

SATELLITE ALTIMETER WIND SPEED ESTIMATION AND TROPICAL
CYCLONE CHARACTERIZATION USING MACHINE LEARNING

SYA'RAWI MUHAMMAD HUSNI BIN MOHD SHARONI

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

*Replace this page with the Cooperation Declaration form, which can be obtained from SPS or your faculty. This page is **OPTIONAL** when your research is done in collaboration with other institutions that requires their consent to publish the finding in this document.*

SATELLITE ALTIMETER WIND SPEED ESTIMATION AND TROPICAL
CYCLONE CHARACTERIZATION USING MACHINE LEARNING

SYA'RAWI MUHAMMAD HUSNI BIN MOHD SHARONI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Built Environment and Surveying
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2022

DEDICATION

All Praises to Almighty Allah, Alhamdulillah.

“Ask Lord for beneficial knowledge and seek refuge with Lord from knowledge that does not bring benefit.”

– Prophet Muhammad S.A.W.

The journey of my study and the completion of this thesis is dedicated to;

My Father, Mohd Sharoni Ismail, who’s introduced me to the Ph.D. world.

My Mother, Kamilah Sarlan, who’s never stop praying for me.

My Parents in-law, Abd Samad and Seniah, who’re always believe in me.

My Wife, Nur Syazni Amirah, who’s always the main support throughout this journey.

My Siblings, who’re always there for me when I need them.

My Sons, Aqif Syahid and Aniq Syamil who’re cheered me up and keep me strong.

**You are all the inspiration behind all that I do, and the source of all that is good
in my life.**

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah S.W.T, the Most Beneficent and Merciful. First and foremost, I would like to express my fullest grateful to God for the love and strength He given to me to complete this Ph.D. study successfully. I am so much thankful for His blessing in nourishing my family and me stays in good health conditions.

I want to take this opportunity to convey my full appreciation to my main supervisor, Dr. Mohd Nadzri Bin Md Reba, for his encouragement, guidance, critics and friendship. Without his continue support and interest, this study would far from completed as presented here. Thanks to all staffs of the Geoscience and Digital Earth Center (INSTeG), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) who gave me the full commitment and access to the laboratory and research facilities. I wish to also extend my acknowledgement to the Pusat Pengajian Sains Fizik, Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM) and the Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia with the financial support provided.

Not to forget, my deepest appreciation to my fellow postgraduate students who had been very helpful in sharing their knowledge and experiences. I would like to recognize the invaluable assistance, hospitality and friendship that you all provide during my study. May Allah shower us with success and great completion in our study.

I also wish to express my indebtedness to my beloved parents Mohd Sharoni Ismail and Kamilah Sarlan, my wife Nur Syazni Amirah, my siblings, and my sons Aqif Syahid and Aniq Syamil for their unfailing support and continuous love throughout my years of study. This accomplishment would not have been possible without them. Lastly, I want to thank those not mentioned here for their continuous prayers and support to give me strength in completing my Ph.D journey. Thank you and may Allah bless you with endless happiness.

ABSTRACT

Sea surface wind speed (U_{10}) is one of the vital variables for tropical cyclone analysis in providing accurate wind intensity information to the warning center. However, rough sea state condition, has caused the U_{10} observations by buoy to become unreliable. Although satellite altimeter can measure U_{10} , the operational Gourrion algorithm was designed for normal sea state conditions. Extreme ocean-atmospheric interaction worsen by the rain contamination on the altimeter signal has impaired the quality of the derived U_{10} , hence putting low attention in tropical cyclone study. This operational U_{10} product which only incorporates the backscatter and the surface wave height at Ku-band as principal parameters is insufficient to emulate a complex cyclone environment. Though higher U_{10} regime saturated at 20 ms^{-1} and heavy rainy conditions have reduced the U_{10} accuracy, other ocean-related parameters are worth considering. Therefore, this study was aimed to analyse the altimeter ocean-related parameters and thus estimate high accuracy U_{10} for tropical cyclone wind characterization. This study established a relationship between parameters response from Joint Altimetry Satellite Oceanography Network (Jason)-2 and Jason-3; and the coincident U_{10} from Meteorological Operational (MetOp)-A and MetOp-B scatterometers in 350 tropical cyclones captured between 2015 and 2018 globally. Quantitative assessment on the quality of altimeter C-band parameters and other simultaneously observed radiometric ocean parameters namely brightness temperatures at 18.7, 23.8, and 34.0 GHz, water vapor content, and liquid water content related to extreme U_{10} were presented. Correlation of C-band parameters to U_{10} outperformed that of the Ku-band counterpart by at least 29% and the inclusion of radiometric parameters contributed to a significant error reduction of about 48%. New and high accuracy U_{10} models were developed using Multiple Linear Regression and machine learning techniques namely Artificial Neural Network, Support Vector Machine, and Gaussian Process Regression. The Gaussian Process Regression with all parameters considered was proved to be the best model that could estimate U_{10} up to $35 \pm 1 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ with the improvement of 35% and 75% inside the rain and at the higher U_{10} regime respectively. The study clearly presented the tropical cyclone wind characters that could now be objectively estimated. The uncertainty of the derived maximum sustained wind speed intensity could be reduced to 70% compared to that of operational U_{10} . The storm center location, eye width, radius of inner and outer circle relatively at 50-knot and 30-knot respectively were distinguishable and well agreed to the reported tropical cyclone best-track. This study successfully established the fundamental analysis on the performance of altimetry and radiometry parameters acquired by Jason mission and integrate them to represent the tropical cyclone environment. The fine-scale altimeter along-track resolution of extracted tropical cyclone wind characters is exclusively demonstrated and has become a vital complement to the optical satellite image observation.

ABSTRAK

Kelajuan angin permukaan laut (U_{10}) adalah salah satu pemboleh ubah penting untuk menganalisis siklon tropika dalam membekalkan maklumat intensiti angin yang tepat kepada agensi bencana. Walau bagaimanapun, keadaan laut yang bergelora telah menyebabkan U_{10} yang diukur oleh pelampung tidak boleh diguna pakai. Walaupun altimeter satelit dapat mengukur U_{10} , algoritma operasi Gourrion telah direka untuk keadaan laut yang normal. Hubungan laut-atmosfera yang melampau diburukkan dengan gangguan hujan terhadap gelombang altimeter telah menurunkan kualiti pengukuran U_{10} yang diperolehi, justeru, ia kurang dipertimbangkan dalam kajian siklon tropika. Produk operasi U_{10} yang hanya melibatkan serakbalik dan ketinggian gelombang permukaan laut pada jalur Ku sebagai parameter utama adalah tidak mencukupi untuk menyamai persekitaran siklon yang kompleks. Walaupun rejim U_{10} yang tinggi telah tepu pada 20 ms^{-1} dan keadaan hujan lebat telah mengurangkan ketepatan U_{10} , parameter berkaitan dengan lautan perlu dipertimbangkan. Oleh itu, kajian ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis parameter berkaitan lautan dari altimeter dan menganggarkan ketepatan tinggi U_{10} untuk pencirian angin siklon tropika. Kajian ini membentuk hubungan antara tindak balas parameter dari *Joint Altimetry Satellite Oceanography Network* (Jason) -2 dan Jason-3 dan U_{10} yang sepadan dari *Meteorological Operational Satellite* (MetOp) -A dan MetOp-B scatterometer dalam 350 siklon tropika yang dirakamkan pada 2015 dan 2018 secara global. Penilaian kuantitatif terhadap kualiti parameter di altimeter jalur C dan parameter lautan yang dicerap oleh radiometer iaitu suhu kecerahan pada 18.7, 23.8 dan 34.0 GHz, kandungan wap air dan kandungan air atmosfera yang berkaitan dengan terhadap siklon tropika ditunjukkan. Korelasi parameter jalur C terhadap U_{10} telah mengatasi korelasi jalur Ku sekurang-kurangnya 29% dan penglibatan parameter dari radiometer menyumbang kepada pengurangan selisih sebanyak 48%. Model U_{10} baharu dan lebih tepat telah dibangunkan menggunakan teknik Regresi Linear Berganda dan teknik pembelajaran mesin iaitu Rangkaian Neural Buatan, Mesin Vektor Sokongan dan Regresi Proses Gaussian. Regresi Proses Gaussian dengan semua parameter yang dipertimbangkan telah terbukti sebagai model yang terbaik untuk mengira U_{10} sehingga $35 \pm 1 \text{ ms}^{-1}$ dengan penambahbaikan sekurang-kurangnya 35% dan 75% di dalam hujan dan pada rejim U_{10} yang lebih tinggi. Kajian ini jelas menunjukkan ciri angin siklon tropika yang kini boleh dikira secara objektif. Ralat keamatan kelajuan angin maksimum mampan yang diterbitkan boleh dikurangkan kepada 70% berbanding dengan keamatan dari U_{10} sedia ada. Lokasi pusat ribut, lebar mata ribut, jejari bulatan dalam dan luar siklon pada 50-knot dan 30- knot masing-masing boleh dibezakan dan dipersetujui terhadap landasan terbaik siklon tropika yang dilaporkan. Kajian ini berjaya mewujudkan analisis asas mengenai prestasi parameter dari altimeter dan radiometer yang diperolehi oleh satelit Jason dan menghimpunkan parameter ini untuk menggambarkan persekitaran siklon tropika. Resolusi altimeter berskala halus sepanjang trek dalam ciri angin siklon tropika telah diterbitkan secara eksklusif dan menjadi pelengkap utama kepada pemantuan imej satelit optik.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	iii
	DEDICATION	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	ABSTRAK	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxiv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxv
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Study Background	1
1.2	Research Motivations	5
1.3	Problem Statements	7
1.3.1	Research Questions	10
1.3.2	Aim and Objectives	11
1.4	Scope of Study	11
1.5	Significance of the Study	14
1.6	Brief of Thesis Structure	17
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	18
2.1	Introduction	18
2.2	Global Perspective of Tropical Cyclone Phenomenon	18
2.2.1	Regional and Global Tropical Cyclone Related Authorities	19
2.2.2	Global Impact by Tropical Cyclone	23
2.3	Tropical Cyclone Wind Parameter	26

2.3.1	Near Surface Wind at 10-meter Height (U_{10})	28
2.3.2	Marine U_{10} Measurements	31
2.4	Polar-Orbiting Microwave Satellite U_{10} Observations	35
2.4.1	Altimeter	36
2.4.2	Scatterometer	37
2.4.3	Radiometer	39
2.4.4	Observation Synergy by Various Satellite Mis- sions	41
2.4.5	HWind: Objective Analysis of U_{10} Product	46
2.5	Prospective of Satellite Altimeter for U_{10} Tropical Cyclone Applications	47
2.5.1	Dual-Band Operating Frequency	47
2.5.2	Potential of Simultaneous Observed Parameters	49
2.6	Machine Learning for Complex Parameters Relation	50
2.7	Chapter Summary	53
 CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		55
3.1	Introduction	55
3.2	General Methodology Overview	55
3.3	Criteria of Tropical Cyclone Repository	57
3.4	Data Description and Acquisition	58
3.4.1	Tropical Cyclone Best-Track Repository	59
3.4.2	Jason-2 and Jason-3 Altimeter Data	60
3.4.3	MetOp-A and MetOp-B Scatterometer Data	62
3.5	Data Pre-Processing	63
3.5.1	Data Extraction and Filtering in Tropical Cyclone	63
3.5.2	MetOps U_{10} Calibration	67
3.5.3	Jasons-MetOps Match-Up Dataset	68
3.6	Operational U_{10} Product Assessment	70
3.7	Jasons U_{10} and Parameters Analysis	71
3.8	U_{10} Models Estimation	73
3.8.1	Classical Regression	74
3.8.2	Machine Learning	75
3.8.2.1	Artificial Neural Network (ANN)	77

3.8.2.2	Support Vector Machine (SVM)	81
3.8.2.3	Gaussian Process Regression (GPR)	83
3.8.3	Models Evaluation	84
3.9	Tropical Cyclone U_{10} Analysis	87
3.10	Chapter Summary	90
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION	91
4.1	Introduction	91
4.2	MetOps U_{10} Calibration and Assessments	91
4.2.1	MetOps to HWind Comparison	92
4.2.2	MetOps U_{10} Data Variation	94
4.3	The Quality of Jasons Operational U_{10} in Tropical Cyclone	96
4.3.1	Gourrion U_{10} to MetOps U_{10}^* Comparison	96
4.3.2	Gourrion U_{10} Assessment in Tropical Cyclone Stages	100
4.4	Jasons' Parameters Analysis	103
4.4.1	Case Studies: Jason Crossing in Tropical Cyclone	103
4.4.1.1	Events Description	104
4.4.1.2	Parameters Observation	109
4.4.2	Impact of Raindrop in Jason Parameters	111
4.4.3	U_{10} Differences to Radiometric Parameters	114
4.4.4	Dual-Band Frequency Analysis in U_{10} Modelling	116
4.4.5	Correlation Between Jasons Parameters and MetOps U_{10}^*	120
4.5	U_{10} Models Assessment	123
4.5.1	Conventional Linear Regression Models Limitations	123
4.5.2	Machine Learning Model Performances	127
4.5.3	Input Parameters Combination Sensitivities	132
4.5.4	Models Performance in Specific Cyclone Conditions	134
4.5.5	Model Demonstration in Tropical Cyclone Cases	138
4.5.5.1	Schemes Comparison	138
4.5.5.2	Techniques Comparison	145

4.5.6	Pros and Cons of ML Technique in Developing U ₁₀ Model	146
4.6	Jasons U ₁₀ Application in The Tropical Cyclone	153
4.6.1	Tropical Cyclone Wind Characters Extraction	153
4.6.1.1	NHC Hurricanes	154
4.6.1.2	JMA Typhoons	158
4.6.2	Tropical Cyclone MSW Comparison	161
4.6.3	Tropical Cyclone Wind Characters in Selected Events	165
4.6.3.1	NHC Cases	166
4.6.3.2	JMA Cases	168
4.6.3.3	La Reunion Cases	170
4.7	Chapter Summary	172
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		174
5.1	Research Outcomes	174
5.2	Contributions to Knowledge	178
5.3	Future Recommendations	180
REFERENCES		182
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		250

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Table 1.1	Annual averaged number of tropical cyclone occurrences in global ocean basins.	2
Table 1.2	Dvorak current intensity chart for tropical cyclone.	5
Table 2.1	List of advisory centers in the world for tropical cyclone monitoring.	21
Table 2.2	Ocean U_{10} measurements by marine-based, airborne and optical satellite platforms.	34
Table 2.3	General polar-orbiting microwave satellites specification.	36
Table 2.4	The list of algorithms with high U_{10} consideration for altimeter, scatterometer and radiometer satellites.	42
Table 2.5	List of the synergy using altimeter, scatterometer and radiometer for the study about the inside of extreme tropical cyclone environment.	45
Table 2.6	Several studies related to altimeter U_{10} by incorporating multiple input parameters using machine learning approach.	53
Table 3.1	General technical specifications, parameters and data acquired of Jason-2 and Jason-3 (Jasons) altimeter with MetOp-A and MetOp-B (MetOps) scatterometer.	64
Table 3.2	Several combinations of Jasons parameters as an input to further U_{10} model development.	73
Table 3.3	List of hyper-parameters for U_{10} modelling.	85
Table 4.1	The univariate analysis from all match-up samples for all parameters investigate.	112
Table 4.2	Multiple Linear Regression coefficients based on Equation 3.2 and Table 3.4.	124
Table 4.3	The statistical analysis of the estimated MLR U_{10} accuracy for testing dataset in each schemes designed.	127
Table 4.4	The sensitivity ratio (SR) analysis comparison of combined parameters input among all schemes designed.	133

Table 4.5	Simplified comparison of pros and cons for each ML technique specifically for the application of U_{10} estimation in tropical cyclone conditions.	150
Table 4.6	Summary of extracted tropical cyclone wind characters' information in all demonstrated events discussed.	160
Table D.1	List of selected tropical cyclone events in Northwest Pacific region.	221
Table D.2	List of selected tropical cyclone events in Northwest Pacific region used (continue).	222
Table D.3	List of selected tropical cyclone events in Southern Pacific region.	222
Table D.4	List of selected tropical cyclone events in Northeast Pacific region.	223
Table D.5	List of selected tropical cyclone events in Northeast Pacific region (continue).	224
Table D.6	List of selected tropical cyclone events in North Atlantic region.	224
Table D.7	List of selected tropical cyclone events in North India region.	225
Table D.8	List of selected tropical cyclone events in Southern India region.	225
Table J.1	The statistical analysis of the estimated MLR U_{10} accuracy for training dataset in each schemes designed.	238
Table K.1	The statistical analysis of the estimated machine learning's U_{10} accuracy for all samples in each schemes designed.	240
Table K.2	The statistical analysis of the estimated machine learning's U_{10} accuracy for rain-free conditions in each schemes designed.	241
Table K.3	The statistical analysis of the estimated machine learning's U_{10} accuracy for rain conditions in each schemes designed.	241
Table K.4	The statistical analysis of the estimated machine learning's U_{10} accuracy for low U_{10} conditions in each schemes designed.	242

Table K.5 The statistical analysis of the estimated machine learning's U_{10} accuracy for high U_{10} conditions in each schemes designed.

242

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 2.1	Tropical cyclone advisory centers with their area of responsibility.	22
Figure 2.2	Schematic diagram of tropical cyclone with some variables contributing to the formation.	28
Figure 2.3	Hypothetical cross-sectional depiction of a tropical cyclone along with several significant meteorological attributes.	29
Figure 2.4	Schematic plot of tropical cyclone radius wind profiles from the storm center.	32
Figure 3.1	General flowchart designed for this study. Red diamonds indicating the section of which objective number is achieved.	56
Figure 3.2	The trajectory of selected 350 tropical cyclone events used in this study.	59
Figure 3.3	Preprocessing flowchart Part 1, a continuation from data acquisition.	66
Figure 3.4	Preprocessing flowchart part 2 as a continuation from Part 1.	69
Figure 3.5	Conventional model regression flowchart.	76
Figure 3.6	Machine learning regression flowchart.	78
Figure 3.7	General schematic diagram of Artificial Neural Network (ANN) framework.	80
Figure 3.8	The schematic diagram of ε -SVM regression concept	82
Figure 3.9	Flowchart of the estimated Jasons U_{10} application.	89
Figure 3.10	Schematic diagram of extracting tropical cyclone wind characters from the smoothed U_{10} .	90
Figure 4.1	The match-up comparisons of (a) uncalibrated and (b) calibrated MetOps U_{10} to the Hwind measurement at 10-meter from sea surface inside the tropical cyclone.	93

Figure 4.2	The sample density distribution of global (a) uncalibrated and (b) calibrated MetOps U_{10} over latitudes and ranges intensity.	95
Figure 4.3	The (a) scatter and (b) box-plot of all match-up samples between Jasons operational U_{10} to the MetOps U_{10}^* .	97
Figure 4.4	Matchup points between Jasons operational Gourrion derived U_{10} and MetOps U_{10}^* product presented in scatter plot density and box-plot for rain-free (a & b) and rain (c & d) conditions, respectively.	98
Figure 4.5	The histogram of the absolute difference between Jasons operational Gourrion derived U_{10} and MetOps U_{10}^* for (a) all samples, (b) rain-free, and (c) rain conditions.	100
Figure 4.6	The scatter plot of Jasons U_{10} matchup to MetOps U_{10}^* with their corresponding absolute difference categorized in Tropical Depression, TD (a,d), Tropical Storm, TS (b,e) and Tropical Cyclone, TC (c,f) respectively.	101
Figure 4.7	The geographical distribution of the selected tropical cyclone events.	104
Figure 4.8	Several observed Jasons parameters data crossing Typhoon Higos 2015.	106
Figure 4.9	Several observed Jasons parameters data crossing Typhoon Soudelor 2015.	107
Figure 4.10	Several observed Jasons parameters data crossing Cyclone Dumazile 2018.	107
Figure 4.11	Several observed Jasons parameters data crossing Cyclone Irving 2018.	108
Figure 4.12	Several observed Jasons parameters data crossing Hurricane Jose 2017.	108
Figure 4.13	Scatter plots of Jasons altimeter backscatter (σ) at (a) Ku-band and (b) C-band against the matchup MetOps U_{10}^* .	113
Figure 4.14	Scatter plots of Jasons altimeter significant wave height (H_S) at (a) Ku-band and (b) C-band against the matchup MetOps U_{10}^* .	114

Figure 4.15	Scatter plots of Jasons radiometric brightness temperature (T_B) at (a) 18.7 GHz, (b) 23.8 GHz and (c) 34.0 GHz against the matchup MetOps U_{10}^* .	115
Figure 4.16	The scatter plot of absolute differences between MetOps U_{10}^* to Jasons U_{10} products against radiometric parameters.	116
Figure 4.17	The regression fitting lines and their derived equations in establishing the relationship of C-band to equivalent Ku-band for altimetric (a) backscatter and (b) significant wave height in rain-free conditions.	118
Figure 4.18	The 99% samples scatter plot of absolute differences between measured σ_{Ku} to the redetermined σ_{Ku}^* from σ_C in rain condition against radiometric parameters including brightness temperature (T_B) at (a) 18.7 GHz, (b) 23.0 GHz and (c) 34.0 GHz with the derived (d) liquid water content (W_L) and (e) water vapour content (W_V).	119
Figure 4.19	The 99% samples scatter plot of absolute differences between measured $H_{S,Ku}$ to the redetermined $H_{S,Ku}^*$ from $H_{S,C}$ in rain condition against radiometric parameters including brightness temperature (T_B) at (a) 18.7 GHz, (b) 23.0 GHz and (c) 34.0 GHz with the derived (d) liquid water content (W_L) and (e) water vapour content (W_V).	119
Figure 4.20	The heat map results of correlation, R between Jasons' parameters to MetOps U_{10}^* for N matchup samples.	121
Figure 4.21	The heat map results of correlation, R between Jasons' radiometric parameters to the absolute difference between MetOps U_{10}^* to Jasons U_{10} for N matchup samples.	122
Figure 4.22	The scatter plots of MLR U_{10} results for testing dataset categorized in each scheme designed.	126
Figure 4.23	The sample distribution envelopes of U_{10} estimated from training and testing dataset of (a,b) ANN, (c,d) SVM, and (e,f) GPR for all input schemes.	130
Figure 4.24	The heat map results of correlation, R between Jasons' machine learning estimated U_{10} to MetOps U_{10}^* for both training and testing dataset.	131

Figure 4.25	Taylor diagram presenting the performances of all developed model for all scheme designed for all samples, rain and high U_{10} conditions.	135
Figure 4.26	The heat-map of Taylor diagram's performance through simplified skill score statistics for each model developed in all investigated conditions.	137
Figure 4.27	The NSME (bar charts) and RMSD (line graphs) of all models in all samples, rain and high U_{10} conditions.	137
Figure 4.28	The estimated U_{10} from all schemes as in legends presented across Typhoon Higos 2015 in the map (a), for machine learning techniques including (b) ANN, (c) SVM, (d) GPR, with their corresponding radiometric liquid water content in (e).	140
Figure 4.29	The estimated U_{10} from all schemes as in legends presented across Typhoon Soudelor 2015 in the map (a), for machine learning techniques including (b) ANN, (c) SVM, (d) GPR, with their corresponding radiometric liquid water content in (e).	141
Figure 4.30	The estimated U_{10} from all schemes as in legends presented across Cyclone Dumazile 2018 in the map (a), for machine learning techniques including (b) ANN, (c) SVM, (d) GPR, with their corresponding radiometric liquid water content in (e).	142
Figure 4.31	The estimated U_{10} from all schemes as in legends presented across Cyclone Irving 2018 in map (a), for machine learning techniques including (b) ANN, (c) SVM, (d) GPR, with their corresponding radiometric liquid water content in (e).	143
Figure 4.32	The estimated U_{10} from all schemes as in legends presented across Hurricane Jose 2017 in the map (a), for machine learning techniques including (b) ANN, (c) SVM, (d) GPR, with their corresponding radiometric liquid water content in (e).	144

Figure 4.33	The estimated U_{10} of Jasons crossing as in map (a) from Scheme 6 with different ML technique presented for Typhoon Higos 2015 (b). Their corresponding radiometric W_L is shown at (c).	147
Figure 4.34	The estimated U_{10} of Jasons crossing as in map (a) from Scheme 6 with different ML technique presented for Typhoon Soudelor 2015 (b). Their corresponding radiometric W_L is shown at (c).	147
Figure 4.35	The estimated U_{10} of Jasons crossing as in map (a) from Scheme 6 with different ML technique presented for Cyclone Dumazile 2018 (b). Their corresponding radiometric W_L is shown at (c).	148
Figure 4.36	The estimated U_{10} of Jasons crossing as in map (a) from Scheme 6 with different ML technique presented for Cyclone Irving 2018 (b). Their corresponding radiometric W_L is shown at (c).	148
Figure 4.37	The estimated U_{10} of Jasons crossing as in map (a) from Scheme 6 with different ML technique presented for Hurricane Jose 2018 (b). Their corresponding radiometric W_L is shown at (c).	149
Figure 4.38	The estimated tropical cyclone wind characters derived from GPR Scheme 6 U_{10} for Hurricane Olaf 2015.	155
Figure 4.39	The estimated tropical cyclone wind characters derived from GPR Scheme 6 U_{10} for Hurricane Kenneth 2017.	156
Figure 4.40	The estimated tropical cyclone wind characters derived from GPR Scheme 6 U_{10} for Hurricane Sergio 2018.	156
Figure 4.41	The estimated tropical cyclone wind characters derived from GPR Scheme 6 U_{10} for Typhoon Nangka 2015.	159
Figure 4.42	The estimated tropical cyclone wind characters derived from GPR Scheme 6 U_{10} for Typhoon Malakas 2016.	159
Figure 4.43	The estimated tropical cyclone wind characters derived from GPR Scheme 6 U_{10} for Typhoon Yutu 2018.	160
Figure 4.44	The MSW from selected measurements and analyzed best-track for Hurricane Leslie 2018.	163

Figure 4.45	The MSW from selected measurements and analyzed best-track for Hurricane Sergio 2018.	163
Figure 4.46	The MSW from selected measurements and analyzed best-track for Hurricane Nicole 2016.	164
Figure 4.47	The estimated tropical cyclone wind characters including storm's center location, RIC and ROC from Jason crossing for Hurricane Jose 2017.	167
Figure 4.48	The estimated tropical cyclone wind characters including storm's center location, RIC and ROC from Jason crossing for Hurricane Maria 2017.	167
Figure 4.49	The estimated tropical cyclone wind characters including storm's center location, RIC and ROC from Jason crossing for Typhoon Atsani 2015.	169
Figure 4.50	The estimated tropical cyclone wind characters including storm's center location, RIC and ROC from Jason crossing for Typhoon Mangkhut 2018.	169
Figure 4.51	The estimated tropical cyclone wind characters including storm's center location, RIC and ROC from Jason crossing for Cyclone Cebile 2018.	171
Figure 4.52	The estimated tropical cyclone wind characters including storm's center location, RIC and ROC from Jason crossing for Cyclone Irving 2018.	171
Figure A.1	Example of several altimeter scanning over the ground surface.	215
Figure B.1	Example of several looks by a scatterometer at the same field of view (FOV).	217
Figure C.1	The scanning and sampling patterns of different frequency channel of a typical scanning microwave radiometer.	219
Figure E.1	Pseudocode: Jason Crossing Tropical Cyclone Best-Track	227
Figure F.1	Pseudocode: MetOp Crossing Tropical Cyclone Best-Track	229
Figure G.1	Pseudocode: Jason-MetOp Match-Up in Tropical Cyclone Best-Track	231
Figure H.1	HWind data request form page 1.	233
Figure H.2	HWind data request form page 2.	234

Figure I.1	Pseudocode: Jason TC Wind Speed Characters Extraction Part 1	236
Figure I.2	Pseudocode: Jason TC Wind Speed Characters Extraction Part 2	237
Figure J.1	The scatter plots of MLR U_{10} results for training dataset categorized in each scheme designed.	239
Figure L.1	Taylor diagram presenting the performances of all developed model for all scheme designed for samples in rain-free and low U_{10} conditions.	243
Figure M.1	The estimated tropical cyclone wind characters derived from GPR Scheme 6 U_{10} for Typhoon Higos 2015.	244
Figure M.2	The estimated tropical cyclone wind characters derived from GPR Scheme 6 U_{10} for Typhoon Soudelor 2015.	244
Figure M.3	The estimated tropical cyclone wind characters derived from GPR Scheme 6 U_{10} for Cyclone Dumazile 2018.	245
Figure M.4	The estimated tropical cyclone wind characters derived from GPR Scheme 6 U_{10} for Cyclone Irving 2018.	245
Figure M.5	The estimated tropical cyclone wind characters derived from GPR Scheme 6 U_{10} for Hurricane Jose 2017.	246
Figure N.1	The geostationay images of Hurricane Olaf to the corresponding Jasons crossing in Section 4.6.1.	247
Figure N.2	The geostationay images of Hurricane Kenneth to the corresponding Jasons crossing in Section 4.6.1.	247
Figure N.3	The geostationay images of Hurricane Sergio to the corresponding Jasons crossing in Section 4.6.1.	248
Figure N.4	The geostationay images of Typhoon Nangka to the corresponding Jasons crossing in Section 4.6.1.	248
Figure N.5	The geostationay images of Typhoon Malakas to the corresponding Jasons crossing in Section 4.6.1.	248
Figure N.6	The geostationay images of Typhoon Yutu to the corresponding Jasons crossing in Section 4.6.1.	249

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADT	-	Advanced Dvorak Technique
AMR	-	Advanced Microwave Radiometer
ANN	-	Artificial Neural Network
AODT	-	Advanced Objective Dvorak Technique
ASCAT	-	Advanced Scatterometer
AVISO	-	Archiving, Validation, and Interpretation of Satellite Oceanography Data
CI	-	Current Intensity
CPHC	-	Central Pacific Hurricane Center
DT	-	Dvorak Technique
ECMWF	-	European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecast
EUMETSAT	-	European Organisation Exploitation of Meteorological Satellite
ESA	-	European Space Agency
GDR	-	Geophysical Data Record
GMF	-	Geophysical Model Function
GMS	-	Geostationary Meteorological Satellite
GPR	-	Gaussian Process Regression
GPS	-	Global Positioning System
IBTrACS	-	International Best Track Archive for Climate Stewardship
IFOV	-	Instantaneous Field of View
JASON	-	Joint Altimetry Satellite Oceanography Network
JMA	-	Japan Meteorological Agency
JTWC	-	Joint Typhoon Warning Center
METOP	-	Meteorological Operational Satellite
ML	-	Machine Learning
MLR	-	Multiple Linear Regression
MSLP	-	Mean Sea Level Pressure

MSW	-	Maximum Sustained Wind
NASA	-	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NHC	-	National Hurricane Center
NOAA	-	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NRCS	-	Normalize Radar Cross Section
ODT	-	Objective Dvorak Technique
PODAAC	-	Physical Oceanography Distributed Active Archive Center
RIC	-	Radius of Inner Circle
RMW	-	Radius of Maximum Wind
ROC	-	Radius of Outer Circle
RSMC	-	Regional Specialized Meteorological Centers
SFMR	-	Stepped Frequency Microwave Radiometer
SSHS	-	Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Scale
SVM	-	Support Vector Machine
TC	-	Tropical Cyclone
TCBT	-	Tropical Cyclone Best-Track
TCWC	-	Tropical Cyclone Warning Center
TD	-	Tropical Depression
TS	-	Tropical Storm
WMO	-	World Meteorological Organization

LIST OF SYMBOLS

U_{10}	-	Wind Speed at 10-meter from Sea Surface (ms^{-1})
U_{10}^*	-	Calibrated Wind Speed at 10-m from Sea Surface (ms^{-1})
σ	-	Altimeter Backscatter (dB)
σ_{Ku}	-	Altimeter Backscatter at Ku-Band (dB)
σ_C	-	Altimeter Backscatter at C-Band (dB)
H_S	-	Altimeter Significant Waves Height (m)
$H_{S,Ku}$	-	Altimeter Significant Waves Height at Ku-Band (m)
$H_{S,C}$	-	Altimeter Significant Waves Height at C-Band (m)
T_B	-	Radiometer Brightness Temperature (K)
$T_{B,18}$	-	Radiometer Brightness Temperature at 18.7 GHz (K)
$T_{B,23}$	-	Radiometer Brightness Temperature at 23.8 GHz (K)
$T_{B,34}$	-	Radiometer Brightness Temperature at 34.0 GHz (K)
W_L	-	Radiometer Liquid Water Content (kgm^{-2})
W_V	-	Radiometer Water Vapor Content (kgm^{-2})
R30kt	-	Wind Radius at 30-kt threshold (km)
R50kt	-	Wind Radius at 50-kt threshold (km)

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A	Satellite Altimeter and Wind Speed Estimation	215
Appendix B	Satellite Scatterometer and Wind Speed Estimation	217
Appendix C	Satellite Radiometer and Wind Speed Estimation	219
Appendix D	List of Selected Tropical Cyclone Events	221
Appendix E	Pseudocode: Jason Crossing Tropical Cyclone Best-Track	226
Appendix F	Pseudocode: MetOp Crossing Tropical Cyclone Best-Track	228
Appendix G	Pseudocode: Jason-MetOp Match-Up in Tropical Cyclone Best-Track	230
Appendix H	The HWind Research Data Sharing Information Form	232
Appendix I	Pseudocode: Jason TC Wind Speed Characters Extraction	235
Appendix J	The U_{10} MLR Training Sample Distribution and Statistics	238
Appendix K	Statistical Analysis of U_{10} Machine Learning Models	240
Appendix L	Taylor Diagram for Rain-Free and Low U_{10} Samples	243
Appendix M	Tropical Cyclone U_{10} Characters of Selected Events Discussed	244
Appendix N	Geostationary Images of Events Discussed in TC Characters	247

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Study Background

Tropical cyclone (TC) is among the most catastrophic natural phenomena known to humankind. This synoptic rotating storm is formed over a tropical region fueled by warm surface ocean water with a temperature of 26°C or higher. Tropical cyclone always brings together abnormally high wind speed of more than 18 ms⁻¹ and intense rain up to 50 mmhr⁻¹ with the confluence of the extremely low mean sea level pressure (MSLP) reaching up to 880 mbar. These, in turn, drive the ocean response in the form of extreme waves, storm surge and rough currents. When an intense tropical cyclone made landfall, the accompanying storm surge, strong wind, and heavy rains combined have caused enormous number of fatalities with the highest events were recorded in the northern Indian Ocean, western North Pacific and western North Atlantic (Needham *et al.*, 2015). Table 1.1 indicating the Northwestern Pacific, the Northeast Pacific and the Atlantic Ocean are the most active regions for tropical cyclone activity (Landsea and Franklin, 2013). For instance, Typhoon Haiyan in 2013 was one of the most powerful typhoons ever to make landfall in recorded history. This gigantic typhoon with a diameter of more than 600 km has hit the Philippine archipelago and was responsible for 6,300 fatalities, 1,061 missing and 28,689 injuries in the aftermath (Lagmay *et al.*, 2015). With consistently increasing of the sea surface temperature over the past three decades, future projections based on theory and high-resolution dynamical model indicated the global average of the tropical cyclone will be shifting towards stronger intensity with an upward trend of 2 to 11 % by 2100 (Emanuel, 2005; Elsner *et al.*, 2008; Knutson *et al.*, 2010; Walsh *et al.*, 2016). Even worse, the global population density in coastal zones is projected to increase from 87 people/km² in 2000 to 134 people/km² by 2050 has put more lives at risk by tropical cyclone impacts (Shi and Singh, 2003).

Table 1.1 Annual averaged number of tropical cyclone occurrences in global ocean basins. (Landsea and Franklin, 2013).

Basin	Tropical Storm, Wind >17 ms ⁻¹		Hurricane, Wind >33 ms ⁻¹	
	Average	Percentage (%)	Average	Percentage (%)
Atlantic	12.1	14.1	6.4	13.6
NE Pacific	16.6	19.3	8.9	19.0
NW Pacific	26.0	30.2	16.5	35.2
North Indian	4.8	5.6	1.5	3.2
SW Indian	9.3	10.8	5.0	10.7
Australia, SE Indian	7.5	8.7	3.6	7.7
Australia, SW Pacific	9.9	11.5	5.2	11.1
Total	86	100	46.9	100

Appreciating the advent of satellite remote sensing, in modern world, there is no single tropical cyclone that has gone undetected. Since the 1970s, a major advance in monitoring tropical cyclone from space emerged with the influential work of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) scientist Vern Dvorak (Dvorak, 1975). Monitoring based on subjective image (visible and infrared) pattern recognition technique from geostationary meteorological satellites permits an estimation of tropical cyclone density using the satellite cloud patterns and brightness temperature which is commonly known as the Dvorak Technique (DT). The DT was later enhanced by an improved computer-based objective algorithms routine using infrared satellite image that led to the development of the Objective Dvorak Technique (ODT) (Velden *et al.*, 1998). However, significant inadequacy of ODT in estimating intensity below hurricane (33 ms⁻¹) and typhoon (28.5 ms⁻¹) strength with complex manual interpretation analysis to locate the eye of storm position in the algorithm have resulted to the development of the Advanced Objective Dvorak Technique (AODT) (Olander *et al.*, 2004). The AODT has capability to automatically estimate the tropical cyclone intensity regardless of the lifecycle stages which inspired the later work by Olander and Velden (2007) in development of fully automated computer-based objective called Advanced Dvorak Technique (ADT). This technique significantly exceeds the limitation of all DT variants and continuously serving to provide tropical cyclone intensity guidance in many tropical cyclone warning centres globally, with minimal regional modifications (Velden *et al.*, 2006; Knaff *et al.*, 2011). Following the advancement series of DT, Current Intensity (CI) and Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Scale (SSHS) as shown in Table 1.2 were often considered as emergency state indicators for response

team to make necessary action based on the potential damage caused by the landfalling tropical cyclone.

Implementing continuous work on series of Dvorak techniques, remote sensing images have been the major sources of information in resolving tropical cyclone characters. In addition, the low-altitude (3 km) flying aircraft equipped with dropwindsondes and Stepped Frequency Microwave Radiometer (SFMR) instruments is regularly deployed to estimate higher density and highly accurate tropical cyclone parameters specifically over the Atlantic basin. Although MSLP is considered the most accurate and reliable TC parameter that can be measured, it is more informative to relate tropical cyclone destructive potential to the maximum wind speed near to the surface of which the damaging strength of landfall tropical cyclone can be deduced (Kossin and Velden, 2004). Following this understanding, few works have been done to develop a wind-pressure relationship for tropical cyclone events (Knaff and Zehr, 2007; Courtney, 2009; Choi *et al.*, 2016). For this reason, agencies such as Joint Typhoon Warning Center (JTWC), the Central Pacific Hurricane Center (CPHC) and the National Hurricane Center (NHC) define the tropical cyclone intensity as the 1-min maximum sustained wind (MSW) at 10 m height above the sea surface (U_{10}) – a difficult quantity to measure from an aerial satellite image. The MSW and radius of maximum wind (RMW) collectively resolve the wind radii extent of significant wind speed thresholds at 34-, 50-, and 64-kt (1 kt = 0.514 ms^{-1}) wind radii which currently used over the Atlantic. An intensive study by Wang and Wu (2004) has classified tropical cyclone structure based on wind speed and pressure parameters, including low-level cyclonic circulation (such as the outermost closed isobar, or radius of MSW 15 ms^{-1}), RMW and eyewall (symmetric or asymmetric). On contrary to Wang and Wu (2004) who defined the inner core structure has twice the radius of RMW (which includes deep eyewall clouds, storm eye and convective asymmetric eyewall), Maclay *et al.* (2008) traditionally described the inner core by the eye diameter and RMW only. Although the wind speed at 10 meter height from the sea surface – called U_{10} – inside the tropical cyclone can be estimated, it is highly challenging task to infer the storm structure from the U_{10} estimates.

Even though much information of TC intensity, location and tracks delivered from various remote sensing measurements to the warning centres, there is little to no improvement of operational forecasting tropical cyclone intensity (Landsea and Cangialosi, 2018). The fact that tropical cyclone intensity is having a direct relationship with the wind speed, it demands this utmost important parameter be primarily and objectively estimated. Although the previously mentioned technique provides an acceptable estimation of tropical cyclone intensity, none of these includes any direct measurement of U_{10} to estimate the MSW. This is because the images provided by the satellite have restricted the estimation of highly dynamic sea surface wind speed beneath the expansive cloud covers inside the extreme tropical cyclone. The limitation of cloud-top pattern and infrared imagery in the series of DT has led Quilfen *et al.* (2010) to conclude and reconsider that aircraft reconnaissance and satellite microwave data are crucial and providing complement observations for wind speed parameter.

To illustrate this capability, several studies had derived U_{10} from microwave radiometer (Bessho *et al.*, 2006; Srivier *et al.*, 2008), scatterometer (Chavas and Emanuel, 2010; Chan and Chan, 2012; Klotz and Jiang, 2016; Liu and Tang, 2016) and altimeter (Quilfen *et al.*, 2006; Carrère *et al.*, 2009) to analyse the tropical cyclone characters. Both active and passive microwave analyses had shown promising results to be assimilated and operationally used. However, the challenges of retrieving the wind speed from these sensors are consistently restricted by the condition of high U_{10} ($> 15 \text{ ms}^{-1}$) in which the sea surface was no longer simply related to the wind. Additional attenuation of the backscatter induced by the precipitation inside the tropical cyclone had reduced the accuracy of the reflected backscatter. With the ongoing development of more accurate U_{10} retrieval algorithms for radiometer (Mai *et al.*, 2016; Yin *et al.*, 2017), scatterometer (Fore *et al.*, 2012; Alsweiss *et al.*, 2014; Stiles *et al.*, 2014) and altimeter (Quilfen *et al.*, 2011; Gu *et al.*, 2011; Qin *et al.*, 2014), this can lead to the potential synergy between active and passive measurements to determine the tropical cyclone wind character eventually. Polar-orbiting radiometer, scatterometer and altimeter are potential in assisting and providing vital U_{10} information under extreme environment such as tropical cyclone globally.

Table 1.2 Dvorak current intensity chart for tropical cyclone (NOAA).

Current Intensity (CI)	Mean Wind Speed		Mean Sea Level Pressure		Saffir Simpson Category
	(knots)	(ms ⁻¹)	Atlantic (mb)	Pacific (mb)	
1.0	25	13	-	-	-
1.5	25	13	-	-	-
2.0	30	15	1009	1000	-
2.5	35	18	1005	997	-
3.0	45	23	1000	991	-
3.5	55	28	994	984	-
4.0	65	33	987	976	1 (64-83 kts)
4.5	77	40	979	966	2 (84-96 kts)
5.0	90	46	970	954	2 (84-96 kts)
5.5	102	52	960	941	3 (97-113 kts)
6.0	115	59	948	927	4 (114-135 kts)
6.5	127	65	935	914	
7.0	140	72	921	898	
7.5	155	80	906	879	5 (136+kts)
8.0	170	87	890	858	

1.2 Research Motivations

The availability of in-situ (e.g., anemometer on buoy) U_{10} measurement is scarce and unfeasible in almost all basins for tropical cyclone analysis. In lieu of in-situ observations, visible and infrared images provide synoptic and temporal information for monitoring and tracking the tropical cyclone. Since the early 1970s, the satellite DT persistently exploits the satellite cloud image pattern (e.g., eye, shear, banded, central dense overcast) and infrared cloud-top temperature to estimate the tropical cyclone CI numbers and trajectory. Contradicting to tropical cyclone tracking, the intensity estimates have not shown significant progress for over a decade (Rappaport *et al.*, 2009). There is no reduction of intensity forecast error has been recorded for the past 30 years, mainly due to the low accuracy U_{10} estimation in tropical cyclone (DeMaria *et al.*, 2014). The higher atmospheric level measurement from optical images has little relevance to oceanography for which the U_{10} that interacts with the ocean's surface. This is problematic to the spaceborne optical measurement which primarily covered with expansive cloud shield leading to masking important data within and beneath the cloud top layer and inheriting the different types of errors particularly from unfavorable weather condition. Kotal *et al.* (2019) have shown that misestimation of tropical cyclone intensity from cloud tracking corresponds to the U_{10} error of 10 to 15 ms⁻¹ (20 to 30-kt). This is unacceptable considering the difference in RMW extent thresholds

used by the agency to classify tropical cyclone strength from initial stage (30-kt) to early mature stage (50-kt) that must be lower than the abovementioned errors. Thus, it is very important to have a robust objective method which defines the “true wind” by a reflected tracer representing the situation at the sea surface level to be adopted to achieve more accurate tropical cyclone wind analysis.

The fact that microwave sensors are nearly weather independent and having strong ocean interaction in signal thus considered as the alternative in tropical cyclone U_{10} measurement. Microwave radiometer such as WindSat and AMSR-2 on board of Coriolis and GCOM-W satellite respectively has demonstrated that the brightness temperature (T_B) can retrieve tropical ocean surface U_{10} (Kim and Lyzenga, 2008; Yao *et al.*, 2015; Hong *et al.*, 2015). A study conducted by Yin *et al.* (2017) has successfully developed an algorithm to retrieve wind speed above 20 ms^{-1} by using 6.8- and 10.7-GHz brightness temperature at great accuracy. However, Yang *et al.* (2014b) have reported that microwave radiometer resolution of $50 \text{ km} \times 70 \text{ km}$ is often much coarser to present the fine-scale tropical cyclone structures thus determine the storm’s eye and the RMW. Hence, it is best to have higher spatial-resolution and active microwave measurement to retrieve dynamic tropical cyclone wind speed.

On the other hand, the backscatter signal emitted by an active microwave sensor has direct contact with the ocean surface roughness that was in equilibrium induced to the wind. Undeniable strength of daily radar scatterometer observation to operationally measure the ocean surface wind at speed of 1.5 ms^{-1} and 20° directional accuracy has proved to be the vital element in estimating the TC intensity (Quilfen *et al.*, 2007; Liu and Tang, 2016). Take MetOp-A and MetOp-B scatterometer for instance, retrieving wind speed with nominal 12.5 km spatial resolution with the developed model function has consistently underestimated wind speed above 30 ms^{-1} (Fore *et al.*, 2012; Stiles *et al.*, 2014; Alsweiss *et al.*, 2014), hence necessary calibration had been considered (Chou *et al.*, 2013). Great attention on satellite scatterometer shows promising progress in tropical cyclone wind speed study and similar efforts should also be applied to the altimeter and radiometer which can offer more accurate wind speed inside this extreme event.

The polar orbiting altimeter has taken little attention in TC study because of the information in narrow instantaneous field of view (IFOV) was limited to represent larger storm extent. Yet, the altimeter satellite such as Jason-2 and Jason-3 (Jasons) has higher along-track resolution to allow fine-scale tropical cyclone U_{10} profile presentation. Instead of few studies are working on resolving the outer-core of low-to-moderate U_{10} (Quilfen *et al.*, 2006; Carrère *et al.*, 2009), limited study focused on inner-core of higher U_{10} profile estimation. Motivated by the remarkable altimeter derived high U_{10} algorithm developed by Young (1993), the later developed algorithms have surprisingly estimated winds up to 50 ms^{-1} (Gu *et al.*, 2011; Quilfen *et al.*, 2011; Qin *et al.*, 2014) but the rain contamination to the signal was not accounted. Furthermore, the altimeter derived U_{10} variable is accompanied by an extra sea state condition information at 5 to 10 km along-track spatial resolution can be beneficial in investigating extreme dynamic variation (Quilfen *et al.*, 2006; Li *et al.*, 2018).

Most altimeters have dual frequency radar and additional radiometer onboard allowing comprehensive information on atmospheric response (Quarty, 1997; Tournadre *et al.*, 2009; Ali *et al.*, 2015). Such information could enhance understanding on atmospheric related parameters and thus improve the accuracy of estimated U_{10} . To develop all-inclusive algorithm to fit with all altimeter missions is not a straightforward task particularly where different satellite mission provides various number of additional parameters at different frequencies. However, no study has established the fundamental basis of incorporating all these parameters to estimate U_{10} even in a single altimeter mission. Most studies solely focus on development of new model based on collocated higher U_{10} reference regardless of critical analysis about the complementation of onboard radiometric parameters (Quilfen *et al.*, 2010; Qin *et al.*, 2014). Therefore, this study motivates assessment of altimeter derived parameters for exploiting their advantages in U_{10} estimation inside tropical cyclone environment.

1.3 Problem Statements

The limitation of the optical images from Geostationary Meteorological Satellite (GMS) is their inability to provide information on the situation occurring beneath the cloud cover. The DT tracks cloud patterns and features at higher altitude to estimate

the U_{10} which has led to major uncertainty in classifying the tropical cyclone intensity (Emanuel and Zhang, 2016). Although satellite altimeters can provide global U_{10} measurement at accuracy of 1.5 ms^{-1} (Ribal and Young, 2019), the application was only limited to a neutral atmospheric condition. Studies reported that the inverse physical and empirical relationship between the Jasons Ku-band backscatter to U_{10} can only hold for measurement up to 20 ms^{-1} because of the models were designed only for normal atmospheric stability and rain-free environment (Abdalla, 2012; Ribal and Young, 2019). The models are however unreliable during off-normal condition such as in the tropical cyclone, where the U_{10} accuracy is significantly reduced due to complex atmospheric interaction (Chavas *et al.*, 2017). For that reason, some studies suggested to explore conditions that significantly impaired the altimeter signal and remarkably undermined the accuracy of U_{10} product especially at the speed greater than 20 ms^{-1} (Zhao and Toba, 2003; Young *et al.*, 2017). Estimating U_{10} in such extreme environment of tropical cyclone is exceptionally difficult considering rapid variation in low-to-high regimes with additional signal attenuation from various rain rate episodes (Carrère *et al.*, 2009).

Tropical cyclone permits complex ocean-atmospheric relation and thus physical correlations established in normal condition were no longer valid. Operational models commonly developed from Ku-band related parameters were not providing inadequate information of the real tropical cyclone. For instance, the increasing U_{10} induces saturation state at 15 ms^{-1} despite higher sea state roughness is available (Gourrion *et al.*, 2002; Abdalla, 2012) and the additional rain contamination superimposed in the backscatter is almost unconsidered and left without correction (Young, 1993; Quilfen *et al.*, 2011). Thus, this has led the existing Jasons U_{10} algorithms to become unreliable. Although Ku-band backscatter shows great sensitivity to higher U_{10} , other frequency lower than Ku-band was suggested to be less affected by the rain (Quartly, 2015).

The U_{10} estimation should fully utilize the advantage of dual frequency altimeter data to deal with complex ocean-atmosphere conditions and leverage the limitation provided by the single Ku-band estimation model. Several other surpluses including the ocean geophysical and backscatter related parameters simultaneously observed by multiple sensors onboard have potential to describe this complex TC environment. Yet,

integrating those multiple parameters is not straightforward because of the complex multi-relationship established between them (Ali *et al.*, 2015). There is no clear justification and immature physical relations between each parameter in emulating the tropical cyclone environment. Poor understanding of the relationship between remotely sensed ocean parameters and U_{10} in this environment has led to 5 to 14% uncertainty (Powell, 2010). Thus, the comprehensive understanding about parameters relationship to U_{10} is needed before a new model can be developed.

Among all parameters studied, the best parameter combination in developing a new U_{10} model is uncertain. The parameters might contain redundant information, if worse, can degrade the quality of the model when unnecessary higher dimensions are considered (Jiang *et al.*, 2020; Wang *et al.*, 2020). When decided, incorporating those parameters into a single model is another issue. Not all parameters showing a similar perfect linear trend to the U_{10} . Studies suggested that even the principal backscatter could modify itself to an exponential form when considering wider U_{10} range ($>20 \text{ ms}^{-1}$) (Quilfen *et al.*, 2011). Radiometric quasi-linear connections to the U_{10} and additional inter-parameter relationships among the inputs are another troublesome aspect need to be reviewed (Bushair and Gairola, 2019; Varma *et al.*, 2020). To contemplate these concerns, a comprehensive and intelligent technique is required to integrate all possible complex relationships. Furthermore, in a real tropical cyclone, the attributes of U_{10} and all parameters are unique and vary drastically to different event and hourly period interval (McTaggart-Cowan *et al.*, 2007). A developed model should be smart enough to sensitively identify slight variations and adjusting itself by compromising all the combined parameters in inverting the tropical cyclone U_{10} . Although the conventional regression technique is easy (Barhmi *et al.*, 2019; Casella, 2019), fitting those parameters to a single line model equation might appear to be improper. More advanced computational technique is required when considering complex conditions. In contrast to the conventional regression, the developed model should also meet model generalization and robustness criteria when dealing with rain contamination in high U_{10} condition and when applying to unique and independent tropical cyclone scenario.

The model should provide continuous depiction of sensible U_{10} approximation pattern especially in the region close to the tropical cyclone centre and consequently feasible to study wind characters from altimeter fine-scale resolution. Currently the cloud images, however, give no indication about the real condition at sea surface level, thus neglecting sea state information to be accounted for lifecycle analysis (Zeng *et al.*, 2010). The scatterometer with nominal spatial resolution of $25 \times 25 \text{ km}^2$ experienced U_{10} underestimation in all tropical cyclone areas and the largest difference was found at near the eyewall section (Chou *et al.*, 2013). Higher Jasons along-track resolution can offer finer scale of near the tropical cyclone centre where very dynamic ocean-atmospheric conditions are always exist (Scharroo *et al.*, 2005). With existing operational U_{10} product despair specifically inside the rain and high U_{10} range, no convincing attempt has been made. Therefore, it is recommended that continuous work needs to be done in exploiting active microwave observation such as Jason-2 and Jason-3 altimeters, in estimating and establishing complex ocean-atmosphere relationships with more accurate tropical cyclone wind characters.

1.3.1 Research Questions

Based on the abovementioned problem statements, four research questions are designed.

- (i) What is the limitation of the current operational satellite altimeter and scatterometer product in representing the U_{10} inside the tropical cyclone conditions??
- (ii) To what extent the simultaneous satellite altimeter and radiometer derived ocean parameters can emulate the real U_{10} relationships in a complex tropical cyclone condition?
- (iii) Which combination of satellite altimeter and radiometer related parameters performed at best with more intelligent regression technique for highly accurate tropical cyclone U_{10} derivation?
- (iv) How the improved U_{10} estimates help in deducing instantaneous tropical cyclone wind characters?

1.3.2 Aim and Objectives

This study aims to analyse multiple altimeter ocean-related parameters and numerically estimate highly accurate U_{10} for describing the tropical cyclone wind characteristics. Therefore, to achieve the aim, four main specific objectives are designed.

- (i) To assess the quality of operational U_{10} products measured from MetOps scatterometer and Jasons altimeter in tropical cyclone environment.
- (ii) To establish the relationships between altimeter and radiometer derived ocean parameters to the U_{10} in the tropical cyclone condition.
- (iii) To develop and validate the new U_{10} estimation model from Jason-2 and Jason-3 altimeter measurements using machine learning regression techniques.
- (iv) To derive the tropical cyclone wind characters from the new U_{10} model and compare their pattern agreement to the verified best track report.

1.4 Scope of Study

Tropical cyclone events that reached at least tropical storm intensity occurred in 2015 to 2018 globally were used for this study. As this study is not intended to explore the climate variability of the tropical cyclone phenomenon globally, these 4-year observations are considered sufficient to comprehend the technical aspect of altimeter satellite in modelling tropical cyclone U_{10} within the diverse geographical influences of natural phenomena. Furthermore there are several most intense tropical cyclone events recorded within this period such as Hurricane Maria 2017 and Typhoon Mangkhut 2018 that directly hit Caribbean's countries and the Philippines to the wider South China Sea's countries respectively. Despite that all ocean's basins were considered, this study committed to demonstrate and extensively discuss the tropical cyclone events within the regions of the Pacific and Atlantic oceans. It is important to note that this study only investigates tropical cyclone with at least 30-km distance from land. The observation involved isolates this criterion to preserve good quality of ocean-

atmospheric interactions by discard land contamination to the altimetric signal and various land structure led to complex atmospheric interaction.

As the most intense tropical cyclone category is called Typhoon in Northwest Pacific and Hurricane in Northeast Pacific and Atlantic, these are the top three regions that actively hit by the tropical cyclone accordingly. Thus, more details discussion and examples will be focused on these regions. The annual reports released by the JMA (JMA, 2017, 2018) shows that Northwest Pacific has the highest concentration of tropical cyclone activity with 26 and 27 of tropical storm (TS) intensity or higher observed in 2016 and 2017 respectively, exceeding the climatological normal frequency of 25.6. For Northeast Pacific (Kimberlain, 2017) and Atlantic (Beven, 2017), the occurrences of tropical storm strength reported by NHC in 2016 was 21 and 15 in comparison to their climatological normal frequency of 15 and 12 respectively. A study reported that typhoons could occur in any season, unlike hurricanes which happen almost entirely from June to November. However, both typhoons and hurricanes take place most frequently in the late summer and during the fall seasons where heat energy budget stored at maximum inside the earth's ocean (Pun *et al.*, 2011; D'ASARO *et al.*, 2011). This study includes all events developed within the global main basins at the latitude of 0° to 45°N/S with their intensity category officially published by the tropical cyclone warning centre (TCWC). However, a major discussion highlighted the events that have been investigated thoroughly by the scientific community with at least sustained significantly in the typhoon/hurricane category.

The advantage of the polar-orbiting satellite altimeter is its global coverage which includes all ocean basins covering all active tropical cyclone regions and events. As most of the altimeter satellite is now operating at the same dual Ku/C frequency band, this study only focuses on the most discussed altimeter derived parameters from Jason-2 and Jason-3 missions (Jasons) to develop the fundamental understanding. High quality ocean's data assurance enables Jasons to be used extensively as benchmark for wider altimeter's application fields even to extreme events such as tsunami (Gower, 2005), extreme waves (Woo and Park, 2021), extreme wind (Li *et al.*, 2017), and tropical cyclone (Quilfen *et al.*, 2006). This study presumed that the basic knowledge unfolding through this study can be used as the underlying foundation for other altimeter satellites.

The operational U_{10} product was initially assessed for its applicability and compared along with the developed models. The Level-1B of research quality Geophysical Data Record (GDR) products for Jason-2 and Jason-3, that contain quality assured derived ocean parameters were used as a core dataset. At this level, the dataset is presented in geolocation along track swath, corrected systematic error, calibrated measurements to all their predecessor mission programs, and has been converted to sensor units such as backscatter in decibel (dB) and brightness temperature in Kelvin (K). The random error existed is anticipated caused by the complex atmospheric interactions inside the tropical cyclone, in which, this is the core data investigate throughout this study.

Level-2 ocean surface wind vector data products from MetOp-A and MetOp-B scatterometers (MetOps) were used only to validate all Jasons's derived parameters observed in the tropical cyclone environment. Dataset at this level has been processed into geophysical product, but retaining its Level-1 spatio-temporal resolutions, with several corrections imposed such as atmospheric emission and attenuation caused by water vapor. Many studies demonstrated this product exhibits high wind vector quality even in extreme tropical cyclone environment (Zabolotskikh *et al.*, 2014a; Tamizi *et al.*, 2020). This dataset was first calibrated following Chou *et al.* (2013) to the dropwindsonde measurement inside the tropical cyclone before it was used as the reference in building the U_{10} model. Apart from the altimetric dual-frequency backscatter, this study also assessed the dual-frequency significant waves height, radiometric brightness temperatures and its derived water content in relation to tropical cyclone U_{10} with reference from calibrated MetOps. These parameters are anticipated to play a significant contribution in providing enough information to emulate the real complex tropical cyclone environment, much needed in developing the U_{10} model process. Even the HWind (considered as the best quality of U_{10} in TC) is available only for several TC cases, this data was limited and only used as a trend validation to the developed Jasons U_{10} models.

The conventional regression technique was applied to give simple indication about the relationship of altimetry parameter and only used for pre-assessment. The primary focus is on several machine learning (ML) approaches in developing the tropical cyclone U_{10} model. The complex multi-relation parameters that existed are

anticipated to provide an ill-posed solution to the conventional technique, thus more advanced non-parametric modelling was considered. These include the implementation of Artificial Neural Network (ANN), Support Vector Machine (SVM), and Gaussian Process Regression (GPR). This study not intended to delve thoroughly into each ML hyperparameter setup in computer analysis perspective, but more focus on the algorithm comparison based on the interaction of altimetry parameters towards complex tropical cyclone condition. The applicability and unique advantage of the derived tropical cyclone characters from the Jasons' U_{10} profile is the major highlight. For this study, the estimated characters of the tropical cyclone center location, maximum sustained wind, storm's radius and the radial extend of tropical cyclone size are anticipated and is one of the novel altimeter U_{10} studies for tropical cyclone ever conducted. To compare the estimated tropical cyclone characters, the best-track (TCBT) reports from different tropical cyclone warning agency (e.g., NHC, JMA) are used for validation (Kruk *et al.*, 2010).

In managing a huge amount of numeric data and conducting complex computation scientific study, MATLAB language environment is embarked as the main processing platform. MATLAB is a programming platform that employs matrix-based language to allow most expression and computational mathematics. All non-imaging remote sensing data contains a large matrix dataset that can be easily handled in the MATLAB environment makes this processing software the core element as this study progresses. This study also implemented the Machine Learning and Deep Learning Toolbox developed by MathWorks purposely for the MATLAB environment. All the machine learning processing frameworks used were computationally stable with high quality guaranteed and thus left for further exploration on their multiple techniques comparison in developing the best tropical cyclone U_{10} model. Finally, all figures presented in this study were primarily produced by the MATLAB software.

1.5 Significance of the Study

Monitoring tropical cyclones is a major application of weather satellites. Almost all operational centres worldwide depend on remote sensing satellite observations for monitoring and predicting tropical cyclone trajectory and strength intensity. The work

on monitoring this extremely disastrous event has involved many satellite platforms with different characteristics consideration: type of sensor, spatial resolution and orbital parameter (e.g., altitude, inclination and swath). Focusing on the open-ocean state, this study is anticipated to prove the concept of estimating a wide range of U_{10} at near sea surface level under undesirably extreme tropical cyclone conditions, which no other satellites can provide except altimeter and scatterometer. Therefore, the finding can provide sea surface information that is always negligence and absent in satellite optical data with expansive clouds images. The already available missions can now provide an objectively estimated and more reliable U_{10} , which is at best acquired by flying aircraft. All regions now could utilize this product despite spending high costs and embracing dangerous risks from aircraft missions, which for long become the golden fortune only for NHC, U.S. Besides, the established product should also be considered as the replacement for aircraft observations incorporated into HWind U_{10} analysis (which considered as in-situ U_{10} observation in tropical cyclone) that later will be available to not only NHC's region, but all tropical cyclone events globally.

The polar-orbiting satellite acquisition scheme of microwave sensors allows this processing framework to be implemented consistently at all regions once established. Unlike images from geostationary satellites, the analysis framework was developed and only applicable at regional level. With the similar polar-orbiting acquisition technique, future satellite missions have committed to launch multi-sensors of microwave radiometer, scatterometer and altimeter installed on the same platform such as Hai-Yang series (from HY-2A to HY-2H). The successor of Jason series mission called Sentinel-6 having almost similar sensors specification (cooperation between NASA and EUMETSAT), was launched in November 2020 and is expected to operate at least until 2032 (Scharroo *et al.*, 2016; Donlon *et al.*, 2021). This study could provide basic fundamental knowledge of the sensors' applicability in extreme environment to be ventured over the next decade.

Besides, the scenario of increasing tropical cyclone intensity under future climate change poses the coastal population and environment to be more vulnerable towards this deadly disaster (Moon *et al.*, 2019). The near real-time intensity estimates from the satellites play a vital element for all tropical cyclone warning centers in

advising the public, government and emergency response team. This study is projected to provide complementary TCBT information of the tropical cyclone size and characters based on the estimates Jasons U_{10} and support the agencies to better consult the response team of the incoming tropical cyclone hazard. False and inaccurate alarms can result in thousands of dollars and hundreds of man-hours wasted in needless storm preparation if overestimated, or on the other hand, can lead to unexpected storm impacts due to an unanticipated landfall and jeopardizing lives. Thus, improved accuracy of U_{10} retrieval to estimate tropical cyclone characters can reduce needless evacuation and increase the confidence in the advance warning system.

As climate change is now a global concern, the tropical cyclone with greater intensity becoming the new emerging risk to several regions such as the South China Sea (Chen *et al.*, 2017, 2019a; Shao *et al.*, 2019). The tail-wind effect with heavy orographic rain is occasionally felt by the north Sabah, east coast of peninsular Malaysia, and along the Titiwangsa Mountain range when the tropical cyclone paths move into their offshore stretch (Tan *et al.*, 2011). This can trigger several cascading multi-hazard consequences, such as landslides and debris flows, as well as to the wider water pollution, sanitation services and health sectors if the affected city is not resilient enough to this new emerging risk (Wdowinski *et al.*, 2017; Purwar *et al.*, 2020). Hence, this study aims to extend its contribution to the Sustainable Development Goal (SDG), a strategic plan of the United Nation (UN) Development Program (UNDP) for a better and more sustainable future for all. The technical guideline of handling more accurate U_{10} in tropical cyclone from long historic altimeter data record is expected to provide additional information to environmentalist, climatologist and government's policy makers to engage the challenges outlined in SDG Goal 13: Climate action, as demonstrated in several studies (Burby, 2006; Sharma and Patwardhan, 2008; Barbier, 2015). The more accurate tropical cyclone information is also anticipated to help agencies analyze the human impact of geophysical disasters, which are 91% climate-related that have killed more than 1.3 million people and left 4.4 billion injured over the past two decades (Nations, 2015). Besides, this study is foreseen to work together in Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR) 2015 – 2030 under the Priorities for Action: Priority 1 – Understanding disaster risk (UNISDR, 2015). This study provides science-based information to the agency in understanding and managing the

exposure and vulnerability of humans and assets towards DRR caused by the tropical cyclone.

1.6 Brief of Thesis Structure

This thesis consists of five main chapters. Chapter 1 is an introduction that provides a background of the proposed study along with motivation, problem statements, objectives, research questions, scopes and significances of this study. Chapter 2 will cover the literature review of the related topics and emphasize the application and current limitation of polar-orbiting altimeter satellites for U_{10} estimation in tropical cyclone conditions. The discussion also includes the physical understanding of selected parameters concerning U_{10} inside tropical cyclone and a previous attempt at machine learning applications. Chapter 3 will discuss in detail the proposed methodology which will be used in this study including, satellites data descriptions, events selection, data filtering, data quality control, data pre-processing, and theoretical machine learning frameworks. All selected results, analysis and discussion are put together in Chapter 4, while Chapter 5 overlay the conclusions and recommendations of the study.

REFERENCES

- Abdalla, S. (2012). Ku-band radar altimeter surface wind speed algorithm. *Marine Geodesy*. 35(sup1), 276–298.
- Abdullah, M. H. and Tussin, A. (2014). *Tropical cyclone, rough seas and severe weather monitoring and early warning system in Malaysia*.
- Ahrens, C. D. and Henson, R. (2021). *Meteorology today: an introduction to weather, climate, and the environment*. Cengage Learning.
- Ali, M., Bhowmick, S. A., Sharma, R., Chaudhury, A., Pezzullo, J. C., Bourassa, M. A., Ramana, I. V. and Niharika, K. (2015). An artificial neural network model function (AMF) for saral-altika winds. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*. 8(11), 5317–5323.
- Alsweiss, S., Hanna, R., Laupattarakasem, P., Jones, W. L., Hennon, C. C. and Chen, R. (2014). A non-MLE approach for satellite scatterometer wind vector retrievals in tropical cyclones. *Remote Sensing*. 6(5), 4133–4148.
- Ash, K. D. and Matyas, C. J. (2012). The influences of ENSO and the subtropical Indian Ocean Dipole on tropical cyclone trajectories in the southwestern Indian Ocean. *International Journal of Climatology*. 32(1), 41–56.
- Atkinson, G. D. and Holliday, C. R. (1977). Tropical cyclone minimum sea level pressure/maximum sustained wind relationship for the western North Pacific. *Monthly Weather Review*. 105(4), 421–427.
- Atlas, R., Hoffman, R. N., Ardizzone, J., Leidner, S. M., Jusem, J. C., Smith, D. K. and Gombos, D. (2011). A cross-calibrated, multiplatform ocean surface wind velocity product for meteorological and oceanographic applications. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*. 92(2), 157–174.
- Atlas, R., Hou, A. Y. and Reale, O. (2005). Application of SeaWinds scatterometer and TMI-SSM/I rain rates to hurricane analysis and forecasting. *ISPRS journal of photogrammetry and remote sensing*. 59(4), 233–243.
- Barbier, E. B. (2015). Policy: Hurricane Katrina’s lessons for the world. *Nature News*. 524(7565), 285.

- Barhmi, S., Elfatni, O. and Belhaj, I. (2019). Forecasting of wind speed using multiple linear regression and artificial neural networks. *Energy Systems*, 1–12.
- Beckley, B., Zelensky, N., Holmes, S., Lemoine, F., Ray, R., Mitchum, G., Desai, S. and Brown, S. (2010). Assessment of the Jason-2 extension to the TOPEX/Poseidon, Jason-1 sea-surface height time series for global mean sea level monitoring. *Marine Geodesy*. 33(S1), 447–471.
- Bell, S. S., Chand, S. S. and Turville, C. (2020). Projected changes in ENSO-driven regional tropical cyclone tracks. *Climate Dynamics*. 54(3), 2533–2559.
- Bender, M. A., Ginis, I. and Kurihara, Y. (1993). Numerical simulations of tropical cyclone-ocean interaction with a high-resolution coupled model. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*. 98(D12), 23245–23263.
- Bender III, L. C., Guinasso Jr, N., Walpert, J. N. and Howden, S. D. (2010). A comparison of methods for determining significant wave heights—Applied to a 3-m discus buoy during Hurricane Katrina. *Journal of atmospheric and oceanic technology*. 27(6), 1012–1028.
- Bentamy, A., Croize-Fillon, D. and Perigaud, C. (2008). Characterization of ASCAT measurements based on buoy and QuikSCAT wind vector observations. *Ocean Science*. 4(4), 265–274.
- Bentamy, A., Grodsky, S. A., Carton, J. A., Croizé-Fillon, D. and Chapron, B. (2012). Matching ASCAT and QuikSCAT winds. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*. 117(C2).
- Bentamy, A., Grodsky, S. A., Chapron, B. and Carton, J. A. (2013). Compatibility of C-and Ku-band scatterometer winds: ERS-2 and QuikSCAT. *Journal of Marine Systems*. 117, 72–80.
- Berg, R. (2018). National Hurricane Center Tropical Cyclone Report: Hurricane Jose (AL 122017) 5-22 September 2017. *National Hurricane Center*.
- Berg, W., Sapiano, M. R., Horsman, J. and Kummerow, C. (2012). Improved geolocation and Earth incidence angle information for a fundamental climate data record of the SSM/I sensors. *IEEE transactions on geoscience and remote sensing*. 51(3), 1504–1513.

- Bessho, K., Date, K., Hayashi, M., Ikeda, A., Imai, T., Inoue, H., Kumagai, Y., Miyakawa, T., Murata, H., Ohno, T. *et al.* (2016). An introduction to Himawari-8/9—Japan’s new-generation geostationary meteorological satellites. *Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan. Ser. II.* 94(2), 151–183.
- Bessho, K., DeMaria, M. and Knaff, J. A. (2006). Tropical cyclone wind retrievals from the Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit: Application to surface wind analysis. *Journal of applied meteorology and climatology.* 45(3), 399–415.
- Bettenhausen, M. H., Smith, C. K., Bevilacqua, R. M., Wang, N.-Y., Gaiser, P. W. and Cox, S. (2006). A nonlinear optimization algorithm for WindSat wind vector retrievals. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing.* 44(3), 597–610.
- Bhardwaj, P., Pattanaik, D. R. and Singh, O. (2019). Tropical cyclone activity over Bay of Bengal in relation to El Niño-Southern Oscillation. *International Journal of Climatology.* 39(14), 5452–5469.
- Bhattacharya, M. (2013). Machine learning for bioclimatic modelling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1303.2739.*
- Bourassa, M. A., Legler, D. M., O’Brien, J. J. and Smith, S. R. (2003). SeaWinds validation with research vessels. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans.* 108(C2).
- Bramante, J. F., Ford, M. R., Kench, P. S., Ashton, A. D., Toomey, M. R., Sullivan, R. M., Karnauskas, K. B., Ummenhofer, C. C. and Donnelly, J. P. (2020). Increased typhoon activity in the Pacific deep tropics driven by Little Ice Age circulation changes. *Nature Geoscience.* 13(12), 806–811.
- Brennan, M. J., Hennon, C. C. and Knabb, R. D. (2009). The operational use of QuikSCAT ocean surface vector winds at the National Hurricane Center. *Weather and Forecasting.* 24(3), 621–645.
- Burby, R. J. (2006). Hurricane Katrina and the paradoxes of government disaster policy: Bringing about wise governmental decisions for hazardous areas. *The annals of the American academy of political and social science.* 604(1), 171–191.
- Bushair, M. and Gairola, R. (2019). A combined passive–active microwave retrieval of ocean surface wind speed from SARAL-Altika microwave radar altimeter and radiometer. *Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics.* 131(5), 1205–1212.

- Camargo, S. J. and Sobel, A. H. (2005). Western North Pacific tropical cyclone intensity and ENSO. *Journal of Climate*. 18(15), 2996–3006.
- Camps-Valls, G. and Bruzzone, L. (2009). *Kernel methods for remote sensing data analysis*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Cardone, V. J., Greenwood, J. G. and Cane, M. A. (1990). On trends in historical marine wind data. *Journal of Climate*. 3(1), 113–127.
- Carrère, L., Mertz, F., Dorandeu, J., Quilfen, Y. and Patoux, J. (2009). Observing and studying extreme low pressure events with altimetry. *Sensors*. 9(3), 1306–1329.
- Carswell, J. R., Knapp, E. J., Chang, P. S., Black, P. D. and Marks, F. D. (2000). Limitations of scatterometry high wind speed retrieval. In *IGARSS 2000. IEEE 2000 International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium. Taking the Pulse of the Planet: The Role of Remote Sensing in Managing the Environment. Proceedings (Cat. No. 00CH37120)*, vol. 3. IEEE, 1226–1228.
- Casella, L. (2019). Wind speed reconstruction using a novel Multivariate Probabilistic method and Multiple Linear Regression: advantages compared to the single correlation approach. *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics*. 191, 252–265.
- Cavaleri, L. and Bertotti, L. (2017). The attenuation of swell waves by rain. *Geophysical Research Letters*. 44(20), 10–504.
- Cavaleri, L., Bertotti, L. and Pezzutto, P. (2019). Accuracy of altimeter data in inner and coastal seas. *Ocean Science*. 15(2), 227–233.
- Chan, J. C. (2006). Comment on "Changes in tropical cyclone number, duration, and intensity in a warming environment". *science*. 311(5768), 1713–1713.
- Chan, J. C. and Kepert, J. D. (2010). Global perspectives on tropical cyclones: from science to mitigation.
- Chan, K. T. (2019). Are global tropical cyclones moving slower in a warming climate? *Environmental Research Letters*. 14(10), 104015.
- Chan, K. T. and Chan, J. C. (2012). Size and strength of tropical cyclones as inferred from QuikSCAT data. *Monthly weather review*. 140(3), 811–824.

- Chand, S. S., Tory, K. J., Ye, H. and Walsh, K. J. (2017). Projected increase in El Niño-driven tropical cyclone frequency in the Pacific. *Nature Climate Change*. 7(2), 123–127.
- Chavas, D. R. and Emanuel, K. A. (2010). A QuikSCAT climatology of tropical cyclone size. *Geophysical Research Letters*. 37(18).
- Chavas, D. R., Lin, N., Dong, W. and Lin, Y. (2016). Observed tropical cyclone size revisited. *Journal of Climate*. 29(8), 2923–2939.
- Chavas, D. R., Reed, K. A. and Knaff, J. A. (2017). Physical understanding of the tropical cyclone wind-pressure relationship. *Nature communications*. 8(1), 1–11.
- Chelton, D. B. and Freilich, M. H. (2005). Scatterometer-based assessment of 10-m wind analyses from the operational ECMWF and NCEP numerical weather prediction models. *Monthly Weather Review*. 133(2), 409–429.
- Chelton, D. B., Freilich, M. H., Sienkiewicz, J. M. and Von Ahn, J. M. (2006). On the use of QuikSCAT scatterometer measurements of surface winds for marine weather prediction. *Monthly weather review*. 134(8), 2055–2071.
- Chelton, D. B., Ries, J. C., Haines, B. J., Fu, L.-L. and Callahan, P. S. (2001). Satellite altimetry. In *International geophysics*. (pp. 1–ii). vol. 69. Elsevier.
- Chen, L., Gong, Z., Wu, J. and Li, W. (2019a). Extremely active tropical cyclone activities over the western North Pacific and South China Sea in summer 2018: Joint effects of decaying La Niña and intraseasonal oscillation. *Journal of Meteorological Research*. 33(4), 609–626.
- Chen, S. S., Knaff, J. A. and Marks Jr, F. D. (2006). Effects of vertical wind shear and storm motion on tropical cyclone rainfall asymmetries deduced from TRMM. *Monthly Weather Review*. 134(11), 3190–3208.
- Chen, T.-C., Tsay, J.-D., Matsumoto, J. and Alpert, J. (2017). Impact of the summer monsoon westerlies on the South China Sea tropical cyclone genesis in May. *Weather and Forecasting*. 32(3), 925–947.
- Chen, X., Huang, W., Zhao, C. and Tian, Y. (2019b). Rain detection from X-band marine radar images: A support vector machine-based approach. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*. 58(3), 2115–2123.

- Choi, J. W., Cha, Y., Kim, H.-D. and Lu, R. (2016). Relationship between the maximum wind speed and the minimum sea level pressure for tropical cyclones in the western North Pacific. *J Climatol Weather Forecast.* 4(180), 2.
- Choi, W., Ho, C.-H., Kim, J. and Chan, J. C. (2019). Near-future tropical cyclone predictions in the western North Pacific: fewer tropical storms but more typhoons. *Climate Dynamics.* 53(3), 1341–1356.
- Chou, K.-H., Wu, C.-C. and Lin, S.-Z. (2013). Assessment of the ASCAT wind error characteristics by global dropwindsonde observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres.* 118(16), 9011–9021.
- Collins, C., Hesser, T., Rogowski, P. and Merrifield, S. (2021). Altimeter Observations of Tropical Cyclone-generated Sea States: Spatial Analysis and Operational Hindcast Evaluation. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering.* 9(2), 216.
- Cornford, D., Nabney, I. T. and Bishop, C. M. (1999). Neural network-based wind vector retrieval from satellite scatterometer data. *Neural computing & applications.* 8(3), 206–217.
- Cortes, C. and Vapnik, V. (1995). Support-vector networks. *Machine learning.* 20(3), 273–297.
- Courtney, J. (2009). Adapting the Knaff and Zehr wind-pressure relationship for operational use in Tropical Cyclone Warning Centres. *Australian Meteorological and Oceanographic Journal.* 58(3), 167.
- Cummins, P. R. (2007). The potential for giant tsunamigenic earthquakes in the northern Bay of Bengal. *Nature.* 449(7158), 75–78.
- D'ASARO, E., Black, P., Centurioni, L., Harr, P., Jayne, S., Lin, I.-I., Lee, C., Morzel, J., Mrvaljevic, R., Niiler, P. P. *et al.* (2011). Typhoon-ocean interaction in the western North Pacific: Part 1. *Oceanography.* 24(4), 24–31.
- Davis, C. (2018). Resolving tropical cyclone intensity in models. *Geophysical Research Letters.* 45(4), 2082–2087.
- DeMaria, M., Knaff, J. A., Brennan, M. J., Brown, D., Knabb, R. D., DeMaria, R. T., Schumacher, A., Lauer, C. A., Roberts, D. P., Sampson, C. R. *et al.* (2013). Improvements to the operational tropical cyclone wind speed probability model. *Weather and forecasting.* 28(3), 586–602.

- DeMaria, M., Knaff, J. A., Knabb, R., Lauer, C., Sampson, C. R. and DeMaria, R. T. (2009). A new method for estimating tropical cyclone wind speed probabilities. *Weather and Forecasting*. 24(6), 1573–1591.
- DeMaria, M., Sampson, C. R., Knaff, J. A. and Musgrave, K. D. (2014). Is tropical cyclone intensity guidance improving? *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*. 95(3), 387–398.
- Deng, L., Li, T., Bi, M., Liu, J. and Peng, M. (2018). Dependence of tropical cyclone development on Coriolis parameter: A theoretical model. *Dynamics of Atmospheres and Oceans*. 81, 51–62.
- DiNapoli, S. M., Bourassa, M. A. and Powell, M. D. (2012). Uncertainty and intercalibration analysis of H* Wind. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*. 29(6), 822–833.
- Dodla, V. B., Srinivas, D., Dasari, H. P. and Gubbala, C. S. (2016). Prediction of tropical cyclone over North Indian Ocean using WRF model: sensitivity to scatterometer winds, ATOVS and ATMS radiances. In *Remote Sensing and Modeling of the Atmosphere, Oceans, and Interactions VI*, vol. 9882. International Society for Optics and Photonics, 988213.
- Dolling, K., Ritchie, E. A. and Tyo, J. S. (2016). The use of the deviation angle variance technique on geostationary satellite imagery to estimate tropical cyclone size parameters. *Weather and Forecasting*. 31(5), 1625–1642.
- Done, J. M., PaiMazumder, D., Towler, E. and Kishtawal, C. M. (2018). Estimating impacts of North Atlantic tropical cyclones using an index of damage potential. *Climatic Change*. 146(3), 561–573.
- Donlon, C. J., Cullen, R., Giulicchi, L., Vuilleumier, P., Francis, C. R., Kuschnerus, M., Simpson, W., Bouridah, A., Caleno, M., Bertoni, R. *et al.* (2021). The Copernicus Sentinel-6 mission: Enhanced continuity of satellite sea level measurements from space. *Remote Sensing of Environment*. 258, 112395.
- Draper, D. W. and Long, D. G. (2004). Simultaneous wind and rain retrieval using SeaWinds data. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*. 42(7), 1411–1423.

- Duan, B., Zhang, W., Yang, X., Dai, H. and Yu, Y. (2017). Assimilation of typhoon wind field retrieved from scatterometer and SAR based on the Huber norm quality control. *Remote Sensing*. 9(10), 987.
- Dumont, J., Rosmorduc, V., Carrere, L., Picot, N., Bronner, E., Couhert, A., Guillot, A., Desai, S., Bonekamp, H., Figa, J. *et al.* (2016). Jason-3 products handbook. *Technical representative, CNES and EUMETSAT and JPL and NOAA/NESDIS*.
- Dunion, J. P., Houston, S. H., Velden, C. S. and Powell, M. D. (2002). Application of surface-adjusted GOES low-level cloud-drift winds in the environment of Atlantic tropical cyclones. Part II: Integration into surface wind analyses. *Monthly weather review*. 130(5), 1347–1355.
- Dvorak, V. F. (1975). Tropical cyclone intensity analysis and forecasting from satellite imagery. *Monthly Weather Review*. 103(5), 420–430.
- Elsner, J. B., Kossin, J. P. and Jagger, T. H. (2008). The increasing intensity of the strongest tropical cyclones. *Nature*. 455(7209), 92–95.
- Emanuel, K. (2000). A statistical analysis of tropical cyclone intensity. *Monthly weather review*. 128(4), 1139–1152.
- Emanuel, K. (2001). Contribution of tropical cyclones to meridional heat transport by the oceans. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*. 106(D14), 14771–14781.
- Emanuel, K. (2005). Increasing destructiveness of tropical cyclones over the past 30 years. *Nature*. 436(7051), 686–688.
- Emanuel, K. (2017). Assessing the present and future probability of Hurricane Harvey's rainfall. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 114(48), 12681–12684.
- Emanuel, K., DesAutels, C., Holloway, C. and Korty, R. (2004). Environmental control of tropical cyclone intensity. *Journal of the atmospheric sciences*. 61(7), 843–858.
- Emanuel, K. and Zhang, F. (2016). On the predictability and error sources of tropical cyclone intensity forecasts. *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*. 73(9), 3739–3747.
- Emanuel, K. A. (1991). The theory of hurricanes. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*. 23(1), 179–196.

- Emanuel, K. A. (2013). Downscaling CMIP5 climate models shows increased tropical cyclone activity over the 21st century. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 110(30), 12219–12224.
- Fernandez, D. E., Carswell, J., Frasier, S., Chang, P., Black, P. and Marks, F. (2006). Dual-polarized C-and Ku-band ocean backscatter response to hurricane-force winds. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*. 111(C8).
- Fore, A., Haddad, Z. S., Krishnamurti, T. and Rodgridez, E. (2012). Improving scatterometry retrievals of wind in hurricanes using non-simultaneous passive microwave estimates of precipitation and a split-step advection/convection model. *Pure and applied geophysics*. 169(3), 415–424.
- Fore, A. G., Yueh, S. H., Stiles, B. W., Tang, W. and Hayashi, A. K. (2018). SMAP radiometer-only tropical cyclone intensity and size validation. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*. 15(10), 1480–1484.
- Freilich, M. and Vanhoff, B. (1999). QuikScat vector wind accuracy: Initial estimates. In *Proc. QuikScat Cal/Val Early Science Meeting*. Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, Ca.
- Girishkumar, M., Suprit, K., Chiranjivi, J., Bhaskar, T. U., Ravichandran, M., Shesu, R. V. and Rao, E. P. R. (2014). Observed oceanic response to tropical cyclone Jal from a moored buoy in the south-western Bay of Bengal. *Ocean Dynamics*. 64(3), 325–335.
- Glenn, S., Miles, T., Seroka, G., Xu, Y., Forney, R., Yu, F., Roarty, H., Schofield, O. and Kohut, J. (2016). Stratified coastal ocean interactions with tropical cyclones. *Nature communications*. 7(1), 1–10.
- Gommenginger, C., Thibaut, P., Fenoglio-Marc, L., Quartly, G., Deng, X., Gómez-Enri, J., Challenor, P. and Gao, Y. (2011). Retracking altimeter waveforms near the coasts. In *Coastal altimetry*. (pp. 61–101). Springer.
- Gourrion, J., Vandemark, D., Bailey, S. and Chapron, B. (2000). Satellite altimeter models for surface wind speed developed using ocean satellite crossovers. *Technical report*.

- Gourrion, J., Vandemark, D., Bailey, S., Chapron, B., Gommenginger, G., Challenor, P. and Srokosz, M. (2002). A two-parameter wind speed algorithm for Ku-band altimeters. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic technology*. 19(12), 2030–2048.
- Gower, J. (2005). *Jason 1 detects the 26 December 2004 tsunami*.
- Grossmann, I. and Morgan, M. G. (2011). Tropical cyclones, climate change, and scientific uncertainty: what do we know, what does it mean, and what should be done? *Climatic Change*. 108(3), 543–579.
- Gu, Y., Liu, Y., Xu, Q., Liu, Y., Liu, X. and Ma, Y. (2011). A new wind retrieval algorithm for Jason-1 at high wind speeds. *International journal of remote sensing*. 32(5), 1397–1407.
- Guymer, T. H., Quartly, G. D. and Srokosz, M. A. (1995). The effects of rain on ERS-1 radar altimeter data. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*. 12(6), 1229–1247.
- Haghroosta, T. and Ismail, W. R. (2017). Typhoon activity and some important parameters in the South China Sea. *Weather and Climate Extremes*. 17, 29–35.
- Harper, B., Kepert, J. and Ginger, J. (2010). *Guidelines for converting between various wind averaging periods in tropical cyclone conditions*.
- Harper, B. A., Stroud, S. A., McCormack, M. and West, S. (2008). A review of historical tropical cyclone intensity in northwestern Australia and implications for climate change trend analysis. *Australian Meteorological Magazine*. 57(2), 121–141.
- Heming, J. T., Prates, F., Bender, M. A., Bowyer, R., Cangialosi, J., Caroff, P., Coleman, T., Doyle, J. D., Dube, A., Faure, G. *et al.* (2019). Review of recent progress in tropical cyclone track forecasting and expression of uncertainties. *Tropical Cyclone Research and Review*. 8(4), 181–218.
- Hence, D. A. and Houze Jr, R. A. (2012). Vertical structure of tropical cyclone rainbands as seen by the TRMM Precipitation Radar. *Journal of the atmospheric sciences*. 69(9), 2644–2661.
- Hilburn, K. A., Wentz, F., Smith, D. and Ashcroft, P. (2006). Correcting active scatterometer data for the effects of rain using passive radiometer data. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*. 45(3), 382–398.

- Holbach, H. M., Uhlhorn, E. W. and Bourassa, M. A. (2018). Off-Nadir SFMR brightness temperature measurements in high-wind conditions. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*. 35(9), 1865–1879.
- Hong, S., Seo, H.-J., Kim, N. and Shin, I. (2015). Physical retrieval of tropical ocean surface wind speed under rain-free conditions using spaceborne microwave radiometers. *Remote Sensing Letters*. 6(5), 380–389.
- Hu, T., Wu, Y., Zheng, G., Zhang, D., Zhang, Y. and Li, Y. (2018). Tropical cyclone center automatic determination model based on HY-2 and QuikSCAT wind vector products. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*. 57(2), 709–721.
- Hu, W., Li, Y., Zhang, W., Chen, S., Lv, X. and Ligthart, L. (2019). Spatial resolution enhancement of satellite microwave radiometer data with deep residual convolutional neural network. *Remote Sensing*. 11(7), 771.
- Imani, M., You, R.-J. and Kuo, C.-Y. (2014). Caspian Sea level prediction using satellite altimetry by artificial neural networks. *International journal of environmental science and technology*. 11(4), 1035–1042.
- Irish, J. L., Resio, D. T. and Ratcliff, J. J. (2008). The influence of storm size on hurricane surge. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*. 38(9), 2003–2013.
- Isaksen, L. and Stoffelen, A. (2000). ERS scatterometer wind data impact on ECMWF's tropical cyclone forecasts. *IEEE transactions on geoscience and remote sensing*. 38(4), 1885–1892.
- Issa, A., Ramadugu, K., Mulay, P., Hamilton, J., Siegel, V., Harrison, C., Campbell, C. M., Blackmore, C., Bayleyegn, T. and Boehmer, T. (2018). Deaths related to Hurricane Irma—Florida, Georgia, and North Carolina, September 4–October 10, 2017. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*. 67(30), 829.
- Jackson, F., Walton, W., Hines, D., Walter, B. and Peng, C. (1992). Sea surface mean square slope from K u-band backscatter data. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*. 97(C7), 11411–11427.
- Jain, A. K., Mao, J. and Mohiuddin, K. M. (1996). Artificial neural networks: A tutorial. *Computer*. 29(3), 31–44.

- Jaiswal, N. and Kishtawal, C. M. (2011). Prediction of tropical cyclogenesis using scatterometer data. *IEEE transactions on geoscience and remote sensing*. 49(12), 4904–4909.
- Jaiswal, N., Kumar, P. and Kishtawal, C. (2019). SCATSAT-1 wind products for tropical cyclone monitoring, prediction and surface wind structure analysis. *Current Science (00113891)*. 117(6).
- Jiang, H., Zheng, H. and Mu, L. (2020). Improving Altimeter Wind Speed Retrievals Using Ocean Wave Parameters. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*. 13, 1917–1924.
- Jin, Y.-Q., Lu, N. and Lin, M. (2010). Advancement of Chinese meteorological Feng-Yun (FY) and oceanic Hai-Yang (HY) satellite remote sensing. *Proceedings of the IEEE*. 98(5), 844–861.
- JMA, J. M. A. (2017). Annual Report on Activities of RSMC Tokyo - Typhoon Center 2016. *Japan Meteorological Agency*.
- JMA, J. M. A. (2018). Annual Report on Activities of RSMC Tokyo - Typhoon Center 2017. *Japan Meteorological Agency*.
- Jordan, M. I. and Mitchell, T. M. (2015). Machine learning: Trends, perspectives, and prospects. *Science*. 349(6245), 255–260.
- Kako, S., Okuro, A. and Kubota, M. (2017). Effectiveness of using multisatellite wind speed estimates to construct hourly wind speed datasets with diurnal variations. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*. 34(3), 631–642.
- Kang, N.-Y. and Elsner, J. B. (2012). Consensus on climate trends in western North Pacific tropical cyclones. *Journal of climate*. 25(21), 7564–7573.
- Katsaros, K. B., Vachon, P. W., Liu, W. T. and Black, P. G. (2002). Microwave remote sensing of tropical cyclones from space. *Journal of Oceanography*. 58(1), 137–151.
- Kayri, M. (2016). Predictive abilities of bayesian regularization and Levenberg–Marquardt algorithms in artificial neural networks: a comparative empirical study on social data. *Mathematical and Computational Applications*. 21(2), 20.
- Kim, D.-j. and Lyzenga, D. R. (2008). Efficient model-based estimation of atmospheric transmittance and ocean wind vectors from WindSat data. *IEEE transactions on geoscience and remote sensing*. 46(8), 2288–2297.

- Kim, S.-H., Moon, I.-J. and Chu, P.-S. (2020). An increase in global trends of tropical cyclone translation speed since 1982 and its physical causes. *Environmental Research Letters*. 15(9), 094084.
- Klotz, B. W. and Jiang, H. (2016). Global composites of surface wind speeds in tropical cyclones based on a 12 year scatterometer database. *Geophysical Research Letters*. 43(19), 10–480.
- Klotz, B. W. and Nolan, D. S. (2019). SFMR surface wind undersampling over the tropical cyclone life cycle. *Monthly Weather Review*. 147(1), 247–268.
- Klotz, B. W. and Uhlhorn, E. W. (2014). Improved stepped frequency microwave radiometer tropical cyclone surface winds in heavy precipitation. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*. 31(11), 2392–2408.
- Klotzbach, P. J. (2006). Trends in global tropical cyclone activity over the past twenty years (1986–2005). *Geophysical research letters*. 33(10).
- Knaff, J. A., Brown, D. P., Courtney, J., Gallina, G. M. and Beven, J. L. (2010). An evaluation of Dvorak technique–based tropical cyclone intensity estimates. *Weather and Forecasting*. 25(5), 1362–1379.
- Knaff, J. A., DeMaria, M., Molenaar, D. A., Sampson, C. R. and Seybold, M. G. (2011). An automated, objective, multiple-satellite-platform tropical cyclone surface wind analysis. *Journal of applied meteorology and climatology*. 50(10), 2149–2166.
- Knaff, J. A., Sampson, C. R., DeMaria, M., Marchok, T. P., Gross, J. M. and McAdie, C. J. (2007). Statistical tropical cyclone wind radii prediction using climatology and persistence. *Weather and Forecasting*. 22(4), 781–791.
- Knaff, J. A., Slocum, C. J., Musgrave, K. D., Sampson, C. R. and Strahl, B. R. (2016). Using routinely available information to estimate tropical cyclone wind structure. *Monthly Weather Review*. 144(4), 1233–1247.
- Knaff, J. A. and Zehr, R. M. (2007). Reexamination of tropical cyclone wind–pressure relationships. *Weather and Forecasting*. 22(1), 71–88.
- Knapp, K. R., Knaff, J. A., Sampson, C. R., Riggio, G. M. and Schnapp, A. D. (2013). A pressure-based analysis of the historical western North Pacific tropical cyclone intensity record. *Monthly weather review*. 141(8), 2611–2631.

- Knapp, K. R., Kruk, M. C., Levinson, D. H., Diamond, H. J. and Neumann, C. J. (2010). The international best track archive for climate stewardship (IBTrACS) unifying tropical cyclone data. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*. 91(3), 363–376.
- Knapp, K. R., Kruk, M. C., Levinson, D. H. and Gibney, E. J. (2009). Archive compiles new resource for global tropical cyclone research. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*. 90(6), 46–46.
- Knutson, T. R., McBride, J. L., Chan, J., Emanuel, K., Holland, G., Landsea, C., Held, I., Kossin, J. P., Srivastava, A. and Sugi, M. (2010). Tropical cyclones and climate change. *Nature geoscience*. 3(3), 157–163.
- König, R., Reinhold, A., Dobsław, H., Esselborn, S., Neumayer, K., Dill, R. and Michalak, A. (2021). On the effect of non-tidal atmospheric and oceanic loading on the orbits of the altimetry satellites ENVISAT, Jason-1 and Jason-2. *Advances in Space Research*. 68(2), 1048–1058.
- Kossin, J., Knapp, K., Vimont, D., Murnane, R. and Harper, B. (2007a). A globally consistent reanalysis of hurricane variability and trends. *Geophysical Research Letters*. 34(4).
- Kossin, J. P. (2018). A global slowdown of tropical-cyclone translation speed. *Nature*. 558(7708), 104–107.
- Kossin, J. P., Emanuel, K. A. and Vecchi, G. A. (2014). The poleward migration of the location of tropical cyclone maximum intensity. *Nature*. 509(7500), 349–352.
- Kossin, J. P., Knaff, J. A., Berger, H. I., Herndon, D. C., Cram, T. A., Velden, C. S., Murnane, R. J. and Hawkins, J. D. (2007b). Estimating hurricane wind structure in the absence of aircraft reconnaissance. *Weather and Forecasting*. 22(1), 89–101.
- Kossin, J. P., Knapp, K. R., Olander, T. L. and Velden, C. S. (2020). Global increase in major tropical cyclone exceedance probability over the past four decades. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 117(22), 11975–11980.
- Kossin, J. P. and Velden, C. S. (2004). A pronounced bias in tropical cyclone minimum sea level pressure estimation based on the Dvorak technique. *Monthly weather review*. 132(1), 165–173.

- Kotal, S., Bhattacharya, S. K. and Roy Bhowmik, S. (2019). Estimation of tropical cyclone intensity and location over the north Indian Ocean—a challenge. *Meteorological Applications*. 26(2), 245–252.
- Kruk, M. C., Knapp, K. R. and Levinson, D. H. (2010). A technique for combining global tropical cyclone best track data. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*. 27(4), 680–692.
- Kueh, M.-T. (2012). Multiformality of the tropical cyclone wind–pressure relationship in the western North Pacific: Discrepancies among four best-track archives. *Environmental Research Letters*. 7(2), 024015.
- Kuleshov, Y., Qi, L., Fawcett, R. and Jones, D. (2008). On tropical cyclone activity in the Southern Hemisphere: Trends and the ENSO connection. *Geophysical Research Letters*. 35(14).
- Lagmay, A. M. F., Agaton, R. P., Bahala, M. A. C., Briones, J. B. L. T., Cabacaba, K. M. C., Caro, C. V. C., Dasallas, L. L., Gonzalo, L. A. L., Ladiero, C. N., Lapidez, J. P. *et al.* (2015). Devastating storm surges of Typhoon Haiyan. *International journal of disaster risk reduction*. 11, 1–12.
- Lajoie, F. and Walsh, K. (2008). A technique to determine the radius of maximum wind of a tropical cyclone. *Weather and forecasting*. 23(5), 1007–1015.
- Landsea, C. W. and Cangialosi, J. P. (2018). Have we reached the limits of predictability for tropical cyclone track forecasting? *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*. 99(11), 2237–2243.
- Landsea, C. W. and Franklin, J. L. (2013). Atlantic hurricane database uncertainty and presentation of a new database format. *Monthly Weather Review*. 141(10), 3576–3592.
- Landsea, C. W., Vecchi, G. A., Bengtsson, L. and Knutson, T. R. (2010). Impact of duration thresholds on Atlantic tropical cyclone counts. *Journal of Climate*. 23(10), 2508–2519.
- Lanzante, J. R. (2019). Uncertainties in tropical-cyclone translation speed. *Nature*. 570(7759), E6–E15.
- Lary, D. J., Alavi, A. H., Gandomi, A. H. and Walker, A. L. (2016). Machine learning in geosciences and remote sensing. *Geoscience Frontiers*. 7(1), 3–10.

- Lau, K.-M., Zhou, Y. and Wu, H.-T. (2008). Have tropical cyclones been feeding more extreme rainfall? *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*. 113(D23).
- Laupattarakasem, P., Jones, W. L., Hennon, C. C., Allard, J. R., Harless, A. R. and Black, P. G. (2010). Improved hurricane ocean vector winds using SeaWinds active/passive retrievals. *IEEE transactions on geoscience and remote sensing*. 48(7), 2909–2923.
- Le, Y., Mingsen, L., Juhong, Z., Zhenghua, L. and Delu, P. (2008). Improving the wind and wave estimation of dual-frequency altimeter JASON1 in Typhoon Shanshan and considering the rain effects. *Acta Oceanologica Sinica*. 27(5), 49–62.
- Lee, J., Im, J., Cha, D.-H., Park, H. and Sim, S. (2020). Tropical cyclone intensity estimation using multi-dimensional convolutional neural networks from geostationary satellite data. *Remote Sensing*. 12(1), 108.
- Lee, W., Kim, S.-H., Chu, P.-S., Moon, I.-J. and Soloviev, A. V. (2019). An index to better estimate tropical cyclone intensity change in the western North Pacific. *Geophysical Research Letters*. 46(15), 8960–8968.
- Lefevre, J., Barckicke, J. and Menard, Y. (1994). A significant wave height dependent function for TOPEX/POSEIDON wind speed retrieval. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*. 99(C12), 25035–25049.
- Li, S., Shen, H., Hou, Y., He, Y. and Bi, F. (2018). Sea surface wind speed and sea state retrievals from dual-frequency altimeter and its preliminary application in global view of wind-sea and swell distributions. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*. 39(10), 3076–3093.
- Li, X., Zhang, B., Mouche, A., He, Y. and Perrie, W. (2017). Ku-band sea surface radar backscatter at low incidence angles under extreme wind conditions. *Remote Sensing*. 9(5), 474.
- Lillibridge, J., Scharroo, R., Abdalla, S. and Vandemark, D. (2014). One-and two-dimensional wind speed models for Ka-band altimetry. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*. 31(3), 630–638.
- Liu, W. T. and Tang, W. (2016). Relating wind and stress under tropical cyclones with scatterometer. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*. 33(6), 1151–1158.

- Loridan, T., Crompton, R. P. and Dubossarsky, E. (2017). A machine learning approach to modeling tropical cyclone wind field uncertainty. *Monthly Weather Review*. 145(8), 3203–3221.
- Lowry, M. R. (2009). Developing a unified superset in quantifying ambiguities among tropical cyclone best track data for the western North Pacific.
- Maclay, K. S., DeMaria, M. and Vonder Haar, T. H. (2008). Tropical cyclone inner-core kinetic energy evolution. *Monthly Weather Review*. 136(12), 4882–4898.
- Mai, M., Zhang, B., Li, X., Hwang, P. A. and Zhang, J. A. (2016). Application of AMSR-E and AMSR2 low-frequency channel brightness temperature data for hurricane wind retrievals. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*. 54(8), 4501–4512.
- Martin, S. (2014). *An introduction to ocean remote sensing*. Cambridge University Press.
- Masters, D., Nerem, R., Choe, C., Leuliette, E., Beckley, B., White, N. and Ablain, M. (2012). Comparison of global mean sea level time series from TOPEX/Poseidon, Jason-1, and Jason-2. *Marine Geodesy*. 35(sup1), 20–41.
- McTaggart-Cowan, R., Bosart, L. F., Gyakum, J. R. and Atallah, E. H. (2007). Hurricane Katrina (2005). Part I: Complex life cycle of an intense tropical cyclone. *Monthly weather review*. 135(12), 3905–3926.
- Mei, W. and Xie, S.-P. (2016). Intensification of landfalling typhoons over the northwest Pacific since the late 1970s. *Nature Geoscience*. 9(10), 753–757.
- Meindl, E. A. and Hamilton, G. D. (1992). Programs of the national data buoy center. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*. 73(7), 985–994.
- Meissner, T., Ricciardulli, L. and Manaster, A. (2021). Tropical Cyclone Wind Speeds from WindSat, AMSR and SMAP: Algorithm Development and Testing. *Remote Sensing*. 13(9), 1641.
- Meissner, T. and Wentz, F. (2005). Ocean retrievals for WindSat: radiative transfer model, algorithm, validation. In *Proceedings of OCEANS 2005 MTS/IEEE*. IEEE, 130–133.

- Meissner, T. and Wentz, F. J. (2009). Wind-vector retrievals under rain with passive satellite microwave radiometers. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*. 47(9), 3065–3083.
- Mendelsohn, R., Emanuel, K., Chonabayashi, S. and Bakkensen, L. (2012). The impact of climate change on global tropical cyclone damage. *Nature climate change*. 2(3), 205–209.
- Miao, Y., Dong, X., Bao, Q. and Zhu, D. (2018). Perspective of a Ku-Ka Dual-Frequency Scatterometer for Simultaneous Wide-Swath Ocean Surface Wind and Current Measurement. *Remote Sensing*. 10(7), 1042.
- Miller, S. A., Killough, R. L., Redfern, J., Wells, W. and Klar, R. (2018). Delivering hurricane science: data processing review of the CYGNSS mission. In *2018 IEEE Aerospace Conference*. IEEE, 1–5.
- Moon, I.-J., Kim, S.-H. and Chan, J. C. (2019). Climate change and tropical cyclone trend. *Nature*. 570(7759), E3–E5.
- Morris, M. and Ruf, C. S. (2017). Determining tropical cyclone surface wind speed structure and intensity with the CYGNSS satellite constellation. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*. 56(7), 1847–1865.
- Mueller, K. J., DeMaria, M., Knaff, J., Kossin, J. P. and Vonder Haar, T. H. (2006). Objective estimation of tropical cyclone wind structure from infrared satellite data. *Weather and forecasting*. 21(6), 990–1005.
- Muroi, C. (2018). Brief History and recent activities of rsMc tokyo-typhoon centre. *Tropical Cyclone Research and Review*. 7(1), 57–64.
- Nations, U. (2015). Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development. *General Assembly 70 session*.
- Needham, H. F., Keim, B. D. and Sathiaraj, D. (2015). A review of tropical cyclone-generated storm surges: Global data sources, observations, and impacts. *Reviews of Geophysics*. 53(2), 545–591.
- Nicholls, R. J. and Small, C. (2002). Improved estimates of coastal population and exposure to hazards released. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*. 83(28), 301–305.

- Nie, C. and Long, D. G. (2007). A C-band wind/rain backscatter model. *IEEE transactions on geoscience and remote sensing*. 45(3), 621–631.
- Nogueira, R. C., Keim, B. D., Brown, D. P. and Robbins, K. D. (2013). Variability of rainfall from tropical cyclones in the eastern USA and its association to the AMO and ENSO. *Theoretical and applied climatology*. 112(1), 273–283.
- Nonaka, K., Nishimura, S. and Igarashi, Y. (2019). Utilization of Estimated Sea Surface Wind Data Based on Himawari-8/9 Low-level AMVs for Tropical Cyclone Analysis. *Japan Meteorological Agency, Technical Review No. 21 (April 2019), RSMC Tokyo - Typhoon Center*. 21.
- Nystrom, R. G., Chen, X., Zhang, F. and Davis, C. A. (2020). Nonlinear impacts of surface exchange coefficient uncertainty on tropical cyclone intensity and air-sea interactions. *Geophysical Research Letters*. 47(3), e2019GL085783.
- Olander, T. L., Velden, C. and Kossin, J. (2004). The advanced objective dvorak technique (AODT)–latest upgrades and future directions. In *26th Conference on Hurricanes and Tropical Meteorology*. 294–295.
- Olander, T. L. and Velden, C. S. (2007). The advanced Dvorak technique: Continued development of an objective scheme to estimate tropical cyclone intensity using geostationary infrared satellite imagery. *Weather and Forecasting*. 22(2), 287–298.
- Olander, T. L. and Velden, C. S. (2019). The Advanced Dvorak Technique (ADT) for estimating tropical cyclone intensity: Update and new capabilities. *Weather and Forecasting*. 34(4), 905–922.
- Oyama, R., Sawada, M. and Shimoji, K. (2017). Diagnosis of tropical cyclone intensity and structure using upper tropospheric Atmospheric Motion Vectors. *Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan. Ser. II*.
- Patricola, C. M. and Wehner, M. F. (2018). Anthropogenic influences on major tropical cyclone events. *Nature*. 563(7731), 339–346.
- Paul, B. K. (2009). Why relatively fewer people died? The case of Bangladesh’s Cyclone Sidr. *Natural Hazards*. 50(2), 289–304.
- Peduzzi, P., Chatenoux, B., Dao, H., De Bono, A., Herold, C., Kossin, J., Mouton, F. and Nordbeck, O. (2012). Global trends in tropical cyclone risk. *Nature climate change*. 2(4), 289–294.

- Peng, W., Ma, N. L., Zhang, D., Zhou, Q., Yue, X., Khoo, S. C., Yang, H., Guan, R., Chen, H., Zhang, X. *et al.* (2020). A review of historical and recent locust outbreaks: Links to global warming, food security and mitigation strategies. *Environmental research*. 191, 110046.
- Piñeros, M. F., Ritchie, E. A. and Tyo, J. S. (2011). Estimating tropical cyclone intensity from infrared image data. *Weather and forecasting*. 26(5), 690–698.
- Plagge, A. M., Vandemark, D. and Chapron, B. (2012). Examining the impact of surface currents on satellite scatterometer and altimeter ocean winds. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*. 29(12), 1776–1793.
- Powell, M. D. (2010). Observing and Analyzing the Near-Surface Wind Field in Tropical Cyclones. In *Global Perspectives On Tropical Cyclones: From Science to Mitigation*. (pp. 177–199). World Scientific.
- Powell, M. D., Houston, S. H., Amat, L. R. and Morisseau-Leroy, N. (1998). The HRD real-time hurricane wind analysis system. *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics*. 77, 53–64.
- Powell, M. D. and Reinhold, T. A. (2007). Tropical cyclone destructive potential by integrated kinetic energy. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*. 88(4), 513–526.
- Priyadarshini, I. and Puri, V. (2021). Mars weather data analysis using machine learning techniques. *Earth Science Informatics*, 1–14.
- Programme, W. M. O. T. C. and Holland, G. J. (1993). *Global guide to tropical cyclone forecasting*. Secretariat of the World Meteorological Organization.
- Pun, I., Chang, Y.-T., Lin, I.-I., Tang, T. Y. and Lien, R.-C. (2011). Typhoon-ocean interaction in the western North Pacific: Part 2. *Oceanography*. 24(4), 32–41.
- Purwar, D., Sliuzas, R. and Flacke, J. (2020). Assessment of cascading effects of typhoons on water and sanitation services: A case study of informal settlements in Malabon, Philippines. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*. 51, 101755.
- Qin, B., Zhou, X., Zhang, H., Yang, X., Lu, R., Yu, Y. and Shi, L. (2014). An improved wind speed algorithm for “Jason-1” altimeter under tropical cyclone conditions. *Acta Oceanologica Sinica*. 33(7), 83–88.

- Quartly, G. (1997). Achieving accurate altimetry across storms: Improved wind and wave estimates from C band. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*. 14(3), 705–715.
- Quartly, G., Guymer, T. and Srokosz, M. (1996). The effects of rain on Topex radar altimeter data. *Journal of atmospheric and oceanic technology*. 13(6), 1209–1229.
- Quartly, G. D. (1998). Determination of oceanic rain rate and rain cell structure from altimeter waveform data. Part I: Theory. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*. 15(6), 1361–1378.
- Quartly, G. D. (2004). Sea state and rain: A second take on dual-frequency altimetry. *Marine Geodesy*. 27(1-2), 133–152.
- Quartly, G. D. (2015). Metocean comparisons of Jason-2 and AltiKa—A method to develop a new wind speed algorithm. *Marine Geodesy*. 38(sup1), 437–448.
- Quartly, G. D. and Guymer, T. H. (2007). Realizing Envisat’s potential for rain cloud studies. *Geophysical research letters*. 34(9).
- Quilfen, Y., Chapron, B. and Tournadre, J. (2010). Satellite microwave surface observations in tropical cyclones. *Monthly weather review*. 138(2), 421–437.
- Quilfen, Y., Prigent, C., Chapron, B., Mouche, A. and Houti, N. (2007). The potential of QuikSCAT and WindSat observations for the estimation of sea surface wind vector under severe weather conditions. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*. 112(C9).
- Quilfen, Y., Tournadre, J. and Chapron, B. (2006). Altimeter dual-frequency observations of surface winds, waves, and rain rate in tropical cyclone Isabel. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*. 111(C1).
- Quilfen, Y., Vandemark, D., Chapron, B., Feng, H. and Sienkiewicz, J. (2011). Estimating gale to hurricane force winds using the satellite altimeter. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*. 28(4), 453–458.
- Rappaport, E. N., Franklin, J. L., Avila, L. A., Baig, S. R., Beven, J. L., Blake, E. S., Burr, C. A., Jiing, J.-G., Juckins, C. A., Knabb, R. D. *et al.* (2009). Advances and challenges at the National Hurricane Center. *Weather and Forecasting*. 24(2), 395–419.

- Rasmussen, C. E. (2003). Gaussian processes in machine learning. In *Summer school on machine learning*. Springer, 63–71.
- Ray, R. D. and Beckley, B. (2012). Calibration of ocean wave measurements by the TOPEX, Jason-1, and Jason-2 satellites. *Marine Geodesy*. 35(sup1), 238–257.
- Reikard, G., Pinson, P. and Bidlot, J.-R. (2011). Forecasting ocean wave energy: The ECMWF wave model and time series methods. *Ocean engineering*. 38(10), 1089–1099.
- Reul, N. and Chapron, B. (2003). A model of sea-foam thickness distribution for passive microwave remote sensing applications. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*. 108(C10).
- Reul, N., Chapron, B., Zabolotskikh, E., Donlon, C., Mouche, A., Tenerelli, J., Collard, F., Piolle, J.-F., Fore, A., Yueh, S. *et al.* (2017). A new generation of tropical cyclone size measurements from space. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*. 98(11), 2367–2385.
- Ribal, A. and Young, I. R. (2019). 33 years of globally calibrated wave height and wind speed data based on altimeter observations. *Scientific data*. 6(1), 1–15.
- Ribal, A. and Young, I. R. (2020a). Calibration and cross validation of global ocean wind speed based on scatterometer observations. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*. 37(2), 279–297.
- Ribal, A. and Young, I. R. (2020b). Global calibration and error estimation of altimeter, scatterometer, and radiometer wind speed using triple collocation. *Remote Sensing*. 12(12), 1997.
- Ricciardulli, L. and Wentz, F. J. (2015). A scatterometer geophysical model function for climate-quality winds: QuikSCAT Ku-2011. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*. 32(10), 1829–1846.
- Risser, M. D. and Wehner, M. F. (2017). Attributable human-induced changes in the likelihood and magnitude of the observed extreme precipitation during Hurricane Harvey. *Geophysical Research Letters*. 44(24), 12–457.
- Ritchie, E. A. and Frank, W. M. (2007). Interactions between simulated tropical cyclones and an environment with a variable Coriolis parameter. *Monthly weather review*. 135(5), 1889–1905.

- Ritter, A. and Munoz-Carpena, R. (2013). Performance evaluation of hydrological models: Statistical significance for reducing subjectivity in goodness-of-fit assessments. *Journal of Hydrology*. 480, 33–45.
- Robusto, C. C. (1957). The cosine-haversine formula. *The American Mathematical Monthly*. 64(1), 38–40.
- Ruppert, J. H., Wing, A. A., Tang, X. and Duran, E. L. (2020). The critical role of cloud–infrared radiation feedback in tropical cyclone development. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 117(45), 27884–27892.
- Salih, A. A., Baraibar, M., Mwangi, K. K. and Artan, G. (2020). Climate change and locust outbreak in East Africa. *Nature Climate Change*. 10(7), 584–585.
- Sapiano, M. R., Berg, W. K., McKague, D. S. and Kummerow, C. D. (2012). Toward an intercalibrated fundamental climate data record of the SSM/I sensors. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*. 51(3), 1492–1503.
- Sawada, M., Ma, Z., Mehra, A., Tallapragada, V., Oyama, R. and Shimoji, K. (2020). Assimilation of Himawari-8 rapid-scan atmospheric motion vectors on tropical cyclone in HWRF system. *Atmosphere*. 11(6), 601.
- Scharroo, R., Bonekamp, H., Ponsard, C., Parisot, F., von Engeln, A., Tahtadjev, M., de Vriendt, K. and Montagner, F. (2016). Jason continuity of services: continuing the Jason altimeter data records as Copernicus Sentinel-6. *Ocean Science*. 12(2), 471–479.
- Scharroo, R., Smith, W. H. and Lillibridge, J. L. (2005). *Satellite altimetry and the intensification of Hurricane Katrina*.
- Schreck III, C. J., Knapp, K. R. and Kossin, J. P. (2014). The impact of best track discrepancies on global tropical cyclone climatologies using IBTrACS. *Monthly Weather Review*. 142(10), 3881–3899.
- Shao, Z., Liang, B., Li, H., Li, P. and Lee, D. (2019). Extreme significant wave height of tropical cyclone waves in the South China Sea. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*. 19(10), 2067–2077.
- Sharma, U. and Patwardhan, A. (2008). Methodology for identifying vulnerability hotspots to tropical cyclone hazard in India. *Mitigation and adaptation strategies for global change*. 13(7), 703–717.

- Sharmila, S. and Walsh, K. (2018). Recent poleward shift of tropical cyclone formation linked to Hadley cell expansion. *Nature Climate Change*. 8(8), 730–736.
- Sharoni, S. and Reba, M. M. (2021). Multi-Parameter Neural Network for Altimeter Tropical Cyclone Wind Speed Estimation. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, vol. 682. IOP Publishing, 012020.
- Sharoni, S. M., Md Reba, M. N. and Hossain, M. S. (2021). Tropical Cyclone Wind Speed Estimation From Satellite Altimeter-Derived Ocean Parameters. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*. 126(4), e2020JC016988.
- Shen, X., Zhang, J., Zhang, X., Meng, J. and Ke, C. (2017). Sea ice classification using Cryosat-2 altimeter data by optimal classifier–feature assembly. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*. 14(11), 1948–1952.
- Shi, H. and Singh, A. (2003). Status and interconnections of selected environmental issues in the global coastal zones. *AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment*. 32(2), 145–152.
- Shibata, A. (2006). A wind speed retrieval algorithm by combining 6 and 10 GHz data from Advanced Microwave Scanning Radiometer: Wind speed inside hurricanes. *Journal of oceanography*. 62(3), 351–359.
- Shimoji, K. (2017). Introduction to the Himawari-8 Atmospheric Motion Vector Algorithm. *Meteorological Satellite Center Technical Note*. 62, 73–77.
- Sobel, A. H., Camargo, S. J., Hall, T. M., Lee, C.-Y., Tippett, M. K. and Wing, A. A. (2016). Human influence on tropical cyclone intensity. *Science*. 353(6296), 242–246.
- Soisuvarn, S., Jelenak, Z., Chang, P. S., Alsweiss, S. O. and Zhu, Q. (2012). CMOD5. H—A high wind geophysical model function for C-band vertically polarized satellite scatterometer measurements. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*. 51(6), 3744–3760.
- Srriver, R. L., Huber, M. and Nusbaumer, J. (2008). Investigating tropical cyclone-climate feedbacks using the TRMM Microwave Imager and the Quick Scatterometer. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*. 9(9).
- Stiles, B. W., Danielson, R. E., Poulsen, W. L., Brennan, M. J., Hristova-Veleva, S., Shen, T.-P. and Fore, A. G. (2014). Optimized tropical cyclone winds from

- QuikSCAT: A neural network approach. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*. 52(11), 7418–7434.
- Stiles, B. W., Hristova-Veleva, S. M., Dunbar, R. S., Chan, S., Durden, S. L., Esteban-Fernandez, D., Rodriguez, E., Poulsen, W. L., Gaston, R. W. and Callahan, P. S. (2010). Obtaining accurate ocean surface winds in hurricane conditions: A dual-frequency scatterometry approach. *IEEE transactions on geoscience and remote sensing*. 48(8), 3101–3113.
- Stiles, B. W. and Yueh, S. H. (2002). Impact of rain on spaceborne Ku-band wind scatterometer data. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*. 40(9), 1973–1983.
- Stoffelen, A. and Portabella, M. (2006). On Bayesian scatterometer wind inversion. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*. 44(6), 1523–1533.
- Sun, J., Wang, D., Hu, X., Ling, Z. and Wang, L. (2019). Ongoing poleward migration of tropical cyclone occurrence over the western North Pacific Ocean. *Geophysical Research Letters*. 46(15), 9110–9117.
- Takbash, A., Young, I. R. and Breivik, Ø. (2019). Global wind speed and wave height extremes derived from long-duration satellite records. *Journal of climate*. 32(1), 109–126.
- Tamizi, A. and Young, I. R. (2020). The spatial distribution of ocean waves in tropical cyclones. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*. 50(8), 2123–2139.
- Tamizi, A., Young, I. R., Ribal, A. and Alves, J.-H. (2020). Global scatterometer observations of the structure of tropical cyclone wind fields. *Monthly Weather Review*. 148(11), 4673–4692.
- Tan, F., San Lim, H. and Abdullah, K. (2011). The impact of the typhoon to peninsular Malaysia on orographic effects. In *2011 IEEE Symposium on Business, Engineering and Industrial Applications (ISBEIA)*. IEEE, 286–291.
- Tang, D. L., Sui, G., Lavy, G., Pozdnyakov, D., Song, Y. T. and Switzer, A. D. (2014). *Typhoon impact and crisis management*. Springer.
- Taylor, K. E. (2001). Summarizing multiple aspects of model performance in a single diagram. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*. 106(D7), 7183–7192.

- Tomita, H. and Kubota, M. (2011). Sampling error of daily mean surface wind speed and air specific humidity due to Sun-synchronous satellite sampling and its reduction by multi-satellite sampling. *International journal of remote sensing*. 32(12), 3389–3404.
- Torn, R. D. and DeMaria, M. (2021). Validation of Ensemble-Based Probabilistic Tropical Cyclone Intensity Change. *Atmosphere*. 12(3), 373.
- Torn, R. D. and Snyder, C. (2012). Uncertainty of tropical cyclone best-track information. *Weather and Forecasting*. 27(3), 715–729.
- Tournadre, J. (2004). Validation of Jason and Envisat altimeter dual frequency rain flags. *Marine Geodesy*. 27(1-2), 153–169.
- Tournadre, J., Lambin-Artru, J. and Steunou, N. (2009). Cloud and rain effects on AltiKa/SARAL Ka-band radar altimeter—Part I: Modeling and mean annual data availability. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*. 47(6), 1806–1817.
- Tournadre, J. and Morland, J. C. (1997). The effects of rain on TOPEX/Poseidon altimeter data. *IEEE transactions on geoscience and remote sensing*. 35(5), 1117–1135.
- Tournadre, J. and Quilfen, Y. (2003). Impact of rain cell on scatterometer data: 1. Theory and modeling. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*. 108(C7).
- UNISDR, U. (2015). Sendai framework for disaster risk reduction 2015–2030. In *Proceedings of the 3rd United Nations World Conference on DRR, Sendai, Japan*. 14–18.
- Varma, A. K., Mangalsinh, N. R. and Piyush, D. N. (2020). Precipitation measurement from SARAL AltiKa and passive microwave radiometer observations. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*. 41(23), 8948–8964.
- Velden, C., Burton, A. and Kuroiwa, K. (2012). The First International Workshop on Satellite Analysis of Tropical Cyclones: Summary of current operational methods to estimate intensity. *Tropical cyclone research and review*. 1(4), 469–481.
- Velden, C., Daniels, J., Stettner, D., Santek, D., Key, J., Dunion, J., Holmlund, K., Dengel, G., Bresky, W. and Menzel, P. (2005). Recent innovations in

- deriving tropospheric winds from meteorological satellites. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*. 86(2), 205–224.
- Velden, C., Harper, B., Wells, F., Beven, J. L., Zehr, R., Olander, T., Mayfield, M., Guard, C. C., Lander, M., Edson, R. *et al.* (2006). The Dvorak tropical cyclone intensity estimation technique: A satellite-based method that has endured for over 30 years. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*. 87(9), 1195–1210.
- Velden, C., Lewis, W. E., Bresky, W., Stettner, D., Daniels, J. and Wanzong, S. (2017). Assimilation of high-resolution satellite-derived atmospheric motion vectors: Impact on HWRF forecasts of tropical cyclone track and intensity. *Monthly Weather Review*. 145(3), 1107–1125.
- Velden, C. S. and Herndon, D. (2020). A consensus approach for estimating tropical cyclone intensity from meteorological satellites: SATCON. *Weather and Forecasting*. 35(4), 1645–1662.
- Velden, C. S., Olander, T. L. and Zehr, R. M. (1998). Development of an objective scheme to estimate tropical cyclone intensity from digital geostationary satellite infrared imagery. *Weather and Forecasting*. 13(1), 172–186.
- Verhoef, A., Portabella, M. and Stoffelen, A. (2012). High-resolution ASCAT scatterometer winds near the coast. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*. 50(7), 2481–2487.
- Verspeek, J., Stoffelen, A., Portabella, M., Bonekamp, H., Anderson, C. and Saldaña, J. F. (2009). Validation and calibration of ASCAT using CMOD5. n. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*. 48(1), 386–395.
- Walsh, K. J. and Katzfey, J. J. (2000). The impact of climate change on the poleward movement of tropical cyclone-like vortices in a regional climate model. *Journal of Climate*. 13(6), 1116–1132.
- Walsh, K. J., McBride, J. L., Klotzbach, P. J., Balachandran, S., Camargo, S. J., Holland, G., Knutson, T. R., Kossin, J. P., Lee, T.-c., Sobel, A. *et al.* (2016). Tropical cyclones and climate change. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*. 7(1), 65–89.
- Wang, G., Su, J., Ding, Y. and Chen, D. (2007). Tropical cyclone genesis over the South China Sea. *Journal of Marine Systems*. 68(3-4), 318–326.

- Wang, J., Aouf, L., Jia, Y. and Zhang, Y. (2020). Validation and calibration of significant wave height and wind speed retrievals from HY2B altimeter based on Deep Learning. *Remote Sensing*. 12(17), 2858.
- Wang, Y.-q. and Wu, C.-C. (2004). Current understanding of tropical cyclone structure and intensity changes—a review. *Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics*. 87(4), 257–278.
- Wang, Z., Stoffelen, A., Zhang, B., He, Y., Lin, W. and Li, X. (2019). Inconsistencies in scatterometer wind products based on ASCAT and OSCAT-2 collocations. *Remote Sensing of Environment*. 225, 207–216.
- Wdowinski, S., Peng, Z., Ferrier, K., Lin, C.-H., Hsu, Y.-J. and Shyu, J. B. H. (2017). Cascading hazards: Understanding triggering relations between wet tropical cyclones, landslides, and earthquakes. In *AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts*, vol. 2017. T53C–02.
- Webster, P. J., Holland, G. J., Curry, J. A. and Chang, H.-R. (2005). Changes in tropical cyclone number, duration, and intensity in a warming environment. *Science*. 309(5742), 1844–1846.
- Wei, J., Li, Z., Sun, L., Peng, Y., Zhang, Z., Li, Z., Su, T., Feng, L., Cai, Z. and Wu, H. (2019). Evaluation and uncertainty estimate of next-generation geostationary meteorological Himawari-8/AHI aerosol products. *Science of the Total Environment*. 692, 879–891.
- Weissman, D., Stiles, B., Hristova-Veleva, S., Long, D., Smith, D., Hilburn, K. and Jones, W. (2012). Challenges to satellite sensors of ocean winds: Addressing precipitation effects. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*. 29(3), 356–374.
- Weissman, D. E. and Bourassa, M. A. (2011). The influence of rainfall on scatterometer backscatter within tropical cyclone environments—Implications on parameterization of sea-surface stress. *IEEE transactions on geoscience and remote sensing*. 49(12), 4805–4814.
- Wentz, F., Cardone, V. and Fedor, L. (1982). Intercomparison of wind speeds inferred by the SASS, altimeter, and SMMR. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*. 87(C5), 3378–3384.

- Wentz, F. J. and Smith, D. K. (1999). A model function for the ocean-normalized radar cross section at 14 GHz derived from NSCAT observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*. 104(C5), 11499–11514.
- Willoughby, H. and Rahn, M. (2004). Parametric representation of the primary hurricane vortex. Part I: Observations and evaluation of the Holland (1980) model. *Monthly Weather Review*. 132(12), 3033–3048.
- Wimmers, A. J. and Velden, C. S. (2010). Objectively determining the rotational center of tropical cyclones in passive microwave satellite imagery. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*. 49(9), 2013–2034.
- Witter, D. L. and Chelton, D. B. (1991). A Geosat altimeter wind speed algorithm and a method for altimeter wind speed algorithm development. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*. 96(C5), 8853–8860.
- Wong, M. L. and Chan, J. C. (2004). Tropical cyclone intensity in vertical wind shear. *Journal of the atmospheric sciences*. 61(15), 1859–1876.
- Woo, H.-J. and Park, K. (2021). Estimation of Extreme Significant Wave Height in the Northwest Pacific Using Satellite Altimeter Data Focused on Typhoons (1992–2016). *Remote Sensing*. 13(6), 1063.
- Wu, C.-C., Tu, W.-T., Pun, I.-F., Lin, I.-I. and Peng, M. S. (2016). Tropical cyclone-ocean interaction in Typhoon Megi (2010)—A synergy study based on ITOP observations and atmosphere-ocean coupled model simulations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*. 121(1), 153–167.
- Wu, C.-R., Chang, Y.-L., Oey, L.-Y., Chang, C.-W. J. and Hsin, Y.-C. (2008). Air-sea interaction between tropical cyclone Nari and Kuroshio. *Geophysical research letters*. 35(12).
- Wu, M.-C., Yeung, K.-H. and Chang, W.-L. (2006). Trends in western North Pacific tropical cyclone intensity. *Eos, Transactions American Geophysical Union*. 87(48), 537–538.
- Wu, T.-C., Liu, H., Majumdar, S. J., Velden, C. S. and Anderson, J. L. (2014). Influence of assimilating satellite-derived atmospheric motion vector observations on numerical analyses and forecasts of tropical cyclone track and intensity. *Monthly Weather Review*. 142(1), 49–71.

- Xie, M., Li, Y. and Cao, K. (2020). Global Cyclone and Anticyclone Detection Model Based on Remotely Sensed Wind Field and Deep Learning. *Remote Sensing*. 12(19), 3111.
- Xu, H., Lin, N., Huang, M. and Lou, W. (2020). Design tropical cyclone wind speed when considering climate change. *Journal of Structural Engineering*. 146(5), 04020063.
- Xu, X. and Dong, X. (2018). Wind Field Retrieving Under Rainy Conditions Based on Support Vector Machine for Combined Active/Passive Observations of HY-2A. In *IGARSS 2018-2018 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium*. IEEE, 1501–1503.
- Xu, X., Dong, X., Zhu, D. and Lang, S. (2018). High winds from combined active and passive measurements of HY-2A satellite. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*. 11(11), 4339–4348.
- Xu, X. and Stoffelen, A. (2019). Improved rain screening for ku-band wind scatterometry. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote sensing*. 58(4), 2494–2503.
- Xu, Z., Elomri, A., El Omri, A., Kerbache, L. and Liu, H. (2021). The Compounded Effects of COVID-19 Pandemic and Desert Locust Outbreak on Food Security and Food Supply Chain. *Sustainability*. 13(3), 1063.
- Yamaguchi, M., Chan, J. C., Moon, I.-J., Yoshida, K. and Mizuta, R. (2020). Global warming changes tropical cyclone translation speed. *Nature communications*. 11(1), 1–7.
- Yang, J. and Zhang, J. (2018). Accuracy assessment of HY-2A Scatterometer wind measurements during 2011–2017 by comparison with buoys, ASCAT, and ERA-interim data. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*. 16(5), 727–731.
- Yang, J. and Zhang, J. (2019). Comparison of Oceansat-2 scatterometer wind data with global moored buoys and ASCAT observation. *Advances in Meteorology*. 2019.
- Yang, J., Zhang, J., Jia, Y., Fan, C. and Cui, W. (2020a). Validation of sentinel-3A/3B and Jason-3 altimeter wind speeds and significant wave heights using buoy and ASCAT data. *Remote Sensing*. 12(13), 2079.

- Yang, L., Liu, Q., Zhao, J. and Bao, L. (2014a). Global Land Surface Backscatter at Ku-Band Using Merged Jason1, Envisat, and Jason2 Data Sets. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*. 53(2), 784–794.
- Yang, S., Hawkins, J. and Richardson, K. (2014b). The improved NRL tropical cyclone monitoring system with a unified microwave brightness temperature calibration scheme. *Remote Sensing*. 6(5), 4563–4581.
- Yang, S., Weng, F., Yan, B., Sun, N. and Goldberg, M. (2011). Special Sensor Microwave Imager (SSM/I) intersensor calibration using a simultaneous conical overpass technique. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*. 50(1), 77–95.
- Yang, Y., Liu, L., Li, K., Yu, W. and Wang, H. (2020b). Diurnal Sea surface temperature response to tropical cyclone Dahlia in the Eastern tropical Indian Ocean in 2017 revealed by the Bailong buoy. *Dynamics of Atmospheres and Oceans*. 92, 101163.
- Yao, P., Wan, J., Wang, J. and Zhang, J. (2015). Satellite retrieval of hurricane wind speeds using the AMSR2 microwave radiometer. *Chinese journal of oceanology and limnology*. 33(5), 1104–1114.
- Yin, X., Wang, Z., Song, Q., Huang, Y. and Zhang, R. (2017). Estimate of ocean wind vectors inside tropical cyclones from polarimetric radiometer. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*. 10(5), 1701–1714.
- Yonekura, E. and Hall, T. M. (2014). ENSO effect on East Asian tropical cyclone landfall via changes in tracks and genesis in a statistical model. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*. 53(2), 406–420.
- Young, I., Sanina, E. and Babanin, A. (2017). Calibration and cross validation of a global wind and wave database of altimeter, radiometer, and scatterometer measurements. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*. 34(6), 1285–1306.
- Young, I. and Vinoth, J. (2013). An “extended fetch” model for the spatial distribution of tropical cyclone wind–waves as observed by altimeter. *Ocean Engineering*. 70, 14–24.
- Young, I. R. (1993). An estimate of the Geosat altimeter wind speed algorithm at high wind speeds. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*. 98(C11), 20275–20285.

- Yueh, S. H., Stiles, B. W. and Liu, W. T. (2003). QuikSCAT geophysical model function and winds for tropical cyclones. In *Microwave Remote Sensing of the Atmosphere and Environment III*, vol. 4894. International Society for Optics and Photonics, 206–217.
- Yueh, S. H., Stiles, B. W., Tsai, W.-Y., Hu, H. and Liu, W. T. (2001). QuikSCAT geophysical model function for tropical cyclones and application to Hurricane Floyd. *IEEE transactions on geoscience and remote sensing*. 39(12), 2601–2612.
- Zabolotskikh, E., Mitnik, L. and Chapron, B. (2014a). GCOM-W1 AMSR2 and MetOp-A ASCAT wind speeds for the extratropical cyclones over the North Atlantic. *Remote Sensing of Environment*. 147, 89–98.
- Zabolotskikh, E., Mitnik, L. and Chapron, B. (2014b). An updated geophysical model for AMSR-E and SSMIS brightness temperature simulations over oceans. *Remote Sensing*. 6(3), 2317–2342.
- Zabolotskikh, E. V., Mitnik, L. M. and Chapron, B. (2013). New approach for severe marine weather study using satellite passive microwave sensing. *Geophysical Research Letters*. 40(13), 3347–3350.
- Zabolotskikh, E. V., Reul, N. and Chapron, B. (2015). Geophysical model function for the AMSR2 C-band wind excess emissivity at high winds. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters*. 13(1), 78–81.
- Zeng, Z., Wang, Y., Duan, Y., Chen, L. and Gao, Z. (2010). On sea surface roughness parameterization and its effect on tropical cyclone structure and intensity. *Advances in Atmospheric Sciences*. 27(2), 337–355.
- Zhai, A. R. and Jiang, J. H. (2014). Dependence of US hurricane economic loss on maximum wind speed and storm size. *Environmental Research Letters*. 9(6), 064019.
- Zhang, B., Zhu, Z., Perrie, W., Tang, J. and Zhang, J. A. (2021). Estimating Tropical Cyclone Wind Structure and Intensity From Spaceborne Radiometer and Synthetic Aperture Radar. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*. 14, 4043–4050.
- Zhang, D., Zhang, H., Zheng, J., Cheng, X., Tian, D. and Chen, D. (2020). Changes in tropical-cyclone translation speed over the western North Pacific. *Atmosphere*. 11(1), 93.

- Zhang, L., Yin, X.-b., Shi, H.-q. and Wang, Z.-z. (2016). Hurricane wind speed estimation using WindSat 6 and 10 GHz brightness temperatures. *Remote sensing*. 8(9), 721.
- Zhang, R., Wang, Z. and Hilburn, K. A. (2018). Tropical cyclone rainfall estimates from FY-3B MWRI brightness temperatures using the WS algorithm. *Remote Sensing*. 10(11), 1770.
- Zhao, D. and Toba, Y. (2003). A spectral approach for determining altimeter wind speed model functions. *Journal of Oceanography*. 59(2), 235–244.
- Zhao, J., Fan, Y. and Mu, Y. (2019). Sea level prediction in the Yellow Sea from satellite altimetry with a combined least squares-neural network approach. *Marine geodesy*. 42(4), 344–366.
- Zhao, K., Popescu, S., Meng, X., Pang, Y. and Agca, M. (2011). Characterizing forest canopy structure with lidar composite metrics and machine learning. *Remote Sensing of Environment*. 115(8), 1978–1996.
- Zieger, S., Vinoth, J. and Young, I. (2009). Joint calibration of multiplatform altimeter measurements of wind speed and wave height over the past 20 years. *Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology*. 26(12), 2549–2564.
- Zou, J., Zeng, T. and Cui, S. (2015). A high wind geophysical model function for QuikSCAT wind retrievals and application to Typhoon IOKE. *Acta Oceanologica Sinica*. 34(7), 65–73.

LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Journal with Impact Factor

1. Sharoni, S. M., Md Reba, M. N., & Hossain, M. S. (2021). Tropical Cyclone Wind Speed Estimation From Satellite Altimeter-Derived Ocean Parameters. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 126(4), e2020JC016988.
2. Roslan, N., Md Reba, M. N., Sharoni, S. M., & Hossain, M. S. (2021). The 3D Neural Network for Improving Radar-Rainfall Estimation in Monsoon Climate. *Atmosphere*, 12(5), 634.

Indexed Conference Proceedings

1. Sharoni, S., & Reba, M. M. (2021, February). Multi-Parameter Neural Network for Altimeter Tropical Cyclone Wind Speed Estimation. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 682, No. 1, p. 012020). IOP Publishing.

Non-Indexed Conference Proceedings

1. Sharoni, S., Reba, M. N. M., & Hashim, M. Challenges and Possibility of Satellite Altimeter Derived Sea Level Anomaly for Tropical Cyclone Identification in the South China Sea: A Preliminary Review.
2. Sharoni, S., & Reba, M. N. M. Altimeter Wind Speed Estimation in Tropical Cyclone.