

USABILITY FRAMEWORK FOR MOBILE AUGMENTED REALITY  
LANGUAGE LEARNING

LIM KOK CHENG

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

USABILITY FRAMEWORK FOR MOBILE AUGMENTED REALITY  
LANGUAGE LEARNING

LIM KOK CHENG

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Computing  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

7 JULY 2022

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

It has been a blessing that first and foremost, I was given this wonderful opportunity to prepare this thesis with much effort assisted by a number of dedicated people in my journey. First and foremost, my sincerest thanks and appreciation to my supervisor, Professor Ts. Dr. Ali bin Selamat. With much patience and dedication, Professor Ts. Dr. Ali has given me so much from guidance, advices, motivation, friendship, experience and unconditional support, ensuring my ability to prepare this thesis to my level best. Not only have I benefitted academically, but also Professor Ts. Dr. Ali has so much impact on my growth as a person, which his kindness I cannot repay in this lifetime.

Secondly, my utmost gratitude to my beloved family. A big thank you to my lovely wife, Mdm. Do Nguyet Quang and my son, Lim Hsieh Qing who will forever unconditionally dear to my heart. Thank you also to my father, Mr. Lim Siang Kok, my mother, Mdm. Choo Ah Lee and brother, Mr. Lim Kok Cheung for being there for me when help is needed. A special thanks also to other relatives that have been standing strong by my side supporting my journey through thick and thin enabling my spirit to move forward in this journey.

Not to forget, my beloved friends that have been assisting and supporting my journey with no judgement nor expectation. A big thank you to my friends from Universiti Tenaga Nasional (UNITEN), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), IEEE Computer Society Malaysia, University of Hradec Kralove, Telekom Malaysia, NOTEN Solutions and Beyond Infinity. Your contributions in this path of mine will forever be remembered.

I am also indebted to UTM and UNITEN for funding my Ph.D experiments throughout and also for all relevant materials required for my research. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. Lastly I am grateful to all the people I have met throughout this Ph.D journey that will be one of the fondest memories of mine.

## ABSTRACT

After several decades since its introduction, the existing ISO9241-11 usability framework is still vastly used in Mobile Augmented Reality (MAR) language learning. The existing framework is generic and can be applied to diverse emerging technologies such as electronic and mobile learning. However, technologies like MAR have interaction properties that are significantly unique and require different usability processes. Hence, implementing the existing framework on MAR can lead to non-optimized, inefficient, and ineffective outcomes. Furthermore, state-of-the-art analysis models such as machine learning are not apparent in MAR usability studies, despite evidence of positive outcomes in other learning technologies. In recent MAR learning studies, machine learning benefits such as problem identification and prioritization were non-existent. These setbacks could slow down the advancement of MAR language learning, which mainly aims to improve language proficiency among MAR users, especially in English communication. Therefore, this research proposed the Usability Framework for MAR (UFMAR) that addressed the currently identified research problems and gaps in language learning. UFMAR introduced an improved data collection method called Individual Interaction Clustering-based Usability Measuring Instrument (IICUMI), followed by a machine learning-driven analysis model called Clustering-based Usability Prioritization Analysis (CUPA) and a prioritization quantifier called Usability Clustering Prioritization Model (UCPM). UFMAR showed empirical evidence of significantly improving usability in MAR, capitalizing on its unique interaction properties. UFMAR enhanced the existing framework with new abilities to systematically identify and prioritize MAR usability issues. Through the experimental results of UFMAR, it was found that the IICUMI method was 50% more effective, while CUPA and UCPM were 57% more effective than the existing framework. The outcome through UFMAR also produced 86% accuracy in analysis results and was 79% more efficient in framework implementation. UFMAR was validated through three cycles of the experimental processes, with triangulation through expert reviews, to be proven as a fitting framework for MAR language learning.

## ABSTRAK

Selepas beberapa dekad diperkenalkan, rangka kerja kebolegunaan ISO9241-11 sedia ada masih digunakan secara meluas dalam pembelajaran bahasa melalui teknologi Realiti Tambahan Mudah Alih (MAR). Rangka kerja sedia ada adalah generik dan boleh digunakan untuk pelbagai teknologi baharu seperti pembelajaran elektronik dan mudah alih. Walau bagaimanapun, teknologi seperti MAR mempunyai interaksi yang unik dan memerlukan proses kebolegunaan yang berbeza. Oleh itu, penggunaan rangka kerja sedia ada pada MAR boleh membawa kepada hasil yang tidak dioptimumkan, tidak cekap, dan tidak berkesan. Tambahan pula, model analisis terkini seperti pembelajaran mesin tiada dalam kajian kebolegunaan MAR, walaupun terdapat bukti hasil positif dalam teknologi pembelajaran lain. Dalam kajian pembelajaran MAR, faedah pembelajaran mesin seperti pengenalan masalah dan keutamaan tidak wujud. Kemunduran ini boleh melambatkan kemajuan pembelajaran bahasa melalui MAR, yang bertujuan untuk meningkatkan penguasaan bahasa dalam kalangan pengguna MAR, terutamanya dalam komunikasi bahasa Inggeris. Oleh itu, penyelidikan ini mencadangkan Rangka Kerja Kebolegunaan untuk MAR (UFMAR) untuk menangani masalah penyelidikan dan jurang yang dikenal pasti pada masa ini dalam pembelajaran bahasa. UFMAR memperkenalkan kaedah pengumpulan data yang lebih baik dipanggil Instrumen Pengukuran Kebolegunaan Berasaskan Pengelompokan Interaksi Individu (IICUMI), diikuti dengan model analisis berpacuan pembelajaran mesin yang dipanggil Analisis Pengutamaan Kebolegunaan Berasaskan Pengelompokan (CUPA) dan pengukur pengutamaan yang dipanggil Model Pengutamaan Pengelompokan Kebolegunaan (UCPM). UFMAR menunjukkan bukti empirikal untuk meningkatkan kebolegunaan melalui MAR bermodalkan sifat interaksi uniknya. UFMAR mempertingkatkan rangka kerja sedia ada dengan kebolehan baharu untuk mengenal pasti dan mengutamakan isu kebolegunaan MAR secara sistematik. Melalui keputusan eksperimen UFMAR, didapati kaedah IICUMI adalah 50% lebih berkesan, manakala CUPA dan UCPM adalah 57% lebih berkesan daripada rangka kerja sedia ada. Hasil melalui UFMAR juga menghasilkan ketepatan 86% dalam keputusan analisis dan 79% lebih cekap dalam pelaksanaan rangka kerja. UFMAR telah disahkan melalui tiga kitaran proses eksperimen, dengan pengesahan berdasarkan ulasan pakar, untuk dibuktikan sebagai rangka kerja yang sesuai untuk pembelajaran bahasa menggunakan MAR.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>viii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xiv</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xx</b>
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xxiv</b>
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xxviii</b>
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xxix</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Problem Background	1
1.2	Problem Statement	3
1.3	Research Questions	4
1.4	Research Aims and Objectives	5
1.5	Scope of Research	6
1.6	Significance of the Study	7
1.7	Motivation	7
1.8	Thesis Organization	9
1.9	Summary	11
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1	Introduction	13
2.2	Usability in Mobile Augmented Reality (MAR) Learning	14
2.3	Research Method for Systematic Literature Review	15

2.3.1	Research Questions for SLR on MAR Learning's Usability	17
2.3.2	Search Strategies	17
2.3.2.1	The Automated Search Process	18
2.3.2.2	The Manual Search Process	18
2.3.2.3	Resources of Literature Studies	19
2.3.2.4	The Search Process Flow	19
2.3.3	The Selection of Potential Papers	19
2.3.4	Study Scrutiny	20
2.3.5	Data Synthesis and Threats to Validity in SLR	21
2.3.6	Findings in SLR According to SLR Research Questions (RQs)	22
2.3.6.1	Detailed Information of Selected Studies	22
2.3.6.2	Domains, Research Types, and Contributions in MAR Usability Studies (RQ1)	23
2.3.6.3	Standard Usability Metrics (RQ2)	34
2.3.6.4	Usability Methods, Techniques, and Instruments (RQ2)	44
2.3.6.5	Common Analysis Tools and Sample Sizes (RQ2)	62
2.3.6.6	Correlational Usability Mapping (RQ3)	67
2.4	Machine Learning and Usability (RQ4)	71
2.4.1	Clustering Algorithms	73
2.4.1.1	Hierarchical Agglomerative	74
2.4.1.2	Mean-Shift	75
2.4.1.3	K-Means	75
2.4.1.4	Mini-Batch K-Means	76
2.4.2	Related Work	77
2.5	Usability in English Learning Application	82
2.5.1	English Language Teaching as Domain of Study	82
2.5.2	Current Issues with English in Malaysia	82

2.5.3	Willingness to Communicate Using Listening and Speaking	84
2.5.4	Current Technologies Used in English Language Teaching	86
2.5.5	Augmented Reality Learning (AR Learning)	88
2.5.6	Mobile Learning (M-learning)	91
2.5.7	Mobile Augmented Reality Learning (MAR learning)	94
2.6	Research Findings on Identified Gaps	98
2.6.1	Educational Domains versus Others (G1)	98
2.6.2	Modes of Contributions (G2)	99
2.6.3	Standardization of Usability Metrics (G3)	100
2.6.4	Limited Quality versus Large Sample Convenience (G4)	101
2.6.5	Limitation of Hybrid Usability Methods for MAR Learning (G5)	102
2.6.6	Preferences of descriptive analysis in Usability Studies (G6)	103
2.6.7	Lack of Machine Learning Clustering Techniques in Usability Research (G7)	104
2.7	Analysis of Research Gaps	104
2.7.1	Potential of MAR Usability in Myriad of Domains	104
2.7.2	Implementation of Research Types	105
2.7.3	Validation of New Usability Metrics in MAR	105
2.7.4	Utilization of Performance Metrics	105
2.7.5	The Usage of Hybrid Techniques in MAR Usability Evaluation	106
2.7.6	Correlational Research	106
2.7.7	Empirical Studies on Usability Analysis Using Non-Conventional Statistical Methods	107
2.8	Benchmarked Studies	108
2.9	Summary	113
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>115</b>
3.1	Introduction	115



3.2	The proposed Usability Framework for MAR language learning (UFMAR)	115
3.2.1	Phase 1 – MAR Language Learning ELT Application Development	119
3.2.1.1	English Language Teaching Content	120
3.2.1.2	Prototype Development and Content Mapping	121
3.2.1.3	Interaction Flow of InterviewME	123
3.2.1.4	Pilot Test Experimental Flow	126
3.2.2	Phase 2 – Development of Individual Interaction Clustering-based Usability Measuring Instrument (IICUMI)	129
3.2.2.1	Creation of Measurement Parameters	132
3.2.2.2	Performance Metrics	133
3.2.2.3	Self-reported Metrics	134
3.2.2.4	Questionnaire Mappings	135
3.2.3	Phase 3 – Usability Re-engineering and Sample Selection	137
3.2.4	Phase 4 – Usability Experimentation and Data Collection	139
3.2.5	Phase 5 – Development of CUPA	142
3.2.5.1	The Proposed CUPA	145
3.2.6	Phase 6 – Development of UCPM	148
3.2.7	Phase 7 - Framework Validation based on Objectives and Problem Statements	154
3.3	Finalization of the Proposed UFMAR	156
3.4	Summary	161
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>INDIVIDUAL INTERACTION CLUSTERING-BASED USABILITY MEASURING INSTRUMENT</b>	<b>163</b>
4.1	Introduction	163
4.2	Pilot Study to Validate InterviewME	163
4.2.1	Comparative Results in Pilot Study	165

4.2.1.1	Comparative Pilot Results by Gender	165
4.2.1.2	Comparative Pilot Results Using Intrinsic Motivation Inventory	166
4.2.1.3	Comparative Pilot Results Using System Usability Scale	168
4.3	Questionnaires and TAM Mapping Results	170
4.3.1	System Usability Scale (SUS)	170
4.3.2	Intrinsic Motivation Inventory (IMI)	172
4.3.3	SUS and IMI	173
4.3.4	The Overall Mapping	174
4.4	Hybrid Measures for IICUMI	176
4.5	Summary	178
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>CLUSTERING-BASED USABILITY PRIORITIZATION ANALYSIS</b>	<b>179</b>
5.1	Introduction	179
5.2	Results of CUPA	180
5.2.1	Data pre-processing Results	180
5.2.2	Results of Group A	182
5.2.2.1	Clustering Quality for Group A	186
5.2.2.2	Clustering Performance Measure for Group A	191
5.2.2.3	Clustering Distance Measure for Group A	195
5.2.3	Results of Group B	199
5.2.3.1	Clustering Quality for Group B	202
5.2.3.2	Clustering Performance Measure for Group B	208
5.2.3.3	Clustering Distance Measure for Group B	213
5.3	Discussion	219
5.3.1	Clustering Accuracy and Inference	219
5.3.2	Research Hypotheses Acceptance for H1 and H2	225

5.4	Summary	226
<b>CHAPTER 6</b>	<b>USABILITY CLUSTERING PRIORITIZATION MODEL</b>	<b>227</b>
6.1	Introduction	227
6.2	Results From UCPM	227
6.2.1	UCPS and IUCPS for Group A	227
6.2.2	UCPS and IUCPS for Group B	236
6.3	Discussion and Validation of UCPM	244
6.3.1	Research Hypotheses Acceptance for H3 and H4	249
6.3.2	Validation of Prioritization	250
6.4	Benchmarking with Current Works	255
6.4.1	Advantages of Interaction-based Over Conventional Usability Instruments	259
6.4.2	Advantages of Clustering Analysis Over Conventional Statistical Analysis	260
6.5	Summary	263
<b>CHAPTER 7</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>265</b>
7.1	Introduction	265
7.2	Summary of Work	265
7.3	List of Contributions	267
7.3.1	Major Contributions	267
7.3.2	Minor Contributions	270
7.4	Challenges	271
7.5	Future Works	272
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>275</b>
	<b>APPENDICES A - H</b>	<b>295</b>
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>315</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Table 1. 1	Research questions	5
Table 2. 1	Research questions (RQ) for the systematic literature review	17
Table 2. 2	Inclusion and exclusion criteria	20
Table 2. 3	Quality assessment questions	21
Table 2. 4	Research domains and sub-domains in education (refer to Appendix B (Table B3) for full list)	25
Table 2. 5	Combination of research types	30
Table 2. 6	Types of research contribution	33
Table 2. 7	Types of usability metrics category	35
Table 2. 8	Sample evaluation approach	36
Table 2. 9	Usability metrics used by selected studies	37
Table 2. 10	Usability instruments (questionnaires)	50
Table 2. 11	Usability instruments (pre-determined categories by definition)	53
Table 2. 12	Usability technique combination	59
Table 2. 13	Distribution of authors based on categories of analysis tools	63
Table 2. 14	Five most used analysis tools in MAR learning usability studies	65
Table 2. 15	Related works in clustering usability data	77
Table 2. 16	Reports of English literacy by mainstream media	83
Table 2. 17	Affordances of AR learning (Santos et al., 2014)	88
Table 2. 18	Related works in AR ELT	89
Table 2. 19	Related works in M-learning ELT	92
Table 2. 20	Related works in Mobile Augmented Reality language learning	94
Table 2. 21	Research gaps and possible intervention	107

Table 2. 22	Gap analysis on metrics, methods, and framework	109
Table 2. 23	Gap analysis on usability analysis	111
Table 3. 1	Questions and answer options in InterviewME	123
Table 3. 2	Data collection methods by current works	129
Table 3. 3	Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)	135
Table 3. 4	SUS and TAM mapping	136
Table 3. 5	PSSUQ and TAM mapping	136
Table 3. 6	IMI and TAM mapping	137
Table 3. 7	Existing models for MAR usability evaluation	142
Table 3. 8	Data structure for variable <i>X</i> (largest cluster)	150
Table 3. 9	Data structure for variable <i>Y</i> (second largest cluster)	150
Table 3. 10	Usability Cluster Prioritization Score (UCPS) comprehension	151
Table 3. 11	Mapping of objective details with gaps (G), research questions (RQ) and chapters	160
Table 4. 1	Pilot test hypotheses	164
Table 4. 2	Gender comparison analysis for IMI and SUS scores	166
Table 4. 3	Sample demographics for IMI responses	167
Table 4. 4	Paired IMI significant analysis comparing M-learning and MAR language learning	168
Table 4. 5	Sample demographics for SUS responses	169
Table 4. 6	Paired SUS significant analysis comparing MAR language learning and M-learning	169
Table 4. 7	SUS mapped to TAM (E) positive and negative group ANOVA results	171
Table 4. 8	SUS mapped to TAM(E), TAM(E) with TAM(BI), TAM(E) with TAM(A), and Overall SUS, TAM(E,A, and BI) ANOVA results	171
Table 4. 9	TAM(A) SUS mapped to IMI (EI, PC, PPT) and TAM(U) SUS mapped to IMI (PU)	173
Table 4. 10	TAM(A) SUS mapped to IMI (PC) and TAM(A) SUS mapped to TAM(A) IMI (PC)	174
Table 4. 11	Question selection for IICUMI self-reported questionnaire	175

Table 4. 12	Proposed IICUMI measuring factors	177
Table 5. 1	Usability features, parameters, metric and representation from IICUMI	181
Table 5. 2	Cronbach's Alpha ( $\alpha$ ) values of before and after normalization	182
Table 5. 3	Sample demographics for Group A IICUMI responses	183
Table 5. 4	Correlation coefficient of Navigation's data dimensions (Group A)	184
Table 5. 5	Correlation coefficient of Object Tracking's data dimensions (Group A)	184
Table 5. 6	Correlation coefficient of Selection's data dimensions (Group A)	184
Table 5. 7	Number of clusters (K-value) and their Silhouette scores for all interactions (pre-K-means)	185
Table 5. 8	Tabulation of usability measures, clusters, Silhouette scores and average Silhouette scores for Group A with no feature selection	187
Table 5. 9	ANOVA results on results significance comparing clustering techniques (Group A, no feature selection)	188
Table 5. 10	Tabulation of usability measures, clusters, Silhouette scores and average Silhouette scores for Group A with feature selection	189
Table 5. 11	ANOVA results on results significance comparing clustering techniques (Group A, with feature selection)	190
Table 5. 12	T-test comparing clustering quality of datasets without and with feature selection (Group A)	191
Table 5. 13	Mean Squared Errors (MSE) and Mean Absolute Deviation (MAD) by interaction type for Group A (no feature selection)	191
Table 5. 14	ANOVA results on results significance comparing MSE and MAD (Group A, without feature selection)	193
Table 5. 15	Mean squared errors (MSE) and Mean Absolute Deviation (MAD) by interaction type for Group A (feature selection)	193
Table 5. 16	ANOVA results on results significance comparing MSE (Group A, with feature selection)	194
Table 5. 17	T-test comparing MSE of datasets without and with feature selection (Group A)	195

Table 5. 18	Average Euclidean and Mahalanobis distances from cluster centroids in Group A (no feature selection)	195
Table 5. 19	ANOVA results on results significance comparing distance measures (Group A, without feature selection)	197
Table 5. 20	Average Euclidean and Mahalanobis distances from cluster centroids in Group A (feature selection)	197
Table 5. 21	ANOVA results on results significance comparing distance measures (Group A, without feature selection)	198
Table 5. 22	T-test comparing distance measure of datasets without and with feature selection (Group A)	199
Table 5. 23	Sample demographics for Group B IICUMI responses	200
Table 5. 24	Correlation coefficient of Navigation's data dimensions (Group B)	201
Table 5. 25	Correlation coefficient of Object Tracking's data dimensions (Group B)	201
Table 5. 26	Correlation coefficient of Selection's data dimensions (Group B)	201
Table 5. 27	Number of clusters (K-value) and their Silhouette scores for all interactions (pre-K-means)	202
Table 5. 28	Tabulation of usability measures, clusters, Silhouette scores and average Silhouette scores for Group B with no feature selection	203
Table 5. 29	ANOVA results on results significance comparing clustering techniques (Group A, no feature selection)	204
Table 5. 30	T-test results comparing all individual clustering quality in Group B (no feature selection)	205
Table 5. 31	Tabulation of Usability Measures, Clusters, Silhouette Scores and Average Silhouette Scores for Group B with Feature Selection	205
Table 5. 32	ANOVA results on results significance comparing clustering techniques (Group A, with feature selection)	207
Table 5. 33	T-test comparing clustering quality of datasets without and with feature selection (Group B)	207
Table 5. 34	Mean squared errors (MSE) and Mean Absolute Deviation (MAD) by interaction type for Group B (no feature selection)	208
Table 5. 35	ANOVA results on results significance comparing MSE (Group B, without feature selection)	209

Table 5. 36	T-test results comparing all individual MSE in Group B (no feature selection)	210
Table 5. 37	T-test results comparing all individual MAD in Group B (no feature selection)	210
Table 5. 38	Mean squared errors (MSE) and Mean Absolute Deviation (MAD) by interaction type for Group B (Feature Selection)	211
Table 5. 39	ANOVA results on results significance comparing MSE (Group B, with feature selection)	212
Table 5. 40	T-test results comparing all individual MAD in Group B (feature selection)	212
Table 5. 41	T-test comparing performance measure of datasets without and with feature selection (Group B)	213
Table 5. 42	Average Euclidean and Mahalanobis distances from cluster centroids in Group B (no feature selection)	214
Table 5. 43	ANOVA results on results significance comparing distance measure (Group B, without feature selection)	215
Table 5. 44	T-test results comparing all individual AED in Group B (no feature selection)	215
Table 5. 45	T-test results comparing all individual Mahalanobis in Group B (no feature selection)	216
Table 5. 46	Average Euclidean and Mahalanobis distances from cluster centroids in Group B (with feature selection)	216
Table 5. 47	ANOVA results on results significance comparing distance measure (Group B, with feature selection)	217
Table 5. 48	T-test results comparing all individual AED in Group B (feature selection)	217
Table 5. 49	T-test comparing distance measure of datasets without and with feature selection (Group B)	218
Table 5. 50	F score accuracy for Group A	219
Table 5. 51	F score accuracy for Group B	220
Table 5. 52	Summary of clustering results for Group A	223
Table 5. 53	Summary of clustering results for Group B	224
Table 6. 1	UCPS for group A	228
Table 6. 2	IUCPS for clustering with no feature selection (Group A)	230
Table 6. 3	IUCPS for clustering with feature selection (Group A)	233



Table 6. 4	UCPS for group B	237
Table 6. 5	IUCPS for clustering with no feature selection (Group B)	238
Table 6. 6	IUCPS for clustering with feature selection (Group B)	241
Table 6. 7	Summary of IUCPS with no feature selection for Group A	251
Table 6. 8	Significance test using ANOVA and T-test	252
Table 6. 9	Summary of IUCPS with no feature selection for Group B	253
Table 6. 10	T-test comparing UCPS of Group A and Group B (no feature selection)	253
Table 6. 11	Summary of IUCPS with feature selection for Group A	254
Table 6. 12	Summary of IUCPS with feature selection for Group B	254
Table 6. 13	T-test comparing UCPS of Group A and Group B (feature selection)	255
Table 6. 14	Comparison analysis of existing frameworks and UFMAR	257

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Figure 2. 1	Main areas of literature review	14
Figure 2. 2	Theoretical framework summarizing the three body of knowledge for this research	14
Figure 2. 3	Methodology for this literature study	16
Figure 2. 4	Publication years (duration)	22
Figure 2. 5	Research domains	24
Figure 2. 6	Groups of usability metrics	37
Figure 2. 7	Used usability metrics instruments by count	45
Figure 2. 8	Percentage of usability techniques used	57
Figure 2. 9	Research papers and usability technique combinations	58
Figure 2. 10	Papers with three-technique combinations	58
Figure 2. 11	Papers with two technique combinations	60
Figure 2. 12	Works with a three-technique combination	60
Figure 2. 13	A number of two technique combinations	61
Figure 2. 14	Papers with one main technique	61
Figure 2. 15	Frequency of correlated techniques	62
Figure 2. 16	Categories of analysis tools with their work frequency	63
Figure 2. 17	Usability analysis tools with their frequency	64
Figure 2. 18	Two-dimensional mappings of research types, contribution types, and metrics	67
Figure 2. 19	Three-dimensional mapping of research types, metrics, and contribution types.	68
Figure 2. 20	Two-dimensional mappings of research types, common techniques, and contribution types.	69
Figure 2. 21	Two-dimensional mappings of research types with evaluation types and contribution types with evaluation types.	70

Figure 2. 22	Three-dimensional mappings of common usability techniques with evaluation types and used metrics.	70
Figure 2. 23	Two-dimensional mappings of usability metrics with analysis tools and categories of analysis tools	71
Figure 2. 24	Categorization of ELT components	86
Figure 2. 25	Technology evolution for language learning	86
Figure 2. 26	Existing ISO9241-11 usability framework adapted from (Miki, 2014)	110
Figure 3. 1	Proposed UFMAR framework	116
Figure 3. 2	Operational Framework of Usability Framework for Mobile Augmented Reality (UFMAR)	117
Figure 3. 3	Detail research activities in Phase 1	119
Figure 3. 4	Object tracker (target image) for InterviewME	122
Figure 3. 5	InterviewME MAR language learning prototype	123
Figure 3. 6	Flowchart of usability interaction for InterviewME	125
Figure 3. 7	3-Dimensional object appearing on the target image	125
Figure 3. 8	3-Dimensional hints shown in spatial cues above the interviewer	126
Figure 3. 9	Experiment flow in M-learning and MAR learning comparative study	128
Figure 3. 10	Sub-experiment flow in M-learning and MAR learning comparative study	128
Figure 3. 11	Comparison of data collection flow of method A, B and IICUMI	130
Figure 3. 12	Detail research activities in Phase 2	131
Figure 3. 13	Detail research activities in Phase 3	138
Figure 3. 14	Detail research activities in Phase 4	140
Figure 3. 15	MAR language learning usability data collection experimental flowchart	141
Figure 3. 16	Detail research activities in Phase 5	143
Figure 3. 17	Algorithm 1 - Research activities validating CUPA	144
Figure 3. 18	Detail research activities in Phase 6	149
Figure 3. 19	Algorithm 2 – The Usability Cluster Prioritization Score (UCPS)	152

Figure 3. 20	Algorithm 3 - The Individual Usability Cluster Prioritization Score (IUCPS)	153
Figure 3. 21	Detail research activities in Phase 7	154
Figure 3. 22	Process flow for usability analysis using existing framework (left) and proposed UFMAR (right)	155
Figure 3. 23	Hypotheses mapping for proposed framework's validation	156
Figure 3. 24	Flowchart for UFMAR implementation	158
Figure 4. 1	Element mappings between TAM, SUS, IMI and PSSUQ	175
Figure 5. 1	Elbow K value. From left, Navigation, Object-tracking and Selection	185
Figure 5. 2	Silhouette score (Group A)	188
Figure 5. 3	Mean Squared Error and Mean Absolute Deviation (Group A)	192
Figure 5. 4	Distance measures (Group A)	196
Figure 5. 5	Silhouette score (Group B)	204
Figure 5. 6	Mean Squared Error and Mean Absolute Deviation (Group A)	209
Figure 5. 7	Distance Measure (Group B)	214
Figure 5. 8	Group A F scores (left) and radar diagram (right)	220
Figure 5. 9	Group B F scores (left) and radar diagram (right)	221
Figure 6. 1	UCPS radar and bar charts for different clustering with no feature selection (Group A)	229
Figure 6. 2	IUCPS for HA with no feature selection (Group A)	230
Figure 6. 3	IUCPS for MS with no feature selection (Group A)	231
Figure 6. 4	IUCPS for KMeans with no feature selection (Group A)	231
Figure 6. 5	IUCPS for MiniKMeans with no feature selection (Group A)	232
Figure 6. 6	UCPS radar and bar charts for different clustering with feature selection (Group A)	233
Figure 6. 7	IUCPS for HA with feature selection (Group A)	234
Figure 6. 8	IUCPS for MS with feature selection (Group A)	234
Figure 6. 9	IUCPS for KMeans with feature selection (Group A)	235
Figure 6. 10	IUCPS for MiniKMeans with feature selection (Group A)	235

Figure 6. 11	UCPS radar and bar charts for different clustering with no feature selection (Group B)	238
Figure 6. 12	IUCPS for HA with no feature selection (Group B)	239
Figure 6. 13	IUCPS for MS with no feature selection (Group B)	239
Figure 6. 14	IUCPS for KMeans with no feature selection (Group B)	240
Figure 6. 15	IUCPS for MiniKMeans with no feature selection (Group B)	240
Figure 6. 16	UCPS radar and bar charts for different clustering with feature selection (Group B)	241
Figure 6. 17	IUCPS for HA with feature selection (Group B)	242
Figure 6. 18	IUCPS for MS with feature selection (Group B)	243
Figure 6. 19	IUCPS for KMeans with feature selection (Group B)	243
Figure 6. 20	IUCPS for MiniKMeans with feature selection (Group B)	244
Figure 6. 21	UCPS of MAR language learning interaction datasets by clustering type (Group A: before usability improvement)	245
Figure 6. 22	IUCPS for Navigation dataset (Group A)	245
Figure 6. 23	IUCPS for Object Tracking dataset (Group A)	245
Figure 6. 24	IUCPS for Selection dataset (Group A)	246
Figure 6. 25	UCPS of MAR language learning interaction datasets by clustering type (Group B: after usability improvement)	246
Figure 6. 26	IUCPS for Navigation dataset (Group B)	247
Figure 6. 27	IUCPS for Object Tracking dataset (Group B)	247
Figure 6. 28	IUCPS for Selection dataset (Group B)	247
Figure 6. 29	Comparison of UCPS for all clustered datasets (Group A and Group B)	248
Figure 6. 30	Comparison of method and model effectiveness of existing framework with UFMAR	258
Figure 6. 31	Comparison of framework efficiency between existing framework with UFMAR	258

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AED	-	Average Euclidean Distance
ANOVA	-	Analysis of Variance
APE	-	Absolute pose error
AR	-	Augmented Reality
ARLE	-	Augmented Reality learning environment
ATT	-	Attractiveness
Ave	-	Average
Ave SS	-	Average Silhouette Score
BC	-	Book chapters
BLA	-	Qualitative Bipolar Laddering
CTB	-	Central Tendency Bias
CUPA	-	Clustering-based Usability Prioritization Analysis
CW	-	Cognitive walkthrough
EAL	-	English as Another Language
EF EPI	-	EF English Proficiency Index
EFL	-	English as a Foreign Language
EI	-	Enjoyment and Interest
e-learning	-	Electronic learning
ELT	-	English Language Teaching
ER	-	Expert review
ER	-	Error(s) registration
EREM	-	Evaluand-oriented Responsive Evaluation Model
ESL	-	English as a Second Language
ET	-	Engagement time
FE	-	Feature extraction
Fq.	-	Frequency
FS	-	Feature Selection
G	-	Gap
GLC	-	Government Linked Companies
H	-	Hypothesis

HA	- Hierarchical Agglomerative Clustering
Hc	- Heuristic
HE	- Heuristic evaluation
HQ-I	- Hedonic quality (Identification)
HQ-S	- Stimulation
ICT	- Information and Communication Technology
IICUMI	- Individual Interaction Clustering-based Usability Measuring Instrument
IMI	- Intrinsic Motivation Inventory
IMMS	- Keller's Instructional Materials Motivation Survey
ISO-9241	- International Organization for Standardization
IUCPS	- Individual Usability Cluster Prioritization Score
Iw	- Interview
Lik	- Likert
LLS	- Language Learning Strategies
<i>MAD</i>	- Mean Absolute Deviation
MAR	- Mobile Augmented Reality
MAR-I	- Mobile Augmented Reality Interaction
ME	- Malaysian English
MEF	- Malaysia Employers Federation
Mini K-Means	- Mini Batch K-Means
ML	- Machine learning
M-learning	- Mobile learning
MS	- Mean Shift
MSE	- Mean Squared Error
MSLQ	- The Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaires
NI	- Non-indexed Journals
NV	- Navigation
Obs	- Observation
OT	- Object Tracking
P	- Proceedings
PC	- Perceived Competence
PCh	- Perceived Choice

POM	-	Profile of Mood States
PP&T	-	Perceived Pressure and Tension
PQ	-	Pragmatic quality
PSSUQ	-	Post-Study System Usability Questionnaire
Pub.	-	Publication
Qr	-	Quartile
Q	-	Questionnaire
QA	-	Quality Assessment
QOE	-	Quality of Experience
QUIM	-	Quality In Use Integrated Measurement
QUIS	-	Questionnaire for User Interface and Satisfaction
Refs.	-	Reference authors utilizing the instruments
RPE	-	Relative pose error
RQ	-	Research Question
SD	-	Significant Difference
SFQ	-	Short Feedback Questionnaire
SILL	-	Strategy Inventory for Language Learning
SL	-	Selection
SLR	-	Systematic Literature Review
SS	-	Silhouette Score
SUMI	-	Software Usability Measurement Inventory
SUS	-	System Usability Scale
TA	-	Think-aloud
TAM	-	Technology Acceptance Model
TLX	-	Task Load Index
ToT	-	Time-on-tasks
TTV	-	Threats to validity
UCPM	-	Usability Cluster Prioritization Model
UCPS	-	Usability Cluster Prioritization Score
UFMAR	-	Usability Framework for Mobile Augmented Reality
u-learning	-	Ubiquitous learning
UTAUT2	-	The Second Iteration of The Unified Theory Of Acceptance And Use Of Technology



- UX - User Experience
- Vs. - Versus
- WTC - Willingness to Communicate

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$df$	-	Degrees of Freedom
$P$	-	probability value
$t$	-	t-test value
$F$	-	F-test value (Analysis of Variance)
$F$ Critical	-	F Critical Value in F-test
$F$ Ratio	-	F Ratio Value in F-test
$\alpha$	-	Cronbach's Alpha
NewX	-	Normalization value
MSE	-	Mean Squared Error
MAD	-	Mean Absolute Deviation
$\bar{x}$	-	Mean
K-value	-	K value for K Means and Mini Batch K Means
$X$	-	Data points for the largest cluster
$j - a$	-	List of samples in largest cluster
$i - n$	-	Data dimensions in second cluster
$Y$	-	Data points for the second largest cluster
$k - b$	-	List of samples in second largest cluster
UCPS	-	Usability Cluster Prioritization Score
IUCPS	-	Individual Usability Cluster Prioritization Score

## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Appendix A	Threats to validity	295
Appendix B	List of collected data for systematic literature review	296
Appendix C	Current researches in English language teaching using current technologies	304
Appendix D	InterviewME design mapping	306
Appendix E	Expert reviews confirmation, approval and validation	307
Appendix F	Intrinsic Motivation Inventory questionnaire	312
Appendix G	System Usability Scale questionnaire	313
Appendix H	Images of experiments	314

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Problem Background

Usability has been a vast and wide domain considering its nature of evolving across computational interfaces. From the “unconventional out of class” learning point of view, many newly introduced technologies such as Mobile Augmented Reality (MAR) language learning require a new usability framework considering the obsolete nature of currently available methodologies. Guidelines and taxonomy are one area of usability studies. However, post usability evaluation and analysis are just as crucial as the pre-usability guidelines. MAR learning in general is an emerging technology combining the benefits of Augmented Reality (AR) learning in natural spatial interaction with the mobility of Mobile learning. MAR learning introduces distinctively different nature of interaction options, making use of real environment affordances and spatial cues that renders more naturally tangible commands in a mobile environment. The introduction of MAR learning offers spatial navigation through a mobile application enabling unlimited boundaries within a mobile device’s screen space, making it differs significantly from familiar interactions with desktop or mobile applications. Despite the obvious differences, many researchers in current MAR language learning research still relies heavily on existing usability framework for post usability evaluation. The widely used usability framework such as ISO9241-11 with related models such a Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) have proven to be implemented well across several decades to measure usability in electronic learning technologies. However, emerging technologies like MAR offers much newer dimensions to be measured. MAR interactions for instance have completely different properties as compared to older technologies such as desktop computers and mobile applications. Therefore, there is a need to revisit the much-used existing usability framework to discover more enhancement that can be optimized, suitable and tailored to the unique usability spectrum that MAR has to offer.

Also in MAR research in general, much existing works used mostly self-reported data collection, which is prone to several social biases, according to many studies. The solution to this method would be the hybrid of performance and usability metrics which are surprisingly rarely used in the mentioned studies. Not to mentioned, modification for such usability data collection method can also be carried out to fit MAR language learning better. Besides issues identified in usability data collection methods, usability analysis in most current works in MAR leans towards conventional data analysis (descriptive especially) using established analytical tools rather than prediction models that can be carried out quantitatively compared to current reviewed methods. Machine learning clustering techniques, being one of the predictive models, have not been empirically applied in the usability analysis of MAR language learning, deriving curiosity if the implementation is possible and feasible. Moreover, with the applicability of machine learning, predictive models may encourage swift usability problem identification and prioritization, which previously can be taxing when analysed with existing statistical methods. The implementation of machine learning usability analysis has been proven to be positively feasible in many other areas related to desktop and mobile applications, but not in MAR language learning to the best knowledge of this research.

Relating to the research areas in MAR, studies done in English learning is still rare. Malaysian English (ME) is one of the unique localized and variety of English used according to Pillai (2008). In Malaysia, the term English as a second language (ESL) is applied. Although being one of the highest-ranked countries in English proficiency, results have shown that Malaysian graduates do not have English abilities up to the current industrial expectations (Aziz, 2018; “English proficiency still a big problem for many Malaysian grads | The Star,” 2020). Current researchers have shown evidence that the English literacy issues can be improved through MAR language learning (Bursali and Yilmaz, 2019; Hsu, 2017; Liu et al., 2016). Combining the advantages of both AR-learning and M-learning, MAR language learning has been shown by these researchers to enhance English learning. Despite these reported issues by mainstream media and positive evidences presented by in regards to ESL literacy in MAR, no research thus far has shown direction towards the improvement of spoken English closing the fissure between Standard English and ME in Malaysia using MAR.

On the other hand, research in MAR English language learning focuses more towards improving English proficiency, rather than tackling these technology interfaces' usability. User experience might reflect on fragile conclusions where the positive results might be contributed through content effectiveness but not necessarily the learning technology's effectiveness. Objective and subjective measures have also been a domain to be explored since most technology-based English Language Teaching (ELT) utilizes only qualitative self-reported metrics rather than quantifiable performance metrics in usability. Balancing the technical and pedagogical progress (FitzGerald et al., 2013), there might be a new area of study to achieve better usability results in future works of delivering academic knowledge, especially in ME and technology-based ELT. Therefore, potential research can be carried out looking into the improvements of usability framework for MAR in English language learning. The improvements of the framework can be approached from the perspective of unique MAR interactions, data collection method and machine learning-based usability analysis.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

Current publications and statistics have shown the needs to improve language communication among fresh graduates in developing countries through English Language Teaching (ELT). MAR language learning is an emerging area especially in ELT. While MAR technology has been suggested by many existing works to enhance language learning, the usability standards in MAR is still dependent on existing usability framework used for previous learning technologies. Despite the unique characteristics in MAR, which style of interactions are significantly different from conventional electronic related learning, MAR researchers still relies upon the commonly used ISO9241-11 framework when measuring user experience. However, the experience measured could not be identified nor prioritized by MAR interaction styles. This is because the unique interaction abilities in MAR are distinguished and significantly different than other technologies. These interactions serve as core elements that defines MAR as what it is in the first place, rendering them to be crucial factors in determining an MAR application to be usable with positive user

experience. However existing MAR research has yet to tweak, explore and propose a usability framework specifically for MAR language learning, where interaction's data serves as a major usability indicator. By not including this indicator, the existing usability framework adopted in MAR will not be optimized nor tailored, leading towards the possibility of less effective, less efficient and undiscovered improvements in the overall usability processes.

Additionally, usability analysis adopted by similar studies consist of common descriptive, inferential, and subjective statistics, despite myriad successes of emerging analysis techniques such as machine learning clustering models. Machine learning has shown significant positive outcomes when implemented in other technologies such as web and mobile application. With the advantages of machine learning being more efficient in identifying and prioritizing usability issues, its possibility and feasibility have yet to be discovered in MAR language learning applications. The identified problems are important in the field of education since many learning applications have adopted MAR more each day considering its benefits. With such rapid expansion of MAR especially in English language learning, the enhancement of existing usability framework to be tailored to MAR properties is imperative, since usability plays a major role in ensuring MAR's quality and good user experience. Therefore, this research will investigate further into the possibility of proposing a new usability framework for MAR language learning as a solution to the identified problems that have been highlighted.

### **1.3 Research Questions**

The problem statement in section 1.2 has generated several research questions. Table 1. 1 below shows 14 identified research questions based on possible research areas to be included in this dissertation. Research Question (RQ) listed seek to find answers for three generic areas: usability in MAR learning, machine learning clustering techniques, and the ELT domain of study. Answering the research question below will help design and formulate a framework to meet the research objectives of this dissertation.

Table 1. 1 Research questions

RQ1	What are the common domains, research types, and contributions for combined MAR learning applications and usability studies?
RQ2	What are the common primary domain, usability metrics, methods, techniques, instruments, analysis tools and sample size used in MAR learning studies?
RQ3	What are the correlations in between these identified usability metrics, research types, contributions, methods, and techniques in MAR learning?
RQ4	What are the commonly used machine learning-based clustering techniques and how are they applied in usability studies?
RQ5	How can the usability metrics be combined to be used to prioritize MAR learning usability issues?
RQ6	How can current usability metrics be designed to be compatible with machine learning clustering-based analysis as compared to statistical analysis?
RQ7	Is machine learning clustering-based analysis empirically feasible for MAR learning usability and which clustering technique is the most suitable to be performed on usability data?
RQ8	Can clustering-based analysis of MAR learning usability prioritization based on user-interaction improve individual usability issues and does it outperform current practices?

#### 1.4 Research Aims and Objectives

Referring to the research problem statement and questions, a research aim has been formulated for this research. This research aims to propose a framework that improves usability data collection and analysis processes in MAR language learning utilizing interaction segregation and machine learning clustering techniques. To achieve the aim of this research and to answer the research questions, the following three objectives are established:

- (a) To study the current domain, usability evaluation practices and analysis techniques applied in MAR language learning application research.
- (b) To propose a usability framework that uses interaction and machine learning-based usability prioritization approaches for MAR language learning.
- (c) To improve the efficiency of current usability evaluation, identification, and analysis processes in MAR language learning.



## 1.5 Scope of Research

In general, the extent of this research is within the boundaries of the Mobile Augmented Reality (MAR) learning usability studies. Therefore, the scope of this research is to be articulated as follows:

- (a) This study is targeted on usability framework in MAR language learning. This research is specifically bounded only within English Language Teaching (ELT), which is a sub-domain of language learning and MAR education in general. The main outcomes of this research shall contribute to the body of knowledge in Human Computer Interaction (HCI), focusing on the area of usability and user experience conclusively. Despite limiting the implementation only in ELT for this research, contributions of this research is anticipated to be robust for utilization in other language learning and education-based areas.
- (b) In English Language Teaching, the MAR application will focus solely on the subtopic of English communication. This is because current published evidence have shown the need for improvements in English communication skills among tertiary education graduates.
- (c) This research focused clearly on implementation in three sub-research areas namely, refinement of usability framework for MAR language learning, enhancement of usability methods, models plus metrics, and adoption of machine learning clustering-based usability analysis.
- (d) Within the first sub research area, this research will focus only on the adaptation and refinement of usability framework used to evaluate MAR language learning application
- (e) Within the second sub research area, this research will focus only on the experimental adoption, combination and enhancement of usability processes for MAR language learning application. The usability processes adopted will be based on existing usability methods and metrics applied in current MAR domain.
- (f) Within the third sub research area, this research will focus only on adoption and implementation of machine learning clustering-based models in MAR English language learning.

- (g) The experimental targets of this research will focus solely on usability improvements in MAR language learning, which includes proposing new approaches to evaluate, analyse, prioritize, and improve usability issues.

## **1.6 Significance of the Study**

This study's significance is to have a framework that shows the feasibility of technology-based ELT in Malaysia. Going along the nation's theme for globalization, the research provides significant proof for technology-based ELT to be implemented to improve Malaysian English overall limitations. Besides being a guideline to implement technology-based ELT in Malaysia, this research can pioneer more research to implement technology in Malaysian English Learning. Besides producing implementation structure, process, and measures in technology-based ELT, this research will also open paths to more research in usability measures within this domain, introducing more usability alternative models tailored to MAR learning. Since usability in technology-based ELT can be measured in a myriad of approaches, the outcome of this research is anticipated to pioneer interaction-based and machine learning-based usability processes. Besides being an improved usability model, the proposed framework is also targeted as a set of tailored usability solutions for MAR technologies.

## **1.7 Motivation**

The motivation to conduct this research can be comprehended from three different perspectives. The first perspective resolves around the technical contribution towards human computer interaction and usability in general. The second perspective of the motivation is the need for improvements in Malaysian English. The third motivation resolves around how the outcome of this research can contribute to the nation.

The first aspect that motivates the proposal of this research is of course the opportunity in improving existing usability processes in MAR language learning. As mentioned before in problem background, initial studies found important gaps in between current usability practices and MAR user experience. The current ISO-9241-11 framework is undeniably the most used framework in the field of computer sciences and information technology. The ISO9241-11 framework has generated myriads of novel research outcomes in constant improvement of methods, proposal of new models and experience analysis. However, such intervention does not happen significantly in MAR, especially in language learning. Since the MAR technology possesses unique and distinguished interaction styles, this research believe that the applied ISO9241-11 framework can be further enhanced, maintaining the original core benefits, but also introducing new elements that are seamlessly tailored to the distinctive features of MAR technology. The enhancement can be seen possible in modifying the existing standards, usability models, methods, techniques, and metrics in the framework for a more efficient plus effective MAR usability processes.

Looking in a broader perspective, this research choses for improvements to be made around English language learning, especially in communication proficiency. The motivation arrives when this research learned about the declining of Malaysian English literacy among university graduates. The younger generation of the nation, who are supposed to lead brighter economy, political and societal well-being in Malaysia are perceived to be disadvantaged by their English communication abilities. Almost on a yearly basis, mainstream media have endless reporting on similar issue rendering the steady decline of language literacy among young Malaysians (Aziz, 2018; “English proficiency still a big problem for many Malaysian grads | The Star,” 2020; Reporters, 2019; Selan, 2021). Moreover, with reported success on how MAR technology manage to improve English proficiency in other non-native English-speaking countries, the research within this discipline is still infrequent to say the least. Therefore, with such current events, this research is motivated to conduct this research in the English learning education domain.

The third motivation is the possible contribution this research can give to the nation. This research is objectified to deliver several contributions to society and the nation. Firstly, the development of a MAR English language learning application

through this research can be commercialized to the public, hoping to improve communication abilities among young Malaysian graduates in general. With the development of the MAR application, an improved usability framework for MAR language learning will also be proposed. The proposed framework can hopefully open more opportunities for researchers, especially in Malaysia to improve MAR language learning from many different aspects. The contributions of this research are hopefully sound to also contribute to the advancement of computer sciences, learning technologies and education development in this country.

## **1.8 Thesis Organization**

Chapter 1 highlights the essential background of the research and the information surrounding this research scope. This Chapter will explain problem statement formulation, which leads to inspiring this research through several research questions. The research aims and objectives share in detail the target of this research and the few important features to be met to achieve the aim. This Chapter will then highlight the research scope, the significance of the study, and its contribution to the nation.

Chapter 2 first discusses a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) implemented to study MAR learning usability elements. The SLR collected 72 current reputable works and elaborated the findings based on domains, metrics, models, methods, techniques, contributions, instruments, tools, analysis, and the correlation of selected elements. This chapter will then conduct literature studies on machine learning clustering techniques and current usability studies. This chapter will next discuss an identified MAR learning application domain for implementation of experimentation and findings. Based on the three main research areas' overall findings, this chapter will be concluded with identified gaps that can be attended to for contributions and a visualization of a theoretical framework which parameters were derived from the chapter's outcome.

Chapter 3 first highlight the possible recommended intervention to the identified gaps from chapter 2. The intervention was formulated theoretically based

on calculated knowledge obtained from literature summarized in a theoretical framework. Based on the theoretical intervention based on gaps, an improved usability framework called Usability Framework for Mobile Augmented Reality (UFMAR) is proposed for language learning. A seven phases operational framework was designed to implement the possible theories for solving the research gaps that can eventually answer some of the research questions and meet the dissertation's objectives. This chapter then discuss the development of a MAR English language learning prototype and the three other contributions. The contributions include a usability data collection method called Individual Interaction Clustering-based Usability Measuring Instrument (IICUMI), a usability analysis model called Clustering-based Usability Prioritization Analysis (CUPA) model and a prioritization model named Usability Clustering Prioritization Model (UCPM). The seven phases of the proposed operational framework will then be discussed in detail phase by phase before highlighting the hypotheses' list.

Chapter 4 presents the results and analysis of this research's first significant contribution, namely the Individual Interaction Clustering-based Usability Measuring Instrument (IICUMI). This chapter then discusses a pilot study carried out for two simultaneous purposes, which is to validate the prototype and to be used as input for the development of IICUMI. This chapter will then discuss the results pertaining the mapping of models, instruments, metrics, and measures before presenting a significant study validating and verifying the applicability of IICUMI.

Chapter 5 presents the results and analysis details of this research's second major contribution, namely the Clustering-based Usability Prioritization Analysis (CUPA) model, highlighting the application of machine learning clustering techniques as an analysis tool. This chapter measures both pre-and post-prototype improvement studies, scrutinizing clustering results based on the type of technique, feature selection options, data cleaning, clustering quality, clustering performances, and clustering consistencies. This chapter then presents the accuracy and inferential property of all four selected clustering techniques tested for the proposed framework. This chapter summarizes with the recommendation of clustering type suitability and hypotheses acceptance.

Chapter 6 presents the results and analysis details of this research's third major contribution, namely the Usability Clustering Prioritization Model (UCPM), consisting of Usability Clustering Prioritization Score (UCPS) and Individual Interaction Usability Clustering Prioritization Model (IUCPS). This model will be tested for feasibility and applicability on prioritizing MAR language learning application. This chapter will highlight pre and post-prototype improvements, verifying and validating both scores as a prioritization tool, complementing the output generated by CUPA, discussed in chapter 5. This chapter then discusses benchmarking of the major contributions with current reputable works before summarizing the chapter's outcome.

Chapter 7 is the last chapter presenting the summary of the work. A table is shown mapping the overall study in this dissertation, including the objectives, gaps, research questions, deliverables of contributions, and chapters involved. This chapter will then discuss the list of major and minor contributions before highlighting the challenges, followed by the anticipated future works.

## **1.9 Summary**

This chapter has first discussed, in short, the problem background, which is a set of preliminary knowledge of research areas to be studied. Next, a problem statement is articulated based on several sub-micro problems identified throughout this research. Based on the formulated problem statement, eight research questions have been highlighted to navigate the research direction. This chapter then discusses the research aims and objectives, mainly focusing on studying the related research areas, developing a proposed usability framework for MAR language learning, and the evaluation plus refinement of the framework. The research scope was then discussed to rigidly keep the direction of this research focused on related body of knowledge. This chapter consequently highlights this research's motivation, followed by a summary of how this thesis was organized based on all seven chapters. This chapter is then concluded with the details of research planning and timeline.

## REFERENCES

- Abásolo, M.J., Abreu, J., Almeida, P., Silva, T. 2018, 'Applications and Usability of Interactive Television', *6th Iberoamerican Conference, jAUTI 2017*, Aveiro, Portugal, October 12-13, 2017, Revised Selected Papers. Springer.
- Abellán, I.M.J., Stake, R.E. 2009, 'Does Ubiquitous Learning Call for Ubiquitous Forms of Formal Evaluation?: An Evaluand oriented Responsive Evaluation Model', *Ubiquitous Learning, An International Journal*, vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 71-82.
- Achimugu, P., Selamat, A., Ibrahim, R., Mahrin, M.N. 2014, 'A Systematic Literature Review of Software Requirements Prioritization Research'. *Information and Software Technology*, vol. 56, no. 6, pp. 568–585.
- Aguilar, W.G., Luna, M.A., Moya, J.F., Abad, V., Parra, H., Ruiz, H. 2017, 'Pedestrian Detection for UAVs Using Cascade Classifiers with Meanshift', *2017 IEEE 11th International Conference on Semantic Computing (ICSC), San Diego, USA, 30 Jan - 1 Feb, 2017*, pp. 509–514.
- Ahmad Mahir, N., Jarjis, S., Kibtiyah, M. 2007, 'The use of Malay Malaysian English in Malaysian English: Key considerations', *The Second Biennial International Conference on Teaching and Learning of English in Asia: Exploring New Frontiers (TELiA2), Langkawi, Malaysia, 14-16 June, 2007*.
- Ahn, E., Lee, S., Kim, G.J. 2015, 'Real-time Adjustment of Contrast Saliency for Improved Information Visibility in Mobile Augmented Reality', *The 21st ACM Symposium on Virtual Reality Software and Technology (VRST '15), New York, USA, 13-15 November, 2015*, pp. 199–199.
- Akande, K.O., Owolabi, T.O., Olatunji, S.O. 2015, 'Investigating the Effect of Correlation-based Feature Selection on the Performance of Support Vector Machines in Reservoir Characterization', *Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering*, vol. 22, pp. 515–522.
- Albert, W., Tullis, T. 2013, *Measuring The User Experience: Collecting, Analyzing, and Presenting Usability Metrics*, Newnes, Oxford, UK.
- Albrecht, U.-V., Folta-Schoofs, K., Behrends, M., von Jan, U. 2013, 'Effects of Mobile Augmented Reality Learning Compared to Textbook Learning on Medical Students: Randomized Controlled Pilot Study', *Journal of Medicine Internet Research*, vol. 15, no. 8, viewed 12 February 2016.  
<<https://libraryguides.vu.edu.au/harvard/journal-articles>>
- Alguliyev, R., Aliguliyev, R., Bagirov, A., Karimov, R. 2016, 'Batch clustering algorithm for big data sets', *2016 IEEE 10th International Conference on Application of Information and Communication Technologies (AICT), Baku, Azerbaijan, 12-14 October 2016*, pp. 1–4.
- Ally, M., Prieto-Blázquez, J. 2014, 'What is the future of mobile learning in education?' *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, vol. 11, pp. 142–151.

- Alqahtani, A.S., Goodwin, R.D. de Vries, D.B. 2018, 'Cultural Factors Influencing E-Commerce Usability in Saudi Arabia', *International Journal of Advanced and Applied Sciences*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 1-10.
- Antonioli, M., Blake, C., Sparks, K. 2014, 'Augmented Reality Applications in Education', *Journal of Technology Studies*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 96-107.
- Arbaugh, J.B. 2010, *Multi-Disciplinary and Program-Level Research in Online Business Education*, in: *Arbaugh, J.B. (Ed.), Online and Blended Business Education for the 21st Century, Chandos Learning and Teaching Series*, Chandos Publishing, Cambridge, UK, pp. 19–46.
- Arth, C., Grasset, R., Gruber, L., Langlotz, T., Mulloni, A., Wagner, D. 2015, *The History of Mobile Augmented Reality*, ArXiv, Ithaca, New York, USA.
- Augustyniak, R.A., Ables, A.Z., Guilford, P., Lujan, H.L., Cortright, R.N., DiCarlo, S.E. 2016, 'Intrinsic Motivation: An Overlooked Component for Student Success', *Advances in Physiology Education*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 465–466.
- Azar, A.S., Tanggaraju, D. 2020, 'Motivation in Second Language Acquisition Among Learners in Malaysia', *Studies in English Language Education*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 323–333.
- Aziz, H. 2018, 'Malaysia Drops 9 Spots in English Proficiency Ranking for Non-Native Speakers', *NST Online*, viewed 8 July 2020 <<https://www.nst.com.my/education/2018/10/426949/malaysia-drops-9-spots-english-proficiency-ranking-non-native-speakers>>.
- Azuma, R.T. 1997, 'A Survey of Augmented Reality', *Presence Teleoperators and Virtual Environments*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 355–385.
- Bacca, J., Baldiris, S., Fabregat, R., Graf, S. 2014, 'Augmented Reality Trends in Education: A Systematic Review of Research and Applications', *Journal of Educational Technology and Society*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 133-149.
- Barreira, J., Bessa, M., Barbosa, L., Magalhães, L. 2018, 'A Context-Aware Method for Authentically Simulating Outdoors Shadows for Mobile Augmented Reality', *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 1223–1231.
- Barreira, J., Bessa, M., Pereira, L.C., Adão, T., Peres, E., Magalhães, L. 2012, 'MOW: Augmented Reality Game to Learn Words in Different Languages: Case Study: Learning English Names of Animals in Elementary School', *7th Iberian Conference on Information Systems and Technologies (CISTI 2012), Madrid, Spain, 20-23 June 2012*, pp. 1–6.
- Bazzaza, M.W., Alzubaidi, M., Zemerly, M.J., Weruga, L., Ng, J. 2016, 'Impact of Smart Immersive Mobile Learning in Language Literacy Education', *2016 IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference (EDUCON), Abu Dhabi, UAE, 10-13 April 2016*, pp. 443–447.
- Bernsen, N.O., Dybkjær, L. 2009, *Multimodal Usability*, Springer Science & Business Media. Springer, New York, USA
- Bhattacharjee, A. 2012, *Social Science Research: Principles, Methods, and Practices*. Textbooks Collection 3, viewed 21 January 2018, <[http://scholarcommons.usf.edu/oa\\_textbooks/3](http://scholarcommons.usf.edu/oa_textbooks/3)>



- Bi, W., Cai, M., Liu, M., Li, G. 2016, 'A Big Data Clustering Algorithm for Mitigating the Risk of Customer Churn', *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 1270–1281.
- Blanco-Fernández, Y., López-Nores, M., Pazos-Arias, J.J., Gil-Solla, A., Ramos-Cabrera, M., García-Duque, J. 2014, 'REENACT: A Step Forward in Immersive Learning About Human History by Augmented Reality, Role Playing and Social Networking', *Expert System with Applications*, vol. 41, no. 10, pp. 4811–4828.
- Bouguettaya, A., Yu, Q., Liu, X., Zhou, X., Song, A. 2015, 'Efficient Agglomerative Hierarchical Clustering', *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 2785–2797.
- Bratitsis, T., Bardanika, P., Ioannou, M. 2017, 'Science Education and Augmented Reality Content: The Case of the Water Circle', *2017 IEEE 17th International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies (ICALT 2017)*, Timisoara, Romania, 3-7 July 2017, pp. 485–489.
- Brett, P.A., Nash, M. 1999, 'Multimedia Language Learning Courseware: A Design Solution to the Production of a Series of CD-Roms'. *Computers and Education*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 19–33.
- Brito, P.Q., Stoyanova, J. 2018, 'Marker versus Markerless Augmented Reality. Which Has More Impact on Users?', *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, vol. 34, no. 9, pp. 819–833.
- Buchanan, T., Paine, C., Joinson, A.N., Reips, U.-D. 2007, 'Development of Measures of Online Privacy Concern and Protection for Use on the Internet', *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, vol. 58, no. 2, pp. 157–165.
- Bucko, J., Kakalejčík, L. 2018, 'Website Usability and User Experience During Shopping Online from Abroad', *Journal of Applied Economic Sciences*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 1237-1245.
- Bursali, H., Yilmaz, R.M. 2019, 'Effect of Augmented Reality Applications on Secondary School Students' Reading Comprehension and Learning Permanency', *Computers in Human Behavior*, vol. 95, no. C, pp. 126–135.
- Camba, J., Contero, M., Salvador-Herranz, G. 2014, 'Desktop vs. Mobile: A Comparative Study of Augmented Reality Systems for Engineering Visualizations in Education', *2014 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE)*, Madrid, Spain, 22-25 October 2014, pp. 1–8.
- Canny, J., Zhong, S., Gaffney, S., Brower, C., Berkhin, P., John, G.H. 2013, *Method and System for Generating a Linear Machine Learning Model for Predicting Online User Input Actions*. Google Patents, US20110131160A1
- Cavus, N., Al-Momani, M.M. 2011, 'Mobile System for Flexible Education', *Procedia Computer Science*, vol. 3, pp. 1475–1479.
- Celebi, M.E., Kingravi, H.A., Vela, P.A. 2013, 'A Comparative Study of Efficient Initialization Methods for the K-Means Clustering Algorithm', *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 200–210.
- Chang, Y.-J., Chen, C.-H., Huang, W.-T., Huang, W.-S. 2011, 'Investigating Students' Perceived Satisfaction, Behavioral Intention, and Effectiveness of English Learning Using Augmented Reality', *2011 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo, Barcelona, Spain, 11-15 July 2011*, pp. 1–6.

- Charness, N., Boot, W.R., 2016, *Chapter 20 - Technology, Gaming, and Social Networking*, in: Schaie, K.W., Willis, S.L. (Eds.), *Handbook of the Psychology of Aging (Eighth Edition)*, Academic Press, San Diego, USA, pp. 389–407.
- Che Dalim, C.S., Sunar, M.S., Dey, A., Billinghamurst, M. 2020, 'Using Augmented Reality With Speech Input for Non-native Children's Language Learning', *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, vol. 134, pp. 44–64.
- Chen, C.-M., Chung, C.-J. 2008, 'Personalized Mobile English Vocabulary Learning System Based on Item Response Theory and Learning Memory Cycle', *Computers and Education*, vol. 51, no. 2, pp. 624–645.
- Chen, W. 2014, 'Historical Oslo on a Handheld Device – A Mobile Augmented Reality Application', *Procedia Computer Science*, vol. 35, pp. 979–985.
- Cheng, J.M.-S., Blankson, C., Wang, E.S.-T., Chen, L.S.-L. 2009, 'Consumer Attitudes and Interactive Digital Advertising', *International Journal of Advertising*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 501–525.
- Chessa, M., Solari, F. 2017, 'Walking in Augmented Reality: An Experimental Evaluation by Playing with a Virtual Hopscotch', *2017 IEEE International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality (ISMAR-Adjunct), Nantes, France, 9-13 October 2017*, pp. 143–148.
- Chi, E.H. 2002, 'Improving Web Usability Through Visualization', *IEEE Internet Computing*, vol. 6, pp. 64–71.
- Chiu, C.-C., Lee, L.-C. 2018a, 'System Satisfaction Survey for the App to Integrate Search and Augmented Reality with Geographical Information Technology', *Microsystem Technologies*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 319–341.
- Chiu, C.-C., Lee, L.-C., 2018, 'Empirical Study of The Usability and Interactivity of an Augmented-Reality Dressing Mirror', *Microsystem Technologies*, vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 4399-4413.
- Choi, H.W., Qureshi, N.M.F., Shin, D.R. 2019, 'Comparative Analysis of Electricity Consumption at Home through a Silhouette-score prospective', *2019 21st International Conference on Advanced Communication Technology (ICACT), PyeongChang, South Korea, 17-20 February 2019*, pp. 589–591.
- Chokniwal, A., Singh, M. 2016, 'Faster Mahalanobis K-Means Clustering for Gaussian Distributions', *2016 International Conference on Advances in Computing, Communications and Informatics (ICACCI), Jaipur, India, 21-24 September 2016*, pp. 947–952.
- Chu, M., Matthews, J., Love, P.E.D. 2018, 'Integrating Mobile Building Information Modelling And Augmented Reality Systems: An Experimental Study', *Automation in Construction*, vol. 85, pp. 305–316.
- Cocciolo, A. and Rabina, D. 2013, 'Does Place Affect User Engagement and Understanding?: Mobile Learner Perceptions on the Streets Of New York'. *Journal of Documentation*, vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 98–120.
- Cockton, G., Lavery, D., Woolrych, A. 2003, *The Human-computer Interaction Handbook*, in: Jacko, J.A., Sears, A. (Eds.), . L. Erlbaum Associates Inc., Hillsdale, New Jersey, USA, pp. 1118–1138.
- Corrêa, A.G.D., Tahira, A., Ribeir, J.B., Kitamura, R.K., Inoue, T.Y., Ficheman, I.K. 2013, 'Development of an Interactive Book with Augmented Reality for Mobile

- Learning', *2013 8th Iberian Conference on Information Systems and Technologies (CISTI)*, Lisboa, Portugal, 19-22 June 2013, pp. 1–7.
- Dacko, S.G. 2017, 'Enabling Smart Retail Settings Via Mobile Augmented Reality Shopping Apps', *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, vol. 124, pp. 243–256.
- Davis, F.D. 1989, 'Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and User Acceptance of Information Technology', *MIS Quarterly*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 319–340.
- De Sá, M., Churchill, E. 2012, 'Mobile Augmented Reality: Exploring Design and Prototyping Techniques', *The 14th International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices and Services, ACM, California, USA, 21-24 September 2012*, pp. 221–230.
- Dix, A. 2009, *Human-Computer Interaction*, Pearson Education, London, UK.
- Douven, I. 2018, 'A Bayesian Perspective on Likert Scales and Central Tendency', *Psychonomic Bulletin and Review*, vol. 25, pp. 1203–1211.
- Dünser, A., Billinghamurst, M. 2011, *Evaluating Augmented Reality Systems*, in: *Handbook of Augmented Reality*. Springer, New York, USA, pp. 289–307.
- Edward, J., Ii, S., Gabbard, J.L. 2005, 'Survey of User-Based Experimentation in Augmented Reality', *1st International Conference on Virtual Reality, Las Vegas, USA, 22-27 July 2005*.
- Eishita, F.Z., Stanley, K.G. 2015, 'The Impact of Sensor Noise on Player Experience in Magic Window Augmented Reality Aiming Games', *International Conference on Entertainment Computing. Springer, Trondheim, Norway, 29 September - 2 October 2015*, pp. 502–507.
- Engagement - Analytics, viewed 2 March 2021 <<https://support.google.com/analytics/answer/9355853?hl=en>>.
- 'English Proficiency Still a Big Problem for Many M'sian Grads', *The Star*, viewed 8 July 2020 <<https://www.thestar.com.my/news/nation/2017/01/21/english-proficiency-still-a-big-problem-for-many-msian-grads/>>.
- Escobedo, L., Tentori, M., Quintana, E., Favela, J., Garcia-Rosas, D. 2014, 'Using Augmented Reality to Help Children with Autism Stay Focused', *IEEE Pervasive Computing*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 38–46.
- Fahad, A., Alshatri, N., Tari, Z., Alamri, A., Khalil, I., Zomaya, A.Y., Fofou, S., Bouras, A. 2014, 'A Survey of Clustering Algorithms for Big Data: Taxonomy and Empirical Analysis', *IEEE Transactions on Emerging Topics in Computing*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 67–279.
- Fenu, C., Pittarello, F. 2018, 'Svevo tour: The Design and The Experimentation of an Augmented Reality Application for Engaging Visitors of a Literary Museum', *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, Advanced User Interfaces for Cultural Heritage*, vol. 114, pp. 20–35.
- Ferrer, V., Perdomo, A., Rashed-Ali, H., Fies, C., Quarles, J. 2013, 'How Does Usability Impact Motivation in Augmented Reality Serious Games for Education?', *2013 5th International Conference on Games and Virtual Worlds for Serious Applications (VS-GAMES)*, Poole, UK, 11-12 September 2013, pp. 1–8.
- Filvà, D.A., Guerrero, M.J.C., Forment, M.A. 2014, 'Google Analytics for Time Behavior Measurement in Moodle', *2014 9th Iberian Conference on Information Systems and Technologies (CISTI)*, Barcelona, Spain, 12-21 June 2014, pp. 1–6.

- Fitriyani, S.R., Murfi, H. 2016, 'The K-Means with Mini Batch Algorithm for Topics Detection on Online News', *2016 4th International Conference on Information and Communication Technology (ICoICT), Bandung, Indonesia, 25-27 May 2016*, pp. 1–5.
- FitzGerald, E., Ferguson, R., Adams, A., Gaved, M., Mor, Y., Thomas, R. 2013, 'Augmented Reality and Mobile Learning: The State of the Art', *International Journal of Mobile and Blended Learning*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 43–58.
- Fitzpatrick, R. 1999, 'Strategies for Evaluating Software Usability, Methods', vol. 353, no. 1, Articles 1.
- 'Fixing Malaysia's English Language Woes', *The Star*, viewed 8 July 2020 <<https://www.thestar.com.my/opinion/letters/2020/07/25/fixing-malaysias-english-language-woes>>.
- Fonseca, D., Martí, N., Navarro, I., Redondo, E., Sánchez, A. 2012, 'Using Augmented Reality and Education Platform in Architectural Visualization: Evaluation of Usability and Student's Level of Satisfaction', *2012 International Symposium on Computers in Education (SIIE), Andorra la Vella, Andorra, 29-31 October 2012*, pp. 1–6.
- Fonseca, David, Martí, N., Redondo, E., Navarro, I., Sánchez, A. 2014, 'Relationship Between Student Profile, Tool Use, Participation, and Academic Performance with the Use of Augmented Reality Technology for Visualized Architecture Models', *Computers in Human Behavior*, vol. 31, pp. 434–445.
- Fonseca, D., Villagrasa, S., Valls, F., Redondo, E., Climent, A., Vicent, L. 2014a, 'Engineering Teaching Methods Using Hybrid Technologies Based on the Motivation and Assessment of Student's Profiles', *2014 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE) Proceedings, Madrid, Spain, 22-25 October 2014*, pp. 1–8.
- Fonseca, D., Villagrasa, S., Valls, F., Redondo, E., Climent, A., Vicent, L. 2014b, 'Motivation Assessment in Engineering Students Using Hybrid Technologies for 3D Visualization', *2014 International Symposium on Computers in Education (SIIE), Logrono, Spain, 12-14 November 2014*, pp. 111–116.
- Frank, J.A., Kapila, V. 2017, 'Mixed-Reality Learning Environments: Integrating Mobile Interfaces with Laboratory Test-Beds' *Computers and Education*, vol. 110, pp. 88–104.
- Frick, T.W., Dodge, T., Liu, X., Su, B. 2004, 'How Many Subjects Are Needed In A Usability Test To Determine Effectiveness Of A Web Site', *Meeting of the Association for Educational Communication and Technology*, Anaheim, CA.
- Furió, D., González-Gancedo, S., Juan, M.-C., Seguí, I., Costa, M. 2013, 'The Effects of the Size And Weight of a Mobile Device on an Educational Game'. *Computers and Education*, vol. 64, pp. 24–41.
- 'Getting Started with Vuforia Engine in Unity', *VuforiaLibrary*, viewed 27 February 2021 <<https://library.vuforia.com/articles/Training/getting-started-with-vuforia-in-unity.html>>.
- Gilbert, J.E., Williams, A., Seals, C.D. 2007, 'Clustering for Usability Participant Selection', *Journal of Usability Studies*, vol. 3, no.1, pp. 40–52.
- Gimeno, J., Portalés, C., Coma, I., Fernández, M., Martínez, B. 2017, 'Combining Traditional and Indirect Augmented Reality for Indoor Crowded Environments.

- A Case Study on the Casa Batlló Museum', *Computer and Graphics*, vol. 69, pp. 92–103.
- Goh, E.L. 2013, *Gender and Accent Identification for Malaysian English Using MFCC and Gaussian Mixture Model*, Masters Thesis, EPrints Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Goh, E.S., Sunar, M.S., Ismail, A.W. 2019, '3D Object Manipulation Techniques in Handheld Mobile Augmented Reality Interface: A Review', *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 40581–40601.
- Graddol, D. 2006, *English Next*, British Council, London, UK.
- Grubert, J., Pahud, M., Grasset, R., Schmalstieg, D., Seichter, H. 2015, 'The Utility of Magic Lens Interfaces on Handheld Devices for Touristic Map Navigation', *Pervasive and Mobile Computing*, vol. 18, pp. 88–103.
- Guyon, I., Gunn, S., Nikravesh, M., Zadeh, L.A. (Eds.) 2006, *Feature Extraction: Foundations and Applications, Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, Germany.
- Hartl, A., Grubert, J., Reinbacher, C., Arth, C., Schmalstieg, D., 2015, 'Mobile User Interfaces for Efficient Verification of Holograms', *2015 IEEE Virtual Reality (VR), IEEE, Arles, France, 23-27 March 2015*, pp. 119–126.
- Hartl, A.D., Arth, C., Grubert, J., Schmalstieg, D. 2016, 'Efficient Verification of Holograms Using Mobile Augmented Reality', *IEEE Transaction on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, vol. 22, pp. 1843–1851.
- He, J., Ren, J., Zhu, G., Cai, S., Chen, G. 2014, 'Mobile-Based AR Application Helps to Promote EFL Children's Vocabulary Study', *2014 IEEE 14th International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies*, Athens, Greece, 7-10 July 2014, pp. 431–433.
- Hincapié, M., Díaz, C., Zapata-Cárdenas, M.I., Rios, H. de J.T., Valencia, D., Güemes-Castorena, D. 2021, 'Augmented Reality Mobile Apps for Cultural Heritage Reactivation', *Computer and Electrical Engineering*, vol. 93, 107281.
- Hockly, N. 2012, 'Mobile Learning', *English Language Teaching Journal*, vol. 67, no. 1, pp. 80-84.
- Holcomb, Z.C. 2016, *Fundamentals of Descriptive Statistics*, Routledge, Oxfordshire, UK.
- Hsu, L., Lee, S.-N. 2011, 'Learning Tourism English on Mobile Phones: How Does it Work?' *The Journal of Hospitality Leisure Sports and Tourism Education*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 85-94.
- Hsu, T.-C. 2017, 'Learning English with Augmented Reality: Do learning styles matter?' *Computers and Education*, vol. 106, pp. 137–149.
- Huang, R.-T. 2014, 'Exploring the Moderating Role of Self-Management of Learning in Mobile English Learning', *Educational Technological and Society*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 255–267.
- Huda, S.N. 2017, 'Cluster Analysis of Indonesian Province Based on Household Primary Cooking Fuel Using K-Means', *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, The International Conference on Information Technology and Digital Applications, Yogyakarta, Indonesia, 14-16 November 2016*, vol. 185, 012016.

- Ibáñez, M.B., Di-Serio, Á., Villarán-Molina, D., Delgado-Kloos, C. 2015, 'Augmented Reality-Based Simulators as Discovery Learning Tools: An Empirical Study', *IEEE Transactions on Education*, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 208–213.
- Imottesjo, H., Kain, J.-H. 2018, 'The Urban CoBuilder – A Mobile Augmented Reality Tool for Crowd-sourced Simulation of Emergent Urban Development Patterns: Requirements, Prototyping and Assessment', *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, vol. 71, pp. 120–130.
- Isbister, K., Schaffer, N. 2015, *Game Usability: Advancing the Player Experience*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, USA.
- ISO, W. 1998, *9241-11, Ergonomic Requirements for Office Work with Visual Display Terminals (VDTs)*. International Organization for Standardization.
- Jamali, S.S., Shiratuddin, M.F., Wong, K.W., Oskam, C.L. 2015, 'Utilising Mobile-Augmented Reality for Learning Human Anatomy', *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, vol. 197, pp. 659–668.
- Jeong, J., Yoon, T.S., Park, J.B. 2017, 'Mean Shift Tracker Combined with Online Learning-based Detector and Kalman Filtering for Real-Time Tracking', *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 79, pp. 194–206.
- Johnson, L., Levine, A., Smith, R., Stone, S. 2010, *Simple Augmented Reality, The 2010 Horizon Report*, pp. 21–24.
- Jordan, P.W., Thomas, B., McClelland, I.L., Weerdmeester, B. 1996, *Usability Evaluation in Industry*, CRC Press Boca Raton, Florida, USA.
- Joshi, A., Kaur, R. 2013, 'A Review: Comparative Study of Various Clustering Techniques in Data Mining', *International Journal of Advance Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering*, vol. 3, pp. 55–57.
- Joubert, T., Inceoglu, I., Bartram, D., Dowdeswell, K., Lin, Y. 2015, 'A Comparison of the Psychometric Properties of the Forced Choice and Likert Scale Versions of a Personality Instrument', *International Journal of Selection and Assessment*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 92–97.
- Juhary, J. 2014, 'English Language Teaching: The Reflective Practices of an Oral Communication Class', *English Language Teaching*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 136.
- Kashinathan, S., Abdul Aziz, A. 2021, 'ESL Learners' Challenges in Speaking English in Malaysian Classroom', *International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education Development*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 983-991.
- Kaur, M. 2013, 'Comparison Between K-Means and Hierarchical Algorithm Using Query Redirection', *International Journal of Advance Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering*, vol. 3, pp. 1454–1459.
- Keengwe, J., Bhargava, M. 2014, 'Mobile Learning and Integration of Mobile Technologies in Education', *Education and Information Technologies*, vol. 19, pp. 737–746.
- Khan, M.S., Malik, R., Siddique, A., Nawaz, A. 2019, 'A New 3D Eyeball Tracking System to Enhance the Usability of Page Scrolling', *Optik*, vol. 185, pp. 1270–1276.
- Kim, N., Park, S., Lee, J., Choi, J.K. 2018, 'Load Profile Extraction by Mean-Shift Clustering with Sample Pearson Correlation Coefficient Distance', *Energies*, vol. 11, no. 9, 2397.

- Kitchenham, B., Pearl Brereton, O., Budgen, D., Turner, M., Bailey, J., Linkman, S. 2009, 'Systematic Literature Reviews in Software Engineering – A Systematic Literature Review', *Information and Software Technology*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 7–15.
- Kizony, R., Katz, N., Rand, D., Weiss, P. 2006, 'Short Feedback Questionnaire (SFQ) to Enhance Client-Centered Participation in Virtual Environments', *Cyberpsychology and Behavior*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 687–688.
- Ko, S.M., Chang, W.S., Ji, Y.G. 2013, 'Usability Principles for Augmented Reality Applications in a Smartphone Environment', *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, vol. 29, no. 8, pp. 501–515.
- Korucu, A.T., Alkan, A. 2011, 'Differences Between M-Learning (Mobile Learning) and E-Learning, Basic Terminology and Usage of M-Learning in Education', *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, vol. 15, pp. 1925–1930.
- Kourouthanassis, P., Boletsis, C., Bardaki, C., Chasanidou, D. 2015, 'Tourists Responses to Mobile Augmented Reality Travel Guides: The Role of Emotions on Adoption Behavior', *Pervasive and Mobile Computing*, vol. 18, pp. 71–87.
- Kulpy, A., Bekaroo, G. 2017, 'Fruitify: Nutritionally Augmenting Fruits Through Markerless-Based Augmented Reality', *2017 IEEE 4th International Conference on Soft Computing Machine Intelligence (ISCMi), Mauritius, 23-24 November 2017*, pp. 149–153.
- Lai, A.S.Y., Wong, C.Y.K., Lo, O.C.H. 2015, 'Applying Augmented Reality Technology to Book Publication Business', *2015 IEEE 12th International Conference on E-Business Engineering (ICEBE), Beijing, China, 23-25 October 2015*, pp. 281–286.
- Lavie, T., Tractinsky, N. 2004, 'Assessing Dimensions of Perceived Visual Aesthetics of Web Sites'. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 269–298.
- Lee, K. 2012, 'Augmented Reality in Education and Training'. *TechTrends*, vol. 56, no. 2, pp. 13–21.
- Léger, É., Drouin, S., Collins, D.L., Popa, T., Kersten-Oertel, M. 2017, 'Quantifying Attention Shifts in Augmented Reality Image-Guided Neurosurgery', *Healthcare Technology Letter*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 188–192.
- Lewis, J.R. 2018, 'The System Usability Scale: Past, Present, and Future', *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, vol. 34, no. 7, pp. 577–590.
- Lewis, J.R. 1995, 'IBM Computer Usability Satisfaction Questionnaires: Psychometric Evaluation and Instructions for Use', *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 57–78.
- Lewis, J.R. 1992, 'Psychometric Evaluation of the Post-Study System Usability Questionnaire: The PSSUQ', *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting*, vol. 36, no. 16, pp. 1259–1260.
- Li, Z., Liu, J., Yang, Y., Zhou, X., Lu, H. 2014, 'Clustering-guided Sparse Structural Learning for Unsupervised Feature Selection', *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge Data Engineering*, vol. 26, no. 9, pp. 2138–2150.
- Liao, T. 2019, 'Future Directions for Mobile Augmented Reality Research: Understanding Relationships Between Augmented Reality Users, Nonusers,

- Content, Devices, and Industry', *Mobile, Media and Communication*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 131–149.
- Lim, I. 'English Proficiency Survey Puts Malaysia as 'High' But Still Below Singapore, *Malay Mail*, viewed 7 August 2020, <<https://www.malaymail.com/news/malaysia/2019/12/06/english-proficiency-survey-puts-malaysia-as-high-but-still-below-singapore/1816644>>.
- Lin, C. 2014, 'Learning English Reading in a Mobile-Assisted Extensive Reading Program', *Computers and Education*, vol. 78, pp. 48–59.
- Lin, P.-C., Chen, S.-I. 2013, 'The Effects of Gender Differences on The Usability of Automotive On-board Navigation Systems – A Comparison of 2D and 3D Display', *Transportation Research Part F Traffic Psychology Behaviour*, vol. 19, pp. 40–51.
- Liu, F., Seipel, S. 2018, 'Precision Study on Augmented Reality-Based Visual Guidance for Facility Management Tasks', *Automation in Construction*, vol. 90, pp. 79–90.
- Liu, P.H.E., Tsai, M.K. 2013, 'Using Augmented-Reality-based Mobile Learning Material in EFL English Composition: An Exploratory Case Study', *British Journal of Educational Technology*, vol. 44, no. 1, E1–E4.
- Liu, P.L., Chen, C.J. 2015, 'Learning English Through Actions: A Study of Mobile-Assisted Language Learning', *Interactive Learning Environments*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 158–171.
- Liu, T.Y. 2009, 'A Context-Aware Ubiquitous Learning Environment for Language Listening and Speaking', *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 515–527.
- Liu, T.Y., Tan, T.H., Chu, Y.L. 2010, 'QR Code and Augmented Reality-supported Mobile English Learning System', *First International Workshop of Mobile Multimedia Processing (WMMP 2008), Florida, USA, 7 December 2008*, Springer, pp. 37–52.
- Liu, Y., Gu, Q., Hou, J.P., Han, J., Ma, J. 2014, 'A Network-assisted Co-clustering Algorithm to Discover Cancer Subtypes Based on Gene Expression', *BMC Bioinformatics*, vol. 15, no. 37, 24491042.
- Liu, Y., Holden, D., Zheng, D. 2016, 'Analyzing Students' Language Learning Experience in an Augmented Reality Mobile Game: An Exploration of an Emergent Learning Environment', *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, vol. 228, pp. 369–374.
- López-Faicán, L., Jaen, J. 2020. 'Emofindar: Evaluation of a Mobile Multiplayer Augmented Reality Game for Primary School Children'. *Computers and Education*, vol. 149, 103814.
- Loureiro, S.M.C. 2014, 'The Role of the Rural Tourism Experience Economy in Place Attachment and Behavioral Intentions', *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, vol. 40, pp. 1–9.
- Luu, V., Forestier, G., Ripken, M., Fondement, F., Muller, P. 2016, 'Web Usage Prediction and Recommendation Using Web Session Clustering', *2016 Eleventh International Conference on Digital Information Management (ICDIM), Porto, Portugal, 19-21 September 2016*, pp. 107–113.
- MacKenzie, I.S., 2012, *Human-Computer Interaction: An Empirical Research Perspective*, Newnes, Oxford, UK.



- Maheswari, B.U., Valli, S. 2014, 'Survey on Graphical User Interface and Machine Learning Based Testing Techniques', *Journal of Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 96-112.
- Maia, L.F., Nolêto, C., Lima, M., Ferreira, C., Marinho, C., Viana, W., Trinta, F. 2017, 'LAGARTO: A Location Based Games Authoring Tool Enhanced with Augmented Reality Features', *Entertainment Computing*, vol. 22, pp. 3–13.
- Majid, N.A.A., Mohammed, H., Sulaiman, R. 2015, 'Students' Perception of Mobile Augmented Reality Applications in Learning Computer Organization', *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, vol. 176, pp. 111–116.
- Martin, F., Ertzberger, J. 2013 'Here and Now Mobile Learning: An Experimental Study on the Use of Mobile Technology', *Computers and Education*, vol. 68, pp. 76–85.
- Martín-Gutiérrez, J., Fabiani, P., Benesova, W., Meneses, M.D., Mora, C.E. 2015, 'Augmented Reality to Promote Collaborative and Autonomous Learning in Higher Education', *Computers in Human Behavior*, vol. 51, part B, pp. 752–761.
- Martín-Gutiérrez, J., Luís Saorín, J., Contero, M., Alcañiz, M., Pérez-López, D.C., Ortega, M. 2010, 'Design and Validation of an Augmented Book for Spatial Abilities Development in Engineering Students', *Computers and Graphics*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 77–91.
- Master Journal List, *Clarivate Analytics*, viewed 17 January 2019, <<http://mjl.clarivate.com/>>.
- Mathwick, C., Malhotra, N. Rigdon, E., 2001, 'Experiential Value: Conceptualization, Measurement and Application in the Catalog and Internet Shopping Environment', *Journal of Retailing*, vol. 77, no. 1, pp. 39–56.
- Mehmetoglu, M., Engen, M. 2011, 'Pine and Gilmore's Concept of Experience Economy and Its Dimensions: An Empirical Examination in Tourism', *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality and Tourism*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 237–255.
- Mehrotra, S., Kohli, S. 2016, 'The Study of the Usage of Data Analytic and Clustering Techniques for Web Elements', *the ACM Symposium on Women in Research 2016 (WIR '16)*, New York, USA, 21-22 March 2016, pp. 118–120.
- Mekler, E.D., Brühlmann, F., Tuch, A.N., Opwis, K. 2015, 'Towards Understanding the Effects of Individual Gamification Elements on Intrinsic Motivation and Performance', *Computers in Human Behavior*, vol. 71, pp. 525-534.
- Michel, T., Genevès, P., Fourati, H., Layaïda, N. 2018, 'Attitude Estimation for Indoor Navigation and Augmented Reality with Smartphones', *Pervasive and Mobile Computing*, vol. 46, pp. 96–121.
- Middleton, S.E., Middleton, L., Modafferi, S. 2014, 'Real-time Crisis Mapping of Natural Disasters Using Social Media', *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 9–17.
- Miki, H. 2014, 'User Experience Evaluation Framework for Human-Centered Design', in: Yamamoto, S. (Ed.), *Human Interface and the Management of Information, Information and Knowledge Design and Evaluation, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Springer International Publishing, Cham*, vol. 8521, pp. 602–612.
- Monteiro, V., Mata, L., Peixoto, F., Monteiro, V., Mata, L., Peixoto, F. 2015, 'Intrinsic Motivation Inventory: Psychometric Properties in the Context of First Language

- and Mathematics Learning', *Psicologia Reflexao e Critica*, vol. 28, no. 3, pp. 434–443.
- Moumane, K., Idri, A., Abran, A., 2016. 'Usability Evaluation of Mobile Applications Using ISO 9241 and ISO 25062 Standards', *SpringerPlus*, vol. 5, 548.
- Moustakas, C. 1990, *Heuristic Research: Design, Methodology, and Applications*, SAGE Publications, California, USA.
- Mukundan, J., Nimehchisalem, V. 2011, 'Educational Software and English Teaching Courseware: Promising Panaceas?', *Journal of NELTA*, vol. 13, no. 1-2, pp. 71-79.
- Müller, L., Aslan, I., Krüßen, L. 2013, 'GuideMe: A Mobile Augmented Reality System to Display User Manuals for Home Appliances', in: Reidsma, D., Katayose, H., Nijholt, A. (Eds.), *Advances in Computer Entertainment*, Springer International Publishing, vol. 8253, pp. 152–167.
- Muñoz-Cristóbal, J.A., Jorrín-Abellán, I.M., Asensio-Pérez, J.I., Martínez-Monés, A., Prieto, L.P., Dimitriadis, Y. 2015, 'Supporting Teacher Orchestration in Ubiquitous Learning Environments: A Study in Primary Education', *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 83–97.
- Murray, G.L. 1999, 'Autonomy and Language Learning in a Simulated Environment', *System*, vol. 27, pp. 295–308.
- Musa, N.C., Koo, Y.L., Azman, H. 2012, 'Exploring English Language Learning and Teaching in Malaysia', *GEMA Online, Journal of Language Studies*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 35–51.
- MyLinE - *Online Resources for Learning in English*, viewed 3 October 2016, <<http://myline.utm.my/moodle282/>>.
- Nagata, J.J., Giner, J.R.G.-B., Abad, F.M. 2016, 'Virtual Heritage of the Territory: Design and Implementation of Educational Resources in Augmented Reality and Mobile Pedestrian Navigation', *IEEE Revista Iberoamericana de Tecnologías del Aprendizaje*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 41–46.
- Nair, G.K.S., Rahim, R.A., Setia, R., Husin, N., Sabapathy, E., Jalil, N.A.A., Razlan, R.M., Mohamad, R., So'od, S.M.M., Yusoff, N.I.M., others, 2012, 'Malaysian Graduates English Adequacy in the Job Sector', *Asian Social Science*, vol. 8, no. 4, 143-147.
- Nesaratnam, S. 2020, 'Enhancing English Proficiency and Communication Skills Among Malaysian Graduates through Training and Coaching', *International Journal of Learning and Development*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 1 to 21.
- Ngah, E., Radzuan, N.R.M., Fauzi, W.J., Abidin, N.A.Z. 2011, 'The Need for Competent Work Ready English Language Learners', *Procedia - Social Behavioral Sciences*, vol. 29, pp. 1490–1499.
- Nielsen, J. 1994, 'Usability Inspection Methods', *Conference Companion on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '94)*, ACM, New York, USA, 24-28 April 1994, pp. 413–414.
- Nielsen, J. 1993, *Usability Engineering*, Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., San Francisco, USA.
- Niessen, M., Peschar, J. 2013, *Comparative Research on Education: Overview, Strategy and Applications in Eastern and Western Europe*, Elsevier, London, UK.

- Nincarean, D., Alia, M.B., Halim, N.D.A., Rahman, M.H.A. 2013, 'Mobile Augmented Reality: The potential for Education', *Procedia - Social Behavioral Sciences*, vol. 103, pp. 657–664.
- O'Brien, H.L. 2010, 'The Development and Evaluation of a Survey to Measure User Engagement', *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 50-69.
- Oh, H., Fiore, A.M., Jeoung, M. 2007, 'Measuring Experience Economy Concepts: Tourism Applications', *Journal of Travel Research*, vol. 46, pp. 119–132.
- Olson, R., Zubairi, J.A., Biliciler, A. 2014, 'A Software Framework for Patient Data Handling in Emergencies and Disasters', *2014 International Conference on Collaboration Technologies and Systems (CTS), Minneapolis, USA, 19-23 May 2014*, pp. 553–557.
- Olsson, T. 2013, 'Concepts and Subjective Measures for Evaluating User Experience of Mobile Augmented Reality Services', *Human Factors in Augmented Reality Environments*, Springer, pp. 203–232.
- Olsson, T., Kärkkäinen, T., Lagerstam, E., Ventä-Olkkonen, L. 2012, 'User Evaluation of Mobile Augmented Reality Scenarios', *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Smart Environments*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 29–47.
- Olsson, T., Lagerstam, E., Kärkkäinen, T., Väänänen-Vainio-Mattila, K. 2011, 'Expected User Experience of Mobile Augmented Reality Services: A User Study in the Context of Shopping Centres'. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, vol. 17, pp. 287–304.
- Oz, H. 2015, 'An Investigation of Preservice English Teachers' Perceptions of Mobile Assisted Language Learning', *English Language Teaching*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 22-34.
- Oztekin, A., Delen, D., Turkyilmaz, A., Zaim, S. 2013, 'A Machine Learning-based Usability Evaluation Method for Elearning Systems', *Decision Support Systems*, vol. 56, pp. 63–73.
- Padda, H. 2009, *QUIM: A Model for Usability/Quality in Use Measurement*, LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing, Saarbrücken, Germany.
- Pantano, E., Rese, A., Baier, D. 2017, 'Enhancing the Online Decision-Making Process by Using Augmented Reality: A Two Country Comparison of Youth Markets', *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, vol. 38, pp. 81–95.
- Pascoe, M., Wright, O., Winzar, H. 2017, 'Using Best-worst Scaling to Reveal Perceived Relative Importance of Website Attributes', *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 393–408.
- Patten, M.L. 2016, *Proposing Empirical Research: A Guide to the Fundamentals*, Taylor & Francis, Oxfordshire, UK.
- Paulo Lima, J., Roberto, R., Simões, F., Almeida, M., Figueiredo, L., Marcelo Teixeira, J., Teichrieb, V. 2017, 'Markerless Tracking System for Augmented Reality in the Automotive Industry', *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 82, pp. 100–114.
- Peleg-Adler, R., Lanir, J., Korman, M. 2018, 'The Effects of Aging on the Use of Handheld Augmented Reality in a Route Planning Task', *Computers in Human Behavior*, vol. 81, pp. 52–62.

- Pérez-Sanagustín, M., Hernández-Leo, D., Santos, P., Kloos, C.D., Blat, J. 2014, 'Augmenting Reality and Formality of Informal and Non-formal Settings to Enhance Blended Learning', *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 118–131.
- Phoon, H.S., Maclagan, M., Zhang, L.J., Rubdy, R., Lubna, A. 2009, 'The Phonology of Malaysian English: A Preliminary Study', *Englishes and Literatures-in-English in a Globalised World: Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on English in Southeast Asia*, pp. 59–73.
- Pifarré, M., Tomico, O. 2007, 'Bipolar Laddering (BLA): A Participatory Subjective Exploration Method on User Experience', *The 2007 Conference on Designing for User Experiences (DUX '07)*, ACM, Chicago, USA, no. 2, pp. 2-13.
- Pillai, S. 2014, 'The Monophthongs and Diphthongs of Malaysian English: An Instrumental Analysis', *English in Malaysia: Postcolonial and Beyond*, pp. 55-86.
- Pillai, S. 2008, 'Speaking English the Malaysian Way—Correct or Not?' *English Today*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 42–45.
- Pintrich, P.R., Smith, D.A.F., Garcia, T., Mckeachie, W.J. 1993, 'Reliability and Predictive Validity of the Motivated Strategies for Learning Questionnaire (Mslq)', *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, vol. 53, no. 3, pp. 801–813.
- Portugal, S. 2013, *Interviewing Users: How to Uncover Compelling Insights*, Rosenfeld Media, New York, USA.
- Purchase, H.C. 2012, *Experimental Human-Computer Interaction: A Practical Guide with Visual Examples*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Qassem, L.M.M.S.A., Hawai, H.A., Shehhi, S.A., Zemerly, M.J., Ng, J.W.P. 2016, 'AIR-EDUTECH: Augmented Immersive Reality (AIR) Technology for High School Chemistry Education', *2016 IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference (EDUCON)*, Abu Dhabi, UAE, 10-13 April 2016, pp. 842–847.
- Qin, H., Osatuyi, B., Xu, L. 2021, 'How Mobile Augmented Reality Applications Affect Continuous Use and Purchase Intentions: A Cognition-affect-conation Perspective', *Journal of Retailing Consumer Services*, vol. 63, 102680.
- Quadri-Felitti, D.L., Fiore, A.M. 2013, 'Destination Loyalty: Effects of Wine Tourists' Experiences, Memories, and Satisfaction on Intentions', *Tourism Hospitality Research*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 47–62.
- R, M., Jr., James, Dale, M., James, D., Minsoo, K. 2015, *Measurement and Evaluation in Human Performance*, 5<sup>th</sup> Edition, Human Kinetics, Illinois, USA.
- Raman, A., Mohamed, A.H. 2013, 'Issues of ICT Usage Among Malaysian Secondary School English Teachers', *English Language Teaching*, vol. 6, no. 9, pp. 74-82.
- Randolph, J.J. 2008, *Multidisciplinary Methods in Educational Technology Research and Development*, HAMK Press, Hämeenlinna, Finland.
- Redondo, E., Fonseca, D., Sánchez, A., Navarro, I. 2013, 'New Strategies Using Handheld Augmented Reality and Mobile Learning-teaching Methodologies, in Architecture and Building Engineering Degrees', *Procedia Computer Science*, vol. 25, pp. 52–61.
- Reporters, F.M.T. 2019, 'Malaysia Drops in Global English Proficiency Ranking', *Free Malaysia Today*, viewed 7 August 2020

- <<https://www.freemalaysiatoday.com/category/nation/2019/12/11/malaysia-drops-in-global-english-proficiency-ranking/>>.
- Rese, A., Baier, D., Geyer-Schulz, A., Schreiber, S. 2017, 'How Augmented Reality Apps are Accepted by Consumers: A Comparative Analysis Using Scales and Opinions', *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, vol. 124, pp. 306–319.
- Riera, A.S., Redondo, E., Fonseca, D. 2014, 'Geo-located Teaching Using Handheld Augmented Reality: Good Practices to Improve the Motivation and Qualifications of Architecture Students', *Universal Access in the Information Society*, vol. 14, pp. 363–374.
- Robertson, R.E., Tran, F.W., Lewark, L.N., Epstein, R. 2018, 'Estimates of Non-heterosexual Prevalence: The Roles of Anonymity and Privacy in Survey Methodology', *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 1069–1084.
- Rodas, N.L., Barrera, F., Padoy, N. 2017, 'See It With Your Own Eyes: Markerless Mobile Augmented Reality for Radiation Awareness in the Hybrid Room', *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 64, no. 2, pp. 429–440.
- Rogado, A.B.G., Quintana, A.M.V., Mayo, L.L. 2017, 'Evaluation of the Use of Technology to Improve Safety in the Teaching Laboratory', *IEEE Revista Iberoamericana de Tecnologías del Aprendizaje*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 17–23.
- Rogers, K., Frommel, J., Breier, L., Celik, S., Kramer, H., Kreidel, S., Brich, J., Riemer, V., Schrader, C. 2015, 'Mobile Augmented Reality as an Orientation Aid: A Scavenger Hunt Prototype', *2015 International Conference on Intelligent Environments (IE), Prague, Czech Republic, 15-17 July 2015*, pp. 172–175.
- Salgado, J.F., Anderson, N., Tauriz, G. 2015, 'The Validity of Ipsative and Quasi-Ipsative Forced-choice Personality Inventories for Different Occupational Groups: A Comprehensive Meta-analysis', *Journal Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, vol. 88, no. 4, pp. 797–834.
- Salhi, S. 2017, *Heuristic Search: The Emerging Science of Problem Solving*, Springer, Cham, Denmark.
- Sánchez, A., Redondo, E., Fonseca, D. 2012, 'Developing an Augmented Reality Application in the Framework of Architecture Degree', *The 2012 ACM Workshop on User Experience in E-learning and Augmented Technologies in Education (UXeLATE' 12), Nara, Japan, 2 November 2012*, ACM, pp. 37–42.
- Sánchez, A., Redondo, E., Fonseca, D., Navarro, I. 2014, 'Academic Performance Assessment Using Augmented Reality in Engineering Degree Course', *2014 IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference (FIE), Madrid, Spain, 22-25 October 2014*, pp. 1–7.
- Sandberg, J., Maris, M., de Geus, K. 2011, 'Mobile English Learning: An Evidence-based Study with Fifth Graders', *Computers and Education*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 1334–1347.
- Santana-Mancilla, P.C., Garc'a-Ruiz, M.A., Acosta-Diaz, R., Juárez, C.U. 2012, 'Service Oriented Architecture to Support Mexican Secondary Education Through Mobile Augmented Reality', *Procedia Computer Science*, vol. 10, pp. 721–727.
- Santos, M.E.C., Chen, A., Taketomi, T., Yamamoto, G., Miyazaki, J., Kato, H. 2014, 'Augmented Reality Learning Experiences: Survey of Prototype Design and Evaluation', *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 38–56.

- Saracchini, R., Catalina, C., Bordoni, L. 2015, 'A Mobile Augmented Reality Assistive Technology for the Elderly', *Comunicar*, vol. 23, no. 45, pp. 65–73.
- Sauro, J., Lewis, J.R. 2016, *Quantifying the User Experience: Practical Statistics for User Research*, Morgan Kaufmann, Burlington, USA.
- Scholz, J., Duffy, K. 2018, 'We ARE at Home: How Augmented Reality Reshapes Mobile Marketing and Consumer-brand Relationships', *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, vol. 44, pp. 11–23.
- Schwienhorst, K. 1998, The 'Third Place' – Virtual Reality Applications for Second Language Learning', *ReCALL*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 118–126.
- Scikit-learn, 'Machine Learning in Python', *Scikit-learn 0.24.1 Documentation*, viewed 4 February 2020, <<https://scikit-learn.org/stable/>>.
- Scimago Journal & Country Rank, viewed 17 January 2019, <<https://www.scimagojr.com/>>.
- Sekhavat, Y.A. 2017, 'Privacy Preserving Cloth Try-on Using Mobile Augmented Reality', *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 1041–1049.
- Sekhavat, Y.A., Parsons, J. 2018, 'The Effect of Tracking Technique on the Quality of User Experience for Augmented Reality Mobile Navigation', *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 77, pp. 11635–11668.
- Selan, S. 2021, 'Sorry, What? Speaking English Still a Struggle for Many Despite Mandatory Classes', *MalaysiaNow*, viewed 7 December 2021. <<https://www.malaysianow.com/news/2021/02/16/sorry-what-speaking-english-still-a-struggle-for-many-despite-mandatory-classes/>>
- Selviany, A., Kaburuan, E.R., Junaedi, D. 2017, 'User Interface Model for Indonesian Animal Apps to Kid Using Augmented Reality', *2017 International Conference on Orange Technologies (ICOT), Singapore, 8-10 December 2017*, pp. 134–138.
- Seo, K.K., Lee, S., Chung, B.D. 2016, 'Effects of Perceived Usability and Aesthetics on Emotional Responses in Different Contexts of Use', *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 445–459.
- Shah, M.I.A., Ismail, Y., Esa, Z., Muhamad, A.J. 2013, 'Language Learning Strategies of English for Specific Purposes Students at a Public University in Malaysia', *English Language Teaching*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 153–161.
- Shao, X., Lee, H., Liu, Y., Shen, B. 2017, 'Automatic K Selection Method for the K-Means Algorithm', *2017 4th International Conference on Systems and Informatics (ICSAI), Hangzhou, China, 11-13 November 2017*, pp. 1573–1578.
- Shatte, A., Holdsworth, J., Lee, I. 2014, 'Mobile Augmented Reality Based Context-aware Library Management System', *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 2174–2185.
- Shirazi, A., Behzadan, A.H. 2013, 'Technology-enhanced Learning in Construction Education Using Mobile Context-Aware Augmented Reality Visual Simulation', *2013 Winter Simulations Conference (WSC), Washington DC, USA, 8-11 December 2013*, pp. 3074–3085.
- Specht, M., Ternier, S., Greller, W. 2011, 'Mobile Augmented Reality for Learning: A Case Study', *Journal of the Research Center for Educational Technology*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 117–127.
- Srinagesh, K. 2011, *The Principles of Experimental Research*, Elsevier, London, UK.

- Stephens, M. 2012, *Learning Everywhere*, News, Reed Business Information 360 Park Avenue South, New York, USA.
- Sultan, N. 2018, *Heuristic Inquiry: Researching Human Experience Holistically*, SAGE Publications, California, USA.
- Sun, S., Song, H., He, D., Long, Y. 2019, 'An Adaptive Segmentation Method Combining MSRCR and Mean Shift Algorithm with K-Means Correction of Green Apples in Natural Environment', *Information Processing in Agriculture*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 200–215.
- Syakur, M.A., Khotimah, B.K., Rochman, E.M.S., Satoto, B.D. 2018, 'Integration K-Means Clustering Method and Elbow Method for Identification of the Best Customer Profile Cluster', *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, The 2<sup>nd</sup> International Conference on Vocational Education and Electrical Engineering (ICVEE), Surabaya, Indonesia, 9 November 2017*, vol. 336, 012017.
- Tanaseichuk, O., Khodabakshi, A.H., Petrov, D., Che, J., Jiang, T., Zhou, B., Santosyan, A., Zhou, Y. 2015, 'An Efficient Hierarchical Clustering Algorithm for Large Datasets', *Austin Journal of Proteomics, Bioinformatics and Genomics*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 1-6.
- Teo, T., Khazaie, S., Derakhshan, A. 2022, 'Exploring Teacher Immediacy-(Non)dependency in the Tutored Augmented Reality Game-Assisted Flipped Classrooms of English for Medical Purposes Comprehension Among the Asian Students', *Computers and Education*, no. 179, 104406.
- Thaler, T. 2014, 'Towards Usability Mining', Plödereder, E., Grunske, L., Schneider, E. & Ull, D. (Hrsg.), *Informatik 2014. Bonn: Gesellschaft für Informatik e.V.* pp. 2269-2280.
- Thaler, T., Norek, S., De Angelis, V., Maurer, D., Fettke, P., Loos, P. 2018, 'Mining the Usability of Process-Oriented Business Software: The Case of the ARIS Designer of Software AG', vom Brocke, J., Mendling, J. (Eds.), *Business Process Management Cases: Digital Innovation and Business Transformation in Practice, Management for Professionals*, Springer International Publishing, Cham, Switzerland, pp. 291–310.
- The Government of Malaysia's Official Portal (2015, May 1), 2015, *Language*, viewed 3 October 2016, <<https://www.malaysia.gov.my/en/about-malaysia?subCatId=3208956&type=2&categoryId=3208945>>
- 'The Smartphone Technology Acceptance Among Malaysian Young Adults', *UUM Repository*, viewed 3 February 2021, <<http://repo.uum.edu.my/15997/>>.
- The Star Online, 'Three Hours a Day on Smartphones', *Nation*, viewed 17 April 2018, <<https://www.thestar.com.my/news/nation/2016/01/21/three-hours-a-day-on-smartphones-users-spend-40-of-their-time-on-social-networking-and-chatting-repo/>>.
- Thirusanku, J., Yunus, M.M. 2014, 'Status of English in Malaysia', *Asian Social Science*, vol. 10, no. 14, pp. 254-260.
- Thompson, C.B., Panacek, E.A. 2006, 'Research Study Designs: Experimental and Quasi-Experimental'. *Air Medical Journal*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 242–246.
- Thyer, B.A. 2012, *Quasi-experimental Research Designs*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.

- Tom Dieck, M.C., Jung, T.H., Rauschnabel, P.A. 2018, 'Determining Visitor Engagement Through Augmented Reality at Science Festivals: An Experience Economy Perspective', *Computers in Human Behavior*, vol. 82, pp. 44–53.
- Torres-Jiménez, E., Rus-Casas, C., Dorado, R., Jiménez-Torres, M. 2018, 'Experiences Using QR Codes for Improving the Teaching-Learning Process in Industrial Engineering Subjects', *IEEE Revista Iberoamericana de Tecnologías del Aprendizaje*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 56–62.
- Trafimow, D., MacDonald, J.A. 2017, 'Performing Inferential Statistics Prior to Data Collection', *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, vol. 77, no. 2, pp. 204–219.
- Tsai, T.H., Chang, H.T., Yu, M.C., Chen, H.T., Kuo, C.Y., Wu, W.H. 2016, 'Design of a Mobile Augmented Reality Application: An Example of Demonstrated Usability', in: Antona, M., Stephanidis, C. (Eds.), *Universal Access in Human-Computer Interaction. Interaction Techniques and Environments, Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer International Publishing*, pp. 198–205.
- Turkan, Y., Radkowski, R., Karabulut-Ilgu, A., Behzadan, A.H., Chen, A. 2017, 'Mobile Augmented Reality for Teaching Structural Analysis', *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, vol. 34, pp. 90–100.
- Unity, 2017.4.40, *Unity Versions Download*, viewed 27 February 2021, <<https://unity3d.com/unity/whats-new/2017.4.40>>.
- Uras, S., Ardu, D., Paddeu, G., Deriu, M. 2012, 'Do Not Judge an Interactive Book by its Cover: A Field Research', *The 10th International Conference on Advances in Mobile Computing & Multimedia (MoMM '12), New York, USA, 3-5 December 2012*, ACM, pp. 17–20.
- van Eijnatten, F.M., van der Ark, L.A., Holloway, S.S. 2015, 'Ipsative Measurement and the Analysis of Organizational Values: An Alternative Approach for Data Analysis', *Quality and Quantity*, vol. 49, pp. 559–579.
- Vate-U-Lan, P. 2012, 'An Augmented Reality 3D Pop-up Book: The Development of a Multimedia Project for English Language Teaching', *2012 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo, Melbourne, Australia, 9-13 July 2012*, IEEE, pp. 890–895.
- Vate-U-Lan, P. 2011, 'Augmented Reality 3D Pop-up Book: An Educational Research Study', *The First International Conference on Interdisciplinary Research and Development, Thailand*, 13.1-13.5.
- Veena, C., Babu, B.V. 2015, 'A User-based Recommendation with a Scalable Machine Learning Tool', *International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering*, vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 1153-1157.
- Velmurugan, T. 2014, 'Performance Based Analysis Between K-Means and Fuzzy C-Means Clustering Algorithms for Connection Oriented Telecommunication Data', *Applied Soft Computing*, vol. 19, pp. 134–146.
- Venkatesh, V., Thong, J.Y.L., Xu, X. 2012, 'Consumer Acceptance and Use of Information Technology: Extending the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology', *MIS Quarterly*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 157–178.
- Vijaya, P.A., Narasimha Murty, M., Subramanian, D.K. 2004, 'Leaders–subleaders: An Efficient Hierarchical Clustering Algorithm for Large Data Sets', *Pattern Recognition Letters*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 505–513.



- Wahi, W. 2015, 'English Language Literacies of Undergraduate Students in Malaysia's Culturally and Linguistically Diverse Environment: Casualties of National Language Policies and Globalisation?' *International Perspectives on Higher Education Research*, vol. 42, pp. 329-362.
- Westbrook, R.A., Oliver, R.L. 1991, 'The Dimensionality of Consumption Emotion Patterns and Consumer Satisfaction', *Journal of Consumer Research*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 84-91.
- Wilcox, R.R., Keselman, H.J. 2003, 'Modern Robust Data Analysis Methods: Measures of Central Tendency', *Psychology Methods*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 254-274.
- Wilson, C. 2013, *Credible Checklists and Quality Questionnaires: A User-Centered Design Method*, Morgan Kaufmann, Massachusetts, USA.
- Wohlin, C. 2014, 'Guidelines for Snowballing in Systematic Literature Studies and a Replication in Software Engineering', *The 18th International Conference on Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering (EASE '14), London, UK, 13-14 May 2014*, pp. 38:1-38:10.
- Wu, H.-K., Lee, S.W.-Y., Chang, H.-Y., Liang, J.-C. 2013, 'Current Status, Opportunities and Challenges of Augmented Reality in Education', *Computers and Education*, vol. 62, pp. 41-49.
- Xie, Z., Antle, A., Motamedi, N. 2008, 'Are Tangibles More Fun?: Comparing Children's Enjoyment and Engagement Using Physical, Graphical and Tangible User Interfaces', *Second International Conference on Tangible and Embedded Interaction (TEI'08), Bonn, Germany, 18-20 February 2008*, pp. 191-198.
- Xu, J., Ding, X., Huang, K., Chen, G. 2016, 'Unsupervised Detection of Abnormal Moments for Usability Testing of Mobile Apps', *The 2016 CHI Conference Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems, (CHI EA '16), California, USA, 7-12 May 2016*, pp. 3247-3254.
- Yamabe, T., Nakajima, T. 2013, 'Playful Training with Augmented Reality Games: Case Studies Towards Reality-oriented System Design', *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 259-286.
- Yamat, H., Fisher, R., Rich, S. 2014, 'Revisiting English Language Learning Among Malaysian Children', *Asian Social Science*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 174-180.
- Yáñez Gómez, R., Cascado Caballero, D., Sevillano, J.L. 2014, 'Heuristic Evaluation on Mobile Interfaces: A New Checklist', *The Scientific World Journal*, vol. 2014, 434326.
- Yavuz, M., Çorbacıoğlu, E., Başoğlu, A.N., Daim, T.U., Shaygan, A. 2021, 'Augmented Reality Technology Adoption: Case of a Mobile Application in Turkey'. *Technology in Society*, vol. 66, 101598.
- Yousef, R., Jamil, H., Razak, N. 2013, 'Willingness to Communicate in English: A Study of Malaysian Pre-service English Teachers', *English Language Teaching*, vol. 6, no. 9, pp. 205-216.
- Yu, W., Hou, Z., Hu, D., Wang, P. 2017, 'Robust Mean Shift Tracking Based on Refined Appearance Model and Online Update', *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, vol. 76, no. 8, pp. 10973-10990.
- Yuen, S., Yaoyuneyong, G., Johnson, E. 2011, 'Augmented Reality: An Overview and Five Directions for AR in Education', *Journal of Educational Technology Development and Exchange*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 119-140.

- Zainuddin, N., Idrus, R.M. 2016, 'The Use of Augmented Reality Enhanced Flashcards for Arabic Vocabulary Acquisition', *2016 13th Learning and Technology Conference (LT), Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, 10-11 April 2016*, pp. 1–5.
- Zhang, H., Babar, M.A., Tell, P. 2011, 'Identifying Relevant Studies in Software Engineering', *Information and Software Technology*, vol. 53, no. 6, pp. 625–637.
- Zhao, S., Ramos, J., Tao, J., Jiang, Z., Li, S., Wu, Z., Pan, G., Dey, A.K. 2016, 'Discovering Different Kinds of Smartphone Users Through Their Application Usage Behaviors', *The 2016 ACM International Joint Conference on Pervasive and Ubiquitous Computing (UbiComp '16), Heidelberg, Germany, 12-16 September 2016*, ACM, pp. 498–509.
- Zhou, F., Duh, H.B.-L., Billinghurst, M. 2008, 'Trends in Augmented Reality Tracking, Interaction and Display: A Review of Ten Years of ISMAR', *The 7th IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality, IEEE Computer Society, Cambridge, UK, 15-18 September 2008*, pp. 193–202.
- Zhou, X., Jin, Y., Zhang, H., Li, S., Huang, X. 2016, 'A Map of Threats to Validity of Systematic Literature Reviews in Software Engineering', *2016 23rd Asia-Pacific Software Engineering Conference (APSEC), Hamilton, New Zealand, 6-9 December 2016*, pp. 153–160.

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

### Journals

1. K. C. Lim, A. Selamat, R. A. Alias, O. Krejcar, and H. Fujita, "Usability Measures in Mobile-Based Augmented Reality Learning Applications: A Systematic Review," *Appl. Sci.*, vol. 9, no. 13, Art. no. 13, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.3390/app9132718 **(ISI Q2)**
2. L. K. Cheng, A. Selamat, F. Puteh, and F. Mohamed, "A Review Of Recent Methodologies, Technologies And Usability In English Language Content Delivery," *J. Teknol.*, vol. 78, no. 12–3, Art. no. 12–3, Dec. 2016, doi: 10.11113/jt.v78.10017 **(Scopus)**
3. Kok Cheng Lim, Ali Selamat, Rose Alinda Alias, Mohd Hazli Mohamed Zabil, Fatimah Puteh and Farhan Mohamed, "Measuring the Feasibility of Clustering Techniques on Usability Performance Data", *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, Vol 11(4), 1-8, 2018

### Book Chapters

1. Lim Kok Cheng, Ali Selamat, Mohd Hazli Mohamed Zabil, Md Hafiz Selamat, Rose Alinda Alias, Fatimah Puteh, Farhan Mohamed and Ondrej Krejcar, "A Comparative Study of Major Clustering Techniques for MAR Learning Usability Prioritization Processes", *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications*, Volume 318: *Advancing Technology Industrialization Through Intelligent Software Methodologies, Tools and Techniques*, 317-328, 2019, Malaysia **(Scopus)**
2. Lim Kok Cheng, Ali Selamat, Mohd Hazli Mohamed Zabil, Md Hafiz Selamat, Rose Alinda Alias, Fatimah Puteh, Farhan Mohamed and Ondrej Krejcar, "Quantifying Usability Prioritization Using K-Means Clustering Algorithm on Hybrid Metric Features for MAR Learning", *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications*, Volume 318: *Advancing Technology Industrialization Through Intelligent Software Methodologies, Tools and Techniques*, 190-204, 2019, Malaysia **(Scopus)**
3. Lim Kok Cheng, Ali Selamat, Mohd Hazli Mohamed Zabil, Md Hafiz Selamat, Rose Alinda Alias, Fatimah Puteh, Farhan Mohamed and Ondrej Krejcar, "A Comparative Usability Study Using Hierarchical Agglomerative and K-Means Clustering on Mobile Augmented Reality Interaction Data", *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications*, Volume 318: *Advancing Technology Industrialization Through Intelligent Software Methodologies, Tools and Techniques*, 190-204, 2019, Malaysia **(Scopus)**

4. Lim Kok Cheng, Ali Selamat, Yunus Yusoff, Mohd Hazli Mohamed Zabil, Md Hafiz Selamat, Rose Alinda Alias, Fatimah Puteh, Farhan Mohamed and Ondrej Krejcar, "Triangulating the implementation of hierarchical agglomerative clustering on MAR-learning usability data", *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications*, Volume 318: Advancing Technology Industrialization Through Intelligent Software Methodologies, Tools and Techniques, 190-204, 2019, Malaysia **(Scopus)**
5. Lim Kok Cheng, Ali Selamat, Mohd Hazli Mohamed Zabil, Md Hafiz Selamat, Rose Alinda Alias, Fatimah Puteh, Farhan Mohamed, Ondrej Krejcar, Enrique Herrera-Viedma, Hamido Fujita, "Feasibility comparison of HA algorithm on usability performance and self-reported metric features for MAR learning", *17th International Conference on New Trends in Intelligent Software Methodology Tools and Techniques, SoMeT 2018*, IOSPress, 896-910, Spain **(Scopus)**
6. Ahmad Alaqsam, Ali Selamat, Rose Alinda Alias, Nor Hidayati Zakaria, Fatimah Puteh, Lim Kok Cheng, Mohammad Nazir Ahmad, "Using augmented virtual reality to improve english language learning", *17th International Conference on New Trends in Intelligent Software Methodology Tools and Techniques, SoMeT 2018*, IOSPress, 759-770, Spain **(Scopus)**
7. Lim Kok Cheng, Ali Selamat, MH Mohamed Zabil, Md Hafiz Selamat, Rose Alinda Alias, Fatimah Puteh, Farhan Mohamed, Ondrej Krejcar, "Usability prioritization using performance metrics and hierarchical agglomerative clustering in MAR-learning application", *Proceedings of the 16th International Conference, SoMeT 2017, Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications (Vol. 297, pp. 731-744)*, 2017, Japan **(Scopus) (Best Paper Award)**
8. Lim Kok Cheng, Ali Selamat, Rose Alinda Alias, Fatimah Puteh, Farhan bin Mohamed, "InterviewME: A comparative pilot study on M-learning and MAR-learning prototypes in Malaysian english language teaching", *International Conference on Computational Intelligence in Information System*, Springer, Cham, 2019-234, 2016, Brunei Darussalam **(Scopus)**

#### **Conference Proceedings**

1. Lim Kok Cheng, Ali Selamat, Mohd Hazli Mohamed Zabil, Md Hafiz Selamat, Rose Alinda Alias, Fatimah Puteh, Farhan Mohamed and Ondrej Krejcar, "Comparing the Accuracy of Hierarchical Agglomerative and K-means Clustering on Mobile Augmented Reality Usability Metrics", *2019 IEEE Conference on Big Data and Analytics (ICBDA)*, 34-40, 2019, Penang Malaysia **(Scopus)**

2. Kok Cheng Lim, Ali Selamat, Nor Azura Md Ghani, Mohd Hazli Mohamed Zabil, Rose Alinda Alias, Fatimah Puteh, “Pre-processing of gender-based comparative usability performance data in Mobile Augmented Reality English language teaching”, 2017 IEEE Conference on e-Learning, e-Management and e-Services (IC3e), 102-207, 2017, Malaysia **(Scopus)**
3. Lim Kok Cheng, Fatimah Puteh, Ali Selamat, Farhan Bin Mohamed, “A review of recent usability measures in English Language Teaching (ELT) technologies”, 2015 9th Malaysian Software Engineering Conference (MySEC), IEEE, 278-283, 2015, Malaysia **(Scopus)**
4. Lim Kok Cheng, Fatimah Puteh, Ali Selamat, Farhan bin Mohamed, “A review of recent methodologies in English language content delivery”, 2015 IEEE Conference on e-Learning, e-Management and e-Services (IC3e), IEEE, 169-174, 2015, Malaysia **(Scopus)**

#### **Other Publications**

1. Lim Kok Cheng, Ali Selamat, Mohd Hazli Mohamed Zabil, Md Hafiz Selamat, Rose Alinda Alias, Fatimah Puteh, Farhan Mohamed and Ondrej Krejcar, “Empirical Study of K-means Clustering on Usability Performance Metrics in MAR-learning”, Poster Proceeding, The 33rd International Conference on Industrial, Engineering & Other Applications of Applied Intelligent Systems (IEA AIE 2020), 22-25 Sept 2020, Japan, ISBN: 978-4-901195-48-5 **(Poster)**
2. Lim Kok Cheng, Ali Selamat, Mohd Hazli Mohamed Zabil, Md Hafiz Selamat, Rose Alinda Alias, Fatimah Puteh, Farhan Mohamed, “Empirical Study of K-means Clustering on Usability Performance Metrics in MAR-learning”, Postgraduate Annual Research Seminar, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 2019.
3. Lim Kok Cheng, Ali Selamat, Mohd Hazli Mohamed Zabil, Md Hafiz Selamat, Rose Alinda Alias, Fatimah Puteh, Farhan Mohamed, “Usability Triangulation of Performance Metrics and Hierarchical Agglomerative Clustering Datasets in MAR-learning”, Postgraduate Annual Research Seminar, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 2018.
4. Lim Kok Cheng, Ali Selamat, Mohd Hazli Mohamed Zabil, Md Hafiz Selamat, Rose Alinda Alias, Fatimah Puteh, Farhan Mohamed, “Performance-based Usability Framework for Mobile Augmented Reality English Language Teaching”, Postgraduate Annual Research Seminar, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 2016 **(Poster)**.