

PARAMETRIC AND THERMAL ANALYSIS OF HORIZONTAL JET FLAMES

NUR SHAHIDAH BINTI AB AZIZ

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Chemical and Energy Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

APRIL 2022

## **DEDICATION**

First and foremost, this thesis is dedicated to my father, who taught me that the best kind of knowledge is that which is learned for its own sake, and to my mother who taught me that even the largest task can be accomplished if it is done one step at a time. More than anything else, this thesis is dedicated to my husband who has inspired me all the way and my children who patiently wait to the end.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful

The research presented in this thesis was fully carried out at the Combustion Lab, School of Chemical and Energy Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. Alhamdulillah, all praises to Allah SWT for the strengths and His blessing throughout this Ph.D. journey to proceed successfully. I would like to express my sincerest gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Rafiziana Md. Kasmani for the opportunity to be part of her research team and for her invaluable support throughout the process. I have been constantly motivated by the confidence she placed in me. Her vast knowledge and experiences have contributed a lot to my professional and personal development. I am also grateful to my co-supervisor Prof. Dr. Arshad Ahmad for his insightful comments and valuable suggestions during my study. Not forgotten to Dr. Mohd Dinie Muhaimin Samsudin for his constant assistance and support in this research project. My acknowledgment also goes to all the technicians and office staff of the School of Chemical and Energy Engineering for their co-operation. There are many people without whom this work would have never been started in the first place. Special thanks to my parents (Ab Aziz Abd Latiff and Fauziah Selamat), my sisters (Adila, Sharah, Nuha), and my brother (Shafiq) for their endless love, encouragement, and moral supports. To my kids, Aisyah, Mirza, Aminah, and Khadijah who have inspired me all the way. I would like to thank my husband, Mohd Faizal Mohd Shariff for believing in my potential and always putting my needs on top of anything else. His patience and sacrifices mean a lot to me. To those who indirectly contributed to this research, your kindness is highly appreciated. Last but not least, my appreciation goes to the Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE) for the study fellowship awarded.

## ABSTRACT

Jet fire occurrence in an industrial installation can be severe as it can trigger a series of related events. The main hazard associated with jet fire occurrence is the heat released by radiation, which can be very high at a very short distance. The evaluation of jet flame geometry could assist the safety officer to prevent flame impingement on the nearby equipment and therefore, reduce the inventory losses and structural collapse. Previous observation indicates that the horizontal jet fire poses more significant thermal hazards as compared to that of other types of fire. However, to date, scarce and limited data are available as a reference on a jet flame for horizontal orientation, particularly for parametric characteristics and correlation model development. Thus, this study aims to investigate the thermal radiation and geometrical flame features of buoyant horizontal jet fires. Two scenarios were considered for this work i.e. free jet fires and jet fire impingement. This study used propane as the fuel that was released from a circular nozzle with a diameter of 7.15 mm and 9.8 mm. The jet fire tests were performed with different ranges of flow rates between 30 – 600 g/min at a release distance of 0.8 m and 1.2 m. Differences in flame shapes are evaluated with the use of the MATLAB. Meanwhile, linear correlations of the main geometrical parameters of interest are determined as a function of Reynolds number and Froude number (i.e. lift-off distance, projected flame length, flame height, flame trajectory, flame width). For thermal radiation analysis measurement, semi-empirical model prediction of the line-source (LSM) model was adopted to account the flame geometrical features. From the findings, it was found that the lift-off length,  $L_t$  estimation from this work was in a good agreement with Bradley's correlation for both free and impinging jet release with  $R^2$  of 0.95. Due to the flame Froude number value is between 0.8 to 3.5, it signifies that the flame is controlled by buoyancy and momentum, thus flame trajectory,  $L_t$  was proposed to be used to estimate the radiant heat release. Using the flame trajectory,  $L_t$  for radiant heat estimation, it was observed that  $L_t$  could give a better prediction of radiant heat release for free jet fire release with  $R^2$  of 0.99 as compared to projected flame length,  $L_p$  (horizontal kite flame shape) ( $R^2 = 0.94$ ). It also gave consistent results with the measured data for an impinging jet release with  $R^2=0.99$  for all release scenario, using a similar approach. It can be deduced that the modified LSM using the flame trajectory parameter is a reliable method for radiant heat prediction, on both scenario in this work; free jet release and impinging jet. Implicitly, it can be suggested that the applicability of LSM becomes wider to include the effects of buoyancy and impingement scenario. Furthermore, it offers additional provision to determine the minimum spacing distance of the equipment sitting for the plant layout.

## ABSTRAK

Kejadian kebakaran jet yang berlaku di industri boleh menjadi parah di mana ia boleh mencetuskan satu siri peristiwa yang berkaitan. Kesan bahaya utama yang berkaitan dengan kejadian kebakaran jet adalah haba yang dilepaskan secara radiasi, boleh terjadi sangat tinggi pada jarak yang sangat dekat. Penilaian terhadap geometri api jet dapat membantu pegawai keselamatan untuk mencegah api hentaman pada peralatan yang berdekatan dan seterusnya dapat mengurangkan kerugian inventori dan keruntuhan struktur. Menurut pemerhatian masa lepas, didapati kebakaran jet secara melintang adalah lebih bahaya secara termal berbanding dengan kebakaran jenis lain. Walau bagaimanapun, sehingga kini, terdapat keterbatasan data bagi kebakaran jet secara melintang terutamanya yang berkaitan ciri-ciri parametrik dan pembangunan model perhubungan (korilasi). Justeru, kajian ini dijalankan untuk mengkaji termal radiasi dan geometri ciri nyalaan bagi kebakaran jet secara melintang. Dua senario telah dipertimbangkan dalam kajian ini iaitu kebakaran bebas dan kebakaran jet secara hentaman. Kajian ini menggunakan propana sebagai bahan bakar yang dilepaskan dari muncung bulat dengan diameter 7.15 mm dan 9.8 mm. Ujikaji kebakaran jet dilakukan dengan halaju yang berbeza antara 30 - 600 g/min pada jarak pelepasan 0.8 m dan 1.2 m. Perbezaan bentuk nyalaan api yang terhasil telah dinilai dengan penggunaan perisian MATLAB. Sementara itu, korelasi linear bagi parameter utama geometri telah ditentukan sebagai fungsi nombor Reynolds dan nombor Froude (iaitu jarak angkat, unjuran panjang nyalaan, ketinggian api, panjang lintasan api, lebar nyalaan api). Bagi pengukuran analisis haba radiasi, ramalan model separa empirikal bagi model sumber garis (LSM) telah diterima pakai untuk mengambil kira ciri geometri nyalaan. Daripada hasil penemuan itu, didapati jarak panjang pengangkatan,  $L_f$  dari kajian ini sangat sesuai menggunakan korelasi Bradley untuk kedua-dua pelepasan jet bebas dan hentaman jet dengan nilai  $R^2$  bersamaan 0.95. Oleh kerana nilai nombor Froude antara 0.8 ke 3.5, ia menandakan nyalaan api dikawal oleh daya apungan dan momentum, dengan itu lintasan api,  $L_t$  dicadangkan untuk digunakan untuk menganggarkan haba radiasi. Daripada menggunakan unjuran panjang nyalaan,  $L_p$  untuk perkiraan haba radiasi, panjang lintasan api,  $L_t$  telah digunakan. Diperhatikan  $L_t$  dapat memberikan ramalan yang lebih baik mengenai pelepasan haba radiasi bagi pelepasan kebakaran jet bebas dengan  $R^2$  bersamaan 0.99 dibandingkan jika menggunakan unjuran panjang nyalaan (bentuk layang-layang mendatar) ( $R^2 = 0.94$ ). Ia juga memberi kesepakatan yang baik untuk pelepasan jet secara hentaman, dengan  $R^2$  bersamaan 0.99 bagi kesemua senario, menggunakan kaedah yang sama. Secara ringkasnya, LSM yang diubah berdasarkan panjang lintasan api adalah kaedah yang lebih jitu untuk ramalan haba radiasi bagi kedua-dua senario di dalam kajian ini, pelepasan jet bebas dan pelepasan kebakaran jet secara hentaman. Hasil kajian ini telah memberi lebih keyakinan bagi penerapan LSM menjadi lebih luas dengan mempertimbangkan kesan keapungan. Selain itu, ia dapat menjadi ketentuan tambahan untuk menentukan jarak minimum peralatan di dalam susun atur loji.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xxii</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background of Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Objectives of Study	4
1.4 Scope of Study	5
1.5 Significance of Study	6
1.6 Limitation of Study	6
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Jet Fire and the Combustion Dynamics	10
2.3 Radiant Heat Transfer of Jet Fire	13
2.3.1 Solid Flame Model (SFM)	15
2.3.2 Point Source Model (PSM)	15
2.3.3 Multi-Point Source Mode (MPSM)	17
2.3.4 Line Source Model	19
2.3.4.1 Line source model formulation	21
2.4 Image processing for geometrical flame features	24
2.5 Flame Geometrical Features of Horizontal Jet Flames	27

2.5.1	Lift-Off Length	34
2.5.1.1	Theories Related To the Lift-Off Length	38
2.5.2	Projected Flame Length	43
2.5.3	Projected Flame Height	47
2.5.4	Trajectory Length	49
2.5.5	Flame Width	53
2.6	Impingement Jet Fire	55
2.7	Flame Dimension of Impinging Jet	57
2.8	Model Formulation	62
2.9	Thermal Profile of an Impinging Jet	65
2.10	Summary	70
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>71</b>
3.1	Research Overview	71
3.2	Experimental Works and Safety Measures	72
3.3	Experimental Procedure and Condition	73
3.4	Experimental Facility and Instrumentations	77
3.4.1	Mass Flow Controller	79
3.4.2	Thermocouples	80
3.4.3	Heat Flux Sensor	83
3.4.4	Camera	85
3.4.5	Pressure Gauge	86
3.4.6	Anemometer	88
3.5	Image Processing Techniques	89
3.6	Model formulation	94
3.6.1	Model selection for radiant heat prediction	96
3.6.2	Model fitting	98
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>103</b>
4.1	Overview	103
4.2	Model selection and fitting of geometrical flame features	108
4.2.1	Projected Flame Length	108

4.2.2	Projected Flame Height	113
4.2.3	Trajectory Length	120
4.2.4	Flame Width	126
4.2.5	Lift-Off Length	128
4.3	Radiant heat measurement	133
4.3.1	Radiant Heat Flux of Free Jet Flame (JFF)	133
4.3.2	Radiant Heat Flux of Impingement Scenario	134
4.4	Radiant heat prediction	137
4.4.1	Effect of Flame Length Ratio on Prediction of Radiant Heat Flux	139
4.4.2	Effects of projected flame length, $L_p$ and trajectory length, $L_t$	141
4.5	Model validation	144
4.6	The Temperature Profile of Jet Flame	145
4.7	Summary of Radiant Heat Flux and Temperature Profiles	152
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>GENERAL CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE WORK</b>	<b>155</b>
5.1	Introduction	155
5.2	Recommendation for Future Work	160
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>161</b>
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>174</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Specific origin of the accidents involving jet fire.	9
Table 2.2	Radiative fraction for different release scenario.	16
Table 2.3	Summary of semi-empirical models for radiation estimation resulting from jet fire occurrence	20
Table 2.4	Geometric parameters of jet flame in a horizontal orientation.	22
Table 2.5	Geometric parameters of tile-kite and flame trajectory models using line source model.	23
Table 2.6	Summary of suggested correlation from various horizontal jet fire study.	32
Table 2.7	Experimental work on lift-off length and a suggested correlation.	36
Table 2.8	List of theories on lift-off stabilization region.	39
Table 2.9	Experimental work on projected flame length and a suggested correlation.	44
Table 2.10	Experimental work on projected flame height and suggested correlation.	49
Table 2.11	Experimental work on flame trajectory and suggested correlation.	51
Table 2.12	Experimental work on flame width and suggested correlation.	55
Table 2.13	Constants in McCaffrey's Plume Equations (McCaffrey, 1979).	67
Table 2.14	New constants in McCaffrey's Plume Equations dapted from (Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2014a).	68
Table 2.15	Constants in modified McCaffrey's Plume Equations for impinging jet fire in a vertical orientation.	69
Table 3.1	Release conditions and designated test name.	73
Table 3.2	Nominal experimental conditions of free jet fire release.	74
Table 3.3	Nominal experimental conditions of impingement jet fire release.	75

Table 3.4	Cylindrical vessel specification (D.Gandy, 2007).	76
Table 3.5	MCR 500SLPM Mass flow controller specifications.	79
Table 3.6	SBG01 specifications (heat flux measurement).	83
Table 3.7	Product sensitivity.	84
Table 3.8	Input parameter and Uncertainty Estimates.	84
Table 3.9	Specification of ConST 211 pressure gauge.	86
Table 3.10	Specification of anemometer MS6252B.	88
Table 3.11	Dependent variables of jet fire.	95
Table 3.12	Independent parameters of jet fires.	95
Table 3.13	List of geometrical flame features and dimensionless correlation.	99
Table 4.1	Guidelines for assessing fire damage effects; Description of the types of damage that may occur in the heat exposure zone categories.	151

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Figure 2.1	Evolving of fire accident scenarios.	7
Figure 2.2	Diffusion flame of jet fire.	10
Figure 2.3	Jet fire in (a) vertical orientation (b) horizontal orientation (Zhang et al., 2015), and (c) inclined angle (Tao et al., 2017).	11
Figure 2.4	Jet flame trajectory at a release rate up to $16.8\text{kgs}^{-1}$ (Lowesmith and Hankinson, 2012).	12
Figure 2.5	Radiating power emitted from surface 1 to surface 2 at distance $r$ .	14
Figure 2.6	Point source model with an inclined receiver.	17
Figure 2.7	Multi-point source model (MPSM) with an inclined receiver.	18
Figure 2.8	Predictions of three radiation models using the ideal flame assumption of a horizontal kite and tile kite and flame trajectory data (Changchun et al., 2019).	24
Figure 2.9	Image processing for flame boundary detection (a) greyscale image (b) adjusted image (c) binary image (d) boundary image (Laboureur <i>et al.</i> , 2016).	25
Figure 2.10	Probability distribution contour diagram of horizontal jet spray flame: definition of the whole flame, (Xie <i>et al.</i> , 2020).	26
Figure 2.11	Height of momentum jet flames as a function of nozzle velocity, showing a transition to turbulence (Hottel and Hawthorne, 1949).	28
Figure 2.12	Various regimes of jet diffusion flames characterized by Froude number and Reynolds number (Delichatsios, 1993).	29
Figure 2.13	Horizontal jet flame dispersion governed by buoyancy and momentum (Kim et al., 2009).	30
Figure 2.14	Flame title angle versus jet source momentum flux.	30
Figure 2.15	Buoyant jet zone region (Winters, 2009).	35
Figure 2.16	Dimensionless lift-off length against strain rate. Data was extracted from previous study of Gopaldaswami et al.	

	(2016); Wang et al. (2019b); Zhang et al. (2015); Zhou et al. (2020); Zhou et al. (2016); Palacios et al. (2009); Santos and Costa (2005); Zhou et al. (2019).	38
Figure 2.17	Sketch of the radial profiles of mean velocity, $u$ and turbulent burning velocity, $St$ .	41
Figure 2.18	Lift-off distance fitted by the correlation of Bradley for both free and impinging jet flames (Wang et al., 2019b).	43
Figure 2.19	Dimensionless flame horizontal projections of the jet fire experiments considered in the present analysis. <sup>(1)</sup> Subsonic regime; <sup>(2)</sup> Sonic regime (Palacios et al., 2020).	46
Figure 2.20	$H_p/d$ against $u_e/d$ of a previous study (Smith et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2019b; Changchun et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2020).	48
Figure 2.21	Non-dimensional flame trajectory length as a function of the modified Froude number $Fr_f$ (Liu et al., 2019a).	53
Figure 2.22	The flame length and width used to calculate the area of simplified geometrical flame shapes (Laboureur et al., 2016).	54
Figure 2.23	Definition of flame width of horizontal jet governed by buoyancy and momentum based on flame trajectory ratio, $\alpha$ (Changchun et al., 2019).	54
Figure 2.24	Flame impinging on a plate (Dong et al., 2019).	57
Figure 2.25	Characteristic region in impinging jet (Chander and Ray, 2005).	57
Figure 2.26	Flame extension lengths jet flame impinged on ceiling.	60
Figure 2.27	Step by step procedure of basic model formulation (Heckert <i>et al.</i> , 2002).	63
Figure 2.28	Centreline temperature against flame height (McCaffrey, 1979).	67
Figure 3.1	Overall research flow.	71
Figure 3.2	Step by step procedure during the experimental observation.	76
Figure 3.3	Experimental setup of impinging jet fire.	77
Figure 3.4	Schematic diagram of the experimental rig setup (side view) for an impingement scenario.	78
Figure 3.5	Instrumentation network diagram.	78
Figure 3.6	Alicat mass flow controller.	79

Figure 3.7	K-type thermocouple.	81
Figure 3.8	Schematic diagram of the thermocouple positions for free jet fire test.	81
Figure 3.9	Thermocouple location on impingement test (a) cylindrical vessel (side view) (b) vessel head (front view).	82
Figure 3.10	AF-S DX NIKKOR 18-105mm f/3.5-5.6G ED VR lens.	85
Figure 3.11	Digital pressure gauge.	86
Figure 3.12	Anemometer MS6252B.	88
Figure 3.13	Image processing.	89
Figure 3.14	(a) Raw RGB image (b) grayscale image (c) adjusted image (d) histogram of a grayscale image and I histogram of the adjusted image.	90
Figure 3.15	Cropped image.	91
Figure 3.16	Image thresholding using (a) Otsu method (b) local thresholding method.	91
Figure 3.17	Code for image processing from RGB to binary image.	92
Figure 3.18	Importing and averaging image in MATLAB.	93
Figure 3.19	Flame appearance intermittency contour.	93
Figure 3.20	Example of determination of flame width.	94
Figure 3.21	Geometrical horizontal jet flame shape with buoyancy effect (Changchun et al., 2019).	97
Figure 4.1	Flame blow-off using 5mm diameter at the release velocity of 36 m/s.	103
Figure 4.2	Processed images and geometrical features of (a) free jet fire and (b) impinging jet.	104
Figure 4.3	Various regimes of jet diffusion flames characterized by global fire Froude number and Reynolds number (Delichatsios, 1993).	105
Figure 4.4	Flame tilt angle versus jet source momentum flux.	106
Figure 4.5	Raw and processed (binary images) of horizontal jet flame at similar release flowrate (a-b) JFF, (c-d) JFI_9.8a (e-f)JFI_9.8b and (g-h)JFI_7.15.	107
Figure 4.6	Projected flame length against exit velocity (m/s).	108

Figure 4.7	Binary image of jet release from nozzle diameter of 7.15-mm at a different velocity.	109
Figure 4.8	Prediction of projected flame length for all release scenarios employing previous empirical correlation.	111
Figure 4.9	Prediction of projected flame length for all release scenarios employing flame integral equation.	112
Figure 4.10	Projected flame height at a variety of strain rate of free jet fire release and comparison with the previous study of Liu et al. (2019b).	113
Figure 4.11	Projected flame height at a variety of dimensionless heat release rates of impinging jet fire release.	115
Figure 4.12	Raw image of the jet release of (a)JFI_9.8a and (b)JFI_7.15 (c) JFI_9.8b at similar release flowrate and pre-processed image of (d) JFI_9.8a and (e) JFI_7.15 (f) JFI_9.8b.	116
Figure 4.13	Non-dimensional correlation of upward flame extension height on an impinged surface based on (a) cylindrical and (b) elliptical flame shape.	118
Figure 4.14	Comparison of predicted and measured value of $H_p$ for (a) free jet fire release (b) impinging jet release.	119
Figure 4.15	Comparison of predicted and measure value of $H_p$ by employing elliptical flame shape assumption for impinging jet fire.	120
Figure 4.16	Trajectory flame length of free jet fire release against source Froude number.	121
Figure 4.17	Trajectory flame length against exit velocity for all release scenarios.	122
Figure 4.18	Dimensionless trajectory flame length against dimensionless flow number, $U^{**}$ .	123
Figure 4.19	Correlation of non-dimensional flame trajectory ( $L_t/d$ ) with $Q$ .	125
Figure 4.20	Comparison of predicted against measured dimensionless flame trajectory ( $L_t/d$ ).	125
Figure 4.21	Dimensionless maximum flame width against strain rate ( $u_e/d$ ).	126
Figure 4.22	Flame width against flame length ratio, $\alpha$ (a) JFF (b)JFI_9.8a (c)JFI_9.8b and (d) JFI_7.15.	127

Figure 4.23	Dimensionless flame width against flame ratio: comparison of different exit velocity (a) 37 m/s (b) 41 m/s and (c) 45m/s.	128
Figure 4.24	Dependency of lift-off length ( $L_f$ ) on mass flowrate ( $\dot{m}$ ).	129
Figure 4.25	Comparisons between lift-off distance fittings using different available correlations: (a) Kalghatgi's (Kalghatgi, 1984b) and (b) Bradley's (Bradley et al., 1990).	131
Figure 4.26	Normalised flame lift-off distance of this study and previous works (Wang et al., 2019b; Zhou et al., 2020).	132
Figure 4.27	Radiant heat release for free jet fire release with various heat flux sensor location. x and y represent the location of the heat flux sensor in x-direction and y-direction from flame centreline.	133
Figure 4.28	Radiant heat release for impinging jet fire with heat flux sensor located at $x=0.5\text{m}$ , $y=-1\text{m}$ .	135
Figure 4.29	Radiant heat release for impinging jet fire with heat flux sensor located at $x=0.5\text{m}$ , $y=-0.7\text{m}$ .	136
Figure 4.30	Maximum temperature observed on target surface for all jet release scenario.	137
Figure 4.31	Illustration of flame length ratio, $\alpha$ location in flame volume.	139
Figure 4.32	Effect of flame length ratio $\alpha$ on the prediction for thermal radiant heat flux for different exit velocity (a) 18 m/s (b) 45 m/s.	140
Figure 4.33	Flame length ratio against jet exit velocity.	141
Figure 4.34	Basis for radiant heat prediction using LSM.	142
Figure 4.35	Prediction of radiant heat using line source model based on (a) horizontal kite shape and (b) flame trajectory, $L_t$ .	143
Figure 4.36	Radiant heat release prediction using LSM based on $L_t$ against experimental.	144
Figure 4.37	Predicted radiant heat release against experimental data for (a) JFI_9.8a (b) JFI_9.8b and (c) JFI_7.15.	145
Figure 4.38	(a) Flame temperature at normalised distance of free jet fire (JFF) at different exit velocity, $u_e$ (b) intermittent flame contour at $u_e$ of 41 m/s and (c) intermittent flame contour at $u_e$ of 45 m/s.	147
Figure 4.39	Flame temperature at a normalised distance of impinging jet fire (JFI_9.8a).	148

Figure 4.40	Flame temperature at a normalised distance of impinging jet fire (JFI_9.8b).	149
Figure 4.41	Grayscale image of jet flame at different release velocity of (a) 33m/s (b) 56 m/s (d) 60 m/s and (d) flame temperature at normalised distance of impinging jet fire (JFI_7.15).	150
Figure 5.1	Step-by-step deduced method for radiant heat estimation for both free and impinging jet scenarios.	159

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$A$	-	Area ( $m^2$ )
$b(b_0)$	-	(Maximum) flame radius
$b^*$	-	Actual flame radius corrected for the temperature effect [m]
$c$	-	Constant with a dimension of time
$C$	-	Constant
$c_p$	-	Heat capacity
$D$	-	Nozzle to object separation distance(m)
$d$	-	Diameter (mm)
$D_f$	-	Visible flame height (free burning, without the ceiling)
$E$	-	Total emissive power
$E'$	-	Emissivity power per line length
$f$	-	Ratio of fuel to air moles in the fuel-air mixture
$Fr$	-	Froude number
$Fr_f$	-	Flame Froude number
$g'$	-	Driving force owing to gravity [ $m.s^{-2}$ ]
$g$	-	Gravity force, $9.81 m/s^2$
$H$	-	Net calorific value of the fuel (kj/kg)
$H_p$	-	Projected flame height
$H_c$	-	Heat of combustion
$k_g$	-	Thermal conductivity,
$K$	-	Karlovitz stretch factor
$L$	-	Length
$L_0^*$	-	Non-dimensional flame height of upward jet fire
$L_s^*$	-	Non-dimensional flame trajectory length
$L_b$	-	Buoyancy characteristic length
$L_f$	-	Lift-off length
$L_m$	-	Momentum characteristic length
$L_p$	-	Projected flame length
$L_t$	-	Trajectory length
$M_0$	-	Source momentum

$\dot{m}$	-	Mass flowrate (kg/s)
$(n_x, n_y, n_z)$	-	Target surface normal orientation
$P_0$	-	Internal pressure
$P_{out}$	-	Pressure downstream of jet exit
Pr	-	Prandtl number
$\dot{Q}$	-	Heat release rate (HRR)
$Q^*$	-	Dimensionless heat release rate, $Q^* = \frac{Q}{c_p \rho_\infty T_\infty \sqrt{g d^5}}$
$Q_H^{**}$	-	Dimensionless heat release rate of impinging jet
$Q_R$	-	Radiant heat release
$Q_T$	-	The total heat release rate
$r_f$	-	Radial flame extension length beneath the ceiling
Re	-	Reynolds number
$Re_L$	-	Reynolds number based on $S_L$ and $d$
$s$	-	Coordinate of the trajectory (m)
$S$	-	Distance of the target to any point of the flame centreline
$S_e$	-	Sensitivity
$S_L$	-	Maximum laminar burning velocity of fuel-air mixture
$S_t$	-	Local burning velocity
T	-	Temperature
$T_s$	-	Surface temperature
$\Delta \bar{T}_{f,a}$	-	Adiabatic flame temperature of the fuel (K)
$\Delta T$	-	Temperature difference between the flame and ambient air
$T_\infty$	-	Ambient temperature
$u$	-	Velocity (m/s)
$u_e$	-	Exit velocity (m/s)
$\bar{u}$	-	Local flow velocity
$U^*$	-	Dimensionless flow number, $U^* = (u_e/S_L) Re^{-n} (\rho_e/\rho_\infty)$
$U^{**}$	-	Dimensionless flow number, $U^{**} = \frac{u_e}{S_L} Re_L^{-0.4} \frac{d}{D}$
$U_s$	-	Small voltage
$\nu$	-	Kinematic viscosity
V	-	View factor

$V_{fu}$	-	Flame volume portion intercepted by the impinging surface in ( $m^3$ )
$V_f$	-	Volume of the free flame ( $m^3$ )
$W$	-	Width
$w$	-	Flame width
$w_0$	-	Maximum flame width
$x_R$	-	Radiative fraction
$(x,y,z)$	-	Coordinate
$(x_0, y_0, z_0)$	-	Target coordinate position
$Z_0$	-	Virtual origin
$\alpha$	-	Flame ratio
$\alpha_1, \alpha_2$	-	Coefficients
$\varepsilon$	-	Emissivity
$\sigma$	-	Stefan-Boltzmann constant
$\kappa$	-	Parameter constant
$\eta$	-	Parameter constant
$\gamma$	-	Ratio of specific heats
$\tau$	-	Transmissivity
$\beta$	-	Proportionality constant
$\theta$	-	Angle ( $^\circ$ )
$\phi$	-	Angle of target and any point on the flame centreline
$\phi$	-	Heat flux measurement
$\mu$	-	Dynamic viscosity (kg/m.s)
$\bar{\rho}$	-	Density ratio ( $\rho_0/\rho_\infty$ )
$\rho_\infty$	-	Ambient density
$\rho$	-	Density ( $kg/m^3$ )
$\Lambda$	-	Dimensionless number ( $L_m/L_b$ )
$\Pi_1$	-	Dimensionless integer, $\frac{u_e}{S_L}$
$\Pi_2$	-	Dimensionless integer, $Re_L$
$\Pi_3$	-	Dimensionless integer, $\frac{d}{D}$

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ARIA	-	Accident Analysis, Research and Information
BLEVE	-	Boiling Liquid Expanding Vapor Explosion
CMOS	-	Complementary Metal Oxide Semiconductor
ED	-	Extra-low Dispersion
fps	-	Frames Per Second
FACTS	-	Failure and Accidents Technical Information System
HRR	-	Heat Release Rate
JFF	-	Jet Fire Free
JFI	-	Jet Fire Impingement
LSM	-	Line Source Model
MAPE	-	Mean Absolute Percentage Error
MARS	-	Major Accident Reporting System
MPSM	-	Multipoint Source Model
MHIDAS	-	Major Hazard Incidents Data Service
RGB	-	Red, Green Blue
RH	-	Relative Humidity
PSM	-	Point Source Model
SFM	-	Solid Flame Model
SLPM	-	Standard Litre Per Minute

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background of Study

Any industrial facilities that dealt with pressurized pipelines and vessels are exposed to an unwanted incident called a jet fire. Jet fire is a form of diffusion flame resulting from a release of combustible materials from an opening at very high pressure, usually greater than 1.9 bar absolute (Palacios, 2011). In process industries, jet fires are frequently reported as a primary vector leading to domino effects that would lead to mass casualties and asset integrity (Wang et al., 2021; Casal, 2018). The main hazards that come from the jet fire are thermal radiation and jet flame impingement (Swuste et al., 2019). The high momentum release of jet fire entrains a large amount of air and produces much better combustion than in other accidental fires. This will significantly increase the heat release rate in terms of radiation. According to Pula *et al.* (2006), horizontal jet fire is more destructive than vertical due to higher impingement probability and since there is limited data available on horizontal jet flames, thus it has been a focus in this study.

Several methods can be used to predict the main hazards associated with jet fire i.e. simulation, experimental data and semi-empirical model. To date, the development of the semi-empirical models is widely used by the industry in risk assessment associated with fire occurrence as it is easily programmed in the computer which the repetitive calculation can be done in a short time and economical with a reliable prediction. Furthermore, it provides an additional advantage in conducting massive measurement and risk prediction based on theoretical principles and experimental observation.

At present, four semi-empirical models could be adopted to predict the radiant heat flux based on the geometrical flame features which are solid flame model (SFM), point source model (PSM), weighted multipoint source model (MPSM) (Hankinson and Lowesmith, 2012), and line source model (LSM) (Zhou and Jiang, 2016; Zhou et al., 2016). To have a better prediction of radiant heat release by using a semi-empirical model, a true definition of geometrical flame features is very important (Palacios et al., 2012; Mashhadimoslem et al., 2020; Palacios et al., 2020). For a horizontal jet flame, the involved parameters include the lift-off length, the flame length, the flame height, the flame width and the flame trajectory (Palacios *et al.*, 2020; Smith *et al.*, 2005; Changchun *et al.*, 2019) in which several correlations were developed for each of these parameters. These correlations are varied according to scenario i.e flame orientation, scale and receiver location which then further used as an input to semi-empirical model for radiant heat prediction.

In a study of horizontal jet flames governed by momentum and buoyancy, the flame length was defined as the length located along the flame axis centreline which is called flame trajectory (Liu *et al.*, 2019a; Changchun *et al.*, 2019; Kim *et al.*, 2009). The description of flame trajectory is very important for these types of flame as it may lead to the underestimation of radiant heat by 40% or more if an incorrect definition of flame length is used (Ekoto et al., 2014). Other than the effects of buoyancy, the presence of an obstacle downstream that leads to flame impingement, may give a direct effect on the resulted flame length and consequently the measured radiant heat. In this study, the effects of the buoyancy on the horizontal jet flames and the effects of the impingement scenario were investigated to affirm the validity of the suggested correlation for the involved input parameters which can further extend its applicability to the said scenarios.

## 1.2 Problem Statement

Most available radiant heat models for jet fire occurrence were developed based on ideal flame shape assumption namely as Solid Flame Model (SFM), Point Source Model (PSM), Multipoint Source Model (MPSM), and Line Source Model (LSM). SFM, PSM and MPSM are well established for radiant heat prediction of jet fire and there is a vast amount of data available. Nonetheless, each of these models has its limitation as such SFM is more suitable to be used for near field observation, PSM for far-field observation, and MPSM which can be used for both near and far-field observation but data available are limited to a large scale of the jet fire. The most recently developed, LSM offers a better option among the rest which are applicable for radiant heat prediction of vertical and horizontal jet release in both near and far-field observation and has been tested against a large and small scale of the jet fire. Yet, the validity has to be explored more for low initial momentum release of jet fire as LSM does not consider the buoyancy effects. In general, the input parameter that has to be determined for LSM is the flame length, the heat release rate, the radiative fraction, the flame length ratio, and the lift-off distance.

For a horizontal jet flame, it was dictated the flame length and the lift-off length hold a considerable effect on the radiant heat prediction. An earlier study using LSM, defines the flame length as the length of the flame either in a horizontal or vertical direction based on ideal flame shape. However, for a horizontal jet flame, as the initial momentum is consumed, the buoyancy will take over causing the flame to bend upwards or flame shape deformation. Thus, the consideration of these buoyancy effects has to be taken into account by introducing the trajectory length instead of a conventional flame length based on ideal flame projection. Based on this challenge, this study attempts to extend the validity of expression developed for radiant heat flux using the flame trajectory as a basis for horizontal jet flames governed by considering both momentum and buoyancy effect.

Besides, the jet release is not necessarily happened in a free release since there is a possibility of impingement jet fire in the process plant vicinity. The presence of an obstacle as an impingement object may have a significant effect on the air entrainment volume that may further result in the distortion of the flame shape and flame size. This work will investigate the possibility of accurately determining the properties of a horizontal jet flame without large-scale experiment or computational simulations by analyzing the jet flame with various release conditions at subsonic regimes in the near field, as well as considering the effect of impingement effect. Due to the unique feature of the flame trajectory concept, this work aims to propose a modified correlation of the Line Source Model (LSM) for radiant heat estimation for a general horizontal jet flame that covers both free and impinging jet release.

### **1.3 Objectives of Study**

Based on the preceding research background and problem statements, the main objective of this study is to develop a semi-empirical model that can incorporate an impingement scenario for a horizontal jet fire in a near field. To achieve the main objective, several objectives were carried out.

- (a) To determine the parametric and geometrical flame features of horizontal jet fire with and without impingement in respect to a change of gas flowrate,  $u$  (m/s), nozzle release diameter,  $d$  (mm), and nozzle-object separation distance,  $D$  (m).
- (b) To formulate the modified model using the input parameters in (a) for the radiant heat release prediction of horizontal turbulent jet fire with and without impingement in the near field.
- (c) To verify the modified model applicability and performance using this work experimental data and previous literature data, using line source model as a prescribed model.

## 1.4 Scope of Study

To fulfill the objectives of the study, the scopes of study have been drawn:

- (a) Only the line source model (LSM) was considered as a semi-empirical model prescribed to be used for radiant heat estimation for both free and impinging jet release scenarios in this study. Thus, the input parameters considered were flame length, the heat release rate, the radiative fraction, the flame length ratio, the flame width and the lift-off distance.
- (b) To create the impingement scenario, a cylindrical vessel with an internal diameter of 2-m, a thickness of 10-mm, and a material of carbon steel is used as an object to be impinged with a nozzle-object separation distance of 0.8 m and 1.2 m.
- (c) The gas flowrates used were between 30-600 g/min released from a circular nozzle with a diameter of 9.8 mm and 7.15 mm, in which it produces jet flames governed by momentum and buoyancy. Besides, with the flow rate, the Reynolds numbers are ensured above 4000 which indicates turbulence behavior.
- (d) CMOS sensor camera with video function was located orthogonally to the flame axis was used to capture a simultaneous image of the jet flame. The captured images were analyzed using MATLAB Image Processing Toolbox. The flame geometrical features i.e the flame length, flame height, flame width and lift-off length were obtained from the results of the analysis.
- (e) Propane of 99% purity was used as fuel as it represents the worst-case scenario of jet flame occurrence based on historical event data.
- (f) An environmental condition that includes the ambient temperature, relative humidity, and wind speed are obtained from an anemometer reading near the test area station.

- (g) The flux sensor was located near-field which within half of the predicted projected flame length in a radial direction to eliminate the effects of atmospheric transmissivity on the readings.

## **1.5 Significance of Study**

A lack of data to validate the use of a semi-empirical model based on the flame trajectory has been a motivation for this study. The prediction of radiant heat flux in the near field is of utmost importance as the radiant heat is among the elements of heat transfer to the nearby target that could induce accident escalation and will be the additional provision to determine the minimum spacing distance of the equipment sitting for the plant layout. The modified semi-empirical model developed based on the flame trajectory is believed could give a more accurate and reliable prediction on radiant heat of the horizontal jet flame either in a free jet or during impingement. In addition, it can be used by safety practitioners to assess asset integrity by considering the possibility of a jet fire impingement scenario for a release in a horizontal orientation.

## **1.6 Limitation of Study**

From the discussion stated above, it is crucial to investigate the thermal behavior of jet flame projection and the presence of obstacles near the flame source. However, the model that is developed is strictly valid for a horizontal jet fire impingement scenario on a curved surface (cylinder) in close vicinity. The model for impingement assumes the flame hits perpendicularly to the center of the surface of the target object. The flame spread will be assumed to be similar on the left and right-hand sides of the impingement point. Thus, the measurement on the impingement side is taken only on the vertical side considering it to be in one dimension. Lastly, the outcome of this study may only apply for jet fire occurrence of propane with a range of parameters as stated in the scope of the study.

## REFERENCES

- Api 579. *Fitness-For-Service*. The American Society of Mechanical Engineers.
- Ab Aziz, N. S., Md. Kasmani, R., Samsudin, M. D. M. and Ahmad, A. (2019). Comparative Analysis on Semi-Empirical Models of Jet Fire for Radiant Heat Estimation. *Process Integration and Optimization for Sustainability*.
- Abdolhamidzadeh, B., Abbasi, T., Rashtchian, D. and Abbasi, S. A. (2011). Domino Effect in Process-Industry Accidents – an Inventory of Past Events and Identification of Some Patterns. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, 24(5), 575-593.
- Alpert, R. L. (1975). Turbulent Ceiling-Jet Induced by Large-Scale Fires. *Combustion science and technology*, 11(5-6), 197-213.
- Anderson, T. L. and Osage, D. A. (2000). Api 579: A Comprehensive Fitness-for-Service Guide. *International Journal of Pressure Vessels and Piping*, 77(14-15), 953-963.
- Audouin, L., Kolb, G., Torero, J. L. and Most, J. M. (1995). Average Centreline Temperatures of a Buoyant Pool Fire Obtained by Image Processing of Video Recordings. *Fire Safety Journal*, 24, 167-187.
- Becker, H. A. and Liang, D. (1978). Visible Length of Vertical Free Turbulent Diffusion Flames. *Combustion and Flame*, 32, 115-137.
- Bertens, R. (2017). *Jet Fire Safety in the Oil and Gas Industry* [Online]. Available: <https://blog.van-dam.nl/jet-fire-safety-in-the-oil-and-gas-industry>.
- Bradley, D., Casal, J. and Palacios, A. (2017). Prediction of Lift-Off Distance in Choked and Subsonic Hydrogen Jet Fires. *Catalysis Today*.
- Bradley, D., Gaskell, P. and Lau, A. (1990) Published. A Mixedness-Reactedness Flamelet Model for Turbulent Diffusion Flames. Symposium (International) on Combustion. Elsevier, 685-692.
- Bradley, D., Gaskell, P. and Lau, A. (1991) Published. A Mixedness-Reactedness Flamelet Model for Turbulent Diffusion Flames. Symposium (International) on Combustion. Elsevier, 685-692.

- Bradley, D., Gaskell, P. H., Gu, X. and Palacios, A. (2016). Jet Flame Heights, Lift-Off Distances, and Mean Flame Surface Density for Extensive Ranges of Fuels and Flow Rates. *Combustion and Flame*, 164, 400-409.
- Bradley, D., Gaskell, P. H. and Gu, X. J. (1998) Published. The Mathematical Modeling of Liftoff and Blowoff of Turbulent Non-Premixed Methane Jet Flames at High Strain Rates. *In: Institute, T. C., ed. Twenty-Seventh Symposium (International) on Combustion*. 1199–1206.
- Bryant, R., Johnsson, E., Ohlemiller, T. and Womeldorf, C. (2001) Published. Estimates of the Uncertainty of Radiative Heat Flux Calculated from Total Heat Flux Measurements. *International Conference on Fire Science and Engineering*.
- Brzustowski, T., Gollahalli, S., Gupta, M., Kaptein, M. and Sullivan, H. (1975). Radiant Heating from Flares. *ASME paper, (75-HT), 4*.
- Buckingham, E. (1914). On Physically Similar Systems; Illustrations of the Use of Dimensional Equations. *Physical review*, 4(4), 345.
- Casal, J. (2018). Domino Effect. Evaluation of the Effects and Consequences of Major Accidents in Industrial Plants. (pp. 405-437).
- Chamberlain, G. A. (1987). Developments in Design Methods for Predicting Thermal Radiation from Flare. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 65(4), 299-309.
- Chander, S. and Ray, A. (2005). Flame Impingement Heat Transfer: A Review. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 46(18-19), 2803-2837.
- Changchun, L., Tiandiao, D., Shasha, Z., Xinlei, L., Jun, D., Zhenmin, L. and Li, M. (2019). Radiation Model of Horizontal Jet Flame Governed by Buoyancy and Momentum. *Journal of Heat Transfer*, 141(11).
- Crocker, W. P. and Napier, D. H. (1988) Published. Mathematical Models for the Prediction of Thermal Radiation from Jet Fires. *I.ChemE. Symposium Series No. 110*. 331-347.
- Cumber, P. S. (2009). Efficient Calculation of the Radiation Heat Flux Surrounding a Jet Fire. *Fire Safety Journal*, 44(4), 580-589.
- D.Gandy (2007). Carbon Steel Handbook. Electric Power Research Institute.
- D.K.Cook, M. F., J.Hammonds, D.J.Hughes (1987). Size and Radiative Characteristics of Natural Gas Flares. Part I-Field Scale Experiments. *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.*, 310-317.

- Deimling, L., Weiser, V., Blanc, A., Eisenreich, N., Billeb, G. and Kessler, A. (2011). Visualisation of Jet Fires from Hydrogen Release. *International journal of hydrogen energy*, 36(3), 2360-2366.
- Delichatsios, M. A. (1993). Transition from Momentum to Buoyancy-Controlled Turbulent Jet Diffusion Flames and Flame Height Relationships. *Combustion and Flame*, 92, 349-364.
- Dong, B., Huang, Y. and Wu, J. (2019). Temperature Profile of Thermal Impinging Flow Induced by Horizontally Oriented Rectangular Jet Flame Upon an Opposite Plate. *Journal of Thermal Science and Engineering Applications*, 11(5).
- Drysdale, D. (2011). *An Introduction to Fire Dynamics*. (2nd ed). Wiley.
- Ekoto, I. W., Houf, W. G., Ruggles, A. J., Creitz, L. W. and Li, J. X. (2013) Published. Large-Scale Hydrogen Jet Flame Radiant Fraction Measurements and Modeling. Proceedings of the 9th International Pipeline Conference - 2012, Vol 4, Calgary, CANADA. The American Society of Mechanical Engineers, 713-724.
- Ekoto, I. W., Ruggles, A. J., Creitz, L. W. and Li, J. X. (2014). Updated Jet Flame Radiation Modeling with Buoyancy Corrections. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 39(35), 20570-20577.
- Fishburne, E. S. and Pergament, H. S. (1979) Published. The Dynamics and Radiant Intensity of Large Hydrogen Flames. Symposium (International) on Combustion. Elsevier, 1063-1073.
- Geankoplis, C. J. (2003). Transport Processes and Separation Process Principles:(Includes Unit Operations). Prentice Hall Professional Technical Reference.
- Gómez-Mares, M., Zárate, L. and Casal, J. (2008). Jet Fires and the Domino Effect. *Fire Safety Journal*, 43(8), 583-588.
- Gonzalez, R. C., Woods, R. E. and Eddins, S. L. (2009). *Digital Image Processing Using Matlab*. (2nd ed). United States of America, Gatesmark Publishing.
- Gopaldaswami, N., Liu, Y., Laboureur, D. M., Zhang, B. and Mannan, M. S. (2016). Experimental Study on Propane Jet Fire Hazards: Comparison of Main Geometrical Features with Empirical Models. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, 41, 365-375.

- Gore, J. and Jian, C. (1993). Analytical Solution to the Flame Trajectory Based on the Analysis of “Scaling of Buoyant Turbulent Jet Diffusion Flames” by N. Peters and J. Göttgens. *Combustion and flame*, 93(3), 336-337.
- Gupta, G. S., Sarkar, S., Chychko, A., Teng, L., Nzotta, M. and Seetharaman, S. (2014). Process Concept for Scaling-up and Plant Studies. *Treatise on Process Metallurgy*. (pp. 1100-1144). Elsevier.
- H. A. Becker, D. L. (1981). Effect of Burner Orientation and Ambient Airflow on Geometry of Turbulent Free Diffusion Flames. *Eighteenth Symposium (International) on Combustion*.
- Hall, J. E., Hooker, P., O'sullivan, L., Angers, B., Hourri, A. and Bernard, P. (2016). Flammability Profiles Associated with High-Pressure Hydrogen Jets Released in Close Proximity to Surfaces. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*.
- Hankinson, G. and Lowesmith, B. J. (2012). A Consideration of Methods of Determining the Radiative Characteristics of Jet Fires. *Combustion and Flame*, 159(3), 1165-1177.
- Heckert, N. A., Filliben, J. J., Croarkin, C. M., Hembree, B., Guthrie, W. F., Tobias, P. and Prinz, J. (2002). Handbook 151: Nist/Sematech E-Handbook of Statistical Methods.
- Heskestad, G. (1983). Virtual Origins of Fire Plumes. *Fire Safety Journal*, 5(2), 109-114.
- Heskestad, G. (1999). Turbulent Jet Diffusion Flames: Consolidation of Flame Height Data. *Combustion and Flame*, 118(1-2), 51-60.
- Heskestad, G. and Delichatsios, M. A. (1979) Published. The Initial Convective Flow in Fire. *Symposium (International) on Combustion*. Elsevier, 1113-1123.
- Heskestad, G. and Hamada, T. (1993). Ceiling Jets of Strong Fire Plumes. *Fire Safety Journal*, 21(1), 69-82.
- Hottel, H. C. and Hawthorne, W. R. (1949) Published. Diffusion in Laminar Flame Jets. *Symposium on Combustion and Flame, and Explosion Phenomena*. Elsevier Inc., 254-266.
- Houf, W. and Schefer, R. (2007). Predicting Radiative Heat Fluxes and Flammability Envelopes from Unintended Releases of Hydrogen. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 32(1), 136-151.
- Hse. (2015). Jet Fires. d 28/9/2020].

- Hu, L., Wang, Q., Tang, F., Delichatsios, M. and Zhang, X. (2013). Axial Temperature Profile in Vertical Buoyant Turbulent Jet Fire in a Reduced Pressure Atmosphere. *Fuel*, 106, 779-786.
- Hu, L., Zhang, X., Zhang, X., Lu, K. and Guo, Z. (2017). Flame Heights and Fraction of Stoichiometric Air Entrained for Rectangular Turbulent Jet Fires in a Sub-Atmospheric Pressure. *Proceedings of the Combustion Institute*, 36(2), 2995-3002.
- Huang, Y.-B., Li, Y.-F. and Dong, B.-Y. (2018a) Published. The Temperature Profile of Rectangular Fuel Source Jet Fire with Different Aspect Ratio. *In: Pan, X.-H., Wang, J.-H. and Yao, H.-W., eds. 2017 8th International Conference on Fire Science and Fire Protection Engineering (ICFSFPE 2017), Nanjing, China. Elsevier, 280-287.*
- Huang, Y., Li, Y., Dong, B. and Li, J. (2018b). Predicting the Main Geometrical Features of Horizontal Rectangular Source Fuel Jet Fires. *Journal of the Energy Institute*, 91(6), 1153-1163.
- Huang, Y., Li, Y., Dong, B. and Li, J. (2019). Computational Investigation of Flame Extension Height for Horizontally Oriented Rectangular Source Jet Fires Impinging on a Vertical Plate. *Fire and Materials*, 43(7), 821-830.
- Imamura, T., Hamada, S., Mogi, T., Wada, Y., Horiguchi, S., Miyake, A. and Ogawa, T. (2008). Experimental Investigation on the Thermal Properties of Hydrogen Jet Flame and Hot Currents in the Downstream Region. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 33, 10.
- Johnson, A. D., Brightwell, H. M. and Carsley, A. J. (1994) Published. A Model for Predicting the Thermal Radiation Hazards from Large-Scale Horizontally Released Natural Gas Jet Fires. IChemE Symposium Series No. 134.
- Kalghatgi, G. T. (1983). The Visible Shape and Size of a Turbulent Hydrocarbon Jet Diffusion Flame in a Cross-Wind. *Combustion and Flame*, 52, 91-106.
- Kalghatgi, G. T. (1984a). Lift-Off Heights and Visible Lengths of Vertical Turbulent Jet Diffusion Flames in Still Air. *Combustion Science and Technology*, 41, 17.
- Kalghatgi, G. T. (1984b). Lift-Off Heights and Visible Lengths of Vertical Turbulent Jet Diffusion Flames in Still Air. *Combustion Science and Technology*, 41, 17-29.

- Kessler, A., Schreiber, A., Wassmer, C., Deimling, L., Knapp, S., Weiser, V., Sachsenheimer, K., Langer, G. and Eisenreich, N. (2014). Ignition of Hydrogen Jet Fires from High Pressure Storage. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 39(35), 20554-20559.
- Kim, J., Yang, W., Kim, Y. and Won, S. (2009). Behavior of Buoyancy and Momentum Controlled Hydrogen Jets and Flames Emitted into the Quiescent Atmosphere. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, 22(6), 943-949.
- Kiran, D. Y. and Mishra, D. P. (2007). Experimental Studies of Flame Stability and Emission Characteristics of Simple Lpg Jet Diffusion Flame. *Fuel*, 86(10-11), 1545-1551.
- Kong, X. X., Wang, X. S., Cong, H. Y., Liu, Y. P. and Zhu, J. P. (2019). Temperature Profile and Flame Extension Length of a Ceiling Impinging Round Jet Fire in an Inclined Tunnel. *International Journal of Thermal Sciences*, 137, 526-533.
- Kozanoglu, B., Zárate, L., Gómez-Mares, M. and Casal, J. (2011). Convective Heat Transfer around Vertical Jet Fires: An Experimental Study. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 197, 104-8.
- Laboureur, D. M., Gopaldaswami, N., Zhang, B., Liu, Y. and Mannan, M. S. (2016). Experimental Study on Propane Jet Fire Hazards: Assessment of the Main Geometrical Features of Horizontal Jet Flames. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, 41, 355-364.
- Liu, J., Wang, J. and Chen, M. (2019a). Estimating the Trajectory Length of Buoyant Turbulent Jet Flames Issuing from a Downward Sloping Nozzle. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 132, 153-159.
- Liu, S., Delichatsios, M. A. and Hu, L. (2019b). Flame Profile Parameters of Horizontal Turbulent Jets: Experiments, Similarity Analysis and an Integral Model. *Combustion and Flame*, 207, 1-9.
- Liu, S. and Hu, L. (2019). An Experimental Study on Flame Envelope Morphologic Characteristics of Downward-Orientated Buoyant Turbulent Jet Fires. *Proceedings of the Combustion Institute*, 37(3), 3935-3942.
- Lowesmith, B. J. and Hankinson, G. (2012). Large Scale High Pressure Jet Fires Involving Natural Gas and Natural Gas/Hydrogen Mixtures. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 90(2), 108-120.

- Lowesmith, B. J. and Hankinson, G. (2013). Large Scale Experiments to Study Fires Following the Rupture of High Pressure Pipelines Conveying Natural Gas and Natural Gas/Hydrogen Mixtures. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 91(1-2), 101-111.
- Lowesmith, B. J., Hankinson, G., Acton, M. R. and Chamberlain, G. (2007). An Overview of the Nature of Hydrocarbon Jet Fire Hazards in the Oil and Gas Industry and a Simplified Approach to Assessing the Hazards. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 85(B3), 207-220.
- Lyons, K. M. (2007). Toward an Understanding of the Stabilization Mechanisms of Lifted Turbulent Jet Flames: Experiments. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*, 33(2), 211-231.
- Marsch (2020). 100 Largest Losses in the Hydrocarbon Industry. *In: Kadri, S. H. (ed.) 26th edition ed. London: Marsh Global Energy Risk Engineering.*
- Mashhadimoslem, H., Ghaemi, A., Behroozi, A. H. and Palacios, A. (2020). A New Simplified Calculation Model of Geometric Thermal Features of a Vertical Propane Jet Fire Based on Experimental and Computational Studies. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 135, 301-314.
- Mccaffrey, B. (1979). Purely Buoyant Diffusion Flames: Some Experimental Results. Mccaffrey, B. (1981) Published. Some Measurements of the Radiative Power Output of Diffusion Flames. 1981 Western States Combustion Institute Meeting, Pullman, Paper WSS/CI. 81-15.
- Mccaffrey, B. J. (1989). Momentum Diffusion Flame Characteristics and the Effects of Water Spray. *Combustion Science and Technology*, 63(4-6), 315-335.
- Miguel, R. B., Machado, I. M., Pereira, F. M., Pagot, P. R. and França, F. H. R. (2016). Application of Inverse Analysis to Correlate the Parameters of the Weighted-Multi-Point-Source Model to Compute Radiation from Flames. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 102, 816-825.
- Miller, D. (2017). New Model for Predicting Thermal Radiation from Flares and High Pressure Jet Fires for Hydrogen and Syngas. *Process Safety Progress*, 36(3), 237-251.
- Mogi, T. and Horiguchi, S. (2009). Experimental Study on the Hazards of High-Pressure Hydrogen Jet Diffusion Flames. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, 22(1), 45-51.

- Mogi, T., Shiina, H., Wada, Y. and Dobashi, R. (2011). Experimental Study on the Hazards of the Jet Diffusion Flame of Liquefied Dimethyl Ether. *Fuel*, 90(7), 2508-2513.
- Mousavi, J. and Parvini, M. (2016). Analyzing Effective Factors on Leakage-Induced Hydrogen Fires. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, 40, 29-42.
- Necci, A., Cozzani, V., Spadoni, G. and Khan, F. (2015). Assessment of Domino Effect: State of the Art and Research Needs. *Reliability Engineering and System Safety*, 143, 3-18.
- Nolan, D. (2019). Characteristics of Hazardous Material Releases, Fires, and Explosions. Handbook of fire and explosion protection engineering principles for oil, gas, chemical, and related facilities, 89-121.
- Otsu, N. (1979). A Threshold Selection Method from Gray-Level Histograms. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, 9(10), 62-66.
- Palacios, A., Bradley, D. and Hu, L. (2016). Lift-Off and Blow-Off of Methane and Propane Subsonic Vertical Jet Flames, with and without Diluent Air. *Fuel*, 183, 414-419.
- Palacios, A., García, W. and Rengel, B. (2020). Flame Shapes and Thermal Fluxes for an Extensive Range of Horizontal Jet Flames. *Fuel*, 279, 118328.
- Palacios, A., Muñoz, M. and Casal, J. (2009). Jet Fires: An Experimental Study of the Main Geometrical Features of the Flame in Subsonic and Sonic Regimes. *AIChE Journal*, 55(1), 256-263.
- Palacios, A., Munoz, M., Darbra, R. M. and Casal, J. (2012). Thermal Radiation from Vertical Jet Fires. *Fire Safety Journal*, 51, 93-101.
- Palacios, R. A. (2011). *Study of Jet Fires Geometry and Radiative Features*. Doctor of Philosophy, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya.
- Palacios Rosas, A. (2011). *Study of Jet Fires Geometry and Radiative Features*. Doctor of Philosophy, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya.
- Pedersen, N. (2012). Modeling of Jet and Pool Fires and Validation of the Fire Model in the Cfd Code Flacs. University of Bergen.
- Peter, N. and Williams, F. A. (1983). Liftoff Characteristics of Turbulent Jet Diffusion Flames. *American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics Journal*, 21(3), 423-429.

- Peters, N. (1983). Local Quenching Due to Flame Stretch and Non-Premixed Turbulent Combustion. *Combustion Science and Technology*, 30(1-6), 1-17.
- Peters, N. and Gottgens, J. (1991). Scaling of Buoyant Turbulent Jet Diffusion Flames. *Combustion and Flame*, 85, 206-214.
- Pietersen, C. (1988). Analysis of the Lpg-Disaster in Mexico City. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 20, 85-107.
- Pietersen, C. and Huerta, S. (1984). Analysis of the Lpg Incident in San Juan Ixhuatepec, Mexico City.
- Pitts, W. M. (1989) Published. Assessment of Theories for the Behavior and Blowout of Lifted Turbulent Jet Diffusion Flames. Symposium (International) on Combustion. Elsevier, 809-816.
- Proust, C., Jamois, D. and Studer, E. (2011). High Pressure Hydrogen Fires. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 36(3), 2367-2373.
- Pula, R., Khan, F. I., Veitch, B. and Amyotte, P. R. (2006). A Grid Based Approach for Fire and Explosion Consequence Analysis. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 84(B2), 79-91.
- Qiu, A., Hu, L., Chen, L. and Carvel, R. O. (2018). Flame Extension Lengths beneath a Confined Ceiling Induced by Fire in a Channel with Longitudinal Air Flow. *Fire Safety Journal*, 97, 29-43.
- Ramírez-Camacho, J. G., Pastor, E., Casal, J., Amaya-Gómez, R. and Muñoz-Giraldo, F. (2015). Analysis of Domino Effect in Pipelines. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 298, 210-220.
- Røkke, N. A., Hustad, J. E. and Sønju, O. K. (1994). A Study of Partially Premixed Unconfined Propane Flames. *Combustion and Flame*, 97(1), 88-106.
- Roper, F. (1977). The Prediction of Laminar Jet Diffusion Flame Sizes: Part I. Theoretical Model. *Combustion and Flame*, 29, 219-226.
- Santos, A. and Costa, M. (2005). Reexamination of the Scaling Laws for Nox Emissions from Hydrocarbon Turbulent Jet Diffusion Flame. *Combustion and Flame*, 142, 160-169.
- Schefer, Houf, W. G., Williams, T. C., Bourne, B. and Colton, J. (2006). Characterization of High-Pressure, Underexpanded Hydrogen-Jet Flame. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 32, 2081-2093.

- Sivathanu, Y. R. and Gore, J. P. (1993). Total Radiative Heat Loss in Jet Flames from Single Point Radiative Flux Measurements. *Combustion and Flame*, 94, 265-270.
- Smith, T., Periasamy, C., Baird, B. and Gollahalli, S. R. (2005). Trajectory and Characteristics of Buoyancy and Momentum Dominated Horizontal Jet Flames from Circular and Elliptic Burners. *Journal of Energy Resources Technology*, 128(4), 300-310.
- Smith, T., Periasamy, C., Baird, B. and Gollahalli, S. R. (2006). Trajectory and Characteristics of Buoyancy and Momentum Dominated Horizontal Jet Flames from Circular and Elliptic Burners. *Journal of Energy Resources Technology*, 128, 300-310.
- Stamps, D. and Tieszen, S. (2014). Blowout of Turbulent Jet Diffusion Flames. *Fuel*, 118, 113-122.
- Studer, E., Jamois, D., Jallais, S., Leroy, G., Hebrard, J. and Blanchetière, V. (2009). Properties of Large-Scale Methane/Hydrogen Jet Fires. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 34(23), 9611-9619.
- Sugawa, O. and Sakai, K. (1996). Flame Length and Width Produced by Ejected Propane Gas Fuel from a Pipe. *International Association for Fire Safety Science*, 411-421.
- Swuste, P., Van Nunen, K., Reniers, G. and Khakzad, N. (2019). Domino Effects in Chemical Factories and Clusters: An Historical Perspective and Discussion. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 124, 18-30.
- Tao, C., Qian, Y., Tang, F. and Wang, Q. (2017). Experimental Investigations on Temperature Profile and Air Entrainment of Buoyancy-Controlled Jet Flame from Inclined Nozzle Bounded the Wall. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 111, 510-515.
- Tao, C., Shen, Y. and Zong, R. (2016a). Experimental Determination of Flame Length of Buoyancy-Controlled Turbulent Jet Diffusion Flames from Inclined Nozzles. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 93, 884-887.
- Tao, C., Shen, Y., Zong, R. and Tang, F. (2016b). An Experimental Study of Flame Height and Air Entrainment of Buoyancy-Controlled Jet Flames with Sidewalls. *Fuel*, 183, 164-169.
- Vanquickenborne, L. and Tiggelen, A. V. (1966). The Stabilization Mechanism of Lifted Diffusion Flames. *Combustion and Flame*, 10(1), 59-69.

- Wang, J., Fang, J., Zhao, L., Guan, J., Zhang, Y., Sun, J. and Hu, L. (2019a). Sooting Tendencies of Propane Jet Diffusion Flame under Crossflow. *Fuel*, 245, 247-252.
- Wang, Z., Zhou, K., Liu, M., Wang, Y., Qin, X. and Jiang, J. (2019b) Published. Lift-Off Behavior of Horizontal Subsonic Jet Flames Impinging on a Cylindrical Surface. *In: A., S., N.A., L., F., T., D., B., V., M. and N., C., eds. Ninth International Seminar on Fire and Explosion Hazards (ISFEH9), Saint Petersburg, Russia. Saint-Petersburg Polytechnic University Press, 831-841.*
- Wang, Z., Zhou, K., Zhang, L., Nie, X., Wu, Y., Jiang, J., Dederichs, A. S. and He, L. (2021). Flame Extension Area and Temperature Profile of Horizontal Jet Fire Impinging on a Vertical Plate. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 147, 547-558.
- Winters, W. S. (2009). Modeling Leaks from Liquid Hydrogen Storage Systems.
- Xie, K., Cui, Y., Qiu, X. and Wang, J. (2020). Experimental Investigation on Horizontal Jet Spray Flame Characteristics with a Constant Air-Fuel Ratio in Different Sub-Atmospheric Pressures. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 165, 114555.
- Yarin, L. P. (2012). The Pi-Theorem: Applications to Fluid Mechanics and Heat and Mass Transfer. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Yi, H., Feng, Y., Park, H. and Wang, Q. (2020). Configuration Predictions of Large Liquefied Petroleum Gas (Lpg) Pool Fires Using Cfd Method. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, 104099.
- You, H. and Faeth, G. (1979). Ceiling Heat Transfer During Fire Plume and Fire Impingement. *Fire and Materials*, 3(3), 140-147.
- Zhang, B., Liu, Y., Laboureur, D. and Mannan, M. S. (2015). Experimental Study on Propane Jet Fire Hazards: Thermal Radiation. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 54(37), 9251-9256.
- Zhang, Q.-X., Liang, D. and Wen, J. (2019a). Experimental Study of Flashing Lng Jet Fires Following Horizontal Releases. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, 57, 245-253.
- Zhang, X., Hu, L., Delichatsios, M. A. and Zhang, J. (2019b). Experimental Study and Analysis on Flame Lengths Induced by Wall-Attached Fire Impinging Upon an Inclined Ceiling. *Proceedings of the Combustion Institute*, 37(3), 3879-3887.

- Zhang, X., Hu, L., Zhang, X., Tang, F., Jiang, Y. and Lin, Y. (2017a). Flame Projection Distance of Horizontally Oriented Buoyant Turbulent Rectangular Jet Fires. *Combustion and Flame*, 176, 370–376.
- Zhang, X., Hu, L., Zhang, X., Yang, L. and Wang, S. (2014a). Non-Dimensional Correlations on Flame Height and Axial Temperature Profile of a Buoyant Turbulent Line-Source Jet Fire Plume. *Journal of Fire Sciences*, 32(5), 406-416.
- Zhang, X., Hu, L., Zhu, W., Zhang, X. and Yang, L. (2014b). Flame Extension Length and Temperature Profile in Thermal Impinging Flow of Buoyant Round Jet Upon a Horizontal Plate. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 73(1), 15-22.
- Zhang, X., Shi, C. and Hu, L. (2020). Temperature Profile of Impingement Flow in the Corner between Wall and Inclined Ceiling Induced by Gaseous Fuel Jet Flame. *Fuel*, 259, 116232.
- Zhang, X., Tao, H., Xu, W., Liu, X., Li, X., Zhang, X. and Hu, L. (2017b). Flame Extension Lengths beneath an Inclined Ceiling Induced by Rectangular-Source Fires. *Combustion and Flame*, 176, 349-357.
- Zhao, Y., Jianbo, L. and Lu, L. (2011). Numerical Modeling of Fires on Gas Pipelines. *Applied thermal engineering*, 31(6-7), 1347-1351.
- Zhou, K. and Jiang, J. (2016). Thermal Radiation from Vertical Turbulent Jet Flame: Line Source Model. *Journal of Heat Transfer*, 138(4), 042701.
- Zhou, K., Liu, J. and Jiang, J. (2016). Prediction of Radiant Heat Flux from Horizontal Propane Jet Fire. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 106, 634-639.
- Zhou, K., Qin, X., Wang, Z., Pan, X. and Jiang, J. (2018). Generalization of the Radiative Fraction Correlation for Hydrogen and Hydrocarbon Jet Fires in Subsonic and Choked Flow Regimes. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 43(20), 9870-9876.
- Zhou, K., Wang, Y., Zhang, L., Wu, Y., Nie, X. and Jiang, J. (2020). Effect of Nozzle Exit Shape on the Geometrical Features of Horizontal Turbulent Jet Flame. *Fuel*, 260.
- Zhou, Z., Chen, G., Zhou, C., Hu, K. and Zhang, Q. (2019). Experimental Study on Determination of Flame Height and Lift-Off Distance of Rectangular Source Fuel Jet Fires. *Applied Thermal Engineering*, 152, 430-436.

Zukoski, E., Cetegen, B. and Kubota, T. (1985) Published. Visible Structure of Buoyant Diffusion Flames. Symposium (International) on Combustion. Elsevier, 361-366.

Zukoski, E. E., Kubota, T. and Cetegen, B. (1981). Entrainment in Fire Plumes. *Fire safety journal*, 3(2), 107-121.

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

### Journal with Impact Factor

1. **Ab Aziz, N. S.**, Kasmani, R. M., Samsudin, M. D. M. & Ahmad, A. (2019a). Main Geometrical Features of Horizontal Buoyant Jet Fire and Associated Radiative Fraction. *Process Safety Progress*, 39(S1). <https://doi.org/10.1002/prs.12124>. **(Q3, IF: 1.34)**
2. **Ab Aziz, N. S.**, Md. Kasmani, R., Samsudin, M. D. M. and Ahmad, A. (2019b). Comparative Analysis on Semi-Empirical Models of Jet Fire for Radiant Heat Estimation. *Process Integration and Optimization for Sustainability*, 3, 285-305. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41660-019-00081-y>. **(Q3, IF: 1.19)**
3. **Ab Aziz, N. S.** and Kasmani, R. M., (2022). The Effects of Geometrical Flame Feature on Radiant Heat Flux Prediction of Horizontal Jet Flames Using Line Source Model. *Process Safety Progress*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/prs.12350>. **(Q3, IF:1.34)**