

PROPERTIES AND PERFORMANCE OF KENAF FIBER-SAWDUST
POLYMERIC SANDWICH BIO-COMPOSITES

ADOLE MICHAEL ADOLE

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

School of Civil Engineering
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

MAY 2022

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my parents, Chief Williams Adole (late) and Mrs. Regina Adole.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, praises and thanks be to God, the Almighty, for showering of His blessings on me to complete this thesis report successfully.

I'd like to convey my heartfelt gratitude to my major supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Jamaludin Mohamad Yatim, for his unwavering support, helpful guidance, constructive criticism, and patience throughout my studies. His dynamism, vision, motivation, and kind demeanour have left a lasting impression on me. I'm particularly grateful to Associate Professor Dr. Suhaimi Abubakar Ramli, my thesis co-supervisor, for his important guidance, encouragement, and helpful demeanour, which cannot be measured.

I am highly indebted to School of Civil Engineering (SKA) for the support and provision of facilities for actualization of the experimental work. Additionally, I would like to thank the academic and non-academic staff of the school for their cooperation and for offering valuable assistance in the experimental activities.

I am profoundly grateful for the financial support provided to me by the management of Modibbo Adama University Yola, Nigeria through the Federal Ministry of Education / National University Commission of Nigeria (NEEDS ASSESSMENT) program. Same goes to UTM International Doctoral Fellowship (IDF).

I am extremely grateful to my parents, Chief Williams Adole (late) and Mrs. Regina Adole for their love, prayers and sacrifices shown me over the years. I am very much thankful to my wife and kids for their love, understanding, prayers while the studies lasted. Same goes to my siblings, Ene Adole, Ogwuche Adole, Onoja Adole, Idoko Adole, Sunday Adole and Enigbe Adole. Friends like Dr. B. L. Tanko, Dr. G. E. Ejiga, Arc. Y. Yaktor, Mr. Paul Zakka, and miss Anne Paul Ugo are greatly appreciated for their support.

Prof. Helen Ray, Prof. N. A. Keftin, Prof. and Dr. (Mrs.) Ilesanmi, Dr. U. Abdullahi, I will be externally grateful to you. Words cannot appreciate you enough. Also, my fellow colleagues in the Department of Building Mau Yola, Assoc. Prof. M. O. Oraegbune, Dr. N. Usman, Dr. I. Anum, Dr. M. O. Oboirien, Mr. M. I. Yusuf, Mr. W.E. Dzasu, and Mr. A. H. Chom, are highly appreciated. Finally, the cooperation I enjoyed from my research colleagues is highly appreciated.

ABSTRACT

The increasing demand for environmentally friendly and sustainable structures have led the engineers and scientists to develop new bio-based composites. Natural fibers in composites present many advantages which include high strength and stiffness to low weight ratios, biodegradability, renewability, economic viability and so on. Currently, the use of mechanical fastening joints exists in the production of sandwich composite structures, but literature indicates that it has unavoidable drawbacks such as the structure failing prematurely with a load far below the maximum strength of component parts. As a result, an adhesively bonded joint is a better method of joining. This research investigates the properties and performance of a novel sandwich composites incorporating kenaf fiber-polyester matrix as skin material and sawdust-polyester matrix as core material, respectively. To this end, the kenaf fiber-polyester skin was fabricated in a unidirectional orientation with fiber volume fraction of 40 % from preliminary study, while the sawdust-polyester core was produced in a random arrangement with fiber volume fraction of 20%, also from preliminary study and this was produced by varying the thickness of the core. Both the Kenaf Fiber Reinforced Polyester (KFRP) skins and the Sawdust Reinforced Polyester (SDRP) core were tested interm of tension, flexure, compression, and shear. These tests were carried out to determine their constituent material properties. Consequently, three types of bio-composites sandwich were manufactured based on geometry, and was subjected to flexural load through three-point bending test to establish the flexural properties. Numerical investigation was carried out using ABAQUS FEA code to validate experimental results. Besides, it has been observed from literature that the use of natural fiber composites have been restricted to non-structural and semi-structural applications due to not having sufficient test data on fracture toughness at adhesive joint. Therefore, the adhesive bond behaviour of the KFRP adherend and the SDRP adherend sandwich composites was carried out through the Double Lap Shear (DLS) joint test to ascertain the bond shear strength and stresses at the joints. The DLS joints were fabricated with different bond lengths and bond widths using polyester adhesive as joint material and subjected to direct axial compression load. Numerical simulation was implemented to validate experimental results. The results of the KFRP tensile properties shows that stiffness and strength were found to be highest in the longitudinal direction and least in the transverse direction with percentage difference of 152.50 % for the modulus of elasticity and 175.24 % for tensile strength, respectively. Also observed is that there exist a considerably variability in the SDRP tensile, compressive and flexural strengths, nevertheless, their stiffnesses are comparably closed to each other. The results of the core shear stress and facing bending stress of the bio-composites sandwich revealed an increment of 13.90 % was recorded as the core thickness increased from 10 mm to 20 mm for core shear stress, while the facing bending stress saw an increment of 13.93 % as the core thickness increased from 10 mm to 20 mm. Excellent agreement was reached between the numerical simulations and the experiments in predicting the flexural properties. Furthermore, it was found that the lap length and bond width increases the load carrying capacity of the joints but decreases the bond shear strength. The numerical analysis results were in good accord with the experimental results, and the use of KFRP and SDRP in bonded assemblies have demonstrated promised with good potentials for use in structural applications.

ABSTRAK

Permintaan yang semakin meningkat untuk struktur yang mampan dan mesra alam mendorong para jurutera dan saintis untuk membangunkan komposit berasaskan bio baharu. Gentian semulajadi dalam komposit mempunyai banyak kelebihan termasuk kekuatan yang tinggi, kekukuhan kepada nisbah berat yang rendah, kebolehan biodegradasi, kebolehbaharuan, daya maju ekonomi dan sebagainya. Buat masa ini, penggunaan sambungan pengikat mekanikal wujud dalam pengeluaran struktur komposit sandwic tetapi kajian sebelum ini menunjukkan ia mempunyai kelemahan yang tidak dapat dielakkan seperti struktur gagal sebelum waktunya dengan beban yang ditanggung berada di bawah paras kekuatan maksimum pada bahagian komponen. Akibatnya, sambungan yang diikat dengan pelekat didapati menjadi kaedah penyambungan yang lebih baik. Kajian ini mengkaji sifat dan prestasi komposit sandwic baru yang menggabungkan matriks gentian kenaf-poliester sebagai bahan kulit dan matriks habuk papan-poliester sebagai bahan teras. Untuk tujuan ini, kulit gentian-poliester kenaf telah dibuat dalam orientasi satu arah dengan pecahan isipadu gentian sebanyak 40 % yang didapati daripada kajian awal. Teras habuk papan-poliester pula dihasilkan dalam susunan rawak dengan pecahan isipadu gentian sebanyak 20 %, juga daripada kajian awal dan ianya dihasilkan dengan mempelbagaikan ketebalan teras. Kedua-dua kulit *Kenaf Fiber Reinforced Polyester* (KFRP) dan teras *Sawdust Reinforced Polyester* (SDRP) telah diuji sepenuhnya dari segi ketegangan, kelenturan, kemampatan dan kerichan. Ujian ini dijalankan untuk menentukan unsur sifat bahan tersebut. Hasil daripada itu, tiga jenis sandwic bio-komposit telah dihasilkan berdasarkan geometri, dan tertakluk kepada beban lentur melalui ujian lenturan tiga titik untuk mewujudkan sifat lenturan. Penyiasatan berangka telah dijalankan menggunakan kod ABAQUS FEA untuk mengesahkan keputusan eksperimen. Kajian terdahulu mendapati penggunaan komposit gentian semula jadi telah dihadkan kepada aplikasi bukan struktur dan separa struktur kerana data ujian yang tidak mencukupi berkenaan kekuatan menahan patah pada sambungan pelekat. Oleh itu, ujian terhadap sifat ikatan pelekat bagi komposit KFRP dan komposit sandwic adheren SDRP telah dijalankan melalui kaedah sambungan *Double Lap Shear* (DLS) untuk memastikan kekuatan ricih ikatan dan tekanan pada sambungan. Sambungan DLS telah direka dengan panjang dan lebar ikatan yang berbeza menggunakan pelekat poliester sebagai bahan sambungan dan tertakluk kepada beban mampatan paksi terus. Simulasi berangka telah dijalankan untuk mengesahkan keputusan eksperimen. Keputusan sifat tegangan KFRP menunjukkan kekakuan dan kekuatan didapati berada pada ukuran paling tinggi pada arah membujur dan paling sedikit pada arah melintang dengan peratus perbezaan masing-masing 152.50 % untuk modulus keanjalan dan 175.24 % untuk kekuatan tegangan. Hasil kajian juga mendapati terdapat kebolehubahan yang ketara dalam kekuatan tegangan, mampatan dan lentur SDRP. Bagaimanapun, bagi kekakuan, hasil keputusannya hampir sama antara satu sama lain. Keputusan tegasan ricih teras dan tegasan hadap lentur sandwic bio-komposit menunjukkan peningkatan sebanyak 13.90 % apabila ketebalan teras meningkat daripada 10 mm kepada 20 mm untuk tegasan ricih teras, manakala tegasan lenturan menghadapi peningkatan sebanyak 13.93 % kerana ketebalan teras meningkat daripada 10 mm kepada 20 mm. Persetujuan yang sangat baik telah dicapai antara simulasi berangka dan eksperimen dalam meramalkan sifat lentur. Kajian juga mendapati bahawa panjang pusingan dan lebar ikatan mampu meningkatkan keupayaan membawa beban sambungan tetapi ia mengurangkan kekuatan ricih ikatan. Keputusan analisis berangka adalah selari dengan keputusan eksperimen, dan penggunaan KFRP dan SDRP dalam pemasangan terikat telah menunjukkan potensi yang baik untuk digunakan dalam aplikasi struktur.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xv
	LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxvi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxviii
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 General Appraisal	1
	1.2 Background of the Problem	3
	1.3 Statement of the Problem	5
	1.4 Aim and Objectives	6
	1.5 Scope of the Study	7
	1.6 Significance of the Study	8
	1.7 Thesis Organization	10
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	11
	2.1 Introduction	11
	2.2 Composites	11
	2.2.1 Fiber Reinforced Polymer (FRP)	12
	2.2.2 Particulate Reinforced Polymer (PRP)	13
	2.3 Bio-composites	14
	2.3.1 Bio-fibers	14
	2.4 Kenaf Fiber	16

2.4.1	Properties of Kenaf Fiber (KF)	18
2.5	Wood Fiber (Sawdust)	21
2.5.1	Wood Fiber Properties	22
2.6	Chemical Surface Treatment of Bio-fibers	24
2.6.1	Alkaline Treatment of Bio-fibers	25
2.7	Thermosetting Polymer Matrix	29
2.7.1	Characterization of Polyester Resin	30
2.7.2	Properties of Polyester Resin	32
2.8	Manufacturing Methods of Natural Fiber Polymer Composites	34
2.8.1	Hand Lay-up	34
2.9	Characterization of Kenaf Fiber Reinforced Polymer Composites	35
2.10	Characterization of Wood Fiber (Sawdust) Reinforced Polymer Composites	42
2.11	Sandwich Structures	49
2.11.1	Origin of Sandwich Technology	50
2.11.2	Concept of Sandwich Construction	50
2.11.3	Areas of Application of Sandwich Structures	51
2.11.4	Constituent Parts of Sandwich Structures	55
2.11.4.1	Skin Material	55
2.11.4.2	Core Material	58
2.11.4.3	Adhesive Material	62
2.12	Flexural Behavior of Sandwich Structures	62
2.12.1	Effect of Core Thickness on Sandwich Structure Flexural Behavior	66
2.12.2	Finite Element Modeling of Sandwich Structures	69
2.13	Shear Bond Behavior of Adhesive Bonded Joints	71
2.13.1	Design of Adhesive Joints	72
2.13.2	Failure Modes Characteristics of Adhesive Joints	73
2.13.3	Double Lap/Strap Shear Joints	75

2.13.3.1	Effect of Bond Dimensions on Shear Lap Joints	76
2.14	Analysis of Adhesively Bonded Joints	79
2.15	Previous Studies on Sandwich Composite structures	84
2.16	Summary of Research Gap	89
CHAPTER 3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	91
3.1	Introduction	91
3.2	Outline of the Experimental Program	93
3.3	Preparation of Materials for Testing	94
3.3.1	Kenaf Fiber	94
3.3.1.1	Pre-treatment of Kenaf Fiber	95
3.3.1.2	Chemical Treatment of Kenaf Fiber	96
3.3.1.3	Physical Tests on Kenaf Fiber	99
3.3.1.4	Tensile Test on Kenaf Fiber	100
3.3.2	Sawdust	101
3.3.2.1	Chemical Treatment of Sawdust	102
3.3.2.2	Physical Tests on Sawdust	103
3.3.3	Polyester Resin (Adhesive)	104
3.4	Preparation and Fabrication of Kenaf Fiber Reinforced Polyester (KFRP) and Sawdust Reinforced Polyester (SDRP)	105
3.4.1	Hand Lay-up Process for KFRP Fabrication	105
3.4.2	Kenaf Fiber Reinforced Polyester Characterization Testing	107
3.4.3	Kenaf Fiber Reinforced Polyester Skin Mechanical Characterization	107
3.4.3.1	Kenaf Fiber Reinforced Polyester Skin Tensile Test	110
3.4.3.2	Kenaf Fiber Reinforced Polyester Skin Compression Test	113
3.4.3.3	Kenaf Fiber Reinforced Polyester In-plane Shear Test	115
3.4.3.4	Kenaf Fiber Reinforced Polyester Skin Flexural Test	117

3.5	Preparation and Fabrication of Sawdust Reinforced Polyester Core	118
3.5.1	Sawdust Reinforced Polyester Core Characterization Testing	119
3.5.1.1	Sawdust Reinforced Polyester Core Tensile Test	119
3.5.1.2	Sawdust Reinforced Polyester Core Compression Test	121
3.5.1.3	Sawdust Reinforced Polyester Core Flexural Test	123
3.6	Polyester Resin or Adhesive Tensile Test	125
3.7	Fabrication of Sandwich Composite Structures	126
3.7.1	Flexural Testing of Sandwich Composite Structures	128
3.7.2	Finite Element Modeling of Sandwich Bio-composite Beam	131
3.7.2.1	Model Geometry	132
3.7.2.2	Material Modeling	133
3.7.2.3	Meshing Methodology	135
3.7.2.4	Boundary Condition and Loading	136
3.8	Manufacturing of KFRP and SDRP Double Lap Adhesive Joints	137
3.8.1	Testing Procedures of KFRP and SDRP Double Lap Adhesive Joint	139
3.8.2	Finite Element Modeling of Double Lap Shear Joint	141
3.8.2.1	Model Geometry	142
3.8.2.2	Material Modeling	143
3.8.2.3	Meshing Methodology	144
3.8.2.4	Boundary Conditions and Loading	146
3.9	Chapter Summary	147
CHAPTER 4	MECHANICAL PROPERTIES AND DISCUSSION OF SANDWICH BIO-COMPOSITE CONSTITUENT MATERIALS	149
4.1	Introduction	149

4.2	Material Properties	149
4.2.1	Properties of Kenaf Fiber	149
4.2.1.1	Kenaf Fiber Diameter	150
4.2.1.2	Kenaf Fiber Density	151
4.2.1.3	Tensile Properties of Kenaf Fiber	152
4.2.2	Properties of Skin Material for Kenaf Fiber Reinforced Polyester (KFRP)	154
4.2.2.1	Fiber Volume Fraction	154
4.2.2.2	Tensile Response of KFRP	154
4.2.2.3	Compressive Response of KFRP	158
4.2.2.4	Shear Response of KFRP	160
4.2.2.5	Flexural Response of KFRP	162
4.2.3	Physical Properties of Sawdust	165
4.2.4	Properties of Core Material for Sawdust Reinforced Polyester (SDRP)	165
4.2.4.1	Fiber Volume Fraction	165
4.2.4.2	Tensile Response of SDRP	165
4.2.4.3	Compressive Response of SDRP	167
4.2.4.4	Flexural Response of SDRP	169
4.2.5	Matrix or Adhesive Tensile Response	174
4.3	Chapter Summary	177
CHAPTER 5	EXPERIMENTAL AND NUMERICAL MODELLING RESULTS AND DISCUSSION OF SANDWICH BIO-COMPOSITES	179
5.1	Introduction	179
5.2	Load-displacement Response of the Sandwich Bio-composites	179
5.3	Flexural Properties and Energy Absorption of Sandwich Bio-composite	184
5.3.1	Flexural Loads of Sandwich Bio-composite Structures as a Function of Core Thickness	184
5.3.2	Effect of Core Thickness on the Core Shear Stress and Facing Bending Stress	185

5.3.3	The Flexural Strength of Sandwich Bio-composite Structures as a Function of Core Thickness	187
5.3.4	Effect of Core Thickness on Sandwich Bio-composite Structures Flexural Stiffness	188
5.3.5	Energy Absorption Capacity of Sandwich Bio-composite Structures as Function of Core Thickness	188
5.4	Failure Mechanism of Novel Sandwich Bio-composite Structures	190
5.5	Results of the Finite Element Modeling of the Sandwich Bio-composite Beams and Validation	193
5.6	Summary	200
CHAPTER 6	EXPERIMENTAL AND NUMERICAL ANALYSES OF THE KFRP ADHEREND AND SDRP ADHEREND SANDWICH ADHESIVE JOINTS	201
6.1	Introduction	201
6.2	Effect of Joint Parameters on Load-displacement Response and Joint Strength	202
6.2.1	Effect of Overlap Length	202
6.2.2	Effect of Bond Width	207
6.3	Failure Modes of Double Lap Shear Joints	210
6.4	Simulated Results of Double Lap Shear Joints	215
6.4.1	Validation of FE Models	215
6.4.2	Stress Distribution of Adhesive Layer under Different Overlap Length	223
6.4.3	Summary	225
CHAPTER 7	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	227
7.1	Introduction	227
7.2	Characterization of Kenaf Fiber Bio-composite and Sawdust Bio-composite	227
7.3	Flexural Response of the KFRP/SDRP Sandwich Bio-composites	228
7.4	Influence of Joint Geometry on the Mechanical Behavior of KFRP/SDRP Adhesively Bonded Sandwich Joints	228

7.5	Stress Analysis and Distribution in KFRP/SDRP Adhesively Bonded Sandwich Joints	229
7.6	Recommendation for Further Studies	230
	REFERENCES	231
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	269

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1	Chemical characteristics and percentage compositions of kenaf (Ashori <i>et al.</i> , 2006)	18
Table 2.2	A compilation of kenaf fibers properties reported by previous researchers	20
Table 2.3	Properties of Kenaf fibers in comparison with fiberglass	21
Table 2.4	Properties of wood fibers for composites from past researchers	23
Table 2.5	Tensile properties of untreated and alkaline treated kenaf fiber (Cao <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	28
Table 2.6	Flexural strength and density of composite containing various percentage of NaOH- treated sawdust (Prompunjai & Sridach, 2010)	29
Table 2.7	Mechanical properties of cured polyester resins presented by different researchers	33
Table 2.8	Previous research findings on the mechanical properties of kenaf fiber reinforced composites	42
Table 2.9	Mechanical properties of wood flour or sawdust reinforced composites from previous researchers	48
Table 2.10	Published research articles on flexural properties and bond performance of sandwich composites in previous years	86
Table 3.1	Properties of the SDRP composite used in the simulation	133
Table 3.2	Properties of the KFRP composite used in the simulation	135
Table 3.3	Joint geometric configuration for double lap joints	142
Table 3.4	Properties of the polyester 2597APT adhesive used for the simulation	143
Table 4.1	Statistical parameter of kenaf fiber diameter	151
Table 4.2	Result of kenaf fiber density	152
Table 4.3	Untreated and treated kenaf fiber tensile properties	152
Table 4.4	Unidirectional KFRP longitudinal tensile test properties	155

Table 4.5	Transverse directional KFRP tensile test properties	157
Table 4.6	Unidirectional KFRP longitudinal compressive test properties	159
Table 4.7	Shear test properties of KFRP	161
Table 4.8	Unidirectional flexural properties of KFRP	163
Table 4.9	Summary of mechanical properties of unidirectional KFRP composite	164
Table 4.10	Physical properties of sawdust	165
Table 4.11	Properties of SDRP in tension	166
Table 4.12	Compressive properties of SDRP	168
Table 4.13	Flexural properties of SDRP	170
Table 4.14	Summary of material properties of SDRP composites	174
Table 4.15	Tensile properties of polyester 2597APT waxed	175
Table 4.16	Summary of the material properties of polyester resin or adhesive 2597APT waxed	176
Table 5.1	Experimental results of 10 mm core thickness	181
Table 5.2	Experimental results of 15 mm core thickness	182
Table 5.3	Experimental results of 20 mm core thickness	183
Table 5.4	Comparison of experimental and FEM results	200
Table 6.1	Summary of the tests data of the KFRP-SDRP shear joint	204
Table 6.2	Numerical analysis and experimental average failure loads and displacement of joints	223

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Classification of Composite Materials Based on Reinforcement (Rajib & Liming, 2018)	12
Figure 2.2	(a) Continuous fiber (long fiber) reinforced composites, (b) Discontinuous fiber (short fiber) reinforced composites	13
Figure 2.3	Typical Particulate (particles/fillers) reinforced composites	14
Figure 2.4	Diagrammatic classification of reinforcing bio-fibers (Abdul Khalil <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	15
Figure 2.5	Structure of bio-fibers (John & Thomas, 2008)	16
Figure 2.6	Kenaf plant, kenaf stalk, kenaf bast fiber and core fiber (Adole <i>et al.</i> , 2019)	18
Figure 2.7	Tensile strength properties of kenaf fiber, (A=22 °C, B=30 °C) (Ochi, 2008)	19
Figure 2.8	Tensile strength of untreated and alkaline treated kenaf fiber (Edeerozey <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	27
Figure 2.9	Molecular structure of a typical polyester resin (Ray & Rout, 2005)	31
Figure 2.10	Diagram showing the unsaturated polyester cross-linking reaction (Strong, 2008)	32
Figure 2.11	Hand lay-up process (Zin <i>et al.</i> , 2016)	35
Figure 2.12	Tensile strength of kenaf fiber and jute fiber polypropylene composites versus fiber weight fraction(Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	36
Figure 2.13	Tensile modulus of kenaf fiber and jute fiber polypropylene composites versus fiber weight fraction (Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	37
Figure 2.14	(a) Relationship between tensile properties and kenaf fiber content, and (b) Relationship between flexural properties and kenaf fiber content (Ochi, 2008).	37
Figure 2.15	Effects of kenaf fiber content on the tensile strength and tensile modulus of kenaf fiber/PPLA composites (Nashino <i>et al.</i> , 2003)	38
Figure 2.16	Flexural strength of kenaf fiber-PP composites with other natural fiber composites (Zampaloni <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	39

Figure 2.17	(a) Flexural strength of long and short fiber of kenaf-polyester (KP) and hemp-polyester (HP) composites and (b) Flexural modulus of long and short fiber of kenaf-polyester (KP) and hemp-polyester (HP) composites (Aziz & Ansell, 2004)	39
Figure 2.18	Tensile stress-strain curve of diverse fiber volume content of kenaf fiber-polyester composites (Razavi, 2016)	40
Figure 2.19	Compressive stress-strain curve of diverse fiber volume content of kenaf fiber-polyester composites (Razavi, 2016)	41
Figure 2.20	Effect of filler or flour content on the mechanical properties of hot alkaline treated OPS filler or flour reinforced unsaturated polyester composites: (a) tensile strength, (b) flexural strength, (c) tensile modulus and (d) flexural modulus (Nabinejad <i>et al.</i> , 2017)	44
Figure 2.21	Influence of matrix type on the mechanical properties of 13%wt filler or flour reinforced unsaturated polyester, vinyl ester and epoxy composites: (a) tensile strength, (b) flexural strength, (c) tensile modulus and (d) flexural modulus (Nabinejad <i>et al.</i> , 2017)	45
Figure 2.22	Influence of particle sizes and wood species on (a) tensile strength, and (b) tensile modulus for wood flour-recycled polypropylene composites (Ratanawilai <i>et al.</i> , 2014)	47
Figure 2.23	Influence of particle sizes and wood species on (a) flexural strength and (b) flexural modulus for wood flour-recycled polypropylene composites (Ratanawilai <i>et al.</i> , 2014)	47
Figure 2.24	Influence of particle sizes and wood species on (a) compressive strength and (b) compressive modulus for wood flour-recycled polypropylene composites (Ratanawilai <i>et al.</i> , 2014)	47
Figure 2.25	Main components of sandwich structures (Konka <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	49
Figure 2.26	A comparison of sandwich structure with I-beam (Gir <i>et al.</i> , 2015)	51
Figure 2.27	left: Schematic of nonablative lightweight thermal protection system (NALT) (Suzuki <i>et al.</i> , 2017), right: Floor lay-up for bus (Munusamy, 2012)	54
Figure 2.28	left: Front view of footbridge (Chróścielewski <i>et al.</i> , 2017), right: GFRP-balsa wood sandwich bridge deck (Keller <i>et al.</i> , 2014)	54
Figure 2.29	left: GFRP-paulownia wood core composite sandwich panel cut into shape (Zhu <i>et al.</i> , 2018), right: Modular composite sandwich truss panels (Omar, 2008)	55

Figure 2.30	Commonly used core material system (Manolo et al., 2016)	59
Figure 2.31	Competing failure modes of sandwich beams subjected to three-point bending (Steeves & Fleck, 2004)	64
Figure 2.32	(a) Load-displacement curves of sandwich samples with improved core, (b) load-displacement curves of sandwich samples with original core (Hoto <i>et al.</i> , 2014)	65
Figure 2.33	Sandwich panel with Alporas core: core thicknesses of (a) 5 mm, (b) 10 mm, (c) 20 mm (Styles <i>et al.</i> , 2007).	66
Figure 2.34	Load–displacement curves for each core thickness (Styles <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	67
Figure 2.35	Load-bending curves for each core (Arbaoui <i>et al.</i> , 2014)	68
Figure 2.36	Load-deflection response of flax/cork sandwich composites: (a) S=150 mm; (b) S=300 mm (Sadeghian <i>et al.</i> , 2016a)	69
Figure 2.37	(a) Experimental-numerical comparison for the load-displacement curves in three-point bending test, (b) Strain distribution in vertical direction for the three-point bending test simulation (Borsellino et al., 2004)	70
Figure 2.38	Results of FEM for the polyurethane foam utilizing GFRP web layers: (a) deflection contour, and (b) comparison of experimental and numerical results (Tuwair et al., 2015)	71
Figure 2.39	Configuration of bonded joints (Gunnion & Herszberg, 2006)	73
Figure 2.40	Common failure modes of adhesive joints	74
Figure 2.41	Goland and Reissner’s shear stress and peel stress distribution in adhesive joint (da Silva <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	81
Figure 2.42	Experimental and numerical load-displacement curve for the single-lap joint (Taib et al., 2006)	82
Figure 2.43	Experimental and numerical (CZM) load-displacement curves for the double-lap joints with $L_0 = 5$ mm (a) and $L_0 = 20$ mm (b) (Campilho et al., 2011)	82
Figure 2.44	Shear stress distribution in the adhesive joint with 10 mm, 15 mm and 20 mm overlap lengths (Her & Chan, 2019)	83
Figure 2.45	Peel stress distribution in the adhesive joint with 10 mm, 15 mm and 20 mm overlap lengths (Her & Chan, 2019)	83
Figure 3.1	Research framework	92
Figure 3.2	Curled continuous kenaf fiber from water retting process	95

Figure 3.3	Pretreatment process of kenaf fiber	96
Figure 3.4	Treatment Process: (a) kenaf fiber cut into the right size and tied, (b) dissolving NaOH in a beaker with mechanical mixer, (c) submerging kenaf fiber in NaOH solution, and (d) drying of treated kenaf fiber	98
Figure 3.5	SEM testing machine	99
Figure 3.6	Testing machine for fiber tensile properties	101
Figure 3.7	Raw sawdust	102
Figure 3.8	Treatment Process: (a) dissolving NaOH in a beaker with mechanical mixer, (b) raw sawdust in plastic container, (c) submerging sawdust in NaOH solution, and (d) drying of treated sawdust in an ambient temperature	103
Figure 3.9	Fabrication process of KFRP	107
Figure 3.10	Side view of the longitudinal tensile test specimen [0°]	111
Figure 3.11	Side view of the transverse tensile test specimen [90°]	111
Figure 3.12	(a) Longitudinal tensile test setup, (b) transverse tensile test setup	112
Figure 3.13	Standard geometry of compressive coupon test: (a) side view and (b) plan view	114
Figure 3.14	Compressive test rig and test setup	115
Figure 3.15	(a) Schematic diagram of in-plane shear test coupon [45°], and (b) shear test setup	116
Figure 3.16	(a) schematic diagram of KFRP three-point bending test, and (b) setup of KFRP three-point bending test specimen	118
Figure 3.17	Fabrication process of SDRP panel	119
Figure 3.18	(a) Schematic diagram of tensile test of SDRP, (b) tensile test setup of SDRP	120
Figure 3.19	(a) Schematic diagram of SDRP compressive test sample, (b) setup of the compressive test	122
Figure 3.20	Schematic diagrams of the three-point bending test for SDRP, (a) 10 mm thickness (b) 15 mm thickness and (c) 20 mm thickness	124
Figure 3.21	Set up of three-point bending test for SDRP (a) 10 mm thickness (b) 15 mm thickness and (c) 20 mm thickness	124
Figure 3.22	(a) Schematic diagram of resin/adhesive tensile test specimen, (b) Prepared resin tensile test coupons with strain gauges attached	126

Figure 3.23	Fabrication process of sandwich composite structure	127
Figure 3.24	The dimensions of the three-point bending of sandwich panels according to testing standard ASTM C393/ASTM C393M (ASTM, 2015b) (a) 10 mm core thickness, (b) 15 mm core thickness, and (c) 20 mm core thickness	129
Figure 3.25	Setup for three-point bending test of sandwich panels (a) 10 mm core thickness, (b) 15 mm core thickness, and (c) 20 mm core thickness	130
Figure 3.26	Finite element models of sandwich bio-composite beams (a) 10 mm core thickness, (b) 15 mm core thickness, and (c) 20 mm core thickness	133
Figure 3.27	Meshed model of sandwich bio-composite beam	136
Figure 3.28	Boundary conditions and loading of quasi-static three-point bending models of sandwich bio-composite beam	137
Figure 3.29	Specimens clamped for curing	138
Figure 3.30	Post-curing of specimens in an oven	139
Figure 3.31	Dimensions and geometrical configuration of double lap shear joint (not to scale) (a) 2D view, (b) 3D view	140
Figure 3.32	Set-up for double lap shear joint	140
Figure 3.33	(a) Finite element model for double lap shear joint, (b) model showing the adhesive layer of the joint	142
Figure 3.34	Traction-separation cohesive law with the exponential damage evolution (Barbosa et al., 2018)	144
Figure 3.35	(a) Finite element mesh for the double lap joint, (b) mesh model showing the adhesive layer of the joint	145
Figure 3.36	Boundary and loading conditions applied to the double lap shear joint models	147
Figure 4.1	The diameter of a typical fiber bundle	150
Figure 4.2	Average diameter of untreated and treated kenaf fiber	151
Figure 4.3	Tensile strength of untreated and treated kenaf fibers	153
Figure 4.4	Tensile modulus of untreated and treated kenaf fibers	153
Figure 4.5	Unidirectional KFRP longitudinal tensile stress-strain curves	155
Figure 4.6	Typical failure mode of KFRP unidirectional tensile specimen	156

Figure 4.7	Transverse directional KFRP tensile stress-strain curves	157
Figure 4.8	The KFRP transverse tensile specimen failure mode	158
Figure 4.9	The Unidirectional KFRP longitudinal compressive stress-strain curves	159
Figure 4.10	(a) The KFRP unidirectional specimen before failure, (b) KFRP unidirectional specimen after failure	160
Figure 4.11	KFRP shear stress-strain plots	161
Figure 4.12	The KFRP transverse diagonal failure mode	162
Figure 4.13	Unidirectional KFRP flexural stress-strain curves	163
Figure 4.14	Failure mode of KFRP flexural specimen showing cracking at the tension side	164
Figure 4.15	Stress-strain plots of SDRP in tension	166
Figure 4.16	Fracture failure mode of SDRP in tension	167
Figure 4.17	Compressive stress-strain plots of SDRP	168
Figure 4.18	Compressive failure of SDRP specimen showing slight buckling failure	169
Figure 4.19	Flexural stress-strain curves of SDRP	170
Figure 4.20	Failure mode showing the fractured area of SDRP specimen	170
Figure 4.21	Load-displacement plots of 10 mm SDRP thickness	172
Figure 4.22	Load-displacement plots of 15 mm SDRP thickness	172
Figure 4.23	Load-displacement plots of 20 mm SDRP thickness	173
Figure 4.24	Average load-displacement plots of 10 mm, 15 mm and 20 mm SDRP thicknesses	173
Figure 4.25	Stress-strain curves of the bulk polyester 2597APT waxed	175
Figure 4.26	Failure mode experienced by polyester 2597APT waxed	176
Figure 5.1	Load-displacement curve plot for 10 mm core thickness	180
Figure 5.2	Load-displacement curve plot for 15 mm core thickness	182
Figure 5.3	Load-displacement curve plot for 20 mm core thickness	183
Figure 5.4	Comparison between sandwich bio-composite structures and their components	185
Figure 5.5	The effect of core thickness on the core shear stress of sandwich bio-composites	186

Figure 5.6	The effect of core thickness on the facing bending stress of sandwich bio-composites	186
Figure 5.7	The influence of sandwich bio-composites' core thickness on flexural strength	187
Figure 5.8	The effect of core thickness on the flexural stiffness of sandwich bio-composites	189
Figure 5.9	The effect of core thickness on the energy absorption of sandwich bio-composites	189
Figure 5.10	(a) Typical failure modes of SDRP 10 mm core thickness sandwich bio-composite, (b) core shear failure, (c) skin rupture at the tensile bottom end and (d) skin delamination at the bottom end	191
Figure 5.11	(a) Typical failure modes of SDRP 15 mm core thickness sandwich bio-composites, (b) core shear failure, (c) skin rupture at the tensile bottom end and, (d) skin delamination at the bottom right corner end	192
Figure 5.12	(a) Typical failure modes of SDRP 20mm core thickness sandwich bio-composites, (b) core shear failure, (c) skin rupture at the tensile bottom end, and (d) skin debonding at the right bottom corner end	193
Figure 5.13	Experimental and FEM load-displacement curves of the 10 mm SDRP core thickness sandwich bio-composite beam	195
Figure 5.14	Cross-head displacement contour of 10 mm SDRP core thickness sandwich bio-composite beam	196
Figure 5.15	Von mises stress distribution of 10 mm SDRP core thickness sandwich bio-composite beam	196
Figure 5.16	Experimental and FEM load-displacement curves of the 15 mm SDRP core thickness sandwich bio-composite beam	197
Figure 5.17	Cross-head displacement contour of 15 mm SDRP core thickness sandwich bio-composite beam	197
Figure 5.18	Von mises stress distribution of 10 mm SDRP core thickness sandwich bio-composite beam	198
Figure 5.19	Experimental and FEM load-displacement curves of the 20 mm SDRP core thickness sandwich bio-composite beam	198
Figure 5.20	Cross-head displacement contour of 20 mm SDRP core thickness sandwich bio-composite beam	199
Figure 5.21	Von mises stress distribution of 20 mm SDRP core thickness sandwich bio-composite beam	199

Figure 6.1	Experimental load-displacement plots of specimens with various overlap length as a function of 25 mm bond width	202
Figure 6.2	Experimental load-displacement plots of specimens with various overlap length as a function of 35 mm bond width	203
Figure 6.3	Experimental load-displacement plots of specimens with various overlap lengths as a function of 50 mm bond width	204
Figure 6.4	Ultimate load of the shear joints in relation to overlap length and bond width	205
Figure 6.5	Energy absorption capacity of the shear joints in relation to overlap length and bond width	206
Figure 6.6	Experimental shear strength of the shear joints in relation to overlap length and bond width	206
Figure 6.7	Experimental load-displacement plots of specimens with various bond width as a function of 10 mm overlap length	207
Figure 6.8	Experimental load-displacement curves of specimens with various bond width in relation to 15 mm overlap length	208
Figure 6.9	Experimental load-displacement curves of specimens with various bond width in relation to 20 mm bond overlap length	208
Figure 6.10	Experimental load-displacement curves of specimens with various bond width in relation to 25 mm overlap length	209
Figure 6.11	Experimental shear strength of the shear joints in relation to bond width and overlap length	210
Figure 6.12	Failure modes for joint A1, A2, A3 and A4	212
Figure 6.13	Failure modes for joint B1, B2, B3 and B4	213
Figure 6.14	Failure modes for joint C1, C2, C3 and C4	214
Figure 6.15	Experimental and numerical comparison of double lap shear joint types, (a) A1, (b) A2, (c) A3, (d) A4	216
Figure 6.16	Experimental and numerical comparison of double lap shear joint types, (a) B1, (b) B2, (c) B3, (d) B4,	217
Figure 6.17	Experimental and numerical comparison of double lap shear joint types, (a) C1, (b) C2, (c) C3, (d) C4.	218
Figure 6.18	Equivalent stress contour plots of double lap shear joint types, (a) A1, (b)A2, (c)A3 and (d)A4	220
Figure 6.19	Equivalent stress contour plots of double lap shear joint types, (e) B1, (f) B2, (g) B3 and (h) B4	221

Figure 6.20	Equivalent stress contour plots of double lap shear joint types, (i) C1, (j) C2, (k) C3 and (l) C4	222
Figure 6.21	Adhesive stress distributions along the bondline for joints with different overlap lengths: (a) the shear stress and (b) the peel stress	225

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AE	-	Acoustic Emission
ASTM	-	American Society for Testing and Materials
CZM	-	Cohesive Zone Modelling
DIC	-	Digital Image Correlation
DLS	-	Double Lap Shear
XFEM	-	Extended Finite Element Method
FEA	-	Finite Element Analysis
FEM	-	Finite Element Method
F	-	Force
GFRP	-	Glass Fiber Reinforced Polymer
KF	-	Kenaf Fiber
KFRP	-	Kenaf Fiber Reinforced Polyester
MEKP	-	Methyl Ethyl Ketone Peroxide
NFC	-	Natural Fiber Composites
OMF	-	Oil Palm Mesocarp Flour
OPS	-	Oil Palm Shell
PET	-	Polyethylene Terephthalate
PLA	-	Polylactic Acid
PP	-	Polypropylene
PU	-	Polyurethane
PVC	-	Polyvinyl Chloride
SDRP	-	Sawdust Reinforced Polyester
RTM	-	Resin Transfer Molding
RWF	-	Rubber Wood Flour
NaOH	-	Sodium Hydroxide
SIP	-	Structural Insulated Panel
UB	-	Unit Break
UPR	-	Unsaturated Polyester Resin
WF	-	Wood Flour
WPC	-	Wood Polymer Composites

LIST OF SYMBOLS

V_f	-	Fiber volume fraction
ρ_m	-	Density of resin
ρ_f	-	Density of fiber
W_f	-	Weight per unit area of dry fiber
W_m	-	Weight per unit area of matrix
V_m	-	Matrix volume fraction
E_1	-	Longitudinal modulus
E_2	-	Transverse modulus
ν_{12}	-	Longitudinal Poisson's ratio
$G_{12}=G_{13}, \text{ and } G_{23}$	-	Shear moduli
E_m	-	Matrix modulus
G_m	-	Shear modulus of matrix
E	-	Elastic modulus
σ	-	Stress
ε	-	Strain
δ	-	Bending stress
∂	-	Core shear stress
τ	-	Shear bond strength
ν_{23}	-	Minor Poisson's ratio
S_C	-	Transverse shear strength
S_T	-	Longitudinal shear strength
X_C	-	Longitudinal Compressive Strength
X_T	-	Longitudinal tensile strength
Y_C	-	Transverse compressive strength
Y_T	-	Transverse tensile strength

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Calculation of the quantity of materials utilized for KFRP composite fabrication	259
Appendix B	Calculation of the quantity of materials utilized for 8mm thickness SDRP composite fabrication	260
Appendix C	Calculation of the quantity of materials utilized for 10mm thickness SDRP composite fabrication	261
Appendix D	Calculation of the quantity of materials utilized for 15mm thickness SDRP composite fabrication	262
Appendix E	Calculation of the quantity of materials utilized for 20mm thickness SDRP composite fabrication	263
Appendix F	Calculation of the quantity of materials utilized for cured polyester 2597APT waxed	264
Appendix G	Parameters used to determine the density of KFRP composite, SDRP composite and Polyester 2597APT waxed	265
Appendix H	Poisson ratio determination for KFRP composite	266
Appendix I	Poisson ratio determination for SDRP composite	267
Appendix J	Poisson ratio determination for cured polyester 2597APT waxed	268

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General Appraisal

Sandwich construction, which combines composite skins with a variety of core materials, is becoming increasingly common for a variety of structural applications. Sandwich structured composites according to Sadeghian *et al.* (2016a), are special class of composite materials which have become very popular due to its high strength to weight ratio, high specific strength and stiffness, good fatigue resistance, low thermal expansion, good dampness property and in particular, the ability to give explicitly tailored material properties. These properties make sandwich composites suitable in the field of civil infrastructures, aeronautical engineering, automobile engineering and marine applications. In the past, sandwich panel usage was limited to aeronautical applications, however, they have recently been utilized as structural components in buildings. A sandwich structure usually consists of two stiff, strong sheets of composite material separated by a relatively thick core layer. The necessity to combine high mechanical stiffness with a lightweight structure prompted the development of sandwich construction. This is accomplished by increasing the distance between the skins, which increases the inertial moment and hence increases the structure's bending stiffness (Vitale *et al.*, 2017).

Over the years, synthetic fiber such as carbon, glass, aramid and so on have been the traditional reinforcing agents in sandwich composite skin, while the core material usually composed of aluminum, or polymer materials such as expanded polystyrene, extruded polystyrene, polyisocyanurate, polyurethane or phenolic resin. However, these materials are relatively expensive, in addition, the rising environmental awareness of industrial pollution, combined with depletion of petroleum resources and high energy consumption, is forcing the construction and manufacturing industries to look for innovative materials that are reliable and

sustainable to replace conventional materials in sandwich composite structures (CoDyre *et al.*, 2016; Silva *et al.*, 2008).

Natural fibers such as sisal, jute, cotton, flax, hemp, kenaf, and others have already been considered as viable replacements because of their environmental pleasantness, availability in fibrous form, and low cost of extraction from plants (Joshi *et al.*, 2004). On the other hand, residues of wood industry in form of sawdust are accumulating in an alarming rate, it then becomes a huge challenge to expand the profitable and sustainable use of these waste residues as raw material for value-added products in composite (Ahmed *et al.*, 2015; Ashori & Nourbakhsh, 2008). Other advantages of natural fibers as highlighted by Karaduman & Onal (2016a), are renewability, sustainability, environmental friendliness, low density, flexibility of usage and biodegradability. Furthermore, natural fiber composites have a variety of recycling and degrading options at the end of their life cycle, depending on the type of polymer utilized. The worst-case scenario is the burning of natural fiber composites in an incinerator to create electricity, which decreases the volume of materials to bottom ash, which has numerous applications in concrete (Sadeghian *et al.*, 2016a).

Natural fiber reinforced polymer composites have attracted a lot of attention because of their advantages over synthetic fiber-based polymer composites. Although numerous types of natural fiber composites have been developed, their joining using adhesive bonding has not been fully investigated. Most instances, the composites to composites are joined using the traditional methods such as bolts and rivets. However, these traditional methods are not suitable for composites to composites joining because of stress concentration at the joint that usually resulted to premature failure. Therefore, an ideal joining method is the adhesive bonding. Adhesive bonding presents many advantages such as high strength to weight ratio, uniformly transfer of shear stresses between structural materials, good electrical and/or thermal insulation properties, corrosion and fatigue resistance over traditional mechanical fastened, riveted and bolted joints (Budzik, 2010; Durmuş & Akpınar, 2020; Singh, Castillo & Ingham, 2019).

The use of natural fiber in polymer composites either in form of fibrous composites or particulate composites have been reported by Verma *et al.* (2013). Fibrous composites contain fibers that are held together by a polymeric matrix while particulate composites are made up of fibrous particles incorporated in a polymeric matrix.

The usage of kenaf fiber-polyester reinforced composite as skin material and saw dust-polyester reinforced composite as core material in sandwich composite structures is lacking in literature. Also, in order to extend the application of this novel sandwich composite structure, the strength and failure mechanisms of the bonded joints between these two bio-fiber composites need to be understood completely. It is therefore important to investigate the characteristics of the aforementioned composites in sandwich materials system since this approach is still deficient in literature for now.

1.2 Background of the Problem

The increasing need for structures to have properties such as low self-weight, high strength and stiffness, and durability has made composite materials more attractive in a wide range of engineering applications of which sandwich composite structures is a good example (Yaman & Onal, 2016). Sandwich composite structures according Russo & Zuccarello (2007) are more preferred in various industrial applications over conventional materials in the sense that a composite beam has a far better shear stiffness to weight ratio than a beam built only of the core or skin materials.

However, sandwich construction offers a variety of possible issues due to the various interfaces. These interfaces could serve as a source for failure initiation and growth. In addition, Ammar *et al.* (2017) stated that during fabrication or under service conditions of sandwich composite structures, failure can occur through modes of damage mechanism such as delamination (skin-core debonding), core indentation failure, core shear failure, local skin wrinkling, and skin compression/tensile failure. Among the aforementioned mode of failures, skin-core interface debonding is of major importance because of its frequent occurrence and adverse effects. Therefore, the

advancement in joining technologies is of great importance in sandwich composite structures. The traditional method of structure assembly is a mechanically fastened joint. However, the addition of a hole and bolt causes stress concentration, weight penalty, and fiber breakage in composites, resulting in multiple failures. Adhesive bonding is the most effective approach for joining two composite structures together. Adhesively bonded joints are increasingly being utilized instead of mechanically attached joints because load transmission between composite components is more evenly distributed (Jeevi *et al.*, 2019).

The development of fiber reinforced polymer sandwich composite structures has been quite exciting in terms of volume and applications over the years. Their primary applications are in the aerospace, automotive, and maritime industries due to weight reduction compared to aluminium and other metallic elements, fuel consumption efficiency will improve. The advancement of sandwich composite structures with improved green material systems has allowed this material to be used in more civil infrastructure applications. The development and use of natural-fiber sandwich composite structures in civil engineering and construction are currently generating a lot of interest. Sandwich composites' reduced weight and therefore makes assembly easier, lowers installation and transportation costs, and lowers the cost of the foundation and its supporting sections even more. They also provide corrosion-resistant construction that requires minimal maintenance (Fajrin *et al.*, 2013).

Similarly, Vitale *et al.* (2017) observed that research and engineering attention have been moving from conventional materials to natural fiber polymeric composite materials. Natural fiber in polymer composites has sparked a lot of attention in numerous engineering field including structural applications, as result of their low cost, carbon dioxide neutrality and comparatively small density as compared to when carbon, aramid or glass fibers are used in composites. Kenaf fiber as a natural fiber is becoming very popular due to environmental issues and its remarkable properties in composites and these have been reported in several research works (Bharath Raman, Ramnath Vijaya & Manoharan, 2015; Mahjoub *et al.*, 2014; Hifizah *et al.*, 2014). Kenaf is a highly efficient plant that grows quickly with little nutrients, energy, or chemical fertilizer. Kenaf is also noted for producing more biomass per acre than any

forest plantation while requiring less planting space. (Akil, Omar, Mazuki, & Safiee, 2011). According to Mohd (2008), the Kyoto Protocol recognizes kenaf as an environmentally acceptable industrial organic materials that is effective in decreasing global warming. As a result of this acknowledgment, the Malaysian government has pushed the planting of kenaf to replace tobacco. Though the market for kenaf is yet unknown in Malaysia due to its newness, kenaf fiber has the ability to be marketed as a bio-composite material that can be utilized for a variety of structural applications. On the other hand, Curtu *et al.* (2011) pointed out that wastes wood in combination with other materials offers a variety of benefits and uses, and it's becoming a hot topic in research, with new concepts being tested and developed.

Joints constitute is the weakest zones in sandwich composite structure. Therefore, to fully utilized the potential of kenaf fiber reinforced composite as skin material and sawdust reinforced composite as core material in sandwich system, the strength and stress distribution in the joints has to be fully understood so that suitable configuration can be chosen for various application. Is to this end, that effort is geared towards investigating the technical feasibility of using kenaf fiber-polyester and sawdust-polyester material systems in sandwich composites through experimental and numerical approaches.

1.3 Statement of the Problem

Synthetic fiber such as glass, carbon or aramid have played a dominant role for a long time as fiber reinforcement in composites production for variety of structural applications. However, in recent years, growing environmental issues coupled with the uncertainty about petroleum resources and high energy consumption during processing have triggered much interest in developing composite materials from bio-fibers. Also, the widespread usage and disposal of conventional composite materials presents a significant challenge. Natural fibers on the other hand, have been gaining considerable attention for their potential contribution to addressing environmental issues, such as carbon dioxide neutrality and the saving of fossil resources. Bio-fibers such as kenaf fiber and sawdust could be the main candidates for bio-composites as reinforcing fibers

since they are found abundant in Malaysia. Therefore, characterization of these fibers in composites to serve as materials for sandwich structures become paramount subject for discussion.

The biggest potential drawback of the sandwich composite structure is the possibility of decohesion at the interface between the skins and the core, which have very different mechanical properties. This may lead to skins-core debonding due to energy absorption under loading. If the interface between the load-bearing skin material and the thick core fails, the composite may lose its structural integrity completely. Therefore, to ensure the used of kenaf fiber reinforced polymer skin and sawdust reinforced polymer core in sandwich materials system, an understanding of the bonding mechanism and fracture toughness is highly essential.

Numerous research works have been carried out to give a reliable prediction data of adhesive bonding mechanism of conventional composite structures. Other researchers have used analytical approach and numerical methods to evaluate the bond strength (stress analysis) in adhesively bonded joints of conventional composite materials. However, bio-fiber composites are left unexplored and this has limited its application to non-structural components due to lack of enough data and knowledge of the bonded interfaces and bond behavior of these materials. The structural performance of any sandwich construction depends largely on the quality of the adhesive bond between skin and core. Thus, it is highly necessary to investigate the adhesive joints between kenaf fiber reinforced polymer composite/sawdust reinforced polymer composite, to assess the feasibility of joining, and their bonding performance as sandwich structure's materials.

1.4 Aim and Objectives

The aim of this research is to investigate the properties and performance of kenaf fiber reinforced polymer composite/sawdust reinforced polymer composite as sandwich composite structure constituent materials. The specific objectives of this study are as follows:

- (a) To characterize the mechanical and physical properties of kenaf fiber reinforced polymer composite and sawdust reinforced polymer composite.
- (b) To investigate experimentally and numerically the flexural performance of kenaf fiber-sawdust sandwich bio-composite, through the optimization of core thicknesses.
- (c) To examine the adhesive bond mechanical performance of kenaf fiber reinforced polymer composite/sawdust reinforced polymer composite as constituent materials in sandwich system.
- (d) To determine and validate the stress distribution in the adhesive joints of kenaf fiber reinforced polymer composite/sawdust reinforced polymer composite.

1.5 Scope of the Study

This research work covers the understanding of the overall project need through literature survey, and it is experimental and numerical in nature which is within the limit of the set objectives. The scope of the study is divided into four stages:

- (a) Characterization of the constituent materials of kenaf fiber reinforced polymer composite at 40% volume fraction and sawdust reinforced polymer composite at 20% volume fraction, by identifying their mechanical and physical properties such as tensile test, compressive test, flexural test, shear test and density, and it is done in compliance with ASTM standard specification.
- (b) Flexural properties of the sandwich system with various core thicknesses developed from the kenaf fiber reinforced polymer composite skin and sawdust reinforced polymer composite core is investigated and experimental results validated numerically using ABAQUS software.
- (c) Double lap shear joint fabrication and testing with different overlap length and adherend width are investigated. The specimen preparation, test procedure, and

instrumentation are all discussed. Furthermore, the experimental data for each type of double lap shear joint is shown, including bond shear strength, load-displacement curves, and failure of the various surfaces.

- (d) Parameters such as load-displacement response, von Mises stress distribution as well as the shear stress distribution (SS13) and peel stress distribution (SS33) using ABAQUS software were implemented for the double lap shear joint. The structural integrity of the kenaf fiber reinforced polymer adherend skin, the sawdust reinforced polymer adherend core and the polyester adhesive were investigated and discussed. The data from the analysis was compared with the experimental data.

1.6 Significance of the Study

One of the most pressing issues facing the construction sector today is to improve its image in terms of sustainability. Therefore, using green materials to the best of their abilities is one of the key strategies to achieving sustainable construction. The utilization of synthetic fiber such as glass fiber, carbon fiber or aramid fiber as skin material and polymer materials such as expanded polystyrene, extruded polystyrene, polyisocyanurate, polyurethane or phenolic resin as core material in sandwich composite structures presently exists worldwide, but the aforementioned materials are non-renewable materials and therefore cannot be sustained (Lim & Kang, 2006; Liu *et al.*, 2006; Pickering *et al.*, 2016; Ramesh *et al.*, 2017; Sharaf & Fam, 2005).

That is why the current attention is shifting to replacing synthetic and polymer materials with green and renewable materials for sustainable development. Also, the results obtained from this research are expected to make contribution in the understanding of the adhesive bonding performance of bio-fiber composite structures, particularly, kenaf fiber polymer composite/sawdust polymer composite. The current research is hereby set towards the following outcomes:

- (a) The information obtained from this research work will promote the use of bio- fibers such as kenaf fiber and sawdust in composite as against synthetic and polymer materials because of its sustainability and light weight. Also, to reduce the adverse effect on the environment from the production of synthetic and polymer materials which is one of the major contributors of green gas emission that is implicated in global warming and climate change (Thakur, 2014).
- (b) Sandwich structures are notable for being lightweight, while bio-fibers composites offer numerous preferences that make them appropriate as alternative materials for numerous applications. Thus, the utilization of bio-fibers composites like kenaf fiber composite and sawdust composite in sandwich structure is likely to yield added advantages in the design of lightweight structures, leading to environmentally sandwich structures.
- (c) The information from this research will provide a wealth of new experimental and numerical data about connecting system of bonded joint of kenaf fiber reinforced composite/sawdust reinforced composite. This is necessary because prediction of strength and stress distribution in kenaf fiber composite/sawdust composite joints with satisfactory degree of accuracy is required in the construction industry. Besides, eliminating problems of bonding at advanced stage of construction and also at the service stage, a reliable prediction model will reduce construction cost when time dependent experiment can be omitted.
- (d) The utilization of bio-fibers composite like kenaf fiber composite and sawdust composite as against synthetic fiber reinforced polymer composite is likely to lessen the harmful effects of synthetic fiber reinforced composite handling on human health, such as respiratory irritation. Also, to promote the provision of innovative, affordable and sustainable housing in localities where these agricultural natural resources and agricultural wastes (kenaf fiber and sawdust) are abundant.

1.7 Thesis Organization

In line with the specific requirements spelt out in the UTM thesis manual, the research was organized and documented. Consequently, the whole thesis was presented in seven chapters: Chapter 1 presents a general appraisal and a brief description of the background problem. More so, the aim and the objectives, scope and limitation, significance of the research and research approach are presented. Chapter 2 provides comprehensive knowledge of the relevant subject presented in this thesis. It presents research works carried out by past investigators on the relevant and related literature. Chapter 3 presents information of the raw materials used, fabrication techniques, test procedures and employing appropriate standard where necessary in conducting the tests for the successful completion of the research. Chapter 4 focuses on the constituent materials' characterisation, comprising of kenaf fiber reinforced composite and sawdust reinforced composite. Tests falling in this category include physical test such as density and mechanical tests such as tensile test, compressive test, shear test and flexural test. These tests were carried out to established the constituent's material properties which formed the sandwich bio-composite panels. Chapter 5 focuses on the flexural characteristics of the sandwich bio-composite produced with kenaf fiber reinforced composite and sawdust reinforced composite. Three-point bending test was considered and experimental results are compared and validated with the numerical simulation. Chapter 6 focuses on the double lap shear joint's experimental investigations and numerical simulation. The experimental results, which include load-displacement curves, bond shear strength and failure surface for each type of the sandwich joints were presented. Numerical simulations to identify critical stress and FE validation were implemented. Chapter 7 provides the conclusion of this thesis by stating the outcomes and, success of the study and contribution of the research to the existing knowledge. Recommendations are proposed for further research work in related areas.

REFERENCES

- Abdul-Hussein, A. B., Al-Hassani, E. S., & Mohammed, R. A. (2015). Effect of nature materials powder on mechanical and physical properties of glass fiber/epoxy composite. *Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 33(1), 175–197.
- Abdul Khalil, H. P. S., Bhat, A. H., & Ireana, A. F. (2012). Green composites from sustainable cellulose nanofibrils: A review. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 87(2), 963–979.
- Adams, R. D., & Wake, W. . (1986). *Structural Adhesive Joints in Engineering* (second). Chapman and Hall.
- Adole, M. A., Yatim, J. M., Abubakar, S. R., Othman, A., & N. A. Mizal. (2019). Kenaf Fibre and Its Bio-Based Composites: A Conspectus. *Pertanika Journal Science. & Technology*, 27(1), 297–329.
- Agrawal, R., Saxena, N. S., Sharma, K. B., Thomas, S., & Sreekala, M. S. (2000). Activation energy and crystallization kinetics of untreated and treated oil palm fiber reinforced phenol formaldehyde composite. *Materials Science and Engineering :A*, 277(1–2), 77–82.
- Ahmed, S. O. M., Ahmed, H. H., Alaa, A. B., H., K. T. S., & Ramsis F. (2015). Novel composite sandwich structure from green materials: Mechanical, physical, and biological evaluation. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 132(28), 1–8. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1002/app.42253>
- Akil, H. M., Omar, M. F., Mazuki, A. A. M., & Safiee, S. (2011). Kenaf fiber reinforced composites: A review. *Material and Design*, 32(8–9), 4107–4121. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2011.04.008>
- Akil, H. M., Omar, M. F., Mazuki, A. A. M., Safiee, S., Ishak, Z. A. M., & Abu Bakar, A. (2011). Kenaf fiber reinforced composites: A review. *Materials & Design*, 32(8–9), 4107–4121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MATDES.2011.04.008>
- Ammar, I. B., Mahi, A., Karra, C., & Guerjouma, R. E. (2017). Characterization of sandwich beams with shear damages by linear and nonlinear vibration methods. *Journal of Composite Materials*, 52(1), 47–60. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1177/0021998317702436>
- Anuar, H., & Zuraida, A. (2011). Improvement in mechanical properties of reinforced

- thermoplastic elastomer composite with kenaf bast fibre. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 42(3),46<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPOSITESB.2010.12.013>
- Aranguren, M. I. Reboledo, M. M. (2007). Plant-based reinforcements for thermosets: matrices, processing, and properties. *Handbook of Engineering Biopolymers: Homopolymers, Blends and Composites*, 193–222.
- Arbaoui, J., Schmitt, Y., Pierrot, J. L., & Royer, F. X. (2014). Effect of core thickness and intermediate layers on mechanical properties of polypropylene honeycomb multi-layer sandwich structures. *Archives of Metallurgy and Materials*, 59(1), 11–16.
- Arunkumar, M.P. Pitchaimani, J. Gangadharan, K. V., & Babu, M. C. L. (2017). Sound transmission loss characteristics of sandwich aircraft panels: Influence of nature of core. *Journal of Sandwich Structures and Material*, 19(1), 26–48.
- Ashori, A., Harun, J., Raverty, W. D., & Yusoff, M. N. D. (2006). Chemical and morphological characteristics of Malaysia cultivated kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.) fibre. *Polymer Plastics Technology and Engineering*, 45(1), 131–134.
- Ashori, A., & Nourbakhsh, A. (2008). Effect of press cycle time and resin content on physical and mechanical properties of particleboard panels made from the underutilized low-quality raw materials. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 28(2), 225–230. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2008.02.015>
- ASTM. (2003a). Standard Test Method for Strength Properties of Adhesive Bonds in Shear by Compression Loading¹. *Designation: D 905 – 0*.
- ASTM. (2003b). Standard Test Methods for Flexural Properties of Unreinforced and Reinforced Plastics and Electrical Insulating Materials¹. *Designation: D 790 – 03*.
- ASTM. (2013). Standard Test method for in-plane shear response of polymer matrix composite materials by tensile test of a±45 laminate. *ASTM D 3518/D 3518. 94*.
- ASTM. (2014a). Standard Test Method for Compressive Properties of Polymer Matrix Composite Materials with Unsupported Gage Section by Shear Loading¹. *Designation: D3410/D3410M – 03*.
- ASTM. (2014b). Standard test method for tensile properties of plastics. *ASTM International. Designation: D. 638, 1-13*.
- ASTM. (2014c). Standard test method for tensile properties of polymer matrix composite materials. *ASTM D3039/D 3039M*.
- ASTM. (2014d). Standard Test Method for Tensile Strength and Young's Modulus of

- Fibers. *Designation: C1557-14*.
- ASTM. (2015a). Standard test method for compressive properties of rigid plastics,. *ASTM D 695-15*.
- ASTM. (2015b). Standard Test Method for Core Shear Properties of Sandwich Constructions by Beam Flexure1. *Designation: C393/C393M – 11'1*.
- ASTM. (2002). Standard Test Method for Particle-Size Analysis of Soils. *Designation: D422-63-02*, 1–8.
- Aziz, S. H., & Ansell, M. P. (2004). The effect of alkalization and fibre alignment on the mechanical and thermal properties of kenaf and hemp bast fibre composites: Part 1 – polyester resin matrix. *Composites Science and Technology*, 64(9), 1219–1230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPSCITECH.2003.10.001>
- Bach, M. R., Chalivendra, V. B., Alves, C., & Depina, E. (2017). Mechanical characterization of natural biodegradable sandwich materials. *Journal of Sandwich Structures and Materials*, 19(4), 482–496.
- Bahei-El-Din, Y. A., & Dvorak, G. J. (2001). New designs of adhesive joints for thick composite laminates. *Composites Science and Technology*, 61(1), 19–40. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-3538\(00\)00157-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-3538(00)00157-3)
- Balasubramanian, M. (2014). *Composite Materials and Processing*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida.
- Banea, M. D., & da Silva, L. F. M. (2009). Adhesively bonded joints in composite materials: an overview. *Journal of Materials: Design and Applications*, 223(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/DOI:10.1243/14644207JMDA219>
- Barbosa, N. G. C., Campilho, R. D. S. G., Silva, F. J. G., & Moreira, R. D. F. (2018). Comparison of different adhesively-bonded joint types for mechanical structures. *Applied Adhesive Science*, 6(15), 1–19.
- Bart-Smith, H., Hutchinson, J. W., & Evans, A. G. (2001). Measurement and analysis of the structural performance of cellular metal sandwich construction. *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences*, 43(8), 1945–1963. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7403\(00\)00070-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7403(00)00070-9)
- Belouettar, S., Abbad, A., Azari, Z., Belouettar, R., & Freres, P. (2009). Experimental investigation of static and fatigue behaviour of composites honeycomb materials using four point bending tests. *Composite Structures*, 87(3), 265–273. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPSTRUCT.2008.01.015>
- Bharath Raman, V. R., Ramnath Vijaya, B. and Manoharan, N. (2015). Kenaf Fibre

- Reinforced Composites: A Review. *ARNP Journal of Engineering and Applied Science*, 10(13), 5483–5485.
- Biagiotti, J., Puglia, D., & Kenny, M. (2004). A review of natural fibre-based composites part I: Structure, processing and properties of vegetable fibers. *Journal of Natural Fibers*, 1(2), 37–68.
- Birman, V., & Kardomateas, G. A. (2018). Review of current trends in research and applications of sandwich structures. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 142, 221–240. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPOSITESB.2018.01.027>
- Bledzki, A. ., & Gassan, J. (1999). Composites reinforced with cellulose based fibres. *Progress in Polymer Science*, 24(2), 221–274. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6700\(98\)00018-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6700(98)00018-5).
- Borsellino, C., Calabrese, L., & Valenza, A. (2004). Experimental and numerical evaluation of sandwich composite structures. *Composites Science and Technology*, 64(10–11), 1709–1715. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPSCITECH.2004.01.003>.
- Boukharouba, W., Bezazi, A., & Scarpa, F. (2014). Identification and prediction of cyclic fatigue behaviour in sandwich panels. *Measurement*, 53, 161–170. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEASUREMENT.2014.03.041>.
- Bower, D. I. (2002). *An Introduction to Polymer Physics*. Cambridge University. UK.
- Brett, C., & Waldron, K. (1996). *Physiology and Biochemistry of Plant Cell Walls* (second edi). Chapman and Hall, London.
- Budhe, S., Banea, M. D., de Barros, S., & da Silva, L. F. M. (2017). An updated review of adhesively bonded joints in composite materials. *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives*, 72, 30–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJADHADH.2016.10.010>
- Budzik, M. K. (2010). *Fracture in asymmetric bonded joints*. GDANSK UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY.
- Campilho, R. D. S. G. (2017). *Strength prediction of adhesively-bonded joints*. CRC Press.
- Campilho, R. D. S. G., Banea, M. D., Pinto, A. M. G., da Silva, L. F. M., & de Jesus, A. M. P. (2011). Strength prediction of single- and double-lap joints by standard and extended finite element modelling. *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives*, 31(5), 363–372. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJADHADH.2010.09.008>
- Cao Y., Sakamoto, S., & Goda K. (2007). Effects of heat and alkali treatments on

- mechanical properties of kenaf fibres,. *16th International Conference on Composite Materials*.
- Chaudemanche, S., Perrot, A., Pimbert, S., Lecompte, T., & Faure, F. (2018). Properties of an industrial extruded HDPE-WPC: The effect of the size distribution of wood flour particles. *Construction and Building Materials*, *162*, 543–552. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CONBUILDMAT.2017.12.061>
- Chelonia, J. P. M., Silveiraa, M. E., & Jose da Silva, L. (2019). Effects of Amount of Glass Fiber Laminate Skins in Sandwich Composite of Filled Core. *Materials Research*, *22*(1), 1–8.
- Chemani, B., & Chemani, H. (2012). Effect of Adding Sawdust on MechanicalPhysical Properties of Ceramic Bricks to Obtain Lightweight Building Material. *International Journal of Mechanical, Aerospace, Industrial, Mechatronic and Manufacturing Engineering*, *6*(11), 2521–2525.
- Cherniaey, A., & Telichy, L. (2017). Weight-efficiency of conventional shielding systems in protecting unmanned spacecraft from orbital debris. *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, *54*(1), 75–89.
- Chróscielewski, J., Miśkiewicz, M., Pyrzowski, Ł., Sobczyk, B., & Wilde, K. (2017). A novel sandwich footbridge - Practical application of laminated composites in bridge design and in situ measurements of static response. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, *126*, 153–161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPOSITESB.2017.06.009>
- Codispoti, R. (2013). *Mechanical performance of natural fiber-reinforced composites for the strengthening of ancient masonry*. University of Calabria.
- CoDyre, L., Mak, K., & Fam, A. (2016). Flexural and axial behaviour of sandwich panels with bio-based flax fibre-reinforced polymer skins and various foam core densities. *Journal of Sandwich Structures and Materials*, *0*, 1–22.
- Crupi, V., & Montanini, R. (2007). Aluminium foam sandwiches collapse modes under static and dynamic three-point bending. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, *34*(3), 509–521. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJIMPENG.2005.10.001>
- Curtu, I., Stanciu, A., Stanciu, M. D., Savin, A., & Grimberg, R. (2011). Research regarding the static behaviour of layers from structure of ROVING and MAT composite. *Proceedings of Conference The Eighth International Congress in Materials Science and Engineering*, 57–62.
- da Silva, L. F. M., Lima, R. F. T., Rui, M. S., Teixeira, & A. Puga. (2008). *Closed-*

form solutions for adhesively bonded joints.

- Dai, D., & Fan, M. (2014a). Wood fibers as reinforcements in natural fiber composites: Structure, properties, processing and application. *H. Alma and S. Robert (Eds.), Natural Fiber Composites: Materials, Processes and Properties*, 3–65.
- Dai, D., & Fan, M. (2014b). Wood fibers as reinforcements in natural fibre composites: Structure, properties, processing and application. *H. Alma & S. Robert (Eds.), Natural Fiber Composites: Materials, Processes and Properties.*, 3–65.
- Davies, J. M. (2001). *Lightweight sandwich construction*. Osney Mead, Oxford OX2 0EL: Blackwell Science Ltd.
- Davis, M. and Tomblin, J. (2007). *Best Practice in Adhesive-Bonded Structures and Repairs*. U.S. Department of Transportation, Washington, DC.
- Davoodi, M. M., Sapuan, S. M., Ahmad, D., Ali, A., Khalina, A., & Jonoobi, M. (2010). Mechanical properties of hybrid kenaf/glass reinforced epoxy composite for passenger car bumper beam. *Materials & Design*, 31(10), 4927–4932. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MATDES.2010.05.021>.
- de Albuquerque, A. ., Joseph, K., Hecker de Carvalho, L., & d’Almeida, J. R. M. (2000). Effect of wettability and ageing conditions on the physical and mechanical properties of uniaxially oriented jute-roving-reinforced polyester composites. *Composites Science and Technology*, 60(6), 833–844. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-3538\(99\)00188-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-3538(99)00188-8).
- Dholakiya, B. (2012). Unsaturated Polyester Resin for Specialty Applications. *Hosam El-Din M. (Ed.), Polyester. INTECH*, 167–202.
- DIAB Group. (2012). *DIAB guide to core and sandwich*. Laholm, Sweden.
- Dinesh, S., Rajasekaran, T., M Dhanasekaran, M., & Vigneshwaran, K. (2018).) Experimental testing on mechanical properties of sandwich structured carbon fibers reinforced composites. *2nd International Conference on Advances in Mechanical Engineering (ICAME 2018). Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 402.
- Djemaoune, Y., Krstic, B., Rasic, S., Radulovic, D., & and Dodic, M. (2020). NUMERICAL INVESTIGATION INTO THE INFLUENCE OF GEOMETRICAL AND MATERIAL PROPERTIES ON THE BENDING BEHAVIOUR OF NOMEX® HONEYCOMB SANDWICH PANELS. *9th International Scientific Conference on Defensive Technologies*, 1–7.
- Donga, A. (2011). *Application of sandwich beam in automobile front bumper for*

- frontal crash analysis*. Masters Thesis, Wichita State University, Kansas.
- Dongyan, Z and Minqwei, D. (2019). Numerical Simulation Analyses of Single Lab Joints for Wood-PE Composites Formed with Epoxy and Acrylic Ester Adhesives. *Bioresources*, 14(3), 5908–5922.
- Durmuş, M., & Akpınar, S. (2020). The experimental and numerical analysis of the adhesively bonded threestep-lap joints with different step lengths. *Theoretical and Applied Fracture Mechanics*, 105(102427), 1–12.
- Dutra, J. R., Moni Ribeiro Filho, S. L., Christoforo, A. L., Panzera, T. H., & Scarpa, F. (2019). Investigations on sustainable honeycomb sandwich panels containing eucalyptus sawdust, Piassava and cement particles. *Thin-Walled Structures*, 143, 106191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TWS.2019.106191>.
- Edeerozey, A. M. M., Akil, H. M., Azhar, A. B., & Ariffin, M. I. Z. (2007). Chemical modification of kenaf fibers. *Materials Letters*, 61(10), 2023–2025. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MATLET.2006.08.006>.
- Evren, M. T., Fah, T. K., Khosravi, F. M., & Balaban, A. C. (2017). Experimental, analytical and numerical study of mechanical properties and fracture energy for composite sandwich beams. *Journal of Sandwich Structures and Materials*, 0(00), 1–23.
- Fajrin, J., Zhuge, Y., Bullen, F., & Wang, H. (2013). Significance analysis of flexural behaviour of hybrid sandwich panels. *Open Journal of Civil Engineering*, 3, 1–7.
- Fam, A., & Sharaf, T. (2010). Flexural performance of sandwich panels comprising polyurethane core and GFRP skins and ribs of various configurations. *Composite Structures*, 92(12), 2927–2935. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2010.05.004>.
- Fan, X., Verpoest, I., & Vandepitte, D. (2006). Finite element analysis of out-of-plane compressive properties of thermoplastic honeycomb. *Journal of Sandwich Structures and Materials*, 8(5), 437–458.
- Feldhusen, J., Torsakul, S., Brezing, A., & Krishnamoorthy, S. (2008). Numerical Modelling and Experimental Investigation of the Failure Modes of the Cellular Foam Sandwich Structures. *Journal of Metals, Materials and Minerals*, 18(2), 111–115.
- Ferdous, W., Manalo, A., & Aravinthan, T. (2017). Bond behaviour of composite sandwich panel and epoxy polymer matrix: Taguchi design of experiments and theoretical predictions. *Construction and Building Materials*, 145, 76–87.

- <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CONBUILDMAT.2017.03.244>.
- Ferreira, J. A. ., Reis, P. ., Costa, J. D. ., & Richardson, M. O. . (2002). Fatigue behaviour of composite adhesive lap joints. *Composites Science and Technology*, 62(10–11), 1373–1379. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-3538\(02\)00082-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-3538(02)00082-9).
- Fiore, V., Di Bella, G., & Valenza, A. (2015). The effect of alkaline treatment on mechanical properties of kenaf fibers and their epoxy composites. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 68, 14–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPOSITESB.2014.08.025>.
- Florence, A., Jaswin, M. A., Arul Prakash, M. D. A., & Jayaram, R. S. (2020). Effect of energy-absorbing materials on the mechanical behaviour of hybrid FRP honeycomb core sandwich composites. *Materials Research Innovations*, 24(4), 244–255. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14328917.2019.1640497>.
- Frisk, N. (2016). *Manufacturing of Lightweight Sandwich Composites with Bio-Based PU Foam Core and Cellulose Fiber Network Skin*. Master thesis. Luleå University of Technology. Sweden.
- Gassan, J., & Bledzki, A. K. (1999). Possibilities for improving the mechanical properties of jute/epoxy composites by alkali treatment of fibres. *Composites Science and Technology*, 59(9), 1303–1309. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-3538\(98\)00169-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-3538(98)00169-9).
- Gavgali, E., Sahin, R., & Akpınar, S. (2021). An investigation of the fatigue performance of adhesively bonded step-lap joints: An experimental and numerical analysis. *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives*, 104(102736), 1–14.
- George, J., Sreekala, M. S., & Thomas, S. (2001). A review on interface modification and characterization of natural fibre reinforced plastic composites. *Polymer Engineering and Science*, 41(9), 1471–1485.
- German, R. M. and Park, S. J. (2008). *Mathematical Relations in Particulate Material Processing*. John Wiley and sons Inc. USA.
- Gibson R. F. (2011). A simplified analysis of deflections in shear-deformable composite sandwich beams. *Journal of Sandwich Structural and Materials*, 13, 579–588.
- Gir, N., Patel, A. Z., & Ghalkes, A. B. (2015). A Review on Static Three Point-Bending Load on Composites Sandwich Panel. *International Journal on Mechanical Engineering*, 3, 53–58.

- Gleich, D. M., Tooren, M. J. L. van, & A. Beukers. (2002). Structural bonded joints analysis: an overview. : : *Mittal KL, Editor. Adhesive Joints: Formation, Characteristics and Testing*, 159–200.
- Gültekin, K., Akpınar, S., & Özel, A. (2014). The effect of the adherend width on the strength of adhesively bonded single-lap joint: Experimental and numerical analysis. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 60, 736–745. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPOSITESB.2014.01.022>.
- Gunnion, A. J., & Herszberg, I. (2006). Parametric study of scarf joints in composite structures. *Composite Structures*, 75(1–4), 364–376. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPSTRUCT.2006.04.053>.
- Gupta, A., & Maheshwari, M. K. (2005). Enhancement of Energy Absorption in Syntactic Foams by Nanoclay Incorporation for Sandwich Core Applications. *Applied Composite Materials*, 12, 247–261.
- Gupta, N. (2003). *Characterization of Syntactic Foams and their Sandwich Composites: Modelling and Experimental Approaches*. PhD Thesis, Louisiana State University. USA.
- Gupta, Y., Paul, V. V., Jacob, A., & Mohanty, A. (2020). Effect of the core thickness on the flexural behaviour of polymer foam sandwich structures. *IOP SciNotes*, 1(024404), 1–8.
- Gurunathan, T., Mohanty, S., & Nayak, S. K. (2015). A review of the recent developments in biocomposites based on natural fibres and their application perspectives. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 77, 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPOSITESA.2015.06.007>.
- Gwon, J. G., Lee, S. Y., Chun, S. J., Doh, G. H., & Kim, J. H. (2010). Effect of chemical treatments of wood fibers on the physical strength of polypropylene based composites. *Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 27(2), 651–657.
- Hamad, W. (2002). *Cellulosic Materials: Fibers, Networks, and Composites*. . Boston, MA: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Hanninen, T., & Hughes, M. (2010). Historical, Contemporary and Future Applications. ; ; *In Mussig J., Industrial Applications of Natural Fibers*.
- Hanssen, A., Girard, Y., Olovsson, L., Berstad, T., & Langseth, M. (2006). A numerical model for bird strike of aluminium foam-based sandwich panels. *A Numerical Model for Bird Strike of Aluminium Foam-Based Sandwich Panels*, 32(7), 1127–1144.

- Hashin. (1980). Failure criteria for unidirectional fiber composite. *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, 47(2), 329–334.
- Hassan, M. Z. (2012). *The low velocity impact response of sandwich structures*. PhD Thesis, University of Liverpool, Liverpool.
- Hegde, A., Darshan, R. S., Mulla, F., Shoeb, M. D., & Rajanish, M. (2015). No TitleTensile properties of unidirectional glass/epoxy composites at different orientations of fibres. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications*, 5(3), 150–153.
- Her, S.-C. (1999). Stress analysis of adhesively-bonded lap joints. *Composite Structures*, 47(1–4), 673–678. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-8223\(00\)00052-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-8223(00)00052-0)
- Her, S. C., & Chan, C. F. (2019). Interfacial Stress Analysis of Adhesively Bonded Lap Joint. *MDPI*, 12(15), 2403. <https://doi.org/https://www.mdpi.com/1996-1944/12/15/2403/htm#>.
- Hifizah, N. A. K., Hussin, M. W., Jamaludin, M. Y., Bhutta, M. A. R., Ismail, M., & Azman, M. (2014). Tensile Behaviour of Kenaf Fibre Reinforced Polymer Composites. *Jurnal Teknologi. (Science and Engineering)*, 66(33), 11–15.
- Ho, M., Wang, H., Lee, J.-H., Ho, C., Lau, K., Leng, J., & Hui, D. (2012). Critical factors on manufacturing processes of natural fibre composites. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 43(8), 3549–3562. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPOSITESB.2011.10.001>.
- Hohe, J. (2013). Effect of Core and Face Sheet Anisotropy on the Natural Frequencies of Sandwich Shells with Composite Faces. *International Journal of Composite Materials*, 3(6B), 40–52.
- Hossain, M. F., Islam, M. K., & Islam, M. A. (2014). Effect of chemical treatment on the mechanical and physical properties of wood sawdust particles reinforced polymer matrix composites. *Procedia Engineering*, 90, 39–45.
- Hosseinaei, O., Wang, S., Enayati, A. A., & Rials, T. G. (2012). Effects of hemicellulose extraction on properties of wood flour and wood–plastic composites. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 43(4), 686–694. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPOSITESA.2012.01.007>.
- Hoto, R., Furundarena, G., Torres, J. P., Muñoz, E., Andrés, J., & García, J. A. (2014). Flexural behavior and water absorption of asymmetrical sandwich composites from natural fibers and cork agglomerate core. *Materials Letters*, 127, 48–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MATLET.2014.04.088>.

- Hu, P., Akhmet, G., Wu, C. W., Han, X., Chao, Y. X., Yu, Y., & Oeazbayeva, A. (2019). Characterisation on the influence of curing history on the mechanical performance of adhesively bonded corrugated sandwich structures. *Thin-Walled Structures*, *142*, 37–51.
- Hu, P., Akhmet, G., Wu, C. W., Han, X., Chao, Y. X., Yu, Y., & Orazbayeva, A. (2019). Characterisation on the influence of curing history on the mechanical performance of adhesively bonded corrugated sandwich structures. *Thin-Walled Structures*, *142*, 37–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TWS.2019.04.053>.
- Hua, P., Akhmeta, G., Wub, C. W., Hanc, D. X., Chaoa, Y. X., Yu a, Y., & Orazbayevae, A. (2019). Characterization on the influence of curing history on the mechanical performance of adhesively bonded corrugated sandwich structures. *Thin-Walled Structures*, *142*, 37–51.
- Hussain, M., Abbas, N., Zahra, N., Sajjad, U., & Awan, M. B. (2019). Investigating the performance of GFRP/wood-based honeycomb sandwich panels for sustainable prefab building construction. *SN Allied Sciences. Springer Nature Journal*, *142*, 37–51.
- Ichazo, M. ., Albano, C., González, J., Perera, R., & Candal, M. . (2001). Polypropylene/wood flour composites: treatments and properties. *Composite Structures*, *54*(2–3), 207–214. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-8223\(01\)00089-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-8223(01)00089-7)
- Islam M. M. and Kim, H. S. (2011). Sandwich composites made of syntactic foam core and paper skin: Manufacturing and mechanical behavior. *Journal of Sandwich Structures and Materials.*, *14*(1), 111–127.
- Jayaraman, K. (2003). Manufacturing sisal–polypropylene composites with minimum fibre degradation. *Composites Science and Technology*, *63*(3–4), 367–374. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-3538\(02\)00217-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-3538(02)00217-8).
- Jeevi, G., Nayak, S. K., & Kader, M. A. (2019). Review on adhesive joints and their application in hybrid composite structures. *Journal of Adhesion Science and Technology*, *33*(14), 1497–1520.
- John, M. J., & Thomas, S. (2008). Biofibres and biocomposites. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, *71*(3), 343–364. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CARBPOL.2007.05.040>
- Joseph, K., Mattoso, L. H. C., Toledo, R. D., Thomas, S., de Carvalho, L. H., Pothen, L., Kala, S., and James, B. (2000). *Natural fibre reinforced thermoplastic composites* (E. Frollini, A. L. Lea’o, & L. H. C. Mattoso (eds.)).
- Joshi, S. V., Drzal, L. T., Mohanty, A. K., & Arora, S. (2004). Are Natural Fibre

- Composites Environmentally Superior to Glass Fibre Reinforced Composites. *Composites. Part A.*, 35, 371–376.
- Jusoh, A. H., Rejab, M. R. M., Sirgar, J. P., & Bactiar, D. (2016). Natural fibre reinforced composites: A review on potential for corrugated core of sandwich structures. *MATEC Web of Conference*, 1–5.
- Kalia, S., Kaith, B. S., & Kaur, I. (2009). Pre-treatments of natural fibres composites: A review. *Polymer Engineering and Science*, 49(7), 1253–1272.
- Kallakas, H., Shamim, M. A., Olutubo, T., Poltimae, T., Suld, T. M., Krumme, A., & Kers, J. (2015). Effect of chemical modification of wood flour on the mechanical properties of wood plastic composites. *Agronomy Research*, 13(3), 639–653.
- Kanani, A. Y., Hou, X., Laidlaw, R., & Ye, J. (2021). The effect of joint configuration on the strength and stress distributions of dissimilar adhesively bonded joints. *Engineering Structures*, 226(111322), 1–11.
- Karaduman, Y., & Onal, L. (2016a). Flexural behavior of commingled jute/polypropylene nonwoven fabric reinforced sandwich composites. *Composite Part B: Engineering*, 93, 12–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2016.02.055>.
- Karaduman, Y., & Onal, L. (2016b). Flexural behavior of commingled jute/polypropylene nonwoven fabric reinforced sandwich composites. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 93, 12–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPOSITESB.2016.02.055>.
- Katsiropoulos, C. V., Chamos, A. N., Tserpes, K. L., & Pantelakis, S. G. (2012). Fracture Aerospace, toughness and shear behaviour of composite bonded joints based on a novel Adhesive. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 43(3), 24–248.
- Kavermann, S. W., & Bhattacharyya, D. (2019). Experimental investigation of the static behaviour of a corrugated plywood sandwich core. *Composite Structures*, 207, 836–844. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPSTRUCT.2018.09.094>.
- Kebede, H. Y., Kim, T. M., & Bae, D. H. (2017). Tensile Strength Assessments of CFRP Adhesive Bonded Joint. *International Journal of Advancements in Technology*, 8(2), 1–5.
- Keller, T., Rothe, J., de Castro, J., & Osei-Antwi, M. (2014). GFRP-balsa sandwich bridge deck: Concept, design, and experimental validation. *ASCE Journal of Composites for Construction*, 18(2), 1–10.
- Khalili, S., Khalili, S. M. R., Farsani, R. E., & Mahajan, P. (2020). Flexural

- properties of sandwich composite panels with glass laminate aluminum reinforced epoxy facesheets strengthened by SMA wires. *Polymer Testing*, 89(106641), 1–8.
- Khalili, S., Khalili, S. M. R., Farsani, R. E., & Mahajan, P. (2020). Flexural properties of sandwich composite panels with glass laminate aluminum reinforced epoxy facesheets strengthened by SMA wires. *Polymer Testing*, 89, 106641. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.POLYMERTESTING.2020.106641>.
- Kim, J., & Swanson, S. R. (2001). Design of sandwich structures for concentrated loading. *Composite Structures*, 52(3–4), 365–373. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-8223\(01\)00027-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-8223(01)00027-7)
- Klyosov, A. A. (2008). *Wood-Plastic Composites*. John Wiley and Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey.
- Konka, H. P., Wahab, M., & Lian, K. (2012). On mechanical properties of composite sandwich structures with embedded piezoelectric fiber composite sensors. *Journal of Engineering Materials and Technology*, 134(1), 1–12.
- Kreja, I. (2011). A literature review on computational models for laminated composite and sandwich panels. *Central European Journal of Engineering*, 1, 1–39.
- Krishna, C. S., Shaik, J. H., Devireddy, S. B. R., & Kotta, A. B. (2016). Stress distribution of adhesively bonded double lap joints in FRP laminated composites using FEM. *International Journal of Applied Research*, 2(7), 86–90.
- Ku, H., Wang, H., Pattarachaiyakoo, N., & Trada, M. (2011). A review on the tensile properties of natural fiber reinforced polymer composites. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 42(4), 856–873. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPOSITESB.2011.01.010>.
- Kuchinda, N. C., Ndahi, W. B., Lagoke, S. T. O., & Ahmed, M. K. (2008). The effects of nitrogen and period of weed interference on the fibre yield of kenaf (*Hisbicus cannabinus* L.) in the northern Guinea savanna of Nigeria. *Crop Protection*, 20(3), 229–235.
- Kumar P, and T. S. (2014). Matrices for Natural Fiber Reinforced Composites. *Properties and Performance of Natural Fiber Composites*. Edited by Pickering, K. L., 67–126.
- Kupski, J., Teixeira de Freitas, Zarouchas, D., Camanho, P.P., and Benedictus, R. (2019). Composite layup effect on the failure mechanism of single lap bonded

- joints. *Composite Structures*, 217, 14–26.
- Lakreb, N., Knapic, S., Machado, J. S., Bezzazi, B., & Pereira, H. (2018). Properties of multilayered sandwich panels with an agglomerated cork core for interior applications in buildings. *European Journal of Wood Production*, 76, 143–153.
- Lam, C. C. A., Cheng, J. J. R., & C.H.M., Y. (2004). Study of the tensile strength of CFRP/steel double lap joints. *Advanced Composite Materials in Bridges and Structures*.
- Lam, T. B. T., Liyam, & K. (2000). Structural details of kenaf cell walls and fixation of carbon dioxide. *Proceeding of the Abstract of the International Kenaf Symposium*, 81–91.
- Lascano, D., Guillen-Pineda, R., Quiles-Carrillo, L., Ivorra-Martínez, J., Balart, R., Montanes, N., & Boronat, T. (2021). *Manufacturing and Characterization of Highly Environmentally Friendly Sandwich Composites from Polylactide Cores and Flax-Polylactide Faces*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13030342>.
- Le Duigou, A., Deux, J. M., Davies, P., & Baley, C. (2011). PLLA/Flax Mat/Balsa Bio-Sandwich Manufacture and Mechanical Properties. *Applied Composite Materials*, 18, 421–438.
- Lee, B. H., Kim, H. J., & Yui, W. R. (2009a). Fabrication of long and discontinuous natural fiber reinforced polypropylene bio-composites and their properties. *Fibers and Polymers*, 10(1), 83–90.
- Lee, B. H., Kim, H. J., & Yui, W. R. (2009b). Fabrication of long and discontinuous natural fibre reinforced polypropylene bio-composites and their properties. *Fibers and Polymers*, 10(83–90).
- Lee, H. K., Pyo, S. H., & Kim, B. R. (2009). On joint strengths, peel stresses and failure modes in adhesively bonded double-strap and supported single-lap GFRP joints. *Composite Structures*, 87(1), 44–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPSTRUCT.2007.12.005>.
- Lenwari, A., Thepchatri, T., & Albrecht, P. (2006). Debonding Strength of Steel Beams Strengthened with CFRP Plates. *Journal of Composites for Construction*, 10(1), 69–78.
- Li, X., Tabil, L. G., & Panigrahi, S. (2007). Chemical treatments of natural fiber for use in natural fiber reinforced composites: A review. *Journal of Polymer Environment*, 15(1), 25–33.
- Lilholt, H., & Lawther, J. M. (2000). Natural organic fibres. *Comprehensive*

- Composite Materials*, 303–325.
- Lim, J. H. and Kang, K. J. (2006). *Mechanical behavior of sandwich panels with tetrahedral and Kagome truss cores fabricated from wires*. 43, 5288–5246. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsolstr.2005.07.011>.
- Liu, T., Deng, Z. C., & Lu, T. J. (2006). Design optimization of truss-cored sandwiches with homogenization. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 43(25–26), 7891–7918. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsolstr.2006.04.010>.
- Lu, J., Yang, B., Souza, N. D., & Shi, S. Q. (2013). Mechanical properties of natural fiber polyester composite sandwich panels. *13th International Conference on Fracture*, 1–7.
- Mahjoub, R., Yatim, J. M., Mohd Sam, A. R., & Hashemi, S. H. (2014). Tensile properties of kenaf fiber due to various conditions of chemical fiber surface modifications. *Construction and Building Materials*, 55, 103–113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CONBUILDMAT.2014.01.036>.
- Mak, K., Fam, A., & Macgougall, C. (2015). Flexural Behavior of Sandwich Panels with Bio-FRP Skins made of Flax fibers and Epoxidized pine-oil resin. *Journal of Composites for Construction*. (ASCE) CC. 1943-5614.
- Mak, Kenneth, & Fam, A. (2019). Performance of flax-FRP sandwich panels exposed to different ambient temperatures. *Construction and Building Materials*, 219, 121–130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CONBUILDMAT.2019.05.118>.
- Malik, M., Choudhary, V., & Varma, I. K. (2000). Current status of unsaturated polyester resins. *Journal of Macromolecular Science, Part C: Polymer Reviews*, 40(2–3), 139–165.
- Mallick, P. K. (2018). *Processing of Polymer Matrix Composites*. Taylor and Francis, Boca Raton.
- Manna, S., Soha, P., Chowdhury, S. and Thomas, S. (2017). Alkaline treatment to improve the physical, mechanical and chemical properties of lignocellulosic natural fibers for use in various application. *Alkaline Treatment to Improve the Physical, Mechanical and Chemical Properties of Lignocellulosic Natural Fibers for Use in Various Application*, 47–64.
- Manolo, A., Aravinthan, T., Fam, A., & Benmokrane, B. (2016). State of the-art review of FRP sandwich systems for lightweight civil infrastructure. *Journal of Composite and Construction*, 21(1).
- Marcovich, N. E., Reboredo, M. A. M., & Aranguren, M. I. (1998). Mechanical

- Properties of Woodflour Unsaturated Polyester Composites. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 70, 2121–2131.
- Mathieson, H., & Fam, A. (2014). High cycle fatigue under reversed bending of sandwich panels with GFRP skins and polyurethane foam core. *Composite Structures*, 113, 31–39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPSTRUCT.2014.02.027>
- Matthews, F. L. (2000). Compression. *Hodgkinson, J. Mechanical Testing of Advanced Fiber Composites*.
- Mazuki, A. A. M., Akil, H. M., Safiee, S., Ishak, Z. A. M., & Bakar, A. A. (2011). Degradation of dynamic mechanical properties of pultruded kenaf fiber reinforced composites after immersion in various solutions. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 42(1), 71–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPOSITESB.2010.08.004>.
- McCormack, T. M., Miller, R., Kesler, O., & Gibson, L. J. (2001). Failure of sandwich beams with metallic foam cores. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 38(28–29), 4901–4920. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7683\(00\)00327-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7683(00)00327-9).
- McCracken, A., & Sadeghian, P. (2018a). Partial-composite behavior of sandwich beams composed of fiberglass facesheets and woven fabric core. *Thin-Walled Structures*, 131, 805–815. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tws.2018.08.003>.
- McCracken, A., & Sadeghian, P. (2018b). Corrugated cardboard core sandwich beams with bio-based flax fiber composite skins. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 20, 114–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JOBE.2018.07.009>.
- McGeorge, D. (2010). Inelastic fracture of adhesively bonded overlap joints. *Engineering Fracture Mechanics*, 77(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENGFRACTMECH.2009.07.002>.
- Mechraoui, A. (2010). *Composite sandwich of polymers foams*. PhD Thesis. Laval University. Quebec City. Canada.
- Mehta, G., Drzal, L. T., Mohanty, A. K., & Misra, M. (2006). Effect of fibre surface treatment on the properties of bio-composites from nonwoven industrial hemp fibre mats and unsaturated polyester resin. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 99(3), 1055–1068.
- Meon, M. S., Othman, M. F., Husain, H., Remeli, M. F., & Syawal, M. S. (2012a). Improving tensile properties of kenaf fibers treated with sodium hydroxide. *Procedia Engineering*, 41, 1587–1592.
- Meon, M. S., Othman, M. F., Husain, H., Remeli, M. F., & Syawal, M. S. (2012b).

- Improving tensile properties of kenaf fibres treated with sodium hydroxide. *Procedia Engineering*, 41, 1587–1592.
- Mitchelle, A. J. (1986). Composites of commercial wood pulp fibres and cement. *Appita*, 33(6), 461–463.
- Mitra, B. C. (2014). Environment friendly composite materials: Biocomposites and green composites. *Defence Science Journal*, 64(3), 244–261. <https://doi.org/10.14429/dsj.64.7323>.
- Mohanty, A. K., Misra, M., & Drzal, L. T. (2005). *Natural fibres, biopolymers and bio-composites*. Taylor and Francis group. Boca Raton.
- Mohanty, A. K., Misra, M., & Hinrichsen, G. (2000a). Biofibres, biodegradable polymers and bio-composites: An overview. *Macromol. Materials Engineering*, 276/277, 1–24.
- Mohanty, A. K., Misra, M., & Hinrichsen, G. (2000b). Biofibres, biodegradable polymers and bio-composites: An overview. *Macromol. Materials Engineering*, 276/277, 1–24.
- Mohd Nurazzi, N., Khalina, A., Sapuan, S. M., Dayang Laila, A. H. A. M., Rahmah, M., & Hanafee, Z. (2017). A Review: Fibers, Polymer Matrices and Composites. *Pertanika Journal of Science and Technology*, 25(4), 1085–1102.
- Mohd, R. A. H. (2008). Kenaf: Ganti Tembakan Berita Harian. <Http://Www.Mtib.Gov.My/Repository/Stayinform/Kenaf-Ganti-Tembakan>.
- Mokhtari, M., Madani, K., Belhouari, M., Touzain, S., Feaugas, X., & Ratwani, M. (2013). Effects of composite adherend properties on stresses in double lap bonded joints. *Materials & Design*, 44, 633–639. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MATDES.2012.08.001>.
- Munusamy, R. S. (2012). *Development of Bio-based sandwich structures for mass transit application*. Master Thesis. North Dakota State University. North Dakota.
- Muruga, J. V., Jeyan, L., & Rupesh, A. (2015). EXPERIMENTAL ANALYSIS OF COMPOSITE LAMINATE ON DOUBLE LAP JOINTS WITH DIFFERENT ORIENTATION. *International Journal of Advance Research in Science and Engineering*, 4(01), 323–335.
- Mwaikambo, L. Y., & Ansell, M. P. (2002). Chemical modification of hemp, sisal, jute and kapok fibers by Experimental analysis of composite laminate on double lap joints with different orientation alkalization. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 84(12), 2222–2234.

- Nabinejad, O., Sujan, D., Rahman, M.E., Reddy, M.M., Liew, W.Y.H. and Davies, I. (2014). The effect of alkaline treatment of OPKS filler on mechanical property of polyester-composite. *Advanced Materials Research*, 980, 86–90.
- Nabinejad, O., Sujan, D., Rahman, M. E., Liew, W. Y. H., & Davies, I. J. (2016). Mechanical and thermal characterization of polyester composite containing treated wood flour from palm oil biomass. *Polymer Composites*, 39(4), 1200–1211.
- Nabinejad, Omid, Sujan, D., Rahman, M. E., & Davies, I. J. (2017). Effect of filler load on the curing behavior and mechanical and thermal performance of wood flour filled thermoset composites. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 164, 1145–1156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2017.07.036>.
- Nachtigall, S. M. B., Cerveira, G. S., & Rosa, S. M. L. (2007). New polymeric-coupling agent for polypropylene/wood-flour composites. *Polymer Testing*, 26(5), 619–628. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.POLYMERTESTING.2007.03.007>.
- Nashino, T., Hireo, K., Kotera, M., Nakamae, K., & Inagaki, K. (2003). Kenaf reinforced biodegradable composite. *Composites Science and Technology*, 63(9), 1281–1286.
- Ndiaye, D., Matuana, L. M., Morlat-Therias, S., Vidal, L., Tidjani, A., & Gardette, J. L. (2011). Thermal and mechanical properties of polypropylene/wood-flour composites. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 119(6), 3321–3328.
- Neagu, R. C., Gamstedt, E. K., & Berthold, F. (2006). Stiffness contribution of various wood fibers to composite materials. *Journal of Composite Materials*, 40(8), 663–699.
- Neagu, R. C., Gamstedt, E. K., & Lindstrom, M. (2006). Characterization methods for elastic properties of wood fibres from mats for composite materials. *Wood and Fibre Science*, 38(1), 95–111.
- Neto, J. A. B. P., Campilho, P., & da Silva, L. E. M. (2012). Parametric study of adhesive joints with composites. *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives*, 37, 96–101.
- Nicholls, T. K. (2013). *Adhesive bonding of discontinuous carbon fiber composites: an experimental investigation*. University of Nottingham.
- Ochi, S. (2008). Mechanical properties of kenaf fibers and kenaf/PLA composites. *Mechanics of Materials*, 40(4–5), 446–452. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MECHMAT.2007.10.006>.

- Ogunbode, E. B. (2016). *Creep and shrinkage performance of kenaf biofibrous concrete composites*. PhD Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Omar, T. (2008). *Multi-pultrusion fiber composite truss systems for deployable shelters*. University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, Queensland, Australia.
- Osman, K. and Sain, M. (2008). *No Title Wood-polymers Composites*. CRC Press.
- Oujai, S., & Shanks, R. A. (2009). Bio-composites of cellulose acetate butyrate with modified hemp cellulose fibers. *Macromolecular. Materials and Engineering*, 294(3), 213–221.
- Panshin, A. J., & DeZeeuw, C. (1980). *Textbook of wood technology*. 4th ed. New York: McGraw–Hill.
- Pareta, A. S., Gupta, R., & and Panda, S. K. (2020). Experimental investigation on fly ash particulate reinforcement for property enhancement of PU foam core FRP sandwich composites. *Composites Science and Technology*, 195(108207), 1–12.
- Parikh, D. V., Calamari, T. A., Sawhney, A. S. P. Blanchard, E. J., & Screen, F. J. (2002). Improved chemical retting of kenaf fibers. *Textile Research Journal*, 72(7), 618–624.
- Pethrick, R. A. (2015). Design and ageing of adhesive for structural adhesive binding - a review. *Journal of Materials: Design and Applications*, 229(5), 349–379. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1464420714522981>.
- Pflug, J., Vagrimde, B., & Verpoest, I. (2002). Development of a sandwich material with polypropylene/natural fiber skins and paper honeycomb core. *Proc. of 10th European Conference in Composite Material (ECCM-10)*, 331.
- Pickering, K. L., M. G. Aruan, E., & Le, T. M. (2016). A review of recent developments in natural fibre composites and their mechanical performance. *Composites: Part A*, 83, 98–112.
- Pirouzfard, S., & Zeinedini, A. (2021). Effect of geometrical parameters on the flexural properties of sandwich structures with 3D-printed honeycomb core and E-glass/epoxy Face-sheets. *Structures*, 33, 2724–2738. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ISTRUC.2021.06.033>.
- Prompunjai, A., & Sridach, W. (2010). Preparation and some mechanical properties of composite materials made from sawdust, cassava starch and natural rubber latex. *International Journal of Materials and Metallurgical Engineering*, 4(12), 772–776.

- Qin, Z., Yang, K., Wang, J., Zhang, L., Huang, J., Peng, H., & Xu, J. (2020). The effects of geometrical dimensions on the failure of composite-to-composite adhesively bonded joints. *The Journal of Adhesion*, 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00218464.2020.1725886>.
- Quek, S. C., Waas, A. M., Shahwan, K. W., & Agaram, V. (2003). Analysis of 2D triaxial flat braided textile composites. *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences*, 45, 1077–1096.
- Rahman, R. M., Islam Nazrul, M. N. and Huque, M. M. (2010). Influence of fiber treatment on the mechanical and morphological properties of sawdust reinforced polypropylene composites. *Journal of Polymer and Environment*, 18(3), 1443–1450.
- Rahman, K. S., Islam, M. N., Rahman, M. M., Hannan, M. O., Dungani, R., & A, K. H. P. S. (2013). Flat-pressed wood plastic composites from sawdust and recycled polyethylene terephthalate (PET): physical and mechanical properties. *Springer Plus*, 2(629), 1–7.
- Raji, J. A. (2007). Intercropping kenaf and cowpea. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 6(24), 2807–2809.
- Rajib, P., & Liming, D. (2018). Interfacial Aspect of Carbon Composites. *Composite Interfaces*, 25(5–7), 539–605.
- Ramalho, L. D. C., Campilho, R. D. S. G., & Belinha, J. (2020). Static strength prediction of adhesive joints: A review. *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives*, 96, 102451.
- Ramesh, M., Palanikumar, K., & Reddy, K. H. (2017). Plant Fiber Based Bio Composites: Sustainable and Renewable Green Materials. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 79, 558–584. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.05.094>.
- Ratanawilai, T., Nakawiro, K., Deachsrijan, A., & Homkhiew, C. (2014). Influence of wood species and particle size on mechanical and thermal properties of wood polypropylene composites. *Fibers Polymer*, 15(10), 2160–2168.
- Ray, D., & Rout, J. (2005). Thermoset bio-composites. *Mahanty AK, Misra M, Drzal LT. Natural Fibers, Biopolymers and Bio Composites*, 291–346.
- Ray, D., Sarkar, B. ., & Bose, N. . (2002). Impact fatigue behaviour of vinylester resin matrix composites reinforced with alkali treated jute fibres. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 33(2), 233–241.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-835X\(01\)00096-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-835X(01)00096-3).

- Razak, S. I. A., Abdul Rahman, W., Hashim, S., & Yahya, M. Y. (2014a).). Enhanced interfacial interaction and electronic properties of novel conducting kenaf/polyaniline bio- fibers. *Polymer Plastics Technology and Engineering*, *1*(51–71).
- Razak, S. I. A., Abdul Rahman, W., Hashim, S., & Yahya, M. Y. (2014b). Enhanced interfacial interaction and electronic properties of novel conducting kenaf/polyaniline bio-fibers. *Polymer Plastics Technology and Engineering*, *52*(1), 51–71.
- Razavi, M. (2016). *Performance of kenaf fiber reinforced polymer composites in various environments*. PhD Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. Malaysia.
- Reinhart, T. J. Clements, L. L. (1987). Introduction to composites. *Engineered Materials Handbook: Composites*.
- Reza, M., Jamaludin, M. Y., & Abdul Rahman, M.S. Meldi, R. (2014). Characterization of the continuous Unidirectional Kenaf Fiber Reinforced Epoxy Composites. *Materials and Design*, *64*, 640–649. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2014.08.010>.
- Rizki, M., Tamai, Y., Koda, K., Kojima, Y., & M., and T. (2010). Wood Density Variations of Tropical Wood Species: Implications to the Physical Properties of Sawdust as Substrate for Mushroom Cultivation. *Wood Research Journal*, *1*(1), 34–39.
- Rocca, S. V., & Nanni, A. (2005). Mechanical characterization of sandwich structure comprised of glass fiber reinforced core. *PART 1. Composites in Construction 2005 – Third International Conference*.
- Rouison, D., Sain, M., & Couturier, M. (2004). Resin transfer molding of natural fiber reinforced composites: cure simulation. *Composites Science and Technology*, *64*(5), 629–644. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPSCITECH.2003.06.001>.
- Russian, O., S., K., Belarbi, A., & and Dawood, M. (2021). Effect of surface preparation technique on bond behavior of CFRP-steeldouble-lap joints: Experimental and numerical studies. *Composite Structures*, *255*(113048), 1–13.
- Russo, A., & Zuccarello, B. (2007). Experimental and Numerical Evaluation of the Mechanical Behavior of GFRP Sandwich Panels. *Composite Structures*, *81*, 575–586. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2006.10.007>.
- S´Anchez-Arce, I. J., Ramalho, L. D. S. G., Campilho, R. D. S. G., & and Belinha, J.

- (2021). Material non-linearity in the numerical analysis of SLJ bonded with ductile adhesives: A meshless approach. *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives*, 104(102716), 1–11.
- Sadeghian, P., Hristozov, D., & Wroblewski, L. (2016a). Experimental and Analytical Behavior of Sandwich Composite Beams. *Journal of Sandwich Structures and Materials*, 0(0), 1–21.
- Sadeghian, P., Hristozov, D., & Wroblewski, L. (2016b). Experimental and Analytical Behaviour of Sandwich Composite Beams: Comparison of Natural and Synthetic Materials. *Journal of Sandwich Structures and Materials*, 0(00), 1–21.
- Saleh, M. N, Saeedifar, M., Zarouchas, D., & De Freitas, S. T. (2020). Stress analysis of double-lap bi-material joints bonded with thick adhesive. *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives*, 97(102480), 1–9.
- Saleh, Mohamed Nasr, Saeedifar, M., Zarouchas, D., & De Freitas, S. T. (2020). Stress analysis of double-lap bi-material joints bonded with thick adhesive. *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives*, 97, 102480. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJADHADH.2019.102480>.
- Salleh, Z., Islam, M. M., Epaarachchi, J. A., & Su, H. (2016). Mechanical properties of sandwich composite made of syntactic foam core and GFRP skins. *AIMS Materials Science*, 3(4), 1704–1727.
- Sapuan, S. M., & Yosoff, N. B. (2014). The relationship between manufacturing and design for manufacturing in product development of natural fiber composites. In *Manufacturing of Natural Fibre Reinforced Polymer Composites* (pp. 1–15).
- Satyanarayana, K. G., Ravikumar, K. K., Sukumaran, K., Mukherjee, P. S., Pillai, S. G. K., & Kulkarni, A. K. (1986). Structure and properties of some vegetable fibers. Part 3. Talipot and palmyrah fibers. *Journal of Material Science*, 21(1), 57–63.
- Selver, E. and Kaya, G. (2018). Flexural properties of sandwich composite laminates reinforced with glass and carbon Z-pins. *Journal of Composite Materials*, 0(0), 1–13.
- Seymour, R. B. (1987). Polymers for Engineering Applications. *ASM International, Materials Park, OH.*, 134–136.
- Shang, X., Marques, E. A. S., Machado, J. J. M., Carbas, R. J. C., Jiang, D., & da Silva, L. F. M. (2019). Review on techniques to improve the strength of adhesive joints with composite adherends. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 177, 107363.

- <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPOSITESB.2019.107363>.
- Sharaf, T., & Fam, A. (2005). Flexural Load Tests on Sandwich Wall Panels with Different Rib Configurations. *Fourth International Conference on FRP Composites in Civil Engineering (CICE)*.
- Sharaf T, W, S., & A., F. (2010). Structural performance of sandwich wall panels with different foam core densities in one-way bending. *Journal of Composite Materials*, 44(19), 2249–2263.
- Silva, F. D. A., Chawta, N., & Filho, R. D. T. (2008). Tensile Behavior of High Performance Natural (Sisal) Fiber. *Composite Science and Technology*, 68(15–16), 3438–3443.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compscitech.2008.10.001>.
- Singh, A., Castillo, E. R. and Ingham, J. (2019). FRP-to-FRP bond characterization and force-based bond length model. *Composite Structures*, 210, 724–734.
- Singha, A. S., & Thakur, V. K. (2012). *Green Polymer Materials*. Houston, TX: Studium Press LLC.
- Smith, P. M., & Walcott, M. P. (2006). Opportunities for wood/natural fiber-plastic composites in residential and industrial applications. *Forest Product Journal*, 56(3), 4–11.
- Sombatsompop, N., Chaochanchaikul, K., Phromchirasuk, C., & Thongsang, S. (2003). Effect of wood sawdust content on rheological and structural changes, and thermo-mechanical properties of PVC/sawdust composites. *Polymer International*, 52(12), 1847–1855.
- Song, M.-G., Kweon, J.-H., Choi, J.-H., Byun, J.-H., Song, M.-H., Shin, S.-J., & Lee, T.-J. (2010). Effect of manufacturing methods on the shear strength of composite single-lap bonded joints. *Composite Structures*, 92(9), 2194–2202.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPSTRUCT.2009.08.041>.
- Sravya, S., & Kumar, B. S. (2015). Evaluation on the Mechanical Properties of Hybrid Composites (kenaf, E-glass, Jute). *International Journal of Latest Trends in Engineering and Technology*, 5, 164–170.
- Sreekala, M. S., Kumarah, M. G., Joseph, S., M., J., & Thomas, S. (2000). Oil palm fibers reinforced phenol formaldehyde composites: Influence of fiber surface modifications on the mechanical performance. *Applied Composite Materials*, 7(5–6), 295–329.
- Sreekumar, P. A., & Thomas, S. (2008). *Matrices for natural fibre reinforced*

- composites. In Pickering K.L. *Properties and performance of natural fibre composites*.
- Stark, N. M. and Rowlands, R. E. (2003). Effects of wood fiber characteristics on the mechanical properties of wood/polypropylene composites. *Wood and Fiber Science*, 35(2), 167–174.
- Steeves, C. A., & Fleck, N. A. (2004). Collapse mechanisms of sandwich beams with composite faces and a foam core, loaded in three-point bending. Part I: analytical models and minimum weight design. *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences*, 46(4), 561–583. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJMECSCI.2004.04.003>
- Stokke, D. D., & Wuhan, Q. G. (2014). *Introduction to Wood and Natural Fiber Composites*. John Wiley and Sons, West Sussex, UK.
- Strong, A. B. (2008). *Fundamentals of Composites Manufacturing: Materials, Methods and Applications*. Society of Manufacturing Engineers, Publications Develop Society of Manufacturing Engineers, Publications Development Department, Reference Publications Division, Dearborn.
- Styles, M., Compston, P., & Kalyanasundaram, S. (2007). The effect of core thickness on the flexural behaviour of aluminium foam sandwich structures. *Composite Structures*, 80(4), 532–538. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPSTRUCT.2006.07.002>.
- Suddel, B. C., & Rosemaund, A. (2008). Industrial Fibers: Recent and current developments. *Proceedings of the Symposium on Natural Fibers*.
- Summerscales, J., Dissanayake, N. P. J., Virk, A. S., & Hall, W. (2010). A review of bast fibres and their composites. Part 1 – Fibres as reinforcements. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 41(10), 1329–1335. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPOSITESA.2010.06.001>.
- Sun, C. L., Li, C., Tie, Y., Hou, Y., & and Duan, Y. (2019). Experimental and numerical investigations of adhesively bonded CFRP single-lap joints subjected to tensile loads. *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives*, 95(102402), 1–14.
- Suzuki, T., Aoki, T., Ogasawara, T., & Fujita, K. (2017). Nonablative lightweight thermal protection system for Mars Aeroflyby Sample collection mission. *Acta Astronautica*, 136, 407–420. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ACTAASTRO.2017.04.001>.
- Taib, A. A., Boukhili, R., Achiou, S., & Boukehili, H. (2006). Bonded joints with

- composite adherends. Part II. Finite element analysis of joggle lap joints. *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives*, 26(4), 237–248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJADHADH.2005.03.014>.
- Takatani, M., Ikeda, K., Sakamoto, K., & Okamoto, T. (2008). Cellulose esters as compatibilizers in wood/poly (lactic acid) composite. *Journal of Wood Sciences*, 54, 54–61.
- Thakur, V. K. (2014). *Green Composites from Natural Resources*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida.
- Thakur, V. K., Singha, A. S., & Thakur, M. K. (2011). *Green Composites from Natural Cellulosic Fibres*. GmbH & Co. KG.
- Torres, J.P., Hoto, R., Andres, J., and Garcia-Manrique, J. A. (2013). Manufacture of green- composite sandwich structures with basalt fibers and bio-epoxy resin. *Advances in Materials Science and Engineering*, 2013.
- Tsai, M. Y., & Morton, J. (2010). An investigation into the stresses in double-lap adhesive joints with laminated composite adherends. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 47(24), 3317–3325. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJSOLSTR.2010.08.011>.
- Tsai, M. Y., Oplinger, D. W., & Morton, J. (1998). Improved theoretical solutions for adhesive lap joints. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, 35(12), 1163–1185. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7683\(97\)00097-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7683(97)00097-8).
- Tserki, V., Matzinos, P., Kokkou, S., & Panayiotou, C. (2005). Novel biodegradable composites based on treated lignocellulosic waste flour as filler. Part I. Surface chemical modification and characterization of waste flour. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 36(7), 965–974. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPOSITESA.2004.11.010>.
- Tuwair, H., Hopkins, M., Volz, J., ElGawady, M. A., Mohamed, M., Chandrashekhara, K., & Birman, V. (2015). Evaluation of sandwich panels with various polyurethane foam-cores and ribs. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 79, 262–276. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPOSITESB.2015.04.023>.
- Valadez-Gonzales, A. Cetvantes, J. M., Olayo, R., & Herrera Franco, P. J. (1999). Effect of fibre surface treatment on the fibre-matrix bond strength of natural fiber reinforced composites. *Composites, Part B*, 30(3), 309–320.
- Verma, D., Gope, P. C., Shandilya, A., & Gupta, A. and Maheshwari, M. K. (2013). Coir Fiber Reinforcement and Application in Polymer Composites: A Review.

- Journal of Material Environment Science*, 4(2), 263–276.
- Ververis, C., Georghiou, K., Christodoulakis, N., Santas, P., & Santas, R. (2004). Fiber dimensions, lignin and cellulose content of various plant materials and their suitability for paper production. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 19(3), 245–254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.INDCROP.2003.10.006>.
- Vijayprasa, S., Bidarakatti, S. A., Naikar, S., Rathod, K. A. and, & Hiremath, G. S. (2017). Characterization of Mechanical and Physical Properties of Polyurethane Cored Sandwich Structure. *International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering*, 5(6), 1163–1176.
- Vinson, J. R. (2001). Sandwich structures. *Applied Mechanics Review*, 54, 201–214.
- Vision paper. (2003). About the kenaf fiber plant. Retrieved September 25, 2018, from <Http://Www.Visionpaper.Com/Kenaf2.Html>.
- Vitale, J. P., Francucci, G., Xiong, J., & Stocchi, A. (2017). Failure mode maps of natural and synthetic fiber reinforced composite sandwich panels. *Composites: Part A*, 94, 217–225. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesa.2016.12.021>.
- Vladkova, T. G., Dineff, P. D., & Gospodinova, D. N. (2004). Wood flour: A new filler for the rubber processing industries. III. Cure characteristics and mechanical properties of nitrile butadiene rubber compounds filled by wood flour in the presence of phenol formaldehyde resin. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 92(1), 95–101.
- Volkersen, O. (1938). Die nietkrafteerteilung in zubeanspruchten nietverbindungen konstanten loschonquerschnitten. *Luftfahrtforschung*, 15, 41–47.
- Waddar, S., Pitchaimani, J., Doddamani, M., & Barbero, E. (2019). Buckling and vibration behaviour of syntactic foam core sandwich beam with natural fiber composite facings under axial compressive loads. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 175, 107133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPOSITESB.2019.107133>.
- Wambua, P., Ivens, J., & Verpoest, I. (2003). Natural fibres: can they replace glass in fibre reinforced plastics? *Composites Science and Technology*, 63(9), 1259–1264. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-3538\(03\)00096-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0266-3538(03)00096-4).
- Wan, H., Min, J., Zhang, J., Lin, J., & Sun, C. (2020). Effect of adherend deflection on lap-shear tensile strength of laser-treated adhesive-bonded joints. *International Journal of Adhesion and Adhesives*, 97(102481), 1–11.

- Wang, B., Panigrahi, S., Tabil, L. and Crerar, W. (2003). *Modification of flax fibers by chemical Treatment*.
- Webber, C. L., Bhardwaj, H. L., & Bledsoe, V. K. (2001). Kenaf production: fiber, feed and seed. In *J. Janick and A. Whipkey (Eds.), Trends in New Crops and New Uses* (pp. 327–339). ASHS press.
- Winandy, J. E., Stark, N. M., & Clemons, C. M. (2004). Considerations in recycling of wood plastic composites. *5th Global Wood and Natural Fiber Composites Symposium, April 27-28*.
- Woo, S. K., & Lee, Y. (2010). Experimental study on interfacial behavior of CFRP-bonded concrete. *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, *14*(3), 385–393.
- Yaman, M., & Onal, T. (2016). Investigation of Dynamic Properties of Natural Material-based Sandwich Composites: Experimental Test and Numerical Simulation. . . *Journal of Sandwich and Materials*, *18*(4), 397–414. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1177/1099636215582216>.
- Yousif, B. F., Shalwan, A., Chin, C. W., & Ming, K. C. (2012). Flexural properties of treated and untreated kenaf/epoxy composites. *Materials & Design*, *40*, 378–385. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MATDES.2012.04.017>.
- Yu, J., Wang, E., Li, J., & Zheng, Z. (2008). Static and low-velocity impact behavior of sandwich beams with closed-cell aluminum-foam core in three-point bending. *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, *35*(8), 885–894. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJIMPENG.2008.01.006>.
- Yu, T., Teng, J. G., & Chen, J. F. (2009). Mechanical properties of FRP composites. *ICE Manual of Construction Materials*, 641–647.
- Zaharia, S. M., Morariu, C. O., Nedelcu, A., & Pop, M. A. (2017). Experimental Study of Static and Fatigue Behaviour of CFRP-Balsa Sandwiches Under Three-point Flexural Loading. *Experimental Study of Static and Fatigue Behaviour of CFRP-Balsa Sandwiches Under Three-Point Flexural Loading*, *12*(2), 2673–2689.
- Zampaloni, M., Pourboghrat, F., Yankovich, S. A., Rodgers, B. N., Moore, J., Drzal, L. T., Mohanty, A. K., & Misra, M. (2007). Kenaf natural fiber reinforced polypropylene composites: A discussion on manufacturing problems and solutions. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, *38*(6), 1569–1580. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPOSITESA.2007.01.001>.
- Zenkert, D. (1995). *An introduction to sandwich construction*. London. London, UK: Engineering materials advisory services.

- Zhu, D., Shi, H., Fang, H., Liu, W., Qi, Y., & Bai, Y. (2018). Fiber reinforced composites sandwich panels with web reinforced wood core for building floor applications. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, *150*, 196–211. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COMPOSITESB.2018.05.048>.
- Zhu, Y. (2006). *Stress analysis and failure prediction for adhesively bonded joints*. Santa Barbara: University of California.
- Zimmermann, M. V. G., Turella, T. C., Santana, R. M. C., & Zattera, A. J. (2014). The influence of wood flour particle size and content on the rheological, physical, mechanical and morphological properties of EVA/wood cellular composites. *Materials & Design*, *57*, 660–666. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MATDES.2014.01.010>.
- Zin, M., Razzi, M., Othman, S., Liew, K., Abdan, K., & Mazlan, N. (2016). A review on the fabrication method of bio-sourced hybrid composites for aerospace and automotive applications. *2016 IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

No	Paper Information	Publication type	Status
1	<p>Adole, M.A., Jamaludin, M.Y., Suhaimi, A.R. and Norazura, M.A. (2018). Potentials of Wood Fiber Reinforced Polymeric Composites: An Overview. <i>Journal of Polymer Science and Technology</i>, 3(1), 19-31.</p>	<p>Journal Article, Indexed in MyJurnal and Google Scholar.</p>	<p>Published</p>
2	<p>Adole, M.A., Jamaludin, M.Y., Suhaimi, A.R., Othman, A. and Norazura, A. M. (2019). Kenaf Fiber and Its Bio-based Composites: A Conspectus. <i>Pertanika Journal of Science and Technology</i>, 27(1), 297-329</p>	<p>Journal Article, Indexed in SCOPUS, MyJurnal, Google Scholar.</p>	<p>Published</p>
3	<p>Adole, M.A., Jamaludin, M.Y. and Suhaimi, A.R. (2021). Manufacturing and Characterization of Sandwich Composite Structures Composed of Kenaf Fiber Skin and Sawdust Core. <i>Composite Structures</i>.</p>	<p>Journal Article Indexed in SCOPUS</p>	<p>Submitted</p>