135.
135. Behrens, A. and Witzak, M. An hierarchical process control system for highly efficient electro-discharge machining. *12th Int Symp for Electromachining* 1998. 179-155.
136. Zakrzewski, Z. Conditions of existence and axial structure of long microwave discharges sustained by travelling waves. *Journal of physics D: Applied physics*, 1983. 16(2):171.
137. Novak, M. Spatial period of moving striations as function of electric field strength in glow discharge. *Czechoslovakij fiziceskij zurnal B*, 1960. 10(12):954-959.
138. Huliehel, F.A., Lee, F.C. and Cho, B.H. Small-signal modeling of the single-phase boost high power factor converter with constant frequency control. *In IEEE Power Electronics Specialists Conf Rec.* 1992. 475-482.
139. Sambariya, D. and Prasad, R. Routh stability array method based reduced model of single machine infinite bus with power system stabilizer. *International Conference on Emerging Trends in Electrical, Communication and Information Technologies (ICECIT)*. 2012. 27-34.
140. Wang, R., Sun, Q., Ma, D. and Liu, Z. The small-signal stability analysis of the droop-controlled converter in electromagnetic timescale. *IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy*, 2019. 10(3):1459-1469.
141. Roopamala, T. and Katti, S. Comments on" Routh Stability Criterion". *International Journal of Computer Science and Information Security (IJCSIS)*, 2010. 7(2):77-78.
142. Fan, Y., Bai, J., Li, Q., Li, C., Cao, Y. and Li, Z. Research on maintaining voltage of spark discharge in EDM. *Procedia Cirp*, 2016. 42:28-33.
143. Ogata, K. and Yang, Y. Modern control engineering, *Prentice hall India*, 2002.

144. Nise, N.S. Control systems engineering, *John Wiley & Sons*, 2007.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

1. Dehghani, D., Yahya, A., Khamis, N.H. and Alzaidi, A.I. Dynamic Mathematical Model for Low Power Electrical Discharge Machining Applications. *Journal of Low Power Electronics*, 2019. 15(1):11-18.
2. Dehghani, D., Yahya, A., Khamis, N.H. and Alzaidi, A.I. EDM Process through Mathematical Model. *International Journal of Power Electronics and Drive System (IJPEDS)*, 2019. 10(2):874-881.
3. Dehghani, D., Yahya, A., Idris, N. and Arif, M. Literature Mapping of Electrical Discharge Machining (EDM) System. *AENSI Journals Advances in Environmental Biology*, 2015. 9(13):70-78.
4. Dehghani, D., Yahya, A. and Khamis, N. Dynamic behaviour of EDM system through mathematical model. *Journal of physics: Conference Series (IOP publishing)*. 2020. 052001.
5. Dehghani, D., Yahya, A. and Khamis, N. Discharge analysis of EDM pulse generator. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series (IOP publishing)*. 2020. 042110.
6. Abdullah, M., Yahya, A. and Shukri, W. Integrated Control of Electrical Discharge Machining (EDM) using PSoC. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series (IOP Publishing)*. 2020. 042087.
7. Karimi Pour, F., Yahya, A., Bavandi, M., Tavakkoli, R. and Dehghani, D. Design and Model Analysis of Pulse Generator in Electrical Discharge Machines (EDM) System Using in the Laplace Transform. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*. 2016. 818:106-111.