

HULL RESISTANCE AND AIR-INJECTED BALLAST FREE SYSTEM  
PERFORMANCE FOR LIQUIFIED NATURAL GAS SHIP

NORUL HIDAYAH BINTI KADIR

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy (Mechanical Engineering)

School of Mechanical Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

AUGUST 2022

## DEDICATION

*Bismillahirrahmanirrahim*

*To my beloved parents, to my caring husband, my precious Aqil Qayyum and my beautiful twins Durrani Syafia and Durrah Surfyna.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praises to Allah and His blessing for the completion of this thesis. I thank God for all the opportunities, trials and strength that have been showered on me to finish writing the thesis. I experienced so much during this process, not only from the academic aspect but also from the aspect of personality. My humblest gratitude to the holy Prophet Muhammad (Peace be upon him) whose way of life has been a continuous guidance for me.

I am extremely thankful to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Adi Maimun B. Hj. Abdul Malik for his noble guidance, support with full positive encouragement and enthusiasm to finish this thesis. I am grateful to my co-supervisor Dr. Arifah Binti Ali and Prof. Ir. Dr. Ab Saman B. Abd Kader for their valuable suggestions, ever encouraging and motivating guidance. In particular, I greatly acknowledge the support and encouragement from my previous supervisor Prof. Madya Dr. Mohd Zamani bin Ahmad. It has been a great pleasure and honour to have these experts as my supervisor and co-supervisor.

Very special thanks to technical staffs of UTM Marine Technology Centre; Mr Azlan bin Arip, Mr Ismail bin Abdul Syukor and teams who give their best during assisting my experimental works.

Most importantly, my deepest gratitude and grateful goes to all my family; my dearest father and mother, my sisters, my brother especially Md Amirul bin Kadir and my beloved husband for their unconditional, unequivocal, and loving support.

I offer my special thanks to Admin Staff in the School of Mechanical Engineering for their help and support in the administrative works. I also would like to extend my thanks to other lecturers, colleagues and friends.

May God shower the above cited personalities with success and honour in their life and I thank all of you wholeheartedly.

## ABSTRACT

Ballast water discharge may introduce and transport unwanted marine organisms to the discharging area. The Marine Environment Protection Committee (MEPC) of the International Maritime Organization (IMO) considers ballast water hazardous due to possibly have a negative impact on the receiving ecosystems. Many researchers have investigated possible solutions for the management of ballast water to minimize the risks, including the ballast-free system. However, the application of the ballast-free system has created a new issue on the hull resistance. Many techniques have been developed in order to reduce the frictional resistance of ship navigation. The need to have an advanced Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) ship with environmentally friendly and low fuel consumption has brought to the application of an LNG ship with an air-injection ballast-free system. This research aims to determine the effect of resistance on the LNG ship which has been fitted with ballast-free system and to evaluate how the air-injected pressured bubbles reduce ship resistance and improve the system performance. Firstly, the total hull resistance of the LNG model with a one-sided system was determined by simulation using ANSYS CFX and validated by laboratory experiment. Secondly, the resistance of the two-sided system was generated using ANSYS CFX. The experiment and simulation were limited to Froude number  $Fr=0.17$  to  $Fr=0.22$  at the ballast draft. The total resistance in the model's scale was extrapolated to the ship's scale according to the International Towing Tank Conference (ITTC – 1957) equations. From the extrapolated result, the LNG hull with the ballast-free system has increased the total bare hull resistance by 7.58% and 23.71% for one-sided and two-sided systems, respectively. The increment of resistance is due to the additional wetted surface area of the ballast tanks and pipes. Meanwhile, the 0.5 bar air injection shows the optimum resistance reduction compared to the other air injection pressures. The 0.5 bar air injection has reduced the total bare hull resistance by 20.17% and 24.67% for one-sided and two-sided systems, respectively. The reduction of resistance from the two systems is due to more area on the hull's bottom surface has been surrounded by air bubbles. Thus, these findings can be a guideline for the estimation of power calculation and future improvement from the current works.

## ABSTRAK

Pembuangan air balast mungkin mendatangkan dan memindahkan organisma marin yang tidak diinginkan ke kawasan pembuangan. Jawatankuasa Perlindungan Persekitaran Marin (MEPC) di bawah Organisasi Maritim Antarabangsa (IMO) menganggap air balast berbahaya kerana ia mungkin berimpak negatif kepada ekosistem penerima. Banyak penyelidikan dalam pengurusan air balast telah dijalankan bagi meminimumkan risikonya, termasuk sistem bebas balast. Namun, penggunaan sistem bebas balast menimbulkan masalah baru pada rintangan kapal. Banyak teknik telah dikembangkan untuk mengurangkan rintangan geseran dalam pelayaran kapal. Keperluan untuk memiliki kapal Gas Asli Cecair (LNG) yang mesra alam dan menjimatkan kos penggunaan bahan api telah membawa kepada aplikasi kapal LNG yang dilengkapi dengan sistem bebas balast suntikan udara. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk menentukan kesan rintangan pada kapal LNG yang dipasang sistem bebas balast dan mengkaji bagaimana peranan gelembung udara bertekanan yang disuntik dapat mengurangkan rintangan kapal serta meningkatkan prestasi sistem. Pertama, jumlah rintangan model kapal LNG bagi sistem satu-sisi ditentukan oleh simulasi dengan menggunakan ANSYS CFX dan disahkan melalui eksperimen. Kedua, rintangan model bagi system dua-sisi dihasilkan dengan menggunakan ANSYS CFX. Eksperimen dan simulasi dihadkan pada nombor Froude  $Fr=0.17$  hingga  $Fr=0.22$  pada draf balast. Jumlah rintangan dalam skala model diekstrapolasi kepada skala kapal mengikut persamaan Persidangan Tangki Tunda Antarabangsa (ITTC – 1957). Dari keputusan yang diekstrapolasi, kapal LNG dengan sistem bebas balast telah meningkatkan jumlah rintangan badan kapal licin sebanyak 7.58% dan 23.71% bagi sistem satu-sisi dan sistem dua-sisi masing-masing. Kenaikan rintangan adalah disebabkan oleh pertambahan luas permukaan basah tangki balast dan paip. Sementara itu, suntikan udara 0.5 bar menunjukkan pengurangan rintangan optimum berbanding tekanan suntikan udara yang lain. Suntikan udara 0.5 bar telah mengurangkan rintangan badan kapal licin sebanyak 20.17% dan 24.67% bagi sistem satu-sisi dan sistem dua-sisi masing-masing. Pengurangan rintangan tersebut disebabkan oleh lebih banyak permukaan bawah badan kapal telah dilitupi oleh gelembung udara. Dengan demikian, hasil kajian ini boleh dijadikan garis panduan untuk anggaran pengiraan kuasa dan penambahbaikan masa hadapan daripada kerja-kerja semasa.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>viii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xii</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xiv</b>
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xix</b>
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xx</b>
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xxii</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Background of Study	1
1.2	Problem Statement	4
1.3	Research Questions	6
1.4	Research Objectives	6
1.5	Research Scopes	7
1.6	Significance of Research	7
1.7	Thesis Outline	8
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1	Introduction	11
2.2	Ballast Water Management System	11
2.2.1	Ballast Free Water System (Continuous Flow Method)	15
2.2.2	Ballast Free System Effect on Ship Resistance	18
2.3	The Total Hull Resistance Components	21

2.4	Different Air Lubrication Techniques	23
2.5	Micro-bubble Ship Resistance Reduction	26
2.5.1	Micro-bubble Characteristics and Parameters	27
2.5.2	Micro-bubble Skin Friction Reduction Mechanism	30
2.5.2.1	Turbulence Suppression	30
2.5.2.2	Density Effect	31
2.5.2.3	Near-Wall Void Fraction	31
2.5.2.4	Reynold Stress	32
2.5.3	Method of Bubbles Generation	32
2.5.3.1	Electrolysis	33
2.5.3.2	Porous Method	33
2.5.3.3	Venturi Tube	34
2.5.3.4	Hydrofoil Bubble Generator	35
2.6	Hull Resistance Prediction through Experimental	35
2.7	Hull Resistance Prediction through CFD Simulation	37
2.7.1	Computational Domain and Boundary Conditions	39
2.8	Summary	41
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>43</b>
3.1	Introduction	43
3.2	Research Methodology Flowchart	43
3.3	LNG Tanker Description	45
3.4	The Concept of Air-Injected Ballast Free System for LNG Ship	47
3.4.1	Development of the Inlet and Outlet Plenum Positions for Ballast Free System	52
3.5	Experimental Parametric Study	55
3.5.1	Development of the Ballast Tank for Ballast Free System	57
3.5.2	Development of the Air Injection at the Outlet Position	59

3.5.3	The Air-Injection Bubbles Size	63
3.5.4	Resistance Test Condition	64
3.5.5	Resistance Prediction in Calm Water	66
3.5.5.1	Extrapolation Method to Full Scale	68
3.6	Computational Parametric Study	70
3.6.1	Simulation with Navier-Stokers Equation	71
3.6.2	Stages of Computational Fluid Dynamic (CFD) Simulation	73
3.6.2.1	Selection of Turbulence Model	73
3.6.2.2	Grid Independent Study	77
3.6.3	ANSYS CFD Simulation Set Up	78
3.6.3.1	Simulation with LNG Equipped with One-Sided of Air-Injected Ballast Free System	79
3.6.3.2	Simulation with LNG Equipped with Two-Sided of Air-Injected Ballast Free System	83
3.7	Summary	86
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>87</b>
4.1	Introduction	87
4.2	The Resistance of LNG: One-Sided of Air-Injected Ballast Free System	87
4.2.1	LNG Resistance Components	94
4.2.2	The LNG Resistance in Actual Ship Size	98
4.2.3	Bubble Characteristics	100
4.2.4	The Optimum Air-Injection Pressure Ballast Free System	103
4.2.5	The Simulation: The Wave Pattern Analysis	104
4.2.6	The Effects of Water Velocity and Water Volume Fraction	109
4.3	The Resistance of LNG: Two-Sided of Air-Injected Ballast Free System	126



4.4	Design and Cost Implication on the Air-Injected Ballast Free System	129
4.5	Summary	130
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>131</b>
5.1	Introduction	131
5.2	Conclusions	131
5.3	Recommendations for Future Works	133
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>135</b>
	<b>APPENDIX A</b>	<b>143</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Principal particulars of the Tenaga class LNG carrier (MTL 063)	45
3.2	Tested air injection pressure	61
3.3	Test protocol for resistance test for LNG bare hull and LNG model fitted with ballast free system	65
3.4	Test protocol for resistance test for LNG model fitted with air-injected pressure ballast free system	66
3.5	Fresh water properties according to ITTC 1957	67
3.6	Standard seawater properties according to ITTC 1957	69
3.7	Mesh characteristics	77
3.8	MTC Towing Tank particulars	79
3.9	The solver settings applied to the CFX simulation	82
3.10	The physical parameters involve in the CFX simulation	83
3.11	The physical parameters involved in the CFX simulation	85
4.1	Total resistance of LNG hull for each case and relative increase from bare hull	88
4.2	The validation of experiment result and simulation through ANSYS CFX	90
4.3	The calculated $C_T$ and $C_F$ for bare hull using ITTC 1957 equations, the determined $C_T$ using interpolation and $C_F$ using the minus operation of $C_T$ and $C_R$ for BFS and air-injected pressure	96
4.4	The total resistance performance for model and ship scale for each case	98
4.5	Percentage of smaller and bigger bubble size at difference air-injection pressure	102
4.6	Total resistance of simulation for LNG hull at model's scale	127

4.7	Total resistance of simulation for LNG hull at ship's scale	127
-----	---	-----

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	BMW Implementation Schedule (ABS Report, 2019)	14
2.2	Schematic of ballast free system of bulk carrier with ballast trunks and trim control system (Parsons, 2011)	15
2.3	Flooded buoyancy compartment (Arai <i>et al.</i> , 2010)	16
2.4	Locations of the inlet and exit valves in a buoyancy control compartment, fitted with a central weir to minimize plug flow and dead space areas (Arai <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	17
2.5	Conventional tanker model by Godey <i>et al.</i> (2012)	17
2.6	Two tested discharge locations by Parsons and Kotinis (2008)	19
2.7	Tested inlet location at bulbous bow (Parsons and Kotinis, 2008)	19
2.8	The inlet and outlet examined vent shapes (Arai <i>et al.</i> , 2011)	20
2.9	The velocity contour in the pipes (Godey <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	21
2.10	Basic ship resistance components (Molland <i>et al.</i> , 2017)	22
2.11	The wave pattern generated by a moving ship (Nascimento <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	23
2.12	The different air lubrication techniques. From the top: BRD, ALDR, and PCDR (Ceccio and Mäkiharju, 2012)	24
2.13	At higher speeds the friction drag reduction from bubble injection was lost 2 meters downstream of the injection site for $L \sim 13$ meters (Elbing <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	24
2.14	Comparison the effect on drag reduction between ALDR and BDR (Elbing <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	25
2.15	The below view of cavity closure at the beach. The arrows indicate the flow direction and gas clouds being shed from the closure (Ceccio and Mäkiharju, 2012)	26
2.16	Micro-bubble injector positions (Yaakob <i>et al.</i> , 2015)	29

2.17	Location of air outlet viewed from the bottom (Yaakob <i>et al.</i> , 2015)	29
2.18	Schematic diagram of hydrogen microbubbles experiment (McCormick and Bhattacharyya, 1973)	33
2.19	Schematic drawing of the microbubble's generation through a porous medium (Kawamura and Kodama, 2002)	34
2.20	Schematic sketch of the venturi tube type bubble generator	34
2.21	(a) Winged air induction pipe (WAIP). (b) Schematic drawing of the WAIP cross-section with a chord length of 40 mm, span-wise length of 240 mm, and angle of attack of 12° (Kumagai <i>et al.</i> , 2015)	35
2.22	The 70cm of catamaran model with three air injection locations (Sayyaadi and Nematollahi, 2013)	37
2.23	The example of computational domain and boundary conditions for ship model (Sridhar <i>et al.</i> , 2010)	40
2.24	The boundary conditions applied to the ship domain by Tezdogan <i>et al.</i> (2016)	40
3.1	Research methodology flowchart	44
3.2	MTL 063 body plan view	46
3.3	MTL 063 profile view	46
3.4	MTL 063 full breadth plan view	46
3.5	LNG model used for experiment (MTL 063)	47
3.6	An amidships section comparison between common LNG tanker and bulk carrier	48
3.7	Conventional Ballast System (Source: MISC, 1978)	49
3.8	Ballast Free System (Kotinis, 2005)	49
3.9	LNG model and transverse framing system for each tank	51
3.10	LNG model with injection pipe for each tank at port side	52
3.11	Computational grid on LNG hull surface for Ansys CFX simulations	53
3.12	Unstructured tetrahedral and prism grid for Ansys CFX	53
3.13	Pressure distribution on bow region and bulbous bow	54

3.14	Inlet plenum with 1.25cm diameter	54
3.15	Towing Tank of UTM Marine Technology Centre	55
3.16	Model of LNG Ship (MTL 063)	56
3.17	An example of a ship advancing in a towing tank, where L is the length of the ship model, w is tank width, u is the model speed and $\theta$ is the semi-wedge angle of the waves produced by the ship model.	57
3.18	Transverse framing system for each tank	58
3.19	Framing system using plywood material	58
3.20	Arrangement of piping system in the ship model	59
3.21	Setup to measure the outlet flowrate to select the hose diameter	61
3.22	Gas T-connector and air filter pressure regulators setup in the model	62
3.23	Air compressor	63
3.24	Graph paper that been used to measure bubble diameter	64
3.25	The comparison between the total resistances obtained from the experiment and the CFX simulation using two different turbulence model	74
3.26	The simulation result using SST turbulence model	75
3.27	The simulation result using RNG k-Epsilon turbulence model	76
3.28	The comparison of two size of mesh element on ballast tank and pipe	78
3.29	The comparison between two difference size of mesh element and experiment results	78
3.30	Computational domain of the LNG model in ANSYS Design Modeler: (a) front view (b) top view	80
3.31	The produced tetrahedral grid using ANSYS Mesh Modeler	81
3.32	Schematic diagram of the computational domain for LNG model	82
3.33	Computational domain of the symmetrical LNG model in ANSYS Design Modeler: (a) front view (b) top view	84

3.34	The produced tetrahedral grid using ANSYS Mesh Modeler in symmetrical LNG model	84
4.1	Comparison curve between the experimental and computational results of the LNG total resistance for case (a) bare hull (b) BFS (c) BFS+0.5bar (d) BFS+1.0bar (e) BFS+1.5bar	89
4.2	The friction resistance coefficient of LNG for case (a) bare hull (b) BFS (c) BFS+0.5bar (d) BFS+1.0bar (e) BFS+1.5bar	97
4.3	Percentage of relative increase of hull resistance compared to LNG bare hull with different Froude number	99
4.4	Comparison between BFS+0.5B experimental result with Yanuar <i>et al.</i> 's (2017) and Tuan <i>et al.</i> 's (2013) results	100
4.5	Bubble size distribution at different air-injection pressure	101
4.6	Distribution of bubble size at different air-injection pressure	102
4.7	The simulation resistance curve at 0.25bar, 0.5bar, 1.0bar and 1.5bar air-injection pressure ballast free system	104
4.8	The pressure contour, velocity streamlines and wave elevation along LNG hull at $Fr=0.19$	106
4.9	The pressure distribution along the bottom of the hull at $Fr=0.19$	108
4.10	Range of measured water velocity underneath of hull	109
4.11	The water velocity at $Fr=0.17$	110
4.12	The water velocity at $Fr=0.19$	111
4.13	The water velocity at $Fr=0.22$	112
4.14	The generated wave pattern at $Fr=0.17$	115
4.15	The generated wave pattern at $Fr=0.19$	116
4.16	The generated wave pattern at $Fr=0.22$	117
4.17	Location of measured discharged water velocity through outlet plenum	119
4.18	The water velocity at outlet plenums and in ballast tanks at $Fr=0.19$	119
4.19	The discharged water velocity at $Fr=0.17$	120

4.20	The discharged water velocity at $Fr=0.19$	121
4.21	The discharged water velocity at $Fr=0.22$	122
4.22	The water volume fraction on the LNG hull surface at $Fr=0.19$	123
4.23	The water volume fraction at outlet plenums and ballast tanks at $Fr=0.19$	124
4.24	The resistance reduction with the effect of water area fraction	126
4.25	Hull resistance with the effect of water area fraction for LNG hull with ballast free system	128
4.26	Hull resistance with the effect of water area fraction for LNG hull with air-injected ballast free system	128



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BFS	-	Ballast free system
NOBS	-	Zero ballast water
IMO	-	International Maritime Organization
NIS	-	Nonindigenous species
DNS	-	Direct Numerical Simulation
CFD	-	Computational Fluid Dynamic
LNG	-	Liquified Natural Gas
SST	-	Shear Stress Transport Turbulence Model
WSA	-	Wetted surface area
RANS	-	Reynolds Navier-Stokes
VOF	-	Volume of Fluid
ITTC	-	International Towing Tank Conference
MISC	-	Malaysia International Shipping Corporation
MTC	-	Marine Technology Center
D.A.A.S	-	Data Acquisition and Analysis System

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$B$	-	Breadth of a ship at waterline
$\rho$	-	Density of water
$T$	-	Draught of ship
$T_B$		Normal ballast water draught
$Re_m$	-	Reynold Number of water
$U$		fluid velocity
$Fr$	-	Froude Number
$g$	-	Gravitational acceleration
$C_b$	-	Ship block coefficient
$L_{OA}$	-	Length overall of ship
$L_{WL}$	-	Length at waterline of ship
$L_{PP}$	-	Length between perpendiculars of ship
$\nu$	-	Water kinematic velocity
$\mu$	-	Water dynamic viscosity
$S$	-	Wetted surface area
$\lambda$	-	Wavelength/scale factor
$L$	-	Length of one compartment of ballast tank model
$D$	-	Depth of one compartment of ballast tank model
$H$	-	Height of one compartment of ballast tank model
$H_{water}$	-	Water height
$R_{Tm}$	-	Total resistance of model
$R_{Fm}$	-	Friction resistance of the model
$R_{Rm}$	-	Residuary resistance of the model
$V$	-	Model velocity
$C_{Tm}$	-	Total resistance coefficient of the model
$C_{Fm}$	-	Friction resistance coefficient of the model
$C_{Rm}$	-	Residual resistance coefficient of the model
$k$	-	Turbulence kinetic energy
$\omega$	-	Specific dissipation rate
$\Gamma_k, \Gamma_\omega$	-	The effective diffusivity of $k$ and $\omega$

$\bar{G}_k, G_\omega$	-	The generation of turbulence kinetic energy due to mean velocity gradients and the generation of $\omega$
$Y_k, Y_\omega$	-	The dissipation of $k$ and $\omega$ due to turbulence
$D_\omega$	-	The cross-diffusion term
$S_k, S_\omega$	-	The user-defined source terms
$p_x, p_y, p_z$	-	Partial derivatives of pressure component
$u_t, v_t, w_t$	-	Partial derivative of velocity per rate time
$u_x, u_y, u_z$	-	Partial derivative of velocity $u$ at components axis
$v_x, v_y, v_z$	-	Partial derivative of velocity $v$ at components axis
$w_x, w_y, w_z$	-	Partial derivative of velocity $w$ at components axis
$f_i$	-	Acceleration due to the volumetric force

## LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Preview of design modelling, pre-processing, monitoring, post-processing	143
B	The simulation of one-sided system	145
C	The simulation of two-sided system	147

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background of Study

Most ships, such as cargo and liquid containers need adequate weight to submerge their propellers into the water so that they can safely operate by maintaining its stability, preventing structural damage and to provide good maneuverability with good efficiency that leading to affect fuel consumption of the ship. Sufficient weight is required for the ship to replace the weight that has been discharged in port. Thus, sea water will be pumped in through the valve into the ballast tank to stabilize the ship.

However, taking water from one area and discharging it to other areas can be likened to the transport of marine organisms to discharging areas. Mixing various marine organisms from various areas causes the emergence of new environments that may alter and impact the receiving ecosystems. As a solution, the water should be treated during the process of ballast water being pumped into the ship. Ballast water treatment consists of filtering large particles, ultraviolet (UV) radiation, ballast water heating, and chlorination of ballast water (Armstrong, 1997). According to IMO (2004), all ships shall remove and dispose of sediments from ballast spaces during exchanging process. Ballast water shall discharge 10 biotas per cubic meter greater than or equal to 50 microns and discharge fewer than 10 biotas per milliliter between 10 microns to 50 microns in minimum dimension.

In conjunction with the need to reduce ecosystem-related problems and avoid costly ballast water treatment, a Ballast Free Ship (BFS) Concept for bulk carriers was invented by Kotinis (2005) and extended by the research by Kotinis and Parsons until 2011. Rather than pumping in water into ballast tanks in the double bottom and side tanks, longitudinal structural tunnels have been introduced throughout the ship length, allowing water to flood through a forward plenum and discharge out from an aft

plenum. As demonstrated by the above authors, the total ballast free system requires raising the double bottom height to sufficiently bodily sink the ship for full propeller submergence. Consequently, the ship depth needs to be increased to compensate for the loss of cargo space. The ballast-free concept is suitable for large container vessel because this type of ship significantly uses ballast water to replace the weight that has been discharged at the port.

Other than that, in dealing with ballast water management solutions, there are three projects in which the concept of a ship with zero ballast water (NOBS) has been developed (GESAMP Reports – 2011): (i) Det Norse Veritas (DNV) – Volume Cargo Ship, (ii) Delft University of Technology (DUT) – Monomaran Hull, (iii) Daewoo Shipbuilding & Marine Management (DSME) – Solid Ballast Ship. The DNV concept is a tri-hull concept that provides a high level of stability, while the DUT concept indicates a monomer hull by adopting a catamaran shape to the underside of a single broad hull. When a ship operates in unloaded condition, its stability without ballast water requires adequate buoyancy. Both the DNV and DUT concepts achieved this by moving the displacement volume outward from the centerline and widening the ship's beam. In the case of the DSME concept, the ballast water is replaced by 25-tonne solid ballast in standard containers so that the conventional displacement hull is retained. However, this method is applicable to cargo ships only.

Meanwhile, Arai *et al.* (2010) has introduced a series of converted conventional ballast tanks that submerged to provide enough draught in the ballast condition and then continuously discharged at normal speed to ensure efficient exchange without the need uses of pumps. The concept can be fitted to existing conventional ballast ships as well as new installations for new ship builds. The seawater enters each ballast compartment through an inlet near the ship's centreline and then discharges through two valves positioned at the aft end compartment port and starboard. The position is to maximize the water pressure differential to drive and increase the water flushing process as the ship moves forward. However, the water inlet and outlet under the hull affect the pressure resistance.

In 2012, the concept of ballast free ship suggested by Kotinis and Parsons was improved by Godey *et al.* In the concept, there are no plenum chambers and flow

through elliptical pipes as longitudinal tanks are provided in place of the conventional double bottom tanks throughout the length of the crude oil tanker to reduce the buoyancy in ballast condition. These pipes are equipped with valves at the forward and aft ends of the ship, which can be controlled. To ensure the loss of buoyancy, the valves are to be open to the sea during the ballast voyage and closed during the loaded departure with pipes emptied of ballast water. The introduction of elliptical pipes caused ballast capacity reduction.

The ballast free concept proposed by Kotinis (2005) and Arai *et al.* (2010) looks more promising compared to the improved ballast free concept (Godey *et al.*, 2012) and no ballast ship (NOBS) concepts (GESAMP Reports – 2011) for advanced LNG ship. Rather than changing hull form to obtain the required volume of ballast water to submerge the propeller, there is a need for the ballast free system is being coupled with the conventional ballast system (Hamid *et al.*, 2012). The system aims at utilizing the existing ballast spaces without increasing the double bottom height. By adopting the ballast free ship concept in the development of advanced LNG ships, there are possibilities of technical implications (Kotinis, 2005) that need to be investigated.

Despite that, the research on ballast free concept by Kotinis (2005) and Godey *et al.* (2012) found that applying the concept to the ship will affect the total hull resistance that contributes to the fuel penalty. The contribution of increasing hull resistance is when the ballast water flows out through the outlet plenum at the stern of the ship that causing disruption of the flow boundary layer around propeller and additional wetted surface area that caused an increase in frictional resistance. Meanwhile, the increase in resistance in Arai *et al.* (2010) concept is due to positioning the water inlet and outlet as an appendage under hull. The possible solutions for ship resistance reduction need to be investigated if the ballast-free concept is applied to the ship for ballast water management solution.

Over the last three decades, naval architects have faced the crucial part of research and development on reduction in ship resistance. Many techniques have been developed in order to reduce the frictional skin drag of the ship navigation in water and the fluid transportation in pipes, including compliant coatings, microgroover (or riblets), additive injections (such as surfactant, polymer, and micro-bubbles), active

blowing or suction, electromagnetic excitation and acoustic excitation (Janssen *et al.*, 1984 and Xu *et al.*, 2002). The authors claimed that drag reduction technology by micro-bubbles gives more advantages such as easy operations, environmentally friendly, low costs, and high saving energy, and it is able to achieve a drag reduction rate as high as 80%. Skudamov *et al.* (2005) and Lu *et al.* (2005) revealed that even a small reduction of the total drag could result in a significant fuel saving for both naval ships and commercial or shortened transit time.

The need to have an advanced LNG ship with environmentally friendly and save on fuel consumption cost has brought to the discussion the application of LNG ship fitted with an air-injection ballast free system. However, factors need to be considered when the injected pressure bubbles method is applied to the system. According to Kodama *et al.* (2000), when dealing with the bubbles injection method for drag reduction, bubble size is one of the major factors influencing frictional resistance. When bubbles are ejected through a porous plate or hole, bubble size is decided by the airflow rate and the main flow velocity and not by the size of the hole (Moriguchi and Kato, 2002 and Ceccio, 2010). Based on the experiment by Sayaadi and Nematollahi (2013) on the determination of optimum injection flow rate to achieve maximum bubble drag reduction in ships, the drag reduction effect slows down at a higher injection flow rate. In addition, a higher injection flow rate also produced higher bubbles number that influenced the turbulence boundary layer. Therefore, the air-injected bubble configuration should be analysed accordingly to ensure the effectiveness of producing acceptable resistance properties in various speed conditions.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

Discussion on the ballast free system concept to overcome the effect on the ecosystem has led to an increase in ship resistance due to the introduction of inlet/outlet (Kotinis, 2005 and Arai *et al.*, 2010) and additional wetted surface area (Godey *et al.*, 2012). Currently, there are no proposed ballast free concepts that reduce total hull resistance. Hence, this needs to be solved in view of better ballast free system concept



during operation. Considering the injection bubbles method reduces the resistance (Sayaadi and Nematollahi, 2013, Lyu *et al.*, 2014), the current ballast free system concept needs to be improved based on the concept using a hypothesis that ballast free systems have a better performance than air-injected pressure bubbles.

The development of the concept of an air injection ballast free system needs to consider several factors to produce a concept that is efficient in ship's operation and able to protect the ecosystem. The previous research on the ballast free system by Kotinis (2005) and Godey *et al.* (2012) highlighted that the opening of the inlet at the bow region helps relieve and reduce the wave height that reduces pressure resistance. Meanwhile, the outlet at the stern region will disturb the pressure contour on the hull. Hence, appropriate inlet and outlet locations should be developed to avoid increased resistance when a ballast free system is implemented.

In the development of an air injection system, the system configuration, including the amount of injection pressure, should be analysed as varied characteristics from different air injection pressure influence the hull resistance due to the difference in generated flow velocity around the hull. Other than that, different injection pressure produces difference bubble size and bubble number, which also influences the hull resistance (Kawamura *et al.*, 2004) and discharge water flowrate for the ballast free system.

The contributing factors to the resistance of the LNG with ballast free system and LNG with air injected ballast free system should be analysed, and the pressure distribution and generated wave analysis should be performed to determine the effect of air injection and ballast free system on the LNG resistance components. Besides that, the performance of the water flow in the ballast tank should be analysed to determine the system's effectiveness.

One of the concerns toward further improvement of the ballast free system concept with the air injection bubble method to actual ship is the fact that the energy required to supply air bubbles using conventional bubble generators is quite significant. The extrapolation of the hull resistance to actual ship scale is needed for

further research application in power calculation, which will provide information to optimize the air injected ballast free system concept and lead to further improvement.

### **1.3 Research Questions**

The research was conducted based on the following research question:

- (a) What are the factors that contribute to the LNG hull resistance fitted with ballast free system?
- (b) How does the air-injected pressure configuration affect the ballast free LNG hull resistance components?
- (c) How to determine reduction of hull resistance by using air-injected ballast free system to the LNG vessels?

### **1.4 Research Objectives**

The study aimed to analyse the LNG performance which considers the configuration of the air-injected ballast free system fitted to the hull. The objectives of the research were outlined as follows:

- (a) To determine the total hull resistance of the LNG fitted with and without air-injected ballast free system.
- (b) To determine the contributing factors of the LNG hull resistance fitted with ballast free system.
- (c) To evaluate the role of the air injection pressure to the ballast free system and the LNG resistance components.
- (d) To extrapolate the hull resistance in actual ship's scale.

## **1.5 Research Scopes**

The research is focused on the LNG hull performance in resistance and the role of air-injected pressure to the ballast free system and the LNG resistance components. The scope of the research was outlined as follows:

- (a) The research was performed by utilizing the LNG carrier model available in Marine Technology Centre, UTM and a part of UTM Research Grant, Flagship, to develop a ballast free system for advanced LNG ships. Because the model always carries a lot of ballast water to accommodate the weight being transferred, it was suitable to implement a ballast free system into it.
- (b) The study was limited to the hydrodynamics studies, including flow characteristics studies around the hull and the air-injected ballast free system.
- (c) The analysis was performed in deep water at the designed speed and at fully ballast draught in calm water conditions. The designed speed of the LNG ship is 19 knots. Hence, the range of the speed used in the research between 17 knots to 22 knots is equivalent to 0.17 to 0.22 of the Froude number.
- (d) The computational method used the Computational Fluid Dynamic of ANSYS CFX solver to simulate the case problem and the laboratory experimental method used a towing tank to validate the simulation results.
- (e) The analysis focused on the resistance of the LNG ship with and without ballast free system. Then, the resistance was analyzed when the air was injected into the ballast free system.

## **1.6 Significance of Research**

Significance of the research lies in the concept that are convenient for ship development and reliable experimental modelling and computer simulation programming hence superior over the existing concepts.

The significances of the research are as follows:

- i) The concept of Air-Injected Ballast Free System for LNG ship
  - a. the proposed concept is environmentally friendly and save on fuel consumption cost where it can reduce the total hull resistance with the presence of the air bubbles.
- ii) The experimental modelling and computational simulation
  - a. The findings are important to database and has a significant contribution particularly related to the application of air injection pressure to the ballast water free system that may be used by other researcher for further research.

## **1.7 Thesis Outline**

The thesis consists of five chapters focusing on the topics below.

Chapter 1 - Introduction of the research consists of the research's background, problem statement, research questions and objectives, and scopes of the research.

Chapter 2 - Literature Review provides the review of the past research whose topics are significant for understanding the research details and the research methodology and possess the knowledge for discussing the research result and findings. The chapter reviews ballast free system performance and characteristics, ship hull resistance, the theory of bubble characteristics that reduced hull resistance, and the tool used to determine resistance.

Chapter 3 – Research Methodology describes all the methods chosen for the research work towards achieving the research objectives. This chapter explained the basic theory and mathematical formulation of computational fluid dynamic simulation for the purposes of validation resistance tests. Besides that, the methodology to obtain the force distribution of total hull resistance using ANSYS CFX and the derived equation of the computational model for fluid dynamic simulation were explained. Resistance test by experiment also was described in this chapter.

Chapter 4 – Presented and elaborated the resistance components result of the LNG bare hull and hull fitted with air-injected ballast-free system in deep water at ballast draft and designed speed. The results comprised the experiment data and simulation results at the varying parameter of air-injected pressure. This chapter also presented discussed the simulation result of air-injected ballast free system characteristics.

Chapter 5 – Concludes the current research, and valuable recommendations are provided for future works.

## REFERENCES

- ABS Report. (2011). ABS Ballast Water Treatment Advisory
- ABS Report. (2019). ABS Ballast Water Treatment Advisory
- Ahmed, Y. M. (2011). Numerical Simulation for the Free Surface Flow around a Complex Ship Hull Form at Different Froude Numbers. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*. 50, 229–235.
- Ahmed, Y., and Soares, C. G. (2009). Simulation of free surface flow around a VLCC hull using viscous and potential flow methods. *Ocean Engineering*. 36(9-10), 691-696.
- Amstrong, G. (1997). Ballast System Design for Flow-Through Exchange of Ballast Water. *Trans IMarE*. Vol 109, Part 3, pp. 257-269.
- Arai, M., Suzuki, K., Kora, K. (2010). *Ship Buoyancy Control System*. US Patent: 2010/0018448 A1.
- Arai, M., Suzuki, K., Suzuki S., Tanaka, S. (2011). Buoyancy-control Type Ballast-free Ship and its Basic Performance. *Japan Society of Naval Architects Proceedings*. No. 13. pp 257-266.
- Arai, M., Suzuki, S. (2012). A performance study of the buoyancy-control type ballast-free ship. *Sustainable Maritime Transportation and Exploitation of Sea Resources*. ISBN 978-0-415-62081-9.
- Arifah binti Ali (2017). *Effects of fin stabilizer configurations on SEMI SWATH resistance*. PhD Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai.
- Balaji, R., and Yaakob, O. (2011). Emerging ballast water treatment technologies: A Review. *Journal of Sustainability Science and Management*. Volume 6 (1), 126-138.
- Ceccio, S. L. (2010). Friction Drag Reduction of External Flows with Bubble and Gas Injection. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*. 42, pp 183–203.
- Deutsch S., Castano J., (1986). Microbubble skin friction reduction on asymmetrical body. *Physics of Fluids*. 29(35), pp 90-97
- Ebrahimi, A., Rad, M., and Hajilouy, A. (2014). Experimental and numerical studies on resistance of a catamaran vessel with non-parallel demihulls. *Scientia Iranica*, 21(3), 600–608.

- Elkafas, A. G., Elgohary, M., Zeid, A. E. (2019). Numerical study on the hydrodynamic drag force of a container ship model. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*. Vol 58 (3), pp 849-859.
- Ferrante, A., Elgobashi, S. (2004). On the Physical Mechanisms of Drag Reduction in a Spatially Developing Turbulent Boundary Layer Laden with Microbubbles. *International Fluid Mechanics*. 503(3), pp 45–55.
- Foeth, Evert-Jan. (2011). The efficacy of air-bubble lubrication for decreasing friction resistance. *The Naval Architect, RINA, UK*. Report Number: Mag-13.
- Fontaine, A. A. and Deutsch, S. (1992). Experiments in turbulent pipe flow. *Experiments in Fluids*. 13, pp 128.
- Gebreslassie, M. G., Tabor, G. R., and Belmont, M. R. (2012). CFD Simulations for Sensitivity Analysis of Different Parameters to the Wake Characteristics of Tidal Turbine. *Open Journal of Fluid Dynamics*, 2(September), 56–64.
- GEF-UNDP-IMO GloBallast Partnerships Programme and GESAMP IMO/FAO/UNESCO-IOC/UNIDO/WMO/IAEA/UN/UNEP/UNDP Joint Group of Experts on the Scientific Aspects of Marine Environmental Protection. (2011). Establishing Equivalency in the Performance Testing and Compliance Monitoring of Emerging Alternative Ballast Water Management Systems (EABWMS). A Technical Review. *GEF-UNDP-IMO GloBallast Partnerships, London, UK and GESAMP, GloBallast Monographs No. 20, GESAMP Reports and Studies No. 82*.
- Godey, A., Misra, S. C., Sha, O. P. (2012). Development of a Ballast Free Ship Design. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Development*. Vol 1, Issue 10.
- Godey, A., Misra, S. C., Sha, O. P. (2014). CFD Analysis for a Ballast Free Ship Design. *Indian Journal of Geo-Marine Sciences*. Vol. 43(11), pp 2053-2059.
- Gollash, S., David, M., Voigt, M., Dragsund, E., Hewitt, C., Fukuyo, Y., (2007). Critical review of the IMO international convention on the management of ships' ballast water and sediments. *Harmful Algae*. Vol 6, pp. 585–60.
- Gore, R. A., Crowe, C. T. (1989). Effect of Particle Size on Modulating Turbulent Intensity. *International Journal Multiphase Flow*. 15(2), pp 279–285.
- Guin M. M., et al. (1996). Reduction of skin friction by microbubble and its relation with near wall bubble concentration in a channel. *Journal Marine Sci-Technology*. 1, pp 241-254.

- Hamid, H. A., Kadir, N. H., Ahmad, M. Z., Abdul Mukti, M. A., Abd Malek, A. M., Priyanto, A. (2012). The Concept of Hybrid Ballast Free System. *Proceeding of Asia-Pacific Workshop on Marine Hydrodynamics*. pp 559-564.
- Huang, W., Liu, W., Li, S., Xia, Z., Liu, J., Wang, Z. (2012). Influences of the turbulence model and the slot width on the transverse slot injection flow field in supersonic flows. *Acta Astronautica*. Vol 73, pp 1-9.
- IMO (2004) International Convention for the Control and Management of Ships' Ballast Water and Sediments. *International Maritime Organization*.
- Ira Leifer., Gerrit de Leeuw., Gerard Kunz., Leo H. Cohen. (2003). Calibrating Optical Bubble Size by the Displaced-mass Method. *Chemical Engineering Science*. 58, pp 5211–5216.
- Jamaluddin, A., Utama, I., Widodo, B., and Molland, A. (2012). Experimental and Numerical Study of the Resistance Component Interactions of Catamarans. *Journal of Engineering for the Maritime Environment*. 227(1), pp 51–60.
- Jang, J., Choi, S. H., Ahn, S. M., Kim, B., Seo, J. S. (2014). Experimental investigation of frictional resistance reduction with air layer on the hull bottom of a ship. *International Journal Naval Architecture Ocean Engineering*. Vol 6, pp 363-379.
- Janssen, L. J. J., Sillen C. W. M. P., Barendrecht, E., Van Stralen, S. J. D. (1984). Bubble Behaviour during Oxygen and Hydrogen Evolution at Transparent Electrodes in KOH Solution. *Electrochim Acta*. 29(5), pp 633– 642.
- Kanai, A., Miyata, H. (2001). Direct numerical simulation of wall turbulent flows with microbubbles. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids*. 35, pp 593.
- Kato, H., Miura, K., Yamaguchi, H., Miyanaga, M. (1998). Experimental study on microbubble ejection method for frictional drag reduction. *International Marine Science Technology*. 3(1), pp 22-29.
- Kato, H., Iwashina. T., Miyanaga. M., Yamaguchi. H. (1999). Effect of microbubbles on the structure of turbulence in a turbulent boundary layer. *Journal of Marine Science Technology*. Vol 4, pp 155-162.
- Kawamura T., Fujiwara A., Takahashi T., Kato H, Matsumoto Y., Kodama Y. (2004). The effects of the bubble size on bubble the dispersion and skin friction reduction. *Proceedings of the 5th Symposium on Smart Control of Turbulence, Tokyo*, pp 145–151.



- Kawamura, T., Kodama, Y. (2002). Numerical Simulation Method to Resolve Interactions Between Bubbles and Turbulence. *International Journal Heat and Fluid Flow*. 23: 627–638.
- Kim, Hee. T., Kim, H. T., Kim, J. J., Lee, D. Y. (2019). Study on the Skin-Friction Drag Reduction by Air Injection Using Computational Fluid Dynamics-Based Simulations. *Proceedings of the 14th International Symposium, PRADS*. Volume 1, pp 205–226.
- Kim, D. Y., Ha, Ji. Y., and Paik, K. J. (2020). Numerical Study on the Extrapolation Method for Predicting the Full-scale Resistance of a Ship with an Air Lubrication System. *Journal of Ocean Engineering and Technology*. Vol 34(6), pp 387-393.
- Kitagawa, A., Hishida, K., Kodama, Y. (2005). Flow structure of microbubble-laden turbulent channel flow by PIV combined with the shadow image technique. *Experiments in Fluid Flow*. 21, pp 582-588.
- Kodama, Y., Kakugawa, A., Takahashi, T., Kawashima, H. (2000). Experimental Study on Microbubbles and Their Applicability to Ships for Skin Friction Reduction. *International Heat Fluid Flow*. 21(5), pp 82–88.
- Kotinis, M. (2005). *Development and Investigation of the Ballast-Free Ship Concept*. Ph.D. Dissertation. Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering, University of Michigan.
- Kumagai, I., Takahashi, Y., Murai, Y. (2015). Power-saving device for air bubble generation using a hydrofoil to reduce ship drag: Theory, experiments, and application to ships. *Ocean Engineering*. Vol 95, pp 183–194.
- Legendre, D., Magnaudet, J., Mougine, G. (2003). Hydrodynamics interactions between two spherical bubbles rising side by side in a viscous liquid. *Journal Fluid Mechanics*. 497, pp 133-166.
- Liu Nan-sheng, Cheng Bao-guo. (2011). Direct Numerical Simulations of Turbulent Channel Flows with Consideration of the Buoyancy Effect of the Bubble Phase. *Journal of Hydrodynamics*. 23(3), pp 282–288.
- Lu, J., Fernandez, A., and Tryggvason, G. (2005). The Effect of Bubbles on the Wall Drag in a Turbulent Channel Flow. *Physics of Fluids*. Vol 17, pp 95–102.
- Lyu, X., Tang, H., Sun, J., Wu, X., Chen, X. (2014). Simulation of Microbubble Resistance Reduction on a Suboff Model. *Brodogradnja Shipbuilding*. 65(2).

- Madavan, N. K., Deutsch, S., and Merkle, C. L. (1984). Reduction of Turbulent Skin Friction by Microbubbles. *Physics of Fluid*. 27, pp 356–363.
- McCormick, M. E. and Bhattacharyya, R. (1973). Drag Reduction of a Submersible Hull by Electrolysis. *Naval Engineers Journal*. 85(2), pp 11–16.
- Mengs, J. S. C., and Uhlman, J. S. (1989). Microbubble formulation and splitting in a turbulent boundary layer for turbulence reduction. *In advances in Fluids Dynamics*. pp 168-217.
- Menter, F. R., Kuntz, M., Langtry, R. (2003). Ten years of industrial experience with the SST turbulence model. *Turbulence Heat and Mass Transfer*. Vol 4 (1).
- Merkle, C.L. and Deutsch, S. (1990). Drag Reduction in Liquid Boundary Layers by Gas Injection. *The Smithsonian Data System*. 43, pp 351–412.
- MISC Report (1978). *Tenaga Satu - Ballast System*. Malaysia International Shipping Corporation, published internally.
- Molland, A. F., Turnock, S. R., and Hudson, D. A. (2011). *Ship Resistance and Propulsion* (1st ed.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Moriguchi, Y. and Kato, H. (2002). Influence of micro bubble diameter and distribution on frictional resistance reduction. *Journal Marine Science Technology*. Vol 7(2), pp. 79–85.
- Mostafa, N. H., Djebedjian, B., El-Said, E. M. S., Abou Rayan, M. (2009). Experimental and Numerical Study of Spoiler Effect on Ship Stability: Effect of Spoiler Inclination Angle. *CFD Letters*. Vol 1(1), pp 29-42.
- Murai, Y., Oishi, Y., Yamamoto, F. (2006). Turbulent Shear Stress Profiles in a Bubbly Channel Flow Assessed by Particle Tracking Velocimetry. *Experiments in Fluids*. 41(2), pp 343–352.
- Murai, Y., Sakamaki, H., Kumagai, I., Park, H. J., Tasaka, Y. (2020). Mechanism and performance of a hydrofoil bubble generator utilized for bubbly drag reduction ships. *Ocean Engineering*. 216 (108085).
- Nascimento, M. F., Maciel, G. F., Neves, C. (2009). Propagation of ship waves on a sloping bottom. *International Conference on Coastal Engineering*. Vol. 1, pp 697-708.
- Park, Y. S., Sung, H. J. (2005). Influence of Local Ultrasonic Forcing on a Turbulent Boundary Layer. *Experiments in Fluids*. 39(6), pp 966–976.

- Parsons, M. G. and Kotinis, M. (2006). *Seaway-Sized Bulk Carrier Model for Hydrodynamic Optimization of Ballast Free Ship Design*. Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering, University of Michigan.
- Parsons, M. G. and Kotinis, M. (2007). *Hydrodynamic Optimization of the Ballast-Free Ship Concept*. Transactions SNAME. 115, pp. 50-67.
- Parsons, M. G. and Kotinis, M. (2008). *Further Development and Optimization of the Ballast-Free Ship Design Concept*. Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering, University of Michigan.
- Parsons, M. G. and Kotinis, M. (2011). *Refinement of the Ballast-Free Ship Concept*. Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering, University of Michigan.
- Parsons, M. G. and Kotinis, M. (2011). Trim and Draft Control Capability of the Variable Buoyancy Ship. *Journal of Ship Production and Design*. Vol. 27, No. 3, pp. 118-126.
- Pawel Chorab (2011). Determination of the ballast water exchange sequence for an LNG carrier using a liquid cargo handling simulator. *Scientific Journals*. 25(97), pp. 21-27.
- Quérard, A., Temarel, P., Turnock, S. R. (2008). Influence of viscous effects on the hydrodynamics of ships-like sections undergoing symmetric and anti-symmetric motions, using RANS. *Proceedings of the ASME 27th International Conference on Offshore Mechanics and Arctic Engineering, OMAE*. pp. 1–10.
- Sayyaadi, H. and Nematollahi, M. (2013). Determination of optimum injection flow rate to achieve maximum micro bubble drag reduction in ships; an experimental approach. *Scientia Iranica (Mechanical Engineering)*. Vol 20(3), pp 535-541.
- Shen, X., Ceccio, S.L., Perlin, M. (2006). Influence of Bubble Size on Microbubble Drag Reduction. *Experiments in Fluids*. 41(3), pp 415–424.
- Skudarnov, P. V. and Lin, C. X. (2006). Density Ratio and Turbulence Intensity Effects in Microbubble Drag Reduction Phenomenon. *ASME Fluids Engineering Division Summer Meeting and Exhibition*. pp 17–22.
- Souto-Iglesias, A., Fernández-Gutiérrez, D., and Pérez-Rojas, L. (2012). Experimental assessment of interference resistance for a Series 60 catamaran in free and fixed trim-sinkage conditions. *Ocean Engineering*. 53, 38-47.

- Sridhar, D., Bhanuprakash, T. V. K., Das, H. N. (2010). Frictional Resistance Calculations on a Ship using CFD. *International Journal of Computer Applications*. Volume 11– No.5.
- Takahashi, Y., Murai Y. (2004). Friction reducing ship and method for reducing frictional resistance. In: United States Patent No. US 6,789,491 B2.
- Terziev, M., Tezdogana, T., Incecik, A. (2019). A geosim analysis of ship resistance decomposition and scale effects with the aid of CFD. *Applied Ocean Research*. Vol 92
- Tezdogan, T., Incecik, A., and Turan, O. (2016). A numerical investigation of the squat and resistance of ships advancing through a canal using CFD. *Journal of Marine Science and Technology*. 21(1), 86-101.
- Toshiyuki Sanada, Ayaka Sato, Minorishiota, Masao Watanabe. (2009). Motion and Coalescence of a Pair of Bubbles Rising Side by Side. *Chemical Engineering Science*. 64, pp 2659–2671.
- Tsai, J.F. and Chen, C.C. (2011). Boundary layer mixture model for a microbubble drag reduction technique. *International Scholarly Research Network, ISRN Mechanical Engineering*. Article ID 405701.
- Tsolaki, E., Diamadopoulos, E. (2009). Technologies for ballast water treatment: A Review. *Journal Chemical Technology Biotechnology*. 85, Pp19-32.
- Tuan, P. A., Huong, P. T. T., Quang, V. D. (2013). A method of skin frictional resistant reduction by creating small bubbles at bottom of ships. *Vietnam Journal of Mechanics, VAST*. Vol. 35(4), pp. 325 – 333.
- Van den Berg, T.H., Luther, S., Lathrop, D.P., Lohse, D. (2005). Drag Reduction in Bubbly Taylor-Couette Turbulence. *Physical Review Letters*. 94: 044501.
- Wang, W., Cai, G., Pang, Y., Guo, C., Han, Y., Zhou, G. (2020). Bubble Sweep-Down of Research Vessels Based on the Coupled Eulerian-Lagrangian Method. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering*. Vol 8(2): 1040.
- Wendy Laursen (2016, June 10). Moving Towards a Ballast-Free Future. *The Maritime Executive Intellectual Capital Leaders*.
- Xiang, M., Cheung, S.C.P., Tu, J.Y., Zhang, W.H. (2011). Numerical research on drag reduction by ventilated partial cavity based on two-fluid model. *Ocean Engineering*. 38, pp 2023-2032.
- Xu, J., Maxey, M. R, Karniadakis. (2002). Numerical simulation of turbulent drag reduction using micro-bubbles. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*. 468, pp 271-281.

- Yaakob, O., Hashim, A., Koh, K. K., Ismail, N. Ahmed, Y. (2015). Review of Micro-bubble Ship Resistance Reduction Methods and the Mechanisms that Affect the Skin Friction on Drag Reduction from 1999 to 2015. *Journal Teknologi (Sciences and Engineering)*. 74(5), pp 105–114.
- Yanuar, K. T., Waskito, B. A., Rahmat, A. Y., Perdana, Candra B. D. (2017). Micro-Bubble drag reduction with triangle bow and stern configuration using porous media on self-propelled barge model. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*. 105 (012094).
- Yuan, Zhi., Zhang, X., Ji, C., Jia, L., Wang, H., Incecik, A. (2018). Side wall effects on ship model testing in a towing tank. *Ocean Engineering*. Volume 147, pp 447-457.
- Zhang, J., Yang, S., Liu, J. (2017). Numerical investigation of a novel device for bubble generation to reduce ship drag. *International Journal of Naval Architecture and Ocean Engineering*. Vol 10(5), pp 629-643.