

MICROSTRUCTURES OF SOFT CLAY STABILIZED WITH COAL ASH AND
CEMENT

NADIAH BINTI JAMALUDIN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2022

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main thesis supervisor, Dr Nor Zurairahetty Mohd Yunus, for encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship. I am also very thankful to my co-supervisor Dr. Norafida Jusoh for their guidance, advices and motivation. Without their continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

I am also indebted to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for funding my PhD study. My fellow postgraduate student should also be recognised for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. I am grateful to all my family members.

ABSTRACT

As the growth of industries increase electricity demand, the amount of coal ash and waste produced by power plants has significantly increased. Malaysia alone produces approximately 11 million tons of coal annually. For every 2.9 million metric tons of coal that is burned, 1.2 million metric tons of coal ash is produced. Therefore, there is a pressing need to reutilise coal ash waste in a sustainable matter for the good of the environment. The purpose of this study was to identify the macrostructure and microstructure behaviour of marine clay that had been stabilised with coal ash and a minimal amount of ordinary Portland cement (OPC) for use as a subgrade layer in road construction. Very few studies have been conducted on contamination analysis of coal ash as well as mixtures of coal ash and marine clay. In fact, this present study is the first to investigate the performance of marine clay that has been treated with coal ash and OPC with a pre-assessment of load repetition behaviour. The physical properties of all the materials used in this study were examined where necessary. The treated marine clay samples were subjected to an unconfined compressive strength (UCS), California bearing ratio (CBR) as well as a mini tracker tests. Microstructural tests; such as X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis and energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectrometry using a field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM); as well as toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP) were also performed. The results of the physical property tests indicated that the use of stabilisers; such as coal ash and OPC; improved the properties of marine clay. The results of the UCS test concluded that the 15% 50BA:50FA sample was the most effective stabiliser as it satisfy the minimum requirements of the Standard Specification for Roadworks for stabilised subgrade layers. During microstructural analysis, XRD analysis showed that the mineral composition intensity of untreated marine clay decreased as the curing duration increased. A new mineral; calcium aluminium silicate hydroxide hydrate (CASH, $\text{Ca}_5\text{Si}_5\text{Al}(\text{OH})\text{O}_{17.5}$) was also found to form. The FESEM results showed the surface particles of treated marine clay seemed denser with fewer voids in the structure. In line with the findings of the XRD and FESEM tests, the EDX results confirmed that cementitious product formed was CASH. During the performance testing for subgrade evaluation, the CBR of treated marine clay increased unlike untreated marine clay in both soaked and unsoaked conditions. Of the treated marine clay samples, only the 15% 50BA:50FA sample at Day 7 had a CBR of 12.8%, which was above the minimum 12% required by the Standard Specification for Road Works in Malaysia. The findings of the mini tracker test indicated an increase in the number of cycles when both soaked and unsoaked conditions as well as untreated soil samples were compared. During the contamination analysis, the elemental concentrations of all three samples (fly ash, bottom ash, and treated marine clay) were found to satisfy the regulatory limits outlined by the US Department of Environmental (DOE). However, only the levels of cadmium, selenium, and silver detected in all three samples were within the regulatory limits of the National Standard for Drinking Water Quality. Therefore, marine clay stabilised with coal ash and OPC not only increases in strength but performs better. Moreover, treated marine clay is also safe for the environment.

ABSTRAK

Disebabkan oleh pertumbuhan industri yang memerlukan lebih banyak penjanaan elektrik daripada loji janakuasa, ini telah membawa kepada peningkatan dalam pengeluaran abu arang batu dan jumlah sisa yang tinggi. Kira-kira 11 juta tan arang batu dihasilkan setiap tahun di Malaysia. Bagi setiap 2.9 juta tan metrik arang batu yang dibakar, 1.2 juta tan metrik abu arang dihasilkan. Justeru, terdapat keperluan untuk menggunakan semula sisa abu arang batu sebagai pendekatan yang mampan terhadap alam sekitar. Matlamat kajian ini adalah untuk mengenal pasti struktur makro dan mikrostruktur tanah liat marin apabila distabilkan dengan abu arang batu dengan penambahan jumlah minima simen Portland biasa (OPC) untuk lapisan subgred. Sehingga kini, analisis terhadap pencemaran abu arang batu dan campuran abu arang batu dengan tanah liat marin adalah terhad. Disamping itu, tiada kajian dilakukan terhadap prestasi tanah liat marin yang distabilkan dengan abu arang batu dan simen berkenaan dengan pra-penilaian tingkah laku pengulangan beban. Untuk penyelidikan ini, ujian sifat fizikal telah dilakukan ke atas semua bahan berdasarkan keperluan. Seterusnya, ujian kekuatan mampatan tidak terkurung (UCS), nisbah galas California (CBR) serta ujian 'Mini Tracker' telah dilakukan ke atas sampel tanah liat marin yang dirawat. Tambahan pula, ujian mikrostruktur seperti Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FESEM), X-ray Diffraction (XRD), dan Energy Dispersive X-Ray Spectrometer (EDX) dan ujian pencemaran iaitu toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP) turut dilakukan. Keputusan yang diperolehi daripada ujian sifat fizikal menunjukkan peningkatan dalam sifat tanah. Berdasarkan ujian UCS, jumlah penstabil yang paling berkesan telah dikenal pasti pada 15% 50BA:50FA (mencapai keperluan minimum Spesifikasi Piawaian untuk Kerja Jalan bagi lapisan subgred yang distabilkan). Dari segi analisis mikrostruktur, daripada analisis XRD menunjukkan bahawa komposisi mineral daripada sampel tanah yang tidak dirawat menurun dengan pertambahan masa dan pembentukan mineral baru iaitu kalsium aluminium silikat hidroksida hidrat (CASH, $\text{Ca}_5\text{Si}_5\text{Al}(\text{OH})\text{O}_{17.5}$). Selain itu, daripada keputusan FESEM, ia menunjukkan bahawa zarah permukaan tanah liat marin yang dirawat kelihatan lebih tumpat dan lompong dalam struktur juga berkurangan. Selain itu, selaras dengan keputusan ujian XRD dan FESEM, keputusan EDX menunjukkan bahawa produk bersimen yang terbentuk adalah CASH. Dari segi ujian prestasi untuk penilaian subgred, CBR tanah liat marin yang dirawat meningkat berbanding dengan tanah liat marin yang tidak dirawat untuk kedua-dua keadaan yang direndam dan tidak direndam. Untuk sampel yang dirawat, hanya sampel 15% 50BA:50FA pada 7 hari dengan nilai CBR sebanyak 12.8%. Ujian mini tracker menunjukkan penambahan bilangan kitaran apabila membandingkan untuk kedua-dua keadaan iaitu yang direndam dan tidak direndam serta tidak dirawat. Untuk analisis pencemaran, ketiga-tiga sampel (FA, BA dan tanah liat marin yang dirawat) melepasi had kawal selia mengikut Jabatan Alam Sekitar Amerika Syarikat (DOE) manakala bagi Piawaian Kebangsaan bagi Kualiti Air Minuman Malaysia untuk ketiga-tiga sampel, hanya unsur kadmium, selenium dan argenterium yang melepasi had piawaian. Secara keseluruhan, tanah liat marin yang distabilkan dengan abu arang batu dan simen menunjukkan peningkatan dalam kekuatan serta peningkatan dalam ujian prestasi. Selain itu, tanah liat marin yang dirawat dianggap selamat untuk alam sekitar.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Problem Statement	4
1.3	Research Aims and Objectives	5
1.4	Scope of the Study	6
1.5	Significance of the Study	7
1.6	Thesis Organisation	7
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1	Introduction	9
2.2	Background of Marine Clay	9
2.2.1	Physical Properties of Marine Clay	10
2.2.2	Engineering Properties of Marine Clay	19
2.3	Soil Stabilization	22
2.3.1	Coal Ash	26
2.3.1.1	Properties of Fly ash	28
2.3.1.2	Properties of Bottom Ash	35
2.4	Macrostructural Characteristics	38

2.4.1	Particle Size Distribution	43
2.4.2	Plasticity	45
2.4.3	Compaction	47
2.4.4	UCS	51
2.4.5	CBR	55
2.4.6	Mini Tracker	56
2.5	Microstructural Study of Stabilized Soil	59
2.5.1	X-ray Diffraction (XRD)	59
2.5.2	Microscopic Analysis	61
2.6	Contamination study of soil and materials	70
2.7	Summary	74
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	77
3.1	Introduction	77
3.2	Material Identification and Characterization	81
3.3	Effect of Coal Ash and OPC on Marine Clay	87
3.3.1	Unconfined compressive strength (UCS)	90
3.4	Performance of Treated Marine Clay	93
3.4.1	California Bearing Ratio	94
3.4.2	Mini Tracker	95
3.5	Microstructural and Contamination Analyses	100
3.5.1	Energy Dispersive X-Ray (EDX) Spectrometry Using a Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FESEM)	102
3.5.2	X-ray Diffraction (XRD) Analysis	103
3.5.3	Particle Size Analysis	106
3.5.4	Toxicity Characteristics Leaching Procedure	107
3.6	Summary	108
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND ANALYSIS	111
4.1	Introduction	111
4.2	Characterisation of Untreated Materials	113
4.2.1	Fly Ash	113
4.2.2	Bottom Ash	115

4.2.3	Marine Clay	118
4.3	Macro Structural Characterisation of Treated Soil	122
4.3.1	Particle Size Analysis	122
4.3.2	Atterberg Limits	123
4.3.3	Compaction test	127
4.3.4	pH test	130
4.3.5	Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS)	133
4.4	Performance Testing of Treated Soil	140
4.4.1	California Bearing Ratio	140
4.4.2	Mini Tracker Test	143
4.5	Microstructural Characterisation of Treated Soil	145
4.5.1	X-Ray Diffraction	145
4.5.2	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM) and X-ray spectroscopy (EDX)	147
4.6	Contamination assessment	151
4.7	Summary	156
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	157
5.1	Conclusions	157
5.1.1	Summary of characterization of materials (coal ash and marine clay).	157
5.1.2	Summary of effect of various proportions of coal ash with addition of ordinary Portland cement (OPC) on strength characteristics with microstructural verification.	158
5.1.3	Summary of the performance testing in terms of subgrade layer of treated and untreated marine clay.	158
5.1.4	Summary of environmental effects of fly ash and bottom ash on soil.	159
5.2	Recommendations	160
	REFERENCES	161
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	185

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Summarization properties of marine clay around the world	13
Table 2.2	Summarization properties of marine clay in the Asia region	14
Table 2.3	Summary of tests conducted from previous research for soil stabilisation on marine clay	24
Table 2.4	Properties of Class C and F of Fly Ash (Goswami, Purohit and Arora, no date)	29
Table 2.5	Summary of physical properties of fly ash from past researchers	31
Table 2.6	Summary of chemical properties of fly ash from past researchers	34
Table 2.7	Summary of physical properties of bottom ash from past researchers	36
Table 2.8	Summary of chemical properties of Bottom ash from past researchers	37
Table 2.9	Summary of Macrostructural Characteristics from Previous Studies.	39
Table 2.10	Chemical Analysis of Fly Ash (Nath et al., 2016)	69
Table 2.11	TCLP results for Indonesian fly ash (IFA), Indonesian bottom ash (IBA), Semirara fly ash (SFA) and Semirara bottom ash (SBA) (Gallardo <i>et al.</i> , 2015)	72
Table 3.1	Summary of laboratory testing on untreated soil with standard references	79
Table 3.2	Summary of laboratory testing on treated soil with standard references	80
Table 3.3	Summary of laboratory tests conducted on the materials as well as standard references.	87
Table 3.4	Summary of sample compositions for the UCS test	88
Table 3.5	Preparation of samples for the UCS test.	92
Table 3.6	Preparation of samples for the CBR test.	96

Table 3.7	Summary of the testing schemes and mixtures for the macrostructural and performance tests	99
Table 3.8	Summary of microstructural and contamination test samples	102
Table 4.1	Coal ash and stabiliser composition of soil samples	112
Table 4.2	Properties of fly ash from Tanjung Bin Power plant, Johor	114
Table 4.3	Properties of bottom ash from Tanjung Bin Powerplant, Johor	116
Table 4.4	Properties of marine clay soil from the Iskandar Puteri development site in Johor.	119
Table 4.5	Analysis of pore and void areas (black spots in the image)	121
Table 4.6	Summary of Atterberg Limits of marine clay treated with stabilizers.	125
Table 4.7	Summary of the MDD and OMC results of the compaction test corresponding to the addition of coal ash and OPC, respectively.	127
Table 4.8	Summary of pH values of marine clay treated with stabilisers.	130
Table 4.9	Summary of the compressive strength of marine clay stabilised with coal ash and OPC	134
Table 4.10	Summary of CBR values for marine clay treated with stabilisers.	141
Table 4.11	Analysis of the pores and voids (black spots) of the treated 15% 50BA:50FA sample.	150
Table 4.12	The chemical composition of the untreated and treated 15% 50BA:50FA samples at Days 0, 7, and 28.	150
Table 4.13	The results of the TCLP test compared with the DoE's maximum level.	152
Table 4.14	The results of the TCLP test compared with the Malaysian Water Standard.	152

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	EDX analysis of marine clay in Selangor (How and Wan Yaacob, 2015)	16
Figure 2.2	EDX analysis of marine clay in India (Rajkumar, Ramanathan and Behera, 2012)	17
Figure 2.3	SEM image of clay (Horpibulsuk <i>et al.</i> , 2010)	17
Figure 2.4	SEM image of kaolinite arranged alternatively with illite (Rajkumar, Ramanathan and Behera, 2012).	18
Figure 2.5	FESEM image of kaolinite clay mineral (Latifi, Rashid, <i>et al.</i> , 2015)	18
Figure 2.6	UCS value of marine clay with varying drying temperatureNayak and Preetham (2020)	19
Figure 2.7	CBR values of marine clay with varying temperatures Nayak and Preetham (2020)	21
Figure 2.8	Particle Size Distribution for treated and untreated (a) bentonite and (b) kaolin (Latifi <i>et al.</i> , 2018)	44
Figure 2.9	Effects of Combined Additives on the Compressive Strength for Various Curing Period (Aydin and Baradan, 2012)	54
Figure 2.10	California Bearing Ratio values with increasing lime content (Zhu <i>et al.</i> , 2019).	56
Figure 2.11	Depth of rut of SMA at different temperatures (a) 25 °C (b) 40 °C (c) 60 °C (Hafeez, Kamal and Mirza, 2014)	58
Figure 2.12	Erodibility Index against the number of runs for different cement percentages (Yeo, Jitsangiam and Nikraz, 2011)	58
Figure 2.13	XRD Analysis of Clay Treated with Rice Husk Ash (RHA) (Gupta and Kumarr, 2017)	60
Figure 2.14	XRD Patterns of (A) untreated clay (B) treated clay with PA, RHA and 0% cement (C) treated clay with PA, RHA and 2% cement (D) treated clay with PA, RHA and 4% cement (Gupta and Kumarr, 2017)	60
Figure 2.15	X-ray Diffraction Analysis of Treated and Untreated Soil (Zhu <i>et al.</i> , 2019)	61

Figure 2.16	SEM image of the untreated Singapore marine clay (Kamruzzaman, Chew and Lee, 2006)	62
Figure 2.17	SEM image of treated sample at 28 days for different percentage of cement (a)10% (b)20% (c)30% (d)50% (Kamruzzaman, Chew and Lee, 2006)	64
Figure 2.18	FESEM image of marine clay (a)Untreated (b) Treated with 8% PU (Saleh <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	65
Figure 2.19	FESEM micrograph of untreated kaolin (Latifi <i>et al.</i> , 2018)	66
Figure 2.20	FESEM micrographs of treated kaolin 12% (a) 7 days (b) 60 days (Latifi <i>et al.</i> , 2018)	66
Figure 2.21	FESEM micrograph of (a) fly ash (b) bottom ash (Deraman <i>et al.</i> , 2017)	67
Figure 2.22	SEM micrograph of bottom ash paste after 28 days(Akkarapongtrakul, Julphunthong and Nochaiya, 2017)	68
Figure 2.23	SEM micrograph of (a) bottom ash and (b) fly ash (Argiz, Sanjuán and Menéndez, 2017)	68
Figure 2.24	SEM micrograph and EDX of fly ash (Nath et al., 2016)	69
Figure 2.25	Concentration of heavy metals for Toxicity Characteristics Leaching Procedure (TCLP) (Gupta, Gedam and Moghe, 2017)	73
Figure 3.1	Flowchart of the research	78
Figure 3.2	Process of first phase – Material Identification and Collection	81
Figure 3.3	The Iskandar Puteri site where Marine Clay was obtained	82
Figure 3.4	Bottom ash at the Tanjung Bin Power Plant, Johor	82
Figure 3.5	Fly ash at the Tanjung Bin Power Plant, Johor	83
Figure 3.6	Marine clay preparation process	84
Figure 3.7	Fly Ash preparation process	85
Figure 3.8	Bottom Ash preparation process	85
Figure 3.9	Ordinary Portland Cement preparation process	85
Figure 3.10	Preparation and characterisation of the materials	86
Figure 3.11	Process of Phase 2	90

Figure 3.12	(a) Apparatus set-up and (b) moulds for forming UCS samples	91
Figure 3.13	The compression machine	93
Figure 3.14	Phase 3 flowchart	93
Figure 3.15	Preparation and testing of the CBR samples.	97
Figure 3.16	Wooden box that was fabricated for the Mini tracker sample preparation	98
Figure 3.17	Mini tracker test sampling and testing process.	98
Figure 3.18	Process of Phase 4	100
Figure 3.19	Preparation for samples for the microstructure test	101
Figure 3.20	The Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FESEM) machine	103
Figure 3.21	Process of FESEM test	104
Figure 3.22	XRD testing process	105
Figure 3.23	The particle size analyser	106
Figure 3.24	Stabilised soil sample diluted with distilled water	107
Figure 3.25	The ICP-OES machine	108
Figure 4.1	FESEM images of the fly ash	115
Figure 4.2	XRD Analysis of the fly ash	115
Figure 4.3	FESEM images of the bottom ash	117
Figure 4.4	XRD analysis of the bottom ash	117
Figure 4.5	XRD analysis of marine clay	120
Figure 4.6	FESEM images of marine clay.	121
Figure 4.7	The area of voids and pores as detected in ImageJ	121
Figure 4.8	Comparison of particle size distribution of the untreated soil sample and the treated 15% 50BA:50FA soil sample at different curing times.	123
Figure 4.9	The plastic limit (PL) of marine clay treated with six varying quantities of three different bottom ash (BA) to fly ash (FA) ratios	125
Figure 4.10	The liquid limit (LL) of marine clay treated with six varying quantities of three different bottom ash (BA) to fly ash (FA) ratios	126

Figure 4.11	The plasticity index (PI) of marine clay treated with six varying quantities of three different bottom ash (BA) to fly ash (FA) ratios	126
Figure 4.12	The maximum dry density (MDD) of the samples in relation to the number of additives contained	128
Figure 4.13	The optimum moisture content (OMC) in relation to the number of additives contained	129
Figure 4.14	The effect of pH on the curing period of six different amounts; (a) 5%, (b) 8%, (c) 10%, (d) 15%, (e) 20%, and (f) 25%; of three varying bottom to fly ash ratios	133
Figure 4.15	The effect of strength on the curing period of six different amounts; (a) 5%, (b) 8%, (c) 10%, (d) 15%, (e) 20%, and (f) 25%; of three varying bottom to fly ash ratios	139
Figure 4.16	The CBR for untreated and treated marine clay samples under different conditions (a) soaked and (b) unsoaked	142
Figure 4.17	The settlement depth of treated marine clay samples under different conditions (a) unsoaked and (b) soaked as a function of the number of cycles	144
Figure 4.18	The number of cycles for the soaked and unsoaked treated marine clay samples	145
Figure 4.19	The XRD patterns of untreated marine clay and the treated 15% 50BA:50FA sample at different curing times	146
Figure 4.20	The FESEM results of the treated 15% 50BA:50FA sample at Days (a) 0 (b) 7, and (c) 28	148
Figure 4.21	The black spots as seen in the ImageJ software at Days (a) 0, (b) 7, and (c) 28	149
Figure 4.22	The concentrations of heavy metals detected in fly ash (FA), bottom ash (BA), and the 15% 50BA:50FA sample during the TCLP test.	155

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AASHTO	-	American Association of State Highway Transportation Officials
ASTM	-	American Society for Testing and Materials
BA		Bottom ash
CI		Clay of intermediate plasticity
CL	-	Clay of low plasticity
EDX	-	Energy dispersive spectroscopy
FESEM	-	Field emission scanning electron microscopy
FA		Fly ash
MC	-	Marine clay
MDD	-	Maximum dry density
OMC	-	Optimum moisture content
UCS	-	Unconfined compressive strength
UCT	-	Unconfined compressive test
USEPA	-	United States of America environment protection agency
USCS		Unified soil classification system
XRD		X-ray diffraction analysis

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The global generation of coal ash waste is increasing at alarming rate. The International Energy Outlook (IEO) 2009 predicted that coal ash waste production in countries, such as India and the United States alone would increase by 88% or 13.33 billion tons between 2006 to 2030 (Tenenbaum, 2009; Alhokabi & Ing, 2019). The total coal ash waste generated worldwide is approximately 600 to 800 million tons (Wang *et al.*, 2005; Hui, Hui and Lee, 2009). In India, 120 coal power plants produce 70% of the country's electricity, which in return produces 115 to 150 million tons of fly ash per year (Lokeshappa & Dikshit, 2011; Jain, 2015; Indiramma, Sudharani, & Needhidasan, 2019). Although fly ash utilisation stood at 58% in 2018, this is still a long way from 100% utilisation (Indiramma, Sudharani, & Needhidasan, 2019). The United States combusts 131 million tons of coal annually, of which only 43% is used (Tenenbaum, 2009). On a yearly basis, China generates approximately 150 million tons of ash (Cao, Selic, & Herbell, 2008) while the United States produces about 125 million tons, Europe about 100 million tons (Kizgut, Cuhadaroglu, & Samanli, 2010), and Thailand produces about four million tons of coal ash waste (Chindaprasirt *et al.*, 2009). To yield 1 megawatt of electricity, 15 to 18.75 tons of coal needs to be burned, which produces 25% to 60% of ash waste and 4.3 to 11 tons of coal waste ash (Asokan, Saxena and Asolekar, 2005; Kizgut, Cuhadaroglu and Samanli, 2010).

Statistically, almost 75 million tons of ash ends up in landfills as waste (Santos, Li, & Amini, 2011). Despite the abundance of fly ash produced, the utilisation rate is still quite low (47%) while only 5.28% of bottom ash is reused (Alhokabi & Ing, 2019). In developed countries, about 80% of fly ash is reutilised in

landfills, road construction, agriculture, dam construction, brick manufacturing, ceramics, cellular concrete blocks, and insulating bricks (Indiramma, Sudharani, & Needhidasan, 2019). On a separate note, 2.2 billion tons of cement is produced worldwide annually (Yang, Yang, & Li, 2007). As such, these 2.2 billion tons of fly ash can be reutilised at a 1:1 ratio during concrete mixing (Mehta 1998).

As of 2010, coal power plants generated 40% of Malaysia's electricity (Abubakar, Baharudin, & Technology, 2012). The four coal-fired power stations located in Peninsular Malaysia; the Sultan Salahuddin Abdul Aziz Power Station in Kapar, Selangor, the Tuanku Muhriz Power Station in Lukut, Negeri Sembilan, the Tanjung Bin Power Station in Pontian, Johor, and the Sultan Azlan Shah Power Station in Manjung, Perak; cumulatively generate 1400MW of electricity (Nordin *et al.*, 2016) while three more coal-fired power plants in Sarawak; the PPLS Power Generation Plant, the Sejingkat Power Corporation Plant, and the Mukah Power Station; produce 110W, 100W, and 270W of electricity, respectively (Alhokabi & Ing, 2019). For the purposes of this present study, coal ash was obtained from the Tanjung Bin Power Station in Pontian, Johor, which produces approximately 1620 tons of fly ash and 180 tonnes of bottom ash on a daily basis (Muhardi *et al.*, 2010). The unburned coal produced during coal combustion consists of 80% to 90% of fly ash and 10% to 20% of bottom ash (Abubakar, Baharudin, & Technology, 2012). These statistics indicate an urgent need for fly ash and bottom ash reutilisation as a means of sustainable construction that simultaneously helps reduce the abundance of these by-products.

Fly ash and bottom ash alone cannot stabilise soil due to the absence of pozzolanic minerals (Parsons & Kneebone, 2005; Kurama & Kaya, 2008; Shaheen, Hooda, & Tsadilas, 2014). Therefore, a small amount of chemical-based binder; such as lime and cement; is necessary to provide an element for the pozzolanic reaction and secure the long-term stabilisation process to produce a strong and durable subgrade layer. The use of coal ash and cement to stabilise the subgrade layer during road construction will reduce the need to borrow materials, speed up construction by improving the quality of the subgrade layer, reduce construction cost by reducing pavement thickness as well as the use of expensive natural aggregates. Multiple

studies have explored the use of coal ash as a secondary binder alongside traditional additives (Modarres & Nosoudy, 2015; Das & Singh, 2016; J. Ahmad *et al.*, 2016; Eisazadeh, Bhurtel, & Phai, 2019; Indiramma, Sudharani, & Needhidasan, 2019). However, in order to explore a more sustainable approach, this present study used coal ash as the main additive with the help of a secondary binder; more specifically, cement; to stabilise problematic soil.

Bottom ash is commonly reused as a replacement construction material; such as road base material, partial sand replacement in bricks, and fine aggregate in concrete and structural fillers (Syahrul *et al.*, 2010; Alhokabi & Ing, 2019; Azizan *et al.*, 2020). Aggarwal *et al.* (2007) found out that varying percentages of bottom ash increased the strength of concrete, comparable to that of normal concrete. Higher amounts of bottom ash was also found to reduce the occurrence of concrete deformation due to plastic shrinkage (Andrade, Rocha, & Malik, 2007). Azizan *et al.* (2020) replaced the use of fine aggregates in concrete with bottom ash and found that bottom ash could substitute sand as it absorbs more water, which increases its strength, without jeopardising cost. However, there is little to no research on the use of bottom ash to stabilise soil as bottom ash is still considered a scheduled waste. As such, this limits the practical application of bottom ash as a soil stabiliser as there are certain guidelines to adhere to; such as those of the Department of Environment (DOE); as its effect on the environment remains to be explored. According to the American Coal Ash Association (2008), only 2.3% of bottom ash is reutilised as a soil stabiliser in the United States. Therefore, there is a need to examine its effects on soil.

The primary purpose of this present study was to investigate the suitability of a subgrade layer that had been stabilised with an optimum combination of coal ash and a minimum amount of ordinary Portland cement (OPC). Pavement performance, which included both soaked and unsoaked California bearing ratio (CBR) tests, as well as a Mini Tracker test was conducted. A microstructural test was performed to verify the effects of the strength increase while a contamination analysis was conducted to determine if fly ash, bottom ash, as well as a mixture of fly ash-bottom ash-soil was environmentally safe.

1.2 Problem Statement

Marine clay is usually found in coastal regions as well as offshore and ocean beds (Rajasekaran & Narasimha Rao, 1997). It is considered a problematic soil due to its low strength and high compressibility. Marine clay is also highly susceptible to swelling and shrinkage according to changes in moisture content (Rao *et al.*, 2012). As such, this type of soil is considered unsuitable for any construction or development upon it. For the purposes of this study, marine clay was obtained from a housing site in Iskandar Puteri, Johor Bahru. Due to the expansion of existing infrastructure as well as new development projects in this already crowded and mature area, there is the dilemma of land scarcity. Therefore, there is a need to stabilise the marine clay in this region before structures can be safely constructed upon it.

As previously mentioned, coal ash is abundantly available. Moreover, due to the high costs involved in constructing ash ponds to dump this waste, power plants are exploring the feasibility of using existing coal ash deposits in a beneficial and innovative way in order to make room to dispose of newly generated coal ash when it arises. There are two methods of disposing of coal ash; wet or dry (López-Antón *et al.*, 2007). In the dry method, coal ash is dumped into landfills and mixed with waste gypsum (Sathonsaowaphak, Chindaprasirt, & Pimraksa, 2009) while in the wet method, both fly ash and bottom ash are mixed with water to form a slurry (Chakraborty & Mukherjee, 2009) that is then dumped into lagoons or ponds (Asokan, Saxena, & Asolekar, 2005; Kim & Prezzi, 2008). The wet method of coal ash disposal is more environmentally detrimental than the dry method (Hansen, Notten, & Petrie, 2002). Furthermore, although Malaysia accumulates approximately 76% of all its solid waste, only 5% of it is recycled while the remaining 95% ends up in landfills (Papargyropoulou *et al.*, 2011). This indicates the alarming need to reuse coal ash, to stabilise marine clay in this case. It is hoped that by reusing coal ash in this manner, it would negate the environmental pollution caused by the abundance of waste in landfills as well as reduce the cost of creating ponds to dispose of coal ash. Reusing coal ash also supports sustainability, which is one of the initiatives that the Malaysian government is trying to implement.

The Environmental Quality Act, 1974 classified both fly ash and bottom ash as an SW 104 Scheduled Waste. Therefore, the use of fly ash and bottom ash requires prior authorisation from the Malaysian Department of Environment (DOE). While coal ash has been utilised for many things, the use of fly ash and bottom ash is less widely accepted. This is primarily due to a lack of guidelines for its use, & Technology, 2012). However, the number of studies exploring the use of bottom ash has increased over the years. Therefore, in order for both fly ash and bottom ash to be reused on a much larger scale, its long-term environmental safety needs to be proven. Although some researchers deem coal ash to be non-hazardous, hazardous effects could develop over prolonged and extensive dumping (Twardowska and Szczepanska, 2002).

This study explored the feasibility of stabilising marine clay with coal ash as the primary additive and OPC as the secondary additive. OPC is extensively used as a binder in the construction industry due to its pozzolanic properties. Multiple extant studies have shown that the addition of OPC and coal ash increases the strength and workability of soil, especially clay soil (Nontananandh & Boonyong, 1998; J. Ahmad *et al.*, 2016; Yoobanpot, Jamsawang, & Horpibulsuk, 2017; Jamaludin *et al.*, 2020). Furthermore, OPC is a binder that lessens the leachability of heavy metals as cement immobilises heavy metals (Giergiczny & Krol, 2008; Chen *et al.*, 2009; Copper *et al.*, 2011).

1.3 Research Aims and Objectives

The purpose of this present study was to evaluate the macrostructure and microstructure behaviour of marine clay as a subgrade layer when stabilised with coal ash and a small quantity of OPC. Coal ash was the main additive while OPC was the secondary additive. The objectives of this study were:

1. To characterise the materials used in this study; namely coal ash and marine clay.

2. To analyse the effect that compositions containing varying quantities of coal ash and OPC had on strength using microstructural verification
3. To investigate the performance of subgrade layers made of treated and untreated marine clay.
4. To determine the environmental effects of fly ash and bottom ash on soil.

1.4 Scope of the Study

This laboratory-based study experimented using coal ash as the main soil stabiliser and OPC as the secondary soil stabiliser in marine clay. Marine clay was obtained from a site in Iskandar Puteri, Johor while coal ash was obtained from the Tanjung Bin Power Plant in Johor and OPC was obtained from the Structural Lab (D04) at Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM). All experiments were carried out at the Geotechnical lab (D03). This study used coal ash, which consists of fly ash and bottom ash, as the main stabiliser while OPC was used as the secondary stabiliser.

All tests were conducted in accordance with the British Standard (BS) 1377:1990. In order to determine physical properties; such as the Atterberg limits; of the samples, particle size distribution, specific gravity, compaction, pH, and moisture content were examined. Microstructural tests were also conducted on the samples using field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM), X-ray diffraction (XRD), and energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDX) when needed. To determine the optimum mixture, samples that had been stabilised with both the main and secondary stabilisers were subjected to the unconfined compression test to evaluate the unconfirmed compressive strength (UCS) of the samples. The selected sample then underwent soaked and unsoaked CBR, a Mini Tracker test, and toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP).

1.5 Significance of the Study

At present, not many studies have used a mini tracker test to assess the performance of subgrades made with marine clay or marine clay stabilised with coal ash and cement. Over the years, mini tracker tests have been conducted on pavement materials; such as recycled concrete and tyre aggregate mixtures, rock asphalt mixtures, and stone mastic asphalt; as well as cement-treated materials; such as limestone and silty sand soil (Blerk & Scullion, 1995; Hafeez, Kamal, & Mirza, 2014; Howard, Sullivan, & Anderson, 2016; Arulrajah *et al.*, 2020; Ren *et al.*, 2020).

There is also limited contamination analysis data available on the use of coal ash alone as well as coal ash and marine clay mixtures. Only Modarres and Nosoudy (2015) investigated the environmental effects of using coal ash and lime with clay. As such, very little research has been done on contamination analysis for both fly ash and bottom ash, particularly marine clay and cement. Therefore, the findings of this present study add knowledge and insight to this scarce body of work.

This study determined if coal ash and minimum quantities of OPC could effectively stabilise marine clay and improve its properties for use as a subgrade layer in road construction. As stabilising this problematic soil would make it safe and useful for construction purposes, it would help reduce the amount of coal ash that ends up at the ash ponds of power plants. Furthermore, multiple extant studies have failed to investigate fly ash to bottom ash ratio. This data is important to determine whether varying ratios of both would significantly affect the end product.

1.6 Thesis Organisation

This thesis comprises of five chapters. Chapter 1 contains the background, problem statement, research aims and objectives, scope of the study, and significance of the study. Chapter 2 reviews relevant extant literature; such as the background of the marine clay and soil additives used in this study; namely coal ash and cement. The macrostructural and microstructural characteristics of treated soil as well as the

contamination study of soil and materials were also discussed. The methodology of this research is elaborated in Chapter 3. This includes the testing procedures, sample preparations, and the standards that were used and referred to throughout this study. Chapter 4 provides an analysis and discusses the results of this research. The characterisation of the untreated materials as well as the results of the macrostructural study, microstructural study, and performance testing of treated soil as well as contamination assessment are provided. Lastly, Chapter 5 summarises this thesis and suggests recommendations for future studies.

REFERENCES

- Abubakar, A. U., Baharudin, K. S. and Technology, I. (2012) 'Potential Use Of Malaysian Thermal Power Plants', 3(2), pp. 25–37.
- Aggarwal, P., Aggarwal, Y. and Gupta, S. M. (2007) 'Effect of Bottom Ash As Replacement of Fine Aggregates in Concrete', *Asian Journal of Civil Engineering (Building and Housing) Vol.*, 8(1), pp. 49–62. doi: 10.1007/s12303-009-0004-6.
- Ahmad, J. *et al.* (2016) 'Clay Stabilization Using OPC and Bottom Ash as Additives', *Regional Conference on Science, Technology and Social Sciences (RCSTSS 2014)*. doi: 10.1007/978-981-10-0534-3.
- Ahmad, N. I. *et al.* (2016) 'On the performance of a polycrystalline PV panel under different impulse voltages and temperatures', in *2016 33rd International Conference on Lightning Protection, ICLP 2016*. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc. doi: 10.1109/ICLP.2016.7791417.
- Ahmaruzzaman, M. (2010) 'A review on the utilization of fly ash', *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*. Pergamon, pp. 327–363. doi: 10.1016/j.pecs.2009.11.003.
- Ahmed, A. (2015) 'Compressive strength and microstructure of soft clay soil stabilized with recycled bassanite', *Applied Clay Science*. Elsevier B.V., 104, pp. 27–35. doi: 10.1016/j.clay.2014.11.031.
- Ahmed, S. I. and Purnanandam, K. (2019) 'An Experimental Study On The Performance Of Marine Clay Subgrade Treated With Sawdust And LimE', 4(4), pp. 383–389.
- Akar, G. *et al.* (2012) 'Leaching behavior of selected trace elements in coal fly ash samples from Yenikoy coal-fired power plants', *Fuel Processing Technology*. Elsevier, 104, pp. 50–56. doi: 10.1016/j.fuproc.2012.06.026.
- Akkarapongtrakul, A., Julphunthong, P. and Nochaiya, T. (2017) 'Setting time and microstructure of Portland cement-bottom ash – sugarcane bagasse ash pastes', *Monatshefte für Chemie - Chemical Monthly*. Springer Vienna, 148(7), pp. 1355–1362. doi: 10.1007/s00706-017-1953-5.
- Al-Rawas, A. A., Hago, A. W. and Al-Sarmi, H. (2005) 'Effect of lime, cement and

- Saroj (artificial pozzolan) on the swelling potential of an expansive soil from Oman', *Building and Environment*. Pergamon, 40(5), pp. 681–687. doi: 10.1016/j.buildenv.2004.08.028.
- Alhokabi, A. A. and Ing, D. S. (2019) 'Study on The Mechanical Properties of Bottom Ash as a Partial Sand Replacement in a Non-Load Bearing Fly Ash Bricks', *Saudi Journal of Engineering*, 3(3), pp. 59–66. doi: 10.21276/sjce.2019.3.3.2.
- Ali, F. (2012) 'Stabilization of residual soils using liquid chemical', *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 17, pp. 115–126.
- Amu, O. O., Ogunjobi, O. A. and Okhuemoi, A. I. (2012) 'Effects of Forage Ash on Some Geotechnical Properties of Lime Stabilized Lateritic Soils for Road Works', 2(4), pp. 592–598.
- Andrade, L. B., Rocha, J. C. and Cheriaf, M. (2009) 'Influence of coal bottom ash as fine aggregate on fresh properties of concrete', *Construction and Building Materials*. Elsevier, pp. 609–614. doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2008.05.003.
- Andrade, L. B., Rocha, J. C. and Malik, C. (2007) 'Evaluation of Concrete Incorporating Bottom Ash as Natural Aggregates Replacement', *Waste management (New York, N.Y.)*, 27, pp. 1190–1199.
- Anggraini, D. F. and Wulandari, R. D. (2016) 'Pelaksanaan Supervisi Imunisasi Campak di Puskesmas Wilayah Surabaya Utara', *Jurnal Administrasi Kesehatan Indonesia*, 4(1), p. 1. doi: 10.20473/jaki.v4i1.2016.1-8.
- Anggraini, V. *et al.* (2017) 'Three point bending flexural strength of cement treated tropical marine soil reinforced by lime treated natural fiber', *Measurement: Journal of the International Measurement Confederation*. Elsevier B.V., 111, pp. 158–166. doi: 10.1016/j.measurement.2017.07.045.
- Ansary, M. A., Noor, M. A. and Islam, M. (2007) 'Effect of Fly Ash Stabilization on Geotechnical Properties of Chittagong Coastal Soil', *Science And Technology*, 3, pp. 443–454. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4020-6146-2_26.
- Argiz, C., Sanjuán, M. Á. and Menéndez, E. (2017) 'Coal Bottom Ash for Portland Cement Production', *Advances in Materials Science and Engineering*, 2017. doi: 10.1155/2017/6068286.
- Arulrajah, A. *et al.* (2016) 'Stabilization of Recycled Demolition Aggregates by Geopolymers comprising Calcium Carbide Residue, Fly Ash and Slag precursors', *Construction and Building Materials*. Elsevier Ltd, 114, pp. 864–

873. doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.03.150.
- Arulrajah, A. *et al.* (2017) ‘Recycled glass as a supplementary filler material in spent coffee grounds geopolymers’, *Construction and Building Materials*. Elsevier Ltd, 151, pp. 18–27. doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.06.050.
- Arulrajah, A. *et al.* (2020) ‘Wheel tracker testing of recycled concrete and tyre aggregates in Australia’, *Geotechnical Research*, 7(1), pp. 49–57.
- Arulrajah, A., Nikraz, H. and Bo, M. W. (2005) ‘In-situ testing of Singapore marine clay at Changi’, *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, 23(2), pp. 111–130. doi: 10.1007/s10706-003-7329-0.
- Asokan, P., Saxena, M. and Asolekar, S. R. (2005) ‘Coal combustion residues—environmental implications and recycling potentials’, *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 43(3), pp. 239–262. doi: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2004.06.003.
- Aydilek, A. H. and Arora, S. (2004) ‘Fly Ash Amended Soils as Highway Base Materials’, in *Geotechnical Engineering for Transportation Projects*. Reston, VA: American Society of Civil Engineers, pp. 1032–1041. doi: 10.1061/40744(154)91.
- Aydin, S. and Baradan, B. (2012) ‘Mechanical and microstructural properties of heat cured alkali-activated slag mortars’, *Materials and Design*. Elsevier, 35, pp. 374–383. doi: 10.1016/j.matdes.2011.10.005.
- Ayeldeen, M. *et al.* (2017) ‘Enhancing mechanical behaviors of collapsible soil using two biopolymers’, *Journal of Rock Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering*. Elsevier Ltd, 9(2), pp. 329–339. doi: 10.1016/j.jrmge.2016.11.007.
- Azizan, M. A. *et al.* (2020) ‘Application of coal bottom ash as raw material for concrete brick in housing construction’, *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 020269(March), pp. 1–3.
- Basack, S. and Purkayastha, R. D. (2009) ‘Engineering properties of marine clays from the eastern coast of India’, *Journal of Engineering and Technology Research*, 1(6), pp. 109–114.
- Bell, F. G. (1996) ‘Lime Stabilization of clay minerals and soils’, *Engineering Geology*, 42, pp. 223–237.
- Benson, C. H. and Trast, J. M. (2018) ‘Hydraulic Conductivity of Thirteen Compacted Clays’, *Clays and Clay Minerals*, 43(6), pp. 669–681. doi: 10.1346/CCMN.1995.0430603.
- Bhurtel, A. and Eisazadeh, A. (2020) ‘Strength and Durability of Bottom Ash and

- Lime Stabilized Bangkok Clay', *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, 24(2), pp. 404–411. doi: 10.1007/s12205-020-0850-3.
- Binal, A. *et al.* (2016) 'Improvement of the Strength of Ankara Clay with Self-cementing High Alkaline Fly Ash', *Procedia Engineering*. The Author(s), 161, pp. 374–379. doi: 10.1016/j.proeng.2016.08.577.
- Blerk, P. G. Van and Scullion, T. (1995) 'Evaluation of Stabilized Base Durability Using a Modified South African Wheel Tracking Device', 7.
- Bo, M. W. *et al.* (2015) 'Mineralogy and geotechnical properties of Singapore marine clay at Changi', *Soils and Foundations*. Elsevier, 55(3), pp. 600–613. doi: 10.1016/j.sandf.2015.04.011.
- Brooks, R., Udoeyo, F. F. and Takkalapelli, K. V. (2011) 'Geotechnical Properties of Problem Soils Stabilized with Fly Ash and Limestone Dust in Philadelphia', *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, 23(May), pp. 711–716. doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0000214.
- Buwa, V. and Wayal, A. S. (2016) 'Use of Flyash and Lime for Stabilization of Soft Soil', *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 21(18), pp. 6236–6246.
- Cadersa, A. S., Seeborun, A. K. and Chan Chim Yuk, A. (2014) 'Use of Coal Bottom Ash as Mechanical Stabiliser in Subgrade Soil', *Journal of Engineering (United States)*, 2014. doi: 10.1155/2014/184607.
- Cai, G. and Liu, S. (2017) 'Compaction and mechanical characteristics and stabilization mechanism of carbonated reactive MgO-stabilized silt', *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, 21(7), pp. 2641–2654. doi: 10.1007/s12205-017-1145-1.
- Cao, D. Z., Selic, E. and Herbell, J. D. (2008) 'Utilization of fly ash from coal-fired power plants in China', *Journal of Zhejiang University: Science A*, 9(5), pp. 681–687. doi: 10.1631/jzus.A072163.
- Cerda, V. (2004) 'Characterization of bottom ash in municipal solid waste incinerators for its use in road base', 24, pp. 899–909. doi: 10.1016/j.wasman.2004.07.004.
- Chakraborty, R. and Mukherjee, A. (2009) 'Mutagenicity and genotoxicity of coal fly ash water leachate', *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 72(3), pp. 838–842. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2008.09.023.
- Chen, Q. Y. *et al.* (2009) 'Immobilisation of heavy metal in cement-based solidification / stabilisation : A review', *Waste Management*. Elsevier Ltd,

- 29(1), pp. 390–403. doi: 10.1016/j.wasman.2008.01.019.
- Cheng, Q., Yao, K. and Liu, Y. (2018) ‘Stress-dependent behavior of marine clay admixed with fly-ash-blended cement’, *International Journal of Pavement Research and Technology*. Chinese Society of Pavement Engineering, 11(6), pp. 611–616. doi: 10.1016/j.ijprt.2018.01.004.
- Cheriaf, M., Rocha, J. C. and Péra, J. (1999) ‘Pozzolanic properties of pulverized coal combustion bottom ash’, *Cement and Concrete Research*, 29, pp. 1387–1391.
- Cheshomi, A., Eshaghi, A. and Hassanpour, J. (2017) ‘Effect of lime and fly ash on swelling percentage and Atterberg limits of sulfate-bearing clay’, *Applied Clay Science*. Elsevier B.V., 135, pp. 190–198. doi: 10.1016/j.clay.2016.09.019.
- Chindaprasirt, P. *et al.* (2009) ‘Comparative study on the characteristics of fly ash and bottom ash geopolymers’, *Waste Management*, 29(2), pp. 539–543. doi: 10.1016/j.wasman.2008.06.023.
- Chong, S. Y. and Kassim, K. A. (2015) ‘Effect of Lime on Compaction , Strength and Consolidation Characteristics of Pontian Marine Clay’, 3, pp. 41–47.
- Choquette, M., Bérubé, M. A. and Locat, J. (1987) ‘Mineralogical and microtextural changes associated with lime stabilization of marine clays from eastern Canada’, *Applied Clay Science*, 2(3), pp. 215–232. doi: 10.1016/0169-1317(87)90032-9.
- Chou, C. L. (2012) ‘Sulfur in coals: A review of geochemistry and origins’, *International Journal of Coal Geology*. Elsevier B.V., 100, pp. 1–13. doi: 10.1016/j.coal.2012.05.009.
- Collot, A.-G. (2006) ‘Matching gasification technologies to coal properties’, *International Journal of Coal Geology*, 65, pp. 191–212. doi: 10.1016/j.coal.2005.05.003.
- CONSOLI, N. C. (2007) ‘Coal bottom ash as a geomaterial: Influence of particle morphology on the behavior of granular materials’, *Soils and ...*, 47(2), pp. 361–373.
- Copper, C. *et al.* (2011) *EPA Promoted the Use of Coal Ash Products With Incomplete Risk Information*.
- Das, B. M. (2008) *Advanced Soil Mechanics, Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*. doi: 10.1029/EO066i042p00714-02.
- Deb, T. and Pal, S. K. (2014) ‘Effect of Fly Ash on Geotechnical Properties of Local

- Soil-Fly Ash Mixed Samples', (1991), pp. 507–516.
- Deng-fong, L., Kae-long, L. and Huan-Lin, L. (2007) 'A Comparison between Sludge Ash and Fly Ash on the Improvement in Soft Soil', *Air & Waste Management Association*, 57(4903), pp. 59–64. doi: 10.1080/10473289.2007.10465294.
- Deraman, L. M. *et al.* (2017) 'Density and morphology studies on bottom ash and fly ash geopolymer brick', 1835(April). doi: 10.1063/1.4981869.
- Dewangan, P., Pradhan, M. and Kishore, N. (2010) 'Utilization of fly ash as a structural fill material for safe and sustainable development: need of the hour', *The Indian Mining and Engineering Journal*, pp. 134–139.
- Dhar, S. and Hussain, M. (2019) 'The strength and microstructural behavior of lime stabilized subgrade soil in road construction', *International Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*. Taylor & Francis, 00(00), pp. 1–13. doi: 10.1080/19386362.2019.1598623.
- Dinçer, A. R., Güneş, Y. and Karakaya, N. (2007) 'Coal-based bottom ash (CBBA) waste material as adsorbent for removal of textile dyestuffs from aqueous solution', *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 141(3), pp. 529–535. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2006.07.064.
- Du, Y. J. *et al.* (2014) 'Engineering properties and microstructural characteristics of cement-stabilized zinc-contaminated kaolin', *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 51(4), pp. 289–302. doi: 10.1139/cgj-2013-0177.
- Eades, J. L. and Grim, R. E. (1960) 'Reaction of Hydrated Lime With Pure Clay Minerals in Soil Stabilization', 262, pp. 51–63.
- Emilliani, A. G. and Dygku, S. A. I. (2010) 'Geotechnical Properties of Fly Ash and Its Application on Soft Soil Stabilization', *UNIMAS E-Journal of Civil Engineering*, Vol:1(Issue:1).
- Emmanuel, E., Anggraini, V., *et al.* (2019) 'Improving the engineering properties of a soft marine clay with forsteritic olivine', *European Journal of Environmental and Civil Engineering*. Taylor & Francis, 0(0), pp. 1–28. doi: 10.1080/19648189.2019.1665593.
- Emmanuel, E., Lau, C. C., *et al.* (2019) 'Stabilization of a soft marine clay using halloysite nanotubes: A multi-scale approach', *Applied Clay Science*. Elsevier, 173(March), pp. 65–78. doi: 10.1016/j.clay.2019.03.014.
- Estabragh, A. R. *et al.* (2012) 'Stabilised expansive soil behaviour during wetting

- and drying', *International Journal of Pavement Engineering*, (December 2012), pp. 37–41. doi: 10.1080/10298436.2012.746688.
- Fasihnikoutalab, M. H. *et al.* (2017) 'Utilisation of carbonating olivine for sustainable soil stabilization', *Environmental Geotechnics*, 4(3), pp. 184–198. doi: 10.1680/jenge.15.00018.
- Fauzi, A., Nazmi, W. M. and Fauzi, U. Ju. (2010) 'Subgrades Stabilization of Kuantan Clay Using Fly ash and Bottom ash By', *International Conference on Geotechnical and Transportation Engineering Geotropika 2010*, pp. 1–4.
- Furlan, A. P. *et al.* (2018) 'Shear strength performance of marine sediments stabilized using cement, lime and fly ash', *Construction and Building Materials*. Elsevier Ltd, 184, pp. 454–463. doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.06.231.
- Gallardo, S. *et al.* (2015) 'Chemical , Leaching , and Toxicity Characteristics of Coal Ashes from Circulating Fluidized Bed of a Philippine Coal-Fired Power Plant', *Water Air Soil Pollution*. doi: 10.1007/s11270-015-2367-9.
- Geliga, E. A., Salma, D. and Ismail, A. (2010) 'Geotechnical Properties of Fly Ash and its Application on Soft Soil Stabilization', 1(April), pp. 1–6.
- Giergiczny, Z. and Krol, A. (2008) 'Immobilization of heavy metals (Pb , Cu , Cr , Zn , Cd , Mn) in the mineral additions containing concrete composites', *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 160, pp. 247–255. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.03.007.
- Goswami, G., Purohit, B. and Arora, J. (no date) 'Fly Ash Waste Management – A Congenial Approach', pp. 181–192.
- Gottlieb, B., Gilbert, S. G. and Evans, L. G. (2010) 'Coal Ash: The toxic threat to our health and environment', *Physicians For Social Responsibility And Earthjustice*, p. 38.
- Güllü, H. (2014) 'Factorial experimental approach for effective dosage rate of stabilizer : Application for fi ne-grained soil treated with bottom ash', *Soils and Foundations*. Elsevier, 54(3), pp. 462–477. doi: 10.1016/j.sandf.2014.04.017.
- Gupta, D. and Kumar, A. (2017) 'Performance evaluation of cement-stabilized pond ash-rice husk ash- clay mixture as a highway construction material', *Journal of Rock Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering*. Elsevier Ltd, 9(1), pp. 159–169. doi: 10.1016/j.jrmge.2016.05.010.
- Gupta, N., Gedam, V. V and Moghe, C. (2017) 'Investigation of characteristics and

- leaching behavior of coal fly ash , coal fly ash bricks and clay bricks’, *Environmental Technology & Innovation*. Elsevier B.V., 7, pp. 152–159. doi: 10.1016/j.eti.2017.02.002.
- Hafeez, I., Kamal, M. A. and Mirza, M. W. (2014) ‘Assessing Rutting Potential of Stone Mastic Asphalt using Wheel Tracker and Dynamic Modulus Testing’, 9(4), pp. 325–332. doi: 10.3846/bjrbe.2014.39.
- Hakari, U. D. and Puranik, S. C. (2012) ‘Stabilisation of Black Cotton Soils Using Fly Ash, Hubballi-Dharwad Municipal Corporation Area, Karnataka, India’, *Global Journal of researches in engineering Civil And Structural engineering*, 12(2), pp. 21–29.
- Hansen, Y., Notten, P. J. and Petrie, J. G. (2002) ‘The environmental impact of ash management in coal-based power generation’, *Applied Geochemistry*, 17(8), pp. 1131–1141. doi: 10.1016/S0883-2927(02)00013-6.
- Hashan, M. *et al.* (2013) ‘Ash Content and Its Relevance with the Coal Grade and Environment in Bangladesh’, 4(4), pp. 669–676.
- Hassett, D. J., Pflughoeft-Hassett, D. F. and Heebink, L. V. (2005) ‘Leaching of CCBs: Observations from over 25 years of research’, in *Fuel*. Elsevier, pp. 1378–1383. doi: 10.1016/j.fuel.2004.10.016.
- Hendriks, C. A. *et al.* (1999) ‘Emission reduction of greenhouse gases from the cement industry’, *Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies 4*, pp. 939–944. doi: 10.1016/b978-008043018-8/50150-8.
- Horiuchi, S., Kawaguchi, M. and Yasuhara, K. (2000) ‘Effective use of fly ash slurry as fill material’, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 76(2–3), pp. 301–337. doi: 10.1016/S0304-3894(00)00205-3.
- Horpibulsuk, S. *et al.* (2010) ‘Analysis of strength development in cement-stabilized silty clay from microstructural considerations’, *Construction and Building Materials*. Elsevier Ltd, 24(10), pp. 2011–2021. doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2010.03.011.
- How, H. K. and Wan Yaacob, W. Z. (2015) ‘Synthesis and characterization of marine clay-supported nano zero valent iron’, *American Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 11(2), pp. 115–124. doi: 10.3844/ajessp.2015.115.124.
- Howard, I. L., Sullivan, W. G. and Anderson, B. K. (2016) ‘Soil – Cement Laboratory Mixture Design That Interfaces with Pavement Design and

- Construction Quality Control’, *Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, pp. 1–9. doi: 10.3141/2580-01.
- Hoy, M. *et al.* (2017) ‘Effect of wetting–drying cycles on compressive strength and microstructure of recycled asphalt pavement – Fly ash geopolymer’, *Construction and Building Materials*. Elsevier Ltd, 144, pp. 624–634. doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.03.243.
- Huang, W.-H. (1990) *The use of bottom ash in highway embankments, subgrades, and subbases*.
- Hui, K. S., Hui, K. N. and Lee, S. K. (2009) ‘A Novel and Green Approach to Produce Nano- Porous Materials Zeolite A and MCM-41 from Coal Fly Ash and their Applications in Environmental Protection’, *International Journal of Industrial and Manufacturing Engineering*, 3(5), pp. 489–499.
- Indiramma, P., Sudharani, C. and Needhidasan, S. (2019) ‘Utilization of fly ash and lime to stabilize the expansive soil and to sustain pollution free environment – An experimental study’, *Materials Today: Proceedings*. Elsevier Ltd., 2(xxxx). doi: 10.1016/j.matpr.2019.09.147.
- Indraratna, B., Balasubramaniam, A. S. and Balachandran, S. (1992) ‘Performance of test embankment constructed to failure on soft marine clay’, *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 118(1), pp. 12–33.
- Izquierdo, M. and Querol, X. (2012a) ‘Leaching behaviour of elements from coal combustion fly ash: An overview’, *International Journal of Coal Geology*. Elsevier, pp. 54–66. doi: 10.1016/j.coal.2011.10.006.
- Izquierdo, M. and Querol, X. (2012b) ‘Leaching behaviour of elements from coal combustion fly ash: An overview’, *International Journal of Coal Geology*. Elsevier B.V., 94, pp. 54–66. doi: 10.1016/j.coal.2011.10.006.
- Jafari, M. and Esna-ashari, M. (2012) ‘Effect of waste tire cord reinforcement on unconfined compressive strength of lime stabilized clayey soil under freeze-thaw condition’, *Cold Regions Science and Technology*. Elsevier B.V., 82, pp. 21–29. doi: 10.1016/j.coldregions.2012.05.012.
- Jain, M. (2015) ‘Fly ash – waste management and overview : A Review Fly ash – waste management and overview : A Review’, (January 2014).
- Jamaludin, N. *et al.* (2020) ‘Plasticity and compaction characteristics on soft clay stabilised with coal ash and cement’, *IOP Conference Series Materials Science and Engineering*, 932(January 2021). doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/932/1/012051.

- Jaturapitakkul, C. and Cheerarot, R. (2003) 'Development of Bottom Ash as Pozzolanic Material', *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, 15(February), pp. 48–53.
- Jayaranjan, M. L. D. and Annachhatre, A. P. (2013) 'Precipitation of heavy metals from coal ash leachate using biogenic hydrogen sulfide generated from FGD gypsum', *Water Science and Technology*, 67(2), pp. 311–318. doi: 10.2166/wst.2012.546.
- Jayaranjan, M. L. D., van Hullebusch, E. D. and Annachhatre, A. P. (2014) 'Reuse options for coal fired power plant bottom ash and fly ash', *Reviews in Environmental Science and Biotechnology*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, pp. 467–486. doi: 10.1007/s11157-014-9336-4.
- Jiang, Y. R. and Sykes, E. R. (2015) 'A 3D computer-assisted treatment planning system for breast cancer brachytherapy treatment', *International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery*, 10(4), pp. 373–381. doi: 10.1007/s11548-014-1092-y.
- Jones, K. B., Ruppert, L. F. and Swanson, S. M. (2012) 'Leaching of elements from bottom ash, economizer fly ash, and fly ash from two coal-fired power plants', *International Journal of Coal Geology*. Elsevier, 94, pp. 337–348. doi: 10.1016/j.coal.2011.10.007.
- Jose, B. T., Sridharan, A. and Abraham, B. M. (1988) 'A study of geotechnical properties of Cochin Marine Clays', *Marine Geotechnology*, 7(3), pp. 189–209. doi: 10.1080/10641198809388216.
- Kamruzzaman, A. H. M., Chew, S. H. and Lee, F. H. (2006) 'Microstructure of cement-treated Singapore marine clay', *Ground Improvement*, 10(3), pp. 113–123. doi: 10.1680/grim.2006.10.3.113.
- Karakouzian, M. *et al.* (2003) 'Field measurements of shear strength of an underconsolidated marine clay', *Engineering Geology*, 67(3–4), pp. 233–242. doi: 10.1016/S0013-7952(02)00182-5.
- Kavitha, O. R. *et al.* (2015) 'Fresh, micro- and macrolevel studies of metakaolin blended self-compacting concrete', *Applied Clay Science*. Elsevier Ltd, 114, pp. 370–374. doi: 10.1016/j.clay.2015.06.024.
- Kim, A. G. and Hesbach, P. (2009) 'Comparison of fly ash leaching methods', *Fuel*. Elsevier, 88(5), pp. 926–937. doi: 10.1016/j.fuel.2008.11.013.
- Kim, B. (2003) *Properties of Coal Ash Mixtures and Their Use in Highway*

Embankments. Purdue University.

- Kim, B. and Prezzi, M. (2008) 'Compaction characteristics and corrosivity of Indiana class-F fly and bottom ash mixtures', *Construction and Building Materials*, 22(4), pp. 694–702. doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2006.09.007.
- Kim, B., Prezzi, M. and Salgado, R. (2005) 'Geotechnical Properties of Fly and Bottom Ash Mixtures for Use in Highway Embankments', *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 131(7), pp. 914–924. doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)1090-0241(2005)131:7(914).
- Kimura, N. *et al.* (1995) 'The Characteristics of Pulverized Coal Combustion in O₂/CO₂ Mixtures for CO₂ Recovery', *Energy Conversion Management*, 36(6), pp. 805–808.
- Kinuthia, J. M. and Nidzam, R. M. (2009) 'Effect of slag and siliceous additions on the performance of stabilized coal waste backfill', *3rd World of Coal Ash, WOCA Conference - Proceedings*, pp. 1–16.
- Kiran, B. M. N., Shivanarayana, C. and Prasad, D. S. V. (2016) 'Stabilization of Marine Clay Using Ferric Chloride and Quarry Dust', *International Journal of Engineering Research and Development*, 12(3), pp. 1–9.
- Kiran, R. N. V. S. R. R. and Purnanandam, K. (2019) 'An Experimental Study on the Behaviour of Marine Clay Treated with Lime and Coconut Coir Fibre', 4(5), pp. 237–242.
- Kizgut, S., Cuhadaroglu, D. and Samanli, S. (2010) 'Stirred grinding of Coal Bottom Ash to be Evaluated as a Cement Additive', *Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization and Environmental Effects*, 32(16), pp. 1529–1539. doi: 10.1080/15567030902780378.
- Kolker, A. (2012) 'Minor element distribution in iron disulfides in coal: A geochemical review', *International Journal of Coal Geology*. Elsevier B.V., 94, pp. 32–43. doi: 10.1016/j.coal.2011.10.011.
- Kou, H. *et al.* (2020) 'Effect of polymer on strength and permeability of marine clay', *Marine Georesources & Geotechnology*. Taylor & Francis, 0(0), pp. 1–7. doi: 10.1080/1064119X.2019.1693669.
- Kouchay, M. A. and Chaubey, N. (2017) 'A Study on Stabilization of Soil Subgrade by using Bottom Ash in Flexible Pavements', *International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology*, 5(Viii), pp. 1136–1140. Available at: www.ijraset.com1136.

- Kula, I. *et al.* (2002) ‘An investigation on the use of tincal ore waste , fly ash , and coal bottom ash as Portland cement replacement materials’, *Cement and Concrete Research*, 32, pp. 227–232.
- Kulkarni, T. A., Padalkar, P. A. and Chavan, A. J. (2017) ‘Stabilization of Soil By Using Fly Ash & Lime’, *International Journal of Engineering Research in Mechanical and Civil Engineering*, (Special Issue Advanced Transportation Systems and Infrastructure Development in Developing India), pp. 88–94.
- Kumar, B. R. P. and Sharma, R. S. (2004) ‘Effect of Fly Ash on Engineering Properties of Expansive Soils’, *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 130(July), pp. 764–767.
- Kumar, S. and Patil, C. B. (2006) ‘Estimation of resource savings due to fly ash utilization in road construction’, *Resources Conservation and Recycling*, 48, pp. 125–140. doi: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2006.01.002.
- Kurama, H. and Kaya, M. (2008) ‘Usage of coal combustion bottom ash in concrete mixture’, *Construction and Building Materials*, 22(9), pp. 1922–1928. doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2007.07.008.
- Lam, C. H. K. *et al.* (2010) ‘Use of incineration MSW ash: A review’, *Sustainability*, 2(7), pp. 1943–1968. doi: 10.3390/su2071943.
- Latifi, N., Rashid, A. S. A., *et al.* (2015) ‘Micro-structural analysis of strength development in low- and high swelling clays stabilized with magnesium chloride solution - A green soil stabilizer’, *Applied Clay Science*, 118, pp. 195–206. doi: 10.1016/j.clay.2015.10.001.
- Latifi, N., Marto, A., *et al.* (2015) ‘Strength and Physico-chemical Characteristics of Fly Ash–Bottom Ash Mixture’, *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, 40(9), pp. 2447–2455. doi: 10.1007/s13369-015-1647-4.
- Latifi, N., Horpibulsuk, S., *et al.* (2016) ‘Improvement of problematic soils with biopolymer-An environmentally friendly soil stabilizer’, *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, 29(2). doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0001706.
- Latifi, N., Meehan, C. L., *et al.* (2016) ‘Strengthening montmorillonitic and kaolinitic clays using a calcium-based non-traditional additive: A micro-level study’, *Applied Clay Science*, 132–133, pp. 182–193. doi: 10.1016/j.clay.2016.06.004.
- Latifi, N. *et al.* (2018) ‘Sustainable usage of calcium carbide residue for stabilization of clays’, *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, 30(6), p. 11. doi:

- 10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0002313.
- Lav, A. H., Lav, M. A. and Goktepe, A. B. (2006) ‘Analysis and design of a stabilized fly ash as pavement base material’, *Fuel*, 85(16 SPEC. ISS.), pp. 2359–2370. doi: 10.1016/j.fuel.2006.05.017.
- Lav, M. A. and Lav, A. H. (2014) ‘Effects of stabilization on resilient characteristics of fly ash as pavement material’, *Construction and Building Materials*. Elsevier Ltd, 54, pp. 10–16. doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2013.12.029.
- Lee, S. *et al.* (2011) ‘In situ stabilization of arsenic and metal-contaminated agricultural soil using industrial by-products’, *Geoderma*. Elsevier B.V., 161(1–2), pp. 1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2010.11.008.
- Lei, H., Feng, S. and Jiang, Y. (2018) ‘Geotechnical characteristics and consolidation properties of Tianjin marine clay’, *Geomechanics and Engineering*. Techno Press, 16(2), pp. 125–140. doi: 10.12989/gae.2018.16.2.125.
- Levandowski, J. and Kalkreuth, W. (2009) ‘Chemical and petrographical characterization of feed coal, fly ash and bottom ash from the Figueira Power Plant, Paraná, Brazil’, *International Journal of Coal Geology*. Elsevier B.V., 77(3–4), pp. 269–281. doi: 10.1016/j.coal.2008.05.005.
- Lime-Treated Soil Construction Manual Lime Stabilization & Lime Modification* (2004).
- Little, D. N. (1999) ‘Evaluation of Structural Properties of Lime Stabilized Soils and Aggregates’, 1.
- Locat, J., Berube, M. A. and Choquette, M. (1990) ‘Laboratory investigations on the lime stabilization of sensitive clays: shear strength development’, *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, 27(3), pp. 294–304. doi: 10.1139/t90-040.
- Lokeshappa, B. and Dikshit, A. K. (2011) ‘Disposal and Management of Flyash’, 3, pp. 11–14.
- López-Antón, M. A. *et al.* (2007) ‘Retention of arsenic and selenium compounds present in coal combustion and gasification flue gases using activated carbons’, *Fuel Processing Technology*, 88(8), pp. 799–805. doi: 10.1016/j.fuproc.2007.03.005.
- López López, E. *et al.* (2015) ‘Bearing capacity of bottom ash and its mixture with soils’, *Soils and Foundations*. Elsevier, 55(3), pp. 529–535. doi: 10.1016/j.sandf.2015.04.005.
- Lorenzo, G. A. and Bergado, D. T. (2004) ‘Fundamental parameters of cement-

- admixed clay - New approach', *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 130(10), pp. 1042–1050. doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)1090-0241(2004)130:10(1042).
- Lu, S. G., Sun, F. F. and Zong, Y. T. (2014) 'Effect of rice husk biochar and coal fly ash on some physical properties of expansive clayey soil (Vertisol)', *Catena*. Elsevier B.V., 114, pp. 37–44. doi: 10.1016/j.catena.2013.10.014.
- Luoma, S. N. and Presser, T. S. (2009) 'Emerging opportunities in management of selenium contamination', *Environmental Science and Technology*. American Chemical Society, pp. 8483–8487. doi: 10.1021/es900828h.
- Mahvash, S., López-Querol, S. and Bahadori-Jahromi, A. (2017) 'Effect of class F fly ash on fine sand compaction through soil stabilization', *Heliyon*. Elsevier Ltd., 3(3), p. e00274. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2017.e00274.
- Malhotra, V. M. and Ramezani-pour, A. A. (1994) *Fly Ash in Concrete*. 2nd edn. CANMET, 1994. Available at:
https://books.google.com.my/books/about/Fly_Ash_in_Concrete.html?id=ouo_PgAACAAJ&redir_esc=y (Accessed: 1 July 2020).
- Marto, A. *et al.* (2013) 'Shear Strength Improvement of Soft Clay Mixed with Tanjung Bin Coal Ash', *APCBEE Procedia*. Elsevier B.V., 5, pp. 116–122. doi: 10.1016/j.apcbee.2013.05.021.
- Marto, A., Zurairahetty, N., *et al.* (2015) 'Stabilization of Marine Clay by Biomass Silica (non-traditional) Stabilizers', 695, pp. 93–97. doi: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.695.93.
- Marto, A., Mohd Yunus, N. Z., *et al.* (2015) 'Stabilization of Marine Clay by Biomass Silica (Non-Traditional) Stabilizers', *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 695(November), pp. 93–97. doi: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/amm.695.93.
- McCarthy, M. J. and Dhir, R. K. (1999) 'Towards maximising the use of fly ash as a binder', *Fuel*, 78(June 1998), pp. 121–132.
- Meawad, A. S., Bojinova, D. Y. and Pelovski, Y. G. (2010) 'An overview of metals recovery from thermal power plant solid wastes', *Waste Management*. Pergamon, pp. 2548–2559. doi: 10.1016/j.wasman.2010.07.010.
- Mfinanga, D. A. and Kamuhabwa, M. L. (2008) 'Use of natural pozzolan in stabilising lightweight volcanic aggregates for roadbase construction', *International Journal of Pavement Engineering*, 9(3), pp. 189–201. doi:

10.1080/10298430701303173.

- Mir, B. A. and Malik, A. (2017) 'Studies on the Mechanical Behavior of Bottom Ash for a Sustainable Environment', 11(7), pp. 857–863.
- Mitchel, J. K. and Soga, K. (2005) *Fundamentals of Soil Behavior, Soil Science*. doi: 10.1097/00010694-199407000-00009.
- Modarres, A. and Nosoudy, Y. M. (2015a) 'Clay stabilization using coal waste and lime - Technical and environmental impacts', *Applied Clay Science*. Elsevier B.V., 116–117, pp. 281–288. doi: 10.1016/j.clay.2015.03.026.
- Modarres, A. and Nosoudy, Y. M. (2015b) 'Clay stabilization using coal waste and lime — Technical and environmental impacts', *Applied Clay Science*. Elsevier B.V. doi: 10.1016/j.clay.2015.03.026.
- Mohamedelhassan, E. (2011) 'Laboratory Model Test on Improving the Properties of Soft Clay by Electrokinetics', *ISRN Civil Engineering*, 2011(2), pp. 1–14. doi: 10.5402/2011/560834.
- Mohammed Al-bared, M. A. *et al.* (2018) 'Sustainable Improvement of Marine Clay Using Recycled Blended Tiles', *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*. Springer International Publishing. doi: 10.1007/s10706-018-0525-8.
- Mohammed Al-bared, M. A. and Marto, A. (2017) 'A review on the geotechnical and engineering characteristics of marine clay and the modern methods of improvements', *Malaysian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Sciences*, 13(4), pp. 825–831. doi: 10.11113/mjfas.v13n4.921.
- Mohd Yunus, N. Z. *et al.* (2015) 'Performance of lime-treated marine clay on strength and compressibility characteristics', *International Journal of GEOMATE*, 8(2), pp. 1232–1238. doi: 10.21660/2015.16.4132.
- Moulton, L. K., Seals, R. K. and Anderson, D. A. (1973) 'Utilization of Ash from Coal-Burning Plants in Highway Construction', 430, pp. 26–39.
- Muhardi, A. *et al.* (2010) 'Engineering characteristics of Tanjung Bin coal ash', *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 15 K, pp. 1117–1129.
- Nalbantoğlu, Z. (2004) 'Effectiveness of class C fly ash as an expansive soil stabilizer', *Construction and Building Materials*, 18(6), pp. 377–381. doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2004.03.011.
- Narasimha Rao, S. and Rajasekaran, G. (1996) 'Reaction products formed in lime-stabilized marine clays', *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, 122(5), pp. 329–336. doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9410(1996)122:5(329).

- Nath, B. D., Molla, K. A. and Sarkar, G. (2017) 'Study on Strength Behavior of Organic Soil Stabilized with Fly Ash', *International Scholarly Research Notices*.
- Nath, S. K. *et al.* (2016) 'Microstructural and morphological evolution of fly ash based geopolymers', *Construction and Building Materials*. Elsevier Ltd, 111, pp. 758–765. doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.02.106.
- Nayak, S. and Preetham, H. K. (2020) 'Effect of Drying Temperature and Rewetting on the Engineering Properties of Marine Clay', *Transportation Infrastructure Geotechnology*. Springer, p. 18.
- Nontananandh, S. and Boonyong, S. (1998) 'Strength Development of Soft Marine Clay Stabilized with Cement and Fly Ash', *Kasetsart Journal*, 38(4), p. 7.
- Nontananandh, S. and Boonyong, S. (2004) 'Strength Development of Soft Marine Clay Stabilized with Cement and Fly Ash', *Kasetsart Journal*, 38(4), p. 7.
- Nordin, N. *et al.* (2016) 'Utilization of fly ash waste as construction material', *International Journal of Conservation Science*, 7(1), pp. 161–166. Available at:
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=vth&AN=113480308&lang=es&site=ehost-live>.
- Obuzor, G. N., Kinuthia, J. M. and Robinson, R. B. (2012) 'Soil stabilisation with lime-activated-GGBS-A mitigation to flooding effects on road structural layers/embankments constructed on floodplains', *Engineering Geology*. Elsevier B.V., 151, pp. 112–119. doi: 10.1016/j.enggeo.2012.09.010.
- Okunade, E. A. (2014) 'Geotechnical Properties of Some Coal Fly Ash Stabilized Southwestern Nigeria Lateritic Soils', *Modern Applied Science*, 4(12), pp. 66–73. doi: 10.5539/mas.v4n12p66.
- Osinubi, K. (2000) 'Stabilisation of Tropical Black Clay with Cement and Pulverised Coal Bottom Ash Admixture', in *Advances in unsaturated geotechnics*, pp. 289–302.
- Otoko, G. R. and Precious, K. (2014) 'Stabilization of Nigerian Deltaic Clay (Chikoko) With Groundnut Shell', *International Journal of Engineering and Technology Reasearch*, 2(6), pp. 1–11. doi: 10.13140/2.1.3976.0642.
- Oza, J. B. and Gundaliya, P. J. (2013) 'Study of black cotton soil characteristics with cement waste dust and lime', *Procedia Engineering*. Elsevier B.V., 51(NUiCONE 2012), pp. 110–118. doi: 10.1016/j.proeng.2013.01.017.

- Ozdemir, M. A. (2016) 'Improvement in Bearing Capacity of a Soft Soil by Addition of Fly Ash', *Procedia Engineering*. Elsevier B.V., 143(Ictg), pp. 498–505. doi: 10.1016/j.proeng.2016.06.063.
- Pandey, V. C. *et al.* (2011) 'Arsenic hazards in coal fly ash and its fate in Indian scenario', *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*. Elsevier B.V., 55(9–10), pp. 819–835. doi: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2011.04.005.
- Papargyropoulou, E. *et al.* (2011) 'Sustainable construction waste management in Malaysia: a constructor's perspective', *Management and Innovation for a Sustainable Built Environment*, (June), pp. 1–10. Available at: <http://misbe2011.fyper.com/proceedings/documents/224.pdf>.
- Parsons, R. L. and Kneebone, E. (2005) 'Field performance of fly ash stabilised subgrades', *Ground Improvement*, 9(GI 4201), pp. 33–38. doi: 10.1680/grim.2005.9.1.33.
- Phani Kumar, B. R. and Sharma, R. S. (2004) 'Effect of Fly Ash on Engineering Properties of Expansive Soils', *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*. American Society of Civil Engineers, 130(7), pp. 764–767. doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)1090-0241(2004)130:7(764).
- Phetchuay, C. *et al.* (2016) 'Strength development in soft marine clay stabilized by fly ash and calcium carbide residue based geopolymer', *Applied Clay Science*. Elsevier Ltd, 127–128, pp. 134–142. doi: 10.1016/j.clay.2016.04.005.
- Prabakar, J., Dendorkar, N. and Morchhale, R. K. (2004) 'Influence of fly ash on strength behavior of typical soils', *Construction and Building Materials*, 18(4), pp. 263–267. doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2003.11.003.
- Prasad, D. S. V., Durga Vara Prasad, L. and Shivanarayana, C. (2018) 'A study on geotechnical properties of marine clay stabilized with lime and recron-3s fibre', *International Journal of Engineering and Technology(UAE)*. Science Publishing Corporation Inc, 7(2), pp. 32–36. doi: 10.14419/ijet.v7i2.1.9879.
- Punthutaecha, K. *et al.* (2007) 'Volume Change Behaviors of Expansive Soils Stabilized with Recycled Ashes and Fibers', *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, C(July), pp. 616–617. doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)0899-1561(2007)19.
- Puppala, A. J. *et al.* (2004) 'Studies on sulfate-resistant cement stabilization methods to address sulfate-induced soil heave', *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, 130(4), pp. 391–402. doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)1090-0241(2004)130:4(391).

- Puvvadi, S. and Sridharan, A. (1996) 'Effect of Fly Ash on the Index Properties of Black Cotton Soil', *Soils and Foundations*, 36(1), pp. 97–103. doi: 10.3208/sandf.36.97.
- Rahman, Z. A. *et al.* (2013) 'Geotechnical Characterisation of Marine Clay As Potential Liner Material', *Sains Malaysiana*, 42(8), pp. 1081–1089.
- Rajasekaran, G. and Narasimha Rao, S. (1997) 'Lime Stabilization Technique for the Improvement of Marine Clay.', *Soils and Foundations*, 37, pp. 97–104.
- Rajkumar, K., Ramanathan, A. L. and Behera, P. N. (2012) 'Characterization of clay minerals in the Sundarban Mangroves river sediments by SEM/EDS', *Journal of the Geological Society of India*, 80(3), pp. 429–434. doi: 10.1007/s12594-012-0161-5.
- Ramesh, S. T. and P, P. N. U. (2016) 'Stabilization of Expansive Soil', *International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology*, 5(12), pp. 71–77.
- Rao, A. S. and Sridevi, G. (2011) 'Utilization of Industrial Wastes in Pavements Laid Over Expansive Clay Sub-Grades', *ASCE*, pp. 4418–4426.
- Rao, D. K. *et al.* (2012) 'A Laboratory Study on the Affect of Rice Husk Ash & Lime on the Properties of Marine Clay', 2(1), pp. 345–353.
- Rao D. Koteswara *et al.* (2012) 'A Laboratory Study On The Stabilization Of Marine Clay Using Saw Dust And Lime', *International Journal of Engineering Science and Advanced Technology*, 2(4), pp. 851–862.
- Rashid, A. S. A. *et al.* (2017) 'Development of sustainable masonry units from flood mud soil: Strength and morphology investigations', *Construction and Building Materials*. Elsevier Ltd, 131, pp. 682–689. doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2016.11.039.
- Reddy, N. G., Tahasildar, J. and Rao, B. H. (2015) 'Evaluating the Influence of Additives on Swelling Characteristics of Expansive Soils', *International Journal of Geosynthetics and Ground Engineering*, 1(1), pp. 1–13. doi: 10.1007/s40891-015-0010-x.
- Ren, J. *et al.* (2020) 'Characterization and prediction of rutting resistance of rock asphalt mixture under the coupling effect of water and high-temperature', *Construction and Building Materials*, 254, pp. 1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2020.119316.
- Sahu, V., Srivastava, A. and Kumar, A. (2016) 'Stabilization of fly ash and lime sludge composites : Assessment of its performance as base course material',

- Archives of Civil and Mechanical Engineering*. Politechnika Wrocławska, 17(3), pp. 475–485. doi: 10.1016/j.acme.2016.12.010.
- Saleh, S. *et al.* (2020) ‘Micro-Level Analysis of Marine Clay Stabilised with Polyurethane’, *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, 24(3), pp. 807–815. doi: 10.1007/s12205-020-1797-0.
- Santos, F., Li, L. and Amini, F. (2011) ‘Geotechnical Properties of Fly Ash and Soil Mixtures for Use in Highway Embankments’, *World of Coal Ash Conference (WOCA)*.
- Saride, S., Puppala, A. J. and Chikyala, S. R. (2013) ‘Swell-shrink and strength behaviors of lime and cement stabilized expansive organic clays’, *Applied Clay Science*. Elsevier B.V., 85(1), pp. 39–45. doi: 10.1016/j.clay.2013.09.008.
- Sathonsaowaphak, A., Chindaprasirt, P. and Pimraksa, K. (2009) ‘Workability and strength of lignite bottom ash geopolymer mortar’, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 168(1), pp. 44–50. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2009.01.120.
- Schwartz, G. E. *et al.* (2018) ‘Ranking Coal Ash Materials for Their Potential to Leach Arsenic and Selenium: Relative Importance of Ash Chemistry and Site Biogeochemistry’, 35(7), pp. 728–738. doi: 10.1089/ees.2017.0347.
- Seco, A. *et al.* (2011) ‘Stabilization of expansive soils for use in construction’, *Applied Clay Science*. Elsevier B.V., 51(3), pp. 348–352. doi: 10.1016/j.clay.2010.12.027.
- Seng, S. and Tanaka, H. (2012) ‘Properties of very soft clays: A study of thixotropic hardening and behavior under low consolidation pressure’, *Soils and Foundations*. Elsevier, 52(2), pp. 335–345. doi: 10.1016/j.sandf.2012.02.010.
- Senol, A. *et al.* (2006) ‘Soft subgrades ’ stabilization by using various fly ashes’, 46, pp. 365–376. doi: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2005.08.005.
- Sharma, N. K., Swain, S. K. and Sahoo, U. C. (2012) ‘Stabilization of a Clayey Soil with Fly Ash and Lime: A Micro Level Investigation’, *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*. Springer Netherlands, 30(5), pp. 1197–1205. doi: 10.1007/s10706-012-9532-3.
- Sharma, S. *et al.* (1989) ‘Fly ash dynamics in soil - water systems’, *Critical Reviews in Environmental Control*, 19(3), pp. 251–275. doi: 10.1080/10643388909388367.
- Sharma, V. K. and Sohn, M. (2009) ‘Aquatic arsenic: Toxicity, speciation, transformations, and remediation’, *Environment International*. Elsevier Ltd,

- 35(4), pp. 743–759. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2009.01.005.
- Shibi, T. and Kamei, T. (2014) ‘Effect of freeze-thaw cycles on the strength and physical properties of cement-stabilised soil containing recycled bassanite and coal ash’, *Cold Regions Science and Technology*. Elsevier B.V., 106–107, pp. 36–45. doi: 10.1016/j.coldregions.2014.06.005.
- Silva, L. F. O. *et al.* (2010) ‘Mineralogy and Leaching Characteristics of Coal Ash from a Major Brazilian Power Plant’, *Coal Combustion and Gasification Products is*, pp. 51–65. doi: 10.4177/CCGP-D-10-00005.1.
- Singhai, A. K. and Singh, S. S. (2013) ‘Laboratory Study on Soil Stabilization using Fly Ash mixtures’, *International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology (IJESIT)*, 2(1), pp. 477–482.
- Singhai, A. K. and Singh, S. S. (2014) ‘Laboratory Study on Soil Stabilization using Fly Ash mixtures’, *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology*, 3(11), pp. 348–351.
- Singhi, B., Laskar, A. I. and Ahmed, M. A. (2016) ‘Investigation on Soil–Geopolymer with Slag, Fly Ash and Their Blending’, *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, 41(2), pp. 393–400. doi: 10.1007/s13369-015-1677-y.
- Sivakumar, D. *et al.* (2015) ‘Importance of bottom ash in preventing soil failure’, *Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 8(4), pp. 836–840.
- Soltani, A. *et al.* (2018) ‘Rubber powder-polymer combined stabilization of South Australian expansive soils’, *Geosynthetics International*, 25(3), pp. 304–321. doi: 10.1680/jgein.18.00009.
- Somaiya, P., Yashwantsinh, Z. and Dangar, R. (2013) ‘Stabilization of expansive soil using fly ash’, (July), pp. 1–9.
- Sreekrishnavilasam, A. *et al.* (2007) ‘Soil treatment using fresh and landfilled cement kiln dust’, *Construction and Building Materials*, 21(2), pp. 318–327. doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2005.08.015.
- Srinivasaraghavan, R. and Rajasekaran, G. (1994) ‘Electro-osmotic stabilization of marine clay with chemical piles’, *Ocean Engineering*, 21(2), pp. 207–219. doi: 10.1016/0029-8018(94)90039-6.
- Su, T. and Wang, J. (2011) ‘Modeling batch leaching behavior of arsenic and selenium from bituminous coal fly ashes’, *Chemosphere*. Elsevier Ltd, 85(8), pp. 1368–1374. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.08.002.

- Sukmak, P. *et al.* (2013) 'Factors influencing strength development in clay-fly ash geopolymer', *Construction and Building Materials*. Elsevier Ltd, 47, pp. 1125–1136. doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2013.05.104.
- Sukmak, P. *et al.* (2017) 'Palm oil fuel ash-soft soil geopolymer for subgrade applications: strength and microstructural evaluation', *Road Materials and Pavement Design*. Taylor & Francis, 20(1), pp. 110–131. doi: 10.1080/14680629.2017.1375967.
- Suksiripattanapong, C. *et al.* (2017) 'Strength and microstructure properties of spent coffee grounds stabilized with rice husk ash and slag geopolymers', *Construction and Building Materials*. Elsevier Ltd, 146, pp. 312–320. doi: 10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2017.04.103.
- Sunny, T. and Joy, A. (2016) 'Study on the Effects of Marine Clay Stabilized with Banana Fibre', *International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Research (IJSER)*, 4(3), pp. 2014–2016.
- Swaine, D. J. and Goodarzi, F. (eds) (1995) *Environmental Aspects of Trace Elements in Coal*. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands (Energy & Environment). doi: 10.1007/978-94-015-8496-8.
- Syahrul, M. *et al.* (2010) 'The Properties of Special Concrete Using Washed Bottom Ash (WBA) as Partial Sand Replacement', *International Journal of Sustainable Construction Engineering & Technology*, 1(2), pp. 65–76.
- Taha, A. and Fall, M. (2014) 'Shear behavior of sensitive marine clay – steel interfaces', *Acta Geotechnica*, pp. 969–980. doi: 10.1007/s11440-014-0321-4.
- Takhelmayum, G., Savitha, A. L. and Gudi, K. (2013) 'Laboratory Study on Soil Stabilization Using Fly ash Mixtures', 2(1), pp. 477–482.
- Tenenbaum, D. J. (2009) 'Trash or Treasure? Putting Coal Combustion Waste to Work', *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 117, pp. 490–497.
- Theis, T. L. and Gardner, K. H. (1990) 'Environmental assessment of ash disposal', *Critical Reviews in Environmental Control*, 20(1), pp. 21–42. doi: 10.1080/10643389009388388.
- Turkoz, M. and Vural, P. (2013) 'The effects of cement and natural zeolite additives on problematic clay soils', *Science and Engineering of Composite Materials*, 20(4), pp. 395–405. doi: 10.1515/secm-2012-0104.
- Twardowska, I. and Szczepanska, J. (2002) 'Solid waste: Terminological and long-term environmental risk assessment problems exemplified in a power plant fly

- ash study', *Science of the Total Environment*, 285(1–3), pp. 29–51. doi: 10.1016/S0048-9697(01)00893-2.
- Vejahati, F., Xu, Z. and Gupta, R. (2010) 'Trace elements in coal: Associations with coal and minerals and their behavior during coal utilization - A review', *Fuel*, 89(4), pp. 904–911. doi: 10.1016/j.fuel.2009.06.013.
- Wang, S. *et al.* (2005) 'Removal of dyes from aqueous solution using fly ash and red mud', *Water Research*, 39(1), pp. 129–138. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2004.09.011.
- Wijeyesekera, D. C. *et al.* (2015) 'Strength and Stiffness Development in Soft Soils: A FESEM aided Soil Microstructure Viewpoint', *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 136(1). doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/136/1/012041.
- Yaghoubi, M. *et al.* (2018) 'Impact of field conditions on the strength development of a geopolymer stabilized marine clay', *Applied Clay Science*. Elsevier, 167(June), pp. 33–42. doi: 10.1016/j.clay.2018.10.005.
- Yang, E. H., Yang, Y. and Li, V. C. (2007) 'Use of high volumes of fly ash to improve ECC mechanical properties and material greenness', *ACI Materials Journal*, 104(6), pp. 303–311. doi: 10.14359/18966.
- Yeo, Y. S., Jitsangiam, P. and Nikraz, H. (2011) 'Erodability of Stabilised Pavements Using the Wheel Tracking Test', pp. 357–362.
- Yi, Y., Gu, L. and Liu, S. (2015) 'Microstructural and mechanical properties of marine soft clay stabilized by lime-activated ground granulated blastfurnace slag', *Applied Clay Science*. Elsevier Ltd, 103, pp. 71–76. doi: 10.1016/j.clay.2014.11.005.
- Yilmaz, I. and Civelekoglu, B. (2009) 'Gypsum: An additive for stabilization of swelling clay soils', *Applied Clay Science*. Elsevier, 44(1–2), pp. 166–172. doi: 10.1016/j.clay.2009.01.020.
- Yoobanpot, N., Jamsawang, P. and Horpibulsuk, S. (2017) 'Strength behavior and microstructural characteristics of soft clay stabilized with cement kiln dust and fly ash residue', *Applied Clay Science*. Elsevier B.V., 141, pp. 146–156. doi: 10.1016/j.clay.2017.02.028.
- Zainuddin, N. *et al.* (2019) 'Measuring the engineering properties of marine clay treated with disposed granite waste', *Measurement: Journal of the International Measurement Confederation*. Elsevier B.V., 131, pp. 50–60. doi: 10.1016/j.measurement.2018.08.053.

- Zha, F. and Du, Y. J. (2008) 'Behavior of expansive soils stabilized with fly ash', *Natural Hazards*, 47(January), pp. 509–523. doi: 10.1007/s11069-008-9236-4.
- Zhu, F. *et al.* (2019) 'Geotechnical properties and microstructure of lime-stabilized silt clay', *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*. Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment, 78(4), pp. 2345–2354. doi: 10.1007/s10064-018-1307-5.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

- Jamaludin, N. *et al.* (2018) 'Comparison between cement and concrete waste on the strength behaviour of marine clay treated with coal ash', *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 250, pp. 1–8. doi: 10.1051/mateconf/201825001003.
- Jamaludin, N. *et al.* (2019) 'Potential and future: Utilization of waste material on strength characteristics of marine clay', *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 527(1). doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/527/1/012003.
- Jamaludin, N. *et al.* (2020) 'Plasticity and compaction characteristics on soft clay stabilised with coal ash and cement', *IOP Conference Series Materials Science and Engineering*, 932(January 2021). doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/932/1/012051.