

STAKEHOLDER PARTICIPATION AND MULTI-CRITERIA DECISION
MAKING APPROACHES TO URBAN WATER SUPPLY PLANNING IN
ISKANDAR MALAYSIA

NUR 'ASIKIN BINTI ROSLAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Civil Engineering)

School of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

AUGUST 2022

DEDICATION

Specially dedicated to myself

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Zulkifli bin Yusop, for his patience, guidance and assistance throughout this research. My former late supervisor, who supervise this research for the first three years of my studies and also taught me an important lesson in my life, Dr. Noorul Hassan Zardari who is last were in Quaid-e-Awam University of Engineering, Science and Technology, Campus Larkana, Pakistan.

I would also like to extend my gratitude to all my fellow IPASA friends who also fighting for their masters and Ph.D, for their continuous support and advise. And to those who provide technical assistance, in terms of questionnaire evacuation, thesis formatting, and all the motivational talk. Thank you very much from the deepest part of my heart.

I am also grateful to UTM Zamalah program for providing me scholarship. This had kept me focus on my studies. Last but not least, thank you to all my friends, for their supporting words of wisdom, which keeps me motivated to finish this study.

Note to myself, keep up positive mentality and never forget to count every gratitude and blessings. Stay strong and keep pushing as life is a journey, not a race.

ABSTRACT

Stakeholder participation is a process where the stakeholder's point of view is considered in the decision-making. The Multi-Criteria Decision-Making method (MCDM) is a technique in which all the decision criteria are weighted systematically and used to obtain the best result. This study applied stakeholder participation in complex decision-making of alternative urban water supply source using the MCDM technique. The alternative water resources considered in this study are desalination, reclaimed wastewater, groundwater and rainwater. The main objective of this study is to assign appropriate weightage to urban water supply management criteria through stakeholder participation and establish a priority ranking of different alternatives as the basis for developing an integrated urban water supply management guideline. From 200 criteria, initially listed from various literature, 100 criteria were selected and further reduced to six criteria and 59 Performance Measures by the stakeholders using Online Rating in Google Forms and Ranking by New Modified Graphical Innovative Method. The cost criteria score is the most unique as it gets the first importance in the Innovative method but scores the lowest in Online Rating. Criteria on environmental aspect score second in both questionnaires. Next, potential water supply alternatives were paired in different combinations and presented to the stakeholders in a hypothetical scenario and pairwise comparison were used for the evaluation. Most respondents ranked quality as the most important criteria, followed by hydrology and environment. Preference Ranking Organization Method for Enrichment Evaluations (PROMETHEE) which uses D-Sight Software was applied to get the final weightage of the alternative water supply. The pairwise comparison of hypothetical scenario result shows that rainwater harvesting and surface water score the highest preferences with a score of 20.12. However, rainwater harvesting had more potential with a medium score level compared to low surface water. This is followed by recycled wastewater, 20.01, groundwater, 19.92, and desalination falls last with 19.84, although the potential is high for the study area. However, the results obtained from the PROMETHEE method show that groundwater scored the highest (57.86), followed by desalination (54.74), rainwater harvesting (50.07), storage augmentation, 47.05 (business as usual) and recycling of wastewater (40.30). Even when the result is inconsistent, reflecting different opinions of stakeholders, the decision maker does not prefer business as usual and it is crucial to explore alternative water resources for better future water security. An integrated guideline which combines MCDM and stakeholder participation method was also successfully established through this research.

ABSTRAK

Penyertaan pemegang taruh adalah proses di mana pandangan mereka diambil kira dalam membuat keputusan. Pembuatan Keputusan Berbilang Kriteria (MCDM) adalah teknik membuat keputusan di mana semua kriteria yang berkaitan diberi nilai pemberat secara sistematik dan digunakan untuk mendapatkan keputusan terbaik. Dalam kajian ini, penyertaan pemegang taruh diambil kira dalam membuat keputusan yang kompleks tentang sumber alternatif pembekalan air bandar menggunakan teknik MCDM. Bekalan air alternatif merangkumi sumber-sumber yang bukan konvensional iaitu penyahgaraman, air kumbahan, air bawah tanah dan air hujan. Objektif utama kajian ini adalah untuk menentukan nilai pemberat yang sesuai ke atas kriteria bekalan air perbandaran melalui penyertaan pemegang taruh dan menetapkan pangkat keutamaan ke atas pelbagai alternatif sebagai asas untuk membangunkan garis panduan pengurusan bekalan air perbandaran yang bersepadu. Di peringkat awal, sebanyak 200 kriteria telah disenaraikan berdasarkan kajian literatur. Bilangan kriteria kemudian telah dikurangkan kepada 100 dan akhirnya 6 kriteria dan 59 petunjuk prestasi, yang dipilih oleh pemegang taruh dengan Penskoran Dalam Talian menggunakan Google Forms dan kaedah Inovatif Grafik Terubah. Skor bagi kos adalah paling unik kerana mendapat tertinggi dengan Kaedah Inovatif tetapi mendapat skor terendah dengan kaedah Dalam Talian. Kriteria aspek persekitaran mendapat tempat kedua untuk kedua-dua kaedah bancian. Seterusnya, potensi bekalan air alternatif digabungkan dalam senario yang berbeza dan dikemukakan kepada pemegang taruh dalam senario hipotetikal dan di nilai menggunakan kaedah Perbandingan Berpasangan. Kebanyakan responden meletakkan kualiti sebagai kriteria yang paling penting diikuti hidrologi dan alam sekitar. Pilihan Penskoran Organisasi bagi Kaedah Penilaian Pengayaan (PROMETHEE) melalui Perisian D-Sight digunakan untuk mendapatkan pemberat akhir bekalan air alternatif. Keputusan daripada perbandingan pasangan bagi senario hipotetikal menunjukkan bahawa penuaian air hujan dan air permukaan mendapat pangkat tertinggi dengan skor yang sama iaitu 20.12. Walau bagaimanapun, penuaian air hujan lebih berpotensi dengan peringkat skor sederhana berbanding air permukaan. Ini diikuti dengan kitar semula air buangan dengan skor 20.01, skor air bawah tanah, 19.92 dan penyahgaraman di tempat terakhir, 19.84 walaupun potensinya tinggi untuk kawasan kajian. Walau bagaimanapun, keputusan PROMETHEE menunjukkan skor tertinggi untuk air bawah tanah (57.86) diikuti oleh penyahgaraman (54.74), penuaian air hujan (50.07), penambahan simpanan (perniagaan seperti biasa) (47.05), dan kitar semula air kumbahan (40.30). Meskipun terdapat keputusan yang tidak konsisten disebabkan perbezaan pendapat antara pemegang taruh, senario biasa tidak dipilih oleh pembuat dasar dan sangat penting untuk meneroka sumber air alternatif bagi memastikan keselamatan air masa depan yang lebih terjamin. Garis panduan bersepadu yang menggabungkan kaedah MCDM dan penyertaan pihak berkepentingan juga berjaya dirangka melalui kajian ini.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xx
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objectives of the study	3
	1.4 Scope of Study	4
	1.5 Importance of the Study	4
	1.6 Structure of Thesis	5
	1.7 Summary and Conclusion	6
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1 Introduction	7
	2.2 Iskandar Malaysia	7
	2.2.1 Water Resource in Iskandar Malaysia	8
	2.2.2 Urban Water Supply Status in Iskandar Malaysia	8
	2.3 Projected World Water Demand	10
	2.4 Alternative Water Supply Resources	13

2.4.1	Alternative Water Supply Resources for Different Country	14
2.4.2	Alternative Water Supply Potential for Iskandar Malaysia	15
2.4.2.1	Rainfall Harvesting	18
2.4.2.2	Recycling of Treated Sewerage	20
2.4.2.3	Desalination	21
2.4.2.4	Natural Lakes / Pond / Wetlands	22
2.4.2.5	Interbasin/State Transfer	22
2.4.2.6	Groundwater	23
2.4.2.7	Water Reuse for Non-portable Purposes	24
2.4.2.8	Surface Water Collection	25
2.4.2.9	Stormwater	26
2.5	Stakeholder Participation and Its Importance	27
2.5.1	Different Levels of Stakeholder Participation	31
2.5.2	Review and Trends of Stakeholder Participation in Urban Water Supply Management	33
2.6	Criteria and Performance Measure	34
2.6.1	Performance Measure Definition	36
2.6.1.1	Total Cost	36
2.6.1.2	Running/ Operation/ Implementation Cost	36
2.6.1.3	Construction and Maintenance Cost	37
2.6.1.4	Availability to Clean Water	37
2.6.1.5	Continuity of water supply (Water security)	38
2.6.1.6	Risk of Infection	38
2.6.1.7	Efficiency in redresses of customer complaints	39
2.6.1.8	Extent of Non-revenue Water	40
2.6.1.9	Population Density	41
2.6.1.10	Water Use Intensity	41

2.6.1.11	Total Water Withdrawal per Capita	42
2.6.1.12	Regulation/ Policy Support from Central Government	42
2.6.1.13	Easiness of Legislatives and Institutional Changes for Adaptation	42
2.6.1.14	Chemical Intensity of Water Treatment	43
2.6.1.15	Ecological Monitoring and Management	43
2.6.1.16	River Flow	44
2.6.1.17	Impact on Surface Water	44
2.6.1.18	Operational Reliability	44
2.6.1.19	Operational Efficiency	45
2.6.1.20	Access to Advance Technology	45
2.7	Survey Method	45
2.7.1	Focus Group	47
2.7.2	Questionnaire Surveys	48
2.7.3	Interview Surveys	49
2.8	Multi Criteria Decision Making Method	50
2.9	Literature Survey from Publications	59
2.10	Chapter Discussion	68
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	69
3.1	Introduction	69
3.2	Water Demand Projection and Alternative Water Supply Sources	75
3.3	Criteria and Performance Measures	75
3.4	Expert Survey on Scenario Preference	78
3.5	MCDM Utilisation	81
3.6	Decision Making Guideline	82

CHAPTER 4	STRUCTURING STAKEHOLDER PERCEPTION ON ISKANDAR MALAYSIA WATER SUPPLY	83
4.1	Introduction	83
4.2	Criteria and Performance Measures	83
4.3	Water Alternative Evaluation	108
4.4	Water Supply and Demand	115
4.5	Chapter Discussion	117
CHAPTER 5	COMPARING VARIOUS WEIGHTAGE IN MULTI CRITERIA DECISION MAKING	119
5.1	Introduction	119
5.2	Pairwise Comparison	119
5.3	Pairwise Comparison of Local Council Area of Iskandar Malaysia	124
5.4	Criteria Scoring on Alternative Water Supply	129
5.5	Chapter Discussion	146
CHAPTER 6	GUIDELINE FOR DECISION MAKING IN URBAN WATER SUPPLY PLANNING	147
6.1	Introduction	147
6.2	Water Supply Planning Decision Making Steps	147
6.3	Rational of Stakeholder Participation in Malaysia	149
6.4	Proposed Water Resource Planning Decision Making	150
6.5	Government Agencies Recommended for Stakeholder Engagement	153
6.6	Chapter Discussion	154
CHAPTER 7	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RECOMMENDATIONS	155
7.1	Introduction	155
7.2	Overall Finding	155
7.3	Limitation	156
7.4	Recommendation	157
REFERENCES		159

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2. 1	Population number and growth in Iskandar Malaysia, Johor, and Singapore (Rizzo & Khan, 2013)	8
Table 2. 2	Growth Rate, Projected Population and Projected Water demand for Iskandar Malaysia until 2060 (Khazanah Nasional, 2006)	9
Table 2. 3	Countries and their main and alternative sources of water supply	14
Table 2. 4	Law and policy provision in Malaysia that supports public stakeholder participation	30
Table 2. 5	Participation level, definition, objectives, promises and tools (Burger, 2009)	32
Table 2. 6	NRW component	40
Table 2. 7	Number of Application of weighting methods	52
Table 2. 8	Weighting method literature search in Science Direct, Scopus, Web of Science	54
Table 2. 9	Ranking methods to assign weights (after Malczewski (1999))	55
Table 2. 10	List of MCDM Softwares and the analytical method used (Mustajoki & Marttunen, 2017)	58
Table 2. 11	Distribution of number of papers based on the journal title	61
Table 2. 12	Paper distribution according to field of application in water combining with stakeholder participation	62
Table 2. 13	The number of article on Science Direct by country of origin from Science Direct between 2013 and 2019	63
Table 2. 14	Field of studies for Science Direct publication from 2013-2019	65
Table 2. 15	Comparison of studies in water supply field and the position of this research in current literature	66
Table 3. 1	Flagships of Iskandar Malaysia (Khazanah Nasional, 2006)	70
Table 4. 1	Shortlist of 100 criteria from 200 criteria obtained from literature	84

Table 4. 2	Criteria and PM weight and ranking from Innovative Weighting Method	88
Table 4. 3	Results from online rating method questionnaire	92
Table 4. 4	Comparison of Criteria weight for both Innovative Method and Online Rating Method	95
Table 4. 5	Criteria and Performance Measure weight comparison for Innovative Method and Online Rating questionnaire	96
Table 4. 6	Shortlisting of 59 criteria and PM after questionnaire elimination	107
Table 4. 7	Analysis of local council in Iskandar Malaysia	108
Table 4. 8	Alternative water supply cost estimation	112
Table 4. 9	Water demand projection for Johor Bahru until year 2060 (BAKAJ, 2012)	115
Table 4. 10	Water supply and demand early projection until 2025	116
Table 5. 1	Criteria weightage from expert stakeholder using Pairwise Comparison method	120
Table 5. 2	Respondent consistency check	120
Table 5. 3	Number of times a criterion is preferred from the other based on expert stakeholder pairwise comparison	121
Table 5. 4	Criteria weightage from Pairwise Comparison	123
Table 5. 5	Result of local council alternative water supply site potential pairwise comparison.	126
Table 5. 6	Alternative water supply score based on local council pairwise comparison	128
Table 5. 7	Criteria simplification and scoring against alternative water supply	129
Table 5. 8	PM scoring against alternative water supply in Iskandar Malaysia	130
Table 5. 9	Performance Measures list under the supply cost, supply reliability and extent of service level	134
Table 5. 10	The abbreviation of performance indicator	135
Table 5. 11	Score result and ranking of alternative water supply based on PROMETHEE D-sight	139
Table 5. 12	Alternative water supply scores for individual PM	143

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Growth Rate, Projected Population and Projected Water demand until 2060 (Khazanah Nasional, 2006)	10
Figure 2.2	Stakeholder participation in decision making effective planning	33
Figure 2.3	SAJ customer complaint handling procedure (SAJ, 2021)	39
Figure 2.4	Various MCDM weighting method classification	53
Figure 2.5	Number of publications by country of origin of the paper first author institution between Science Direct and Springer database	60
Figure 3.1	Methodology flowchart on integration of stakeholder participation and MCDM on alternative urban water supply	70
Figure 3.2	Case study location of Iskandar Malaysia	73
Figure 3.3	Work process flowchart corresponding to the objectives	74
Figure 3.4	The methodology for determining priority weights for factors of alternative water resources and performance measurement indicators.	76
Figure 3.5	Online Questionnaire Criteria and PM direct scoring by respondent.	77
Figure 3.6	Interactive Weighting Method questionnaire.	78
Figure 3.7	Pairwise comparison questionnaire for alternative water supply criteria.	79
Figure 3.8	Alternative water supply alternative scenarios pairwise questionnaire.	80
Figure 3.9	MCDM method execution	81
Figure 4.1	Modification of Graphical method from De Feo and De Gisi (2010)	87
Figure 4.2	Comparison of Criteria weight for Innovative and Online Questionnaire	101
Figure 4.3	Comparison of Cost PM weight for Innovative and Online Questionnaire	101

Figure 4.4	Comparison of Supply Reliability PM weight for Innovative and Online Questionnaire	102
Figure 4.5	Comparison of Service Level PM weight for Innovative and Online Questionnaire	102
Figure 4.6	Comparison of Social Aspect PM weight for Innovative and Online Questionnaire	103
Figure 4.7	Comparison of Political Aspect PM weight for Innovative and Online Questionnaire	103
Figure 4.8	Comparison of Economic Development PM weight for Innovative and Online Questionnaire	104
Figure 4.9	Comparison of Environmental Aspect PM weight for Innovative and Online Questionnaire	104
Figure 4.10	Comparison of Hydrological Aspect weight for Innovative and Online Questionnaire	105
Figure 4.11	Comparison of Management Aspect PM weight for Innovative and Online Questionnaire	105
Figure 4.12	Comparison of Technical Aspect PM weight for Innovative and Online Questionnaire	106
Figure 5.1	Number of respondents vs. Weightage Changes in Pairwise comparison	122
Figure 5.2	Weight Changes vs. Number of Respondent in Other Analysis	123
Figure 5.3	Profile of alternative water supply in local council of Iskandar Malaysia	126
Figure 5.4	Two types of scale used in D-sight PROMETHEE method	134
Figure 5.5	Lower and upper boundary of PM and their values	136
Figure 5.6	D-sight software data entry	137
Figure 5.7	Data for alternative water supply potential	138
Figure 5.8	Alternative PROMETHEE D-sight	139
Figure 5.9	PM score component contribution to the total scores	140
Figure 5.10	Representation of the GAIA plane in D-Sight (with GAIA brain and stick projections, Delta = 83.1%)	141
Figure 5.11	Spider web diagram for comparing the highest and the lowest water alternative supply options	144
Figure 5.12	Individual PM score for the highest and the lowest scored alternative	145

Figure 6.1	Stakeholder influence in stage of decision	149
Figure 6.2	Respondent profile from both online and interactive method questionnaire (N=50)	150
Figure 6.3	Integration of stakeholder participation and MCDM in alternative urban water supply decision	152
Figure 6.4	Multiple agencies corresponding to urban water supply of Iskandar Malaysia	153

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

GDP	-	Gross Domestic Product
MCDM	-	Multi Criteria Decision Making
NRW	-	Non Revenue Water
UN	-	United Nations
G20	-	Group of Twenty (Group of 19 countries and the EU)
UTM	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
NAHRIM	-	National Hydraulic Research Institute of Malaysia
US	-	United States
SWTR	-	Surface Water Treatment
PM	-	Performance Measure
USEPA	-	United State Environment Protection Agency
SAJ	-	Syarikat Air Johor
JMS	-	Job Management System
CCM	-	Call Center Management
BIS	-	Billing Information System
RMS	-	Remote Monitoring System
SPAN	-	Suruhanjaya Perkhidmatan Air Negara
NPV	-	Net Present Value
EIA	-	Environmental Impact Assessment
SMART	-	Simple Multi Attribute Rating Technique
SMARTER	-	SMART Exploiting Ranks
AHP	-	Analytical Hierarchy Process
PATTERN	-	Planning Assistance through Technical Evaluation of Relevance Number
TOPSIS	-	Technique for Order of Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution
MCDA	-	Multi Criteria Decision Analysis
MCA	-	Multi Criteria Analysis
MADM	-	Multi Attribute Decision Making

PROMETHEE	-	Preference Ranking Organization Method for Enrichment of Evaluations
MAVT	-	Multi-attribute value theory
GMAA	-	General Multi Attribute Analysis
MDSS	-	Mulino Decision Support System
MACBETH	-	Measuring Attractiveness through Categorical Based Evaluation Technique
LCA	-	Life Cycle Analysis
VIP	-	Variable Interdependent Parameter
ELECTRE	-	Eliminating and Choice Expressing Reality
SDI	-	Sustainable Development Indicator
TNS	-	The Natural Step
DID	-	Department of Irrigation and Drainage
DOE	-	Department of Environment
IRDA	-	Iskandar Region Development Authority
BAKAJ	-	Badan Kawalselia Air Johor
SPSS	-	Statistical Package for Social Sciences
GAIA	-	Geometrical Analysis for Interactive Aid
IWRM	-	Integrated Water Resource Management
IRBM	-	Integrated River Basin Management
MPJB	-	Majlis Perbandaran Johor Bahru
MBIP	-	Majlis Bandaraya Iskandar Puteri
MPK	-	Majlis Perbandaran Kulai
MPPG	-	Majlis Perbandaran Pasir Gudang
MPPG(PG)	-	Majlis Perbandaran Pasir Gudang (Pasir Gudang)
MPPG(T)	-	Majlis Perbandaran Pasir Gudang (Tebrau)
PVC	-	Polyvinyl Chloride
MED	-	Multiple Effect Distillation
MVC	-	Mechanical Vapour Compression
UPVC	-	Unplasticized Polyvinyl Chloride
ABS	-	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene
UV	-	Ultra Violet
RBF	-	River Bank Filtration
RC	-	Running Cost

CC	-	Construction Cost
HI	-	Health Impacts
WSQ	-	Water Supply Quality
CWS	-	Continuity of Water Supply
WCDS	-	Water Consumption Demand and Supply
RI	-	Risk of Infection
ACW	-	Availability to Clean Water
IC	-	Investment Cost
TC	-	Total Cost
MC	-	Maintenance Cost
ERCC	-	Efficiency in Redresses of Customer Complaints
MWC	-	Metering of Water Connection
HCL	-	Household Coverage Level
CuC	-	Customer Complaints
ENRW	-	Extent of Non-Revenue Water

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Online Urban Water Supply Management Criteria Survey	185
Appendix B	Innovative Graphic Questionnaire for Criteria and Performance Measure	187
Appendix C	Pairwise Comparison Questionnaire on the Urban Water Supply Management Criteria	191
Appendix D	Pairwise Comparison for Alternative Water Supply Based on Area of the Local Council Questionnaire	194
Appendix E	Result for pilot survey Innovative Method for shortlisting criteria from total of 100 criteria	197
Appendix F	Online Questionnaire Rating Method Individual Results	219
Appendix G	Elimination Analysis from Innovative Questionnaire	230
Appendix H	Total Weight from all Respondents in Weight Changes as respondent number increase using Pairwise AHP method	236
Appendix I	Other analysis point of view on the Pairwise Comparison for weight changes demonstrated by the respondent	238
Appendix J	Pairwise of Iskandar Region Local Council	240
Appendix K	Majlis Perbandaran Pairwise Comparison Questionnaire Result on Alternative Water Supply	245

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Water supply had become major challenges in many countries and cities due to the high growth rate and climate change. The extreme weather condition with prolonged drought and intense rainfall had severely affected cities which dependent on rain-fed surface water (Kaniewski et al., 2017; Tshenko, 2003). Such example can be seen where prolonged El-Nino had affected their water supply mainly obtained from dam causing several cities to enforce water rationing. This problem mainly rooted in the government inability to foresee the high population growth of their countries despite all the measures taken to mitigate the drought effect. It is crucial to consider alternative supply such as desalination, wastewater recycling, rainwater harvesting groundwater etc. At the same time, it's necessary to strengthen water related policy and management in order to increase water use efficiency in various sectors.

Water supply problem can also be seen locally in Malaysia, with primary water resource which account for 97% surface water sourced from river and dam (Chin & Ng, 2015; Kailasam, 2011), water in Malaysia had experience many instability when prolonged drought occur with effect can be seen when water rationing were enforced.

In Johor, Iskandar Malaysia which is located in southern of Johor had account most of the Johor Gross Domestic Product (GDP) with high population number and high population growth rate (Rizzo & Khan, 2013). The population growth mainly contributed to immigration of people into the area due to better work opportunity and state government initiatives to increase foreign professional to migrate into the area by providing tax incentives (Jayaraman, Khu, & Kiumarsi). The region population is estimated to be 1.3 million (Rizzo & Khan, 2013) which is 43 % from 3.17 million the total population of Johor. With fast increasing population, Johor state needs to cope up

with providing them utilities such as power and water supply not only for the well-being of the community but also for the economic to prosper (Sabri & Yaakup, 2008).

Urban water supply in Iskandar Malaysia is predicted to be insufficient by year 2025 with shortages of approximately 100 million litre per day (Khazanah Nasional, 2006). This amount is very huge since Malaysia is blessed with high average annual rainfall of 2500 mm/yr left only the possibility of the problem to be based on the inefficient planning and water usages. Many measures to improvise urban water supply system had been planned such as erecting new treatment plants, building of trenches, concrete water tank, construction and repairing of existing pipeline, reducing non-revenue water and etc. with estimated cost of RM769 million (Khazanah Nasional, 2006). With identification of new source and infrastructure improvement, supply plans still need to be reformed to ensure supply sustainability and to cope with external factors.

1.2 Problem Statement

The alternative water supply and management systems can be adopted by the current decision makers in the area of case study and also be extended to other main river basins which supply water to urban area. It is important especially in developing countries to have some guideline and contingency plan on the urban water supply system and for them to revise the current operation systems to meet the growing population demand in fast changing environment where lots of factors and wrong decision can deteriorate our water resources.

As Malaysia is blessed with abundant of rainfall, the remaining problems that often cause water shortages are related to inefficient planning and water usages. Planning has to take into account the other stakeholder preferences to achieve fair operation that can benefit all stakeholders (Hall, Gilbertz, Anderson, & Ward, 2016). Achieving one goal (objectives) by sacrificing all other objectives is not an option to be taken especially when the risk involve is high such as water shortages (Alexander, Moglia, & Miller, 2010; Ivey, Smithers, De Loë, & Kreutzwiser, 2004). Decision by

intuition is outdated and of high risks. Thus, there should be a call for systematic ways in making decision by leveraging on reliable softwares and methods so that the best decision can be made.

By integrating stakeholder participation into decision making process and aided with MCDM method, a solid and reliable decision can be made which benefit not only the decision makers, but also all the stakeholders and for the sustainable use of water resources for future generations.

Integration of MCDM and stakeholder participation will create a new perspective on water resource planning and management. Integrated water resource planning nowadays has considered inclusion of all stakeholders to achieve mutual benefit in the ecology, social as well as the economy (Barbosa, Mushtaq, & Alam, 2017; Brombal et al., 2018; Højberg, Troldborg, Stisen, Christensen, & Henriksen, 2013; Llopis-Albert, Palacios-Marques, & Soto-Acosta, 2015). The new integration of stakeholder participation will further strengthen these stakeholders understanding on the water resource management and options and can be used to project outcomes of the implementation of the alternative water supply and management systems. The integration seems necessary to nurture right behaviour amongst water users and stakeholders apart from adopting the best available technologies to achieve desired goal (Masimbe, 2018).

1.3 Objectives of the study

Objectives of the study is as follows:

- a) To assign appropriate weightage to urban water supply management criteria through stakeholder participation.
- b) To develop a priority ranking of different alternatives in urban water supply using MCDM method by taking stakeholders' preferences in decision making process

- c) To establish an integrated guideline framework for urban water supply management by using stakeholder participation and MCDM method.

1.4 Scope of Study

The scope of the study includes developing water supply management plan in integrated manners by considering multi criteria methods and stakeholder involvement in decision making. Detail analysis of this method leads to experimentation with various methods and improvisation to better reflect stakeholder preferences. Multiple criteria related to urban water supply planning were outlined and used for measuring the suitability of alternative water supply options. Comparison of various alternative water supply options then follows. Special emphasis were given to the participation method which considered the community perception on the potential alternative water supply source chosen for water supply augmentation.

1.5 Importance of the Study

Integration of stakeholder participation as well as MCDM method will create a strong decision-making tool. Integrated water resource planning nowadays has taken into account inclusion of all stakeholders to achieve mutual benefit in the ecology, social and also the economy (Aliewi, El-Sayed, Akbar, Hadi, & Al-Rashed, 2017; Dandy et al., 2019; Molinos-Senante et al., 2016; Molinos-Senante, Muñoz, & Chamorro, 2019; Pathak, Garg, Jato-Espino, Lakshmi, & Ojha, 2019). Integration give stakeholder and the public sense of ownership which is important in water supply management (Masimbe, 2018). The integrated decision making will be able to address urban water management issues in current social situation.

As Malaysia is blessed with high rainfall every year, the remaining problems that often cause water shortages are associated with inefficient planning and water usages. Planning has to consider other stakeholders' preferences to achieve fair decision making that can benefit all stakeholders. Achieving one goal (objectives) by

sacrificing all other objectives is not an option especially when the risks involve is high. Decisions by intuition were also outdated and of high risks. There should be a call for systematic ways in making decision, aided by reliable software and programme so that best decision can be made.

Thus, the aim of this study is that by integrating stakeholder participation into decision making process, a solid and reliable decision can be made which benefit not only the decision makers, but also all stakeholders in ensuring sustainability of water resources for future generations.

1.6 Structure of Thesis

This thesis presents extensive literature review on the study area which is Iskandar Malaysia as well as its current water supply status. The literature review explores on alternative water supply options available and success stories on utilization of alternative water supply in other countries.

The second part of the literature review explores the stakeholder participation process, starting from the participation level needed, planning process, selection of methods, to execution of the process. It then proceeds with few examples of utilization of popular methods in different countries.

Chapter three outlines the methodology which include the identification of suitable alternative source in specified study area, scenario building, criteria identification, participation method selection and data analysis technique.

Chapters 4, 5 and 6 present the findings and discussion based on the objectives outlined previously. Chapter 7 will conclude the whole thesis, recommend best solution to the problems and present future study opportunity.

1.7 Summary and Conclusion

Surface water might seem as cheapest source of water supply but reliability was affected by climate extremities. Alternative water supply which are more reliable and consistent are now available and with advancement of technology, these alternative supplies can be harvested at cheaper rate. Alternative supply is also suitable to be implemented at regions with high development rate where continuous water supply is important to ensure economic and agricultural growth as well as social well-being.

Selection of alternative water supply can be made through MCDM method with active stakeholder participation, elaborate weighting tools and innovative questionnaire construction which can tackle the complex cognition of decision maker and stakeholder alike.

Iskandar Malaysia, being rapidly developed is seen suitable as candidate for MCDM implementation. Alternative water supply decision making will gain advantage through the objectives of this thesis.

REFERENCES

- Aalianvari, A., Katibeh, H., & Sharifzadeh, M. (2012). Application of fuzzy Delphi AHP method for the estimation and classification of Ghomrud tunnel from groundwater flow hazard. *Arabian journal of geosciences*, 5(2), 275-284.
- Abdulla, F. A., & Al-Shareef, A. (2009). Roof rainwater harvesting systems for household water supply in Jordan. *Desalination*, 243(1-3), 195-207.
- Ahmed, F., Siwar, C., & Begum, R. A. (2014). Water resources in Malaysia: Issues and challenges. *J. Food Agric. Environ*, 12(2), 1100-1104.
- Akrami, M., Salah, A. H., Dibaj, M., Porcheron, M., Javadi, A. A., Farmani, R., . . . Negm, A. (2020). A Zero-Liquid Discharge Model for a Transient Solar-Powered Desalination System for Greenhouse. *Water*, 12(5), 1440.
- Al-Badaii, F., Shuhaimi-Othman, M., & Gasim, M. B. (2013). Water quality assessment of the Semenyih river, Selangor, Malaysia. *Journal of Chemistry*, 2013.
- Alexander, K. S., Moglia, M., & Miller, C. (2010). Water needs assessment: Learning to deal with scale, subjectivity and high stakes. *Journal of Hydrology*, 388(3), 251-257. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.05.003>
- Ali, A. M., Shafiee, M. E., & Berglund, E. Z. (2017). Agent-based modeling to simulate the dynamics of urban water supply: Climate, population growth, and water shortages. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 28, 420-434.
- Aliawi, A., El-Sayed, E., Akbar, A., Hadi, K., & Al-Rashed, M. (2017). Evaluation of desalination and other strategic management options using multi-criteria decision analysis in Kuwait. *Desalination*, 413, 40-51. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2017.03.006>
- Al-Kloub, B., Al-Shemmeri, T., & Pearman, A. (1997). The role of weights in multi-criteria decision aid, and the ranking of water projects in Jordan. *European Journal of operational research*, 99(2), 278-288.
- Allan, C., Xia, J., & Pahl-Wostl, C. (2013). Climate change and water security: challenges for adaptive water management. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 5(6), 625-632. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2013.09.004>

- Ananda, J., & Proctor, W. (2013). Collaborative approaches to water management and planning: An institutional perspective. *Ecological Economics*, 86, 97-106.
- Anderson, J. (2003). The environmental benefits of water recycling and reuse. *Water Science and Technology: Water Supply*, 3(4), 1-10.
- Arnette, A., Zobel, C., Bosch, D., Pease, J., & Metcalfe, T. (2010). Stakeholder ranking of watershed goals with the vector analytic hierarchy process: Effects of participant grouping scenarios. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 25(11), 1459-1469. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2009.10.009>
- Ayob, S., & Rahmat, S. N. (2017). *Rainwater harvesting (RWH) and groundwater potential as alternatives water resources in Malaysia: a review*. Paper presented at the MATEC Web of Conferences.
- Azeem, M., Noman, M. T., Wiener, J., Petru, M., & Louda, P. (2020). Structural design of efficient fog collectors: A review. *Environmental Technology & Innovation*, 101169.
- Azhoni, A., Holman, I., & Jude, S. (2017). Adapting water management to climate change: Institutional involvement, inter-institutional networks and barriers in India. *Global Environmental Change*, 44, 144-157.
- Bahri, A., & Asano, T. (2011). Global challenges to wastewater reclamation and reuse. *On the Water Front*, 65.
- Barbosa, M. C., Mushtaq, S., & Alam, K. (2017). Integrated water resources management: Are river basin committees in Brazil enabling effective stakeholder interaction? *Environmental Science & Policy*, 76, 1-11. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2017.06.002>
- Barthel, R., Janisch, S., Schwarz, N., Trifkovic, A., Nickel, D., Schulz, C., & Mauser, W. (2008). An integrated modelling framework for simulating regional-scale actor responses to global change in the water domain. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 23(9), 1095-1121.
- Bek, D., Nel, E., & Binns, T. (2017). Jobs, water or conservation? Deconstructing the green economy in South Africa's Working For Water Programme. *Environmental development*, 24, 136-145.
- Benito, G., Rohde, R., Seely, M., Külls, C., Dahan, O., Enzel, Y., . . . Grodek, T. (2010). Management of alluvial aquifers in two southern African ephemeral rivers: implications for IWRM. *Water Resources Management*, 24(4), 641-667.

- Bennett, A. (2011). Cost effective desalination: innovation continues to lower desalination costs. *Filtration+ Separation*, 48(4), 24-27.
- Berbel, J., & Esteban, E. (2019). Droughts as a catalyst for water policy change. Analysis of Spain, Australia (MDB), and California. *Global Environmental Change*, 58, 101969.
- Berg, H. E., & BenDor, T. K. (2010). A case study of form-based solutions for watershed protection. *Environmental management*, 46(3), 436-451.
- Berke, P., Spurlock, D., Hess, G., & Band, L. (2013). Local comprehensive plan quality and regional ecosystem protection: The case of the Jordan Lake watershed, North Carolina, USA. *Land Use Policy*, 31, 450-459.
- Bertanza, G., Sorlini, S., & Vaccari, M. (2018). Integrated Assessment Challenges in the Water, Wastewater and Waste Domains: Case Studies. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 51(5), 25-30. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2018.06.194>
- Bhadwal, S., Groot, A., Balakrishnan, S., Nair, S., Ghosh, S., Lingaraj, G. J., . . . Siderius, C. (2013). Adaptation to changing water resource availability in Northern India with respect to Himalayan Glacier retreat and changing monsoons using participatory approaches. *Science of The Total Environment*, 468-469, S152-S161. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.05.024>
- Bhave, A. G., Mishra, A., & Groot, A. (2013). Sub-basin scale characterization of climate change vulnerability, impacts and adaptation in an Indian River basin. *Regional Environmental Change*, 13(5), 1087-1098.
- Borisova, T., Rawls, C., & Adams, D. (2009). Balancing Urban Water Demand and Supply in Florida: Overview of Tools Available to Water Managers. *University of Florida, United States of America*.
- Bourblanc, M., & Blanchon, D. (2014). The challenges of rescaling South African water resources management: Catchment Management Agencies and interbasin transfers. *Journal of Hydrology*, 519, 2381-2391.
- Bouwer, H. (2000). Integrated water management: emerging issues and challenges. *Agricultural water management*, 45(3), 217-228.
- Brans, J.-P., & De Smet, Y. (2016). PROMETHEE methods. In *Multiple criteria decision analysis* (pp. 187-219): Springer.

- Broad, K., Pfaff, A., Taddei, R., Sankarasubramanian, A., Lall, U., & de Souza Filho, F. d. A. (2007). Climate, stream flow prediction and water management in northeast Brazil: societal trends and forecast value. *Climatic Change*, *84*(2), 217-239.
- Brombal, D., Niu, Y., Pizzol, L., Moriggi, A., Wang, J., Critto, A., . . . Marcomini, A. (2018). A participatory sustainability assessment for integrated watershed management in urban China. *Environmental Science & Policy*, *85*, 54-63. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2018.03.020>
- Brunner, N., Lele, A., Starkl, M., & Grassini, L. (2010). Water sector reform policy of India: Experiences from case studies in Maharashtra. *Journal of Policy Modeling*, *32*(4), 544-561.
- Burger, J. (2009). Stakeholder involvement in indicator selection: case studies and levels of participation. *Environmental Bioindicators*, *4*(2), 170-190.
- Burger, J. (2011). *Stakeholders and scientists: Achieving implementable solutions to energy and environmental issues*: Springer Science & Business Media.
- Butler, C., & Adamowski, J. (2015). Empowering marginalized communities in water resources management: Addressing inequitable practices in Participatory Model Building. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *153*, 153-162.
- Carpenter, S. R., Caraco, N. F., Correll, D. L., Howarth, R. W., Sharpley, A. N., & Smith, V. H. (1998). Nonpoint pollution of surface waters with phosphorus and nitrogen. *Ecological Applications*, *8*(3), 559-568. Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-0031826381&partnerID=40&md5=4ee511c44ce0f10b03fba0623f5c7a49>
- Cash, C., & Swatuk, L. (2011). *Integrated development planning in South Africa: lessons from the Dwars River Valley*. Paper presented at the Urban forum.
- Ceccato, L., Giannini, V., & Giupponi, C. (2011). Participatory assessment of adaptation strategies to flood risk in the Upper Brahmaputra and Danube river basins. *Environmental science & policy*, *14*(8), 1163-1174.
- Chan, F. K. S., Chuah, C. J., Ziegler, A. D., Dąbrowski, M., & Varis, O. (2018). Towards resilient flood risk management for Asian coastal cities: Lessons learned from Hong Kong and Singapore. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *187*, 576-589. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.03.217>

- Chan, N. W. (2012). Managing urban rivers and water quality in Malaysia for sustainable water resources. *International Journal of Water Resources Development*, 28(2), 343-354.
- Chanan, A., & Woods, P. (2006). Introducing total water cycle management in Sydney: a Kogarah Council initiative. *Desalination*, 187(1-3), 11-16.
- Chande, M. M., & Mayo, A. W. (2019). Assessment of groundwater vulnerability and water quality of Ngwerere sub-catchment urban aquifers in Lusaka, Zambia. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, 112, 113-124.
- Chang, C. K., Zakaria, N. A., & Othman, M. R. (2018). *Integrated Urban Stormwater Management and Planning for New Township Development in Malaysia*. Paper presented at the MATEC Web of Conferences.
- Che-Ani, A., Shaari, N., Sairi, A., Zain, M., & Tahir, M. (2009). Rainwater harvesting as an alternative water supply in the future. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 34(1), 132-140.
- Chen, J., Shi, H., Sivakumar, B., & Peart, M. R. (2016). Population, water, food, energy and dams. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 56, 18-28.
- Chin, C. M., & Ng, Y. J. (2015). A perspective study on the urban river pollution in Malaysia. *Chemical Engineering Transactions*, 45, 745-750.
- Chow, M., Yusop, Z., & Toriman, M. E. (2012). Modelling runoff quantity and quality in tropical urban catchments using Storm Water Management Model. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 9(4), 737-748.
- Cinderby, S., Bruin, A. d., Mbilinyi, B., Kongo, V., & Barron, J. (2011). Participatory geographic information systems for agricultural water management scenario development: A Tanzanian case study. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, 36(14), 1093-1102. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2011.07.039>
- Clark, J. R., & Semmahasak, C. (2013). Evaluating adaptive governance approaches to sustainable water management in north-west Thailand. *Environmental management*, 51(4), 882-896.
- Cofie, O., & Amede, T. (2015). Water management for sustainable agricultural intensification and smallholder resilience in sub-Saharan Africa. *Water Resources and Rural Development*, 6, 3-11.

- Cohen, S., Neilsen, D., Smith, S., Neale, T., Taylor, B., Barton, M., . . . Mcneill, R. (2006). Learning with local help: expanding the dialogue on climate change and water management in the Okanagan Region, British Columbia, Canada. *Climatic Change*, 75(3), 331-358.
- Cowie, G. M., & Borrett, S. R. (2005). Institutional perspectives on participation and information in water management. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 20(4), 469-483.
- Craig, R. K. (2009). Water supply, desalination, climate change, and energy policy. *Pac. McGeorge Global Bus. & Dev. LJ*, 22, 225.
- Dandy, G. C., Marchi, A., Maier, H. R., Kandulu, J., MacDonald, D. H., & Ganji, A. (2019). An integrated framework for selecting and evaluating the performance of stormwater harvesting options to supplement existing water supply systems. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 122, 104554. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2019.104554>
- Dawadi, S., & Ahmad, S. (2013). Evaluating the impact of demand-side management on water resources under changing climatic conditions and increasing population. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 114, 261-275.
- De Feo, G., & De Gisi, S. (2010). Using an innovative criteria weighting tool for stakeholders involvement to rank MSW facility sites with the AHP. *Waste Management*, 30(11), 2370-2382.
- De Graaf, R., & Van Der Brugge, R. (2010). Transforming water infrastructure by linking water management and urban renewal in Rotterdam. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 77(8), 1282-1291.
- Deere, D., Leusch, F. D. L., Humpage, A., Cunliffe, D., & Khan, S. J. (2017). Hypothetical scenario exercises to improve planning and readiness for drinking water quality management during extreme weather events. *Water Research*, 111, 100-108. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.12.028>
- Deng, H., Yeh, C.-H., & Willis, R. J. (2000). Inter-company comparison using modified TOPSIS with objective weights. *Computers & Operations Research*, 27(10), 963-973. doi:10.1016/s0305-0548(99)00069-6
- Deng, M., Qin, D., & Zhang, H. (2012). Public perceptions of climate and cryosphere change in typical arid inland river areas of China: Facts, impacts and selections of adaptation measures. *Quaternary International*, 282, 48-57.

- Desta, H., Lemma, B., & Stellmacher, T. (2017). Farmers' awareness and perception of Lake Ziway (Ethiopia) and its watershed management. *Limnologia - Ecology and Management of Inland Waters*, 65, 61-75. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.limno.2017.07.005
- Dharupaneedi, S. P., Nataraj, S. K., Nadagouda, M., Reddy, K. R., Shukla, S. S., & Aminabhavi, T. M. (2019). Membrane-based separation of potential emerging pollutants. *Separation and purification technology*, 210, 850-866.
- Diakoulaki, D., Mavrotas, G., & Papayannakis, L. (1995). Determining objective weights in multiple criteria problems: The critic method. *Computers & Operations Research*, 22(7), 763-770. doi:10.1016/0305-0548(94)00059-h
- Domènech, L., & Saurí, D. (2011). A comparative appraisal of the use of rainwater harvesting in single and multi-family buildings of the Metropolitan Area of Barcelona (Spain): social experience, drinking water savings and economic costs. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 19(6-7), 598-608.
- Dore, J., & Lebel, L. (2010). Deliberation and scale in Mekong region water governance. *Environmental management*, 46(1), 60-80.
- Dore, J., Lebel, L., & Molle, F. (2012). A framework for analysing transboundary water governance complexes, illustrated in the Mekong Region. *Journal of Hydrology*, 466, 23-36.
- Doummar, J., Massoud, M., Houry, R., & Khawlie, M. (2009). Optimal water resources management: Case of lower Litani River, Lebanon. *Water Resources Management*, 23(11), 2343-2360.
- Farolfi, S., Müller, J.-P., & Bonté, B. (2010). An iterative construction of multi-agent models to represent water supply and demand dynamics at the catchment level. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 25(10), 1130-1148.
- Figueira, J., & Roy, B. (2002). Determining the weights of criteria in the ELECTRE type methods with a revised Simos' procedure. *European Journal of operational research*, 139(2), 317-326.
- Floress, K., Prokopy, L. S., & Ayres, J. (2011). Who's in charge: Role clarity in a Midwestern watershed group. *Environmental management*, 48(4), 825-834.
- Floress, K., Reimer, A., Thompson, A., Burbach, M., Knutson, C., Prokopy, L., . . . Ulrich-Schad, J. (2018). Measuring farmer conservation behaviors: Challenges and best practices. *Land Use Policy*, 70, 414-418.

- Frank, H., Fussmann, K. E., Rahav, E., & Zeev, E. B. (2019). Chronic effects of brine discharge from large-scale seawater reverse osmosis desalination facilities on benthic bacteria. *Water Research*, *151*, 478-487.
- Frija, A., Dhehibi, B., Chebil, A., & Villholth, K. G. (2015). Performance evaluation of groundwater management instruments: The case of irrigation sector in Tunisia. *Groundwater for Sustainable Development*, *1*(1), 23-32. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsd.2015.12.001>
- Fuller, B. W. (2009). Surprising cooperation despite apparently irreconcilable differences: Agricultural water use efficiency and CALFED. *Environmental Science & Policy*, *12*(6), 663-673. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2009.03.004>
- Furlong, C., Brotchie, R., Considine, R., Finlayson, G., & Guthrie, L. (2017). Key concepts for integrated urban water management infrastructure planning: lessons from Melbourne. *Utilities Policy*, *45*, 84-96.
- Furumai, H., Kim, J., Imbe, M., & Okui, H. (2008). *Recent application of rainwater storage and harvesting in Japan*. Paper presented at the The 3rd RWHM Workshop.
- Furuoka, F. (2011). Japanese aid to the pahang-selangor water transfer project in Malaysia: Aid guidelines and decision-making. *New Zealand Journal of Asian Studies*, *13*(1), 31-45.
- Gaddis, E. J. B., Falk, H. H., Ginger, C., & Voinov, A. (2010). Effectiveness of a participatory modeling effort to identify and advance community water resource goals in St. Albans, Vermont. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, *25*(11), 1428-1438. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2009.06.004>
- Gallego-Ayala, J., & Juárez, D. (2012). Performance evaluation of River Basin Organizations to implement integrated water resources management using composite indexes. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, *50*, 205-216.
- Garfi, M., Ferrer-Martí, L., Bonoli, A., & Tondelli, S. (2011). Multi-criteria analysis for improving strategic environmental assessment of water programmes. A case study in semi-arid region of Brazil. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *92*(3), 665-675.

- Garrick, D., Siebentritt, M. A., Aylward, B., Bauer, C., & Purkey, A. (2009). Water markets and freshwater ecosystem services: Policy reform and implementation in the Columbia and Murray-Darling Basins. *Ecological Economics*, *69*(2), 366-379.
- Gebremeskel Haile, G., Tang, Q., Sun, S., Huang, Z., Zhang, X., & Liu, X. (2019). Droughts in East Africa: Causes, impacts and resilience. *Earth-Science Reviews*, *193*, 146-161. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.04.015>
- Georgakakos, A., Yao, H., Kistenmacher, M., Georgakakos, K., Graham, N., Cheng, F.-Y., . . . Shamir, E. (2012). Value of adaptive water resources management in Northern California under climatic variability and change: Reservoir management. *Journal of Hydrology*, *412*, 34-46.
- Ghozali, A., Ariyaningsih, Sukmara, R. B., & Aulia, B. U. (2016). A Comparative Study of Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation on Flood Management between Ayutthaya City (Thailand) and Samarinda City (Indonesia). *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *227*, 424-429. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.06.096>
- Godskesen, B., Hauschild, M., Albrechtsen, H. J., & Rygaard, M. (2018). ASTA — A method for multi-criteria evaluation of water supply technologies to Assess the most SusTainable Alternative for Copenhagen. *Science of The Total Environment*, *618*, 399-408. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.11.018>
- Goodspeed, R., Van Eyl, A., & Vaccaro, L. (2018). Analyzing stakeholder's perceptions of uncertainty to advance collaborative sustainability science: Case study of the watershed assessment of nutrient loads to the Detroit River project. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, *72*, 145-156.
- Grolleau, G., & McCann, L. M. (2012). Designing watershed programs to pay farmers for water quality services: Case studies of Munich and New York City. *Ecological Economics*, *76*, 87-94.
- Grum, B., Hessel, R., Kessler, A., Woldearegay, K., Yazew, E., Ritsema, C., & Geissen, V. (2016). A decision support approach for the selection and implementation of water harvesting techniques in arid and semi-arid regions. *Agricultural water management*, *173*, 35-47.

- Haile, G. G., Tang, Q., Sun, S., Huang, Z., Zhang, X., & Liu, X. (2019). Droughts in East Africa: Causes, impacts and resilience. *Earth-science reviews*, *193*, 146-161.
- Hall, D. M., Gilbertz, S. J., Anderson, M. B., & Ward, L. C. (2016). Beyond “buy-in”: designing citizen participation in water planning as research. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *133*, 725-734. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.05.170>
- Hammer, M., Balfors, B., Mörtberg, U., Petersson, M., & Quin, A. (2011). Governance of water resources in the phase of change: A case study of the implementation of the EU Water Framework Directive in Sweden. *Ambio*, *40*(2), 210-220.
- Harmancioglu, N. B., Fedra, K., & Barbaros, F. (2008). Analysis for sustainability in management of water scarce basins: the case of the Gediz River Basin in Turkey. *Desalination*, *226*(1-3), 175-182.
- Harris-Lovett, S., Lienert, J., & Sedlak, D. (2019). A mixed-methods approach to strategic planning for multi-benefit regional water infrastructure. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *233*, 218-237.
- Hartmann, J., Levy, J. K., & Okada, N. (2006). Managing surface water contamination in Nagoya, Japan: an integrated water basin management decision framework. *Water Resources Management*, *20*(3), 411-430.
- Hashim, H., Hudzori, A., Yusop, Z. and Ho, W.S., 2013. Simulation based programming for optimization of large-scale rainwater harvesting system: Malaysia case study. *Resources, conservation and recycling*, *80*, pp.1-9.
- Henriques, C., Holman, I. P., Audsley, E., & Pearn, K. (2008). An interactive multi-scale integrated assessment of future regional water availability for agricultural irrigation in East Anglia and North West England. *Climatic Change*, *90*(1), 89-111.
- Hermans, C., Erickson, J., Noordewier, T., Sheldon, A., & Kline, M. (2007). Collaborative environmental planning in river management: An application of multicriteria decision analysis in the White River Watershed in Vermont. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *84*(4), 534-546.
- Højberg, A. L., Troldborg, L., Stisen, S., Christensen, B. B. S., & Henriksen, H. J. (2013). Stakeholder driven update and improvement of a national water resources model. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, *40*, 202-213. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2012.09.010>

- Holt, L. (2005). Utility service quality—telecommunications, electricity, water. *Utilities Policy*, 13(3), 189-200.
- Hommel, S., Vinke-de Kruijf, J., Otter, H. S., & Bouma, G. (2009). Knowledge and perceptions in participatory policy processes: lessons from the Delta-region in the Netherlands. *Water Resources Management*, 23(8), 1641-1663.
- Iglesias, A., Garrote, L., Diz, A., Schlickerrieder, J., & Martin-Carrasco, F. (2011). Re-thinking water policy priorities in the Mediterranean region in view of climate change. *Environmental science & policy*, 14(7), 744-757.
- Inamdar, P. M., Sharma, A. K., Cook, S., & Perera, B. J. C. (2018). Evaluation of stormwater harvesting sites using multi criteria decision methodology. *Journal of Hydrology*, 562, 181-192. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.04.066>
- Isendahl, N., Dewulf, A., & Pahl-Wostl, C. (2010). Making framing of uncertainty in water management practice explicit by using a participant-structured approach. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 91(4), 844-851.
- Ivey, J. L., Smithers, J., De Loë, R. C., & Kreutzwiser, R. D. (2004). Community capacity for adaptation to climate-induced water shortages: linking institutional complexity and local actors. *Environmental management*, 33(1), 36-47.
- Ivey, J., De Loe, R., & Kreutzwiser, R. (2006). Planning for source water protection in Ontario. *Applied Geography*, 26(3-4), 192-209.
- Ivey, J., De Loë, R., Kreutzwiser, R., & Ferreyra, C. (2006). An institutional perspective on local capacity for source water protection. *Geoforum*, 37(6), 944-957.
- Iwasaki, S. (2013). Fishers-based watershed management in Lake Saroma, Japan. *Ocean & coastal management*, 81, 58-65.
- Jackson, S., Tan, P.-L., & Nolan, S. (2012). Tools to enhance public participation and confidence in the development of the Howard East aquifer water plan, Northern Territory. *Journal of Hydrology*, 474, 22-28.
- Janssen, J. A., Hoekstra, A. Y., de Kok, J.-L., & Schielen, R. M. (2009). Delineating the model-stakeholder gap: framing perceptions to analyse the information requirement in river management. *Water Resources Management*, 23(7), 1423-1445.

- Jarvie, H. P., Whitton, B. A., & Neal, C. (1998). Nitrogen and phosphorus in east coast British rivers: Speciation, sources and biological significance. *Science of The Total Environment*, 210-211, 79-109. Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-0005998566&partnerID=40&md5=a654c43c098938a222bdf99a0d474670>
- Jayaraman, K., Khu, S. Y., & Kiumarsi, S. (2019) Emigrants' motivation business model for intention to participate in the Malaysia My Second Home program (MM2H). *Services and operations Management* 33(2):187-207
- Jiang, H., Qiang, M., & Lin, P. (2016). Assessment of online public opinions on large infrastructure projects: A case study of the Three Gorges Project in China. *Environmental Impact Assessment Review*, 61, 38-51.
- Kailasam, K. (2011). Community water quality monitoring programme in Malaysia. *A Water Environment Partnership in Asia (WEPA) report*, 6pp. Retrieved October 21st.
- Kallis, G., Kiparsky, M., & Norgaard, R. (2009). Collaborative governance and adaptive management: Lessons from California's CALFED Water Program. *Environmental science & policy*, 12(6), 631-643.
- Kaniewski, D., Marriner, N., Ilan, D., Morhange, C., Thareani, Y., & Van Campo, E. (2017). Climate change and water management in the biblical city of Dan. *Science advances*, 3(11), e1700954.
- Karageorgis, A., Skourtos, M., Kapsimalis, V., Kontogianni, A., Skoulikidis, N. T., Pagou, K., . . . Karamanos, H. (2005). An integrated approach to watershed management within the DPSIR framework: Axios River catchment and Thermaikos Gulf. *Regional Environmental Change*, 5(2), 138-160.
- Karatayev, M., Kapsalyamova, Z., Spankulova, L., Skakova, A., Movkebayeva, G., & Kongyrbay, A. (2017). Priorities and challenges for a sustainable management of water resources in Kazakhstan. *Sustainability of Water Quality and Ecology*, 9, 115-135.
- Kasri, R., & Moersidik, S. (2018). Citizen engagement: An approach to sustaining Indonesian rural water supply and sanitation? *Competition and Cooperation in Social and Political Sciences*.
- Katimon, A., Shahid, S., & Mohsenipour, M. (2018). Modeling water quality and hydrological variables using ARIMA: a case study of Johor River, Malaysia. *Sustainable Water Resources Management*, 4(4), 991-998.

- Khazanah Nasional. (2006). *Comprehensive Development Plan for South Johor Economic Region 2006-2025*. Kuala Lumpur: Khazanah Nasional Berhad.
- Kodikara, P. N., Perera, B., & Kularathna, M. (2010). Stakeholder preference elicitation and modelling in multi-criteria decision analysis—A case study on urban water supply. *European Journal of operational research*, 206(1), 209-220.
- Koehler, B., & Koontz, T. M. (2008). Citizen participation in collaborative watershed partnerships. *Environmental management*, 41(2), 143-154.
- König, H. J., Sghaier, M., Schuler, J., Abdeladhim, M., Helming, K., Tonneau, J.-P., . . . Wiggering, H. (2012). Participatory impact assessment of soil and water conservation scenarios in Oum Zessar watershed, Tunisia. *Environmental management*, 50(1), 153-165.
- Krasner, S. W., Westerhoff, P., Chen, B., Rittmann, B. E., & Amy, G. (2009). Occurrence of disinfection byproducts in United States wastewater treatment plant effluents. *Environmental science & technology*, 43(21), 8320-8325.
- Krishnan, S. (2019). Water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) and disaster recovery for community resilience: A mixed methods study from Odisha, India. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 35, 101061.
- Kristensen, S., Noble, B. F., & Patrick, R. J. (2013). Capacity for watershed cumulative effects assessment and management: Lessons from the Lower Fraser River Basin, Canada. *Environmental management*, 52(2), 360-373.
- Kwangware, J., Mayo, A., & Hoko, Z. (2014). Sustainability of donor-funded rural water supply and sanitation projects in Mbire district, Zimbabwe. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, 76, 134-139.
- Larson, K. L., Wiek, A., & Keeler, L. W. (2013). A comprehensive sustainability appraisal of water governance in Phoenix, AZ. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 116, 58-71.
- Lattemann, S., & Höpner, T. (2008). Environmental impact and impact assessment of seawater desalination. *Desalination*, 220(1-3), 1-15.
- Lau, W., Ismail, A., & Firdaus, S. (2013). Car wash industry in Malaysia: Treatment of car wash effluent using ultrafiltration and nanofiltration membranes. *Separation and purification technology*, 104, 26-31.
- Laungani, P. D. (2006). *Understanding cross-cultural psychology: Eastern and Western perspectives*: Sage.

- LaVanchy, G. T. (2017). When wells run dry: Water and tourism in Nicaragua. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 64, 37-50. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annals.2017.02.006>
- Lee, K. E., Mokhtar, M., Hanafiah, M. M., Halim, A. A., & Badusah, J. (2016). Rainwater harvesting as an alternative water resource in Malaysia: potential, policies and development. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 126, 218-222.
- Lee, S., & Choi, G.-W. (2012). Governance in a river restoration project in South Korea: the case of Incheon. *Water Resources Management*, 26(5), 1165-1182.
- Lemos, M. C., & De Oliveira, J. L. F. (2004). Can water reform survive politics? Institutional change and river basin management in Ceará, Northeast Brazil. *World development*, 32(12), 2121-2137.
- Leong, C. (2016). Resilience to climate change events: The paradox of water (In)security. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 27, 439-447.
- Leong, K. H., Tan, L. B., & Mustafa, A. M. (2007). Contamination levels of selected organochlorine and organophosphate pesticides in the Selangor River, Malaysia between 2002 and 2003. *Chemosphere*, 66(6), 1153-1159.
- Lescot, J.-M., Bordenave, P., Petit, K., & Leccia, O. (2013). A spatially-distributed cost-effectiveness analysis framework for controlling water pollution. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 41, 107-122.
- Leung, R., Li, D., Yu, W., Chui, H. K., Lee, T., Van Loosdrecht, M., & Chen, G. (2012b). Integration of seawater and grey water reuse to maximize alternative water resource for coastal areas: the case of the Hong Kong International Airport. *Water science and technology*, 65(3), 410-417.
- Lienert, J., Schnetzer, F., & Ingold, K. (2013). Stakeholder analysis combined with social network analysis provides fine-grained insights into water infrastructure planning processes. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 125, 134-148.
- Liu, T.-K., Weng, T.-H., & Sheu, H.-Y. (2018). Exploring the environmental impact assessment commissioners' perspectives on the development of the seawater desalination project. *Desalination*, 428, 108-115.
- Llopis-Albert, C., Palacios-Marques, D., & Soto-Acosta, P. (2015). Decision-making and stakeholders' constructive participation in environmental projects. *Journal of Business Research*, 68(7), 1641-1644. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2015.02.010>

- Luan, I. O. B. (2010). Singapore water management policies and practices. *International Journal of Water Resources Development*, 26(1), 65-80.
- Lykkebo Petersen, K., Heck, N., G Reguero, B., Potts, D., Hovagimian, A., & Paytan, A. (2019). Biological and physical effects of brine discharge from the Carlsbad desalination plant and implications for future desalination plant constructions. *Water*, 11(2), 208.
- Macharis, C., Springael, J., De Brucker, K., & Verbeke, A. (2004). PROMETHEE and AHP: The design of operational synergies in multicriteria analysis.: Strengthening PROMETHEE with ideas of AHP. *European Journal of operational research*, 153(2), 307-317.
- Mackenzie, J., Tan, P.-L., Hoverman, S., & Baldwin, C. (2012). The value and limitations of participatory action research methodology. *Journal of Hydrology*, 474, 11-21.
- Malczewski, J. (1999). *GIS and multicriteria decision analysis*: John Wiley & Sons.
- Manan, Z., Alwi, S. W., & Ujang, Z. (2006). Water pinch analysis for an urban system: a case study on the Sultan Ismail Mosque at the Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM). *Desalination*, 194(1-3), 52-68.
- Mankin, J. S., Viviroli, D., Singh, D., Hoekstra, A. Y., & Diffenbaugh, N. S. (2015). The potential for snow to supply human water demand in the present and future. *Environmental Research Letters*, 10(11), 114016.
- Marshall, A. C., & Duram, L. A. (2017). Factors influencing local stakeholders' perceptions of Tisza River Basin management: The role of employment sector and education. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 77, 69-76. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2017.07.009>
- Martins, G., Brito, A. G., Nogueira, R., Ureña, M., Fernández, D., Luque, F. J., & Alcácer, C. (2013). Water resources management in southern Europe: Clues for a research and innovation based regional hypercluster. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 119, 76-84. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2013.01.027>
- Masimbe, T. (2018). *Impact Of Climate Extremes On Water Quality And Supply In Urban Informal Settlements In Kenya: A Case Study Of Kisumu City*. UNIVERSITY OF NAIROBI,

- McCallum, J., Crosbie, R., Walker, G., & Dawes, W. (2010). Impacts of climate change on groundwater in Australia: a sensitivity analysis of recharge. *Hydrogeology Journal*, 18(7), 1625-1638.
- McGivney, W., & Kawamura, S. (2008). *Cost estimating manual for water treatment facilities*: Wiley Online Library.
- Medema, W., Wals, A., & Adamowski, J. (2014). Multi-Loop Social Learning for Sustainable Land and Water Governance: Towards a Research Agenda on the Potential of Virtual Learning Platforms. *NJAS - Wageningen Journal of Life Sciences*, 69, 23-38. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.njas.2014.03.003>
- Memon, P., Kirk, N., & Selsky, J. W. (2011). Limits to Ecological Modernisation as a framework for sustainable fresh water governance. *Land Use Policy*, 28(3), 534-541.
- Menció, A., Folch, A., & Mas-Pla, J. (2010). Analyzing hydrological sustainability through water balance. *Environmental management*, 45(5), 1175-1190.
- Mikalsen, K. H., & Jentoft, S. (2008). Participatory practices in fisheries across Europe: Making stakeholders more responsible. *Marine Policy*, 32(2), 169-177. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2007.09.003>
- Miranda, M., Dieperink, C., & Glasbergen, P. (2007). Voluntary agreements in watershed protection experiences from Costa Rica. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 9(1), 1-19.
- Mirza, M. M. Q., Ahmed, A. U., & Ahmad, Q. K. (2008). *Interlinking of rivers in India: Issues and concerns*: CRC Press.
- Molina, J., Bromley, J., García-Aróstegui, J., Sullivan, C., & Benavente, J. (2010). Integrated water resources management of overexploited hydrogeological systems using Object-Oriented Bayesian Networks. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 25(4), 383-397.
- Molina, J.-L., García-Aróstegui, J. L., Bromley, J., & Benavente, J. (2011). Integrated assessment of the European WFD implementation in extremely overexploited aquifers through participatory modelling. *Water Resources Management*, 25(13), 3343-3370.
- Molinos-Senante, M., Marques, R. C., Pérez, F., Gómez, T., Sala-Garrido, R., & Caballero, R. (2016). Assessing the sustainability of water companies: A synthetic indicator approach. *Ecological Indicators*, 61, 577-587. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.10.009>

- Molinos-Senante, M., Muñoz, S., & Chamorro, A. (2019). Assessing the quality of service for drinking water supplies in rural settings: A synthetic index approach. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *247*, 613-623. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.06.112>
- Mombo, F., Speelman, S., Hella, J., & Van Huylenbroeck, G. (2013). How characteristics of wetlands resource users and associated institutions influence the sustainable management of wetlands in Tanzania. *Land Use Policy*, *35*, 8-15.
- Morais, D. C., & de Almeida, A. T. (2012). Group decision making on water resources based on analysis of individual rankings. *Omega*, *40*(1), 42-52.
- Morales-Torres, A., Escuder-Bueno, I., Andrés-Doménech, I., & Perales-Momparler, S. (2016). Decision Support Tool for energy-efficient, sustainable and integrated urban stormwater management. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, *84*, 518-528.
- Mouratiadou, I., & Moran, D. (2007). Mapping public participation in the Water Framework Directive: A case study of the Pinios River Basin, Greece. *Ecological Economics*, *62*(1), 66-76.
- Mustajoki, J., & Marttunen, M. (2017). Comparison of multi-criteria decision analytical software for supporting environmental planning processes. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, *93*, 78-91.
- Mutikanga, H. E., Sharma, S. K., & Vairavamoorthy, K. (2011). Multi-criteria decision analysis: a strategic planning tool for water loss management. *Water Resources Management*, *25*(14), 3947-3969.
- Nancarrow, B. E., Leviston, Z., & Tucker, D. (2009). Measuring the predictors of communities' behavioural decisions for potable reuse of wastewater. *Water science and technology*, *60*(12), 3199-3209.
- Nesheim, I., McNeill, D., Joy, K., Manasi, S., Nhung, D. T. K., Portela, M. M., & Paranjape, S. (2010). The challenge and status of IWRM in four river basins in Europe and Asia. *Irrigation and Drainage Systems*, *24*(3-4), 205-221.
- Nguyen, T., de Kok, J.-L., & Titus, M. (2007). A new approach to testing an integrated water systems model using qualitative scenarios. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, *22*(11), 1557-1571.

- Nikitina, E., Ostrovskaya, E., & Fomenko, M. (2010). Towards better water governance in river basins: some lessons learned from the Volga. *Regional Environmental Change*, 10(4), 285-297.
- Norman, E. S., Dunn, G., Bakker, K., Allen, D. M., & De Albuquerque, R. C. (2013). Water security assessment: integrating governance and freshwater indicators. *Water Resources Management*, 27(2), 535-551.
- Nunneri, C., & Hofmann, J. (2005). A participatory approach for integrated river basin management in the Elbe catchment. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 62(3), 521-537.
- Obaid, H., & Shahid, S. (2017). Soil erosion susceptibility of Johor River basin. *Water and Environment Journal*, 31(3), 367-374.
- Oh, K. S., Leong, J. Y. C., Poh, P. E., Chong, M. N., & Von Lau, E. (2018). A review of greywater recycling related issues: Challenges and future prospects in Malaysia. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 171, 17-29.
- Okeola, O., & Sule, B. (2012). Evaluation of management alternatives for urban water supply system using Multicriteria Decision Analysis. *Journal of King Saud University-Engineering Sciences*, 24(1), 19-24.
- Olaolu, D., Akpor, O. B., & Akor, C. O. (2014). Pollution indicators and pathogenic microorganisms in wastewater treatment: implication on receiving water bodies. *International Journal of Environmental Protection and Policy*, 2(6), 205-212.
- Orr, P., Colvin, J., & King, D. (2006). Involving stakeholders in integrated river basin planning in England and Wales. In *Integrated Assessment of Water Resources and Global Change* (pp. 331-349): Springer.
- Osumanu, I. K. (2008). Private sector participation in urban water and sanitation provision in Ghana: experiences from the Tamale Metropolitan Area (TMA). *Environmental management*, 42(1), 102-110.
- Packialakshmi, S., Ambujam, N., & Nellyyat, P. (2011). Groundwater market and its implications on water resources and agriculture in the southern peri-urban interface, Chennai, India. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 13(2), 423-438.
- Palme, U., & Tillman, A.-M. (2008). Sustainable development indicators: how are they used in Swedish water utilities? *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 16(13), 1346-1357.

- Pathak, S., Garg, R. D., Jato-Espino, D., Lakshmi, V., & Ojha, C. S. P. (2019). Evaluating hotspots for stormwater harvesting through participatory sensing. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 242, 351-361. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.04.082
- Paton, F., Maier, H., & Dandy, G. (2014). Including adaptation and mitigation responses to climate change in a multiobjective evolutionary algorithm framework for urban water supply systems incorporating GHG emissions. *Water Resources Research*, 50(8), 6285-6304.
- Paudyal, K., Baral, H., & Keenan, R. J. (2018). Assessing social values of ecosystem services in the Phewa Lake Watershed, Nepal. *Forest policy and economics*, 90, 67-81.
- Petersen, K. L., Heck, N., Reguero, B. G., Potts, D., Hovagimian, A., & Paytan, A. (2019). Biological and physical effects of brine discharge from the carlsbad desalination plant and implications for future desalination plant constructions. *Water*, 11(2), 208.
- Piyapong, J., Thidarat, B., Jaruwat, C., Siriphan, N., & Passanan, A. (2019). Enhancing citizens' sense of personal responsibility and risk perception for promoting public participation in sustainable groundwater resource management in Rayong Groundwater Basin, Thailand. *Groundwater for Sustainable Development*, 9, 100252.
- Pizzi, N. G. (2011). *Water treatment operator handbook*: American Water Works Association.
- Pollard, S., & Du Toit, D. (2011). Towards adaptive integrated water resources management in southern Africa: The role of self-organisation and multi-scale feedbacks for learning and responsiveness in the Letaba and Crocodile catchments. *Water Resources Management*, 25(15), 4019-4035.
- Qin, Y., & Horvath, A. (2020). Use of alternative water sources in irrigation: potential scales, costs, and environmental impacts in California. *Environmental Research Communications*, 2(5), 055003.
- Rao, R. V., & Patel, B. K. (2010). A subjective and objective integrated multiple attribute decision making method for material selection. *Materials & Design*, 31(10), 4738-4747. doi:10.1016/j.matdes.2010.05.014

- Rathinasamy, V., Mohammad, E. T., & Komoo, I. (2018). *Review on Rock Aquifers Researches Conducted in Malaysia*. Paper presented at the MATEC Web of Conferences.
- Reed, M. S. (2008). Stakeholder participation for environmental management: a literature review. *Biological conservation*, *141*(10), 2417-2431.
- Reilly, K., Adamowski, J., & John, K. (2018). Participatory mapping of ecosystem services to understand stakeholders' perceptions of the future of the Mactaquac Dam, Canada. *Ecosystem Services*, *30*, 107-123.
- Reith, C., & Birkenhead, B. (1998). Membranes enabling the affordable and cost effective reuse of wastewater as an alternative water source. *Desalination*, *117*(1-3), 203-209.
- Rizzo, A., & Khan, S. (2013). Johor Bahru's response to transnational and national influences in the emerging Straits Mega-City Region. *Habitat International*, *40*, 154-162.
- Robins, L., Burt, T. P., Bracken, L. J., Boardman, J., & Thompson, D. B. A. (2017). Making water policy work in the United Kingdom: A case study of practical approaches to strengthening complex, multi-tiered systems of water governance. *Environmental Science & Policy*, *71*, 41-55. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2017.01.008>
- Rodgers, C., van de Giesen, N., Laube, W., Vlek, P. L., & Youkhana, E. (2006). The GLOWA Volta Project: A framework for water resources decision-making and scientific capacity building in a transnational West African basin. In *Integrated assessment of water resources and global change* (pp. 295-313): Springer.
- Rogers, P., De Silva, R., & Bhatia, R. (2002). Water is an economic good: How to use prices to promote equity, efficiency, and sustainability. *Water policy*, *4*(1), 1-17.
- Rohde, S., Hostmann, M., Peter, A., & Ewald, K. (2006). Room for rivers: An integrative search strategy for floodplain restoration. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, *78*(1-2), 50-70.
- Roncoli, C., Kirshen, P., Etkin, D., Sanon, M., Somé, L., Dembélé, Y., . . . Hoogenboom, G. (2009). From management to negotiation: Technical and institutional innovations for integrated water resource management in the Upper Comoé River Basin, Burkina Faso. *Environmental management*, *44*(4), 695-711.

- Roy, S. B., Chen, L., Girvetz, E. H., Maurer, E. P., Mills, W. B., & Grieb, T. M. (2012). Projecting water withdrawal and supply for future decades in the US under climate change scenarios. *Environmental science & technology*, 46(5), 2545-2556.
- Ruzol, C., Banzon-Cabanilla, D., Ancog, R. and Peralta, E., 2017. Understanding water pollution management: Evidence and insights from incorporating cultural theory in social network analysis. *Global Environmental Change*, 45, pp.183-193.
- Saaty, T.L. and Ergu, D., 2015. When is a decision-making method trustworthy? Criteria for evaluating multi-criteria decision-making methods. *International Journal of Information Technology & Decision Making*, 14(06), pp.1171-1187.
- Sabri, S., & Yaakup, A. (2008). Multi-criteria decision making for urban sprawl, using Analytic Network Process and GIS, case of Iskandar Malaysia Region.
- Sahin, O., Stewart, R. A., & Helfer, F. (2015). Bridging the water supply–demand gap in Australia: coupling water demand efficiency with rain-independent desalination supply. *Water Resources Management*, 29(2), 253-272.
- SAJ, R. (2021). Ranhill SAJ Info Center. Retrieved from <https://ranhillsaj.com.my/index.php?lang=en&url=page&id=33>
- Salgado, P. P., Quintana, S. C., Pereira, A. G., del Moral Ituarte, L., & Mateos, B. P. (2009). Participative multi-criteria analysis for the evaluation of water governance alternatives. A case in the Costa del Sol (Málaga). *Ecological Economics*, 68(4), 990-1005.
- Schmidt, C. W. (2008). The yuck factor when disgust meets discovery. In: National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences.
- Seckler, D. W. (1998). *World water demand and supply, 1990 to 2025: Scenarios and issues* (Vol. 19): Iwmi.
- Sefie, A., Aris, A. Z., Ramli, M. F., Narany, T. S., Shamsuddin, M. K. N., Saadudin, S. B., & Zali, M. A. (2018). Hydrogeochemistry and groundwater quality assessment of the multilayered aquifer in Lower Kelantan Basin, Kelantan, Malaysia. *Environmental earth sciences*, 77(10), 1-15.
- Sharip, Z., & Zakaria, S. (2007). *Lakes and reservoir in Malaysia: Management and research challenges*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of Taal2007: the 12th World lake conference.

- Shatat, M., Worall, M., & Riffat, S. (2013). Opportunities for solar water desalination worldwide. *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 9, 67-80.
- Shen, L.-Y., Ochoa, J. J., Shah, M. N., & Zhang, X. (2011). The application of urban sustainability indicators—A comparison between various practices. *Habitat International*, 35(1), 17-29.
- Siddiqi, A., & Anadon, L. D. (2011). The water–energy nexus in Middle East and North Africa. *Energy policy*, 39(8), 4529-4540.
- Sidek, L. M., Takara, K., Zakaria, N. A., Ghani, A., & Abdullah, R. (2004). *An assessment of stormwater management practices using MSMA Manual in Malaysia*. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Managing Rivers in the 21st Century: Issues and Challenges (RIVERS04). Penang, Malaysia.
- Simon, U., Brüggemann, R., & Pudenz, S. (2004). Aspects of decision support in water management—example Berlin and Potsdam (Germany) I—spatially differentiated evaluation. *Water research*, 38(7), 1809-1816.
- Starkl, M., Brunner, N., Flögl, W., & Wimmer, J. (2009). Design of an institutional decision-making process: The case of urban water management. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 90(2), 1030-1042.
- Strager, M. P. (2002). Integrating Criteria Preferences and Spatial Data to Prioritize Lands for Preservation in Cacapon River Watershed, West Virginia. *Final Report to the Canaan Valley Institute, Task Order NRAC, 9, 2002*.
- Sudha, M. C., Ravichandran, S., & Sakthivadivel, R. (2013). Water bodies protection index for assessing the sustainability status of lakes under the influence of urbanization: A case study of south Chennai, India. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 15(5), 1157-1171.
- Sun, A. (2013). Enabling collaborative decision-making in watershed management using cloud-computing services. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 41, 93-97.
- Swatuk, L. A., & Kgomotso, P. K. (2007). The challenges of supplying water to small, scattered communities in the Lower Okavango Basin (LOB), Ngamiland, Botswana: An evaluation of government policy and performance. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, 32(15-18), 1264-1274.

- Tam, V. W., Tam, L., & Zeng, S. (2010). Cost effectiveness and tradeoff on the use of rainwater tank: An empirical study in Australian residential decision-making. *Resources, conservation and recycling*, 54(3), 178-186.
- Tan, P.-L., Baldwin, C., White, I., & Burry, K. (2012). Water planning in the Condamine Alluvium, Queensland: Sharing information and eliciting views in a context of overallocation. *Journal of Hydrology*, 474, 38-46.
- Tapela, B. N. (2002). The challenge of integration in the implementation of Zimbabwe's new water policy: case study of the catchment level institutions surrounding the Pungwe–Mutare water supply project. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, 27(11-22), 993-1004.
- Tidwell, V. C., Passell, H. D., Conrad, S. H., & Thomas, R. P. (2004). System dynamics modeling for community-based water planning: Application to the Middle Rio Grande. *Aquatic sciences*, 66(4), 357-372.
- Tippett, J. (2005). The value of combining a systems view of sustainability with a participatory protocol for ecologically informed design in river basins. *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 20(2), 119-139.
- Tjandraatmadja, G., Sharma, A., Grant, T., & Pamminger, F. (2013). A decision support methodology for integrated urban water management in remote settlements. *Water Resources Management*, 27(2), 433-449.
- Tsheko, R. (2003). Rainfall reliability, drought and flood vulnerability in Botswana. *Water Sa*, 29(4), 389-392.
- Uchegbu, S. N. (2009). Effective planning and management as critical factors in urban water supply and management in Umuahia and Aba, Abia State, Nigeria. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, 34(1-2), 23-27.
- Van Cauwenbergh, N., Pinte, D., Tilmant, A., Frances, I., Pulido-Bosch, A., & Vanclooster, M. (2008). Multi-objective, multiple participant decision support for water management in the Andarax catchment, Almeria. *Environmental Geology*, 54(3), 479-489.
- van der Werff, P. E. (2004). Stakeholder responses to future flood management ideas in the Rhine River Basin: nature or neighbour in Hell's Angle. *Regional Environmental Change*, 4(2-3), 145-158.
- van Vliet, M., & Kok, K. (2015). Combining backcasting and exploratory scenarios to develop robust water strategies in face of uncertain futures. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, 20(1), 43-74.

- Vedwan, N., Ahmad, S., Miralles-Wilhelm, F., Broad, K., Letson, D., & Podesta, G. (2008). Institutional evolution in Lake Okeechobee management in Florida: characteristics, impacts, and limitations. *Water Resources Management*, 22(6), 699-718.
- Vollmer, D., Shaad, K., Souter, N. J., Farrell, T., Dudgeon, D., Sullivan, C. A., . . . Regan, H. M. (2018). Integrating the social, hydrological and ecological dimensions of freshwater health: The Freshwater Health Index. *Science of The Total Environment*, 627, 304-313. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.01.040>
- Vorosmarty, C.J., Green, P., Salisbury, J. and Lammers, R.B., 2000. Global water resources: vulnerability from climate change and population growth. *science*, 289(5477), pp.284-288.
- Wang, Gu, & X.X. Yi, S. R. Z. (2003). A method of determining the linear combination weights based on entropy. *Journal of Systems Engineering-theory and Practice*.
- Ward, S., Memon, F., & Butler, D. (2012). Performance of a large building rainwater harvesting system. *Water research*, 46(16), 5127-5134.
- Wester, J., Timpano, K. R., Çek, D., Lieberman, D., Fieldstone, S. C., & Broad, K. (2015). Psychological and social factors associated with wastewater reuse emotional discomfort. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 42, 16-23.
- Wittholz, M. K., O'Neill, B. K., Colby, C. B., & Lewis, D. (2008). Estimating the cost of desalination plants using a cost database. *Desalination*, 229(1-3), 10-20.
- Xenarios, S., & Bithas, K. (2009). Welfare improvisation from the receiving waters of urban wastewater systems in the context of the Water Framework Directive. *Water Resources Management*, 23(5), 981-1000.
- Xenarios, S., & Tziritis, I. (2007). Improving pluralism in multi criteria decision aid approach through focus group technique and content analysis. *Ecological Economics*, 62(3-4), 692-703.
- Yao, Y., Zheng, C., Andrews, C., He, X., Zhang, A., & Liu, J. (2019). Integration of groundwater into China's south-north water transfer strategy. *Science of the Total Environment*, 658, 550-557.
- Yavuz, F., & Baycan, T. (2013). Use of swot and analytic hierarchy process integration as a participatory decision making tool in watershed management. *Procedia Technology*, 8, 134-143.

- Yohannes, D. F., Ritsema, C. J., Solomon, H., Froebrich, J., & van Dam, J. C. (2017). Irrigation water management: Farmers' practices, perceptions and adaptations at Gumselassa irrigation scheme, North Ethiopia. *Agricultural Water Management*, 191, 16-28. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2017.05.009>
- Yusuf, S. (2007). *About urban mega regions: Knowns and unknowns*: The World Bank.
- Zardari, N. H., Ahmed, K., Shirazi, S. M., & Yusop, Z. B. (2015). *Weighting methods and their effects on multi-criteria decision making model outcomes in water resources management*: Springer.
- Zehra, D., Mbatha, S., Campos, L. C., Queface, A., Beleza, A., Cavoli, C., . . . Parikh, P. (2019). Rapid flood risk assessment of informal urban settlements in Maputo, Mozambique: The case of Maxaquene A. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 40, 101270. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2019.101270>