

STOCHASTIC DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING AND MACHINE LEARNING  
UNDER CLIMATE CHANGE FOR RESERVOIR AND IRRIGATION  
OPERATIONS

MUHAMMAD ADIB BIN MOHD NASIR

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Civil Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2023

## DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to:

*My beloved parents for their endless love, encouragement, and wish for their son to achieve the higher dream;*

*My siblings, who are always by my side when needed;*

*My dear wife, Nurseha, who has always played a significant role during the journey of study;*

*My daughter, Husna Zahra who, endured hardships all the years without her father quality time; and*

*My Supervisor, Prof. Dr. Sobri who, has been a source of inspiration to me throughout my study.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praises and thanks to Allah SWT, the Giver of bountiful blessings and gifts, for granting my prayer to achieve this extraordinary success and giving me the opportunity, strength, and wisdom to accomplish this great work of Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) in Irrigation and Water Resources Engineering. Completion of this research work would not have been possible without the enthusiasm, encouragement, and help I received from various parties and supporters. It has been a challenging three-year journey but enlightening one with abundant beneficial knowledge.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and regards to my main supervisor, Professor Dr. Sobri Harun, for his guidance, support, ideas, and suggestions throughout my research journey. His patience with me makes my research life more exciting, meaningful, and less painful. I consider myself fortunate to have him as my guide, as he always gives constructive comments on my research work. I am also equally grateful to my co-supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Zaitul Marlizawati Zainuddin. Without her extraordinary help as an experienced researcher in mathematical sciences background, I would not have been able to accomplish the task.

Heartfelt thanks are extended to the Universiti Putra Malaysia and the Ministry of Higher Education for financial support through Young Academic Schemes. Sincere appreciation is poured to the Department of Irrigation and Drainage (DID), Malaysian Meteorological Department (MMD), and DID Kerian, Bagan Serai, Perak, for providing the necessary data. I am also grateful to Associate Professor Ts. Dr. Rowshon Md. Kamal from Universiti Putra Malaysia for his efforts in giving me training about Global Climate Models (GCMs) and MATLAB Programming. I also would like to thank all the School of Civil Engineering staff, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), for their hospitality and cooperation whenever required throughout my Ph.D. study.

It would not have been easy for me to achieve this great work without the support from my wife, Nurseha Ramly, and my daughter, Husna Zahra Muhammad Adib, through their love and sacrifice over numerous days. Sincere gratitude goes to my father, Mohd Nasir A. Wahab, my mother, Norzana Baba, and my mother-in-law Hadijah Janal for their love, affection, encouragement, and prayers for my success. Also not forgotten my siblings, Nuradilah Mohd Nasir and Muhammad Syafiq Mohd Nasir, for always being there to cheer me up when needed. This achievement and success have no meaning without them.

Finally, many thanks to my thesis examiners, Datin Professor Ir. Dr. Lariyah Mohd Sidek from Universiti Tenaga Nasional (UNITEN) and Professor Ir. Ts. Dr. Mohd Fadhil Md. Din from UTM for having examined my thesis thoroughly, chapter by chapter. The constructive comments given based on their extensive experience have helped me improve the quality of this thesis.

## ABSTRACT

Anthropogenic climate change potentially causes water shortages over different spatial and temporal scales. Over the coming decades, the impact of climate change would be tangible, with significant increases in the global mean temperature, changes in the frequency and intensity of precipitation, and rising sea levels. These changes will adversely affect the water resource system due to the increased severity of floods, droughts, timing and amount of runoff and evaporation. The reservoir systems require continuous development and revision for optimal operations to deal with the variability of future climate change. Therefore, this study attempted to develop optimal reservoir operation under the new realities of climate change in a tropical agro-hydrological watershed in Perak, Malaysia. Reservoir inflow variability due to climate change affects hydrological processes and irrigation demands at a basin scale. Meta-learning, an ensemble machine learning technique using support vector regression (SVR) and random forest (RF) coupled with the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) multi-Global Climate Model (multi-GCM), was applied to investigate the impacts of climate change on Kurau River. Five GCMs (CanESM5, MPI-ESM1-2-LR, MRI-ESM2-0, NESM3, and NorESM2-LM) and three shared socioeconomic pathways (SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, and SSP5-8.5) were used. The climate sequences generated by the delta change factor method were applied as input to the meta-learning model to predict the streamflow (reservoir inflow) changes from 2021-2080. The model fitted reasonably well, with Kling-Gupta efficiency (KGE), Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency (NSE), percent bias (PBias), and Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) of 0.79, 0.83, 2.52, and 4.51, respectively, for the training period (1976-1995) and 0.72, 0.72, 5.85, and 6.90, respectively, for the testing period (1995-2005). Future projections of multi-GCM over the 2021-2080 under three SSPs predicted an increase in rainfall for all months except April-June (dry period or off-season), with a higher increase during the wet period (main-season). Temperature projections indicated an increase in maximum and minimum temperatures under all SSPs, with a higher increase of approximately 2.0°C under SSP5-8.5 during 2051-2080 relative to the baseline period of 1976-2005. The model predicted seasonal changes in the inflow by -7.5 to 7.1% and 1.2 to 5.9% during the off-season and the main-season, respectively. A significant inflow decrease was predicted in April and May for all SSPs due to high temperatures during the off-season, with SSP5-8.5 being the worst. The future rice irrigation demand changes for the Kerian Irrigation Scheme compared to the baseline period for two planting periods by -1.0 to 0.1% and -5.3 to -2.6% during the off-season and main-season, respectively. A significant irrigation water demand decrease is predicted in September and October for all SSPs due to increased rainfall during the main-season, with SSP5-8.5 being the most prominent. The stochastic dynamic programming is applied to determine the optimal release policies for Bukit Merah Reservoir considering future climate variability (15 combinations of different GCMs and SSPs for two future periods). The rule curve patterns varied under different scenarios and future periods. The patterns revealed that the reservoir will suffer from tremendous water stress in the far future (2051-2080) than in the near future (2021-2050) and significantly during the off-season.

## ABSTRAK

Perubahan iklim antropogenik berpotensi mengakibatkan kekurangan air pada skala ruang dan masa yang berbeza. Pada masa hadapan, kesan perubahan iklim akan semakin ketara dengan peningkatan ketara purata suhu global, perubahan kekerapan dan keamatan hujan serta peningkatan paras laut. Perubahan ini memberi kesan buruk kepada sistem sumber air disebabkan peningkatan banjir, kemarau, masa dan jumlah air larian, dan kadar sejatan. Sistem takungan memerlukan pembangunan dan semakan berterusan untuk operasi optimum bagi menangani ketidakpastian perubahan iklim. Oleh itu, kajian ini cuba membangunkan operasi takungan optimum dengan strategi penyesuaian di bawah realiti baharu perubahan iklim di kawasan tadahan air agro-hidrologi tropika di Perak, Malaysia. Kebolehubahan aliran masuk takungan akibat perubahan iklim menjejaskan proses hidrologi dan permintaan pengairan pada skala lembangan. Meta-pembelajaran, satu gabungan teknik pembelajaran mesin di antara sokongan regresi vektor (SVR) dan hutan rawak (RF) digandingkan bersama Projek Perbandingan Model Berganding CMIP6 gabungan-Model Iklim Global (GCM), telah digunakan untuk menyiasat kesan perubahan iklim terhadap Sungai Kurau. Lima GCM (CanESM5, MPI-ESM1-2-LR, MRI-ESM2-0, NESM3, dan NorESM2-LM) dan tiga laluan sosioekonomi bersama (SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, dan SSP5-8.5) telah digunakan. Urutan iklim yang dijana oleh kaedah faktor perubahan delta digunakan sebagai input kepada model meta-pembelajaran bagi meramalkan perubahan aliran masuk takungan dari 2021-2080. Model ini meramal dengan baik, dengan kecekapan Kling-Gupta (KGE), kecekapan Nash-Sutcliffe (NSE), peratusan bias (PBias), dan Ralat Purata Kuasa Dua Akar (RMSE) 0.79, 0.83, 2.52, dan 4.51, masing-masing untuk fasa latihan (1976-1995) dan 0.72, 0.72, 5.85, dan 6.90, masing-masing untuk fasa ujian (1995-2005). Unjuran masa hadapan bagi tempoh 2021-2080 di bawah semua SSP meramalkan peningkatan hujan sepanjang tahun kecuali April-Jun (tempoh kering atau musim-luar), dengan peningkatan yang ketara semasa tempoh basah (musim-utama). Unjuran suhu meramalkan peningkatan terhadap suhu maksimum dan minimum di bawah semua SSP, dengan peningkatan yang tertinggi 2.0°C di bawah SSP5-8.5 semasa tempoh 2051-2080 berbanding tempoh garis dasar 1976-2005. Model tersebut meramalkan perubahan bermusim dalam aliran masuk masing-masing sebanyak -7.5 hingga 7.1% dan antara 1.2 hingga 5.9% semasa musim-luar dan musim-utama. Penurunan aliran masuk yang ketara diramalkan pada bulan April dan Mei untuk semua SSP disebabkan oleh suhu tinggi semasa musim-luar, dengan SSP5-8.5 adalah yang terburuk. Perubahan permintaan pengairan padi masa hadapan bagi Skim Pengairan Kerian berbanding tempoh garis dasar untuk dua tempoh penanaman masing-masing sebanyak -1.0 hingga 0.1% dan -5.3 hingga -2.6% pada musim-luar dan musim-utama. Penurunan permintaan air pengairan yang ketara diramalkan pada bulan September dan Oktober untuk semua SSP disebabkan peningkatan hujan semasa musim-utama, dengan SSP5-8.5 paling menonjol. Pengaturcaraan dinamik stokastik digunakan untuk menentukan dasar pelepasan optimum untuk Takungan Bukit Merah dengan mengambil kira ketidakpastian iklim masa hadapan (15 kombinasi GCM dan SSP untuk dua tempoh masa hadapan). Corak lengkung operasi berbeza di bawah scenario SSP dan tempoh masa hadapan yang berbeza. Corak tersebut mendedahkan bahawa takungan untuk tempoh 2051-2080 akan mengalami tekanan air yang luar biasa berbanding tempoh 2021-2050 dan lebih ketara semasa di musim-luar.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>viii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xiii</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xv</b>
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xxii</b>
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xxvi</b>
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xxx</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Problem Statement	5
1.3	Research Objectives	9
1.4	Research Scope	9
1.5	Significance of the Study	11
1.6	Thesis Outline	12
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>15</b>
2.1	Introduction	15
2.2	Rice Production in Malaysia	16
2.3	Irrigation and Water Resources Management	18
2.4	Climate Variability in Agriculture Sector	20
2.5	Future Climate Assessment	21
2.5.1	Global Climate Models (GCMs)	22
2.5.2	Downscaling	26

2.5.2.1	Dynamical Downscaling	27
2.5.2.2	Statistical Downscaling	29
2.6	Hydrological Modeling	32
2.6.1	Time Series Modeling	36
2.6.2	Machine Learning Algorithms as Prediction Models	40
2.6.2.1	Machine Learning Applications in Hydrological Processes and Climate Change	40
2.6.2.2	Support Vector Regression (SVR)	44
2.6.2.3	Random Forest (RF)	45
2.6.2.4	Advances in Machine Learning	46
2.7	Rice Water Demand	48
2.7.1	Water Balance Model	48
2.7.2	Crop Evapotranspiration	50
2.7.3	Evapotranspiration Estimation	50
2.7.3.1	Reference Evapotranspiration (ET <sub>o</sub> ) Models	51
2.7.3.2	FAO-56 Penman-Monteith (FAO-PM56) Model	53
2.8	Reservoir Operation Systems	55
2.9	Reservoir Optimization Models	56
2.9.1	Classical Methods	57
2.9.1.1	Linear Programming	58
2.9.1.2	Nonlinear Programming	59
2.9.1.3	Dynamic Programming	60
2.9.1.4	Stochastic Dynamic Programming	61
2.9.2	Computational Intelligence	63
2.10	Rule Curve Operation	65
2.11	Summary of Literature Review	67
2.11.1	Climate Change Assessment using GCMs	67
2.11.2	Downscaling Methods for GCMs	68
2.11.3	Hydrological Modeling	69

	2.11.4 Irrigation Demand Estimation Methods	70
	2.11.5 Optimization Models for Reservoir Operation	71
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>73</b>
3.1	Introduction	73
3.2	Study Area: Background, Structure, and Management	74
	3.2.1 Kurau River Basin	75
	3.2.2 IADA Kerian Irrigation Scheme	77
	3.2.3 Bukit Merah Reservoir	80
	3.2.4 Climate Features	84
	3.2.5 Soil Characteristics	85
3.3	Climate Projection under Future Scenarios	85
	3.3.1 Data	87
	3.3.2 Selection of Global Climate Models (GCMs)	88
	3.3.3 Determination of Grid Point for Data Extraction	90
	3.3.4 Construction of Future Climate Series	91
3.4	Future Rainfall Projections	93
	3.4.1 Modeling Rainfall Occurrence	94
	3.4.2 Modeling Rainfall Amount	96
	3.4.3 Stochastic Model Verification	97
	3.4.4 Perturbation of Rainfall Parameters with Change Factor	97
3.5	Machine Learning Techniques for Streamflow Forecasting	98
	3.5.1 Input Datasets	100
	3.5.2 Support Vector Regression (SVR)	101
	3.5.3 Random Forest (RF)	102
	3.5.4 Ensemble Models of SVR and Random Forest	103
	3.5.5 Assessment of Model Performance Accuracy	104
3.6	Rice Irrigation Water Demand	105
	3.6.1 Water Balance for Rice Cultivation	106
	3.6.2 Effective Rainfall	107
	3.6.3 Reference Evapotranspiration (ET <sub>o</sub> )	108

3.6.4	Crop Evapotranspiration under Standard Condition	109
3.6.5	Pre-saturation Water Requirements, and Seepage and Percolation Rates	110
3.6.6	Rice Scheme Irrigation Requirement	111
3.7	Stochastic Reservoir Optimization Model	112
3.7.1	Input Datasets	115
3.7.2	State Variables Discretization	116
3.7.3	Reservoir Inflow as Stochastic Process	117
3.7.4	Objective Function	118
3.7.5	Backward Recursion of SDP and Steady-State Operating Policy	119
3.7.6	Reservoir Performance Indicators	120
3.7.6.1	Volumetric Reliability	121
3.7.6.2	Periodic Reliability	121
3.7.6.3	Resilience	121
3.7.6.4	Vulnerability	122
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>123</b>
4.1	Introduction	123
4.2	Stochastic Rainfall Generator Model	124
4.2.1	Markov chain and Gamma Distribution Parameters	124
4.2.2	Validation of Rainfall Time Series	125
4.3	Future Projection for Hydro-meteorological Variables	136
4.3.1	Future Rainfall Projections	137
4.3.2	Future Temperature Projections	142
4.3.3	Future Relative Humidity and Wind Speed Projections	150
4.4	Streamflow Predictions and Future Projections	151
4.4.1	Model Analysis and Evaluation	152
4.4.2	Projected Streamflow under Future Climate Scenarios	156
4.5	Rice Irrigation Water Demand Future Projections	161

4.5.1	Future Effective Rainfall Projections	162
4.5.2	Future Evapotranspiration (ET <sub>o</sub> ) Projections	164
4.5.3	Relationship between Temperature and Effective Rainfall with ET <sub>o</sub>	165
4.5.4	Projected Irrigation Water Demand under Future Climate Scenarios	169
4.6	Reservoir Operating Policy for Irrigation under Climate Change	174
4.6.1	Rule Curves for Reservoir Storage and Release Volumes for Irrigation Demands under Optimal Decision Considering Future Climate Scenarios	175
4.6.2	Reservoir Performance Evaluation under Climate Change Impact	185
4.6.3	Irrigation Reservoir Operation Mitigation Strategies for Extreme Events under Climate Change	190
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>195</b>
5.1	Introduction	195
5.2	Conclusions	196
5.3	Novelty and Contribution of Findings	198
5.4	Recommendations	200
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>203</b>
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>301</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Table 2.1	Summary of SSP narratives (Riahi et al., 2017)	25
Table 2.2	Statistical downscaling category, method, advantages, disadvantages	32
Table 2.3	Hydrological model characteristics	35
Table 2.4	Simulation models application for hydrological proposes	37
Table 2.5	Classical forecasting models application for hydrological proposes	38
Table 2.6	Machine learning-based models application for hydrological proposes	42
Table 2.7	Type of reference evapotranspiration estimation methods	53
Table 2.8	Classical optimization methods, advantages, and disadvantages	57
Table 2.9	Computational intelligence methods, advantages, and disadvantages	64
Table 3.1	Canals at Kerian Irrigation Scheme (Rowshon, 2006)	78
Table 3.2	Details of planting periods (Fadhil, 2018)	79
Table 3.3	Key parameters of Bukit Merah Reservoir (DID, 2021)	82
Table 3.4	Bukit Merah Reservoir storage zones and capacities (DID, 2021)	82
Table 3.5	Features of the Bukit Merah Reservoir (DID, 2021)	83
Table 3.6	List of selected rainfall stations	87
Table 3.7	List of CMIP6 models of different countries	90
Table 3.8	Atmospheric resolutions and grid points of GCMs	91
Table 3.9	Baseline and future segmented time frames	92
Table 3.10	Transition probabilities of observed rainfall estimation	95
Table 3.11	Summary of input datasets	100
Table 3.12	Model performance rating for hydrologic model	105
Table 3.13	Rice crop coefficient information (Chan & Cheong, 2001)	110

Table 3.14	Summary of input datasets	115
Table 4.1	Parameters used for estimating the occurrence and amount of rainfall	125
Table 4.2	Expected changes of mean monthly rainfall under future climate scenarios during two future periods	140
Table 4.3	Rainfall parameters statistical analysis for future scenarios (2021-2080) with percent change from baseline (1976-2005)	142
Table 4.4	Expected annual changes in temperatures (maximum and minimum) under future climate scenarios during two future periods	143
Table 4.5	Performance of machine learning algorithms for monthly streamflow prediction during the training phase	152
Table 4.6	Performance of machine learning algorithms for monthly streamflow prediction during the testing phase	152
Table 4.7	Expected changes of mean monthly effective rainfall under future climate scenarios during two future periods	163
Table 4.8	Expected changes of mean monthly $ET_o$ under future climate scenarios during two future periods	165
Table 4.9	Expected changes of mean monthly rice irrigation demand under future climate scenarios during two future periods	170
Table 4.10	Expected annual changes in irrigation water demands under future climate scenarios during two future periods	172

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Figure 2.1	The eight rice granary areas in Malaysia	16
Figure 2.2	Framework for a future impact assessment on hydrologic and water management modeling (Burek et al., 2020; IPCC, 2014)	22
Figure 2.3	Variability of projected temperature using multiple GCMs under CMIP6 (Papalexiou et al., 2020)	25
Figure 2.4	Flowchart to determine a suitable downscaling method for future climate studies (Trzaska & Schnarr, 2014)	27
Figure 2.5	Water balance model for rice field (Rowshon et al., 2003)	49
Figure 2.6	Characteristics of the hypothetical reference crop (Allen et al., 1998)	54
Figure 3.1	Framework for the study methodology	74
Figure 3.2	Location of the entire study area in Kerian, Perak	75
Figure 3.3	Meeting point of Ara river and Kurau river	76
Figure 3.4	Location of Kurau River Basin, rainfall stations, and streamflow station	77
Figure 3.5	Top view of Kerian Irrigation Scheme (Rowshon et al., 2003b)	78
Figure 3.6	Bukit Merah Reservoir (DID, 2021)	80
Figure 3.7	Shape of Bukit Merah Reservoir and its network with Merah and Kurau rivers (Sharip & Zaki, 2014)	81
Figure 3.8	Reservoir storage zones (DID, 2021)	82
Figure 3.9	Bukit Merah Reservoir hydraulic structure for spillways	83
Figure 3.10	Intake headwork structure of Bukit Merah Reservoir	84
Figure 3.11	Framework for generating synthetic climate data	86
Figure 3.12	Meteorological station of Perak State	88
Figure 3.13	Delta change method for future climate projections	92
Figure 3.14	Step-by-step for generating future rainfall using a combination Richardson-type weather generator and delta change method	94

Figure 3.15	Flowchart for streamflow projection using machine learning algorithms	99
Figure 3.16	Schematic configuration of the SVR	102
Figure 3.17	Procedure for estimating rice irrigation water demand	106
Figure 3.18	Water balance component in a rice field	107
Figure 3.19	Flowchart of SDP for reservoir operating policy	114
Figure 3.20	Notation for class intervals	116
Figure 3.21	Simplified reservoir system (Vedula & Mujumdar, 2005)	117
Figure 3.22	Time periods and stages in the backward recursion of SDP	119
Figure 4.1	Correlation between observed and model-simulated mean monthly rainfall at Station 1 (No. 4907019)	126
Figure 4.2	Correlation between observed and model-simulated mean monthly rainfall at Station 2 (No. 4908013)	126
Figure 4.3	Correlation between observed and model-simulated mean monthly rainfall at Station 3 (No. 4908018)	127
Figure 4.4	Correlation between observed and model-simulated standard deviation at Station 1 (No. 4907019)	128
Figure 4.5	Correlation between observed and model-simulated standard deviation at Station 2 (No. 4908013)	128
Figure 4.6	Correlation between observed and model-simulated standard deviation at Station 3 (No. 4908018)	129
Figure 4.7	Correlation between observed and model-simulated wet spell length at Station 1 (No. 4907019)	130
Figure 4.8	Correlation between observed and model-simulated wet spell length at Station 2 (No. 4908013)	130
Figure 4.9	Correlation between observed and model-simulated wet spell length at Station 3 (No. 4908018)	131
Figure 4.10	Correlation between observed and model-simulated dry spell length at Station 1 (No. 4907019)	131
Figure 4.11	Correlation between observed and model-simulated dry spell length at Station 2 (No. 4908013)	132
Figure 4.12	Correlation between observed and model-simulated dry spell length at Station 3 (No. 4908018)	132
Figure 4.13	Correlation between observed and model-simulated rainy days at Station 1 (No. 4907019)	133

Figure 4.14	Correlation between observed and model-simulated rainy days at Station 2 (No. 4908013)	134
Figure 4.15	Correlation between observed and model-simulated rainy days at Station 3 (No. 4908018)	134
Figure 4.16	Correlation between observed and model-simulated weekly rainfall at Station 1 (No. 4907019)	135
Figure 4.17	Correlation between observed and model-simulated weekly rainfall at Station 2 (No. 4908013)	135
Figure 4.18	Correlation between observed and model-simulated weekly rainfall at Station 3 (No. 4908018)	136
Figure 4.19	Variability distribution of downscaled multiple GCMs under SSP1-2.6 scenario with historical monthly rainfall	137
Figure 4.20	Variability distribution of downscaled multiple GCMs under SSP2-4.5 scenario with historical monthly rainfall	138
Figure 4.21	Variability distribution of downscaled multiple GCMs under SSP5-8.5 scenario with historical monthly rainfall	138
Figure 4.22	Variability distribution of downscaled ensemble mean multiple GCMs under SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, and SSP5-8.5 scenarios with historical monthly rainfall	139
Figure 4.23	Projected ensemble mean monthly rainfalls under SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, and SSP5-8.5 scenarios for 2021-2050 and 2051-2080 with respect to baseline period of 1976-2005 with mean of baseline values (line)	140
Figure 4.24	Annual rainfall trends under future climate scenarios over 2021-2080 during main-season	141
Figure 4.25	Annual rainfall trends under future climate scenarios over 2021-2080 during off-season	142
Figure 4.26	Projected mean monthly maximum temperature using multi-model under SSP scenarios for two future periods with respect to baseline	144
Figure 4.27	Projected mean monthly minimum temperature using multi-model under SSP scenarios for two future periods with respect to baseline	145
Figure 4.28	Variability distribution of downscaled multiple GCMs under SSP1-2.6 scenario with historical monthly maximum temperature	146
Figure 4.29	Variability distribution of downscaled multiple GCMs under SSP2-4.5 scenario with historical monthly maximum temperature	146

Figure 4.30	Variability distribution of downscaled multiple GCMs under SSP5-8.5 scenario with historical monthly maximum temperature	147
Figure 4.31	Variability distribution of downscaled ensemble mean multiple GCMs under SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, and SSP5-8.5 scenarios with historical monthly maximum temperature	147
Figure 4.32	Variability distribution of downscaled multiple GCMs under SSP1-2.6 scenario with historical monthly minimum temperature	148
Figure 4.33	Variability distribution of downscaled multiple GCMs under SSP2-4.5 scenario with historical monthly minimum temperature	148
Figure 4.34	Variability distribution of downscaled multiple GCMs under SSP5-8.5 scenario with historical monthly minimum temperature	149
Figure 4.35	Variability distribution of downscaled ensemble mean multiple GCMs under SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, and SSP5-8.5 scenarios with historical monthly minimum temperature	149
Figure 4.36	Projected mean monthly relative humidity using multi-model and SSP scenarios for two future periods with respect to baseline	150
Figure 4.37	Projected mean monthly wind speed using multi-model and SSP scenarios for two future periods with respect to baseline	151
Figure 4.38	Observed and predicted streamflow using SVR algorithm during training and testing phases	153
Figure 4.39	Observed and predicted streamflow using RF algorithm during training and testing phases	153
Figure 4.40	Observed and predicted streamflow using an ensemble of SVR and RF algorithms during training and testing phases	154
Figure 4.41	Variability of predicted mean monthly streamflow by SVR, RF, and meta SVR-RF models during training phase	155
Figure 4.42	Variability of predicted mean monthly streamflow by SVR, RF, and meta SVR-RF models during testing phase	156
Figure 4.43	Projected ensemble mean monthly streamflows under SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, and SSP5-8.5 scenarios for future period 2021-2080 and baseline period of 1976-2005 with mean of baseline values (line)	158
Figure 4.44	Percent of changes of projected ensemble mean monthly streamflows under SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, and SSP5-8.5	

	scenarios for future period 2021-2080 and with respect to baseline period of 1976-2005	159
Figure 4.45	Projected ensemble mean monthly streamflows and rainfalls under SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, and SSP5-8.5 scenarios for future period 2021-2080 and baseline period of 1976-2005	160
Figure 4.46	Cumulative frequency distribution curves of monthly streamflow for observed period (1976-2005) and future period (2021-2050)	161
Figure 4.47	Cumulative frequency distribution curves of monthly streamflow for observed period (1976-2005) and future period (2051-2080)	161
Figure 4.48	Projected mean monthly effective rainfall using multi-model and future climate scenarios for two future periods with respect to baseline	163
Figure 4.49	Projected mean monthly $ET_o$ using multi-model and future climate scenarios for two future periods with respect to baseline	165
Figure 4.50	Projected annual $ET_o$ using ensemble GCMs under future climate scenarios from 2021 to 2080	167
Figure 4.51	Projected annual maximum temperature using ensemble GCMs under future climate scenarios from 2021 to 2080	167
Figure 4.52	Projected annual minimum temperature using ensemble GCMs under future climate scenarios from 2021 to 2080	167
Figure 4.53	Relationship between projection mean monthly effective rainfall and $ET_o$ using multi-model and SSP scenarios for two future periods	168
Figure 4.54	Projected mean monthly irrigation water demand and effective rainfall depths using multi-model and SSP scenarios for two future periods with respect to baseline for three ISAs	171
Figure 4.55	Projected mean monthly irrigation water demands volume using multi-model under SSP scenarios for two future periods with respect to baseline for three ISAs	172
Figure 4.56	Annual irrigation demand trends under future climate scenarios over 2021-2080 during off-season	173
Figure 4.57	Annual irrigation demand trends under future climate scenarios over 2021-2080 during main-season	174
Figure 4.58	Rule curve of reservoir operating policy for the baseline period (1976-2005)	175

Figure 4.59	Irrigation water demand, optimal release, and reservoir storage volumes for the baseline period (1976-2005)	176
Figure 4.60	Rule curve of reservoir operating policy under SSP1-2.6 for the near future period (2021-2050)	177
Figure 4.61	Irrigation water demand, optimal release, and reservoir storage volumes under SSP1-2.6 for the near future period (2021-2050)	177
Figure 4.62	Rule curve of reservoir operating policy under SSP1-2.6 for the far future period (2051-2080)	178
Figure 4.63	Irrigation water demand, optimal release, and reservoir storage volumes under SSP1-2.6 for the far future period (2051-2080)	178
Figure 4.64	Rule curve of reservoir operating policy under SSP2-4.5 for the near future period (2021-2050)	179
Figure 4.65	Irrigation water demand, optimal release, and reservoir storage volumes under SSP2-4.5 for the near future period (2021-2050)	180
Figure 4.66	Rule curve of reservoir operating policy under SSP2-4.5 for the far future period (2051-2080)	180
Figure 4.67	Irrigation water demand, optimal release, and reservoir storage volumes under SSP2-4.5 for the far future period (2051-2080)	181
Figure 4.68	Rule curve of reservoir operating policy under SSP5-8.5 for the near future period (2021-2050)	182
Figure 4.69	Irrigation water demand, optimal release, and reservoir storage volumes under SSP5-8.5 for the near future period (2021-2050)	182
Figure 4.70	Rule curve of reservoir operating policy under SSP5-8.5 for the far future period (2051-2080)	183
Figure 4.71	Irrigation water demand, optimal release, and reservoir storage volumes under SSP5-8.5 for the far future period (2051-2080)	183
Figure 4.72	Comparison of rule curves of reservoir operating policy between the baseline period (1976-2005) with the future period (2021-2080) under SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, and SSP5-8.5	185
Figure 4.73	Comparison of monthly water shortages between the baseline period (1976-2005) with the future period (2021-2080) under SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, and SSP5-8.5	186

Figure 4.74	Comparison of monthly water surpluses between the baseline period (1976-2005) with the future period (2021-2080) under SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, and SSP5-8.5	187
Figure 4.75	Comparison of volumetric reliability between baseline (1975-2005) and future period (2021-2080) under SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, and SSP5-8.5	188
Figure 4.76	Comparison of periodic reliability for baseline (1975-2005) and future period (2021-2080) under SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, and SSP5-8.5	189
Figure 4.77	Comparison of resilience for baseline (1975-2005) and future period (2021-2080) under SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, and SSP5-8.5	189
Figure 4.78	Comparison of vulnerability for baseline (1975-2005) and future period (2021-2080) under SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, and SSP5-8.5	190

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AI	-	Artificial Intelligence
ANN	-	Artificial Neural Network
ANN-ELM	-	Artificial Neural Network-Extreme Machine Learning
ANN-KNN	-	Hybrid of ANN and KNN
AR	-	Autoregressive
AR4	-	Assessment Report Four
AR5	-	Fifth Assessment Report
ARIMA	-	Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average
ARMA	-	Autoregressive Moving Average
BPNN	-	Back-Propagation Neural Network
BSDP	-	Bayesian Stochastic Dynamic Programming
CART	-	Classification Regression Trees
CCLP	-	Chance Constrained Linear Programming
CI	-	Computational Intelligence
CMIP	-	Coupled Model Intercomparison Project
CMIP5	-	Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5
CMIP6	-	Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6
CNN	-	Cascade Correlation Neural Network
CO <sub>2</sub>	-	Carbon Dioxide
CRCM	-	Canadian Regional Climate Model
CSA	-	Climate-smart Agriculture
DAE	-	Deep Auto-Encoder
DAE-MLR	-	Hybrid Of DAE and MLR
DAE-SVR	-	Hybrid of DAE and SVR
DDDP	-	Discrete Differential Dynamic Programming
DID	-	Drainage and Irrigation Department
DP	-	Dynamic Programming
DPSA	-	Dynamic Programming and Successive Approximation
DSS	-	Decision Support System
DT	-	Decision Tree

EC	-	Evolutionary Computation
ECHAM	-	European Centre-Hamburg
ELM	-	Extreme Learning Machine
ENR	-	Elastic Net Regression
ESP	-	Ensemble Streamflow Prediction
FAO	-	Food and Agriculture Organization
FAR	-	First Assessment Report
FOASVR	-	Hybrid of SVR and Fruit Fly Optimization Algorithm
GA	-	Genetic Algorithm
GBDT	-	Gradient Boosting Decision Tree
GCM	-	General Circulation Model / Global Climate Model
GHG	-	Greenhouse Gases
GMDH	-	Group Method of Data Handling
GPR	-	Gaussian Process Regression
GRNN	-	General Regression Neural Network
HadRM3	-	Hadley Centre's Regional Model Version 3
HIRHAM	-	Combination HIRLAM and ECHAM
HIRLAM	-	High Resolution Limited Area Model
IADA	-	Integrated Agricultural Development Area
IADP	-	Intensive Agricultural Development Programme
IDP	-	Increment Dynamic Programming
IPCC	-	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IR 4.0	-	Industrial Revolution 4.0
ISA	-	Irrigation Services Area
KADA	-	Kemubu Agriculture Development Authority
KGE	-	Kling-Gupta Efficiency
KNN	-	K-Nearest Neighbor
LAM	-	Limited Area Model
LARS-WG	-	Long Ashton Research Station Weather Generator
LM	-	Linear Model
LP	-	Linear Programming
LR	-	Linear Regression
LSTM	-	Long Short-term Memory

LSTM-PB	-	Hybrid of LSTM and PB
M5Tree	-	M5 Model Tree
MA	-	Moving Average
MADA	-	Muda Agricultural Development Authority
MLP	-	Multilayer Perceptron
MLR	-	Multiple Linear Regression
MMD	-	Malaysian Meteorological Department
MSES	-	Modified Stacking Ensemble Strategy
NHMM	-	Nonhomogeneous Hidden Markov Model
NLP	-	Non-Linear Programming
NN	-	Neural Network
NSE	-	Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency
NWS	-	National Weather Service's
PB	-	Process-based
PBias	-	Percent Bias
PCA	-	Principle Component Analysis
PCA-MLR	-	Hybrid of PCA and MLR
PCA-SVR	-	Hybrid of PCA and SVR
RACMO	-	Dutch Regional Atmospheric Climate Model
RBF	-	Radial Basis Function
RCM	-	Regional Climate Model
RCP	-	Representative Concentration Pathway
RegCM3	-	Regional Climate Model Version 3
REMO	-	German Regional Climate Model
RF	-	Random Forest
RF-MLR	-	Hybrid of RF and MLR
RF-SVR	-	Hybrid of RF and SVR
RMSE	-	Root Mean Squared Error
SAR	-	Second Assessment Report
SDP	-	Stochastic Dynamic Programming
SDSM	-	Statistical Downscaling Model
SLP	-	Stochastic Linear Programming
SRES	-	Special Report on Emission Scenarios

SSDP	-	Sampling Stochastic Dynamic Programming
SSP	-	Shared Socioeconomic Pathway
STL	-	Seasonal-Trend Decomposition Procedure Based on Loses
SVC	-	Support Vector Classification
SVM	-	Support Vector Machine
SVR	-	Support Vector Regression
SWAT	-	Soil and Water Assessment Tool
TAR	-	Third Assessment Report
TEM	-	Theoretical Estimation Method
TSBSDP	-	Two-Stage Bayesian Stochastic Dynamic Programming
TTBSDP	-	Two-Stage and Two-Period Bayesian SDP
WEKA	-	Waikato Environment for Knowledge Analysis
XGB	-	Extreme Gradient Boosting

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$p$	-	Order of Autoregression
$d$	-	Degree of Differencing
$q$	-	Order of Moving Average
$V_{d,m}^{scen}$	-	Scenario Daily Variable
$V_{d,m}^{obs}$	-	Observed Daily Climate Variables
$P_{d,m}^{obs}$	-	Observed Daily Rainfall
$V_m^{GCMscen}$	-	Mean Monthly GCM Climate Variables
$P_m^{GCMscen}$	-	Mean Monthly GCM Rainfall
$V_m^{GCMcon}$	-	Mean Monthly GCM Climate Variables for the Control Period
$P_m^{GCMcon}$	-	Mean Monthly GCM Rainfall for the Control Period
$P_{dw}$	-	Probability of Wet Day Proceeded by Dry Day
$P_{ww}$	-	Probability of Wet Day Proceeded by Wet Day
$P_s$	-	Rainfall Occurrence
$U_t$	-	Random Number
$P_c$	-	Critical Transition Probability
$P_{dd}$	-	Probabilities for Dry Day Following Dry Day
$P_{wd}$	-	Probabilities for Dry Day Following Wet Day
$N_{dd}$	-	Number of Dry Days Followed by Dry Days
$N_{dw}$	-	Number of Rain Days Followed by Dry Days
$N_{wd}$	-	Number of Dry Days Followed by Rain Days
$N_{ww}$	-	Number of Rain Days Followed by Rain Days
$N_d$	-	Number of Total Dry Day
$N_w$	-	Number of Total Rain Days
$P_{ij}$	-	Transition Probabilities
$f(x)$	-	Probability Density Function of the Gamma Distribution
$\alpha$	-	Shape Parameter
$\beta$	-	Scale Parameter
$\Gamma(\alpha)$	-	Gamma Function
$R^{FUT}$	-	Statistical Property of Observed Rainfall

$R^{OBS}$	-	Statistical Property of Future Rainfall Scenarios
$R^{GCM.FUT}$	-	Statistical Property of GCM Generated for Future Scenarios
$R^{GCM.CTS}$	-	Statistical Property of GCM Generated for Baseline
$\varepsilon$	-	Insensitive Loss Function
$w, b$	-	Coefficient of the Weight Vector
$x$	-	Input Vector
$\Phi(x)$	-	Feature Space Vector
$C$	-	Penalty Parameter
$\xi_i, \xi_i^*$	-	Slack Variables
$K(x, x_i)$	-	Kernel Function
$\alpha_i, \alpha_i^*$	-	Lagrange Multipliers
$B$	-	Bias
$\mu$	-	Bandwidth of Kernel Function
$\theta$	-	Distributed Random Variables
$h(x, \theta)$	-	Decision Tree
$N$	-	Number of Regression Trees
$y$	-	Average Probabilities Voting
$P$	-	Probability
$r$	-	Correlation Coefficient
$\sigma^{obs}$	-	Standard Deviation of Observed Flow
$\sigma^{ML}$	-	Standard Deviation of Generated Flow
$Q_i^{obs}$	-	Observed Flow
$Q_i^{ML}$	-	Generated Flow
$\overline{Q^{obs}}$	-	Mean of Observed Flow
$\overline{Q^{ML}}$	-	Mean of Generated Flow
$n$	-	Total Number of Flow Observations
$WD$	-	Water Depth
$WD_{max}$	-	Maximum Water Depth
$WD_{min}$	-	Minimum Water Depth
$IR$	-	Irrigation Supply
$ER$	-	Effective Rainfall
$ET$	-	Evapotranspiration
$DR$	-	Drainage

$RF$	-	Rainfall
$ET_o$	-	Reference Evapotranspiration
$R_n$	-	Net Radiation
$G$	-	Soil Heat Flux Density
$T$	-	Air Temperature
$u_2$	-	Wind Speed
$e_s - e_a$	-	Saturation Vapor Pressure Deficit
$\Delta$	-	Slope Vapor Pressure Curve
$\gamma$	-	Psychrometric Constant
$R_s$	-	Solar Radiation
$k_{R_s}$	-	Adjustment Coefficient for Solar Radiation
$T_{max}$	-	Mean Monthly Maximum Air Temperature
$T_{min}$	-	Mean Monthly Minimum Air Temperature
$R_a$	-	Extraterrestrial Radiation
$ET_c$	-	Crop Evapotranspiration
$K_c$	-	Crop Coefficient
$P_{SAT}$	-	Water Requirement during Pre-saturation
$IR_{LS}$	-	Water Requirement to Saturate the Soil
$EP_S$	-	Evaporation Loss from Saturated Soil Surface
$SP$	-	Seepage and Percolation Loss
$IE$	-	Irrigation Efficiency
$k$	-	Irrigation Service Area (ISA) Number
$RP$	-	Ponding Water Depth
$K$	-	Evaporation Coefficient
$Q$	-	Inflow
$R_{kilt}$	-	Reservoir Release
$E$	-	Evaporation
$S_{kt}$	-	Initial Reservoir Storage Capacity
$S_{l\ t+1}$	-	Final Reservoir Storage Capacity
$B_{kilt}$	-	Reservoir System Performance Measure
$D_T$	-	Target Demand
$RL_v$	-	Volumetric reliability
$R_{opt}$	-	Optimum Release Volume from the Reservoir

$D_{irr}$	-	Rice Irrigation Water Demand Volume
$RL_p$	-	Periodic Reliability
$n_f$	-	Total Number of Time Periods Meeting Irrigation Demand
$N$	-	Total Number of Time Periods
$Rs$	-	Resilience
$N_m$	-	Total Number of Times Reservoir Release Meets Irrigation Demand follows Water Shortage
$N_s$	-	Total Number of Time Periods Suffered from Water Shortage
$V$	-	Vulnerability
$m$	-	Deficit Events for Reservoir Release
$M$	-	Total Number of Deficit Events for Reservoir Release

## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
Appendix A	Description of the General Circulation Model	243
Appendix B	Total Monthly Observed Rainfall Data	244
Appendix C	Long-term Rainfall Projections for Each GCM and Multi-GCM Ensemble under SSP Scenarios of CMIP6	245
Appendix D	Long-term Streamflow Projections for Each GCM and Multi-GCM Ensemble under SSP Scenarios of CMIP6 using Ensemble Machine Learning Algorithms (Meta SVR-RF)	247
Appendix E	Long-term Rice Irrigation Demand Projections for Each GCM and Multi-GCM Ensemble under SSP Scenarios of CMIP6 in Water Depth Unit, mm	249
Appendix F	Long-term Rice Irrigation Demand Projections for Each GCM and Multi-GCM Ensemble under SSP Scenarios of CMIP6 in Volume Unit, Mm <sup>3</sup>	251
Appendix G	Streamflow Transition Probability Matrices under Ten Class Intervals	253
Appendix H	Generated Minimum Squared Deviations between Reservoir Releases and Rice Irrigation Water Demand Associated with Various Discrete Storage States	257
Appendix I	Generated Optimal Releases for Bukit Merah Reservoir Associated with Various Discrete Storage States	279

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

Water is an essential natural resource and a fundamental need for the survival of every living thing on earth. All economic sectors need water, where productions are impossible without it, especially agriculture, industry, and energy (cooling in power plants). In recent years, struggling for water to satisfy the daily requirements of the endless burgeoning population, increasing demands of agriculture and industry, and the worsening impacts of climate change have reached extreme threats to the point of the physical scarcity of resources (Dolan et al., 2021; Kummu et al., 2016). According to global-scale water scarcity projection studies by United Nations World Water Development Report, over 4 billion of the population worldwide is likely to face tremendous water stress and scarcity by 2050 (Boretti & Rosa, 2019). Climate change is expected to alter weather patterns, disrupting water availability, rising sea levels, worsening soil conditions and crop diseases, and significantly increasing greenhouse gas emissions (Mikhaylov et al., 2020).

Irrigation systems are vital for economic advancement, food security enhancement, and poverty alleviation. Nevertheless, existing irrigation management systems are criticized for low efficiency and poor performance, causing massive water losses that lead to severe water shortages. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations stressed that the agricultural sector remains the leading consumer of water in the world for irrigation supply, contributing to approximately three-quarters of total water withdrawals (FAO, 2010). Crop cultivation acutely relies on plant roots absorbing water from the soil and conveying it to the leaves to restore water losses caused by the transpiration of the photosynthesis process. Unfortunately, agriculture is often hampered due to randomness, uncertainty, and insufficient rainfall, consequently requiring proper irrigation systems to secure food security. The

agricultural sectors will encounter the great challenge of increasing future food demands with limited water resources. The situation is exacerbated when a massive amount of water is also decisively desired for environmental concerns, including aquatic life, wildlife refuges, forestry, riparian habitats, recreation, and inland navigation. Furthermore, Tripathi et al., 2018 reported that agricultural yield production needs to be enhanced by 70% in conjunction with the global population boost by 2025.

Rice is the daily staple food and a rich source of carbohydrates and protein for over half the population globally, with more than 90% of the rice in the world being produced and consumed in Asia (Fukagawa & Ziska, 2019). In Malaysia, the scenario shows that rice production is not possible to accommodate the rapid increase in the population (Munusam et al., 2017). In order to meet the demand, approximately half a billion Ringgit Malaysia has been allocated annually to import rice from abroad (Sarwar & Khanif, 2005). According to Alam et al. (2010), Malaysia has approximately 300,000 rice farmers covering more than 322,000 hectares of rice granaries under the irrigation scheme. Rice cultivation in Malaysia is implemented twice a year under irrigation schemes during the off-season (first season) and main-season (second season), also known as double cropping practice. Water is the main driving factor for rice production, with irrigation and drainage facilities being the keys for rice-growing areas (Akinbile et al., 2011). Rice cultivation systems in Malaysia consume a massive volume of freshwater, and the irrigation requirement water depth is around 100 to 150 cm (Amin et al., 2011).

Despite the fact that Malaysia is bestowed with an average annual rainfall of 2500 mm to 3000 mm with copious water resources that potentially cause floods, climate change coupled with rising population, industrialization and urbanization acceleration, and pollution would cause the opposite impacts (Hamidon et al., 2015; Rashid et al., 2021). The concept of drought resilience and water security has gained attention due to ever-increasing water demand. Zhang et al. (2021) reported that the world is experiencing a global water crisis due to the worsening water scarcity aggravated by increased population and economic activities, and inefficient water resource management. Cook & Bakker (2012) stated that water security is an

integrative approach to highlight good governance issues by promising realistic approaches to water management suitable for the current scenario. Heat and drought are prominent for impacting the growth and productivity of crops by declining and varying crop yields and quality (Fahad et al., 2017). Due to climate change, changes in rainfall and evaporation are thought to augment irrigation requirements globally (Konapala et al., 2020). Many studies related to agricultural water demand emphasized that climate change would alter global and regional water allocation for irrigation (Calzadilla et al., 2013; Chaturvedi et al., 2015; Guo & Shen, 2016; Iglesias & Garrote, 2015; Malhi et al., 2021; Rowshon et al., 2019). Sufficient irrigation water availability can be guaranteed by using appropriate techniques and models to estimate future irrigation requirements based on future climate trends. The future irrigation water requirements under appropriate irrigation management systems potentially maximize production and minimize the adverse impact on the environment (Hamidon et al., 2015; Taghvaeian et al., 2020). Good irrigation management practices will apply water at the right time with the right quantity to ensure sustainable water availability.

Climate change has crept into every continent of the world by disrupting national economies and lives, costing people, communities, and countries (IPCC, 2013). Many studies have revealed that the phenomena would alter future climate conditions, in which extreme drought and severe flood events would turn erratic and more frequent. Agriculture is sensitive to climatic conditions such as variations in rainfall, average temperature, solar radiation, and atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration (Mulla et al., 2020; Raza et al., 2019). Large-scale rice cultivation, which requires massive amounts of water, would be very vulnerable to water stress caused by heat waves under global warming. Hydrologic processes are highly at-risk due to the impact of climate forcing in the Southeast Asia regions, of which Malaysia is a branch of the continent (Tan et al., 2019). Global warming would increase by at least more than 50%, which exceeds 1.5 °C although under the lowest greenhouse gas emission scenario, impacting the evapotranspiration rates and causing high demand for irrigation supplies, exacerbated by the changes in rainfall pattern, intensity, and frequency of extreme events during the northeast monsoon and rainfall intensity during the southwest monsoon. (IPCC, 2022). Therefore, adaptation strategies for water resource systems at the basin scale against climate risks have become essential. Integrated hydrological assessment with water availability, resilience, and risk under

current and future climate scenarios, potentially enhancing water resource availability and delivery and inspiring in modeling water resource scenarios.

In Malaysia, rice cultivation has the highest volume of annual irrigation water releases to fulfill the demand for eight granary schemes (210500 ha), mini-granary (29500 ha), and non-granary schemes (100633 ha) (Toriman & Mokhtar, 2012); unfortunately, due to low efficiency, and poor management and performance, it has been criticized for causing too much water wastage (Akinbile et al., 2011). These issues are strongly linked with uncertainty in water resource management, exacerbated by the impacts of climate change. Moreover, continuous measurement of river flow discharge is problematic to execute, expensive, and time-consuming. Therefore, improving the water allocation and delivery through predictions and simulations of river water flow changes within a catchment by incorporating the uncertainty in available water resources and crop irrigation requirements is urged, as has been done by Adnan et al. (2021), Bayesteh & Azari (2021), Khosravi et al. (2021) and Jia et al. (2022). Notwithstanding, the imbalance often occurs between the irrigation demand in the irrigation scheme and the water supply from the basin, resulting in either water wastage during low demand or water shortage during high demand (Ismail et al., 2020). Therefore the role of the reservoir or dam has become crucial to meet the water demand as it is the pillar in the management of water resources of a river basin.

The International Commission on Large Dams (ICOLD) recorded that a single reservoir (dam) built in the world acts to store and supply water for agricultural irrigation (48%), hydropower generation (20%), industrial and domestic consumption (13%), flood mitigation (9%), recreation (5%), and navigation (5%) (Lee et al., 2018). Additionally, about 17% of the remaining dams are built to execute multi-objectives to fulfill the demand for more than two of these purposes. Water supply from reservoirs is the primary key contributing to the sustainability of life through satisfying water demand in each sector, especially agriculture (rice). Reservoirs play an essential role in storing available water surplus obtained during the wet period for use during low water availability during the dry period to ensure sustainable and uniform crop production. Due to the increasing demand for future food, the expansion of irrigated agricultural land is essential, urging further reservoir construction (Nüsser & Baghel,

2017); however, mismanagement and climate change would potentially harm the environment and its utility for human purposes (Guo et al., 2021). Downstream hydrologic infrastructure and its operation are vulnerable to an increase in the frequency of extreme weather and changes in reservoir inflow patterns triggered by climate change (Ehsani et al., 2017). The performance of reservoir systems usually relies upon the operational decisions related to storage, release, and demand volumes (Chadwick et al., 2021). Water resource management which covers the water availability from the basin and reservoir, irrigation demand, and climatic parameters, needs to be thoroughly studied to project best practices to balance future irrigation supply and demand for the scheme. Accordingly, a quantitative assessment of various water management elements needs to be integrated under temporal and spatial variations of the climate change scenarios. The optimization solution for reservoir operation can be achieved by establishing a set of optimal release decisions for consecutive periods to maximize water management objectives (Dobson et al., 2019).

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

Rice cultivation is a crop that requires a sufficient volume of water to ensure healthy and uniform growth. Despite that, rainfall is characterized by inconsistent distribution and volatile intensity, consequently leading to significant problems affecting crop productivity and yields under unideal water depth on the field (Firdaus et al., 2020). Supplemental and full irrigation is needed during the off-season and main-season to ensure growth and maximize rice yields. Many tropical regions depend on the reservoir as a reliable source of water supply to fulfill rice water demand and for a sustainable environment through irrigation structures (Nam et al., 2015). Bukit Merah Reservoir is the primary water source for the large-scale rice granary of the Kerian Irrigation Scheme. The rice scheme is one of the eight main granaries in Malaysia, contributing to approximately 24000 hectares of net rice area (Karim et al., 2004; Vaghefi et al., 2016). Water coming from Kurau River Basin is stored and released from the reservoir according to the decision of the operator. Reservoir inflows mainly depend on the fluctuations of stream discharges from river basins, the driving factor for sustainable rice production, which varies significantly during the dry and

wet periods compounded by the influence of global climate change. The impact of climate change significantly alters the hydrological processes, directly threatening rice production, which is related to food security in Malaysia (Firdaus et al., 2020). The rising global temperature and change in rainfall patterns likewise affect crop evapotranspiration, irrigation demand, and shrinking rice yield (Houma et al., 2021; Rowshon et al., 2019). In recent literature, impact assessment studies related to climate change have highlighted the sensitivity of water resources to climatic variations. Global climate change would alter the streamflow fluctuations, reservoir levels, groundwater recharge, soil moisture, and crop irrigation demands disturbing the existing ecosystem and hydrological balance (Chan et al., 2021).

One of the major challenges in adapting to the impact of climate change on managing water resources is the lack of climate scenarios at a local scale. To develop adaptation strategies to climate risk, the projection of climate variables for assessing the risk at the local scale or basin scale has become a necessary approach. However, the drawback arises from the following reasons; (1) projected climate information from the Global Climate Models (GCMs) cannot be directly used due to the large resolution for local application, and (2) GCMs do not simulate the ultimate variable of interest to decision-makers such as streamflow, reference evapotranspiration ( $ET_0$ ), and irrigation requirement directly (Rummukainen, 2010). Obtaining climate projections at fine spatial (local) scales involves a downscaling procedure. Downscaling can be achieved using different techniques based on spatial and temporal considerations for the hydro-meteorological variables. Weather generators are stochastic models under statistical methods used for generating hydro-meteorological parameters for a local scale by referring to historical data series as a baseline (Kilsby et al., 2007). The stochastic nature of the model is receiving attention in water resources-related applications such as agriculture to generate long daily synthetic data such as rainfall as input parameters since it is always related to the randomness of nature (Verdin et al., 2018). Most researchers suggested that the stochastic projection of more than one climate model (GCMs) and ensemble modeling is necessary to provide insights into model uncertainties and develop risk management strategies (Adib et al., 2020; Hamed et al., 2022; Houma et al., 2021; Kumar et al., 2014; Rowshon et al., 2019). These valuable remarks are well established for the climate projection based on historical and GCM-based extracted climate data, and it is now a

well-established technique for assessing climate change impacts. Therefore, applying the stochastic downscaling model is appropriate for assessing the impact of climate change under different new shared socioeconomic pathways (SSPs) scenarios of Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6).

Water stored and released from the Bukit Merah Reservoir, mainly used to irrigate Kerian Irrigation Scheme, is regulated by the decision of the operators. However, reservoir inflow influences the reservoir function, which primarily depends on the entering streamflow of the Kurau River fluctuation. The streamflow prediction and simulation processes are not easy tasks due to the limitations and benefits of each existing model. Machine learning algorithms are arguably the most relevant current development for hydrology in line with Industrial Revolution 4.0 (IR 4.0). The ability to self-learn from previous events and respond to nonlinear physical processes to make accurate predictions based on minimum data and mathematical equations has received significant attention among researchers in the last few years (Hussain & Khan, 2020). Support Vector Regression (SVR) and Random Forest (RF) are two machine learning models the most applied in dealing with hydrological processes, which have proven to perform well as a prediction model (Hussain & Khan, 2020; Pham et al., 2021; Tongal & Booij, 2018). However, based on the literature review, SVR and RF models still need to be improved to reduce errors in predicting peak flows (Wu et al., 2014) and low flows (Li et al., 2019), respectively. Therefore, it will be a good opportunity to fill this gap to handle the imbalance of predicted output of these individual machine learning models to reduce the error by applying the ensembling method to form meta-learning.

The release from the Bukit Merah Reservoir system requires an optimal operation to supply sustainable irrigation water over a long-term period while at the same time considering future climate change impacts. The future impact of climate change potentially increases the risk in the reservoir operation and management (Yasarer & Sturm, 2016). Hence, the reservoir systems in Malaysia require more attention to integrate the operation and management of the reservoir system with the climate change issues. Release decisions from the reservoir for irrigation management are typically performed at specific time intervals such as daily, weekly, every ten days,

or monthly (Bras et al., 1983). Operationally, optimal operation scheduling is crucial in water resource management, especially for rice field irrigation, where an effective method is needed to achieve the goal. The appropriate approach to allocation policies of irrigation water requires a dynamic sequential decision (Singh et al., 2016). Furthermore, in order to increase the reality and reliability of the model, the uncertainty of the water resource system must be addressed in the management. As deterministic models ignore uncertainties, applying them to problems in the real world is often difficult. Stochastic models are more related to principles of probability theory to consider uncertainty. Markov process models can deal with the characteristics of random variables such as rainfall and streamflow based on transition probabilities relating past information to a future occurrence of phenomena (Hossain & El-shafie, 2013). For this purpose, the Stochastic Dynamic Programming (SDP) is the best selection, with the capability of representing the reality of the parameters included in the reservoir system, making it qualify to determine the optimal release policy for the reservoir and fully represent the risks associated with release decision under randomized environments.

In summary, this research presumes that future climate forcings may contribute additional constraints on rice production due to the uncertainty in rainfall and irrigation water supplies, which is likely exacerbated by future population rises. Climate change eventually impacts the streamflow discharge or reservoir storage level, which would lead to water stress and scarcity during the dry season. Therefore, adaptive solutions for satisfying irrigation requirements for rice production are mandatory under the likely evolving future climate condition. Feedback release policies based on SDP combined with machine learning techniques are yet to be explored for Bukit Merah Reservoir, particularly to be adaptive for the future hydrological environment and irrigation water demand predicted based on GCMs and SSP scenarios of CMIP6. This idea highlights the novelty of this research; it will establish a new form of adaptive reservoir operation.

### **1.3 Research Objectives**

This study aims to develop an optimal operating policy for reservoir operation with adaptation strategies under new realities of future climate variability using a combination of statistical downscaling model (SDSM), machine learning, and stochastic dynamic programming (SDP) on the hydrological regimes of Bukit Merah Reservoir. The goal can be achieved with the following objectives:

1. To generate future hydro-meteorological variables of multi-GCM and SSP scenarios under CMIP6 using a statistical downscaling approach.
2. To predict and project the monthly streamflow of Kurau River using an ensemble of machine learning algorithms coupled with CMIP6 multi-GCM.
3. To assess the rice water requirement for the Kerian Irrigation Scheme considering future climate change scenarios of CMIP6.
4. To develop an optimal operating model for the Bukit Merah Reservoir using SDP for best management practices of water resources under future uncertainties.

### **1.4 Research Scope**

The scope of the research is focused on developing an appropriate reservoir operation system that derives a monthly optimal reservoir operating policy to fulfill water requirements for a large-scale rice irrigation scheme considering future climate change impacts and the uncertainties of input arising from the random nature of the reservoir inflows. The optimal irrigation and reservoir operations model are developed solely based on empirical models, making the model entirely dependent on mathematical equations and algorithms without the involvement of watershed physiographic features. The development under the climate change limit for 60 years, from 2021 to 2080, requires a new model generation for operations beyond this year. Despite that, in the case climate change is neglected, the rule curve pattern developed based on historical data could be considered for continued use as the optimal operation developed based on the stochastic model as it considers the streamflow or reservoir inflow uncertainties. However, it is always recommended to keep revising the

reservoir operation rule curve for better water security for irrigation. The list of works that led to the model development and analysis are as follows:

- Collection of relevant information on the historical, operation, and maintenance of the reservoir and rice schemes.
- Collection of observed hydro-meteorological data from multiple stations within the study area and watershed.
- Downloading and extraction of multiple GCMs and SSP scenarios under CMIP6 data from .nc file format to .mat file using MATLAB Programme.
- Detailed study and selection of GCMs downscaling techniques and predictor variables suitable for the study purpose.
- Downscaling the climate variables through a specified downscaling domain with coordinates.
- Evaluation of the performance of machine learning techniques (SVR and RF) for predicting monthly streamflow for Kurau River.
- Selection of best ensemble technique for the machine learning algorithms to improve their prediction performance for monthly streamflow.
- Projection of future streamflow of Kurau River using meta-learning considering the effects of climate change on hydrology using multiple GCMs.
- Evaluation of the projected streamflow of Kurau River under each different future SSP scenario (SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, and SSP5-8.5) of ensemble GCM.
- Projection of future rice irrigation demand of Kerian Irrigation Scheme using multiple GCMs and SSP scenarios under CMIP6.
- Evaluation of the projected rice irrigation demand of the Kerian Irrigation Scheme under each different future SSP scenario (SSP1-2.6, SSP2-4.5, and SSP5-8.5) of ensemble GCM.
- Development of a stochastic optimization model based on dynamic programming (DP) in order to produce an optimal reservoir operating policy for the Bukit Merah Reservoir system with the objective of minimizing the sum of square deviations between reservoir releases and rice irrigation water demand.
- Derivation of an optimal reservoir operation policy using the SDP model that takes into account future climate change impacts.

- Comparison of optimal operational rule curve results obtained among different future scenarios as well as the baseline period for evaluation of the effectiveness of optimal operation policies based on performance criteria.

## **1.5 Significance of the Study**

The optimal operation and management of the reservoir have been an active area of water research for years. Numerous techniques and algorithms have been explored for reservoir operation by incorporating uncertainties due to the climate variability and stochastic nature of hydrological processes. This study focused on uncertainty in reservoir operation, considering the impact of future climate change to achieve optimal use of reservoir storage to meet irrigation demand for rice fields. Most rice farming systems in Malaysia depend primarily on river water, which is often inadequate. Hence, reservoirs become a promising tool to store river water and mitigate water scarcity, provided that rational operating techniques ensure adequate water provision for irrigation purposes. In reservoir systems, reservoir inflow possesses random behavior called stochastic processes, making it difficult to mimic the flow patterns perfectly.

Most researchers involved in hydrological modeling will choose physical-based models over empirical ones. However, the physical-based models were found to consume a lot of input data, costly, and time-consuming. Therefore, the empirical approach will be adopted to overcome the drawback. Machine learning with self-learn from previous events and responding to nonlinear physical processes to make accurate predictions based on minimum data and mathematical equations have received significant attention among hydrologists in the last few years. Although machine learning techniques are not input data demanding, it is able to perform well by using unique mathematical algorithms. In order to enhance the prediction output by machine learning techniques, several machine learning algorithms are recommended to be evaluated and ensembled. The selection of a good inflow prediction model enables it to project the future reservoir inflow considering climate change impact with minimum error while reducing uncertainties. These inflow uncertainties will be further

considered in the reservoir operation model using the stochastic dynamic operation to reduce the decision risks. This model, coupled with multiple GCMs with three levels of SSP scenarios of CMIP6, will be beneficial for future planning to conserve water and ensure the resilience of the reservoir to cope with the water demands of large rice irrigation schemes.

The outcome of the study will support the Government's food security measures and rural development programs [National Key Economic Area (NKEA) on agriculture] with Adaptation and Mitigation Strategies and Policy Options in Paddy Production for future planning in Malaysia. The study will also provide valuable guidelines for developing conjunctive water use practices and water-saving technologies under uncertainty associated with hydrological phenomena and become important input for Malaysia Dam Safety Management Guidelines (MyDAMS) on the reservoir operation for irrigation. Moreover, this study will also contribute to United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDG)s, such as (1) SDG goal 12, to achieve the target of the sustainable management and efficient use of natural resources, and (2) SDG goal 13, to strengthen resilience and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards and natural disasters in all countries.

## **1.6 Thesis Outline**

The thesis is organized into five chapters. Chapter 1 is the introduction, Chapter 2 is the literature review, Chapter 3 is the research methodology, Chapter 4 is the results and discussions, and Chapter 5 is the conclusion.

Chapter 1 clarifies an overview of the significance of water in rice production, climate change impacts, streamflow prediction, and reservoir operation. This section also highlights the problem faced by the agriculture sector and water resources management, and the necessity for the sector to establish an optimal solution for irrigation release policy. The aim and direction of the study and its contribution are also stated in this chapter.

Chapter 2 presents a relevant literature review of the overview of rice cultivation and climate scenarios, techniques, and approaches related to the study. It includes a detailed discussion of irrigation and water resources management, downscaling methods for GCMs, hydrological modeling, rice water demand, and reservoir operation and management systems. This chapter also further reviews various optimization models suitable for developing irrigation release policies for agricultural purposes.

Chapter 3 explains the methodology with background information on the study area, data collection, and a detailed explanation of the methods used to downscale GCMs data, determination of future hydro-meteorological data projection, models applied to predict and project the reservoir inflow, estimation of rice irrigation demands, and development of optimal reservoir operating policy together with the option in adaptation strategies.

Chapter 4 reveals the study results and discussions involving downscaled hydro-meteorological parameters, the reservoir inflow forecasting models, rice water demand, and the rule curves of the optimal operating policy of the reservoir. The outcomes will help farmers and water authorities implement irrigation water release from Bukit Merah Reservoir, considering the uncertainties in reservoir inflow and future climate change impact under three levels of SSP scenarios of CMIP6.

Chapter 5 concludes the study by summarizing the entire research work, emphasizing the conclusion of each research objective, its novelty and contribution, and methodological limitation with recommendations for future research improvement.

## REFERENCES

- Abbasi, M., Farokhnia, A., Bahreinimotlagh, M., & Roozbahani, R. (2020). A hybrid of Random Forest and Deep Auto-Encoder with support vector regression methods for accuracy improvement and uncertainty reduction of long-term streamflow prediction. *Journal of Hydrology*, *596*, 125717.
- Abdulkareem, J. H., Pradhan, B., Sulaiman, W. N. A., & Jamil, N. R. (2018). Review of studies on hydrological modelling in Malaysia. *Modeling Earth Systems and Environment*, *4*(4), 1577–1605.
- Abudu, S., Cui, C. L., King, J. P., & Abudukadeer, K. (2010). Comparison of performance of statistical models in forecasting monthly streamflow of Kizil River, China. *Water Science and Engineering*, *3*(3), 269–281.
- Acharya, A., & Ryu, J. H. (2014). Simple Method for Streamflow Disaggregation. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, *19*(3), 509–519.
- Adib, M. N. M., Rowshon, M. K., Mojid, M. A., & Habibu, I. (2020). Projected streamflow in the Kurau River Basin of Western Malaysia under future climate scenarios. *Scientific Reports*, *10*(1), 8336.
- Adnan, R. M., Jaafari, A., Mohanavelu, A., Kisi, O., & Elbeltagi, A. (2021). Novel ensemble forecasting of streamflow using locally weighted learning algorithm. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *13*(11), 5877.
- Ahmad, A., El-Shafie, A., Mohd Razali, S. F., & Mohamad, Z. S. (2014). Reservoir optimization in water resources: A review. *Water Resources Management*, *28*(11), 3391–3405.
- Ahmed, I., & Lansey, K. E. (2001). Optimal operation of multi-reservoir systems under uncertainty. *Bridging the Gap: Meeting the World's Water and Environmental Resources Challenges - Proceedings of the World Water and Environmental Resources Congress 2001*.
- Akinbile, C. O., Abd El-Lat, K. M., Abdullah, R., & Yusoff, M. S. (2011). Rice production and water use efficiency for self-sufficiency in Malaysia: A review. *Trends in Applied Sciences Research*, *6*(10), 1127–1140.
- Aksoy, H. (2000). Use of gamma distribution in hydrological analysis. *Turkish Journal of Engineering and Environmental Sciences*, *24*(6), 419–428.

- Al-Juboori, A. M. (2019). Generating Monthly Stream Flow Using Nearest River Data: Assessing Different Trees Models. *Water Resources Management*, 33(9), 3257–3270.
- Alam, M. J., Meah, M. A., & Noor, M. S. (2011). Numerical modeling of ground water flow and the effect of boundary conditions for the Hsieh aquifer. *Asian Journal of Mathematics & Statistics*, 4(1), 33–44.
- Alam, M. M., Siwar, C., Talib, B., Molla, R. I., & Toriman, M. E. (2010). Socioeconomic impacts of climatic change on paddy cultivation: An empirical investigation in Malaysia. *Journal of Knowledge Globalization*, 3(32), 71–84.
- Alexandris, S., & Kerkides, P. (2003). New empirical formula for hourly estimations of reference evapotranspiration. *Agricultural Water Management*, 60(3), 157–180.
- Ali Ahmadi, M., Zendehboudi, S., Lohi, A., Elkamel, A., & Chatzis, I. (2013). Reservoir permeability prediction by neural networks combined with hybrid genetic algorithm and particle swarm optimization. *Geophysical Prospecting*, 61(3), 582–598.
- Ali, M., & Prasad, R. (2019). Significant wave height forecasting via an extreme learning machine model integrated with improved complete ensemble empirical mode decomposition. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 104, 281–295.
- Allen, R. B., & Bridgeman, S. G. (1986). Dynamic programming in hydropower scheduling. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, 112(3), 339–353.
- Allen, R. G., Pereira, L. S., Raes, D., & Smith, M. (1998). Crop evapotranspiration: Guidelines for computing crop water requirements. In *FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 56*. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO).
- Amin, M. S. M., Rowshon, M. K., & Aimrun, W. (2011). Paddy water management for precision farming of rice. In Uhlig, U. (Ed.), *Current Issues of Water Management* (pp. 107–142). InTech.
- Anandhi, A., Frei, A., Pierson, D. C., Schneiderman, E. M., Zion, M. S., Lounsbury, D., & Matonse, A. H. (2011). Examination of change factor methodologies for climate change impact assessment. *Water Resources Research*, 47(3), W03501.

- Antonopoulos, V. Z., & Antonopoulos, A. V. (2018). Evaluation of different methods to estimate monthly reference evapotranspiration in a Mediterranean area. *Water Utility Journal*, 18(2002), 61–77.
- Ardabili, S., Mosavi, A., Dehghani, M., & Várkonyi-Kóczy, A. R. (2020). Deep learning and machine learning in hydrological processes climate change and earth systems a systematic review. *Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems*, 101, 52–62.
- Ardabili, S., Mosavi, A., & Várkonyi-Kóczy, A. R. (2020). Advances in machine learning modeling reviewing hybrid and ensemble methods. *Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems*, 101, 215–227.
- Arnold, J. G., Moriasi, D. N., Gassman, P. W., Abbaspour, K. C., White, M. J., Srinivasan, R., Santhi, C., Harmel, R. D., van Griensven, A., Van Liew, M. W., Kannan, N., & Jha, M. K. (2012). SWAT: Model use, calibration, and validation. *Asabe*, 55(4), 1491–1508.
- Arunkumar, S., & Yeh, W. W.-G. (1973). *Probabilistic Models in the Design and Operation of a Multi-Purpose Reservoir System*. California: California Water Resources Center, University of California Davis, California.
- Assiri, A. S., Nazir, S., & Velastin, S. A. (2020). Breast tumor classification using an ensemble machine learning method. *Journal of Imaging*, 6(6), 39.
- Azwan, M., Zawawi, M., & Puasa, Z. (2010). Determination of water requirement in a paddy field at Seberang Perak rice cultivation area. *The Institution of Engineers, Malaysia*, 71(4), 10.
- Bakar, M. A. A., Ariff, N. M., Jemain, A. A., & Nadzir, M. S. M. (2020). Cluster analysis of hourly rainfalls using storm indices in Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 25(7), 05020011.
- Bala, B. K., Alias, E. F., Arshad, F. M., Noh, K. M., & Hadi, A. H. A. (2014). Modelling of food security in Malaysia. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, 47, 152–164.
- Balasundaram, S., & Gupta, D. (2014). Lagrangian support vector regression via unconstrained convex minimization. *Neural Networks*, 51, 67–79.
- Balve, P., & Patel, J. N. (2016). Optimal reservoir operation policy using fuzzy linear programming. *International Journal of Advances in Mechanical and Civil Engineering*, 3(4), 77–80.

- Banihabib, M. E., Zahraei, A., & Eslamian, S. (2017). Dynamic programming model for the system of a non-uniform deficit irrigation and a reservoir. *Irrigation and Drainage*, *66*(1), 71–81.
- Barros, M. T. L., Tsai, F. T.-C., Yang, S., Lopes, J. E. G., & Yeh, W. W.-G. (2003). Optimization of large-scale hydropower system operations. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, *129*(3), 178–188.
- Basak, D., Pal, S., & Patranabis, D. C. (2007). Support vector regression. *Efficient Learning Machines*, *11*(10), 203–224.
- Baştanlar, Y., & Özuysal, M. (2013). Introduction to machine learning. In Yousef, M. & Allmer, J. (Eds.), *miRNomics: MicroRNA Biology and Computational Analysis* (pp. 105–128). Totowa, NJ: Humana Press.
- Basu, S., Jongerden, J., & Ruivenkamp, G. (2017). Development of the drought tolerant variety Sahbhagi Dhan: Exploring the concepts commons and community building. *International Journal of the Commons*, *11*(1), 144–170.
- Bauer, E., & Kohavi, R. (1999). Empirical comparison of voting classification algorithms: bagging, boosting, and variants. *Machine Learning*, *36*, 105–139.
- Bayesteh, M., & Azari, A. (2021). Stochastic optimization of reservoir operation by applying hedging rules. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, *147*(2), 04020099.
- Bellman, R. (1957). *Dynamic Programming*. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- Bertsekas, D. P. (1998). *Network Optimization: Continuous and Discrete Models*. Massachusetts: Athena Scientific Belmont.
- Bhuiyan, S. I., Sattar, M. A., & Khan, M. A. K. (1995). Improving water use efficiency in rice irrigation through wet-seeding. *Irrigation Science*, *16*(1), 1–8.
- Biglarbeigi, P., Giuliani, M., & Castelletti, A. (2018). Partitioning the Impacts of Streamflow and Evaporation Uncertainty on the Operations of Multipurpose Reservoirs in Arid Regions. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, *144*(7), 05018008.
- Biswas, A., Mailapalli, D. R., Raghuwanshi, N. S., & Singh, R. (2021). Water use efficiencies, productivities, and footprints of rice under a system of rice intensification practice. *ACS Agricultural Science and Technology*, *1*(3), 262–269.

- Bolouri-Yazdeli, Y., Bozorg Haddad, O., Fallah-Mehdipour, E., & Mariño, M. A. (2014). Evaluation of real-time operation rules in reservoir systems operation. *Water Resources Management*, 28(3), 715–729.
- Boonwichai, S., Shrestha, S., Babel, M. S., Weesakul, S., & Datta, A. (2018). Climate change impacts on irrigation water requirement, crop water productivity and rice yield in the Songkhram River Basin, Thailand. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 198, 1157–1164.
- Boretti, A., & Rosa, L. (2019). Reassessing the projections of the World Water Development Report. *Npj Clean Water*, 2, 15.
- Bouman, B. A. M., & Tuong, T. P. (2001). Field water management to save water and increase its productivity in irrigated lowland rice. *Agricultural Water Management*, 49, 11–30.
- Bourdeau-Goulet, S., & Hassanzadeh, E. (2021). Comparisons between CMIP5 and CMIP6 models: Simulations of climate indices influencing food security, infrastructure resilience, and human health in Canada. *Earth's Future*, 9(5), e2021EF001995.
- Box, G. E. P., & Jenkins, G. M. (1976). *Time Series Analysis Forecasting and Control*. California: Holden-Day Inc.
- Bras, R. L., Buchanan, R., & Curry, K. C. (1983). Real time adaptive closed loop control of reservoirs with the High Aswan Dam as a case study. *Water Resources Research*, 19(1), 33–52.
- Breiman, L. (1996). Bagging predictors. *Machine Learning*, 24(2), 123–140.
- Breiman, L. (2001). Random forests. *Machine Learning*, 45, 5–32.
- Burek, P., Satoh, Y., Kahil, T., Tang, T., Greve, P., Smilovic, M., Guillaumot, L., Zhao, F., & Wada, Y. (2020). Development of the Community Water Model (CWatM v1.04) - A high-resolution hydrological model for global and regional assessment of integrated water resources management. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 13(7), 3267–3298.
- Cai, Y., Guan, K., Lobell, D., Potgieter, A. B., Wang, S., Peng, J., Xu, T., Asseng, S., Zhang, Y., You, L., & Peng, B. (2019). Integrating satellite and climate data to predict wheat yield in Australia using machine learning approaches. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 274, 144–159.

- Calzadilla, A., Rehdanz, K., Betts, R., Falloon, P., Wiltshire, A., & Tol, R. S. J. (2013). Climate change impacts on global agriculture. *Climatic Change*, *120*(1–2), 357–374.
- Cao, J., Wang, B., Yang, Y. M., Ma, L., Li, J., Sun, B., Bao, Y., He, J., Zhou, X., & Wu, L. (2018). The NUIST Earth System Model (NESM) version 3: Description and preliminary evaluation. *Geoscientific Model Development*, *11*(7), 2975–2993.
- Carter, R. ., De Lange, W., Hansen, J. ., Humlum, O., Idso, C., Kear, D., Legates, D., Mörner, N.-A., Ollier, C., Singer, F., & Soon, W. (2014). *Commentary and Analysis on the Whitehead & Associates 2014 NSW Sea-Level Report. Policy Brief*. Nongovernmental International Panel on Climate Change.
- Castelletti, A., Pianosi, F., Soncini-Sessa, R., & Antenucci, J. P. (2010). A multiobjective response surface approach for improved water quality planning in lakes and reservoirs. *Water Resources Research*, *46*(6), W06502.
- Chadwick, C., Gironás, J., Barría, P., Vicuña, S., & Meza, F. (2021). Assessing reservoir performance under climate change. When is it going to be too late if current water management is not changed? *Water (Switzerland)*, *13*(1), 64.
- Chan, C. S., & Cheong, A. W. (2001). Seasonal weather effects on crop evapotranspiration and rice yield. *Journal of Tropical Agriculture and Food Science*, *29*(1), 77–92.
- Chan, S. S., Seidenfaden, I. K., Jensen, K. H., & Sonnenborg, T. O. (2021). Climate change impacts and uncertainty on spatiotemporal variations of drought indices for an irrigated catchment. *Journal of Hydrology*, *601*, 126814.
- Chaturvedi, V., Hejazi, M., Edmonds, J., Clarke, L., Kyle, P., Davies, E., & Wise, M. (2015). Climate mitigation policy implications for global irrigation water demand. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, *20*(3), 389–407.
- Che, D., & Mays, L. W. (2015). Development of an optimization/simulation model for real-time flood-control operation of river-reservoirs systems. *Water Resources Management*, *29*(11), 3987–4005.
- Chen, J., Brissette, F. P., & Leconte, R. (2011). Uncertainty of downscaling method in quantifying the impact of climate change on hydrology. *Journal of Hydrology*, *401*(3–4), 190–202.

- Chen, L., Tan, C. H., Kao, S. J., & Wang, T. S. (2008). Improvement of remote monitoring on water quality in a subtropical reservoir by incorporating grammatical evolution with parallel genetic algorithms into satellite imagery. *Water Research*, 42(1–2), 296–306.
- Cheng, M., Fang, F., Kinouchi, T., Navon, I. M., & Pain, C. C. (2020). Long lead-time daily and monthly streamflow forecasting using machine learning methods. *Journal of Hydrology*, 590, 125376.
- Chiew, F. H. S., Kamaladasa, N. N., Malano, H. M., & McMahon, T. A. (1995). Penman-Monteith, FAO-24 reference crop evapotranspiration and class-A pan data in Australia. *Agricultural Water Management*, 28(1), 9–21.
- Chou, F. N. F., & Wu, C. W. (2015). Stage-wise optimizing operating rules for flood control in a multi-purpose reservoir. *Journal of Hydrology*, 521, 245–260.
- Chu, W. S., & Yeh, W. W.-G. (1978). A nonlinear programming algorithm for real-time hourly reservoir operations. *JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 14(5), 1048–1063.
- Ciampittello, M., Dresti, C., & Saidi, H. (2021). Water resource management through understanding of the water balance components: A case study of a sub-alpine shallow lake. *Water (Switzerland)*, 13(21), 3124.
- Clarke, S. M., Griebisch, J. H., & Simpson, T. W. (2005). Analysis of support vector regression for approximation of complex engineering analyses. *Journal of Mechanical Design, Transactions of the ASME*, 127(6), 1077–1087.
- Conn, A. R., Gould, G. I. M., & Toint, P. L. (1992). A fortran package for large-scale nonlinear optimization (release A). In *Lancelot*. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
- Consoli, S., Licciardello, F., Vanella, D., Pasotti, L., Villani, G., & Tomei, F. (2016). Testing the water balance model criteria using TDR measurements, micrometeorological data and satellite-based information. *Agricultural Water Management*, 170, 68–80.
- Cook, C., & Bakker, K. (2012). Water security: Debating an emerging paradigm. *Global Environmental Change*, 22(1), 94–102.
- Couronné, R., Probst, P., & Boulesteix, A. L. (2018). Random forest versus logistic regression: A large-scale benchmark experiment. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 19(1), 270.

- Crane, R. G., & Hewitson, B. C. (1998). Doubled CO<sub>2</sub> precipitation changes for the Susquehanna basin: Down-scaling from the GENESIS general circulation model. *International Journal of Climatology*, 18(1), 65–76.
- Crawley, P. D., & Dandy, G. C. (1993). Optimal operation of multiple-reservoir system. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, 119(1), 1–17.
- Dahal, N., Shrestha, U. B., Tuitui, A., & Ojha, H. R. (2019). Temporal changes in precipitation and temperature and their implications on the streamflow of Rosi River, Central Nepal. *Climate*, 7(1), 3.
- De Datta, S. K. (1981). *Principles and Practices of Rice Production*. New York: John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- De Datta, S. K., Abilay, W. P., & Kalwar, G. N. (1973). Water stress effects in flooded tropical rice. In *Water Management in Philippine Irrigation Systems: Research and Operations* (pp. 19–36). Los BanÃos, Philippines: International Rice Research Institute.
- De Datta, S. K., Krupp, H. K., Alvarez, E. I., & Modgal, S. C. (1973). Water management in flooded rice. In *Water Management in Philippine Irrigation Systems: Research and Operations* (pp. 1–18). Los BanÃos, Philippines: International Rice Research Institute.
- de Fraiture, C., & Wichelns, D. (2010). Satisfying future water demands for agriculture. *Agricultural Water Management*, 97(4), 502–511.
- Deni, S. M., Suhaila, J., Wan Zin, W. Z., & Jemain, A. A. (2010). Spatial trends of dry spells over Peninsular Malaysia during monsoon seasons. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 99(3–4), 357–371.
- Desreumaux, Q., Leconte, R., & Côté, P. (2014). Role of hydrologic information in stochastic dynamic programming: a case study of the Kemano hydropower system in British Columbia. *Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 41(9), 839–844.
- Devia, G. K., Ganasri, B. P., & Dwarakish, G. S. (2015). A review on hydrological models. *Aquatic Procedia*, 4, 1001–1007.
- Dhakal, S., Adhikari, B. B., & Kandel, B. P. (2020). Performance of drought tolerant rice varieties in different altitudes at Duradada, Lamjung, Nepal. *Journal of Agriculture and Natural Resources*, 3(1), 290–300.

- Diao, Y., Ma, H., Wang, H., Wang, J., Li, S., Li, X., Pan, J., & Qiu, Q. (2022). Optimal flood-control operation of cascade reservoirs using an improved particle swarm optimization algorithm. *Water (Switzerland)*, *14*(8), 1239.
- Diaz-Nieto, J., & Wilby, R. L. (2005). A comparison of statistical downscaling and climate change factor methods: Impacts on low flows in the River Thames, United Kingdom. *Climatic Change*, *69*(2–3), 245–268.
- DID. (2021). *Dam Information*. Department of Irrigation and Drainage, Ministry of Water Land and Natural Resources. Retrieved April 5, 2021, from <https://www.water.gov.my/index.php/pages/view/679/?archives=1>
- Din, M. S. U., Mubeen, M., Hussain, S., Ahmad, A., Hussain, N., Ali, M. A., Sabagh, A. El, Elsabagh, M., Shah, G. M., Qaisrani, S. A., Tahir, M., Javeed, H. M. R., Anwar-ul-Haq, M., Ali, M., & Nasim, W. (2022). World nations priorities on climate change and food security. In Jatoi, W. N., Mubeen, M., Ahmad, A., Cheema, M. A., Lin, Z., & Hashmi, M. Z. (Eds.), *Building Climate Resilience in Agriculture* (pp. 365–384). Springer, Cham.
- Dlamini, N. S. (2017). *Decision Support System for Water Allocation in a Rice Irrigation Scheme under Climate Change Scenarios*. PhD Thesis, Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Dlamini, N. S., Rowshon, M. K., Amin, M. S. M., Syazwan, M., Fikri, A., & Lai, S. H. (2017). Modeling potential impacts of climate change on streamflow using projections of the 5th assessment report for the Bernam River Basin, Malaysia. *Water (Switzerland)*, *9*(3), 226.
- Dlamini, N. S., Rowshon, M. K., Fikhri, A., Lai, S. H., & Mohd, M. S. F. (2017). Modelling the streamflow of a river basin using enhanced hydro-meteorological data in Malaysia. *Acta Horticulturae*, *1152*, 291–297.
- Dlamini, N. S., Rowshon, M. K., Saha, U., Fikri, A., Lai, S. H., & Mohd, M. S. F. (2015). Developing and calibrating a stochastic rainfall generator model for simulating daily rainfall by Markov chain approach. *Jurnal Teknologi*, *76*(15), 13–19.
- Dlamini, N. S., Rowshon, M. K., Saha, U., Lai, S. H., Fikri, A., & Zubaidi, J. (2015). Simulation of future daily rainfall scenario using stochastic rainfall generator for a rice-growing irrigation scheme in Malaysia. *Asian Journal of Applied Sciences*, *3*(5), 492–506.

- Dobson, B., Wagener, T., & Pianosi, F. (2019). An argument-driven classification and comparison of reservoir operation optimization methods. *Advances in Water Resources*, *128*, 74–86.
- Dolan, F., Lamontagne, J., Link, R., Hejazi, M., Reed, P., & Edmonds, J. (2021). Evaluating the economic impact of water scarcity in a changing world. *Nature Communications*, *12*, 1915.
- Doorenbos, J., & Pruitt, W. O. (1977). Guidelines for predicting crop water requirements. In *FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 24*. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO).
- Du, K. L., & Swamy, M. (2009). Combining multiple learners: Data fusion and ensemble learning. In *Neural Networks and Statistical Learning* (pp. 737–767). London: Springer.
- Du, P., Wang, J., Yang, W., & Niu, T. (2019). A novel hybrid model for short-term wind power forecasting. *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, *80*, 93–106.
- Ehsani, N., Vörösmarty, C. J., Fekete, B. M., & Stakhiv, E. Z. (2017). Reservoir operations under climate change: Storage capacity options to mitigate risk. *Journal of Hydrology*, *555*, 435–446.
- Eisner, S., Voss, F., & Kynast, E. (2012). Statistical bias correction of global climate projections - Consequences for large scale modeling of flood flows. *Advances in Geosciences*, *31*, 75–82.
- Eyring, V., Bony, S., Meehl, G. A., Senior, C. A., Stevens, B., Stouffer, R. J., & Taylor, K. E. (2016). Overview of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) experimental design and organization. *Geoscientific Model Development*, *9*(5), 1937–1958.
- Faber, B. A., & Stedinger, J. R. (2001). SSDP reservoir models using Ensemble Streamflow Prediction (ESP) forecasts. *Bridging the Gap: Meeting the World's Water and Environmental Resources Challenges - Proceedings of the World Water and Environmental Resources Congress 2001*.
- Fadhil, R. M. (2018). *Daily Operation of Bukit Merah Reservoir with Stochastic Dynamic Programming under Climate Change Impact*. PhD Thesis, Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Fadhil, R. M., Rowshon, M. K., Ahmad, D., Fikri, A., & Aimrun, W. (2017). A stochastic rainfall generator model for simulation of daily rainfall events in Kurau catchment: Model testing. *Acta Horticulturae*, *1152*, 1–10.

- Fahad, S., Bajwa, A. A., Nazir, U., Anjum, S. A., Farooq, A., Zohaib, A., Sadia, S., Nasim, W., Adkins, S., Saud, S., Ihsan, M. Z., Alharby, H., Wu, C., Wang, D., & Huang, J. (2017). Crop production under drought and heat stress: Plant responses and management options. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8, 1147.
- Fan, J., Yue, W., Wu, L., Zhang, F., Cai, H., Wang, X., Lu, X., & Xiang, Y. (2018). Evaluation of SVM, ELM and four tree-based ensemble models for predicting daily reference evapotranspiration using limited meteorological data in different climates of China. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 263, 225–241.
- Fan, X., Jiang, L., & Gou, J. (2021). Statistical downscaling and projection of future temperatures across the Loess Plateau, China. *Weather and Climate Extremes*, 32, 100328.
- FAO. (2010). *Climate-smart Agriculture: Policies, Practices and Financing for Food Security, Adaptation and Mitigation*. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO).
- Fatichi, S., Ivanov, V. Y., & Caporali, E. (2011). Simulation of future climate scenarios with a weather generator. *Advances in Water Resources*, 34(4), 448–467.
- Fawagreh, K., Gaber, M. M., & Elyan, E. (2014). Random forests: From early developments to recent advancements. *Systems Science and Control Engineering*, 2(1), 602–609.
- Fayaed, S. S., El-Shafie, A., & Jaafar, O. (2013a). Integrated artificial neural network (ANN) and stochastic dynamic programming (SDP) model for optimal release policy. *Water Resources Management*, 27(10), 3679–3696.
- Fayaed, S. S., El-Shafie, A., & Jaafar, O. (2013b). Reservoir-system simulation and optimization techniques. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, 27(7), 1751–1772.
- Firdaus, R. B. R., Leong Tan, M., Rahmat, S. R., & Senevi Gunaratne, M. (2020). Paddy, rice and food security in Malaysia: A review of climate change impacts. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 6(1), 1818373.
- Fletcher, R., Gould, N. I. M., Leyffer, S., Toint, P. L., & Wächter, A. (2002). Global convergence of a trust-region SQP-filter algorithm for general nonlinear programming. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 13(3), 635–659.

- Fonseca, C. M., & Fleming, P. J. (1995). An overview of evolutionary algorithms in multiobjective optimization. *Evolutionary Computation*, 3(1), 1–16.
- Ford, L. R., & Fulkerson, D. R. (1962). *Flows in Networks*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Fowler, H. J., Blenkinsop, S., & Tebaldi, C. (2007). Linking climate change modelling to impacts studies: recent advances in downscaling techniques for hydrological modelling. *International Journal of Climatology*, 27(12), 1547–1578.
- Fox, J. T., & Magoulick, D. D. (2019). Predicting hydrologic disturbance of streams using species occurrence data. *Science of the Total Environment*, 686, 254–263.
- Freund, Y., & Schapire, R. E. (1997). A decision-theoretic generalization of on-line learning and an application to boosting. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 55(1), 119–139.
- Fukagawa, N. K., & Ziska, L. H. (2019). Rice: Importance for global nutrition. *Journal of Nutritional Science and Vitaminology*, 65, S2–S3.
- Fyles, H., & Madramootoo, C. (2015). Water management. In Madramootoo, C. (Ed.), *Emerging Technologies for Promoting Food Security: Overcoming the World Food Crisis* (pp. 117–134). Canada: Elsevier Ltd.
- García-Pedrajas, N., Hervás-Martínez, C., & Muñoz-Pérez, J. (2003). COVNET: A cooperative coevolutionary model for evolving artificial neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, 14(3), 575–596.
- Ghani, A. A., Azamathulla, H. M., Chang, C. K., Zakaria, N. A., & Hasan, Z. A. (2011). Prediction of total bed material load for rivers in Malaysia: A case study of Langat, Muda and Kurau Rivers. *Environmental Fluid Mechanics*, 11(3), 307–318.
- Gharde, K. D., Kothari, M., & Mahale, D. M. (2016). Developed seasonal ARIMA model to forecast streamflow for Savitri Basin in Konkan region of Maharashtra on daily basis. *Journal of the Indian Society of Coastal Agricultural Research*, 34(1), 110–119.
- Ghimire, S., Deo, R. C., Downs, N. J., & Raj, N. (2018). Self-adaptive differential evolutionary extreme learning machines for long-term solar radiation prediction with remotely-sensed MODIS satellite and Reanalysis atmospheric products in solar-rich cities. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 212, 176–198.

- Ghosh, S., & Misra, C. (2010). Assessing hydrological impacts of climate change: Modeling techniques and challenges. *The Open Hydrology Journal*, 4, 115–121.
- Ghosh, S., & Mujumdar, P. P. (2007). Nonparametric methods for modeling GCM and scenario uncertainty in drought assessment. *Water Resources Research*, 43(7), W07405.
- Gleick, P. H., Cohen, M. J., Palaniappan, M., Morikawa, M., Morrison, J., & Cooley, H. (2008). The Biennial Report on freshwater resources. In Gleick, P. H. (Ed.), *The World's Water 2008-2009* (pp. 202–210). Washington DC, USA: Island Press.
- Goel, E., & Abhilasha, E. (2017). Random forest: A review. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer Science and Software Engineering*, 7(1), 251–257.
- Goosse, H., Barriat, P.-Y., Loutre, M.-F., & Zunz, V. (2010). *Climate System Dynamics and Modelling*. Belgium: Centre de recherche sur la Terre et le climat Georges Lemaître.
- Gorczyca, M. T., Toscano, N. C., & Cheng, J. D. (2019). The trauma severity model: An ensemble machine learning approach to risk prediction. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, 108, 9–19.
- Gould, N. I. M., Orban, D., & Toint, P. L. (2003). GALAHAD, a library of thread-safe fortran 90 packages for large-scale nonlinear optimization. *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software*, 29(4), 353–372.
- Graham, L. P., Hagemann, S., Jaun, S., & Beniston, M. (2007). On interpreting hydrological change from regional climate models. *Climatic Change*, 81(1), 97–122.
- Guan, H., Li, J., Chapman, M., Deng, F., Ji, Z., & Yang, X. (2013). Integration of orthoimagery and lidar data for object-based urban thematic mapping using random forests. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 34(14), 5166–5186.
- Guera, L. C., Bhuiyan, S. I., Tuong, T. P., & Barker, R. (1998). *Producing More Rice with Less Water from Irrigated Systems* (Discussion Paper Series No. 29). Manila, Philippines: International Rice Research Institute.
- Guo, Y., & Shen, Y. (2016). Agricultural water supply/demand changes under projected future climate change in the arid region of northwestern China. *Journal of Hydrology*, 540, 257–273.

- Guo, Z., Boeing, W. J., Borgomeo, E., Xu, Y., & Weng, Y. (2021). Linking reservoir ecosystems research to the sustainable development goals. *Science of the Total Environment*, 781, 146769.
- Gupta, D., Pratama, M., Ma, Z., Li, J., & Prasad, M. (2019). Financial time series forecasting using twin support vector regression. *PLoS ONE*, 14(3), e0211402.
- Gupta, H. V., Kling, H., Yilmaz, K. K., & Martinez, G. F. (2009). Decomposition of the mean squared error and NSE performance criteria: Implications for improving hydrological modelling. *Journal of Hydrology*, 377(1–2), 80–91.
- Hakami-Kermani, A., Babazadeh, H., Porhemmat, J., & Sarai-Tabrizi, M. (2020). An uncertainty assessment of reservoir system performance indices under the climate change effect. *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, 11(4), 889–904.
- Halim, S. A. (2019). Delta change method with cyclic covariate generalized extreme value model for downscaling extreme rainfall. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, 8(2), 158–161.
- Hall, W. A., Butcher, W. S., & Esogbue, A. (1968). Optimization of the operation of a multiple-purpose reservoir by dynamic programming. *Water Resources Research*, 4(3), 471–477.
- Hamed, M. M., Nashwan, M. S., Shahid, S., Ismail, T., Wang, X. jun, Dewan, A., & Asaduzzaman, M. (2022). Inconsistency in historical simulations and future projections of temperature and rainfall: A comparison of CMIP5 and CMIP6 models over Southeast Asia. *Atmospheric Research*, 265, 105927.
- Hameed, K. A., Mosa, A. K. J., & Jaber, F. A. (2011). Irrigation water reduction using System of Rice Intensification compared with conventional cultivation methods in Iraq. *Paddy and Water Environment*, 9(1), 121–127.
- Hamidon, N., Harun, S., Malek, M. A., Ismail, T., & Alias, N. (2015). Prediction of paddy irrigation requirements by using statistical downscaling and CROPWAT models: A case study from the Kerian Irrigation Scheme in Malaysia. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 76(1), 281–288.
- Hargreaves, G. H., & Samani, Z. A. (1985). Reference crop evapotranspiration from temperature. *Applied Engineering in Agriculture*, 1(2), 96–99.
- Hassan, Z., Harun, S., & Malek, M. A. (2012). Application of ANNs model with the SDSM for the hydrological trend prediction in the sub-catchment of Kurau River, Malaysia. *Journal of Environment Science and Engineering*, 1, 577–585.

- He, Y., Yan, Y., Wang, X., & Wang, C. (2019). Uncertainty forecasting for streamflow based on support vector regression method with fuzzy information granulation. *Energy Procedia*, 158, 6189–6194.
- Heidari, M., Chow, V. T., Kokotovic, P. V., & Meredith, D. D. (1971). Discrete differential dynamic approach to water resources optimization. *Water Resources Research*, 7(2), 273–283.
- Heydari, M., Othman, F., Qaderi, K., Noori, M., & Parsa, A. S. (2015). Introduction to linear programming as a popular tool in optimal reservoir operation, a review. *Advances in Environmental Biology*, 9(3), 906–917.
- Hipel, K. W., & McLeod, A. I. (1994). *Time Series Modelling of Water Resources and Environmental Systems*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Hong, H., Liu, J., Zhu, A. X., Shahabi, H., Pham, B. T., Chen, W., Pradhan, B., & Bui, D. T. (2017). A novel hybrid integration model using support vector machines and random subspace for weather-triggered landslide susceptibility assessment in the Wuning area (China). *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 76, 652.
- Hossain, M. S., & El-shafie, A. (2013). Intelligent systems in optimizing reservoir operation policy: A review. *Water Resources Management*, 27(9), 3387–3407.
- Hou, Q., Leng, J., Ma, G., Liu, W., & Cheng, Y. (2019). An adaptive hybrid model for short-term urban traffic flow prediction. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*, 527, 121065.
- Houma, A. A., Kamal, M. R., Mojid, M. A., Abdullah, A. F. B., & Wayayok, A. (2021). Climate change impacts on rice yield of a large-scale irrigation scheme in Malaysia. *Agricultural Water Management*, 252, 106908.
- Howell, T. A., Schneider, A. D., & Jensen, M. E. (1991). History of lysimeter design and use for evapotranspiration measurements. In Allen, R. G., Howell, T. A., Pruitt, W. O., Walter, I. A., & Jensen, M. E. (Eds.), *Lysimeters for Evapotranspiration and Environmental Measurements* (pp. 1–9). New York, USA: American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE).
- Hsu, S. Y., Tung, C. P., Chen, C. J., & Wang, C. F. (2004). Application to reservoir operation rule-curves. *Critical Transitions in Water and Environmental Resources Management: World Water and Environmental Resources Congress 2004*.
- Huntingford, C., Jones, R. G., Prudhomme, C., Lamb, R., Gash, J. H. C., & Jones, D. A. (2003). Regional climate-model predictions of extreme rainfall for a

- changing climate. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 129(590), 1607–1621.
- Hussain, D., & Khan, A. A. (2020). Machine learning techniques for monthly river flow forecasting of Hunza River, Pakistan. *Earth Science Informatics*, 13(3), 939–949.
- Iglesias, A., & Garrote, L. (2015). Adaptation strategies for agricultural water management under climate change in Europe. *Agricultural Water Management*, 155, 113–124.
- IPCC. (2013). *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, & P. M. Midgley (eds.)). Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, USA: Cambridge University Press.
- IPCC. (2014). *Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (R. K. Pachauri, M. R. Allen, V. R. Barros, J. Broome, W. Cramer, R. Christ, J. A. Church, L. Clarke, Q. Dahe, P. Dasgupta, N. K. Dubash, O. Edenhofer, I. Elgizouli, C. B. Field, P. Forster, P. Friedlingstein, J. Fuglestedt, L. Gomez-Echeverri, S. Hallegatte, ... J.-P. van Ypersele (eds.)). Geneva, Switzerland: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC).
- IPCC. (2022). *Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Working Group II Contribution to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (H.-O. Pörtner, D. C. Roberts, M. M. B. Tignor, E. Poloczanska, K. Mintenbeck, A. Alegría, M. Craig, S. Langsdorf, S. Löschke, V. Möller, A. Okem, B. Rama, D. Belling, W. Dieck, S. Götze, T. Kersher, P. Mangele, B. Maus, A. Mühle, ... N. Weyer (eds.)). Cambridge, UK and New York, USA: Cambridge University Press.
- IRRI. (1978). *Annual Report for 1977*. Los BanAos, Philippines: International Rice Research Institute (IRRI).
- Ishida, H., Oishi, Y., Morita, K., Moriwaki, K., & Nakajima, T. Y. (2018). Development of a support vector machine based cloud detection method for

- MODIS with the adjustability to various conditions. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 205, 390–407.
- Ismail, H., Kamal, M. R., Abdullah, A. F. B., Jada, D. T., & Hin, L. S. (2020). Modeling future streamflow for adaptive water allocation under climate change for the Tanjung Karang rice irrigation scheme Malaysia. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, 10(14), 4885.
- Ismail, W. R., & Najib, S. A. M. (2011). Sediment and nutrient balance of Bukit Merah Reservoir, Perak (Malaysia). *Lakes and Reservoirs: Research and Management*, 16(3), 179–184.
- Itenfisu, D., Elliott, R. L., Allen, R. G., & Walter, I. A. (2003). Comparison of reference evapotranspiration calculations as part of the ASCE standardization effort. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*, 129(6), 440–448.
- Jain, S. K., Goel, M. K., & Agarwal, P. K. (1998). Reservoir operation studies of Sabarmati system, India. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, 124(1), 31–37.
- Jeevananda Reddy, S. (1983). A simple method of estimating the soil water balance. *Agricultural Meteorology*, 28(1), 1–17.
- Jensen, M. E., & Haise, H. R. (1963). Estimating Evapotranspiration from Solar Radiation. *Journal of the Irrigation and Drainage Division*, 89(4), 15–41.
- Jia, B., Zhou, J., Tang, Z., Xu, Z., Chen, X., & Fang, W. (2022). Effective stochastic streamflow simulation method based on Gaussian mixture model. *Journal of Hydrology*, 605, 127366.
- Kaczmarek, Z., & Kindler, J. (1982). The Operation of Multiple Reservoir Systems. In *International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis, Collaborative Proceedings Series (Issue CP-82-S3)*. IIASA Collaborative Paper.
- Kadkhodazadeh, M., Anaraki, M. V., Morshed-Bozorgdel, A., & Farzin, S. (2022). A new methodology for reference evapotranspiration prediction and uncertainty analysis under climate change conditions based on machine learning, multi criteria decision making and Monte Carlo methods. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(5), 2601.
- Kan, G., Liang, K., Yu, H., Sun, B., Ding, L., Li, J., He, X., & Shen, C. (2020). Hybrid machine learning hydrological model for flood forecast purpose. *Open Geosciences*, 12(1), 813–820.

- Karamouz, M., Kerachian, R., & Zahraie, B. (2004). Monthly water resources and irrigation planning: Case study of conjunctive use of surface and groundwater resources. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*, 130(5), 391–402.
- Karim, R. S. M., Man, A. B., & Sahid, I. B. (2004). Weed problems and their management in rice fields of Malaysia: An overview. *Weed Biology and Management*, 4(4), 177–186.
- Kelman, J., Stedinger, J. R., Cooper, L. A., Hsu, E., & Yuan, S. (1990). Sampling stochastic dynamic programming applied to reservoir operation. *Water Resources Research*, 26(3), 447–454.
- Khepar, S. D., Yadav, A. K., & Sondhi, S. K. (2000). Water balance model for paddy fields under intermittent irrigation practices. *Irrigation Science*, 19, 199–208.
- Khosravi, K., Golkarian, A., Booij, M. J., Barzegar, R., Sun, W., Yaseen, Z. M., & Mosavi, A. (2021). Improving daily stochastic stream flow prediction: comparison of novel hybrid data-mining algorithms. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 66(9), 1457–1474.
- Kilsby, C. G., Jones, P. D., Burton, A., Ford, A. C., Fowler, H. J., Harpham, C., James, P., Smith, A., & Wilby, R. L. (2007). A daily weather generator for use in climate change studies. *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 22(12), 1705–1719.
- Kim, Y., Rajagopalan, B., & Lee, G. W. (2016). Temporal statistical downscaling of precipitation and temperature forecasts using a stochastic weather generator. *Advances in Atmospheric Sciences*, 33(2), 175–183.
- Kittler, J., Hatef, M., Duin, R. P. W., & Matas, J. (1998). On combining classifiers. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 2(3), 226–239.
- Kling, H., Fuchs, M., & Paulin, M. (2012). Runoff conditions in the upper Danube basin under an ensemble of climate change scenarios. *Journal of Hydrology*, 424–425, 264–277.
- Klir, G. J., & Folger, T. A. (1987). *Fuzzy sets, uncertainty, and information*. New Jersey, USA: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Konapala, G., Kao, S. C., Painter, S. L., & Lu, D. (2020). Machine learning assisted hybrid models can improve streamflow simulation in diverse catchments across the conterminous US. *Environmental Research Letters*, 15(10), 104022.

- Konapala, G., Mishra, A. K., Wada, Y., & Mann, M. E. (2020). Climate change will affect global water availability through compounding changes in seasonal precipitation and evaporation. *Nature Communications*, *11*, 3044.
- Kottegoda, N. T. (1980). *Stochastic Water Resources Technology*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Kumar, A., Dixit, S., Ram, T., Yadaw, R. B., Mishra, K. K., & Mandal, N. P. (2014). Breeding high-yielding drought-tolerant rice: Genetic variations and conventional and molecular approaches. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, *65*(21), 6265–6278.
- Kumar, D., Arya, D. S., Murumkar, A. R., & Rahman, M. M. (2014). Impact of climate change on rainfall in Northwestern Bangladesh using multi-GCM ensembles. *International Journal of Climatology*, *34*(5), 1395–1404.
- Kumar, R., Shankar, V., & Kumar, M. (2011). Modelling of crop reference evapotranspiration: A review. *Universal Journal of Environmental Research and Technology*, *1*(3), 239–246. Retrieved from <http://www.environmentaljournal.org/1-3/ujert-1-3-3.pdf>
- Kummu, M., Guillaume, J. H. A., De Moel, H., Eisner, S., Flörke, M., Porkka, M., Siebert, S., Veldkamp, T. I. E., & Ward, P. J. (2016). The world's road to water scarcity: Shortage and stress in the 20th century and pathways towards sustainability. *Scientific Reports*, *6*, 38495.
- Kuo, S. F., Ho, S. S., & Liu, C. W. (2006). Estimation irrigation water requirements with derived crop coefficients for upland and paddy crops in ChiaNan Irrigation Association, Taiwan. *Agricultural Water Management*, *82*(3), 433–451.
- Kwan, M. S., Tanggang, F. T., & Juneng, L. (2011). Projected changes of future climate extremes in Malaysia. *Sains Malaysiana*, *42*(8), 1051–1058.
- Labadie, J. W. (2003). *Generalized Dynamic Programming Package: CSUDP - Documentation and User Guide, Version 4.0*. Fort Collins: Department of Civil Engineering, Colorado State University.
- Labadie, J. W. (2004). Optimal operation of multireservoir systems: State-of-the-art review. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, *130*(2), 93–111.
- Lai, V., Huang, Y. F., Koo, C. H., Ahmed, A. N., & El-Shafie, A. (2022). A review of reservoir operation optimisations: from traditional models to metaheuristic

- algorithms. *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*, 29(5), 3435–3457.
- Lampayan, R. M., Rejesus, R. M., Singleton, G. R., & Bouman, B. A. M. (2015). Adoption and economics of alternate wetting and drying water management for irrigated lowland rice. *Field Crops Research*, 170, 95–108.
- Le Page, M., Fakir, Y., Jarlan, L., Boone, A., Berjamy, B., Khabba, S., & Zribi, M. (2021). Projection of irrigation water demand based on the simulation of synthetic crop coefficients and climate change. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 25(2), 637–651.
- Lee, E. S., & Waziruddin, S. (1970). Applying gradient projection and conjugate gradient to the optimum operation of reservoirs. *Journal of American Water Resources Association*, 6(5), 713–724.
- Lee, J., & Shin, H. (2022). Agricultural reservoir operation strategy considering Climate and policy changes. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(15), 9014.
- Lee, K. E., Shahabudin, S. M., Mokhtar, M., Choy, Y. K., Goh, T. L., & Simon, N. (2018). Sustainable water resources management and potential development of multi-purpose dam: The case of Malaysia. *Applied Ecology and Environmental Research*, 16(3), 2323–2347.
- Lee, T. S., Haque, M. A., & Huang, Y. F. (2006). Modeling water balance components in rice field irrigation. *The Institution of Engineers, Malaysia*, 67(4), 22–25.
- Lee, T. S., Haque, M. A., & Najim, M. M. M. (2005). Scheduling the cropping calendar in wet-seeded rice schemes in Malaysia. *Agricultural Water Management*, 71(1), 71–84.
- Lee, Y., Kim, S.-K., & Ko, I. H. (2006). Two-stage stochastic linear programming model for coordinated multi-reservoir operation. In Zimbelman, D. & Loehlein, W. C. (Eds.), *Proceedings of Operations Management 2006: Operating Reservoirs in Changing Conditions* (pp. 400–410). California, United States: American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE).
- Lenderink, G., Buishand, A., & Van Deursen, W. (2007). Estimates of future discharges of the river Rhine using two scenario methodologies: Direct versus delta approach. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 11(3), 1145–1159.
- Leta, M. K., Demissie, T. A., & Tränckner, J. (2022). Optimal Operation of Nashe Hydropower Reservoir under Land Use Land Cover Change in Blue Nile River Basin. *Water (Switzerland)*, 14(10).

- Li, X., Sha, J., & Wang, Z. L. (2019). Comparison of daily streamflow forecasts using extreme learning machines and the random forest method. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 64(15), 1857–1866.
- Li, Y., Liang, Z., Hu, Y., Li, B., Xu, B., & Wang, D. (2020). A multi-model integration method for monthly streamflow prediction: Modified stacking ensemble strategy. *Journal of Hydroinformatics*, 22(2), 310–326.
- Liang, J., Tan, M. L., Catto, J. L., Hawcroft, M. K., Hodges, K. I., & Haywood, J. M. (2022). Projected near-term changes in monsoon precipitation over Peninsular Malaysia in the HighResMIP multi-model ensembles. *Climate Dynamics*.
- Lin, G. F., & Lee, F. C. (1992). An aggregation-disaggregation approach for hydrologic time series modelling. *Journal of Hydrology*, 138(3–4), 543–557.
- Lipper, L., Thornton, P., Campbell, B. M., Baedeker, T., Braimoh, A., Bwalya, M., Caron, P., Cattaneo, A., Garrity, D., Henry, K., Hottle, R., Jackson, L., Jarvis, A., Kossam, F., Mann, W., McCarthy, N., Meybeck, A., Neufeldt, H., Remington, T., ... Torquebiau, E. F. (2014). Climate-smart agriculture for food security. *Nature Climate Change*, 4(12), 1068–1072.
- Liu, H., Sun, Y., Yin, X., Zhao, Y., Cai, Y., & Yang, W. (2020). A reservoir operation method that accounts for different inflow forecast uncertainties in different hydrological periods. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 256, 120471.
- Loucks, D. P., & van Beek, E. (2017). *Water Resource Systems Planning and Management: An Introduction to Methods, Models, and Applications*. Switzerland: Springer Cham.
- Machiwal, D., & Jha, M. K. (2006). Time series analysis of hydrologic data for water resources planning and management: A review. *Journal of Hydrology and Hydromechanics*, 54(3), 237–257.
- Machiwal, D., & Jha, M. K. (2012). Hydrologic time series analysis: Theory and practice. In *Hydrologic Time Series Analysis: Theory and Practice*. New York, USA: Springer.
- Maddock, T. (1974). The operation of a stream-aquifer system under stochastic demands. *Water Resources Management*, 10(1), 1–10.
- Malhi, G. S., Kaur, M., & Kaushik, P. (2021). Impact of climate change on agriculture and its mitigation strategies: A review. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(3), 1318.

- Malla, G. (2009). Climate change and its impact on Nepalese agriculture. *Journal of Agriculture and Environment*, 9(7), 62–71.
- Martins, O. Y., Ahaneku, I. E., & Sadeeq, M. A. (2011). Parametric linear stochastic modelling of Benue River flow process. *Open Journal of Marine Science*, 1(3), 73–81.
- Mauritsen, T., Bader, J., Becker, T., Behrens, J., Bittner, M., Brokopf, R., Brovkin, V., Claussen, M., Crueger, T., Esch, M., Fast, I., Fiedler, S., Fläschner, D., Gayler, V., Giorgetta, M., Goll, D. S., Haak, H., Hagemann, S., Hedemann, C., ... Roeckner, E. (2019). Developments in the MPI-M Earth System Model version 1.2 (MPI-ESM1.2) and its response to increasing CO<sub>2</sub>. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 11(4), 998–1038.
- Mazrooei, A., Sankarasubramanian, A., & Wood, A. W. (2021). Potential in improving monthly streamflow forecasting through variational assimilation of observed streamflow. *Journal of Hydrology*, 600, 126559.
- McCuen, R. H. (2003). *Modeling Hydrologic Change: Statistical Methods*. Florida: Lewis Publishers.
- McKerchar, A. T., & Delleur, J. W. (1974). Application of seasonal parametric linear stochastic models to monthly flow data. *Water Resources Research*, 10(2), 246–255.
- Mejia, J. M., & Rousselle, J. (1976). Disaggregation models in hydrology revisited. *Water Resources Research*, 12(2), 185–186.
- Mendez, M., Maathuis, B., Hein-Griggs, D., & Alvarado-Gamboa, L. F. (2020). Performance evaluation of bias correction methods for climate change monthly precipitation projections over Costa Rica. *Water (Switzerland)*, 12(2), 482.
- Merrifield, A. L., Brunner, L., Lorenz, R., Medhaug, I., & Knutti, R. (2020). An investigation of weighting schemes suitable for incorporating large ensembles into multi-model ensembles. *Earth System Dynamics*, 11(3), 807–834.
- Metternicht, G. (2001). Assessing temporal and spatial changes of salinity using fuzzy logic, remote sensing and GIS. Foundations of an expert system. *Ecological Modelling*, 144(2–3), 163–179.
- Mikhaylov, A., Moiseev, N., Aleshin, K., & Burkhardt, T. (2020). Global climate change and greenhouse effect. *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, 7(4), 2897–2913.
- Mitchell, T. M. (1997). *Machine Learning*. Singapore: McGraw-Hill.

- Mo'allim, A. A., Kamal, M. R., Muhammed, H. H., Yahaya, N. K. E. M., Zawawe, M. A. b. M., Che Man, H. bt., & Wayayok, A. (2018). An assessment of the vertical movement of water in a flooded paddy rice field experiment using Hydrus-1D. *Water (Switzerland)*, *10*(6), 783.
- Mohan, S., & Vedula, S. (1995). Multiplicative seasonal Arima model for longterm forecasting of inflows. *Water Resources Management*, *9*(2), 115–126.
- Moravej, M., & Hosseini-Moghari, S. M. (2016). Large scale reservoirs system operation optimization: the Interior Search Algorithm (ISA) approach. *Water Resources Management*, *30*(10), 3389–3407.
- Moriasi, D. N., Gitau, M. W., Pai, N., & Daggupati, P. (2015). Hydrologic and water quality models: Performance measures and evaluation criteria. *Transactions of the ASABE*, *58*(6), 1763–1785.
- Mosavi, A., Ozturk, P., & Chau, K. W. (2018). Flood prediction using machine learning models: Literature review. *Water (Switzerland)*, *10*(11), 1536.
- Mousavi, S. J., Moghaddam, K. S., & Seifi, A. (2004). Application of an interior-point algorithm for optimization of a large-scale reservoir system. *Water Resources Management*, *18*(6), 519–540.
- Mulla, S., Singh, S. K., Singh, K. K., & Praveen, B. (2020). Climate change and agriculture: A review of crop models. In Venkatramanan, V., Shah, S., & Prasad, R. (Eds.), *Global Climate Change and Environmental Policy* (pp. 423–435). Singapore: Springer.
- Munusam, S., Rajamoorthy, Y., & Rahim, K. A. (2017). Estimation of future paddy production and sustainable land allocation in Malaysia: A polynomial approach. *Pertanika Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, *25*(4), 1613–1624.
- Murphy, J. (1999). An evaluation of statistical and dynamical techniques for downscaling local climate. *Journal of Climate*, *12*(8), 2256–2284.
- Murtagh, B. A., & Saunders, M. A. (1998). *MINOS 5.5 User's Guide (Technical Report SOL 83.20R)*. Stanford, California: Stanford University.
- Nagesh Kumar, D., Baliarsingh, F., & Srinivasa Raju, K. (2010). Optimal reservoir operation for flood control using folded dynamic programming. *Water Resources Management*, *24*(6), 1045–1064.
- Nakicenovic, N., Alcamo, J., Grubler, A., Riahi, K., Roehrl, R. A., Rogner, H. H., & Victor, N. (2000). *Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (SRES), A Special*

- Report of Working Group III of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Nam, W. H., Choi, J. Y., & Hong, E. M. (2015). Irrigation vulnerability assessment on agricultural water supply risk for adaptive management of climate change in South Korea. *Agricultural Water Management*, 152, 173–187.
- Nandalal, K. D. W., & Bogardi, J. J. (2007). *Dynamic Programming Based Operation of Reservoirs: Applicability and Limits*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Narayanamoorthy, A., & Jothi, P. (2019). Water saving and productivity benefits of SRI: A study of tank, canal and groundwater irrigated settings in South India. *Water Policy*, 21(1), 162–177.
- Nash, J. E. (1959). Systematic determination of unit hydrograph parameters. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 64(1), 111–115.
- Nash, J. E., & Sutcliffe, J. V. (1970). River flow forecasting through conceptual models part I - A discussion of principles. *Journal of Hydrology*, 10, 282–290.
- New, M., & Hulme, M. (2000). Representing uncertainty in climate change scenarios: a Monte-Carlo approach. *Integrated Assessment*, 3(1), 203–213.
- Nistor, M.-M., Cheval, S., Gualtieri, A. F., Dumitrescu, A., Boțan, V. E., Berni, A., Hognogi, G., Irimuş, I. A., & Porumb-Ghiurco, C. G. (2017). Crop evapotranspiration assessment under climate change in the Pannonian basin during 1991-2050. *Meteorological Applications*, 24(1), 84–91.
- Niu, W., Feng, Z., Zeng, M., Feng, B., Min, Y., Cheng, C., & Zhou, J. (2019). Forecasting reservoir monthly runoff via ensemble empirical mode decomposition and extreme learning machine optimized by an improved gravitational search algorithm. *Applied Soft Computing Journal*, 82, 105589.
- Noor, M., Ismail, T., Shahid, S., Nashwan, M. S., & Ullah, S. (2019). Development of multi-model ensemble for projection of extreme rainfall events in Peninsular Malaysia. *Hydrology Research*, 50(6), 1772–1788.
- Novák, V. (2012). *Evapotranspiration in the Soil-Plant-Atmosphere System*. Netherlands: Springer.
- Nüsser, M., & Baghel, R. (2017). The emergence of technological hydrosapes in the Anthropocene: Socio-hydrology and development paradigms of large dams. In Warf, B. (Ed.), *Handbook on Geographies of Technology* (pp. 287–301). Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar Publishing.

- O'Neill, B. C., Kriegler, E., Ebi, K. L., Kemp-Benedict, E., Riahi, K., Rothman, D. S., van Ruijven, B. J., van Vuuren, D. P., Birkmann, J., Kok, K., Levy, M., & Solecki, W. (2017). The roads ahead: Narratives for shared socioeconomic pathways describing world futures in the 21st century. *Global Environmental Change*, *42*, 169–180.
- Odhiambo, L. O., & Murty, V. V. N. (1996). Modeling water balance components in relation to field layout in lowland paddy fields. II: Model application. *Agricultural Water Management*, *30*(2), 201–216.
- Ojha, C. S. P., Goyal, M. K., & Kumar, S. (2007). Applying Fuzzy logic and the point count system to select landfill sites. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, *135*(1–3), 99–106.
- Oo, H. T., Zin, W. W., & Thin Kyi, C. C. (2020). Analysis of streamflow response to changing climate conditions using SWAT model. *Civil Engineering Journal (Iran)*, *6*(2), 194–209.
- Ostadrhimi, L., Mariño, M. A., & Afshar, A. (2012). Multi-reservoir operation rules: Multi-swarm PSO-based optimization approach. *Water Resources Management*, *26*(2), 407–427.
- Papalexiou, S. M., Rajulapati, C. R., Clark, M. P., & Lehner, F. (2020). Robustness of CMIP6 historical global mean temperature simulations: Trends, long-term persistence, autocorrelation, and distributional shape. *Earth's Future*, *8*(10), e2020EF001667.
- Pereira, L. S., Paredes, P., & Jovanovic, N. (2020). Soil water balance models for determining crop water and irrigation requirements and irrigation scheduling focusing on the FAO56 method and the dual Kc approach. *Agricultural Water Management*, *241*, 106357.
- Perry, C. J. (1996). The IIMI water balance framework: A model for project level analysis. In *Research Report 5*. Colombo, Sri Lanka: International Irrigation Management Institute (IIMI).
- Pham, B. T., & Prakash, I. (2019). A novel hybrid model of Bagging-based Naïve Bayes Trees for landslide susceptibility assessment. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, *78*(3), 1911–1925.
- Pham, L., Luo, L., & Finley, A. (2021). Evaluation of Random Forest for short-term daily streamflow forecast in rainfall and snowmelt driven watersheds. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences Discussions*, *25*(6), 2997–3015.

- Pinto, R. J., Borges, C. L. T., & Maceira, M. E. P. (2013). An efficient parallel algorithm for large scale hydrothermal system operation planning. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 28(4), 4888–4896.
- Postel, S. L., Daily, G. C., & Ehrlich, P. R. (1996). Human appropriation of renewable fresh water. In *Science* (Vol. 271).
- Pour, S. H., Wahab, A. K. A., Shahid, S., & Ismail, Z. B. (2020). Changes in reference evapotranspiration and its driving factors in peninsular Malaysia. *Atmospheric Research*, 246, 105096.
- Priestley, C. H. B., & Taylor, R. J. (1972). On the assessment of surface heat flux and evaporation using large-scale parameters. *Monthly Weather Review*, 100(2), 81–92.
- Qin, Y. (2020). Urban flooding mitigation techniques: A systematic review and future studies. *Water (Switzerland)*, 12(12), 3579.
- Rahman, A. K. A., Abdullah, R., Balu, N., & Shariff, F. M. (2013). The impact of La Niña and El Niño events on crude palm oil prices : An econometric analysis. *Oil Palm Industry Economic Journal*, 13(2), 38–51.
- Rajamoorthy, Y., Rahim, K. b. A., & Munusamy, S. (2015). Rice industry in Malaysia: Challenges, policies and implications. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 31(15), 861–867.
- Rani, D., & Moreira, M. M. (2009). Simulation-optimization modeling: A survey and potential application in reservoir systems operation. *Water Resources Management*, 24(6), 1107–1138.
- Rashid, M. F. A., Rahman, A. A., & Rashid, S. M. R. A. (2021). Analyzing the factors and effects of water supply disruption in Penang Island, Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Society and Space*, 17(3), 62–75.
- Raza, A., Razzaq, A., Mehmood, S. S., Zou, X., Zhang, X., Lv, Y., & Xu, J. (2019). Impact of climate change on crops adaptation and strategies to tackle its outcome: A review. *Plants (Basel, Switzerland)*, 8(2), 34.
- Raza, A., Shoab, M., Faiz, M. A., Baig, F., Khan, M. M., Ullah, M. K., & Zubair, M. (2020). Comparative assessment of reference evapotranspiration estimation using conventional method and machine learning algorithms in four climatic regions. *Pure and Applied Geophysics*, 177(9), 4479–4508.

- Razak, M. I. M., Hamzah, A. S. M. A., Abas, N., Idris, R., & Ibrahim, Z. (2014). Sustaining food production for food security in Malaysia. *Journal of Economics and Development Studies*, 1(2), 19–25.
- Refshaard, J. C. (1996). The role of distributed hydrological modelling in water resources management. In Refshaard, J. C. (Ed.), *Distributed Hydrological Modelling*. Germany: Springer.
- Refshaard, J. C., & Storm, B. (1995). Computer models of watershed hydrology. In Singh, V. P. (Ed.), *MIKE SHE* (pp. 809–846). Colorado, USA: Water Resources Publications.
- Riahi, K., van Vuuren, D. P., Kriegler, E., Edmonds, J., O’Neill, B. C., Fujimori, S., Bauer, N., Calvin, K., Dellink, R., Fricko, O., Lutz, W., Popp, A., Cuaresma, J. C., KC, S., Leimbach, M., Jiang, L., Kram, T., Rao, S., Emmerling, J., ... Tavoni, M. (2017). The Shared Socioeconomic Pathways and their energy, land use, and greenhouse gas emissions implications: An overview. *Global Environmental Change*, 42, 153–168.
- Richardson, C. W. (1981). Stochastic modelling of daily precipitation, temperature and solar radiation. *Water Resources Research*, 17(1), 182–190.
- Rockström, J., Karlberg, L., Wani, S. P., Barron, J., Hatibu, N., Oweis, T., Bruggeman, A., Farahani, J., & Qiang, Z. (2010). Managing water in rainfed agriculture - The need for a paradigm shift. *Agricultural Water Management*, 97(4), 543–550.
- Rosenblatt, F. (1962). Principles of neurodynamics. In *The American Mathematical Monthly*. New York, USA: Cornell Aeronautical Laboratory, Inc.
- Rosenthal, R. E. (1981). Nonlinear network flow algorithm for maximization of benefits in a hydroelectric power system. *Operations Research*, 29(4), 763–786.
- Rowshon, M. K. (2006). *Modelling Water Allocation for a Run-of-the-River Rice Irrigation Scheme Using GIS*. PhD thesis, Universiti Putra Malaysia.
- Rowshon, M. K., Dlamini, N. S., Mojid, M. A., Adib, M. N. M., Amin, M. S. M., & Lai, S. H. (2019). Modeling climate-smart decision support system (CSDSS) for analyzing water demand of a large-scale rice irrigation scheme. *Agricultural Water Management*, 216, 138–152.

- Rowshon, M. K., Kwok, C. Y., & Lee, T. S. (2003a). GIS-based scheduling and monitoring irrigation delivery for rice irrigation system. Part II. Monitoring. *Agricultural Water Management*, 62(2), 117–126.
- Rowshon, M. K., Kwok, C. Y., & Lee, T. S. (2003b). GIS-based scheduling and monitoring of irrigation delivery for rice irrigation system. Part I. Scheduling. *Agricultural Water Management*, 62(2), 105–116.
- Rowshon, M. K., Mojid, M. A., Amin, M. S. M., Azwan, M., & Yazid, A. M. (2014). Improving irrigation water delivery performance of a large-scale rice irrigation scheme. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*, 140(8), 04014027.
- Rummukainen, M. (2010). State-of-the-art with regional climate models. *WIREs Climate Change*, 1(1), 82–96.
- Sadiq, N. (2014). Stochastic modelling of the daily rainfall frequency and amount. *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, 39(7), 5691–5702.
- Sahoo, B. B., Jha, R., Singh, A., & Kumar, D. (2019). Application of support vector regression for modeling low flow time series. *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, 23(2), 923–934.
- Salas, J. D., Delleur, J. W., Yevjevich, V., & Lane, W. L. (1980). *Applied Modeling of Hydrologic Time Series*. Littleton, Colorado, USA: Water Resources Publications.
- Samadianfard, S., Jarhan, S., Salwana, E., Mosavi, A., Shamshirband, S., & Akib, S. (2019). Support vector regression integrated with fruit fly optimization algorithm for river flow forecasting in Lake Urmia Basin. *Water (Switzerland)*, 11(9), 1934.
- Santos, C. E. da S., Sampaio, R. C., Coelho, L. dos S., Bestarsd, G. A., & Llanos, C. H. (2021). Multi-objective adaptive differential evolution for SVM/SVR hyperparameters selection. *Pattern Recognition*, 110, 107649.
- Sarwar, M. J., & Khanif, Y. M. (2005). Techniques of water saving in rice production in Malaysia. *Asia Journal of Plant Sciences*, 4(1), 83–84.
- Sathish, V., & Khader, P. S. A. (2016). Enhanced hybrid model of Support Vector-Grey Wolf optimizer technique to improve the classifier's detection accuracy in designing the efficient intrusion detection model. *Asian Journal of Applied Sciences*, 4(1), 135–148.

- Schmidt, L., Heße, F., Attinger, S., & Kumar, R. (2020). Challenges in applying machine learning models for hydrological inference: A case study for flooding events across Germany. *Water Resources Research*, *56*(5), e2019WR025924.
- Schonlau, M., & Zou, R. Y. (2020). The random forest algorithm for statistical learning. *Stata Journal*, *20*(1), 3–29.
- Seland, Ø., Bentsen, M., Olivie, D., Toniazzo, T., Gjermundsen, A., Graff, L. S., Debernard, J. B., Gupta, A. K., He, Y. C., Kirkevåg, A., Schwinger, J., Tjiputra, J., Schanke Aas, K., Bethke, I., Fan, Y., Griesfeller, J., Grini, A., Guo, C., Ilicak, M., ... Schulz, M. (2020). Overview of the Norwegian Earth System Model (NorESM2) and key climate response of CMIP6 DECK, historical, and scenario simulations. *Geoscientific Model Development*, *13*(12), 6165–6200.
- Semenov, M. A., & Barrow, E. M. (1997). Use of a stochastic weather generator in the development of climate change scenarios. *Climatic Change*, *35*(4), 397–414.
- Shah, P. V., Mistry, R. N., Amin, J. B., Parmar, A. M., & Shaikh, M. R. A. (2015). Irrigation scheduling using CROPWAT. *International Journal of Advance Research in Engineering, Science & Technology (IJAREST)*, *2*(4), 192–201.
- Shahid, S. (2011). Impact of climate change on irrigation water demand of dry season Boro rice in northwest Bangladesh. *Climatic Change*, *105*(3–4), 433–453.
- Sharip, Z., & Zaki, A. T. A. (2014). The effects of season and sand mining activities on thermal regime and water quality in a large shallow tropical lake. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, *186*(8), 4959–4969.
- Sheehy, J. E., & Mitchell, P. L. (2013). *Designing Rice for the 21st Century: The Three Laws of Maximum Yield* (Discussion Paper Series No. 48). Los Baños (Philippines): International Rice Research Institute (IRRI).
- Sherman, L. K. (1932). Stream flow from rainfall by the unit graph method. *Engineering News-Record*, *108*, 501–505.
- Shevade, S. K., Keerthi, S. S., Bhattacharyya, C., & Murthy, K. R. K. (2000). Improvements to the SMO algorithm for SVM regression. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, *11*(5), 1188–1193.
- Sheykhmousa, M., Mahdianpari, M., Ghanbari, H., Mohammadimanesh, F., Ghamisi, P., & Homayouni, S. (2020). Support Vector Machine versus Random Forest for Remote Sensing Image Classification: A Meta-analysis and systematic review. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, *13*, 6308–6325.

- Shokri, A., Bozorg-Haddad, O., & Mariño, M. A. (2013). Reservoir operation for simultaneously meeting water demand and sediment flushing: Stochastic dynamic programming approach with two uncertainties. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, 139(3), 277–289.
- Sidhu, R. K., Kumar, R., & Rana, P. S. (2020). Machine learning based crop water demand forecasting using minimum climatological data. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 79(19–20), 13109–13124.
- Siebert, S., Döll, P., Hoogeveen, J., Faures, J. M., Frenken, K., & Feick, S. (2005). Development and validation of the global map of irrigation areas. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 9(5), 535–547.
- Singh, A., Panda, S. N., Saxena, C. K., Verma, C. L., Uzokwe, V. N. E., Krause, P., & Gupta, S. K. (2016). Optimization modeling for conjunctive use planning of surface water and groundwater for irrigation. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*, 142(3), 04015060.
- Singh, B., Mishra, S., Bisht, D. S., & Joshi, R. (2021). Growing Rice with Less Water: Improving Productivity by Decreasing Water Demand. In Ali, J. & Wani, S. H. (Eds.), *Rice Improvement* (pp. 147–170). Springer, Cham.
- Smola, A. J., & Schölkopf, B. (2004). A tutorial on support vector regression. *Statistics and Computing*, 14, 199–222.
- Soleimani, S., Bozorg-Haddad, O., & Loáiciga, H. A. (2016). Reservoir operation rules with uncertainties in reservoir inflow and agricultural demand derived with stochastic dynamic programming. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*, 142(11), 04016046.
- Song, Y. H., Chung, E. S., & Shahid, S. (2022). Uncertainties in evapotranspiration projections associated with estimation methods and CMIP6 GCMs for South Korea. *Science of the Total Environment*, 825, 153953.
- Song, Y. H., Nashwan, M. S., Chung, E. S., & Shahid, S. (2021). Advances in CMIP6 INM-CM5 over CMIP5 INM-CM4 for precipitation simulation in South Korea. *Atmospheric Research*, 247, 105261.
- Sreenivasan, K. R., & Vedula, S. (1996). Reservoir operation for hydropower optimization: A chance-constrained approach. *Sadhana*, 21(4), 503–510.
- Stouffer, R. J., Eyring, V., Meehl, G. A., Bony, S., Senior, C., Stevens, B., & Taylor, K. E. (2017). CMIP5 scientific gaps and recommendations for CMIP6. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 98(1), 95–105.

- Stute, M., Clement, A., & Lohmann, G. (2001). Global climate models: Past, present, and future. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 98(19), 10529–10530.
- Suhaila, J., & Jemain, A. A. (2009a). A comparison of the rainfall patterns between stations on the East and the West coasts of Peninsular Malaysia using the smoothing model of rainfall amounts. *Meteorological Applications*, 16(3), 391–401.
- Suhaila, J., & Jemain, A. A. (2009b). Investigating the impacts of adjoining wet days on the distribution of daily rainfall amounts in Peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Hydrology*, 368(1–4), 17–25.
- Suhaila, J., & Yusop, Z. (2018). Trend analysis and change point detection of annual and seasonal temperature series in Peninsular Malaysia. *Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics*, 130(5), 565–581.
- Sunyer, M. A., Madsen, H., & Ang, P. H. (2012). A comparison of different regional climate models and statistical downscaling methods for extreme rainfall estimation under climate change. *Atmospheric Research*, 103, 119–128.
- Sveinsson, O. G. B., Salas, J. D., Lane, W., & Frevert, D. (2007). *Stochastic Analysis, Modeling, and Simulation (SAMS) Version 2007, User's Manual*. Colorado, USA: Colorado, USA: U.S Department of Interior Bureau of Reclamation Denver, Colorado, USA.
- Swart, N. C., Cole, J. N. S., Kharin, V. V., Lazare, M., Scinocca, J. F., Gillett, N. P., Anstey, J., Arora, V., Christian, J. R., Hanna, S., Jiao, Y., Lee, W. G., Majaess, F., Saenko, O. A., Seiler, C., Seinen, C., Shao, A., Sigmond, M., Solheim, L., ... Winter, B. (2019). The Canadian Earth System Model version 5 (CanESM5.0.3). *Geoscientific Model Development*, 12(11), 4823–4873.
- Tadese, M., Kumar, L., & Koech, R. (2020). Long-term variability in potential evapotranspiration, water availability and drought under climate change scenarios in the Awash River Basin, Ethiopia. *Atmosphere*, 11(9), 883.
- Taghvaeian, S., Andales, A. A., Allen, L. N., Kisekka, I., O'Shaughnessy, S. A., Porter, D. O., Sui, R., Irmak, S., Fulton, A., & Aguilar, J. (2020). Irrigation scheduling for agriculture in the united states: The progress made and the path forward. *Transactions of the ASABE*, 63(5), 1603–1618.

- Talib, S. H. A., Yusoff, M. S., Hasan, Z. A., Ismail, W. R., & Abustan, M. S. (2016). Nutrient concentration distribution in sediment and overlying water at Bukit Merah Reservoir, Perak. *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 47, 05004.
- Tan, M. L., Gassman, P. W., Srinivasan, R., Arnold, J. G., & Yang, X. Y. (2019). A review of SWAT studies in Southeast Asia: Applications, challenges and future directions. *Water (Switzerland)*, 11(5), 914.
- Tan, M. L., Liang, J., Samat, N., Chan, N. W., Haywood, J. M., & Hodges, K. (2021). Hydrological extremes and responses to climate change in the Kelantan River Basin, Malaysia, based on the CMIP6 high-resolution experiments. *Water (Switzerland)*, 13(11), 1472.
- Tan, Q., Fang, G., Wen, X., Lei, X., Wang, X., Wang, C., & Ji, Y. (2020). Bayesian stochastic dynamic programming for hydropower generation operation based on copula functions. *Water Resources Management*, 34(5), 1589–1607.
- Tang, K. H. D. (2019). Climate change in Malaysia: Trends, contributors, impacts, mitigation and adaptations. *Science of the Total Environment*, 650, 1858–1871.
- Tao, X., Chen, H., Xu, C., Hou, Y., & Jie, M. (2015). Analysis and prediction of reference evapotranspiration with climate change in Xiangjiang River Basin, China. *Water Science and Engineering*, 8(4), 273–281.
- Tawang, A., Ahmad, T. A. T., & Abdullah, M. Y. (2003). *Stabilization of Upland Agriculture under El Niño Induced Climatic Risk: Impact Assessment and Mitigation Measures in Malaysia* (Working Paper No. 61). Bogor, Indonesia: CGPRT Centre.
- Tayfur, G. (2017). Modern optimization methods in water resources planning, engineering and management. *Water Resources Management*, 31(10), 3205–3233.
- Tejada-Guibert, J. A., Johnson, S. A., & Stedinger, J. R. (1993). Comparison of two approaches for implementing multireservoir operating policies derived using stochastic dynamic programming. *Water Resources Research*, 29(12), 3969–3980.
- Teles, G., Rodrigues, J. J. P. C., Rabêlo, R. A. L., & Kozlov, S. A. (2020). Comparative study of support vector machines and random forests machine learning algorithms on credit operation. *Software - Practice and Experience*, 51(12), 2492–2500.

- Teymouri, M., & Fathzadeh, A. (2015). Stochastic modeling of monthly river flow forecasting (case study : Atrak River Basin , Iran). *Journal of Selçuk University Natural and Applied Science*, 4(2), 38–48.
- Thomas, H. A., & Fiering, M. B. (1962). *Mathematical Synthesis of Streamflow Sequences for the Analysis of River Basins by Simulation*. Cambridge, Mass: Havard University Press.
- Tikhamarine, Y., Malik, A., Kumar, A., Souag-Gamane, D., & Kisi, O. (2019). Estimation of monthly reference evapotranspiration using novel hybrid machine learning approaches. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 64(15), 1824–1842.
- Todini, E., & Wallis, J. R. (1977). Using CLS for daily or longer period rainfall-runoff modelling. *Mathematical Models for Surface Water Hydrology*, 100, 149–168.
- Tongal, H., & Booij, M. J. (2018). Simulation and forecasting of streamflows using machine learning models coupled with base flow separation. *Journal of Hydrology*, 564, 266–282.
- Toriman, M. E., & Mokhtar, M. (2012). Irrigation: Types, sources and problems in Malaysia. In Lee, T. S. (Ed.), *Irrigation Systems and Practices in Challenging Environments*. IntechOpen.
- Tospornsampan, J., Kita, I., Ishii, M., & Kitamura, Y. (2005). Optimization of a multiple reservoir system operation using a combination of genetic algorithm and discrete differential dynamic programming: A case study in Mae Klong system, Thailand. *Paddy and Water Environment*, 3(1), 29–38.
- Tripathi, A. D., Mishra, R., Maurya, K. K., Singh, R. B., & Wilson, D. W. (2018). Estimates for world population and global food availability for global health. In Singh, R. B., Watson, R. R., & Takahashi, T. (Eds.), *The Role of Functional Food Security in Global Health* (pp. 3–24). Elsevier Inc.
- Tripathi, A., Tripathi, D. K., Chauhan, D. K., Kumar, N., & Singh, G. S. (2016). Paradigms of climate change impacts on some major food sources of the world: A review on current knowledge and future prospects. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 216, 356–373.
- Trott, W. J., & Yeh, W. W.-G. (1973). Optimization of multiple reservoir system. *Journal of the Hydraulics Division*, 99(10), 1865–1884.

- Trzaska, S., & Schnarr, E. (2014). A review of downscaling methods for climate change projections. In *United States Agency for International Development*. Tetra Tech ARD.
- Tukimat, N. N. A. (2014). *Reservoir System Modelling using Nondominated Sorting Genetic Algorithm in the Framework of Climate Change*. PhD Thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Tukimat, N. N. A., & Harun, S. (2019). Comparative study on the reservoir operation planning with the climate change adaptation. *SN Applied Sciences*, *1*, 1449.
- Tukimat, N. N. A., & Harun, S. (2021). Evaluation the Performances of Stochastic Streamflow Models for the Multi Reservoirs. *International Journal of Integrated Engineering*, *13*(1), 303–310.
- Tukimat, N. N. A., Harun, S., & Shahid, S. (2017). Modeling irrigation water demand in a tropical paddy cultivated area in the context of climate change. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, *143*(7), 05017003.
- Turgut, M. S., Turgut, O. E., Afan, H. A., & El-Shafie, A. (2019). A novel Master–Slave optimization algorithm for generating an optimal release policy in case of reservoir operation. *Journal of Hydrology*, *577*, 123959.
- Tyralis, H., Papacharalampous, G., & Langousis, A. (2019). A brief review of random forests for water scientists and practitioners and their recent history in water resources. *Water (Switzerland)*, *11*(5), 910.
- USACE-HEC. (2000). *Hydrologic modeling system HEC-HMS: technical reference manual*. California, USA: US Army Corps of Engineers Hydrologic Engineering Center.
- Vaghefi, N., Shamsudin, M. N., Radam, A., & Rahim, K. A. (2016). Impact of climate change on food security in Malaysia: economic and policy adjustments for rice industry. *Journal of Integrative Environmental Sciences*, *13*(1), 19–35.
- Valencia, R., & Schaake, J. C. (1973). Disaggregation processes in stochastic hydrology. *Water Resources Research*, *9*(3), 580–585.
- van Vuuren, D. P., Edmonds, J., Kainuma, M., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., Hibbard, K., Hurtt, G. C., Kram, T., Krey, V., Lamarque, J. F., Masui, T., Meinshausen, M., Nakicenovic, N., Smith, S. J., & Rose, S. K. (2011). The representative concentration pathways: An overview. *Climatic Change*, *109*(1), 5–31.
- Vanderbei, R. J. (2006). *LOQO User's Manual - Version 4.05*. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University.

- Vapnik, V. (1995). *The Nature of Statistical Learning Theory*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Vapnik, V., Golowich, S., & Smola, A. (1997). Support vector method for function approximation, regression estimation, and signal processing. In Mozer, M., Jordan, M., & Petsche, T. (Eds.), *Neural Information Processing Systems* (Vol 9, pp. 281–287). Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Vasiliadis, B. H. V., & Karamouz, M. (1994). Demand-driven operation of reservoirs using uncertainty-based optimal operating policies. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, 120(1), 101–114.
- Vedula, S., & Mujumdar, P. P. (1992). Optimal reservoir operation for irrigation of multiple crops. *Water Resources Research*, 28(1), 1–9.
- Vedula, S., & Mujumdar, P. P. (2005). *Water Resources Systems: Modelling Techniques and Analysis*. New Delhi: Tata McGraw Hill.
- Verdin, A., Rajagopalan, B., Kleiber, W., Podestá, G., & Bert, F. (2018). A conditional stochastic weather generator for seasonal to multi-decadal simulations. *Journal of Hydrology*, 556, 835–846.
- von Storch, H., Zorita, E., & Cubash, U. (1993). Downscaling of global climate change estimates to regional scales: An application to Iberian rainfall in wintertime. *Journal of Climate*, 6, 1161–1171.
- Vu, T. M., Mishra, A. K., Konapala, G., & Liu, D. (2018). Evaluation of multiple stochastic rainfall generators in diverse climatic regions. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, 32(5), 1337–1353.
- Wan, S., & Yang, H. (2013). Comparison among methods of ensemble learning. *2013 International Symposium on Biometrics and Security Technologies*, 286–290.
- Wan Zin, W. Z., Jamaludin, S., Deni, S. M., & Jemain, A. A. (2010). Recent changes in extreme rainfall events in Peninsular Malaysia: 1971-2005. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 99(3–4), 303–314.
- Wang, K. (2001). Computational intelligence in agile manufacturing engineering. In Gunasekaran, A. (Ed.), *Agile Manufacturing: The 21st Century Competitive Strategy* (pp. 297–315). North Dartmouth, USA: Elsevier Science Ltd.
- Wickham, T. H., & Singh, V. P. (1978). *Water Movement Through Wet Soils*. Los BanAos, Philippines: Soils and Rice, International Rice Research Institute.
- Wilby, R. L., Charles, S. P., Zorita, E., Timbal, B., Whetton, P., & Mearns, L. O. (2004). *Guidelines for Use of Climate Scenarios Developed from Statistical*

- Downscaling Methods*. IPCC Task Group on Data and Scenario Support for Impact and Climate Analysis (TGICA). Retrieved from [https://www.ipcc-data.org/guidelines/dgm\\_no2\\_v1\\_09\\_2004.pdf](https://www.ipcc-data.org/guidelines/dgm_no2_v1_09_2004.pdf)
- Wilby, R. L., Dawson, C. W., & Barrow, E. M. (2002). SDSM - A decision support tool for the assessment of regional climate change impacts. *Environmental Modelling and Software*, *17*(2), 145–157.
- Wilby, R. L., & Harris, I. (2006). A framework for assessing uncertainties in climate change impacts: Low-flow scenarios for the River Thames, UK. *Water Resources Research*, *42*(2), W02419.
- Wilby, R. L., Troni, J., Biot, Y., Tedd, L., Hewitson, B. C., Smith, D. M., & Sutton, R. T. (2009). A review of climate risk information for adaptation and development planning. *International Journal of Climatology*, *29*(9), 1193–1215.
- Wilby, R. L., & Wigley, T. M. L. (1997). Downscaling general circulation model output: A review of methods and limitations. *Progress in Physical Geography*, *21*(4), 530–548.
- Wilks, D. S. (1999). Multisite downscaling of daily precipitation with a stochastic weather generator. *Climate Research*, *11*(2), 125–136.
- Wilks, D. S. (2010). Use of stochastic weather generators for precipitation downscaling. *WIREs Climate Change*, *1*(6), 898–907.
- Wilks, D. S., & Wilby, R. L. (1999). The weather generation game: A review of stochastic weather models. *Progress in Physical Geography*, *23*(3), 329–357.
- Wolpert, D. H. (1992). Stacked generalization (stacking). *Neural Networks*, *5*(2), 241–259.
- Wong, C. L., Yusop, Z., & Ismail, T. (2018). Trend Of daily rainfall and temperature in Peninsular Malaysia based on gridded data set. *International Journal of GEOMATE*, *14*(44), 65–72.
- Wong, K. W., Wong, P. M., Gedeon, T. D., & Fung, C. C. (2003). A state-of-the-art review of fuzzy logic for reservoir evaluation. *The APPEA Journal*, *43*(1), 587.
- Wopereis, M. C. S., Bouman, B. A. M., Kropff, M. J., ten Berge, H. F. M., & Maligaya, A. R. (1994). Water use efficiency of flooded rice fields I. Validation of the soil-water balance model SAWAH. *Agricultural Water Management*, *26*(4), 277–289.

- Wright, J. L. (1982). New evapotranspiration crop coefficients. *Journal of the Irrigation and Drainage Division*, 108(1), 57–74.
- Wu, C. H., Tzeng, G. H., & Lin, R. H. (2009). A Novel hybrid genetic algorithm for kernel function and parameter optimization in support vector regression. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 36(3), 4725–4735.
- Wu, M. C., Lin, G. F., & Lin, H. Y. (2014). Improving the forecasts of extreme streamflow by support vector regression with the data extracted by self-organizing map. *Hydrological Processes*, 28(2), 386–397.
- Wurbs, R. A. (1993). Reservoir-system simulation and optimization models. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, 119(4), 455–472.
- Xing, W., Wang, W., Shao, Q., Yu, Z., Yang, T., & Fu, J. (2016). Periodic fluctuation of reference evapotranspiration during the past five decades: Does Evaporation Paradox really exist in China? *Scientific Reports*, 6, 39503.
- Xu, C. Y. (1999). Climate change and hydrologic models: A review of existing gaps and recent research developments. *Water Resources Management*, 13(5), 369–382.
- Xu, W., Zhang, C., Peng, Y., Fu, G., & Zhou, H. (2014). A two stage Bayesian stochastic optimization model for cascaded hydropower systems considering varying uncertainty of flow forecasts. *Water Resources Research*, 50(12), 9267–9286.
- Yasarer, L. M. W., & Sturm, B. S. M. (2016). Potential impacts of climate change on reservoir services and management approaches. *Lake and Reservoir Management*, 32(1), 13–26.
- Yeh, W. W.-G. (1985). Reservoir management and operations models: A state-of-the-art review. *Water Resources Research*, 21(12), 1797–1818.
- Yin, J., Yuan, Z., Yan, D., Yang, Z., & Wang, Y. (2018). Addressing climate change impacts on streamflow in the Jinsha River basin based on CMIP5 climate models. *Water (Switzerland)*, 10(7), 910.
- Yoo, S. H., Choi, J. Y., Nam, W. H., & Hong, E. (2012). Analysis of design water requirement of paddy rice using frequency analysis affected by climate change in South Korea. *Agricultural Water Management*, 112, 33–42.
- You, G. J. Y., Thum, B. H., & Lin, F. H. (2014). The examination of reproducibility in hydro-ecological characteristics by daily synthetic flow models. *Journal of Hydrology*, 511, 904–919.

- Yuan, X., Zhang, Y., & Yuan, Y. (2008). Improved self-adaptive chaotic genetic algorithm for hydrogeneration scheduling. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, 134(4), 319–325.
- Yue, W., Cai, Y., Xu, L., Tan, Q., & Yin, X. (2017). Adaptation strategies for mitigating agricultural GHG emissions under dual-level uncertainties with the consideration of global warming impacts. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, 31(4), 961–979.
- Yukimoto, S., Kawai, H., Koshiro, T., Oshima, N., Yoshida, K., Urakawa, S., Tsujino, H., Deushi, M., Tanaka, T., Hosaka, M., Yabu, S., Yoshimura, H., Shindo, E., Mizuta, R., Obata, A., Adachi, Y., & Ishii, M. (2019). The meteorological research institute Earth system model version 2.0, MRI-ESM2.0: Description and basic evaluation of the physical component. *Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan*, 97(5), 931–965.
- Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. *Information and Control*, 8, 338–353.
- Zadeh, L. A. (1983). The role of fuzzy logic in the management of uncertainty in expert systems. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 11(1–3), 199–227.
- Zamani, R., Akhond-Ali, A. M., Ahmadianfar, I., & Elagib, N. A. (2017). Optimal reservoir operation under climate change based on a probabilistic approach. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 22(10), 05017019.
- Zangeneh Sirdari, Z., Ab Ghani, A., & Hassan, Z. A. (2014). Bedload transport of small rivers in Malaysia. *International Journal of Sediment Research*, 29(4), 481–490.
- Zargar, M., Samani, H. M. V., & Haghghi, A. (2016). Optimization of gated spillways operation for flood risk management in multi-reservoir systems. *Natural Hazards*, 82(1), 299–320.
- Zeng, Z., Wu, W., Zhou, Y., Li, Z., Hou, M., & Huang, H. (2019). Changes in reference evapotranspiration over Southwest China during 1960-2018: Attributions and implications for drought. *Atmosphere*, 10(11), 705.
- Zewdie, G. K., Lary, D. J., Liu, X., Wu, D., & Levetin, E. (2019). Estimating the daily pollen concentration in the atmosphere using machine learning and NEXRAD weather radar data. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 191(7), 418.
- Zhang, D., Sial, M. S., Ahmad, N., Filipe, J. A., Thu, P. A., Zia-Ud-din, M., & Caleiro, A. B. (2021). Water scarcity and sustainability in an emerging economy: A management perspective for future. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(1), 144.

- Zhang, Y., Jiang, Z., Ji, C., & Sun, P. (2015). Contrastive analysis of three parallel modes in multi-dimensional dynamic programming and its application in cascade reservoirs operation. *Journal of Hydrology*, 529, 22–34.
- Zhao, T., Zhao, J., & Yang, D. (2014). Improved dynamic programming for hydropower reservoir operation. *Journal of Water Resources Planning and Management*, 140(3), 365–374.
- Zhou, Y., Shang, Y., Li, J., & Tang, Q. (2017). Stochastic long time series rainfall generation method. *International Low Impact Development Conference China 2016 - ASCE*, 92–99.
- Zin, W. Z. W., Jemain, A. A., & Ibrahim, K. (2013). Analysis of drought condition and risk in Peninsular Malaysia using Standardised Precipitation Index. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 111(3–4), 559–568.
- Zou, R., Lung, W. S., & Wu, J. (2007). An adaptive neural network embedded genetic algorithm approach for inverse water quality modeling. *Water Resources Research*, 43(8), W08427.

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

### Journal with Impact Factor

1. **Adib, M. N. M., & Harun, S.** (2022). Metalearning approach coupled with CMIP6 multi-GCM for future monthly streamflow forecasting. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 27(6), 05022004.  
[https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)HE.1943-5584.0002176](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0002176). **(Q2, IF: 2.064)**

### Indexed Journal

1. **Adib, M. N. M., Harun, S., & Rowshon, M. K.** (2022). Long-term rainfall projection based on CMIP6 scenarios for Kurau River Basin of rice-growing irrigation scheme, Malaysia. *SN Applied Sciences*, 4, 70.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-022-04952-x>. **(Indexed by SCOPUS)**