

ASSESSMENT OF BLASTABILITY INDEX IN MASSIVE LIMESTONE
FROM RAWANG QUARRY, SELANGOR

HAIZAM HAMIDUN

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

ASSESSMENT OF BLASTABILITY INDEX IN MASSIVE LIMESTONE
FROM RAWANG QUARRY, SELANGOR

HAIZAM HAMIDUN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Philosophy

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2023

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, I would like to thank Allah s.w.t for his uncounted blessings and his will for letting me through all the difficulties. I have experienced your guidance day by day and you are the one who let me finish my study. I will keep on trusting you for my future.

I would also like to acknowledge and give my warmest thanks to my supervisor, Professor Ts. Dr. Edy Tonnizam b. Mohamad for his continuous support of my Master study and research, for his patience, motivation, enthusiasm, and immense knowledge. His guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis.

My fellow postgraduate classmates should also be recognized for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to my officemates, close friends and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed.

In addition, my special thanks also go to my wife, Jamilah Hani Jami'an, my cute daughters Aisyaa Hani, Arfaa Hani, Airis Hani and my family as a whole for their continuous support and understanding when undertaking research and writing my thesis. Your prayer for me was what sustained me this far.

ABSTRACT

The demand for construction materials produced by quarry rises in tandem with urbanization. Selangor is one of the highly populated states in Malaysia with residential projects now located very close or even next to quarry. Due to the fact that limestone consists of numerous weak spots in rock masses, it has always been thought that limestone quarry operation is riskier than common granite quarry operations. Geologically, limestone formation in Rawang sits uncomfortably on top of the older metamorphic rocks with its own unique joint system. The goal of the study was to identify the rock mass properties in massive limestone profile from a quarry in Rawang, Selangor and its relation to blast design as well as effects on the surrounding environment due to blasting. For a systematic study, the quarry face was divided into four (4) sections i.e., section A, section B, section C, and section D. The site mapping showed significant findings where section A is considered high potential of having excessive flyrock as it has the most joint number (J), joint plane spacing (JPS) and joint aperture (JA) with 31, 559.8 mm and 28.5 mm, respectively. When blasthole intersected with many joints, explosive energy escape through joints causing sudden drop in blasthole pressure and open joints extend up to the face thus creating high possibility of flyrock during blasting. The degree of difficulty to fragment rock in terms of Blastability Index (BI) was also calculated based on the geological mapping data. The results show that BI ranged from 49.18 to 59.26 percent throughout all study sections indicating that the rock mass at the quarry was easy to be blasted as per Blastability Quality System (BQS). The calculated BI was also justified the suitability of blast design used during blasting at the quarry. The new site constants i.e., K and β for the study area were also calculated with USBM predictor at 40 and 1.0, while Langefors-Kihlstrom (LK) predictor at 6.8 and 1.07, respectively. Although at maximum charge per delay (W_{max}) the blasting was being carried out safely with very minimal effects to the surrounding areas. Finally, correlations between all earlier findings such as BI, blast design and environment effects i.e., peak particle velocity (PPV) measured and predicted were justified the significant relation of rock mass properties and ground vibration effect due to blasting operation at the quarry.

ABSTRAK

Permintaan untuk bahan binaan yang dihasilkan oleh kuari meningkat seiring dengan pembangunan di pusat bandar. Selangor merupakan salah satu negeri berpenduduk tinggi di Malaysia dengan pembangunan projek perumahan kini terletak sangat dekat atau hampir bersebelahan dengan sempadan kuari. Fakta yang mengatakan bahawa batu kapur terdiri daripada banyak titik lemah pada sifat batuan, selalu dianggap bahawa operasi kuari batu kapur adalah lebih berisiko daripada operasi kuari granit. Secara geologinya, formasi batu kapur di kawasan Rawang terletak di atas batuan metamorf yang lebih tua secara tidak selesa dengan sistem kekar tersendiri. Matlamat kajian ini adalah untuk mengenal pasti sifat batuan batu kapur di profil masif di kuari yang terletak di Rawang, Selangor dan kaitannya dengan reka bentuk letupan serta kesan terhadap alam sekitar akibat operasi peletupan. Bagi kajian yang sistematik, muka kuari telah dibahagikan kepada empat (4) bahagian iaitu bahagian A, bahagian B, bahagian C dan bahagian D. Pemetaan tapak menunjukkan penemuan yang signifikan di mana bahagian A muka kuari dianggap berpotensi tinggi untuk menghasilkan *batu terbang* yang berlebihan kerana ia mempunyai jumlah tertinggi bilangan kekar (J), jarak satah kekar (JPS) dan kekar apertur (JA) dengan masing-masing 31, 559.8 mm dan 28.5 mm. Apabila lubang letupan bersilang dengan banyak kekar, tenaga letupan keluar melalui kekar menyebabkan penurunan mendadak dalam tekanan lubang letupan dan kekar terbuka memanjang ke muka kuari sekali gus mewujudkan kemungkinan tinggi batu terbang semasa letupan. Tahap kesukaran untuk memecah batu dari segi Indeks Kebolehetupan (BI) juga dikira berdasarkan data pemetaan geologi. Keputusan kiraan BI berjulat antara 49.18 hingga 59.26 peratus di semua bahagian kajian menunjukkan sifat batuan di kuari ini adalah mudah untuk diletupkan mengikut Sistem Kualiti Letupan (BQS). BI yang dikira juga mewajarkan kesesuaian reka bentuk letupan yang digunakan sepanjang kajian letupan di kuari. Pemalar tapak baharu iaitu, nilai K dan β untuk kawasan kajian juga dikira dengan peramal USBM pada 40 dan 1.0, manakala peramal Langefors-Kihlstrom (LK) masing-masing pada 6.8 dan 1.07. Walaupun pada kadar caj bahan letupan maksimum setiap lambatan (W_{max}), letupan telah dijalankan dengan selamat dan kesan yang sangat minimum kepada kawasan sekitar. Akhir sekali, korelasi antara semua penemuan awal seperti BI, reka bentuk letupan dan kesan peletupan pada persekitaran iaitu, “peak particle velocity (PPV)” diukur dan ramalan menunjukkan hubungan yang signifikan antara sifat batuan dan kesan gegaran tanah akibat operasi letupan di kuari.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------------|---|-------------|
| | DECLARATION | iii |
| | DEDICATION | iv |
| | ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | v |
| | ABSTRACT | vi |
| | ABSTRAK | vii |
| | LIST OF TABLES | xii |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | xiv |
| | LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xvi |
| | LIST OF SYMBOLS | xvii |
| CHAPTER 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 | Background | 1 |
| 1.2 | Problem Statement | 3 |
| 1.3 | Research Objectives | 3 |
| 1.4 | Scope of Work | 4 |
| 1.5 | Significance of Research | 5 |
| CHAPTER 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 7 |
| 2.1 | Introduction | 7 |
| 2.2 | Environmental Effects Due to Blasting | 8 |
| 2.2.1 | Ground Vibration | 9 |
| 2.2.1.1 | Mechanism of Ground Vibration | 10 |
| 2.2.1.2 | Factors Influencing Ground Vibration and Frequency | 11 |
| 2.2.2 | Airblast Overpressure | 11 |
| 2.2.2.1 | Mechanism of Airblast Overpressure | 12 |
| 2.2.2.2 | Airblast Overpressure Influencing Factors | 13 |
| 2.2.3 | Flyrock | 13 |

| | | |
|---------|---|----|
| 2.2.3.1 | Fly rock Influencing Factors | 14 |
| 2.3 | Influence of Rock Mass Properties on Blasting | 15 |
| 2.3.1 | Rock Type | 16 |
| 2.3.1.1 | Limestone | 16 |
| 2.3.1.2 | Comparison between Limestone and Granite Rocks | 17 |
| 2.3.1.3 | Tropical Weathering in Limestone | 18 |
| 2.4 | Blasting and Blast Design | 21 |
| 2.4.1 | Blast Design | 21 |
| 2.5 | Geological Discontinuities | 24 |
| 2.5.1 | Joint Sets | 26 |
| 2.5.2 | Joint Spacing | 28 |
| 2.5.3 | Jointing Pattern | 28 |
| 2.6 | Blastability | 29 |
| 2.6.1 | Blastability Influenced Blasting | 30 |
| 2.6.2 | Blastability Index Lilly (1986) | 30 |
| 2.6.3 | Geological Strength Index (GSI) | 33 |
| 2.7 | Blast Effects Prediction Methods | 34 |
| 2.7.1 | Prediction of Ground Vibration | 34 |
| 2.7.2 | Prediction of Airblast Overpressure | 37 |
| 2.7.3 | Prediction of Flyrock | 38 |
| 2.7.4 | Empirical Model - Artificial Intelligent (AI) Development | 40 |
| 2.8 | Effect of Significant Blast Parameters | 41 |
| 2.8.1 | Effect of Maximum Explosive Charge per Delay (W) | 41 |
| 2.8.2 | Effect of Distance from Blast Site to Sensitive Buildings (D) | 42 |
| 2.8.3 | Effect of Burden (B), Spacing (S) | 42 |
| 2.8.4 | Effect of Powder Factor (PF) | 43 |
| 2.9 | Relationship | 43 |
| 2.10 | Blasting Effect Limits | 44 |
| 2.10.1 | PPV Limit | 44 |

| | | |
|------------------|---|-----------|
| 2.10.2 | AOp Limit | 45 |
| 2.10.3 | Flyrock | 46 |
| 2.11 | Summary | 47 |
| CHAPTER 3 | RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 49 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 49 |
| 3.2 | Research Design | 49 |
| 3.3 | Studied Site | 50 |
| 3.3.1 | Local Geology | 51 |
| 3.3.2 | Site Topography | 53 |
| 3.3.3 | Adjacent Interest | 53 |
| 3.4 | Investigation of the Site and Field Testing | 54 |
| 3.4.1 | Geological Discontinuities | 56 |
| 3.4.2 | Blastability Index | 57 |
| 3.5 | Laboratory Test | 60 |
| 3.5.1 | Rock Strength | 60 |
| 3.5.1.1 | Point Load Test (PLT) | 61 |
| 3.5.1.2 | Uniaxial Compressive Strength Test (UCS) | 62 |
| 3.5.1.3 | Schmidt Rebound Hammer Test (SHRN) | 62 |
| 3.6 | Blasting Procedures | 63 |
| 3.6.1 | Before Blasting | 63 |
| 3.6.2 | During Blasting | 64 |
| 3.6.3 | After Blasting | 65 |
| 3.7 | Blasting Monitoring | 66 |
| 3.7.1 | Data collections | 68 |
| 3.8 | Data Analysis | 70 |
| 3.8.1 | Data from Site Investigation and Laboratory Results | 70 |
| 3.8.2 | Data from Blast Monitoring Results | 71 |
| 3.8.2.1 | PPV Prediction by Empirical Equations | 71 |

| | | | |
|-------------------|---------|---|------------|
| | 3.8.2.2 | Comparison of Prediction Results and Allowable Limits | 72 |
| | 3.9 | Summary | 72 |
| CHAPTER 4 | | RESULT AND DISCUSSION | 73 |
| | 4.1 | Introduction | 73 |
| | 4.2 | Field Investigation | 74 |
| | 4.2.1 | Geological Discontinuities | 74 |
| | 4.3 | Laboratory Test Results | 85 |
| | 4.3.1 | Point Load and Uniaxial Compressive Strength Tests | 85 |
| | 4.3.2 | Schmidt Rebound Hammer and Uniaxial Compressive Strength Tests | 87 |
| | 4.4 | Blastability Index | 90 |
| | 4.5 | Blast Monitoring Results | 93 |
| | 4.6 | Blasting Effect Prediction Techniques | 95 |
| | 4.6.1 | K and β Values | 95 |
| | 4.6.2 | Comparison PPV Predictions, Measured Results and Allowable Limit | 98 |
| | 4.7 | Correlation | 101 |
| | 4.8 | Summary | 106 |
| CHAPTER 5 | | CONCLUSION | 109 |
| | 5.1 | Conclusion | 109 |
| REFERENCES | | | 111 |
| APPENDICES | | | 121 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------------|--|-------------|
| Table 2.1 | Physical properties for weathered limestone | 19 |
| Table 2.2 | Mechanical properties for weathered limestone | 19 |
| Table 2.3 | Point load index range correlation to UCS (Hoek et al., 1997) | 20 |
| Table 2.4 | Typical values of uniaxial compressive strength for several rocks (Attewell and Farmer, 1976) | 21 |
| Table 2.5 | Constants for hole diameter in determining burden | 22 |
| Table 2.6 | Blastability parameters with classification values (Lilly, 1986) | 31 |
| Table 2.7 | Estimation of blastability index, BI, based on the geological strength index (GSI)'s descriptive chart (Bickers et al., 2002). | 34 |
| Table 2.8 | The most used PPV prediction equations | 36 |
| Table 2.9 | Various AOp empirical equations mostly used | 38 |
| Table 2.10 | Empirical equations for flyrock prediction | 39 |
| Table 2.11 | Damage criteria by USBM, (1971) | 45 |
| Table 2.12 | Limitations of Previous Study | 48 |
| Table 3.1 | Methods used in measuring the geological discontinuities on rock mass | 56 |
| Table 3.2 | Rock mass blastability classes based on BQS | 60 |
| Table 3.3 | Blast parameters used at this study | 67 |
| Table 3.4 | Selected Empirical equations for PPV Prediction | 72 |
| Table 4.1 | Weathering Rock Profile at Limestone Quarry (Ramesh, 2020) | 75 |
| Table 4.2 | Site mapping results – joint properties at section A | 76 |
| Table 4.3 | Site mapping results – joint properties at section B | 78 |
| Table 4.4 | Site mapping results – joint properties at section C | 80 |
| Table 4.5 | Site mapping results - joint properties at section D | 82 |

| | | |
|------------|---|-----|
| Table 4.6 | PLT and UCS test results | 86 |
| Table 4.7 | SHRN and UCS results | 88 |
| Table 4.8 | Comparison test results with Ogunsula et al., (2017) | 89 |
| Table 4.9 | Results of blastability index calculations | 91 |
| Table 4.10 | Summary of blast monitoring results | 94 |
| Table 4.11 | Calculated K and β and values | 97 |
| Table 1.1 | Comparison predictions and measured PPV results | 99 |
| Table 4.13 | Comparison PPV predictions and limit set by authorities | 100 |
| Table 4.14 | Summary of BI, blast parameters and monitoring results | 101 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|-------------|---|------|
| Figure 2.1 | View of uncontrolled blasting operation in quarry (Khandelwal, 2010) | 9 |
| Figure 2.2 | USBM RI 8507 safe blasting levels, Z curve (Siskind et al., 1980) | 10 |
| Figure 2.3 | Typical blast wave pressure-time curve (a) and frequency-sound pressure level distributions of blasting waves (b) (Kuzu et al., 2009) | 12 |
| Figure 2.4 | Prediction of fly-rock distance versus maximum charge per delay (Mohamad et al., 2013) | 14 |
| Figure 2.5 | Point load indexes for different types of rocks (Seron, 1997) | 20 |
| Figure 2.6 | A schematic of blast design at site (Siamaki, 2022) | 24 |
| Figure 2.7 | Air-blast Overpressure and Fly rock associated with Jointed Rock Mass (Sastry, 1989) | 27 |
| Figure 2.8 | Schematic illustration of main joint patterns (Pollard and Aydin, 1988) | 29 |
| Figure 2.9 | Estimating a: burden \times spacing ($S\times B$) based on blastability index (BI), and b: powder factor for a 10m bench height (Lilly,1992). | 33 |
| Figure 2.10 | Maximum flyrock distance versus boulder size with hole diameter (Lundborg et al.,1981). | 40 |
| Figure 3.1 | Flow chart of the study | 50 |
| Figure 3.2 | Location of the studied site (Google Earth Pro) | 51 |
| Figure 3.3 | Geological map of peninsular Malaysia (JMG Malaysia, 2012) | 52 |
| Figure 3.4 | Geological section through Kuala Lumpur (Yeap, 1986) | 52 |
| Figure 3.5 | Satellite image of the studied site (Google Earth Pro, 2020) | 53 |
| Figure 3.6 | The distance of surrounding sensitive areas in 600m radius | 54 |
| Figure 3.7 | General view of the study site (section A to D) | 55 |
| Figure 3.8 | Four study sections (section A to D) | 55 |

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Figure 3.9 | Joint sets observation at study site | 57 |
| Figure 3.10 | (a) Joint DOF (b) Joint DIF (c) Joint SNF (d) Horizontal Joints | 59 |
| Figure 3.11 | Drilling operation before blasting activity on section D | 64 |
| Figure 3.12 | Explosive charging operation on section A | 65 |
| Figure 3.13 | Fragmented rocks due to blasting on section A | 66 |
| Figure 3.14 | Blast pattern and connections (Source: Rawang limestone quarry) | 68 |
| Figure 3.15 | Instantel Micromate ISEE seismograph (a) top view (b) monitoring setup (c) setting up meter (e) waiting for blast area to be initiated | 69 |
| Figure 4.1 | General Rock Profile at the Quarry | 76 |
| Figure 4.2 | Rock discontinuities on part of (a) section A - west (b) section A- east | 77 |
| Figure 4.3 | Rock discontinuities on part of (a) section B-west (b) section B-east | 79 |
| Figure 4.4 | Rock discontinuities on part of (a) section C-west section C-east | 81 |
| Figure 4.5 | Rock discontinuities on part of (a) section D western (b) section D eastern | 83 |
| Figure 4.6 | Comparison of BI results and BQS | 92 |
| Figure 4.7 | Analysis on Estimating Charts based on blastability index (BI) for (a) burden \times spacing ($B\times S$) and (b) powder factor (PF) for a 10m bench height (Lilly,1992). | 93 |
| Figure 4.8 | Regression model analysis Graph for log (PPV) against log (Scaled Distance) using equations from (a) USBM and (b) Langefors-Kihlstrom (1963) | 96 |
| Figure 4.9 | Correlations (a) PF vs BI, (b) PPV Prediction vs BI and (c) PPV Measured vs BI | 103 |
| Figure 4.10 | BI-PF-PPV Model | 105 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | | |
|------|---|---|
| ANN | - | Artificial Neural Network |
| AI | - | Artificial Intelligence |
| AOp | - | Airblast Overpressure |
| ASTM | - | American Society for Testing and Materials |
| BI | - | Blastability Index |
| DOE | - | Department of Environment Malaysia |
| GSI | - | Geological Strength Index |
| ISEE | - | International Society of Explosive Engineers |
| ISRM | - | International Society for Rock Mechanics |
| JMG | - | Jabatan Mineral & Geosains Malaysia |
| J | - | Joints |
| LK | - | Langefors-Kihlstrom |
| OGL | - | Original Ground Level |
| PF | - | Powder Factor |
| PLI | - | Point Load Index |
| PPV | - | Peak Particle Velocity |
| RMD | - | Rock Mass Description |
| RL | - | Reduced Level |
| SD | - | Scaled Distance |
| SHRN | - | Schmidt Rebound Hammer |
| SPSS | - | Statistical Package for Social Science software |
| UCS | - | Uniaxial Compressive Strength |
| USBM | - | United State Biro of Mine |
| UTM | - | Universiti Teknologi Malaysia |

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| | | |
|-------------------|---|--|
| L_{\max} | - | Maximum projectile distance of flyrock |
| $IS_{(50)}$ | - | Corrected, point load strength index |
| MPa | - | Megapascal |
| dB(L) | - | Decibel |
| ST | - | Stemming |
| B | - | Burden |
| S | - | Spacing |
| W | - | Maximum explosive charge per delay |
| D | - | Distance between blast site and monitoring point |
| m | - | Meter |
| mm | - | Millimetre |
| gm/cc | - | Gram per centre cubic |
| % | - | Percent |
| t/m ³ | - | Ton per cubic meter |
| mm/s | - | Millimetre per second |
| kg | - | Kilogram |
| kg/m ³ | - | Kilogram per cubic meter |
| Nm | - | Newton meter |
| W_{\max} | - | Maximum explosive charge per delay |
| W_{\min} | - | Minimum explosive charge per delay |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------------|---|-------------|
| Appendix A | Details of L-type Schmidt Hammer | 121 |
| Appendix B | Blast Event Report by Seismograph | 122 |
| Appendix C | Conversion Chart Schmidt Hammer L-type (Deer and Miller,1966) | 123 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The demand for construction materials rises in tandem with urbanization (Arvind et al., 2017). Although most quarries are in rural or semi-rural areas, a few older quarries remain in operation in urban areas. Continuing population growth, social, industrial, and economic developments that necessitate more construction materials are just a few of the reasons why the older quarry is still in use, despite increasing complaints from the surrounding residents (Anthony and Calvin, 2019). To protect the public from potential impacts posed by quarry activities, stricter regulations have been imposed in order to control and minimize the consequences.

In 2013, a tragic quarry blasting incident in Masai (located in Seri Alam near Pasir Gudang, Johor) had once made national headlines. The massive explosion rained down rocks and boulders on the nearest industrial park, which was located 700 meters away from the blasting site. It was a fatal incident in which a factory worker died, ten people were seriously injured, 18 cars and 14 factories were damaged (Mohamad et al., 2013).

In general, limestone quarries in Malaysia are concentrated in Perak, with a few others in Selangor and Pahang (JMG, 2006). Most of these quarries are part of an integrated cement production plant, so the structures are usually located next to each other. Some of the quarries has been developed since decades ago and had once been the area's leading economy. However, over time many new developments have been constructed around the limestone quarry area and some of them even located very close or next to quarry's boundaries. Of late, the quarry new neighbors have begun to feel uncomfortable with quarry routine blasting operations and started

sending complaints to authorities. Therefore, it is critical to investigate the factors that may result in generating excessive blast effects to environment. This research is focus on identifying rock mass properties factor in affecting the blast design and its effects on the surrounding environment. To facilitate the study, a number of site investigations and laboratory tests were conducted. Two empirical models were also used to predict the environmental impact before final analysis and discussion were made. A limestone quarry in Selangor was chosen as the case study location. Blasting is a common technique used in quarrying, mining, and some civil engineering construction. Blasting is the controlled use of explosive materials to break up rock mass for excavation purposes, and the end result is commonly referred to as a rock-cut.

Environmental effects may occur at nearby settlements or other building structures, such as schools, houses, dams, or tunnels. The most visible environmental effects of quarry blasting operations are fly rock, ground vibration (PPV), and airblast overpressure (AOp) (Kuzu, 2008). The design of a blasting operation is critical in the fragmentation of rock for quarrying, mining, and civil engineering projects. When a blasting operation is carried out, the ground absorbs more than 85% of the released energy in the form of negative effects such as PPV, AOp, and flyrock (Khandelwal and Singh, 2009; Armaghani et al., 2016). The geological conditions in the blasted bench have a significant impact on the blasting operation's success and can cause flyrock to surrounding areas (Sastry, et al. 2015). Bedding planes in non-homogeneous rock layers can cause a variety of problems, including rock overhangs, unexpected muck pile height, toe problems, back breakage, and fragmentation differences, which can lead to excessive PPV, AOp, and Flyrock to surrounding areas if not properly controlled (Sastry et al., 2015).

In view of effects to the surrounding environment due to blasting especially quarries located very close to public buildings, an urban limestone quarry was chosen as a case study. Although the terrible blasting incident was occurred in a granite quarry but it still can be a good reference for a limestone quarry as both quarries shared many similarities in terms of rock physical characteristic.

1.2 Problem Statement

The potential environmental effects of blasting activities must be studied, primarily if the quarry is located near residential areas. Blasting effects such as airblast overpressure (AOp), ground vibration (PPV), and flyrock must be properly controlled and predicted to have the least impact on the environment. Blasting activities, if not properly controlled, can result in serious injury or death.

Many researchers agree that rock mass properties have great influence on the operation of rock explosion (Franklin et al., 1971; Lantham and Lu, 1998). When tropical rock masses such as limestone are subjected to climate change, the complexity of these parameters becomes critical, resulting in varying degrees of weathering and significantly alter the properties of limestone. Consequently, a limestone quarry would have various rock mass properties at certain distances or elevations and normally will affecting the blast monitoring results significantly. Therefore, the mapping works of rock mass properties and determining its relationship with blasting operation at the chosen study area are deemed important for better understanding in controlling the blasting effects thus reducing complaints from residents in surrounding environment.

1.3 Research Objectives

To have a better understanding on factors that causing excessive environmental effects due to quarry blasting operations. In order to perform this study, objectives are outlined as follows:

- (a) To determine the rock mass properties involved in blasting works in limestone quarry.
- (b) To empirically predict blast effect based on maximum and minimum explosive charge per delay, W_{\max} and W_{\min} .
- (c) To investigate the effect of rock mass properties and blast design to the ground vibration (PPV) due to blasting.

1.4 Scope of Work

A limestone quarry in Rawang, Selangor was chosen because of its central location. The quarry, which is part of an integrated cement plant, has always been the target of complaints from nearby residents on blast effects due to blasting operations. The quarry is well developed, with a variety of bench heights in highly weathered rock.

Limestone is a type of carbonaceous rock. This study includes a review of the rock properties of sedimentary weathered rock that had previously been identified through numerous research studies. Based on this review, a method for investigating and identifying the rock mass properties in the quarry face was chosen. In order to have presentable data, the quarry face was divided into four sections. The segment that covered the majority of the active blasting area was divided into four sections: Section A (SA), Section B (SB), Section C (SC), and Section D (SD). Datasets such as multiple type of joints, and rock hardness were recorded at all sections. The collected samples were later sent to a laboratory for analysis.

The study was also involved blasting activities at all sections (A to D) on the quarry face. Impacts from the blasting operations were monitored and systematically recorded for further analysis. There are several stages involved in blasting i.e., before, during and after blasting. A total of 25 blast events was involved in this study. Furthermore, the blasting effect was assessed using two empirical methods: ground vibration (PPV) and deriving K and β for new site-specific constants. Subsequently, the final output was compared with the allowable limits established by the country's blasting regulators i.e., the Department of Mineral & Geosciences Malaysia (JMG) and the Department of Environment (DOE) Malaysia. Several correlations were established using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software's analysis such as Linear Regression and General Linear Model. Therefore, the influence of rock mass properties and blast design to the environment was finally investigated and justified.

1.5 Significance of Research

Many researchers have been working on predicting blast effects for site-specific rock face for a long time. However, the study's accuracy was limited to that specific study area and was largely determined by a variety of controllable and uncontrollable parameters. The following are the anticipated benefits and advantages of the research study:

- (a) The study shows the present condition of rock mass properties at the quarry through site investigation.
- (b) The study will determine the prediction of blast effect to surrounding environment based on W_{\max} and W_{\min} and comparison with allowable limits set by relevant authorities.
- (c) The study will justify the influence of rock properties and blast design on routine blasting operation and how its effecting ground vibration (PPV).

REFERENCES

- Abu Bakar MZ, Tariq SM, Hayat MB, Zahoor MK, Khan MU (2013) Influence of geological discontinuities upon fragmentation by blasting. *Pak J Sci* 65(3):414–419
- Adhikari, G.A., Rajan, B., Ventakesh, H.S., & Thresraj, A.I. (1994). Blast damage assessment for underground structures. In *Proceeding of the National Symposium on Emerging Mining and Ground Control Technologies* (pp.247-255).
- Adhikari, G.R. (1999). Burden calculation for partially changed blast design conditions. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*, 36(2), 253-256.
- Ambraseys, N.N., & Hendron, A. J. (1968). *Dynamic behaviour of rock masses*. J. Wiley & Sons
- Anthony Konya and Calvin J. Konya (2019). Evaluating the effectiveness of powder factor. *Pit & Quarry Weekly Report*.
- Armaghani, D. J., Hasanipanah, M., & Mohamad, E. T. (2016). A combination of the ICA-ANN model to predict air-overpressure resulting from blasting. *Engineering with Computers*, 32(1), 155-171.
- Arvind K. Mishra, Y.K. Nigam and Deepanshu R. Singh (2017): Controlled blasting in a limestone mine using electric detonators
- Australian Standard AS2187.2-1993 (1993), 'Explosives—Storage and use Part 2: Use of explosives', Standards Australia: Sydney.
- Ayala Carcedo, F. (2017), *Drilling and Blasting of Rock*. Routledge.
- Badal, K. (1991). *Blast vibration studies in surface mines* (Doctoral dissertation).
- Bagchi, A., & Gupta, R.N. (1990). Surface blasting and its impact on environmental. In *workshop on environmental management of mining operations, Varanasi* (pp. 262-279).
- Bauer A., Harries G.R., Lang L., et al. (1965) How IOC puts crater research to work, *E&MJ*. ; 166(9): 117–21p.
- Bhandari S (1997) *Engineering rock blasting operations*. A.A. Balkema, Rotterdam 2.5.2

- Bhandari, S., & Badal, R. (1990). Post-blast studies of jointed rocks. *Engineering fracture mechanics*, 35(1-3), 439-445.
- Bickers, C.F., Dunbar, C.T., Lejuge, G.E., Walker, P.A., (2002). Wall control blasting Blasting-Related Accidents. *Journal of Safety Science* 43 , 739-750.
- Burkle WC (1979) Geology and its effect on blasting. In: Pro- ceedings of the 5th conference on explosives and blasting techniques, SEE, pp 105–120
- Cevizci, H., & Ozkahraman. H.T. (2012). The effect of blast hole stemming length to rockpile fragmentation at limestone quarries. *International Journal of Rock Mechnics and Mining Sciences*, (53), 32-35.
- Christaras, B., & Chatziangelou, M. (2014). Blastability quality system (BQS) for using it in bedrock excavation. *Structural engineering and mechanics*, 51(5), 823-845.
- Department of Environment (DOE). (2007). *The Planning Guidelines for Vibration Limits and Control in the Environment*. Malaysia: Min. of Natural Resources & Environment.
- Dick, R. A., Fletcher, L. R., & D'Andrea, D. V. (1986). *Explosives and blasting procedures manual* (No. 8925). US Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines.
- Dowding CH (1985) *Blast vibration monitoring and control*. Prentice Hall Inc. Eaglewood Cliffs, p 297
- Dowding CH. *Construction blasting*. Charles H. Dowding; (2000). p. 203–24.
- Elseman, I.A. (2000), Measurement and analysis of the effect of ground vibration induced by blasting at the limestone quarries of the Egyptian Cement Company, In ICEHM2000, Cairo University, pp. 54-71.
- Fourie, G.A., and Dohm, G.C.(1992). *Open pit planning and design*. SME mining engineering Handbook,2, 1274-1297.
- Franklin, J. A., Broch. E., & Walton, G. (1971). *Logging the mechanical character of rock*.
- G. Pradeep, M. Ramulu, G. Budi, V.M.S.R. Murthy (2020). Smart Software Assessment of Effects of Rock Properties on Blast-Induced Ground Vibrations. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering (IJRTE)* ISSN: 2277-3878, Volume-9 Issue-2

- G. Segaletsho and T. Zvarivadza (2019): Application of rock mass classification and Blastability Index for the improvement of wall control: a hard- rock mining case study
- G.R. Adhikari, A.I. Theresraj, H.S. Venkatesh, R.Balachander and R.N. Gupta (2010): Ground Vibration due to blasting in limestone quarries, pp 85-94
- Ghose A.K. (1988), "Design of drilling and blasting subsystems – A rockmass classification approach", Mine Planning and Equipment Selection, Balkema.
- Gobbett ,D.J. & Tjia,H.D. (1973). Tectonic history. In C. S. H. D.J.Gobbett (Ed.), Geology of the Malay Peninsula (West Malaysia and Singapore) (pp. 305 - 334). New York: Wiley-Interscience.
- Gobbett, D. J., Hutchison, C.S., & Burton, C.K. (1973). Geology of the malay peninsula.
- Hagan, T. N. (1973). Rock breakage by explosive nat. In symp. on rock fragmentation.
- Hagan, T. N. (1983). The influence of controllable blast parameters on fragmentation and mining costs. In Proceedings of the 1st international symposium on rock fragmentation by blasting (Vol. 1, pp. 31-32).
- Hajihassani, M., Aemaghani, D. J., Sohaei. H., Mohammad, E. T., & Marto, A. (2014). Prediction of airblast-overpressure induced by blasting using a hybrid artificial neural network and particle swarm optimization. Applied acoustics, 80, 57-67.
- Hashim, M.H.M. & Khider, M.A. (2017). Improving blast design for optimum rock breakage sustainable operations. International Journal of Society for Social Management System 11(1): 224-234.
- Hawkins, A. B., & Oliver, J. A. G. (1986). Point load test: correlation factors and contractual use. An example from the corallian at weymouth. Geological society, London, engineering geology special publications, 2(1), 269-271.
- Hoek, E., & Brown, E. T. (1997), Practical estimates of rock mass strength. International journal of rock mechanics and mining sciences, 34(8), 1165-1186.
- Hoek, E., Kaiser, P.K., Bawden, W.F., (1995). Support of Underground Excavations in Hard Rock. CRC Press.
- IBM SPSS Data Collection Divesture. (2016). Divesture Announc. of IBM. USA: IBM.

- Jabatan Mineral & Geosains Malaysia (JMG). (2004). Geo. Map of West Malaysia Mod. 8th ed. 1: 750,000. Kuala Lumpur.
- Jabatan Mineral & Geosains Malaysia (JMG). (2006). Industrial Mineral Production and statistics and directory of producers in Malaysia.
- Jimeno, C. L., & Jimeno, E. Carcedo (1995). Drilling and Blasting of Rocks.
- Jinzh Hu, Xingyu Zhang, Yubing Gao, Zimin Ma, Xinzeng Xu, Xiuping Zhang (2019). Directional presplit blasting in an innovative no-pillar mining approach. *Journal of Geophysics and Engineering*.
- JKMRC (1996). Mineral Comminution Circuits – Their Operation and Optimisation, Julius Kruttschnitt Mineral Research Centre (JKMRC), University of Queensland, Brisbane Australia.
- John Gladious J, Janarthanan R, Preethivi R, Rajakumar S, Ramadoss R. (2015). Nonel Initiation for eco-friendly blasting.
- Juna, A.A.A.G. & Syed, F.S.H. (2013). The importance of K and β values for scaled distance technique for prediction of ground vibrations level induced during granite quarry blasting for Peninsular Malaysia. National Geoscience Conference 25-26 May. Ipoh, Perak, B16.
- Kecojevic.V and Radomsky.M (2004). Flyrock Phenomena and Area Security in GeoEng 2000 Melbourne, Australia, pp. 1422–1442.
- Khandelwal M (2010) Evaluation and prediction of blast induced ground vibration using support vector machine. *Int J Rock Mech Min Sci* 47:509-516
- Khandelwal, M., & Singh, T.N. (2007). Prediction of blast-induced air overpressure in opencast mine. *Noise & vibration worldwide*, 36(2), 7-16.
- Khandelwal, M., & Singh, T.N. (2009). Prediction of blast-induced ground vibrations using artificial neural network. *International journal of rock mechanics and mining sciences*, 46(7), 1214-1222.
- Konya CJ, Walter EJ. Surface blast design. Prentice-Hill; (2000). p. 240–81.
- Konya CJ, Walter EJ (1991). Rock blasting and overbreak control; Precision Blasting Services. U. S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Contract No. DTFH 61-90-R-00058, (NTIS No. PB97-186548).
- Konya, C.J. and Walter, E.J. (1990), Surface Blast Design, Prentice Hall: New Jersey.

- Kuzu C (2008) The importance of site-specific characters in prediction models for blast-induced ground vibrations. *Soil DynEarthhqEng* 28:405-414.
- Kuzu, C. Fisne, A., & Ercelebi, S. G. (2009). Operational and geological parameters in the assessing blast induced airblast-overpressure in quarries. *Applied acoustics*, 70(3), 404-411.
- Lamotte, A. (1978). *Blaster's Handbook*. 16th ed. Wilmington, Delaware: DuPont de Nemours & INC.
- Latham, J. P., & Lu, P. (1998). Development of an assessment system for the blastability of rock masses. *International journal of rock mechanics and mining sciences*, 36(1), 41-55.
- Li, Z. (1995). Truck – haulage economics of mining open pits by high bench. *CIM bulletin*, 88 (993), 50-52.
- Lilly, J.D. (1988). Evaluation of the effects of blasting on slope stability at the Mt. Tom Price open pit mine. University of Queensland.
- Lilly, P. A. (1986). An empirical method of assessing rock mass blastability. *The Aus.*
- Lilly, P.A., (1992). The use of the blastability index in the design of blasts for open pit mines. In: Szwedzicki, T., Baird, G.R., Little, T.N. (Eds.), *Proceedings Western Australian Conference on Mining Geomechanics*.
- Lundborg, N. (1974). The hazards of flyrock in rock blasting. Swedish detonic research foundation, reports DS, 12.
- Lundborg, N., Persson, N., Ladegaard-Pedersen, A., & Holmberg, R. (1975). Keeping the lid on flyrock in open pit blasting. *Eng Min J*, 176, 95-100.
- Mahdi Hasanipanah, Roohollah Shirani Faradonbeh, Danial Jahed Armaghani, Hassan Bakhshandeh, Amnieh, Manoj Khandelwal (2016) Development of a precise model for prediction of blast-induced flyrock using regression tree technique
- Majid A, Gholamreza L, Alireza YB, Mohammad G (2015) Blastability evaluation for rock mass fragmentation in Iran central iron ore mines. *Int J Min Sci Technol* 25(01):59–66
- Marinos, P., Hoek, E., (2000). GSI: a geologically friendly tool for rock mass strength estimation. In: *International Conference on Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*

- McKenzie, C. K. (2009). Flyrock range and fragment size prediction. In proceedings of the 35th annual conference on explosives and blasting technique (Vol.2). International society of explosives engineers.
- McKenzine C. (1990). Quarry blast monitoring: technical and environmental perspective. *Quarry Manage* 1990;17:23–9 measurements at different rock units. *Soil DynEarthqEng* 30:1004-1009
- Mehrdanesh, A., Monjezi, M. & Sayadi, A.R. (2018). Evaluation of effect of rock mass properties on fragmentation using robust techniques. *Engineering with Computers*, 34(2), 253-260.
- Mesec J, Kovac I, Soldo B (2010) Estimation of particle velocity based on blast event Mines, Curtin University of Technolog, Kalgoorlie, pp. 421–426.
- Mishra A.K et al. (2011) Flyrock-Detection and Mitigation at Construction site in Blasting Operation, Scientific and Academic Publishing, Department of Mining Engineering, Indian School of Mine.
- Mohamad E.T, Khairul Anuar Kassim and Ibrahim Komoo (2005). To Rip or To Blast : An Overview of Existing Excavation Assessment. *Brunei International Conference on Engineering and Technology (BICET 2005) : 27-36.*
- Mohamad E.T, Seyed Vahid Alavi Nezhad Khaili Abad & Rosli Saad (2011), Challenges of Excavation by Ripping Works in Weathered Sedimentary Zone, *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, Bund 16 O, pp 1337-1350, ISSN 1089-3032
- Mohamad E.T., Danial, J.A. & Hossein, M. (2018). The effect of geological structure and powder factor in flyrock accident, Masai, Johor, Malaysia. *EJGE* 18: 5661-5672.
- Nateghi R (2011) Prediction of ground vibration level induced by blasting at different rock units. *Int J Rock Mech Min Sci* 48:899-908
- Ning, Y., Yang. J., An, X., & Ma. G. (2011). Modelling rock fracturing and blast-induced rock mass failure via advanced discretization within the discontinuous deformation analysis framework. *Computers and Geotechnics*, 38(1), 40-49.
- NONEL User's Manual. (2008). NONEL System. USA: DYNO Nobel.
- Ogunsola, N. O., Olaleye, B. M., & Saliu, M. A. (2017) Effects of Weathering on some Physical and Mechanical Properties of Ewekoro Limestone, South-

- western Nigeria. *International Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 4(11).
- Olofsson, S. O. (1990). *Applied explosive technology for construction and mining*. Applex.
- Orica Technical Services (2008), *Safe and Efficient Blasting in Quarries*.
- Pal, U.K. and Ghosh, N. (2002), “Optimization of blast design parameters at Sonepur Bazari opencast project”, *The Indian Mining & Engineering Journal*, September, pp. 36-41.
- Piyush Rai, Hyung-Sik Yang (2010): Investigation of some blast design and evaluation parameters for fragmentation in limestone quarries.
- Pollard D.D., Aydin A. (1988): Progress in understanding jointing over the past century, *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 100, 1181-1204.
- Raghunathan S, Kim HD, Setoguchi T. Impulse noise and its control (1998). *Progr Aerospace Sci* 1998;34:1–44.
- Raina, A. K., Murthy, V. M. S. R., & Soni, A. K. (2014). Flyrock in bench blasting: a comprehensive review. *Bulletin of engineering geology and the environment*, 73(4), 1199-1209.
- Ramesh B.M. (2020). Rock mass classification for blasting performance prediction in tropically weathered rock. Final thesis for doctor of philosophy.
- Rinehart J.S. (1970) Fractures and strain generated in joints and layered rock masses by explosions, *Proc. Symp. Mechanism of Rock Failure by Explosions: Fontainebleau*.
- Rodriguez, R., Torano, J., & Menendez, M. (2007). Prediction of the airblast wave effects near a tunnel advanced by drilling and blasting. *Tunneling and underground space technology*, 22(3), 241-251.
- RORKE, A. (2003). BME training module: Pre-splitting. *Bulk Mining Explosives*, Johannesburg. South Africa. pp. 1–24.
- Rusnak, J., & Mark, C. (1999). Using the point load test to determine the uniaxial compressive strength of coal measure rock.
- S. Cicero, T. García, J. Castro , V. Madrazo and D. Andrés (2014) Analysis of notch effect on the fracture behaviour of granite and limestone: An approach from the Theory of Critical Distances, *Engineering Geology Journal*

- Sandeep prasad*, B.S. Choudhary and A.K. Mishra (2017): Effect of Stemming to Burden Ratio and Powder Factor on Blast Induced Rock Fragmentation– A Case Study
- Sarma PD (2005) Tunnel blasting-emulsion explosives and proper blast design are the prerequisite for better efficiency. *Indian J Mines Met Fuel* 9:154-165
- Sastry V.R. (1989) A Study of the Effect of Some Parameters on Rock Fragmentation due to Blasting, Ph.D. Thesis. BHU, India.
- Sastry, V.R., Venkat R, Raghu C.G (2015). Geological Discontinuities and their impact on blasting, Department of Mining Engineering, IIT-Banaras Hindu University
- Sauvage AC (2012) Applied method integrating rock mass in blast design. In: 10th international symposium on rock fragmentation by blasting, FRAGBLAST 10, CRC Press, Balkema, pp 77–83
- Scott, A. (Ed.). (2009). Open pit blast design: analysis and optimization (No.1). Julius Kruttschnitt mineral research centre.
- Selmer-Olsen R. (1964): *Geology and Engineering Geology*. Tapir, Trondheim, Norway, 409 pp.
- Sharma, S.K., & Rai, P. (2017). Establishment of blasting design parameters influencing mean fragment size using state-of-art statistical tools and techniques. *Measurement*, 96, 34-51.
- Shirani Faradonbeh, R., Jahed Armaghani, D., Abdul Majid, M.Z., Tahir, M.D., Ramesh, M., Murlidhar, B., Monjezi, M. & Wong, H.M. (2016). Prediction of ground vibration due to quarry blasting based on gene expression programming: A new model for peak particle velocity prediction. *International Journal of Environmental, Science and Technology* 13: 1453-1464.
- Shuliang Wu, Shan Yang and Qingya Wang (2019) : Classification of Open Pit Iron Mine Rock Mass Blastability Based on Concept Lattice and Rough Set
- Singh D.P., Sastry V.R. (1986) Influence of Structural Discontinuities on Rock fragmentation by Blasting, *Proc. Int. Symp. Intense Dynamic Loading and Its Effects*. Beijing, China; 980– 4p.
- Singh PK, Vogt W, Pal Roy P, Singh DP (1996) Prediction and control of ground vibration in a Dolomite quarry in India. *Braunkohle Surf Min* 48(4): 391-398.

- Singh, P.K., Roy, M.P., Paswan, R.K., sarim, M.D., Kumar, S., & Jha, R.R. (2016). Rock fragmentation control in opencast blasting. *Journal of Rock Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering*, 8(2), 225-237.
- Singh, T.N., & Singh, V. (2005) An intelligent approach to predict and control ground vibration in mines. *Geotechnical & Geological Engineering*, 23(3), 249-262.
- Torabi, F. Sereshki , M. Zare , M. Javanshir (2008) an empirical approach in prediction of the roof rock strength in underground coal mines. *Coal Operators' Conference*.
- Tugrul A (2004) The effect of weathering on pore geometry and compressive strength of selected rock types from Turkey. *Eng Geol* 75:215–227
- V. Kecojevic (2006): Impact of burden and spacing on fragment size distribution and total cost in quarry mining, Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Quebec, Trois-Rivières, Canada.
- W. Wang, F. Bergholm, and O. Stephansson ,(1996) “Image analysis of fragment size and shape,” *Proc. Fragblast-5, Montreal*, pp. 233-243.
- W.R. Adamson, C.R. Scherpenisse, J.C. Diaz (1999), “The use of blast monitoring/modeling technology for the optimization of development blasting,” *Proc. Explo-99, Kalgoolie, WA*, pp. 35-41, 1999.
- Yeap, E.B. (1970). *Geology of the Petaling Jaya-Salak South area, Selangor, West Malaysia*. University of Malaya.
- Yeap, E.B. (1986). Irregular topography of the subsurface carbonate bedrock in the Kuala Lumpur area, foundation problems in limestone areas of Peninsular Malaysia. In G. E. Division (Ed.): *Institute Engineering Malaysia*.
- Yilmaz, T., Karaman, K., Cihangir, F., Ercikdi, B. & Kersimal, A. (2016). Effect of tunnel blasting operation on the surface penstock pipe. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Sciences* 44: 052010.