# APPLICATION OF PALM METHYL ESTER SULFONATE AS GREEN SURFACTANT FOR INTERFACIAL TENSION AND WETTABILITY ALTERATION FOR ENHANCED OIL RECOVERY

ARZO DOST

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

# APPLICATION OF PALM METHYL ESTER SULFONATE AS GREEN SURFACTANT FOR INTERFACIAL TENSION AND WETTABILITY ALTERATION FOR ENHANCED OIL RECOVERY

ARZO DOST

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the Master of Petroleum Engineering

School of Chemical and Energy Engineering Faculty of Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JULY 2022

#### ABSTRACT

Oil productions keep depleting due to production problems such as high surface tension and interfacial tension reservoirs. Therefore, chemical flooding is one of the methods used in enhanced oil recovery. Injection of surfactant into an exhausted hydrocarbon (HC) reservoir is one method of lowering remaining oil saturation and thereby increasing crude oil production. These industrial and chemical substances may pose some economic and environmental risks. Stability under hard (or normal) reservoir conditions and excessive adsorption are still problems with surfactant flooding. The Palm (MES), and the synergy between (MES) and Sodium Carbonate has been proposed as a compound for chemical flooding in enhanced oil recovery in this project. The major aim of this study was to measure the effectiveness of Palm (MES), and the synergization between (MES), and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in oil recovery using chemical flooding. After the synergization of surfactant with alkali solution take place, the surface tension and interfacial tension test have been conducted to identify the optimum concentration when various concentrations have been used. The results showed that the optimum concentration for MES is 2000 ppm with surface tension (ST) and interfacial tension (IFT) are 38.5 and 10mN/m respectively. When the Sodium Carbonate alkali were added, it showed that 5000 ppm was the optimum concentration with reduction in surface tension and IFT to 36.5 and 8 mN/m respectively. Palm (MES), and Synergy of Palm (MES) with Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> changed the oilwet sandstone to water-wet, and Palm (MES) droplet the contact angle from  $96^{\circ}$  to  $34^{\circ}$ , and Palm (MES) with Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> changed the contact angle from 96<sup>0</sup> to 25<sup>0</sup>. The 5000ppm brine and paraffin oil have been injected into the sand pack followed by waterflooding and chemical flooding to measure the oil recovery. The results from the experiment presented that the oil recovery for waterflooding were in between 27% to 31% therefore, more oil left in the sand pack. Then, surfactant with alkali solution was injected with 5000 ppm of brine as a slug as tertiary recovery. It showed that after Palm (MES) solution the oil recovery increase up to 48%, but after injection of Palm (MES) and alkali the oil recovery increase up to 53%. As a conclusion, it is proved that the synergization of Palm (MES) and Sodium Carbonate is applicable for chemical flooding as tertiary recovery because it can recover up to 68% of oil production.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

### TITLE

DEC	LARATION	iii		
DED	iv			
ABST	ABSTRACT			
TABI	vi			
LIST	OF TABLES	ix		
LIST	OF FIGURES	X		
LIST	<b>OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xii		
LIST OF SYMBOLS				
LIST	OF APPENDICES Error! Boo	kmark not defined.		
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1		
1.1	Background of Study			
1.2	Problem Statement 3			
1.3	Objectives 4			
1.4	Scope of Study			
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7		
2.1	Introduction 7			
2.2	Chemical Flooding 9			
2.3	Surfactant Flooding 9			
2.4	Natural Surfactants 10			
2.5	Sources of Natural Surfactants 10			
2.6	Types of Natural Surfactants and the Properties 11			
	2.6.1 Anionic Surfactants	11		
	2.6.2 Cationic Surfactant	12		
	2.6.3 Non-ionic Surfactants	12		
	2.6.4 Zwitterionic Surfactants	13		
2.7	Mechanism in Surfactant Flooding	13		

4	2.8	Plant Based Natural Surfactant in Surfactant Flooding		
		2.8.1 Palm Plant		18
2	2.9	Sodium Carbonate (Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> )		
CHAPTER	3	RESEA	ARCH METHODOLOGY	23
	3.1	Introdu	ction	23
		3.1.1	Materials Preparation and Apparatus	24
		3.1.2	Sand Pack Model	24
		3.1.3	Brine Sample	25
		3.1.4	Samples Preparation	26
	3.2	Surface	e Tension Measurement	27
	3.3	Interfacial Tension (IFT) Measurement		27
	3.4	Wettability Test		
	3.5	Oil Recovery Test		
3	3.6	Flowchart of Research Design		
CHAPTER	4	RESU	LTS AND DISCUSSIONS	33
	4.1	<b>RESUI</b> Introdu		<b>33</b> 33
2		Introdu		
2	4.1	Introdu Sand Pa	ction	33
2	4.1 4.2	Introdu Sand Pa Surface 4.3.1	ction ack Model	33 34
2	4.1 4.2	Introdu Sand Pa Surface 4.3.1 4.3.2	ction ack Model Tension Surface Tension of Methyl Ester Sulfonate of	33 34 35
2	4.1 4.2	Introdu Sand Pa Surface 4.3.1 4.3.2	ction ack Model e Tension Surface Tension of Methyl Ester Sulfonate of Palm Oil (MES) Surface Tension of 2000 ppm of Methyl Ester Sulfonate of Palm Oil (MES) and Sodium	33 34 35 35
2	4.1 4.2 4.3	Introdu Sand Pa Surface 4.3.1 4.3.2 Interfac 4.4.1	ction ack Model e Tension Surface Tension of Methyl Ester Sulfonate of Palm Oil (MES) Surface Tension of 2000 ppm of Methyl Ester Sulfonate of Palm Oil (MES) and Sodium Carbonate (Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> ) Alkaline	<ul> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>35</li> <li>37</li> </ul>
2	4.1 4.2 4.3	Introdu Sand Pa Surface 4.3.1 4.3.2 Interfac 4.4.1 4.4.2	ction ack Model e Tension Surface Tension of Methyl Ester Sulfonate of Palm Oil (MES) Surface Tension of 2000 ppm of Methyl Ester Sulfonate of Palm Oil (MES) and Sodium Carbonate (Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> ) Alkaline cial Tension Interfacial Tension of Methyl Ester Sulfonate	<ul> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>35</li> <li>37</li> <li>39</li> <li>39</li> </ul>
2	4.1 4.2 4.3	Introdu Sand Pa Surface 4.3.1 4.3.2 Interfac 4.4.1 4.4.2	ction ack Model e Tension Surface Tension of Methyl Ester Sulfonate of Palm Oil (MES) Surface Tension of 2000 ppm of Methyl Ester Sulfonate of Palm Oil (MES) and Sodium Carbonate (Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> ) Alkaline cial Tension Interfacial Tension of Methyl Ester Sulfonate of Palm Oil (MES) Interfacial Tension of 2000 ppm of Methyl Ester Sulfonate of Palm Oil (MES) and Sodium	<ul> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>35</li> <li>37</li> <li>39</li> </ul>
2	4.1 4.2 4.3	Introdu Sand Pa Surface 4.3.1 4.3.2 Interfac 4.4.1 4.4.2	ction ack Model 2 Tension Surface Tension of Methyl Ester Sulfonate of Palm Oil (MES) Surface Tension of 2000 ppm of Methyl Ester Sulfonate of Palm Oil (MES) and Sodium Carbonate (Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> ) Alkaline cial Tension Interfacial Tension of Methyl Ester Sulfonate of Palm Oil (MES) Interfacial Tension of 2000 ppm of Methyl Ester Sulfonate of Palm Oil (MES) and Sodium Carbonate (Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> ) Alkaline	<ul> <li>33</li> <li>34</li> <li>35</li> <li>35</li> <li>37</li> <li>39</li> <li>39</li> <li>41</li> </ul>

CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	

# LIST OF TABLES

TA	ABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Та	able 3-1 Sand pack model information	n	25
Та	able 4-1 Properties of sand pack mode	el	35
Та	able 4-2 The result of surface tension	for MES	36
Τa	able 4-3 The surface tension for 2 concentration of Sodium	11	38
Та	able 4-4 The interfacial tension of MI	ES	40
Τa	able 4-5 The interfacial tension for concentrations of Sodiur	11	41
Ta	able 4-6 Oil recovery of water floodin	ng, and MES flooding	46
Τa	able 4.7 Oil recovery of water floodin	g, and MES with Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> flooding	46

# LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2-1 The head and ta	il of a typical surfactant structure	10
Figure 2-2 Residual Oil Sat	turation Variation with Capillary Number	15
	ering in water flooding (b) stable displacement ugmented water flooding	16
Figure 2-4 Palm Plant		19
Figure 3-1 Framework for l	Experimental Investigation	23
Figure 3-2 Sand pack mode	els	25
0	of 5000 ppm of brine, 2000 ppm of MES and n of MES, brine and Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	26
Figure 3-4 Krüss Tensiome	ter	28
Figure 3-5 KRŰSS DSA25		29
Figure 3-6 Experiment set	up for the oil recovery test	30
Figure 3-7 The flowchart o	f research design	31
0	e tension versus concentrations of MES of Palm of 1000 ppm to 8000 ppm	36
-	ision versus concentration of synergization of IES surfactant with different concentrations of lline.	38
Figure 4-3 The graph of int surfactant of	erfacial tension versus concentrations for MES Palm Oil	40
	erfacial tension of 2000 ppm of MES surfactant ent concentration of Sodium Carbonate kaline	42
Figure 4-5 Samples of sand	stones after immersed in different solutions	43
Figure 4-6 Initial contact an	ngle(96 <sup>0</sup> )	43
Figure 4-7 Effect of Palm M	MES on oil wet sandstone contact angle (34 <sup>0</sup> )	44
Figure 4-8 Effect of (Palm	MES+Na2CO3) on contact angle (25 <sup>0</sup> )	44
Figure 4-9 The oil recovery flooding	y graph based of water flooding and surfactant	47

Figure 4-10 The oil recovery graph based of water flooding, and (MES+Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> ) flooding	47
Figure 4-11 The oil recovery graph based of different methods of flooding	47
Figure 4-12 The oil recovery versus time with different injection ratio	48

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

EOR	-	Enhance Oil Recovery
IFT	-	Interfacial Tension
SFT	-	Surface Tension
CMC	-	Critical Micelle Concentration
CEOR	-	Chemical Enhance Oil Recovery
OOIP	-	Original Oil in Place
MES	-	Methyl Ester Sulfonate
HC	-	Hydrocarbon
RF	-	Recovery Factor

# LIST OF SYMBOLS

NC	-	Capillary Number
Μ	-	Mobility ratio
μ	-	Viscosity
V	-	Velocity
θ	-	Angle
б	-	Interfacial Tension
Krw	-	Relative permeability to water
Kro	-	Relative permeability to oil
μο	-	Viscosity of oil
μw	-	Viscosity of water
φ	-	Porosity
$V_p$	-	Pore volume
V <sub>b</sub>	-	Bulk volume
Q	-	Injection rate
Κ	-	Permeability
ΔP	-	Pressure difference
L	-	Length

#### **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Background of Study**

For the past three centuries, fossil fuel has been the main source of energy when compared to other alternative energy sources. However, finding new reserves has grown more difficult due to financial constraints. Only about a third of the total oil in the reservoir can be extracted using primary and secondary procedures, leaving the rest trapped in the reservoir (Sukesh and Deka, 2017). The leftover oil is critical after the floods, and it cannot be overlooked at this time of increased energy demand. As a result, large oil corporations prefer to meet energy demands through enhanced oil recovery (Alvarado & Manrique, 2010).

Chemical flooding, miscible and immiscible CO2 flooding, nanoparticle applications in tertiary recovery, and in-situ thermal recovery technologies are all important strategies for takeout residual crude oil from remaining carbonate reservoirs. One of the most important tertiary recovery technologies in Chemical Enhance Oil Recovery. It lowers the water-oil interfacial tension (surfactant/alkaline) to reduce residual oil saturation and increases volumetric sweep efficiency by lowering the water-oil mobility ratio (polymer). Chemically enhanced oil recovery flooding is becoming increasingly popular due to its capacity to lower interfacial, and alteration wettability to more water-wet conditions, all while saving money (Nowrouzi et al., 2020).

However, recent improvements in surfactants for enhanced oil recovery methods, on the other hand, have substantially reduced the amount of surfactant required for successful oil recovery. In the 1970s and 1980s, surfactant concentrations ranged from 2% to 12%, which, when paired with the cost of the surfactants, proved too expensive. Surfactant flooding works by reducing the interfacial tension between

the crude oil and the surfactant slug, which improves oil recovery by lowering capillary pressure (Lee, 2012). However, the recovered oil is often inadequate to offset the high expense of creating a significant quantity of surfactant, making it unsuitable for widespread use. Surfactants, also known as surface-active agents, are chemical compounds that, when present in low concentrations, are adsorbed onto the surfaces or interfaces of a system. They can improve oil recovery by changing the hydrophobic character of the rock matrix to hydrophilic, and by lowering interfacial tension (IFT), which can induce stuck crude oil in microscopic pore seats to be removed, and changed via water. This pore-scale recovery mechanism is linked to sweeping effectiveness, which is influenced by, capillary forces, and viscous, and may be deduced from the connection between interfacial tension (IFT), and contact angle (wettability quantities) (Haghighi et al., 2020).

Natural surfactants, also known as polar lipids, are surfactants that come from renewable bases such as plants or animals. They are either taken directly from natural sources without slightly chemically produced using either the head or non-polar portions. Natural raw bases for the manufacture of natural surfactants include polyols, such as amino acid residues, glucose, and simple sugars. They're called green surfactants because they're environmentally friendly and biodegradable (K. Holmberg, 2001).

At a concentration of 4wt% as the critical micelle concentration, Alfalfa natural surfactant reduced 29.29mN/m of IFT (63.39% IFT optimization) (Eslahati et al., 2020). Also, owing to the 86.84° drop-in contact angle caused by the addition of Alfalfa, the wettability of rock tends to be more water-wet from the initial oil-wet state (49.91 % wettability alteration). The noxious weed water hyacinth was used to create an anionic surfactant that effectively changed the wettability of oil-wet kaolin clay. The wettability changes at high temperatures, and salinity must be investigated to gain a better knowledge of how well synthetic surfactants function in EOR applications (Machale et al., 2019). The Anabasis Setifera plant was employed as a natural surfactant source, and this green surfactant reduced the IFT value at CMC to 1.066 m N/m. The contact angle tests further reveal that this surfactant can change the wettability to a poor water-wetting state (a contact angle of  $56.5^{\circ}$ ). Finally, flooding

the surfactant solution at CMC, and optimum formation brine resulted in a 15.4 percent oil recovery (Nowrouzi et al., 2020). The interfacial tension values between oil and ordinary surfactant solution were assessed using the pendant drop method after three natural-based surfactants were remote from the leaves of the plants of the targeted plants. The olive extract was shown to reduce the IFT between kerosene and distilled water from 36.5m N/m to 14 m N/m, while Spistan and Prosopis extract reduced the IFT from 36.5mN/m to 20.15mN/m, and 36.5mN/m to 15.11mN/m, respectively.(Khurram Ghahfarokhi et al., 2015).

In contrast to earlier research, this study has focused on the effects of a new synthetic surfactant made from Palm Plant Oil in the presence of an alkaline on interfacial tension (IFT) and wettability alteration, and oil recovery performance on a sandstone reservoir.

#### **1.2 Problem Statement**

One of the Chemical Enhanced Oil Recovery (CEOR) processes is surfactant flooding. Injection of surfactant into an exhausted hydrocarbon (HC) reservoir is one method of lowering remaining oil saturation and thereby increasing crude oil manufacture. These industrial and chemical substances may pose some economic and environmental risks (Atta et al., 2021). Stability under hard (or normal) reservoir conditions and excessive adsorption are still problems with surfactant flooding. These concerns have an impact on predicted oil recovery, lowering the economic returns of EOR projects (Massarweh & Abushaikha, 2020).

However, the majority of regularly used surfactants are hazardous, nonbiodegradable, and can attach to porous rock surfaces (Machale et al., 2019). As a result, various ongoing studies are aiming to replace them with greener and less expensive alternatives (Bachari et al., 2019). Several attempts have recently been made to investigate the use of natural surfactants the enhance oil recovery. Natural surfactants have lower poisonousness and well biodegradability than synthetic surfactants. They also have a better foam-forming capability and are constant at high temperatures and in saline conditions (Machale et al., 2019). Zizyphus Spina-Christi leaves were used to extract a natural surfactant that could change the wettability of carbonate and sandstone rocks (Sofla SJD et al., 2016). The sulfonate surfactant of Jatropha Carcus, which is derived from C16-18 fatty acid, can lower interfacial tension (IFT) and boost oil recovery. Only a minor change in IFT is noticed at very low surfactant concentrations. IFT drops as more surfactant is added and until it reaches its lowest point of 0.63 m N/m. Micellar structures arise as the surfactant concentration is increased, increasing IFT. The IFT can be reduced to 0.19 m N/m using a synthetic surfactant. With produce water, there is no precipitation or cloudiness. In the addition of sodium carbonate, the surfactant solution can recover 11.11 percent of the generated water (Lee, 2012).

Researchers have recently proposed that injecting natural surfactants provides new routes to overcome unsolved difficulties as new ecologically friendly EOR agents for enhancing oil recovery. In this study, a natural surfactant made from Palm Oil is utilized to reduce interfacial tension (IFT) and change the wettability of the rock to a strong water-wet system, enhancing oil recovery on sandstone rock.

#### 1.3 Objectives

The objectives of this research are as follow:

- a) To evaluate the surface tension and interfacial tension of Palm Plant Oil and Palm Plant Oil with alkali in identifying its optimum concentration.
- b) To measure the effect of Palm Plant Oil, and Palm Plant Oil with alkali in altering the wettability (contact angle) of sandstone rock.
- c) To evaluate the effectiveness of Palm Plant Oil, and Palm Plant Oil with alkali in improving oil recovery factor for sandstone rock.

### 1.4 Scope of Study

- I. This study covered the Methyl Ester Sulfonate of Palm Oil, and the synergy of Methyl Ester Sulfonate of Palm Oil and Sodium Carbonate alkali as chemical flooding in enhance oil recovery application later.
- II. The element that has been identified in this study was the surface tension of the Methyl Ester Sulfonate of Palm Oil, and the synergy between the Methyl Ester Sulfonate (MES) and Sodium Carbonate alkali to identify the critical micelle concentration (CMC). The other element that was measured is the effectiveness the interfacial tension of the reservoirs.
- III. The study conducted under room condition at 14.7 psia and 27°C. The concentrations of surfactant were in range of (1000, 2000, 3000, 4000, 5000, 6000,7000, and 8000) ppm to get the optimum concentrations that also known as critical micelle concentration (CMC). After that, the optimum concentration presented as a base in adding the different concentration of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in between 1000 ppm to 7000 ppm also to identify the optimum concentration after the syenergization of the surfactant and alkali.

#### REFERENCES

- Ahmadi, M. A., Zendehboudi, S., Shafiei, A., & James, L. (2012). Nonionic surfactant for enhanced oil recovery from carbonates: Adsorption kinetics and equilibrium. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry Research*, 51(29), 9894–9905. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie300269c
- Alli, Y. F., Brioletty, L., Eni, H., & Irawan, Y. (2017). Co-Surfactant Polyethylene Glycol Mono-Oleate in the Formulation of Natural Based-Surfactant for Chemical EOR. *Scientific Contributions Oil and Gas*, 40(1), 1–8.
- Alvarado, V., & Manrique, E. (2010). Enhanced oil recovery: An update review. *Energies*, 3(9), 1529–1575. https://doi.org/10.3390/en3091529
- Atta, D. Y., Negash, B. M., Yekeen, N., & Habte, A. D. (2021). A state-of-the-art review on the application of natural surfactants in enhanced oil recovery. *Journal* of Molecular Liquids, 321, 114888. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2020.114888
- Bachari, Z., Isari, A. A., Mahmoudi, H., Moradi, S., & Mahvelati, E. H. (2019).
  Application of Natural Surfactants for Enhanced Oil Recovery-Critical Review. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 221(1).
  https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/221/1/012039
- Banerjee, S., Kumar, R., Mandal, A., & Naiya, T. K. (2015). Use of a novel natural surfactant for improving flowability of indian heavy crude oil. *Petroleum Science and Technology*, 33(7), 819–826. https://doi.org/10.1080/10916466.2015.1014961
- Bognø, T. (2008). Impacts on oil recovery from capillary pressure and capillary heterogeneities. 1–78. http://bora.uib.no/handle/1956/3154
- Cohen, L., Roberts, D. W., & Pratesi, C. (2010). Φ-sulfo fatty methyl ester sulfonates (Φ-MES): A novel anionic surfactant. *Chemical Engineering Transactions*, 21, 1033–1038. https://doi.org/10.3303/CET1021173
- Delage, B., Briou, B., Brossier, T., Catrouillet, S., Robin, J. J., & Lapinte, V. (2019).
  Polyoxazoline associated with cardanol for bio-based linear alkyl benzene surfactants. *Polymer International*, 68(4), 755–763.
  https://doi.org/10.1002/pi.5763
- Deljooei, M., Zargar, G., Nooripoor, V., Takassi, M. A., & Esfandiarian, A. (2021).

Novel green surfactant made from L-aspartic acid as enhancer of oil production from sandstone reservoirs: Wettability, IFT, microfluidic, and core flooding assessments. *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, *323*, 115037. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2020.115037

- El-hoshoudy, A. N., Desouky, S. E. M., Al-Sabagh, A. M., Betiha, M. A., M.Y., E. kady, & Mahmoud, S. (2017). Evaluation of solution and rheological properties for hydrophobically associated polyacrylamide copolymer as a promised enhanced oil recovery candidate. *Egyptian Journal of Petroleum*, 26(3), 779–785. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpe.2016.10.012
- El-hoshoudy, A. N., Desouky, S. E. M., Elkady, M. Y., Al-Sabagh, A. M., Betiha, M. A., & Mahmoud, S. (2017). Hydrophobically associated polymers for wettability alteration and enhanced oil recovery Article review. *Egyptian Journal of Petroleum*, 26(3), 757–762. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpe.2016.10.008
- Eslahati, M., Mehrabianfar, P., Isari, A. A., Bahraminejad, H., Manshad, A. K., & Keshavarz, A. (2020). Experimental investigation of Alfalfa natural surfactant and synergistic effects of Ca2+, Mg2+, and SO42- ions for EOR applications: Interfacial tension optimization, wettability alteration and imbibition studies. *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, 310, 113123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2020.113123
- Haghighi, O. M., Zargar, G., Manshad, A. K., Ali, M., Takassi, M. A., Ali, J. A., & Keshavarz, A. (2020). Effect of environment-friendly non-ionic surfactant on interfacial tension reduction and wettability alteration; Implications for enhanced oil recovery. *Energies*, 13(15). https://doi.org/10.3390/en13153988
- Henderson-Ivan, Q. P., Miguel-José, R. A., Jaime-Alberto, J., John-Hervin, B. J., Julian-Alfredo, G., Jenny-Liset, R., Carlos, E. L., & Eduardo-José, M. V. (2020).
  Polymeric surfactants as alternative to improve waterflooding oil recovery efficiency. *CTyF Ciencia*, *Tecnologia* y *Futuro*, 10(2), 99–113. https://doi.org/10.29047/01225383.272
- Hirasaki, G. J., Miller, C. A., & Puerto, M. (2011). Recent advances in surfactant EOR. *SPE Journal*, *16*(4), 889–907. https://doi.org/10.2118/115386-PA
- Hope, N., & Gideon, A. (2015). Biosurfactant production from Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) for applications as oil field chemical in Nigeria. Society of Petroleum Engineers - SPE Nigeria Annual International Conference and Exhibition, NAICE 2015, 2013. https://doi.org/10.2118/178315-ms

- Iglauer, S., Wu, Y., Shuler, P., Tang, Y., & Goddard, W. A. (2009). Alkyl polyglycoside surfactant-alcohol cosolvent formulations for improved oil recovery. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 339(1–3), 48–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2009.01.015
- Jekwu, E. C., Boma, I., Hanson, E., & Gbemisola, A. (2019). Multi-Objective Function Approach of Optimizing AlkalineSurfactant Polymer Flooding Performance using Particle Swamp Algorithm. *International Journal of Petroleum and Petrochemical Engineering*, 5(2), 20–41. https://doi.org/10.20431/2454-7980.0502003
- John, I., & Hutchinson, C. (2006). (12) Patent Application Publication (10) Pub. No.: US 2006 / 0142602 A1 Feed Time (min) Fig 1 In-Process Particle Growth of Non-ionic Surfactant based on Methyl Ester. 1(19).
- Kamal, M. S., Sultan, A. S., & Hussein, I. A. (2015). Screening of amphoteric and anionic surfactants for cEOR applications using a novel approach. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 476, 17–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2015.03.023
- Khorram Ghahfarokhi, A., Dadashi, A., Daryasafar, A., & Moghadasi, J. (2015). Feasibility study of new natural leaf-derived surfactants on the IFT in an oil– aqueous system: experimental investigation. *Journal of Petroleum Exploration* and Production Technology, 5(4), 375–382. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13202-015-0158-x
- Lee, Y. (2012). SP N on-Petro ochemica al Surfac ctant for Enhance ed Oil Re ecovery.
- Machale, J., Majumder, S. K., Ghosh, P., & Sen, T. K. (2019). Development of a novel biosurfactant for enhanced oil recovery and its influence on the rheological properties of polymer. *Fuel*, 257(March), 116067. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2019.116067
- Majidaie, S., Muhammad, M., Tan, I. M., & Demiral, B. (2011). Green surfactant for enhanced oil recovery. 2011 National Postgraduate Conference - Energy and Sustainability: Exploring the Innovative Minds, NPC 2011. https://doi.org/10.1109/NatPC.2011.6136533
- Massarweh, O., & Abushaikha, A. S. (2020). The use of surfactants in enhanced oil recovery: A review of recent advances. *Energy Reports*, 6, 3150–3178. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2020.11.009

- Nafisifar, A., Khaksar Manshad, A., & Reza Shadizadeh, S. (2021). Evaluation of a new green synthesized surfactant from linseeds - chemical EOR implications from sandstone petroleum reservoirs. *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, 342, 117263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2021.117263
- Nowrouzi, I., Mohammadi, A. H., & Manshad, A. K. (2020). Water-oil interfacial tension (IFT) reduction and wettability alteration in surfactant flooding process using extracted saponin from Anabasis Setifera plant. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 189(December 2019), 106901. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2019.106901
- Pordel Shahri, M., Shadizadeh, S. R., & Jamialahmadi, M. (2012). Applicability test of new surfactant produced from Zizyphus Spina-Christi leaves for enhanced oil recovery in carbonate reservoirs. *Journal of the Japan Petroleum Institute*, 55(1), 27–32. https://doi.org/10.1627/jpi.55.27
- Putra, W., & Hakiki, F. (2019). Microbial enhanced oil recovery: interfacial tension and biosurfactant-bacteria growth. *Journal of Petroleum Exploration and Production Technology*, 0(0), 0. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13202-019-0635-8
- Rahmati, M., Mashayekhi, M., Songolzadeh, R., & Daryasafar, A. (2015). Effect of natural leaf-derived surfactants on wettability alteration and interfacial tension reduction in water-oil system: EOR application. *Journal of the Japan Petroleum Institute*, 58(4), 245–251. https://doi.org/10.1627/jpi.58.245
- Sarveen, M., Parthiban, S., Nur Anisah, S., Surej Kumar, S., & Babar, A. (2019).
  Exploring the potential application of palm methyl ester sulfonate as an interfacial tension reducing surfactant for chemical enhanced oil recovery. *Key Engineering Materials*, 797, 402–410. https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/KEM.797.402
- Saxena, N., & Mandal, A. (2022). Natural Surfactants. SpringerBriefs in Applied Sciences and Technology, 19–24. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-78548-2\_4
- Siti Afida, I., Razmah, G., & Zulina, A. M. (2016). Biodegradation of various homologues of palm-based methyl ester sulphonates (MES). *Sains Malaysiana*, 45(6), 949–954.
- Zhang, S., Peng, B., Liu, Q., & Liu, Z. (2021). The effect of sodium carbonate on reducing the interfacial tension of petroleum sulfonate. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 200(September 2020), 108255. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2020.108255

Zhang, Y., You, Q., Fu, Y., Zhao, M., Fan, H., Liu, Y., & Dai, C. (2016). Investigation on interfacial/surface properties of bio-based surfactant N-aliphatic amide-N,Ndiethoxypropylsulfonate sodium as an oil displacement agent regenerated from waste cooking oil. *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, 223, 68–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2016.08.026